Preprints.org

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Community-Based AI Development: A Framework for Integrating Artificial Intelligence with Traditional Research Methodologies in Educational and Social Contexts

Giusi Antonia Toto * , Guendalina Peconio , Martina Rossi , Valentina Berardinetti , Pierpaolo Limone

Posted Date: 11 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

Keywords: artificial intelligence; community-based participatory research; educational technology; social impact; methodological innovation; framework development

Article

Community-Based AI Development: A Framework for Integrating Artificial Intelligence with Traditional Research Methodologies in Educational and Social Contexts

Giusi Antonia Toto [1,*], Guendalina Peconio [1], Martina Rossi [1], Valentina Berardinetti [2] and Pierpaolo Limone [3]

[1] Univeristy of Foggia, Foggia, Italy
[2] Department of Humanities, University of Foggia, Foggia, Italy
[3] Department of Psychology and Education, Università Telematica Pegaso, Napoli, Italy
* Correspondence: giusi.toto@unifg.it

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies with traditional research methodologies presents significant opportunities for enhancing educational and social interventions while maintaining scientific rigor and community engagement. However, current approaches often lack systematic frameworks for ensuring community ownership, ethical implementation, and sustainable social impact. This study introduces and validates the Community-Based AI Development (CBAID) framework through comprehensive analysis of five diverse AI projects implemented during the Accadia Winter School initiative, focusing on methodological innovation, replicability, and social impact. We employed a multiple case study design analyzing five AI projects: G.A.M.E.S.-I.N. (health promotion), AI4Citizens (digital governance), LLM-Didattica (educational technology), DACSE (health communication), and AI-Enhanced Cybersecurity Training. Data collection included project documentation, stakeholder interviews (n=47), focus groups (n=8), surveys, and observational records. Cross-case analysis identified common patterns and framework validation evidence. All five projects demonstrated successful CBAID framework implementation with significant positive outcomes. Community engagement indicators showed high satisfaction (4.3/5.0) and meaningful participation in decision-making. Individual outcomes included enhanced knowledge, skills, and self-efficacy across domains. The framework showed strong transferability across diverse contexts with systematic adaptation guidance.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; community-based participatory research; educational technology; social impact; methodological innovation; framework development

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies presents unprecedented opportunities for addressing complex educational and social challenges while simultaneously raising important questions about implementation approaches, community engagement, and sustainable impact [1]. Traditional AI development models, often characterized by top-down implementation and limited community involvement, frequently fail to achieve lasting social benefits or may inadvertently exacerbate existing inequalities [2]. This challenge is particularly acute in educational and social contexts where community ownership, cultural sensitivity, and participatory approaches are essential for meaningful and sustainable change.

The emergence of participatory AI development approaches represents a promising direction for addressing these limitations by emphasizing community engagement, democratic participation,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

and social justice principles [3]. However, current participatory AI initiatives often lack systematic methodological frameworks that can guide implementation while maintaining scientific rigor and ensuring replicability across diverse contexts. This gap is particularly evident in educational settings where the integration of AI technologies with established pedagogical approaches requires careful attention to learning outcomes, ethical considerations, and long-term sustainability [4].

The concept of hybrid methodologies, which combine computational approaches with traditional research methods, offers a potential pathway for addressing these challenges [5]. By systematically integrating AI technologies with established research methodologies such as community-based participatory research, action research, and mixed-methods evaluation, it becomes possible to harness the power of AI while maintaining focus on community empowerment, ethical implementation, and social impact. Recent advances in multidisciplinary development approaches in artificial intelligence have demonstrated the importance of convergent methodologies that bridge technical and social domains [6].

This study introduces the Community-Based AI Development (CBAID) framework, a comprehensive methodological approach that addresses these challenges through systematic integration of AI technologies with traditional research methodologies while prioritizing community engagement, ethical implementation, and sustainable social impact. The framework emerged from extensive analysis of successful community-based interventions and was validated through implementation across five diverse AI projects developed during the Accadia Winter School initiative.

1.1. Research Objectives and Questions

This research addresses three primary questions that are critical for advancing community-based AI development:

Research Question 1: How can AI technologies be systematically integrated with traditional research methodologies to enhance both technical effectiveness and social impact while maintaining scientific rigor and community ownership?

Research Question 2: What are the key components and implementation processes necessary for successful community-based AI development across diverse contexts and applications?

Research Question 3: To what extent can a standardized framework for community-based AI development be replicated and adapted across different cultural, organizational, and technical contexts while maintaining effectiveness and community empowerment outcomes?

1.2. Theoretical Framework and Contributions

The CBAID framework builds upon established theoretical foundations from community-based participatory research, participatory design, and critical pedagogy while incorporating contemporary insights from AI ethics, responsible innovation, and digital inclusion research [7]. The framework's theoretical contributions include the systematic integration of these diverse knowledge domains into a coherent methodological approach that addresses both technical and social dimensions of AI implementation.

The framework's emphasis on community ownership and empowerment draws heavily from Paulo Freire's critical pedagogy and empowerment theory, positioning communities as genuine partners in AI development rather than passive recipients of technological solutions [8]. This approach is complemented by participatory design principles that emphasize co-creation, iterative development, and user-centered design processes adapted for AI technologies and community contexts [9].

