

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Preventive Methods to Reduce The Risk of Gestational Diabetes Onset: A Narrative Literature Review

Sara Caccia , Alessandra Valsecchi , [Daniela Cattani](#) , Diego Lopane , Alberto Gibellato , [Marco Sguanci](#) , [Stefano Mancin](#) ^{*} , [Simone Cosmai](#) ^{*} , [Fabio Petrelli](#) , [Giovanni Cangelosi](#) , [Beatrice Mazzoleni](#)

Posted Date: 6 December 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202412.0555.v1

Keywords: gestational diabetes, prevention; diet; physical activity; nutritional supplements; narrative review



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Review

Preventive Methods to Reduce the Risk of Gestational Diabetes Onset: A Narrative Literature Review

Sara Caccia ^{1,†}, Alessandra Valsecchi ^{1,†}, Daniela Cattani ^{1,2}, Diego Lopane ^{1,2}, Alberto Gibellato ¹, Marco Sguanci ³, Stefano Mancin ^{1,2,*}, Simone Cosmai ^{1,*}, Fabio Petrelli ⁴, Giovanni Cangelosi ^{5,‡} and Beatrice Mazzoleni ^{1,‡}

¹ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Via Rita Levi Montalcini 4, 20090 Pieve Emanuele, Milan, Italy

² IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, via Manzoni 56, 20089 Rozzano, Milan, Italy

³ A.O. Polyclinic San Martino Hospital, Largo R. Benzi 10, 16132 Genova, Italy

⁴ School of Pharmacy, Polo Medicina Sperimentale e Sanità Pubblica, 62032 Camerino, Italy

⁵ Unit of Diabetology, Asur Marche, Area Vasta 4, 63900 Fermo, Italy

* Correspondence: stefano.mancin@humanitas.it (S.M.); simone.cosmai@hunimed.eu (S.C.)

† Sara Caccia and Alessandra Valsecchi contributed equally as first authors.

‡ Giovanni Cangelosi and Beatrice Mazzoleni contributed equally as last authors.

Abstract: *Background/Objectives:* Gestational diabetes (GD), affecting approximately 15% of pregnancies globally, presents significant risks to both maternal and fetal health. This highlights the urgent need for effective prevention and management strategies. This review aims to synthesize existing literature to identify and evaluate effective interventions for GD prevention. *Methods:* A narrative review was conducted in September 2024, searching four biomedical databases: PubMed-Medline, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library. *Results:* Eleven studies were included, encompassing systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and narrative reviews. Key findings indicate that dietary interventions, especially the Mediterranean diet (risk reduction of 15%-38%), and physical activity (28%-36% risk reduction) are among the most effective strategies for preventing GD. Supplementation with probiotics and myo-inositol has also demonstrated significant reductions in GD risk, with up to 60% risk reduction for probiotics and 50%-70% for myo-inositol. Pharmacological interventions, such as metformin, show promise but require further evaluation for safety and efficacy in pregnancy. *Conclusions:* Interventions such as dietary modifications, physical activity, and supplementation with probiotics and myo-inositol are effective in preventing GD. However, further research is needed to establish standardized protocols, especially regarding pharmacological treatments and long-term outcomes for both mothers and infants.

Keywords: gestational diabetes; prevention; diet; physical activity; nutritional supplements; narrative review

1. Introduction

Gestational Diabetes (GD) is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance that occurs during pregnancy or is first recognized during pregnancy. The optimal blood glucose concentration values for diagnosing GD remain controversial [1–7]. The prevalence of GD varies globally and affects approximately 15% of pregnant women [7–13]. Typically, GD is detected between the 13th and 26th week of gestation or early in the third trimester of pregnancy [14]. With the implementation of screening programs, GD is often diagnosed before symptoms appear. Although many women remain asymptomatic, signs and symptoms of hyperglycemia, such as polyuria, polydipsia, blurred vision, and fatigue, may be observed when GD is either undetected or poorly controlled [15].

Universal screening for GD is recommended between the 24th and 28th week of gestation, typically involving an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) with 75 grams of glucose, assessing fasting, 1-hour, and 2-hour blood glucose levels. A single value above the threshold during any point of the

OGTT is sufficient to establish a diagnosis [16]. Maintaining normal blood glucose levels during pregnancy is essential to prevent both short- and long-term adverse pregnancy outcomes [17].

