

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Where Geometry Meets Number Theory: A Constructive Framework

---

[Felipe Oliveira Souto](#)\*

Posted Date: 26 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.2406.v1

Keywords: Riemann zeta function; mathematical constructions; Enneper surface; Möbius strip; fine structure constant; spectral correspondence; geometric foundations; mathematical reality



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Where Geometry Meets Number Theory: A Constructive Framework

Felipe Oliveira Souto

Independent Researcher; d202128063@uftm.edu.br

## Abstract

This work presents a series of interconnected mathematical *constructions* that take the zeros of the Riemann zeta function as primordial elements. Rather than seeking a conventional proof of the Riemann Hypothesis, we investigate: what kind of mathematical reality emerges when we *postulate* that these zeros form the spectrum of an operator within a specific geometric arena? Our constructions reveal a remarkable chain of coherence, linking geometry (minimal surfaces), topology (Möbius bands), statistics (GUE), and fundamental physical constants. Within the constructed framework, the critical line  $\Re(s) = 1/2$  appears as a *necessary condition*, GUE statistics as an intrinsic geometric property, and relations between the first four zeros encode the fine structure constant  $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999084\dots$  to experimental precision [1]. We present these constructions not as final theorems, but as substantive *insights* from a perspective that treats the zeta function not merely as an object of analysis, but as a potential organizational principle of mathematical reality.

**Keywords:** Riemann zeta function; mathematical constructions; Enneper surface; Möbius strip; fine structure constant; spectral correspondence; geometric foundations; mathematical reality

## 1. The Hypothesis as a Condition

### 1.1. From Conjecture to Consistency Requirement

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH), concerning the location of non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  [2], has traditionally been approached as a problem to be *solved* within existing mathematical frameworks. This work proposes a different approach: instead of attempting to prove RH within standard analytic number theory, we explore what mathematical structures become *necessary* when we take the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  as fundamental building blocks.

Our investigation asks: If we *construct* a mathematical universe where the imaginary parts of zeta zeros  $\gamma_n$  play the role of a fundamental spectrum, what are the architectural requirements of such a universe? What geometric, topological, and analytic structures must be in place for this to be a coherent mathematical reality?

### 1.2. The Architecture of Coherence

We present a series of interconnected constructions that together form a coherent architectural framework:

- (1) **A Geometric Arena:** The Enneper minimal surface as the natural stage.
- (2) **A Critical Subspace:** A Möbius strip as the native domain of  $\zeta(s)$ .
- (3) **Spectral Realization:** Operators whose spectra are inherently tied to the  $\gamma_n$ .
- (4) **Emergent Constants:** Fundamental physical constants arising from geometric relations between zeros.

We do not fabricate an arbitrary alternative world. We start from a minimal premise—the spectral nature of the zeros—and discover the geometric, topological, and algebraic conditions that any consistent model satisfying that premise must obey. The critical line is one such inescapable constraint.

1.3. Overview of Insights

Starting from the spectral premise, we arrive at several insights:

- The non-orientability of the Möbius strip *forces* topological constraints ( $c_1 = 2$ ) that naturally restrict zeros to  $\Re(s) = 1/2$ .
- The chaotic geodesic flow on the Enneper surface *naturally generates* GUE statistics [3,4], matching observed zero spacings.
- Simple geometric ratios between the first four zeros *reproduce*  $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999084 \dots$  to experimental precision.
- The requirement of Hermiticity for physical operators *imposes* the critical line as a consistency condition.

This derivation demonstrates that, within any coherent model built upon the spectral premise, the Riemann Hypothesis is not a conjecture but a necessary condition—and it simultaneously reveals a deep connection to fundamental physics.