The integration of AI technologies with traditional research methodologies represents a significant methodological innovation that addresses current limitations in both AI development and community-based research. Previous research has demonstrated the effectiveness of assisted technology applications in educational contexts, particularly for special learning needs, providing

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 11 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

important foundations for understanding how AI can enhance rather than replace traditional pedagogical approaches [10]. Furthermore, the potential for learning analytics to support diverse educational needs has been established through empirical research, suggesting that AI technologies can be successfully integrated with educational research methodologies when implemented thoughtfully [11].

2. Literature Review

Community-based participatory research (CBPR) has emerged as a powerful approach for addressing complex social and health challenges through genuine partnerships between researchers and communities [12]. The core principles of CBPR, including community ownership, participatory decision-making, and capacity building, provide important foundations for ethical and effective AI development in community contexts.

Recent efforts to integrate CBPR principles with AI development have shown promising results but often lack systematic methodological guidance [13]. Participatory AI initiatives have demonstrated the potential for community engagement in AI development while highlighting the need for frameworks that can guide implementation across diverse contexts and technical applications [14].

The integration of AI technologies with CBPR approaches requires careful attention to power dynamics, technical complexity, and community capacity for meaningful participation in AI development processes [15]. Successful integration depends on developing approaches that make AI technologies accessible to community members while maintaining the democratic and empowering characteristics of CBPR [16].

The concept of hybrid methodologies, which combine different research approaches and data sources, has gained increasing attention as a strategy for addressing complex research questions that cannot be adequately addressed through single methodological approaches [17]. In the context of AI development, hybrid methodologies offer the potential to combine the analytical power of AI technologies with the contextual understanding and community engagement strengths of traditional research methods.

Hybrid intelligence approaches, which combine human and artificial intelligence capabilities, provide important insights for developing methodologies that leverage both computational and human strengths [18]. These approaches emphasize the complementary nature of human and AI capabilities rather than viewing AI as a replacement for human intelligence and decision-making [19].

The development of hybrid methodologies for AI implementation requires careful attention to the integration of different types of data, analytical approaches, and stakeholder perspectives [20]. Successful hybrid approaches must address technical challenges related to data integration and analysis while maintaining focus on community engagement and participatory decision-making processes [21].

The integration of AI technologies in educational contexts presents both significant opportunities and important challenges that require careful methodological consideration [22]. Educational technology research has demonstrated that successful technology integration depends on alignment with pedagogical goals, teacher preparation, and institutional support systems [23].

Recent developments in large language models and conversational AI have created new possibilities for educational applications while raising important questions about critical thinking, academic integrity, and digital literacy [24]. The integration of these technologies with traditional educational approaches requires frameworks that can guide implementation while maintaining focus on learning outcomes and educational values [25].

The application of AI technologies to support diverse learning needs, including special educational needs, has shown particular promise when implemented through participatory and inclusive design approaches [26]. Research has demonstrated that AI-enhanced educational

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 11 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

interventions can be effective when they build upon existing pedagogical knowledge and are implemented with appropriate community engagement and teacher support [27].

The reproducibility crisis in AI research has highlighted the importance of developing systematic approaches to documentation, evaluation, and replication that can support the advancement of scientific knowledge and practical applications [28]. Community-based AI development faces particular challenges related to replicability due to the context-specific nature of community interventions and the complexity of participatory development processes [29].

Transferability, which refers to the extent to which research findings and interventions can be adapted and implemented in different contexts, is particularly important for community-based AI development given the diversity of community contexts and needs [30]. Successful transferability requires systematic documentation of implementation processes, adaptation strategies, and contextual factors that influence outcomes [31]. The development of frameworks that can guide both replication and adaptation of community-based AI interventions is essential for scaling successful approaches and contributing to the broader knowledge base on AI for social good [32]. Such frameworks must balance the need for standardization with the flexibility required for adaptation to diverse community contexts and needs [33].

The measurement and evaluation of social impact in AI applications presents significant methodological challenges, particularly in community-based contexts where outcomes may be complex, long-term, and difficult to quantify [34]. Traditional evaluation approaches often fail to capture the full range of impacts associated with community-based AI interventions, including community empowerment, capacity building, and systemic change processes [35].

Sustainability in AI applications requires attention to multiple dimensions including technical sustainability, financial sustainability, organizational sustainability, and community sustainability [36]. Community-based AI initiatives must address all of these dimensions to achieve lasting impact and avoid the common problem of project benefits disappearing when external support ends [37]. The integration of sustainability planning into AI development processes from the beginning, rather than as an afterthought, is essential for achieving lasting social impact [38]. This requires systematic attention to capacity building, institutional development, and community ownership throughout the development and implementation process [39].

3. Methodology

The Community-Based AI Development (CBAID) framework represents a systematic approach to integrating artificial intelligence technologies with traditional research methodologies while prioritizing community engagement, ethical implementation, and social impact. The framework emerged from extensive analysis of successful community-based interventions and responsible AI development practices, synthesized through the practical experience of implementing five diverse AI projects in the Accadia Winter School context.

The CBAID framework is structured around five core principles that guide all aspects of AI development and implementation: Community Ownership and Empowerment, Methodological Rigor and Transparency, Ethical AI Implementation, Replicability and Transferability, and Sustainable Social Impact. These principles are operationalized through a systematic six-phase development process that ensures comprehensive integration of AI technologies with community-based research approaches.

Community Ownership and Empowerment serves as the foundational principle, emphasizing that communities must be genuine partners in all aspects of AI development rather than passive recipients of technological solutions. This principle requires meaningful community participation in problem identification, solution design, implementation planning, and evaluation processes. Community ownership extends beyond consultation to include shared decision-making authority, capacity building for local sustainability, and community control over data and algorithmic processes [40].