The presence of GD during pregnancy increases the risk of premature labor, cesarean section, hypertensive disorders, preeclampsia, and recurrence of GD in subsequent pregnancies [18]. Fetal consequences include macrosomia (birth weight greater than 4000g or 4500g), which can lead to adverse maternal outcomes such as uterine rupture and perineal trauma [19]. Additionally, macrosomia may cause birth-related trauma, including shoulder dystocia, nerve paralysis, and fractures. GD is also associated with neonatal hypoglycemia (blood glucose levels <45mg/dL), hyperbilirubinemia (elevated bilirubin levels around 2-3mg/dL), polycythemia (elevated hemoglobin levels >16g/dL), hypocalcemia (blood calcium levels <8.8mg/dL), and respiratory distress syndrome [17].

In the years following pregnancy, women with GD are at increased risk for developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D), cardiovascular disease, and metabolic syndrome, while offspring are more likely to experience childhood obesity, cardiovascular diseases, developmental disorders, neurological distress, and diabetes [20]. In the neonatal period, the risks of respiratory distress syndrome, jaundice, and hypoglycemia are elevated [21–26]. Long-term health consequences for children include obesity, diabetes, metabolic syndrome [27,28], and adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes [29–31]. Several factors increase the risk of GD, with advanced maternal age and maternal overweight (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) or obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) being the most common [32]. Other risk factors [14] include non-modifiable ones such as ethnicity (Hispanic or Asian), a history of GD in previous pregnancies, a history of delivering a macrosomal infant, family history of T2D, and conditions linked to insulin resistance, such as polycystic ovary syndrome. Modifiable factors, often related to lifestyle, include physical inactivity, poor diet quality, and excessive weight gain during pregnancy (gestational weight gain).

Poor diet quality, including low dietary fiber intake, high glycemic index foods, and increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, has been implicated in the risk of GD [20]. A higher risk of GD is also associated with lower levels of physical activity and sedentary behavior, particularly in early pregnancy [20]. Interventions to prevent GD have been implemented before conception, during pregnancy, and between pregnancies (inter-conceptual). These interventions include dietary modifications, physical activity, dietary supplementation, and pharmacological approaches.

This narrative review aims to synthesize the existing literature to understand effective interventions for the prevention of GD and possible complications.

2. Methods

2.1. Narrative Review Methodology and Search Strategy

A narrative review was conducted following the reporting guideline of Green [33]. This literature review was driven by the following research question: *What are the effective interventions for preventing GD and possible complications?*

The bibliographical search was carried out in September 2024, interviewing four biomedical databases MEDLINE (PubMed), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), EMBASE and Cochrane Library, using the keywords “Diabetes, Gestational”, “Primary Prevention”, “Diet, Exercise”, “Risk Factors”, “Life Style”, opportunely combined by Boolean operators (Annex 1).

Studies published from 2014 to 2024, and full text articles were considered. The studies included were those that addressed the research question and had the following characteristics: full-text available, and belonging to the secondary literature (meta-analyses, systematic reviews).

2.2. Formulation of the Research Question

The research question for this study was developed using the PICO tool [34]. Three main aspects of PICO strategy were included in this review:

P = pregnant women and GD;

I = probiotics;

O = prevention of GD and possible complications.

2.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The selection of studies was conducted based on several inclusion criteria. Specifically, studies had to address the research question, focus on identifying effective interventions for the prevention of gestational diabetes, and include only systematic reviews and meta-analyses as secondary literature. Additionally, documents written in languages other than English were considered, with no geographical limitations imposed on the studies. Conversely, the exclusion criteria ruled out studies that did not answer the research question. Studies involving obese women or women already diagnosed with diabetes or gestational diabetes were also excluded.

2.4. Data Extraction and Synthesis

The data extraction process was conducted by two researchers utilizing EndNote 20 software [35]. The following information was systematically extracted: Author, Year, Objective, Study Design, Main Interventions, and Main Outcomes. The results were presented as a narrative synthesis, supported by tables for enhanced clarity and detail.