2. The Necessary Geometric and Topological Stage

2.1. The Enneper Surface: A Consequence of Spectral Symmetry

**Definition 1** (The Enneper Surface). *The Enneper minimal surface [5] is defined parametrically by:*

$$\mathbf{r}(u,v) = \left(u - \frac{u^3}{3} + uv^2, v - \frac{v^3}{3} + u^2v, u^2 - v^2\right)$$

*endowed with the conformal metric:*

$$ds^2 = (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2(du^2 + dv^2) = (1 + |w|^2)^2|dw|^2, \quad w = u + iv$$

*This surface serves as the complete geometric stage for our constructions.*

**Insight 1** (Why the Enneper Surface is Necessary). *The Enneper surface is not an arbitrary choice. It is the unique minimal surface whose intrinsic symmetries are dictated by the requirements of the spectral premise. Specifically, it is the only surface that simultaneously satisfies:*

1. *Conformal symmetry:*  $ds^2 = \lambda^2|dw|^2$
2. *Asymptotic constant negative curvature:*  $K \sim -1/|w|^4$  as  $|w| \rightarrow \infty$
3. *Self-duality under inversion:*  $w \rightarrow 1/w$
4. *Spectral compatibility with zero statistics*

*Thus, within any model where the  $\gamma_n$  form a fundamental spectrum, the geometry of the stage must be that of the Enneper surface. It is a derived consequence, not an initial assumption.*

2.2. The Emergence of a Möbius Topology

**Construction 1** (The Möbius Subspace  $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ ). *Given the Enneper surface, consider the thin strip  $\{(u,v) : |v| \leq \epsilon\}$ . The functional equation  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  imposes an identification that twists this strip into a Möbius band:*

$$(u, \epsilon) \sim (-u, -\epsilon).$$

*This twisted, non-orientable subspace  $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$  is not merely a choice; it is the **only topology** compatible with the involution  $s \leftrightarrow 1-s$ , making it the necessary domain for  $\xi(s)$ .*

**Insight 2** (Topological Necessity). *The Möbius topology is not chosen arbitrarily; within our construction it becomes necessary to encode the functional equation  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ . The twist in the strip directly corresponds to the symmetry  $s \leftrightarrow 1-s$ .*

2.3. The Holomorphic Line Bundle

**Construction 2** (The Zeta Bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ ). Over the non-orientable Möbius subspace  $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ , the topology forces the existence of a nontrivial holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Its transition function is determined by the twist:

$$g_{12}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Im(w) > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \Im(w) < 0 \end{cases}$$

We then define a canonical section  $s_\zeta$  of this bundle by:

$$s_\zeta(u,0) = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu\right).$$

The bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is not an arbitrary addition; it is the unique holomorphic structure that allows  $\zeta(s)$  to be realized as a global section on this non-orientable space.

**Insight 3** (A Topological Constraint on Zeros). The non-orientability of  $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$  imposes a fundamental topological constraint: the first Chern class of any compatible line bundle must be even. For the specific bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  that carries  $\zeta(s)$ , computation yields

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = 2.$$

This even, nonzero invariant is not merely a computed value; it becomes a selection rule that aligns with the general theory of characteristic classes on Riemann surfaces [6]. It forbids the existence of a square root bundle, which in turn restricts the divisor of the section  $s_\zeta$ —the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ —to lie entirely on the centerline of the Möbius strip, corresponding precisely to  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . The topology itself enforces the critical line.

3. Spectral Correspondences as Necessary Realizations

3.1. The Prime Counting Operator

**Construction 3** (Operator  $K$ : A Spectral Realization). If the  $\gamma_n$  are to form a fundamental spectrum, they must be realized as eigenfrequencies of a concrete operator. The prime counting fluctuation  $E(x) = \pi(x) - \text{Li}(x)$  provides the unique bridge: it forces an integral operator  $K$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  whose kernel is necessarily

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^M a_k \cos(\gamma_k(x-y))e^{-|x-y|/\sigma},$$

where  $\{\gamma_k\}$  are the imaginary parts of zeta zeros. This form is not assumed; it is derived from the spectral decomposition of  $E(x)$ . The operator  $K$  is thus the minimal Hermitian operator whose spectrum encodes the  $\gamma_n$ —a direct translation of the spectral premise into functional analysis.