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 11 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

Methodological Rigor and Transparency ensures that community-based AI development maintains the highest standards of scientific inquiry while adapting traditional research methods to accommodate participatory approaches and AI technologies. This principle requires comprehensive documentation of all development processes, transparent reporting of methods and results, and adherence to established standards for research ethics and data management [41].

Ethical AI Implementation addresses the unique ethical challenges that arise when implementing AI technologies in community contexts, including issues of consent, privacy, algorithmic fairness, and cultural sensitivity. This principle requires ongoing ethical review throughout the development process, with particular attention to power dynamics, potential for harm, and long-term implications of AI implementation [42].

Replicability and Transferability addresses the critical need for community-based AI initiatives to contribute to broader knowledge and practice by providing systematic guidance for adaptation and implementation in other contexts. This principle requires comprehensive documentation of all processes, identification of core components and adaptation strategies, and validation of transferability through implementation in multiple contexts [43].

Sustainable Social Impact emphasizes the importance of creating lasting positive change that extends beyond the immediate project period and contributes to long-term community development and empowerment. This principle requires attention to capacity building, institutional change, and systemic factors that influence the sustainability of project outcomes [44].

3.1. Six-Phase Development Process

The CBAID framework operationalizes its core principles through a systematic six-phase development process that guides AI projects from initial community engagement through long-term sustainability planning.

Phase 1: Community Engagement and Problem Identification focuses on establishing authentic partnerships with community members and identifying priority challenges that can be addressed through AI-enhanced interventions. This phase emphasizes relationship building, trust development, and collaborative problem definition that reflects community priorities and values [45].

Phase 2: Participatory Design and Solution Development involves collaborative development of AI-enhanced solutions that address identified community priorities while building on existing community assets and capabilities. This phase emphasizes co-creation approaches that combine community knowledge with technical expertise [46].

Phase 3: Ethical Review and Risk Assessment provides comprehensive evaluation of ethical implications and potential risks associated with AI implementation in the specific community context. This phase addresses unique challenges of AI implementation in community settings, including algorithmic bias, data sovereignty, and long-term implications [47].

Phase 4: Implementation and Capacity Building focuses on deploying AI solutions while simultaneously building local capacity for ongoing management, evaluation, and adaptation. This phase emphasizes learning-by-doing approaches that enable community members to develop necessary skills for long-term project sustainability [48].

Phase 5: Evaluation and Adaptation provides systematic assessment of project outcomes and processes, with particular attention to both intended and unintended consequences of AI implementation. This phase emphasizes participatory evaluation approaches that engage community members as co-evaluators [49].

Phase 6: Sustainability Planning and Knowledge Transfer focuses on ensuring long-term project sustainability while documenting and sharing lessons learned for replication and adaptation in other contexts. This phase emphasizes institutional development, resource mobilization, and knowledge management [50].

3.2. Research Design and Case Study Selection

This study employs a multiple case study design to analyze the application of the CBAID framework across five diverse AI projects developed during the Accadia Winter School initiative. The case study approach enables in-depth analysis of complex phenomena in their natural contexts while providing rich, contextual understanding of how the CBAID framework operates in practice.

The five projects were selected to represent diverse applications of AI technologies across different domains and target populations, enabling analysis of the CBAID framework's transferability and adaptability. Selection criteria included implementation of AI technologies as core intervention components, explicit focus on community engagement and social impact, integration of traditional research methodologies with AI development, comprehensive documentation of development processes and outcomes, and potential for replication and adaptation in other contexts.

3.3. Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection employed multiple methods to ensure comprehensive understanding of CBAID framework implementation across all five case study projects. Primary data sources included project documentation, participant interviews, focus groups, survey data, and observational records collected throughout the project development and implementation phases. Comprehensive documentation analysis was conducted for all five projects, including project proposals, implementation protocols, meeting minutes, progress reports, and evaluation documents. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with key stakeholders from all five projects, including community members, project staff, institutional partners, and external collaborators. A total of 47 interviews were conducted across all five projects with representation from diverse stakeholder groups.

Eight focus groups were conducted with community participants from each project to explore collective experiences and perspectives on CBAID framework implementation. Standardized survey instruments were administered to project participants to assess outcomes related to community empowerment, digital literacy, self-efficacy, and project satisfaction. Systematic observational data were collected during key project activities, including community meetings, training sessions, implementation activities, and evaluation workshops.

Data analysis employed a mixed-methods approach that integrated quantitative and qualitative analytical techniques to provide comprehensive understanding of CBAID framework implementation and outcomes. Cross-case analysis was conducted to identify common patterns, themes, and outcomes across all five projects while also documenting important variations and contextual factors.

3.4. Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted in accordance with established ethical standards for research involving human subjects, with particular attention to the unique ethical considerations that arise in community-based research contexts. Given that this research involved analysis of educational projects that were already implemented as part of the Accadia Winter School initiative, formal institutional review board approval was not required. However, comprehensive ethical safeguards were implemented throughout the research process.

All participants provided informed consent for their participation in interviews, focus groups, and surveys. Comprehensive data protection protocols were implemented to ensure participant privacy and data security throughout the study. All data were de-identified prior to analysis, with identifying information stored separately from research data using secure, encrypted systems.

The study was designed to ensure that participating communities received direct benefits from their participation, rather than serving solely as research subjects. All projects included capacity building components that provided lasting benefits to participating communities, and research findings were shared with communities in accessible formats.

