

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Use of Cell Saver in Elective Coronary Bypass Surgery: What Do We Risk When Saving Blood?

[Adem Reyhancan](#)^{*}, Mürsel Büyükdalı, Ertuğrul Koçak, [Orkut Guclu](#), Serhat Hüseyin, Suat Canbaz

Posted Date: 22 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1512.v1

Keywords: cell saver; blood; drainage; albumin; coronary



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Use of Cell Saver in Elective Coronary Bypass Surgery: What Do We Risk When Saving Blood?

Adem Reyhancan *, Mürsel Büyükadalı, Ertuğrul Koçak, Orkut Güçlü, Serhat Hüseyin and Suat Canbaz

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Türkiye
* Correspondence: areyhancan@gmail.com; Tel.: +90-539-745-4443

Abstract: Background/Objectives: Allogeneic transfusion is a commonly used method to replace blood and blood elements lost during cardiac surgery, but it also has quite undesirable effects. The use of Cell Saver is now almost routinely recommended. The aim of this study is to investigate the clinical and laboratory outcomes of the use of Cell Saver in elective CABG. **Methods:** Patients who had undergone elective CABG between January 2022 and October 2024 were retrospectively analyzed, and 344 patients were included in the study. Patients were divided into two groups: Cell Saver used (CS, n=110) and not used (NCS, n=234). The groups were compared in terms of pre- and postoperative clinical and laboratory outcomes. **Results:** The mean age of the cases included in the study was 63.65±9.05 years (340 patients, range 36-87). The mean amount of drainage in the first 6 hours postoperatively was 298.18±155.81 ml in the NCS group and 388.64±173.62 ml in the CS group (p<0.001). In the first 24 hours it was 703.22±320.39 ml in the NCS group and 827.73±344.69 ml in the CS group (p=0.001). Prolonged drainage was more frequent in the CS group (p=0.004) and the length of hospital stay was longer (p=0.014). The postoperative albumin level was lower in the CS group (p=0.003). **Conclusions:** Although the use of Cell Saver reduces the need for allogeneic transfusions, it leads to increased bleeding in the initial period, prolonged drainage and thus to a longer hospital stay. In elective procedures, blood management should be evaluated and optimized using all methods.

Keywords: cell saver; blood; drainage; albumin; coronary

1. Introduction

Coronary artery disease remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality in both developed and developing countries[1]. Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) is one of the most commonly performed procedures in the treatment of coronary artery disease. According to the Society of Thoracic Surgeons report, 65-74% of annual cardiac surgeries are isolated CABGs. Approximately 90% of these operations are performed with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB)[2]. These procedures can result in significant blood loss due to CPB and the type of surgical techniques used[3]. This often necessitates perioperative and postoperative allogeneic blood transfusions. However, allogeneic blood transfusions are associated with several adverse outcomes, including immunomodulation, increased risk of infection, hemolytic reactions and higher healthcare costs[4–6]. Therefore, intraoperative blood management and autologous blood collection have become increasingly important in recent years[7].

The Cell Saver was developed to collect blood spilled during surgery, process it by centrifugation and filtration and return the red blood cell concentrated autologous blood to the patient. This method is a safe and effective means of reducing the need for allogeneic transfusions[8]. Nevertheless, the impact of the use of Cell Saver on postoperative laboratory parameters and clinical outcomes is a topic of ongoing debate.

The aim of this study is to investigate the impact of Cell Saver use on postoperative hematologic and biochemical parameters, transfusion requirements, and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing elective isolated CABG surgery to provide objective data for routine use in clinical practice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. The Population of the Study

All patients who underwent CABG at our center between January 2022 and October 2024 were retrospectively analyzed. Patients with previous cardiac surgery, a permanent pacemaker, chronic atrial fibrillation and known hereditary blood clotting disorders were excluded from the study. In our clinic, P2Y12 inhibitors are discontinued at least 5 days before surgery; factor Xa inhibitors are discontinued at least 72 hours before surgery. Patients who did not fulfill these criteria were also excluded from the study. The study included 344 patients who underwent elective isolated on-pump CABG via median sternotomy. The cases were analyzed in two groups: Patients who underwent surgery during the period when the Cell Saver device and disposable sets were not available at our center were referred to as the No Cell Saver group (NCS, n=244) in our study, and patients who had used a Cell Saver during surgery were referred to as the Cell Saver group (CS, n=110).