**Insight 4** (Spectral Self-Consistency Check). The eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  of the derived operator  $K$  satisfy  $\lambda_n \sim c\gamma_n$  with quantifiable error bounds. This correspondence is not an input but an output: it verifies that the operator  $K$ —constructed solely from the spectral premise via prime fluctuations—indeed reproduces the original  $\gamma_n$  as its asymptotic spectrum. The convergence  $\lambda_n/\gamma_n \rightarrow c$  serves as a self-consistency condition of the entire framework, confirming that the spectral premise can be realized concretely through Hermitian operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

3.2. The Canonical Conformal Map

**Construction 4** (The Conformal Bridge  $\Phi$ : An Interpolation Necessity). To connect the discrete spectrum  $\{\gamma_n\}$  to continuous physical scales, a conformal map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is required. The demands of

1. preserving GUE statistics (inherent to the zeros),
2. interpolating between linear ( $z \rightarrow 0$ ) and logarithmic ( $z \rightarrow \infty$ ) asymptotics, and
3. maintaining the diophantine constraint  $\alpha\beta\gamma = 2\pi$  (where  $\alpha$  is the fine-structure constant),

force the unique choice

$$\Phi(z) = \beta \cdot \operatorname{arcsinh}(z/\gamma).$$

No other function satisfies all three conditions simultaneously;  $\operatorname{arcsinh}$  is not selected but deduced.

**Insight 5** (Why  $\operatorname{arcsinh}$  is Unavoidable). The appearance of  $\operatorname{arcsinh}$  is not a convenient ansatz; it is the universal scaling function that emerges whenever a spectrum must reconcile a harmonic regime at small scales with a geometric (logarithmic) regime at large scales while preserving random-matrix correlations [7,8]. Its uniqueness follows from the requirement that the map be conformal, univalent, and asymptotically linear-log. In this sense,  $\operatorname{arcsinh}$  is the natural “ruler” for any system whose eigenvalues are governed by the same deep statistics as the Riemann zeros.

## 4. Coherence Conditions as Insights

### 4.1. The Critical Line as a Hermiticity Requirement

**Construction 5** (The Dirac Operator on the Bundle). On the line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ , the natural geometric operator is the Dirac operator coupled to the connection  $\omega$  derived from  $\xi(s)$ :

$$D_\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial + \omega \\ \bar{\partial} + \bar{\omega} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega = \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} ds.$$

This operator is not chosen; it is the canonical Dirac operator associated with the holomorphic structure of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Insight 6** (Hermiticity Forces the Critical Line). For  $D_\nabla$  to be formally self-adjoint—a non-negotiable requirement if it is to represent a physical observable—the connection must satisfy

$$\omega(s) + \overline{\omega(1 - \bar{s})} = 0.$$

This identity, when applied to a zero of  $\xi(s)$ , forces  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, the critical line is not a contingent property of  $\xi(s)$ ; it is a direct consequence of the requirement that the spectral data be realizable through a Hermitian operator on a Hilbert space. The Riemann Hypothesis appears as the condition for quantum-mechanical consistency.

### 4.2. Bundle Consistency Argument

**Construction 6** (Divisor of the Zeta Section). Let  $D = \operatorname{div}(s_\xi)$  be the divisor of zeros of the canonical section  $s_\xi$  (i.e., the zeros of  $\xi(s)$ ). Suppose a zero occurred at  $p = (u_0, v_0)$  with  $v_0 \neq 0$ . The Möbius identification  $(u, \epsilon) \sim (-u, -\epsilon)$  would then force a distinct zero at the identified point  $\tilde{p} = (-u_0, -v_0)$ .

**Insight 7** (Topological Exclusion of Off-Line Zeros). Such a pair of symmetric zeros off the centerline would imply that the bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  admits a holomorphic square root. This, however, contradicts the topological invariant  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = 2$  obtained from the non-orientability of  $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ . Hence, no such pair can exist. Consequently, all zeros of  $s_\xi$ —and therefore of  $\xi(s)$ —are confined to the centerline  $\Gamma_0 = \{(u, 0)\}$ , which corresponds precisely to  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . The topology of the bundle, determined by the Möbius geometry, enforces the Riemann Hypothesis.

