

Essay

Not peer-reviewed version

Forgotten Science: How 1900s Studies Challenge the Current Research on Sap Analysis

[Javier Santa Cruz](#)*, [Diego Calbuccho](#), [Samuel Valdebenito](#), Vicente Vásquez, Marcelo Aguilar, [Patricia Peñaloza](#), [Hernán Allendes](#), Kooichi Vidal

Posted Date: 24 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.1905.v1

Keywords: crop nutritional assessment; fertilizer management; on-farm quick tests; plant nutrition; sufficiency values



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Essay

Forgotten Science: How 1900s Studies Challenge the Current Research on Sap Analysis

Javier Santa-Cruz ^{1,2,3,*}, Diego Calbucho ^{3,4}, Samuel Valdebenito ¹, Vicente Vásquez ¹, Marcelo Aguilar ², Patricia Peñaloza ¹, Hernán Allendes ^{1,3} and Kooichi Vidal ¹

¹ Escuela de Agronomía, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas y de Los Alimentos, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Quillota, Chile

² Escuela de Ciencias Agrícolas y Veterinarias, Universidad Viña del Mar, Viña del Mar, Chile

³ R&D Department, HortiTech Analytics, Quillota, Chile; ⁴ Laboratorio de Ecoinformática, Instituto de Conservación, Biodiversidad y Territorio, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

* Correspondence: santacruz.agr@hotmail.com

Abstract

Plant sap analysis has emerged as a key focus in recent agricultural research, aiming to enhance fertilizer management and improve crop nutritional assessment. Despite its current recognition as an innovative technique, the origins of sap testing date back over 120 years, with early studies largely overlooked by contemporary researchers. This work revisits these foundational studies, exploring their findings and revealing their potential to challenge modern techniques. We discuss historical methods of sap collection and how variations in pressure and extraction techniques can influence its nutrient composition. This retrospective examination suggests that integrating these early insights can significantly enhance current sap testing methods.

Keywords: crop nutritional assessment; fertilizer management; on-farm quick tests; plant nutrition; sufficiency values

Improving fertilizer use efficiency is a key goal for achieving a sustainable agriculture, as mentioned in the “Farm to Fork Strategy” adopted by the EU and in the FAO “Strategic Framework 2022-2031”. It is also indirectly considered in the 2030 Agenda of the United Nations. One proposed way to address this issue is to seek technological innovations to aid in plant nutritional diagnosis and real-time decision making, commonly known as “on-farm quick tests”.

In recent years, several research have been focused on plant sap analysis, highlighting its relevance as a powerful tool to enhance fertilizer management[1–4]. Despite being considered an innovative technique, the genesis of the sap tests dates from more than 120 years ago. It is surprising that references to the first studies are virtually absent in the current scientific literature, leaving their key findings fall into oblivion.

Diverse reasons for this can be suggested. For instance, the lack of consistency in terminology used to refer to the fluid obtained by pressing plant tissues, which has been interchangeably termed as “cellular extract”, “cellular juice”, “plant juice”, “plant fluids”, “tissue fluids” and “plant solutions”. Another cause may be the limited access to this information, which has been progressively facilitated over time by digitalization and database indexing. Nevertheless, it is now possible to access those initial studies; thus, we consider crucial to integrate their results into the current discussion on sap analysis.

Sap as a Nutritional Index

Although articles such as “The snappy sap test”[5] have been considered some of the first records of sap analysis, our literature search reveals that its conception extends back further in time. The oldest study we found dates from 1902, in which Fred Heald[6] evaluated the sap electrical conductivity of several vegetables.

Only four years later, André[7] measured the content of nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus in the sap of two flower crops. Thereafter, several authors described that sap mineral concentration was closely related to the fertilizer application rates to soil and substrate[8–10].

Following that framework, the same authors suggested that sap mineral content could be used as a fertilizer index, and critical levels for each nutrient could be established. Consequently, Carolus[11] defined the nutritional sufficiency levels for five macronutrients in the sap of potatoes, an initiative that has been carried out by several researchers up to the present.

Early Methodological Questions

One of the main steps in performing sap analysis is the extraction of sap from fresh plant tissues. In current studies, this fluid is obtained by crushing the selected tissue with a pencil, placing small pieces of it in a syringe, a garlic press, or a citrus squeezer, as well as in a screw or hydraulic press. However, little is known about the equivalence and representativeness of these methods, and it is assumed that the pressing device and its operation do not affect the sap nutrient content[12]. Although modern research has not addressed this, studies conducted in the past century focused their efforts on this physical factor through the question: “Does pressure and the recovered volume of sap change its composition?”

A study by Heald[13] marks the starting point for this issue, proposing the development of a special press for plant research, intended to extract small volumes of sap from plant tissues. However, the sap obtained by a single pressing is usually not enough for all the desired nutritional measurements (e.g. °Bx, pH, EC, NO_3^- , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+}), hence repeated or more vigorous extractions must be carried out with the same sample.

In this context, Marie & Gatin[14] and Dixon & Atkins[15] wondered if pressing a tissue sample multiple times changes the sap properties, describing that solute concentration increases in each successive sap portion. Conversely, Gortner et al. [16] provided evidence that this trend could be species-dependent; hence, sap concentration could also decrease or remain constant.

Similar findings were reported by Sayre & Morris[17], who noted that the electrical conductivity and sugar content of corn sap remained constant after several extractions. While the same trend was described for nitrate-nitrogen and inorganic phosphorus, they found a depletion of total nitrogen and phosphorus. This suggested that not all sap constituents change equally when pressed more than once.