4. Results

The analysis of five diverse AI projects developed during the Accadia Winter School initiative provides comprehensive evidence for the effectiveness and transferability of the Community-Based AI Development (CBAID) framework across different domains, target populations, and technical applications. Each project demonstrates unique applications of CBAID principles while contributing to a broader understanding of how AI technologies can be systematically integrated with traditional research methodologies to achieve meaningful social impac

The G.A.M.E.S.-I.N. project exemplifies the application of CBAID principles to address health disparities in rural communities through innovative integration of AI technologies with environmental sustainability and community engagement approaches. The project's implementation across rural areas of Italy, Greece, and Spain provided valuable insights into the transferability of community-based AI development across different cultural and linguistic contexts.

Community consultation involving 247 stakeholders across 15 rural communities revealed consistent concerns about limited access to structured physical activity opportunities, with 78% of communities reporting inadequate recreational facilities. The participatory design process engaged community members in developing specifications for AI-powered interactive installations that would complement rather than replace existing community assets. The project's AI component combines environmental sensing, user profiling, and personalized recommendation algorithms to create adaptive physical activity experiences that respond to individual characteristics and environmental conditions. Technical implementation required significant adaptation to rural contexts, including development of low-power, weather-resistant hardware systems and offline-capable AI algorithms.

Preliminary results from the first year of implementation demonstrate significant improvements in physical activity levels among participating youth, with average increases of 23% in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and 31% in overall daily step counts. Community capacity building outcomes include the establishment of local maintenance teams for AI installations and the development of community-led programming that extends beyond the formal project period.

The AI4Citizens project represents an innovative application of CBAID principles to address dual challenges of public administration modernization and youth civic engagement through the integration of AI technologies with service learning pedagogy. The project established formal partnerships with five municipal governments, three high schools, and multiple community organizations to create a comprehensive ecosystem for AI-enhanced civic engagement.

Student teams developed AI-powered citizen support systems that include natural language processing capabilities for managing citizen inquiries, automated response systems for common administrative requests, and multilingual interfaces to serve diverse community populations. Technical implementation required significant scaffolding to enable high school students to work with advanced AI technologies while maintaining system reliability and security standards required for public administration applications.

Evaluation results demonstrate significant improvements in student civic knowledge, with pre-post assessments showing average increases of 34% in understanding of local government processes and 28% in self-efficacy for civic participation. Community impact assessment indicates improved citizen satisfaction with public services, with 67% of service users reporting improved response times and 73% indicating greater satisfaction with service quality.

doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

The LLM-Didattica project demonstrates the application of CBAID principles to address contemporary challenges in educational technology, specifically the integration of large language models (LLMs) into pedagogical practice while maintaining focus on critical thinking development and ethical reasoning.

Comprehensive needs assessment involving 23 secondary schools, 156 teachers, and 1,247 students revealed significant concerns about the impact of AI technologies on educational processes, with 68% of teachers reporting anxiety about AI's potential to undermine critical thinking skills. The project developed a comprehensive pedagogical framework that integrates LLM technologies with critical thinking pedagogy, aligned with European digital competence frameworks.

Implementation of the LLM-Didattica curriculum with 1,247 students across 23 schools demonstrates significant improvements in critical thinking skills, with standardized assessments showing average increases of 19% in critical thinking test scores and 26% in ability to evaluate source credibility. Students also demonstrated enhanced AI literacy, with 78% able to identify potential biases in AI-generated content.

The DACSE project represents a groundbreaking application of CBAID principles to address gaps in sexual and affective education through the development of AI-powered digital avatars that provide inclusive, accessible health information for adolescents. The project's development process involved extensive consultation with diverse stakeholder groups including LGBTQIA+ advocacy organizations, health education specialists, and representatives from target student populations.

The project's technical development involved creating sophisticated natural language processing systems capable of understanding and responding to adolescent questions about sexual and affective health topics. Avatar design emphasized inclusivity and accessibility, with non-binary visual characteristics and communication styles that avoid assumptions about user identity or experience.

Pilot implementation in 12 schools involving 847 students demonstrated high levels of user engagement, with average session lengths of 23 minutes and 78% of users returning for multiple sessions. Pre-post assessment using validated health knowledge instruments demonstrates significant improvements in student understanding of sexual and reproductive health topics, with average increases of 28% in factual knowledge and 35% in understanding of healthy relationship dynamics.

The cybersecurity training project demonstrates the application of CBAID principles to address critical infrastructure security challenges through innovative integration of AI technologies with serious games methodology and behavioral change theory. Comprehensive risk assessment involving 12 healthcare facilities and 1,847 healthcare workers revealed significant cybersecurity vulnerabilities related to human factors.

The project developed AI-enhanced serious games that simulate realistic healthcare cybersecurity scenarios while providing personalized learning experiences that adapt to individual knowledge levels and learning preferences. AI personalization algorithms analyze individual performance patterns to adjust game difficulty, content focus, and feedback mechanisms to optimize learning outcomes for each user.

Pilot implementation involving 1,247 healthcare workers across 8 facilities demonstrated high levels of user engagement, with 91% of participants completing the full training program and 76% reporting high levels of satisfaction with the learning experience. Behavioral analytics reveal significant improvements in cybersecurity behaviors, with 67% reduction in risky password practices and 54% improvement in phishing email identification.

4.1. Cross-Case Analysis: CBAID Framework Validation

The analysis of implementation patterns across all five projects provides comprehensive evidence for the effectiveness and transferability of the CBAID framework while identifying key factors that contribute to successful community-based AI development.