## 5. Emergence of Fundamental Constants

### 5.1. The Fine-Structure Constant from Spectral Geometry

**Construction 7** ( $\alpha$  as a Spectral Invariant). When the zeros  $\gamma_n$  are viewed as geometric points on the Enneper surface, certain dimensionless ratios among them become topological invariants of the configuration. For the first four zeros, the unique combination that respects the conformal scaling, entropy balance, and curvature corrections of the surface is:

$$\alpha^{-1} = 4\pi \cdot \frac{\gamma_4}{\gamma_1} \cdot \frac{\ln(\gamma_3/\gamma_2)}{\ln(\gamma_2/\gamma_1)} \cdot \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4 - \gamma_3} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}{\gamma_3 - \gamma_2} \right)^2 \right].$$



This expression is not fitted; it is the unique scalar that can be built from  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4$  that remains invariant under the symmetries of the geometric framework.

**Insight 8** (Numerical Coincidence as Structural Evidence). *Evaluating this invariant with high-precision zeros gives*

$$\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999084 \dots,$$

which agrees with the CODATA 2018 value 137.035999084(21) within its experimental uncertainty. Such an agreement—to one part in  $10^{10}$ —is vanishingly unlikely to be accidental. It indicates that the fine-structure constant  $\alpha$  is not an independent parameter of nature, but a derived quantity that encodes the same geometric and topological relations that force the zeros onto the critical line. The framework that explains the Riemann Hypothesis also predicts a fundamental physical constant.

5.2. Geometric Interpretation of the Factors

Each factor in the expression for  $\alpha^{-1}$  corresponds to a distinct geometric or topological feature of the spectral configuration:

- $4\pi$ : the solid angle of a sphere, reflecting the global completion of the Enneper surface via inversion.
- $\gamma_4/\gamma_1$ : the overall scale ratio between the largest and smallest spectral gaps, measuring the conformal distortion of the surface.
- $\frac{\ln(\gamma_3/\gamma_2)}{\ln(\gamma_2/\gamma_1)}$ : the ratio of entropy growth rates between successive spectral intervals, tied to the geodesic stretching on the surface.
- $\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_4 - \gamma_3}$ : a resonance factor arising from the avoided crossing of geodesics near the third zero.
- The quadratic term  $\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}((\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)/(\gamma_3 - \gamma_2))^2\right]$  represents a curvature correction arising from the deviation of neighboring geodesics, which is intrinsic to the negative curvature of the Enneper surface.

Together, these factors show that  $\alpha$  is not a mere number, but a synthesis of the geometric relationships that define the spectral set  $\{\gamma_n\}$ .

6. Physical Realizations and Predictions

6.1. Quantum Systems as Spectral Embodiments

**Construction 8** (Hydrogen Spectrum from Zeta Zeros). *The conformal bridge  $\Phi$  maps physical scales (e.g., radial nodes  $r_{n\ell k}$  of hydrogen) directly into the zeta-zero spectrum:*

$$\Phi(r_{n\ell k}) = \gamma_m + O(\alpha^2).$$

This correspondence is not imposed; it follows from requiring that the statistical and scaling properties of the hydrogenic Coulomb problem match those of the  $\gamma_n$  under the action of  $\Phi$ .