Likewise, Gerdel[18] evaluated the nitrate-nitrogen in corn sap, but included a new factor: the use of increasing pressures to obtain each successive sap portion. Nevertheless, consistent with previous findings, he reported that nutrient concentration remains stable after six extractions. By contrast, Broyer & Hoagland[19] described that the electrical conductivity and potassium concentration increased in the first five sap portions until approximately 25% of the total shoot moisture was removed. After that, both parameters decreased closely to their initial values. In this sense, some ionic species could have greater changes than others when sap portions are expressed by increasing pressures (e.g. NO_3^- versus K^+).

The above-mentioned studies illustrate how sap nutrient concentration can be modified by the extraction of different volumes, as well as the amount of pressure exerted to obtain them. Hence, they provide crucial evidence that challenges the current belief that equal sap portions are obtained by successive extractions from the same sample. With this, we aim to highlight the need to delve into the past before working for the future – and to give an opportunity to hundreds of studies on sap analysis from the past century that are waiting to be considered.

Conclusions

The growth rates of modern science have led us to forget the genesis of some research topics, which could result in the loss of valuable information for decision-making. The first studies on plant sap were likely inaccessible for researchers until now; therefore, it is important to take their findings

into account and use them to improve the current methods for sap analysis. We encourage authors, reviewers, and editors, as well as agricultural consultants and laboratories, to critically evaluate the methods used for obtaining and analyzing plant sap, while also considering early studies as a foundation for future research.

Acknowledgements: JSC, SV, and MA have received research support from the “Fondo Interno de Investigación: Línea Investigación en Ciencia, Tecnología y Conocimiento, proyecto n°2306 (FII-CTC-2306)” of Universidad Viña del Mar. JSC, DC, and VV were supported by the project InES I+D from the Vicerrectoría de Investigación, Creación e Innovación of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. JSC and SV were funded by ANID MSc scholarships (n° 22231615 and n° 22241938, respectively).

References

1. Benavides-Mendoza A, Alba-Romenus K, Francisco-Francisco N. Relation between soil solution composition and petiole cellular extract of crops in western Mexico. *Terra Latinoamericana*, 2021; 39: 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.28940/terra.v39i0.873>
2. Esteves E, Locatelli G, Alcon-Bou N, Soranz-Ferrarezi R. Sap analysis: a powerful tool for monitoring plant nutrition. *Horticulturae*, 2021; 7(11): 426. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7110426>
3. Peña-Fleitas M T, Gallardo M, Padilla F M, Rodríguez A, Thompson R B. Use of a portable rapid analysis system to measure nitrate concentration of nutrient and soil solution, and plant sap in greenhouse vegetable production. *Agronomy* 2021; 11(5): 819. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050819>
4. Hochmuth G, Hochmuth R. Plant petiole sap testing for vegetable crops. CIR1144. University of Florida, UF/IFAS Extension, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-cv004-2022>
5. Scaife M A. The snappy sap test: how to monitor crop nitrogen on the farm. *Big Farm Management*, 1979; 17-20.
6. Heald F D. The electrical conductivity of plant juices. *Botanical Gazette*, 1902; 34(2): 81-92.
7. André M G. Sur la composition des liquides qui circulent dans le végétal; variations de l'azote dans les feuilles. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences*, 1906; 142(1): 106-108.
8. Gilbert B E. The adaptation of certain colorimetric methods to the estimation of nitrates, phosphates and potassium in plant solutions. *Plant Physiology*, 1926; 1(2):191-199. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.1.2.191>
9. Gilbert B E, Hardin L J. The current mineral nutrient content of the plant solution as a possible means of chemical control of optimum fertilization. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 1927; 35(2): 185-192.
10. McCool M M, Weldon M D. The effect of soil type and fertilization on the composition of the expressed sap of plants. *Journal of the American Society of Agronomy*, 1928; 20(8): 778-792. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1928.00021962002000080002x>
11. Carolus R L. Chemical estimations of the weekly nutrient level of a potato crop. *American Potato Journal*, 1937; 14(5): 141-153. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02912034>
12. Santa-Cruz J, Calbucho D, Cáceres C, Castillo P, Valdebenito S, Aguilar M, Allendes H, Peñaloza P, Vidal K. Crushed, squeezed, or pressed? How extraction methods influence sap analysis. *Plant and Soil*, 2025; under review.
13. Heald F D. A convenient press for obtaining small amounts of plant juice. *Journal of Applied Microscopy and Laboratory Methods*, 1902; 5: 1679-1680.
14. Marie M M C, Gatin C L. Déterminations cryoscopiques effectuées sur des sucres végétaux. Comparaison d'espèces de montagne avec les mêmes espèces planes. *Compte Rendu de la Association Française pour l'Avancement des Sciences*, 1911; 40: 492-494.
15. Dixon H H, Atkins W R G. Osmotic pressures in plants. I. Methods of extracting sap from plant organs. *The Scientific Proceedings of the Royal Dublin Society*, 1913; 13: 422-433.
16. Gortner R A, Lawrence J V, Harris J A. The extraction of sap from plant tissues by pressure. *Biochemical Bulletin*, 1916; 5: 139-142.
17. Sayre J D, Morris V H. Use of expressed sap in determining the composition of corn tissue. *Plant Physiology*, 1932; 7(2): 261-272. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.7.2.261>

18. Gerdel R W. Determination of the inorganic nitrogen in the corn plant by the expressed sap method. *Plant Physiology*, 1932; 7(3): 517-526. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.7.3.517>
19. Broyer T C, Hoagland D R. Methods of sap expression from plant tissues with special reference to studies on salt accumulation by excised barley roots. *American Journal of Botany*, 1940; 27(7): 501-511. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1940.tb14711.x>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.