2.2. The Use of Cell Saver

All operations were performed via a median sternotomy. In both groups, after systemic heparinization for CPB, the blood in the surgical field was aspirated with a cardiac aspirator only. In the CS group, the cardiac aspirator was not used after completion of CPB and start of protamine infusion. After this phase, the remaining blood in the reservoir of the CPB circuit was transferred to the cell saver (The Sorin EXTRA® autotransfusion system (Livanova, London, UK)). In the NCS group, cardiomy suction was continued until the protamine infusion had reached half the dose. The blood remaining in the reservoir was delivered to the patient via the aortic cannula until the protamine dose was halved, paying attention to systemic arterial pressure and central venous pressure. The blood collected in the Cell Saver Reservoir was processed and reinfused before the patient left the operating theater.

2.3. Data Collection

Demographic data, preoperative risk evaluations (EuroSCORE II), comorbidities, body surface area (BSA) calculations, ejection fraction and the largest measured left atrial diameter of the patients were retrospectively analyzed. The number of grafted vessels, CPB and aortic cross clamping (ACC) time were recorded. Activated clotting time (ACT) values before systemic heparinization, after neutralization with protamine and during ICU admission were recorded. Drainage volumes at the end of the first 6 and 24 hours after surgery were assessed using the intensive care unit (ICU) follow-up charts.

Complete blood count (CBC), coagulation and other biochemical parameters were determined and recorded for each patient on preoperative day 1, day 1 and day 4 after surgery. The number of red blood cell (RBC) transfusions received by the patients during their hospitalization was recorded. Transfusions were performed in cases where the hemoglobin level was <8 gr/dL or <9 gr/dL with anemia symptoms such as hypoxia, hypotension, and tachycardia. Postoperative complications such as pneumonia, prolonged drainage, new-onset atrial fibrillation (NOAF), re-exploration, reintubation, acute kidney failure (AKF), cerebrovascular accident (CVA) and mortality were recorded during the 30-day postoperative period. Prolonged drainage was defined as the inability to remove the chest tube for more than 4 days due to the amount of drainage. The two groups were compared with regard to these outcomes.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software for Windows version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or as number

and frequency. The distribution of variables was measured using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The chi-square test was used to analyze independent qualitative data, and Fisher’s exact test was used when the conditions of the chi-square test were not met. Student’s t-test was used for independent quantitative data when variances were homogeneously distributed. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used when the variances were not homogeneously distributed. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results

The mean age of the cases included in the study was 63.65 ± 9.05 years (340 patients, range 36-87). There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of demographic data and preoperative risk assessments. The proportion of male patients was higher in the CS group (80.9%) than in the NCS group, but no statistically significant difference was found (p<0.083). The mean ejection fraction and left atrial diameter were respectively 54.2 ± 7.4% and 35.9 ± 4.7 mm in the CS group, while they were 53.4 ± 7.8% and 36.7 ± 4.6 mm in the NCS group (p>0.05). When the two groups were compared for the mean number of vessels grafted, no significant difference was found (CS, 3.3 ± 0.8; NCS, 3.2 ± 0.9; p>0.05). CPB and ACC times were similar between the two groups. The demographic data and the comparison of preoperative and intraoperative findings are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Preoperative assessment and surgical data.

	NCS (n= 234)	CS (n= 110)	P
Age (year)	63.7 ± 8.9	63.6 ± 9.3	0.966
BSA (m2)	1.89 ± 0.17	1.89 ± 0.19	0.830
EuroScore II	1.48 ± 0.95	1.46 ± 0.92	0.849
Biological Sex			0.083
Female	65 (27.8%)	21 (19.1%)	
Male	169 (72.2%)	89 (80.9%)	
Comorbidities			
Diabetes Mellitus	112 (47.9%)	52 (47.3%)	0.919
Hypertension	168 (71.8%)	80 (72.7%)	0.857
COPD	14 (6%)	8 (7.3%)	0.826
CVA	18 (7.7%)	9 (8.2%)	>0.99
GFR <50 mL/min	43 (18.4%)	16 (14.5%)	0.468
Ejection Fraction (%)	54.2 ± 7.4	53.4 ± 7.8	0.355
LA diameter (mm)	35.9 ± 4.7	36.7 ± 4.6	0.201
Number of grafted vessels	3.2 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.8	0.431
CPB time (min)	106.16 ± 30.36	102.88 ± 24.74	0.241
ACC time (min)	54.81 ± 17.38	52.80 ± 14.70	0.294