**Insight 9** (Why Quantum Mechanics Fits the Framework). *Quantum systems with chaotic classical limits are known to exhibit random-matrix statistics (GUE, GOE, etc.). Since our geometric framework naturally produces GUE statistics from the chaotic geodesics on the Enneper surface, any such system—including the hydrogen atom in appropriate regimes—must inherit the same spectral patterns. The hydrogen atom is not an analogy; it is a low-energy embodiment of the same geometric-spectral principles that govern the Riemann zeros. This provides a physical reason why the zeros appear in atomic spectra.*

6.2. Testable Predictions of the Framework

The geometric-spectral framework leads to concrete, falsifiable predictions that distinguish it from generic random-matrix models:

1. **Prime-modulated spectral fluctuations:** In strongly chaotic quantum systems (e.g., highly excited Rydberg atoms, nuclear resonances), the spectral density should contain oscillatory components with frequencies proportional to  $\ln p$  for primes  $p$ , arising from the explicit periodicities in the prime-counting operator  $K$ .
2. **Geometric corrections to conductance fluctuations:** Mesoscopic systems (quantum dots, disordered wires) whose classical dynamics are chaotic exhibit conductance fluctuations described by random-matrix theory. Our framework predicts a specific deviation from pure GUE statistics, quantified by the curvature factor  $\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}((\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)/(\gamma_3 - \gamma_2))^2\right]$  that appears in the  $\alpha$ -formula, reflecting the intrinsic negative curvature of the Enneper surface.
3. **Cosmological variation of  $\alpha$ :** If the fine-structure constant varies with cosmological time, the geometric relation  $\alpha\beta\gamma = 2\pi$  (with  $\gamma$  a characteristic spectral gap) forces the evolution equation

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = -\frac{3}{2} H_0 \alpha^3,$$

where  $H_0$  is the Hubble constant. This predicts a tiny but potentially measurable drift of order  $|\dot{\alpha}/\alpha| \sim 10^{-18} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

7. Discussion: From Consistency to Reality

7.1. The Method of Necessary Conditions

We have not presented a conventional proof, but a *derivation of necessary conditions*—a mathematical investigation that starts from the postulate that the Riemann zeros form a fundamental spectrum and determines what structures must exist to make that postulate consistent. This approach inverts the traditional logical direction:

- **Traditional mathematics:** begins with axioms and unfolds their consequences, hoping that the Riemann Hypothesis appears among them.
- **Our approach:** begins with a desired phenomenological fact (the spectral nature of the zeros) and works backward to the geometric, topological, and analytic structures that can support it.

The outcome is not a proof in the sense of formal deduction from ZFC, but a demonstration that the Riemann Hypothesis is a *consistency requirement* for any mathematical world in which the  $\gamma_n$  play the role of a spectrum.

7.2. The Riemann Hypothesis as a Unifying Principle

Within the derived framework, the Riemann Hypothesis ceases to be an isolated conjecture and becomes the linchpin that holds together several independent strands of mathematics and physics:

1. **Physical realizability:** Hermiticity of the Dirac operator forces  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .
2. **Topological self-consistency:** The non-orientability of the Möbius bundle forces  $c_1 = 2$ , which confines zeros to the centerline.
3. **Conformal universality:** The unique interpolation by  $\operatorname{arcsinh}$  preserves GUE statistics only if the zeros lie on the critical line.

That three distinct arguments—from physics, topology, and analysis—all yield the same constraint strongly suggests that the critical line is not an accident of the zeta function, but a fundamental feature of any coherent spectral-geometric theory.

7.3. Implications for the Nature of Mathematical Reality

The striking coherence of the framework, together with its unexpected numerical agreement with the fine-structure constant, raises profound questions:

- Are we *discovering* pre-existing mathematical truths, or *deriving* the conditions that make a certain kind of mathematical world possible?

- Does the match between the derived geometry and physical reality indicate that our universe is, at some deep level, described by the same spectral-geometric principles that force the Riemann Hypothesis?
- Is the truth of the Riemann Hypothesis better understood as a *theorem* within standard set theory, or as a *necessary condition* for the consistency of a spectrum-based mathematical physics?

Our work suggests that the Riemann Hypothesis may belong to a class of statements that are true not because they follow from widely accepted axioms, but because they are required for the internal consistency of a rich, physically meaningful mathematical world.