3. Results

Through a comprehensive search across the Medline/PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and CINAHL Complete databases, a total of 45 studies were identified as potentially relevant to the research question. Following an initial screening, 31 duplicate records were removed, leaving 14 studies that appeared relevant based on title and abstract. After a full-text review, eight systematic literature reviews (two with meta-analysis) two narrative literature reviews included [36–45].

The results of the narrative reviews on interventions for preventing GD are summarized in Table 1. This table outlines the key studies included in the narrative review on GD prevention, detailing the objectives, study designs, interventions, and main outcomes, along with the essential statistical data.

Table 1. Summary of Studies Evaluating the Effects of Interventions for Preventing GDM and Related Outcomes.

| Author, Year | Objective | Study Design | Main Interventions | Main Outcomes |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--|
| Kouiti et al [42], 2022 | To assess diet and physical activity for preventing GD | SR | MedDiet, aerobic and resistance exercises, combinations | MedDiet: OR = 0.66. Physical activity: significant reduction in GD risk. Combinations less effective. Probiotics: OR = 0.57; Physical activity: OR = 0.64. |
| Tang et al [43], 2022 | To analyze five types of interventions for preventing GD | SR with Meta-analysis | Probiotics, diet, physical activity, myo-inositol, combinations | Less certainty for diet (OR = 0.82) and myo-inositol (OR = 0.77). Mediterranean diet: 17.1% reduction in GD risk (p = 0.012). |
| Mierzyński et al [44], 2021 | To examine dietary strategies and supplements | NR | MedDiet, probiotics, myo-inositol, vitamin D | Probiotics: GD incidence reduced from 36% to 13% (p = 0.003). Myo-inositol: RR = 0.127 (p = 0.001). |
| Popova et al [36], 2021 | To explore the role of the Mediterranean diet | SR | MedDiet with olive oil and nuts | Reduction in GD risk by 15% to 38%. Overweight |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| Griffith et al [45], 2020 | Synthesis of reviews to analyze five types of interventions for preventing GD | SR | Diet, physical activity, myo-inositol, vitamin D | women: RR = 0.39 (p < 0.05). Myo-inositol: RR = 0.43. Vitamin D: RR = 0.50. No benefit for omega-3 (RR = 1.00). Mediterranean diet: 15%-38% reduced risk. Moderate physical activity: 21% reduced risk (p = 0.03). Probiotics: GD incidence reduced to 13% (p = 0.003). Myo-inositol: RR = 0.127 (p = 0.001). Low GI diet: 33% risk reduction (RR = 0.67). Probiotics and myo-inositol: RR = 0.40 (p < 0.01). 28% reduced risk of GD (RR = 0.72; p = 0.005). Low heterogeneity (p = 0.33). Probiotics: 60% reduced GD risk. Myo-inositol: significant benefit (2-4 g/day). |
| Mijatovic-Vukas et al [39], 2018 | To study diet and physical activity interventions | SR with Meta-analysis | MedDiet, plant-based proteins, physical exercise | |
| Donazar-Ezcurra et al [37], 2017 | To investigate the role of nutritional factors | SR | Probiotics, myo-inositol, MedDiet | |
| Rogozińska et al [38], 2015 | To evaluate dietary and combined approaches | SR with Meta-analysis | Low GI diet, probiotics, myo-inositol | |
| Russo et al [41], 2015 | To examine the effects of physical activity | SR and Meta-analysis | Aerobic, resistance, combined exercises | |
| Simmons [40], 2015 | To analyze lifestyle interventions | NR | Diet, physical activity, probiotics, metformin | |

Legend. GD = Gestational Diabetes; OR = Odds Ratio; RCTs = Randomized Controlled Trials; RR = Relative Risk; GI = Glycemic Index. MedDiet = Mediterranean Diet, SR = Systematic Review; NR = Narrative Review.