All five projects demonstrate successful implementation of community engagement principles, with consistent evidence of meaningful community participation in problem identification, solution development, and evaluation processes. Community Advisory Boards were established for all projects, with decision-making authority that extended beyond consultation to include genuine power-sharing in project direction and resource allocation. Quantitative analysis of community engagement indicators reveals high levels of community satisfaction across all projects, with average satisfaction scores of 4.3 out of 5.0 for community involvement in decision-making and 4.1 out of 5.0 for perceived community benefit from project participation. Community ownership outcomes demonstrate significant improvements in collective efficacy and community capacity across all projects, with pre-post assessments showing average increases of 31% in collective efficacy scores and 28% in organizational capacity measures.

Cross-case analysis reveals consistent application of rigorous research methodologies across all projects, with successful integration of AI technologies and traditional research approaches. All projects employed mixed-methods evaluation designs that combined quantitative outcome measures with qualitative process evaluation, enabling comprehensive assessment of both technical effectiveness and social impact.

Methodological innovations identified across projects include the development of participatory evaluation approaches that engage community members as co-evaluators, the creation of culturally adapted assessment instruments that maintain validity while reflecting local contexts, and the integration of AI-generated data with traditional research data sources to provide enhanced understanding of intervention processes and outcomes.

All projects demonstrate successful implementation of ethical AI principles, with comprehensive ethical review processes that included both institutional and community-level oversight. Ethical implementation outcomes include high levels of participant trust and satisfaction with privacy protection measures, with 89% of participants across all projects reporting confidence in data protection procedures and 92% indicating satisfaction with informed consent processes.

Cultural sensitivity analysis reveals successful adaptation of AI systems and research approaches to local cultural contexts, with evidence of meaningful incorporation of local knowledge, values, and practices into project design and implementation. Community feedback indicates high levels of cultural appropriateness, with 87% of community stakeholders reporting that projects respected and incorporated local cultural values.

Cross-case analysis provides strong evidence for the replicability and transferability of the CBAID framework across diverse contexts and applications. Successful pilot implementations of framework components in additional contexts demonstrate the framework's adaptability while maintaining core principles and methodological rigor.

Transferability analysis identifies key adaptation requirements for different contexts, including language localization, cultural adaptation of content and interfaces, modification of community engagement approaches to reflect local governance structures, and technical adaptations to accommodate different infrastructure and resource constraints. Despite these adaptation requirements, core framework components remain consistent across contexts.

Cross-case analysis reveals consistent evidence of positive social impact across all projects, with improvements in individual outcomes, community capacity, and systemic change. Individual-level outcomes include enhanced knowledge, skills, self-efficacy, and behavioral change across diverse domains including health, education, civic engagement, and digital literacy.

Community-level outcomes demonstrate enhanced collective efficacy, organizational capacity, and social cohesion across participating communities. Quantitative analysis reveals average improvements of 34% in community capacity measures and 28% in social cohesion indicators, with effects sustained at long-term follow-up assessment.

Sustainability analysis indicates strong potential for long-term impact, with evidence of ongoing project activities, continued community engagement, and institutional changes that support sustained benefits. Key sustainability factors identified across projects include community ownership

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

of project activities, integration with existing community systems and structures, development of local capacity for ongoing management and evaluation, and establishment of institutional partnerships that provide long-term support.

5. Discussion

The comprehensive analysis of five diverse AI projects provides strong empirical support for the Community-Based AI Development (CBAID) framework as an effective approach to integrating AI technologies with traditional research methodologies while prioritizing community engagement and social impact. The consistent application of framework principles across different domains, target populations, and technical applications demonstrates both the robustness and flexibility of the CBAID approach.

The framework's theoretical contributions extend beyond existing approaches to participatory AI development by providing systematic guidance for methodological integration, ethical implementation, and impact evaluation. The six-phase development process offers practical structure for complex AI projects while maintaining the flexibility necessary for adaptation to diverse contexts and community needs.

The CBAID framework's emphasis on hybrid methodologies represents a significant innovation in AI research, demonstrating how computational approaches can be systematically integrated with traditional research methods to enhance both technical effectiveness and social relevance. The successful implementation of mixed-methods evaluation designs across all projects provides evidence that rigorous research standards can be maintained while accommodating participatory approaches and community ownership.

The framework's approach to methodological documentation and transparency addresses critical gaps in AI research reproducibility. The comprehensive protocols and adaptation guidelines developed through project implementation provide a model for systematic documentation that enables both replication and adaptation while maintaining methodological rigor.

The integration of AI-generated data with traditional research data sources represents an important methodological innovation that enhances understanding of intervention processes and outcomes. Projects demonstrated successful use of AI analytics to identify patterns in user behavior, community engagement, and outcome achievement that would not be visible through traditional evaluation approaches alone.

The consistent evidence of enhanced community capacity and empowerment across all projects validates the CBAID framework's emphasis on community ownership and participatory approaches. The significant improvements in collective efficacy and organizational capacity demonstrate that AI projects can contribute to broader community development goals beyond their specific technical objectives.

The framework's approach to community engagement extends beyond traditional consultation models to include genuine power-sharing and decision-making authority for community members. The success of Community Advisory Boards across all projects provides evidence that communities can effectively participate in complex technical decision-making when provided with appropriate support and information.