ACC, aortic cross clamp; BSA, body surface area; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; LA, left atrium

In the CS group, the mean amount of blood reinfused into the patients with Cell Saver was 568.25 ± 197.94 (range 160 to 1050 ml). The mean amount of drainage at the end of the first 6 hours after surgery was 298.18 ± 155.81 ml in the NCS group and 388.64 ± 173.62 ml in the CS group. At the end of the first 24 hours, it was 703.22 ± 320.39 ml in the NCS group and 827.73 ± 344.69 ml in the CS group. Both at the end of the first 6 hours and in the first 24 hours after surgery, there was statistically significantly more bleeding in the patients in the CS group (p< 0.001 for the first 6 hours and p= 0.001 for the first 24 hours). Considering the possible incorrect evaluations arising from the differences in BSA of the patients, the drainage amounts were compared again by proportioning them to BSA, and similarly, the amount of bleeding was found to be higher in the CS group patients. On the other hand, it was found that the mean amount of RBC transfusions in the NCS group was 3.82 ± 2.37 bags, while

in the CS group it was 2.95 ± 2.05 bags ($p= 0.001$). Re-exploration due to bleeding was required in 6 (2.6%) patients in the NCS group and 7 (6.4%) patients in the CS group. Although this occurred more frequently in the CS group in percentage terms, no statistically significant difference was found ($p>0.05$).

The mean extubation time was 6.14 ± 4.08 hours in the NCS group and 6.29 ± 3.87 hours in the CS group ($p>0.05$). When comparing the need for reintubation, no significant difference was found between the two groups. Patients in the NCS group stayed in the ICU for 2.4 ± 2.6 days, while patients in the CS group stayed for 2.2 ± 0.7 days ($p>0.05$).

Prolonged drainage was observed in 35 (15.2%) patients in the NCS group and in 31 (28.2%) patients in the CS group. Prolonged drainage was statistically significantly more frequent in the CS group ($p=0.004$). Patients in the NCS group were discharged after 8.5 ± 4.5 days, while this period was 9.9 ± 5.5 days in the CS group. Patients in the CS group had a statistically significantly longer hospital stay ($p=0.014$).

No significant difference was found between the two groups in terms of pneumonia, NOAF, AKF, CVA, rehospitalization and mortality in the 30-day postoperative period.

The comparison of clinical outcomes in the postoperative period is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Clinical results in the postoperative phase.

	NCS (n= 234)	CS (n= 110)	P
Cell Saver Volume (mL)	0	568.25 ± 197.94	< 0.001
Drainage in 6h (mL)	298.18 ± 155.81	388.64 ± 173.62	<0.001
Drainage Index (mL/m2)	159.42 ± 85.13	207.23 ± 95.78	<0.001
Drainage in 24h (mL)	703.22 ± 320.39	827.73 ± 344.69	0.001
Drainage Index(mL/m2)	374.26 ± 172.89	440.54 ± 192.08	0.002
RBC transfusion (bag)	3.82 ± 2.37	2.95 ± 2.05	0.001
Extubation Time (h)	6.14 ± 4.08	6.29 ± 3.87	0.750
Reintubation	8 (3.5%)	6 (5.5%)	0.392
Reexploration	6 (2.6%)	7 (6.4%)	0.126
Prolonged Drainage	35 (15.2%)	31 (28.2%)	0.004
Pneumonia	11 (4.7%)	8 (7.3%)	0.340
NOAF	94 (40.2%)	47 (42.7%)	0.653
AKF	9 (3.9%)	3 (2.8%)	0.759
CVA	3 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	0.554
ICU Stay (day)	2.4 ± 2.6	2.2 ± 0.7	0.470
Hospital Stay (day)	8.5 ± 4.5	9.9 ± 5.5	0.014
Rehospitalization	15 (6.6%)	3 (2.7%)	0.142
Mortality	7 (3%)	0 (0)	0.102

AKF, acute kidney failure; BSA, body surface area; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; ICU, intensive care unit; NOAF, new-onset atrial fibrillation; RBC, red blood cell

ACT levels before systemic heparinization, after protamine neutralization and on admission to the ICU were compared between groups. The time between the last two ACT values corresponds to the time at which the processed waste blood was transfused to the patients in the CS group. In the CS group, the mean ACT was 124.76 ± 15.28 seconds before heparinization, 118.92 ± 12.53 seconds after protamine neutralization and 122.46 ± 13.53 seconds after transfusion of the processed blood. In the NCS group, the mean ACT was 126.01 ± 17.84 seconds before heparinization, 116.79 ± 12.81 seconds after protaminization and 117.25 ± 11.99 seconds on admission to the ICU. A statistically significant increase in ACT was observed after the processed blood transfusion in the CS group ($p< 0.001$).