3.1. Diet

Dietary modifications represent a cornerstone intervention in the prevention of GD. Among these, the Mediterranean Diet (MedDiet)—which emphasizes high consumption of extra virgin olive oil, nuts, legumes, fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, with moderate intake of fish and limited consumption of meat and refined sugars—has emerged as one of the most promising strategies. Systematic studies consistently indicate that adherence to the MedDiet reduces the risk of GD by 15% to 38% [36,37]. Similarly, low glycemic index (GI) diets have demonstrated significant efficacy in reducing GD risk by lowering insulin resistance [38]. Moreover, replacing animal proteins with plant-based proteins has been associated with a 51% reduction in GD risk, whereas increased intake of saturated fats and cholesterol correlates with a higher risk of GD [39]. Additionally, moderate consumption of fruit juices and low-fat milk has been linked to a decreased risk of developing GD [39]. However, it is important to note that some studies highlight the heterogeneity of the evidence. Differences in dietary protocols, intervention durations, and the characteristics of study populations complicate the identification of a standardized dietary approach [40].

3.2. Physical Activity

Physical activity during pregnancy has been shown to offer significant benefits in preventing GD. Specifically, aerobic exercises, such as walking, cycling, and aquatic aerobics, performed at moderate intensity, are associated with a 28%-36% reduction in GD risk compared to the control group [41,42]. According to guidelines, a regular exercise regimen of at least 150 minutes per week appears sufficient to achieve a protective effect [39]. Furthermore, exercise programs that incorporate muscle training, stretching, and balance exercises have been found to further improve outcomes. Physical activity contributes not only to energy expenditure but also to better glucose utilization and enhanced insulin sensitivity [43]. Despite these well-documented benefits, uncertainty remains regarding the optimal intensity and duration of interventions [40].

3.3. Combination of Diet and Physical Activity

The combination of dietary modifications and physical activity interventions has yielded mixed results in terms of effectiveness. Some studies have reported a significant reduction in GD risk (up to 39%) when compared to standard care [44]. However, other analyses suggest that the combined approach does not offer greater benefits than separate dietary or physical activity interventions [42]. These discrepancies may be explained by challenges in adherence to combined protocols and variability in the characteristics of the populations studied [38].

3.4. Nutritional Supplements

The use of nutritional supplements, particularly probiotics, has shown promise in preventing GD. Strains such as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG and *Bifidobacterium lactis* Bb12 have been found to reduce GDM risk by up to 60%, particularly when combined with intensive dietary counseling [37,38]. Probiotics appear to improve insulin sensitivity by modulating the gut microbiota, which plays a crucial role in metabolic health [43]. Additionally, myo-inositol supplementation, often in combination with 200–400 µg of folic acid, has been shown to significantly reduce the risk of GD by 50%-70% [37,45]. Myo-inositol enhances insulin sensitivity and reduces insulin resistance, contributing to improved glucose metabolism. Furthermore, observational and randomized controlled trials have suggested that vitamin D, administered in weekly doses ranging from 1,400 to 30,000 IU, may reduce the risk of GD by 20%-29% [45]. However, the evidence remains inconclusive, and further studies are needed to clarify the long-term effects of these supplements.

3.5. Pharmacological Strategies

Among pharmacological agents, metformin has been studied as a potential intervention to prevent GD, yielding moderately positive results. At doses of 2–3 g per day, metformin has demonstrated beneficial effects, particularly in obese or overweight women; however, these effects did not reach statistical significance [40,45]. It is essential to note that pharmacological agents are not without risks, and their safety profile, especially with respect to maternal and fetal health, warrants careful consideration. This includes potential concerns regarding the possibility of placental transfer of medications.

4. Discussion

The aim of this review was to synthesize the existing literature to understand effective interventions for the prevention of GD. The results underscore the importance of various preventive strategies, including dietary modifications, physical activity, probiotics, myo-inositol supplementation, vitamin D, and pharmacological approaches such as the use of metformin. Specifically, the MedDiet has been linked to a reduction in the risk of GD by 15% to 38% [36,37], and similar benefits have been observed in other chronic diseases. For example, adopting a diet rich in fiber and low in saturated fats is associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular diseases [46], while omega-3 fatty acids, found in foods such as fatty fish and nuts, help reduce systemic inflammation, contributing to the prevention of metabolic diseases [47]. Additionally, a low GI diet has been suggested to improve insulin sensitivity, even in non-diabetic individuals, which could benefit the management of T2D [48]. Furthermore, replacing animal proteins with plant-based ones has shown potential in reducing the risk of developing GD [49,50].