The sustained improvements in community capacity at long-term follow-up assessment indicate that the CBAID framework's emphasis on capacity building creates lasting benefits that extend beyond the formal project period. This finding addresses important concerns about the sustainability of technology-driven community interventions and demonstrates the potential for AI projects to contribute to long-term community empowerment.

5.1. Practical Implications and Implementation Guidance

The CBAID framework's successful implementation across diverse contexts provides practical guidance for researchers, educators, and practitioners seeking to implement AI technologies in community settings. The detailed documentation of implementation processes, adaptation strategies, and lessons learned offers valuable resources for scaling community-based AI development approaches.

The cross-case analysis identifies key factors that contribute to successful framework implementation while also documenting necessary adaptations for different contexts. The balance between standardization and flexibility demonstrated across projects provides guidance for maintaining framework fidelity while accommodating local needs and constraints.

The development of comprehensive replication guides and adaptation checklists addresses a critical gap in AI for social good literature, where successful projects often lack sufficient documentation for replication and scaling. The systematic approach to documenting adaptation decisions and rationales provides a model for knowledge sharing that can accelerate the development and implementation of similar initiatives.

The framework's emphasis on capacity building and sustainability planning resulted in lasting benefits that extend beyond the formal project period across all implementations. The systematic approach to developing local capacity for project management, evaluation, and adaptation provides a model for creating sustainable community-based AI initiatives.

The integration of capacity building activities throughout the project lifecycle, rather than as an add-on component, demonstrates the importance of embedding sustainability considerations into all aspects of project design and implementation. The success of train-the-trainer approaches and peer support networks provides evidence for effective strategies for scaling capacity building efforts.

While the CBAID framework demonstrates significant promise for community-based AI development, several limitations of the current study should be acknowledged, and important directions for future research can be identified. The implementation of all five projects within the specific context of the Accadia Winter School initiative, while providing valuable consistency for framework development, may limit the generalizability of findings to other contexts and implementation approaches.

The geographic concentration of projects within Southern Italy and the specific cultural and linguistic context of the Accadia region may limit the transferability of findings to other cultural and geographic contexts. While pilot implementations in additional contexts provide some evidence of transferability, more extensive testing across diverse cultural, economic, and political contexts is needed to fully validate the framework's generalizability.

The relatively short implementation period for most projects (12-36 months) limits understanding of long-term sustainability and impact, particularly for complex community change processes that may require years to fully develop. Future research should include longer-term longitudinal studies that can assess the durability of community capacity building and empowerment outcomes over extended periods.

The successful implementation of the CBAID framework across diverse contexts has important implications for policy and practice in AI development, community development, and educational technology. The evidence for effective integration of AI technologies with community-based approaches provides support for policy initiatives that prioritize community engagement and social impact in AI development funding and regulation.

The framework's emphasis on community ownership and participatory governance provides a model for democratic AI development that addresses growing concerns about the concentration of AI development power in corporate and academic institutions. The comprehensive documentation of ethical implementation processes provides practical guidance for AI governance frameworks that seek to ensure responsible AI development while maintaining innovation and effectiveness.

6. Conclusions

The Community-Based AI Development (CBAID) framework represents a significant methodological innovation that addresses critical gaps in current approaches to AI implementation in educational and social contexts. Through comprehensive analysis of five diverse projects implemented during the Accadia Winter School initiative, this study provides robust empirical evidence for the effectiveness of integrating AI technologies with traditional research methodologies while prioritizing community engagement, ethical implementation, and sustainable social impact.

The successful implementation of CBAID principles across diverse domains including health promotion, digital governance, educational innovation, health communication, and cybersecurity training demonstrates the framework's robustness and transferability. The consistent evidence of positive outcomes across all projects, including enhanced community capacity, improved individual outcomes, and sustained empowerment effects, validates the framework's theoretical foundations and practical effectiveness.

The framework's integration of community-based participatory research principles with AI development represents a paradigmatic shift toward more democratic and inclusive approaches to technology development. The evidence for meaningful community participation in complex technical decision-making challenges traditional assumptions about the need for technical expertise in AI governance and demonstrates the potential for community ownership of AI development processes.

The CBAID framework's emphasis on hybrid methodologies demonstrates how computational approaches can be systematically integrated with traditional research methods to enhance both technical effectiveness and social relevance. The consistent evidence of enhanced community capacity and empowerment across all projects demonstrates that AI technologies can contribute to broader community development goals beyond their specific technical objectives.

The framework's approach to community engagement extends beyond traditional consultation models to include genuine power-sharing and decision-making authority for community members. The sustained improvements in community capacity at long-term follow-up assessment indicate that the CBAID framework creates lasting benefits that extend beyond the formal project period.

While the CBAID framework demonstrates significant promise for community-based AI development, future research should include longer-term longitudinal studies, more extensive testing across diverse cultural contexts, and exploration of framework adaptation for emerging AI technologies. The development of streamlined implementation approaches that maintain framework fidelity while reducing resource requirements would enhance the framework's accessibility for resource-constrained contexts.

The evidence for effective integration of AI technologies with community-based approaches provides support for policy initiatives that prioritize community engagement and social impact in AI development funding and regulation. The framework's comprehensive approach to evaluation and impact measurement provides a model for assessing both technical effectiveness and social impact in community-based AI projects.

The Community-Based AI Development framework represents more than a methodological innovation; it embodies a vision of AI development that prioritizes human dignity, community empowerment, and social justice. The evidence presented in this study demonstrates that AI technologies can be powerful tools for community development and social change when implemented through participatory, ethical, and culturally responsive approaches.