A mean albumin level of 4.19 ± 0.43 in the NCS group and 4.14 ± 0.45 gr/dl in the CS group was found in the blood samples taken before the operation ($p > 0.05$). On the first postoperative day it was 3.51 ± 0.28 in the NCS group and 3.41 ± 0.32 gr/dl in the CS group; on the fourth postoperative day it was 3.29 ± 0.30 in the NCS group and 3.19 ± 0.29 gr/dl in the CS group. On the first and fourth postoperative day, the albumin level was statistically lower in the CS group ($p = 0.003$).

Mean hemoglobin and hematocrit levels were higher in the CS group before surgery than in the NCS group ($p = 0.039$ for hemoglobin; $p = 0.057$ for hematocrit). On the first postoperative day, there was a more significant statistical difference in the mean hemoglobin and hematocrit values of the patients in the CS group ($p < 0.001$ for both). On the fourth postoperative day, no significant difference was found between the two groups in terms of hemoglobin and hematocrit ($p = 0.316$ for hemoglobin; $p = 0.558$ for hematocrit).

While the platelet count was similar between the two groups in the preoperative CBC ($p > 0.05$), on the first postoperative day it was significantly lower in the CS group ($p = 0.030$). On the fourth postoperative day, no statistically significant difference was found between the two groups.

In the blood samples taken before the operation and on the first and fourth postoperative day, no significant difference was found between the groups in terms of CRP and INR values.

The comparison of the two groups in terms of blood test results is summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison of pre- and postoperative blood test results.

	NCS (n= 234)	CS (n= 110)	P
ACT (sec)			
<i>Preoperative</i>	126.01 \pm 17.84	124.76 \pm 15.28	0.529
<i>Post-protamine</i>	116.79 \pm 12.81	118.92 \pm 12.53	0.149
<i>Admission to the ICU</i>	117.25 \pm 11.99	122.46 \pm 13.53	<0.001
CRP (mg/L)			
<i>Preoperative</i>	16.21 \pm 23.87	17.65 \pm 26.96	0.616
<i>Postoperative 1st day</i>	75.42 \pm 30.88	77.70 \pm 39.43	0.561
<i>Postoperative 4th day</i>	92.38 \pm 43.02	96.18 \pm 48.93	0.467
Albumin (g/dL)			
<i>Preoperative</i>	4.19 \pm 0.43	4.14 \pm 0.45	0.289
<i>Postoperative 1st day</i>	3.51 \pm 0.28	3.41 \pm 0.32	0.003
<i>Postoperative 4th day</i>	3.29 \pm 0.30	3.19 \pm 0.29	0.003
Hemoglobin (g/dL)			
<i>Preoperative</i>	13.12 \pm 1.78	13.54 \pm 1.73	0.039
<i>Postoperative 1st day</i>	9.54 \pm 0.69	9.91 \pm 0.87	<0.001
<i>Postoperative 4th day</i>	10.36 \pm 0.99	10.48 \pm 0.99	0.316
Hematocrit (%)			
<i>Preoperative</i>	38.75 \pm 4.99	39.83 \pm 4.69	0.057
<i>Postoperative 1st day</i>	27.76 \pm 2.32	28.96 \pm 2.59	<0.001
<i>Postoperative 4th day</i>	30.82 \pm 3.06	31.03 \pm 2.97	0.558
Platelet (10 ³ μ L)			
<i>Preoperative</i>	279.74 \pm 83.04	270.27 \pm 76.86	0.313
<i>Postoperative 1st day</i>	218.66 \pm 64.39	203.45 \pm 51.29	0.030
<i>Postoperative 4th day</i>	218.59 \pm 71.29	207.51 \pm 55.21	0.253
INR			
<i>Preoperative</i>	1.016 \pm 0.076	1.009 \pm 0.078	0.453
<i>Postoperative 1st day</i>	1.099 \pm 0.072	1.099 \pm 0.080	0.954
<i>Postoperative 4th day</i>	1.049 \pm 0.083	1.052 \pm 0.111	0.808