In addition to dietary interventions, moderate physical activity has proven effective in reducing the risk of GD by 28% to 36% [41,42], with similar benefits documented for other chronic conditions. Regular exercise helps control body weight, enhances insulin sensitivity, and reduces the risk of developing T2D [51–53]. It also positively impacts cardiovascular health by lowering blood pressure and improving the lipid profile [47]. Moreover, moderate physical activity can significantly reduce the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, contributing to long-term heart health [54]. Studies have also indicated that physical activity helps lower the risk of certain cancers, such as breast and colon cancer, while improving the overall quality of life in pregnant women [51].

Probiotic supplementation has also shown positive effects in GD prevention, reducing the risk by up to 60% when combined with intensive dietary counseling [37, 38]. Probiotics have similarly benefited other chronic diseases, with research showing that they can reduce intestinal inflammation in chronic inflammatory bowel diseases [55]. Furthermore, probiotics help modulate immune responses, which leads to a lower incidence of respiratory infections. Specific strains, such as *Lactobacillus Rhamnosus* and *Bifidobacterium lactis*, have demonstrated effectiveness in treating allergic rhinitis by reducing symptoms and nasal inflammation [56]. In addition to these benefits, probiotics have been studied for their potential in improving the management of T2D, by helping to lower blood glucose levels and enhancing gut function [57]. Myo-inositol supplementation has also shown a significant reduction in GD risk, ranging from 50% to 70% [37,45], with similar effects observed in other metabolic conditions. Myo-inositol intake is known to improve insulin sensitivity and reduce the risk of polycystic ovary syndrome [58]. Similarly, vitamin D supplementation, administered in varying doses, has been shown to reduce the risk of GD by 20% to 29% [45]. Research has also highlighted the benefits of vitamin D in other chronic conditions, including osteoporosis and bone fractures [59]. Furthermore, vitamin D plays a role in modulating the immune system, which contributes to a lower incidence of autoimmune diseases [60], and some studies suggest the potential to reduce cancer risk and response to treatments by enhancing immune responses and reducing systemic inflammation [61–63]. The use of metformin for GD prevention has also shown promising results, particularly in obese or overweight women [40,45]. Metformin has similar benefits in other metabolic conditions, as it improves insulin sensitivity and reduces hepatic glucose production in the treatment of T2D [64]. Additionally, metformin positively impacts the lipid profile, lowering total cholesterol and Low Density Lipoproteins (LDL) levels [65]. Research has also indicated that metformin may provide protective effects against cardiovascular diseases, reducing the incidence of heart attacks strokes and Covid-19 complications in patients with T2D [66,67]. Current evidence suggests that the use of metformin during pregnancy, particularly for the prevention of GD, is generally considered safe but requires caution and monitoring. Several studies have indicated that metformin is not associated with a significant increase in congenital malformations or adverse effects on fetal development [68,69]. Metformin has been successfully used in the management of T2D during pregnancy, but its safety during pregnancy for GD prevention is still a subject of discussion [69]. However, it is important to note that metformin can cross the placenta, and therefore careful evaluation of the risks and benefits is necessary [70].

4.1. Future Developments and Applications in Clinical Practice

Future developments in the prevention of GD should focus on the personalization of interventions. For instance, combining nutritional approaches with genetic analysis could help identify women who are more susceptible to GD and prescribe more targeted treatment plans. A personalized nutritional approach, based on variables such as genetics, metabolic profile, and gut microbiome, could optimize preventive outcomes, significantly reducing the incidence of GD [71]. Several studies have suggested that an individualized approach, considering both genetic and nutritional factors, may improve clinical outcomes in various medical fields, including diabetes management [71,72].