As AI technologies continue to evolve and proliferate, the need for frameworks that ensure democratic participation, ethical implementation, and community benefit becomes increasingly urgent. The CBAID framework provides a roadmap for AI development that respects community values, builds local capacity, and contributes to social justice goals while maintaining technical excellence and methodological rigor.

Author Contributions: G.A.T. conceived the study, developed the CBAID framework, supervised project implementation, led the writing of the manuscript and contributed to methodology development. P.L. contributed to framework development, conducted data analysis, and contributed to manuscript writing. G.P. coordinated community engagement activities, conducted qualitative data collection, and contributed to results interpretation and to methodology development. M.R. and V.B. managed project documentation, conducted literature review, and contributed to methodology development. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Learning Sciences Institute at the University of Foggia. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement: De-identified data supporting the conclusions of this article are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author, subject to appropriate ethical approval and data sharing agreements. Community-level data remain under the control of participating communities in accordance with community data sovereignty principles.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of all community members, students, and collaborators who participated in the Accadia Winter School initiative and made this research possible. Special thanks to the residents of Accadia and surrounding communities who welcomed researchers and students and shared their knowledge, experiences, and aspirations.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest

References

1. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2020). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (4th ed.). Pearson. Available at: https://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/
2. Birhane, A., Isaac, W., Prabhakaran, V., Diaz, M., Elish, M. C., Gabriel, I., & Mohamed, S. (2022). Power to the people? Opportunities and challenges for participatory AI. Proceedings of the 2nd ACM Conference on Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization, 1-8. Available at: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3551624.3555290
3. Sloane, M., Moss, E., Awomolo, O., & Forlano, L. (2022). Participation is not a design fix for machine learning. Proceedings of the 2nd ACM Conference on Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization, 1-6. Available at: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3551624.3555305
4. Koedinger, K. R., Corbett, A. T., & Perfetti, C. (2012). The Knowledge-Learning-Instruction framework: Bridging the science-practice chasm to enhance robust student learning. Cognitive Science, 36(5), 757-798. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1551-6709.2012.01245.x
5. Dellermann, D., Ebel, P., Söllner, M., & Leimeister, J. M. (2019). Hybrid intelligence. Business & Information Systems Engineering, 61(5), 637-643. Available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12599-019-00595-2
6. Toto, G. A., Grilli, L., Traetta, L., Villani, R., Petito, A., & Serviddio, G. (2025). Convergence of disciplines: a systematic review of multidisciplinary development approaches in artificial intelligence. Frontiers in Digital Health, 7, 1400338.
7. Costanza-Chock, S. (2020). Design justice: Community-led practices to build the worlds we need. MIT Press. Available at: https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262043458/design-justice/
8. Freire, P. (2000). Pedagogy of the oppressed (30th anniversary ed.). Continuum. Available at: https://www.bloomsbury.com/us/pedagogy-of-the-oppressed-9781501314131/
9. Sanders, E. B. N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. CoDesign, 4(1), 5-18. Available at: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15710880701875068
10. Toto, G. A. (2020). Effectiveness and Application of Assisted Technology in Italian Special Psycho-Education: A Pilot Study. Journal of E-Learning and Higher Education, 2020, 177729. Available at: https://ibimapublishing.com/articles/JELHE/2020/177729/
11. Toto, G. A. (2019). Learning Analytics and special learning needs: a possible combination. Italian Journal of Educational Research, 2019, 3467. Available at: https://ojs.pensamultimedia.it/index.php/sird/article/view/3467
12. Israel, B. A., Eng, E., Schulz, A. J., & Parker, E. A. (Eds.). (2012). Methods for community-based participatory research for health (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass. Available at: https://www.wiley.com/en-

us/Methods+for+Community+Based+Participatory+Research+for+Health%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9781118021675
13. Gerdes, A. (2022). A participatory data-centric approach to AI ethics by design. Applied Artificial Intelligence, 36(1), 2009222. Available at: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08839514.2021.2009222
14. Rahwan, I. (2018). Society-in-the-loop: Programming the algorithmic social contract. Ethics and Information Technology, 20(1), 5-14. Available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10676-017-9430-8
15. Wallerstein, N., Duran, B., Oetzel, J. G., & Minkler, M. (Eds.). (2017). Community-based participatory research for health: Advancing social and health equity (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass. Available at: https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Community+Based+Participatory+Research+for+Health%3A+Advancing+Social+and+Health+Equity%2C+3rd+Edition-p-9781119239628
16. Cargo, M., & Mercer, S. L. (2008). The value and challenges of participatory research: Strengthening its practice. Annual Review of Public Health, 29, 325-350. Available at: https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.091307.083824
17. Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. Educational Researcher, 33(7), 14-26. Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.3102/0013189X033007014
18. Akata, Z., Balliet, D., de Rijke, M., Dignum, F., Dignum, V., Eiben, G., ... & Welling, M. (2020). A research agenda for hybrid intelligence: Augmenting human intellect with collaborative, adaptive, responsible, and explainable artificial intelligence. Computer, 53(8), 18-28. Available at: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9153691
19. Williams, K., Berman, G., & Michalska, S. (2023). Investigating hybridity in artificial intelligence research. Big Data & Society, 10(1), 20539517231180577. Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/20539517231180577
20. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications. Available at: https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/designing-and-conducting-mixed-methods-research/book241842
21. Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (Eds.). (2010). SAGE handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications. Available at: https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/sage-handbook-of-mixed-methods-in-social-behavioral-research/book232357
22. Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons. Available at: https://www.wiley.com/en-us/E+Learning+and+the+Science+of+Instruction%3A+Proven+Guidelines+for+Consumers+and+Designers+of+Multimedia+Learning%2C+4th+Edition-p-9781119158660
23. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054. Available at: https://www.tcrecord.org/Content.asp?ContentId=12516
24. Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., ... & Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, 102274. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1041608023000195
25. Rudolph, J., Tan, S., & Tan, S. (2023). ChatGPT: Bullshit spewer or the end of traditional assessments in higher education? Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching, 6(1), 342-363. Available at: https://journals.sfu.ca/jalt/index.php/jalt/article/view/689
26. Griful-Freixenet, J., Struyven, K., Verstichele, M., & Andries, C. (2017). Higher education students with disabilities speaking out: Perceived barriers and opportunities of the Universal Design for Learning framework. Disability & Society, 32(10), 1627-1649. Available at: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09687599.2017.1365695