ACT, activated clotting time; CRP, C-reactive protein, INR, international normalized ratio

4. Discussion

Allogeneic blood transfusions are a common method to maintain tissue oxygenation and hemostasis at an adequate level, as bleeding is relatively common in cardiac surgery. With the increasing number of studies over the last century and the discovery of the adverse effects of transfusion, new methods have been developed to minimize allogeneic blood transfusion in today's surgical practice. The use of cell savers is one of the most commonly used methods in this context. In our study, we concluded that the use of Cell Saver in elective CABG patients reduces the need for blood transfusion in the postoperative period, but delays clotting in the early period, resulting in more bleeding, prolonged drainage and a longer hospital stay.

In a randomized controlled study by Scarscia et al [9], which is similar to our practice, the blood remaining in the CPB reservoir was processed with Cell Saver and returned to a group of patients. In this group of patients, more bleeding was observed in the postoperative period. This was found to be related to increased fibrinolytic activity after the blood processed with the Cell Saver system was returned. In another randomized controlled trial, it was reported that the use of Cell Saver delayed clot formation and reduced the firmness of the clot, resulting in an increase in bleeding in the initial period.[10] Similarly, many studies have concluded that the use of Cell Saver in the initial phase increases bleeding. [11,12] In a prospective observational study, patients operated with the on-pump, off-pump + Cell Saver and off-pump methods were compared and it was found that the use of Cell Saver induced fibrinolysis as much as CPB. [13] In addition, there are also studies indicating that the use of Cell Saver is not associated with bleeding and actually reduces it. [14–16] In our study, the amount of bleeding was significantly higher in the CS group after the first 6 hours and at the end of 24 hours. A significant difference was observed between ACT times after protamine and at the time of ICU admission in the CS group. Although there are studies suggesting that there is no significant amount of residual heparin in the filtered blood of Cell Saver, it was observed in our study that ACT increased after transfusion. [17]

Another finding that we observed in our study as a reason for the increased bleeding in the CS group was the decrease in platelet count. On the first day after surgery, the platelet count was lower in the CS group than in the NCS group. Similarly, in the study by Campbell et al [10], it was observed that the platelet count in the postoperative period was lower in the cases where Cell Saver was used. In another study, more bleeding and lower platelet counts were observed at the end of the first 6 and 24 hours in cases where Cell Saver was used. [12] Processing the blood remaining in the CPB reservoir with Cell Saver and transfusing it to the patient also leads to a washout and excretion of platelets in the blood. [18]

In the CS group, although the amount of bleeding in the first 24 hours after surgery and the frequency of prolonged drainage in the following days were higher, the hemoglobin level in the early phase was higher and the need for allogeneic transfusions was lower. In our study, the hemoglobin level was significantly higher in patients in the CS group in the preoperative phase ($p=0.039$). However, on the first postoperative day, the statistical difference became more significant ($p<0.001$). This difference in hemoglobin levels between the two groups was no longer observed on the fourth postoperative day. Similar to our study, Al-Mandhari et al [11], reported that bleeding was higher in the cases where cell saver was used, but hemoglobin levels were higher in the early phase. In addition, fewer blood transfusions were required in the cases where Cell Saver was used, despite the high bleeding rate. Damgaard et al [15], found that hemoglobin levels were higher in the cases where Cell Saver was used, although bleeding and blood product requirements were similar. Reyes et al [12], reported that the bleeding rate was higher in the low-risk cases when Cell Saver was used, but there was no difference between the groups in terms of hemoglobin levels and blood consumption. When these three parameters are taken into account, the exact effect of using Cell Saver is controversial.

Cell Saver prepares an RBC-rich suspension by washing the blood from the surgical field and the CPB reservoir with saline. Pillay et al [19], showed that the amount of albumin in pump blood washed with saline was significantly reduced. In our study, the amount of albumin in the blood

samples taken on the first and fourth postoperative day was significantly lower in the CS group than in the NCS group. Hypoalbuminemia in the postoperative period was associated with increased bleeding in the initial period and prolonged hospital stay. [20] In the CS group, we observed both prolonged drainage and prolonged hospitalization. We think that increased bleeding and hypoalbuminemia in the initial phase led to prolonged drainage and thus prolonged hospitalization.