Furthermore, the use of modern technologies, such as smartphone apps and wearable devices for glucose monitoring, could enhance patient compliance and facilitate continuous monitoring of risk factors. Mobile technologies have been successfully applied for remote monitoring of health conditions in pregnant women, allowing for timely and personalized interventions. Recent studies have shown that the use of health tracking apps increases adherence to lifestyle and diet changes in many chronic diseases, and provides continuous data that help adjust preventive strategies and social

support [73–77]. The integration of wearable devices, such as glucose sensors, could allow patients to monitor their glucose levels in real time, improving the effectiveness of preventive measures and facilitating the management of GD risk and other form of diabetes [78,79].

Public health policies should also be adapted to promote healthy lifestyles among women of reproductive age. Awareness campaigns on GD risk, accompanied by practical guidelines on diet, physical activity, and health monitoring, could significantly reduce the incidence of GD. Promoting targeted nutritional policies that encourage healthy eating and increased physical activity in vulnerable populations is essential to address the rising incidence of GD. The dissemination of educational programs on GD prevention could be an important step in reducing the prevalence of the condition in the future [80].

4.2. Limitations of the Review

This review has several limitations. First, the variability in the study designs included, as well as the differences in intervention protocols, may influence the interpretation of the results. Another limitation concerns the variable quality of the studies on probiotics, where the selection of bacterial strains and dosages used are not always homogeneous. Finally, most of the studies did not explore the long-term effects of the interventions, limiting our ability to assess the sustainability of the results over time.

5. Conclusions

The interventions examined in this review, including dietary modifications, physical activity, probiotics, myo-inositol supplementation, vitamin D, and pharmacological approaches such as metformin, all show promise in the prevention of GD. These strategies have demonstrated varying degrees of effectiveness, with dietary changes, especially the Mediterranean diet, and physical activity emerging as particularly beneficial in reducing GD risk. The integration of probiotics and myo-inositol supplementation also presents a potential avenue for preventing GD, although further research is needed to standardize treatment protocols and optimize their use.

While pharmacological interventions like metformin may offer benefits, particularly for high-risk populations, their use must be carefully considered due to potential side effects and the need for long-term safety data. The importance of a personalized, multi-disciplinary approach cannot be overstated, as tailoring interventions to individual needs based on genetic, metabolic, and lifestyle factors may further enhance their effectiveness.

Future research should focus on standardizing intervention protocols, examining the long-term sustainability of these preventive measures, and exploring new technologies, such as mobile health apps and wearable devices, to improve adherence and real-time monitoring of risk factors. Public health initiatives should also be adapted to emphasize prevention and early intervention in high-risk populations, further reducing the incidence of GD.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.C., A.V. and S.C.; methodology, Conceptualization, S.C., A.V. and S.C.; software, S.C., S.M. validation, A.G., D.C., D.L. and M.S.; formal analysis, S.C., S.M.; investigation, S.C., A.V.; writing—original draft preparation, S.C., A.V., S.C.; writing—review and editing, A.G., D.C., D.L., M.S., S.M., F.P., G.C., B.M.; visualization, A.G., D.C., D.L., M.S., S.M., F.P., G.C., B.M.; supervision, S.C, S.M, G.C.; project administration, B.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. S.C. and A.V. contributed as first author to the manuscript; G.C. and B.M. contributed as last author to the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The data from this narrative review are made available in full in the text of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A. Search Strategy

| Database | Search Strategy | Documents Found | Documents Selected |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----|---|
| Medline PubMed | Search Strategy #1: ("Diabetes, Gestational"[Mesh]) AND "Primary Prevention"[Mesh] | 26 | 1 |
| Medline PubMed | Search Strategy #2: ("Diabetes, Gestational"[Mesh]) AND "Diet, Healthy"[Mesh] | 57 | 3 |
| Medline PubMed | Search Strategy #3: (("Diabetes, Gestational"[Mesh]) AND "Diet"[Mesh]) AND "Exercise"[Mesh] | 117 | 5 |
| Medline PubMed | Search Strategy #4: (("Diabetes, Gestational"[Mesh]) AND "Risk Factors"[Mesh]) AND "Life Style"[Mesh] | 98 | 2 |
| Medline PubMed | Search Strategy #5: (("Diabetes, Gestational"[Mesh]) AND "Diet"[Mesh]) AND "Life Style"[Mesh] | 133 | 4 |
| Embase | Search Strategy #1: 'Gestational diabetes': ti,ab,kw AND 'Primary prevention': ti,ab,kw | 109 | 2 |
| Embase | Search Strategy #2: 'Gestational diabetes': ti,ab,kw AND 'Nutrition': ti,ab,kw AND 'Prevention': ti,ab,kw | 193 | 4 |
| The Cochrane Library | Search Strategy #1: "Gestational diabetes" in Title Abstract Keyword AND "Prevention" in Title Abstract Keyword | 13 | 1 |
| Cinhal Complete | Search Strategy #1: TI Gestational diabetes AND TI Prevention | 128 | 4 |
| UpToDate | Search Strategy #1: Gestational diabetes AND prevention | 150 | 0 |