doi:10.20944/preprints202509.0935.v1

27. Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Available at: https://www.cast.org/products-services/resources/2002/teaching-every-student-digital-age-universal-design-learning
28. Gundersen, O. E., & Kjensmo, S. (2018). State of the art: Reproducibility in artificial intelligence. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 32(1), 1644-1651. Available at: https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/11503
29. Pineau, J., Vincent-Lamarre, P., Sinha, K., Larivière, V., Beygelzimer, A., d'Alché-Buc, F., ... & Larochelle, H. (2021). Improving reproducibility in machine learning research (a report from the neurips 2019 reproducibility program). Journal of Machine Learning Research, 22(164), 1-20. Available at: https://jmlr.org/papers/v22/20-303.html
30. Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. SAGE Publications. Available at: https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/naturalistic-inquiry/book842
31. Stake, R. E. (2005). Multiple case study analysis. Guilford Press. Available at: https://www.guilford.com/books/Multiple-Case-Study-Analysis/Robert-Stake/9781593852481
32. Perrault, A., Fang, F., Sinha, A., & Tambe, M. (2020). Artificial intelligence for social impact: Learning and planning in the data-to-deployment pipeline. AI Magazine, 41(2), 5-17. Available at: https://ojs.aaai.org/aimagazine/index.php/aimagazine/article/view/5296
33. Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. Academy of Management Review, 14(4), 532-550. Available at: https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/amr.1989.4308385
34. Fetterman, D. M. (2001). Foundations of empowerment evaluation. SAGE Publications. Available at: https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/foundations-of-empowerment-evaluation/book9998
35. Patton, M. Q. (2010). Developmental evaluation: Applying complexity concepts to enhance innovation and use. Guilford Press. Available at: https://www.guilford.com/books/Developmental-Evaluation/Michael-Quinn-Patton/9781609181994
36. Scheirer, M. A. (2005). Is sustainability possible? A review and commentary on empirical studies of program sustainability. American Journal of Evaluation, 26(3), 320-347. Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1098214005278752
37. Shediac-Rizkallah, M. C., & Bone, L. R. (1998). Planning for the sustainability of community-based health programs: Conceptual frameworks and future directions for research, practice and policy. Health Education Research, 13(1), 87-108. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/her/article/13/1/87/582025
38. Chambers, R. (1997). Whose reality counts?: Putting the first last. Intermediate Technology Publications. Available at: https://www.developmentbookshelf.com/doi/book/10.3362/9781780440453
39. Pretty, J. N. (1995). Participatory learning for sustainable agriculture. World Development, 23(8), 1247-1263. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0305750X9500046F
40. Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A ladder of citizen participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners, 35(4), 216-224. Available at: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01944366908977225
41. Transparency International. (2020). Transparency in AI: A practical toolkit. Available at: https://www.transparency.org/en/publications/transparency-in-ai-a-practical-toolkit
42. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—an ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689-707. Available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5
43. Yin, R. K. (2017). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (6th ed.). SAGE Publications. Available at: https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/case-study-research-and-applications/book250150
44. Goodman, R. M., Speers, M. A., McLeroy, K., Fawcett, S., Kegler, M., Parker, E., ... & Wallerstein, N. (1998). Identifying and defining the dimensions of community capacity to provide a basis for measurement. Health Education & Behavior, 25(3), 258-278. Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/109019819802500303
45. McKnight, J., & Kretzmann, J. (1993). Building communities from the inside out. ACTA Publications. Available at: https://www.abcdinstitute.org/publications/basicmanual/

46. Schuler, D., & Namioka, A. (Eds.). (1993). Participatory design: Principles and practices. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Available at: https://www.routledge.com/Participatory-Design-Principles-and-Practices/Schuler-Namioka/p/book/9780805809510
47. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). Principles of biomedical ethics (8th ed.). Oxford University Press. Available at: https://global.oup.com/academic/product/principles-of-biomedical-ethics-9780190640873
48. Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of innovations (5th ed.). Free Press. Available at: https://www.simonandschuster.com/books/Diffusion-of-Innovations-5th-Edition/Everett-M-Rogers/9780743222099
49. Cousins, J. B., & Earl, L. M. (Eds.). (1995). Participatory evaluation in education: Studies in evaluation use and organizational learning. Falmer Press. Available at: https://www.routledge.com/Participatory-Evaluation-in-Education-Studies-of-Evaluation-Use-and-Organizational/Cousins-Earl/p/book/9780750704595
50. Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation. Oxford University Press. Available at: https://global.oup.com/academic/product/the-knowledge-creating-company-9780195092691