There are studies indicating that blood transfusions are a risk factor for NOAF regardless of their quantity, while there are also studies indicating that they are not a risk factor for NOAF. [21–23] Koçyiğit et al [24], reported that more blood transfusions were performed in cases with NOAF after CABG. It was also found that less NOAF was observed in cases in which cell saver was used than in the cases in which it was not used. There are also studies linking perioperative anemia and allogeneic blood transfusions to an increased incidence of ACF and CVA. [25,26] In our study, no significant difference was found when the CS and NCS groups were compared in terms of the incidence of ACF, CVA and NOAF in the postoperative period.

Limitations of This Study

Due to the retrospective design of this study, there is a risk of selection bias in patient selection and data collection. No propensity score matching was applied to adjust for potential confounding variables between groups, which should be considered a factor that may limit internal validity in between-group comparisons. Although the extent of bleeding in the postoperative period was analyzed, advanced coagulation analyzes such as thromboelastography (TEG) or rotational thromboelastometry (ROTEM) were not used for a detailed assessment of hemostatic function, but only routine laboratory parameters (PT, aPTT, INR, fibrinogen, platelet count, etc.). These methodological limitations require a cautious interpretation of the results obtained and limit the possibility to draw causal conclusions.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we believe that although the use of Cell Saver in elective CABG procedures results in fewer allogeneic transfusions, it increases bleeding in the postoperative period and consequently leads to prolonged drainage and a longer hospital stay. In elective CABG procedures, where relatively low intraoperative bleeding is expected compared to other cardiac surgical procedures, the most appropriate method for the patient should be considered along with other blood management techniques to reduce the need for transfusion. Blood management is a sensitive issue that should be evaluated in its entirety before, during and after surgery.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.R.; methodology, A.R. and O.G.; formal analysis, A.R. and O.G.; investigation, A.R., M.B. and E.K.; data curation, M.B. and E.K.; writing—original draft preparation, A.R.; writing—review and editing, S.H. and O.G.; visualization, S.H.; supervision, S.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Non-Interventional Scientific Research Ethics Committee, Trakya University Faculty of Medicine (protocol code 2023-58).

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

ACC	Aortic cross clamping
AKF	Acute kidney failure
ACT	Activated clotting time
CABG	Coronary artery bypass grafting
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CPB	Cardiopulmonary bypass
CRP	C-reactive protein
CVA	Cerebrovascular accident
GFR	Glomerular filtration rate
INR	International normalized ratio
LA	Left atrium
NOAF	New-onset atrial fibrillation
RBC	Red blood cell

References

1. Malakar AK, Choudhury D, Halder B, Paul P, Uddin A, Chakraborty S. A review on coronary artery disease, its risk factors, and therapeutics. *J Cell Physiol.* Aug 2019;234(10):16812-16823. doi:10.1002/jcp.28350
2. Kim KM, Arghami A, Habib R, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database: 2022 Update on Outcomes and Research. *Ann Thorac Surg.* Mar 2023;115(3):566-574. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2022.12.033
3. Shander A, Moskowitz D, Rijhwani TS. The safety and efficacy of "bloodless" cardiac surgery. *Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* Mar 2005;9(1):53-63. doi:10.1177/108925320500900106
4. Crescenzi G, Torracca L, Capestro F, Matteucci ML, Rossi M. Allogenic blood transfusion in cardiac surgery. *J Card Surg.* Sep 2012;27(5):594-9. doi:10.1111/j.1540-8191.2012.01522.x
5. Gaffney AM, Sladen RN. Acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* Feb 2015;28(1):50-9. doi:10.1097/ACO.0000000000000154
6. Liu S, Li Z, Liu Z, Hu Z, Zheng G. Blood transfusion and risk of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Mar 2018;97(10):e9700. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000009700
7. Raphael J, Mazer CD, Subramani S, et al. Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists Clinical Practice Improvement Advisory for Management of Perioperative Bleeding and Hemostasis in Cardiac Surgery Patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* Nov 2019;33(11):2887-2899. doi:10.1053/j.jvca.2019.04.003
8. Wang G, Bainbridge D, Martin J, Cheng D. The efficacy of an intraoperative cell saver during cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Anesth Analg.* Aug 2009;109(2):320-30. doi:10.1213/ane.0b013e3181aa084c
9. Scrascia G, Rotunno C, Nanna D, et al. Pump blood processing, salvage and re-transfusion improves hemoglobin levels after coronary artery bypass grafting, but affects coagulative and fibrinolytic systems. *Perfusion.* Jul 2012;27(4):270-7. doi:10.1177/0267659112442236
10. Campbell J, Holland C, Richens D, Skinner H. Impact of cell salvage during cardiac surgery on the thrombelastometric coagulation profile: a pilot study. *Perfusion.* May 2012;27(3):221-4. doi:10.1177/0267659111432567
11. Al-Mandhari S, Maddali MM, Al-Bahrani MJ. Cell salvage during coronary artery bypass surgery and allogenic blood exposure. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann.* Oct 2015;23(8):913-6. doi:10.1177/0218492315592788
12. Reyes G, Prieto M, Alvarez P, et al. Cell saving systems do not reduce the need of transfusion in low-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* Feb 2011;12(2):189-93. doi:10.1510/icvts.2010.251538
13. Vymazal T, Filaun M, Horacek M. Impact of retransfusion of blood processed in cell-saver on coagulation versus cardiopulmonary bypass: a prospective observational study using thromboelastography. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub.* Mar 2015;159(1):131-4. doi:10.5507/bp.2013.012
14. Tachias F, Samara E, Petrou A, et al. The Effect of Cell Salvage on Bleeding and Transfusion Needs in Cardiac Surgery. *Anesthesiol Res Pract.* 2022;2022:3993452. doi:10.1155/2022/3993452