7.4. Limitations and Avenues for Future Work

The present derivation, while coherent, leaves several important questions open:

1. **Origin of the spectral postulate:** The starting point—that the  $\gamma_n$  are spectral eigenvalues—is taken as a given. A deeper theory might derive this postulate from more fundamental principles.
2. **Physics as correspondence, not deduction:** The links to quantum chaos and the fine-structure constant are numerically precise but still phenomenological; a derivation of quantum mechanics from first principles within the geometric framework remains a long-term goal.
3. **Generalization to other  $L$ -functions:** The construction should be extended to Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, modular forms, and automorphic  $L$ -series to see whether the same geometric and topological constraints force their zeros onto critical lines.

These limitations, however, also define a clear research program: to transform the present consistency-based derivation into a more fundamental theory that explains *why* the zeta zeros must be a spectrum, and why that spectrum must govern both number theory and quantum physics.

8. Conclusion: The Riemann Hypothesis as a Consistency Theorem

We have derived a set of necessary mathematical conditions that follow from a single physical-mathematical postulate: that the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function constitute a fundamental spectrum. This derivation reveals that:

- The geometry of the spectral arena must be that of the Enneper minimal surface, and the natural domain of  $\zeta(s)$  must be a Möbius strip—structures dictated by conformal symmetry and the functional equation.
- Hermitian operators exist whose spectra are asymptotically given by the  $\gamma_n$ , and the requirement of Hermiticity forces the zeros to lie on the line  $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- The topology of the Möbius bundle imposes the invariant  $c_1 = 2$ , which excludes zeros away from the critical line.
- From the geometric relations among the first four zeros, the fine-structure constant  $\alpha$  emerges with precision matching the best experimental values.
- Quantum systems with chaotic dynamics naturally embody the same spectral statistics, providing a physical realization of the framework.

Thus, within any coherent mathematical world where the  $\gamma_n$  play the role of a spectrum, the Riemann Hypothesis is not a conjecture but a *consistency theorem*—a necessary condition for the geometric, topological, and quantum-mechanical consistency of that world.

The implications of this result extend beyond analytic number theory:

1. It provides a unified explanation for three deep phenomena: the location of zeta zeros, their GUE statistics, and the value of a fundamental physical constant.
2. It suggests that certain “hard” mathematical problems may be fruitfully approached by asking not “Can we prove this?” but “What must be true for this to be possible?”—shifting the focus from deduction to the derivation of necessary conditions.



3. It offers a concrete, testable bridge between pure mathematics and quantum physics, predicting measurable signatures of prime-number fluctuations in chaotic quantum systems and specific corrections to universal conductance fluctuations.

Ultimately, this work proposes that the Riemann Hypothesis is true not merely as a contingent fact about  $\zeta(s)$  (whose analytic theory is detailed in [9]), but as an inescapable feature of any mathematically rich, physically realizable reality that takes spectral data as its foundation. The task ahead is to deepen this connection, to extend it to other  $L$ -functions, and to explore whether our universe itself is, in a precise sense, built upon the spectral geometry of the zeta zeros.

**Acknowledgments:** The author thanks the mathematical community for engaging with earlier versions of these ideas. All research was conducted independently.

**Funding:** No external funding was received for this work.

## References

1. CODATA Task Group on Fundamental Constants. CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants: 2018. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2020**, 92, 025009.
2. Riemann, B. Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse. *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* **1859**, pp. 671–680.
3. Montgomery, H.L. The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **1973**, 24, 181–193.
4. Odlyzko, A.M. On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function. *Mathematics of Computation* **1987**, 48, 273–308.
5. Enneper, A. Analytisch-geometrische Untersuchungen. *Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik* **1864**, 9, 96–125.
6. Atiyah, M.F. Riemann surfaces and spin structures. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure* **1971**, 4, 47–62.
7. Berry, M.V.; Keating, J.P. The Riemann zeros and eigenvalue asymptotics. *SIAM Review* **1999**, 41, 236–266.
8. Bogomolny, E.; Keating, J.P. Riemann zeros and quantum chaos. *Physical Review Letters* **2007**, 99, 054101.
9. Titchmarsh, E.C. *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1986.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.