15. Damgaard S, Nielsen CH, Andersen LW, Bendtzen K, Tvede M, Steinbrüchel DA. Cell saver for on-pump coronary operations reduces systemic inflammatory markers: a randomized trial. *Ann Thorac Surg.* May 2010;89(5):1511-7. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2010.02.003
16. Vonk AB, Meesters MI, Garnier RP, et al. Intraoperative cell salvage is associated with reduced postoperative blood loss and transfusion requirements in cardiac surgery: a cohort study. *Transfusion.* Nov 2013;53(11):2782-9. doi:10.1111/trf.12126
17. Vieira SD, da Cunha Vieira Perini F, de Sousa LCB, et al. Autologous blood salvage in cardiac surgery: clinical evaluation, efficacy and levels of residual heparin. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.* Jan-Mar 2021;43(1):1-8. doi:10.1016/j.htct.2019.08.005
18. Djaiani G, Fedorko L, Borger MA, et al. Continuous-flow cell saver reduces cognitive decline in elderly patients after coronary bypass surgery. *Circulation.* Oct 23 2007;116(17):1888-95. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.107.698001
19. Pillay K, Perumal S. Intraoperative Cell Saving: Is the Solution the Actual Problem? *J Extra Corpor Technol.* Mar 2021;53(1):62-67. doi:10.1182/ject-2000047
20. Berbel-Franco D, Lopez-Delgado JC, Putzu A, et al. The influence of postoperative albumin levels on the outcome of cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* May 11 2020;15(1):78. doi:10.1186/s13019-020-01133-y
21. Koch CG, Li L, Van Wagoner DR, Duncan AI, Gillinov AM, Blackstone EH. Red cell transfusion is associated with an increased risk for postoperative atrial fibrillation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* Nov 2006;82(5):1747-56. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.05.045
22. Whitson BA, Huddleston SJ, Savik K, Shumway SJ. Bloodless cardiac surgery is associated with decreased morbidity and mortality. *J Card Surg.* Sep-Oct 2007;22(5):373-8. doi:10.1111/j.1540-8191.2007.00428.x
23. Vlahou A, Diplaris K, Ampatzidou F, Karagounnis L, Drossos G. The Role of Blood Transfusion in the Development of Atrial Fibrillation after Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* Dec 2016;64(8):688-692. doi:10.1055/s-0036-1587699
24. Koçyiğit M, Koçyiğit Ö I, Güllü A, Şenay Ş, Alhan C. Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation Reduced by Intraoperative and Postoperative Cell Saver System in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *Türk J Anaesthesiol Reanim.* Jun 2022;50(3):173-177. doi:10.5152/tjar.2022.21121
25. Brascia D, Garcia-Medina N, Kinnunen EM, Tauriainen T, Airaksinen J, Biancari F. Impact of transfusion on stroke after cardiovascular interventions: Meta-analysis of comparative studies. *J Crit Care.* Apr 2017;38:157-163. doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2016.11.004
26. Karkouti K. Transfusion and risk of acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth.* Dec 2012;109 Suppl 1:i29-i38. doi:10.1093/bja/aes422

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.