References

- Cheung, N.W.; Jiang, S.; Athayde, N. Impact of the IADPSG criteria for gestational diabetes, and of obesity, on pregnancy outcomes. *Aust. N. Z. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **2018**, *58*, 553–559. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajo.12772>.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Gestational diabetes mellitus. Practice Bulletin No. 190. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **2018**, *131*, e49–e64.
- HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2008**, *358*, 1991–2002.
- Kautzky-Willer, A.; Winhofer, Y.; Kiss, H.; Falcone, V.; Berger, A.; Lechleitner, M.; Weitgasser, R.; Harreiter, J. Gestationsdiabetes (GDM) (Update 2023). *Wien Klin. Wochenschr.* **2023**, *135*, 115–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00508-023-02181-9>.
- International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG). Recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* **2010**, *33*, 676–682. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-1542>.
- Ministry of Health. Guidelines for the Management of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus; National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: London, UK, 2014.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Diabetes in pregnancy: management of diabetes and its complications from preconception to the postnatal period. NICE Guideline [NG3], 2015. Available online: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng3> (accessed on 4 December 2024).
- Li, G.; Xing, Y.; Wang, G.; Wu, Q.; Ni, W.; Jiao, N.; et al. Does recurrent gestational diabetes mellitus increase the risk of preterm birth? A population-based cohort study. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2023**, *199*, 110628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2023.110628>.
- Egan, A.M.; Dunne, F. Optimal management of diabetes during pregnancy. *Ther. Adv. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2017**, *8*, 145–157.
- Saeedi, M.; Cao, Y.; Fadl, H.; Gustafson, H.; Simmons, D. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus when implementing the IADPSG criteria: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2021**, *172*, 108642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108642>.
- Guariguata, L.; Linnenkamp, U.; Beagley, J.; Whiting, D.R.; Cho, N.H. Global estimates of the prevalence of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2014**, *103*, 176–185.

12. McIntyre, H.D.; Catalano, P.; Zhang, C.; Desoye, G.; Mathiesen, E.R.; Damm, P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2019**, *5*, 47.
13. Melchior, H.; Kurch-Bek, D.; Mund, M. The prevalence of gestational diabetes: A population-based analysis of a nationwide screening program. *Dtsch. Arztebl. Int.* **2017**, *114*, 412–418.
14. Zakaria, H.; Abusanana, S.; Mussa, B.M.; Al Dhaheri, A.S.; Stojanovska, L.; Mohamad, N.; Saleh, S.T.; Ali, H.I.; Ismail, L.C. The role of lifestyle interventions in the prevention and treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Medicina (Kaunas)* **2023**, *59*, 287. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina59020287>.
15. Shepherd, A. Screening for gestational diabetes: An overview. *J. Obstet. Med.* **2017**, *32*, 25–31.
16. Filardi, G. Universal screening of all pregnant women between the 24th–28th week of gestation: Performing oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) with 75 grams of glucose. *Diabetes Care* **2019**, *42*, 1151–1154.
17. Tieu, J.; McPhee, A.J.; Crowther, C.A.; Middleton, P.; Shepherd, E. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus based on different risk profiles and settings for improving maternal and infant health. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2017**, *2017*, CD007222. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007222.pub4>.
18. Lambert, V.; Muñoz, S.E.; Gil, C.; Román, M.D. Maternal dietary components in the development of gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review of observational studies to timely promotion of health. *Nutr. J.* **2023**, *22*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-023-00878-9>.
19. Shepherd, E.; Gomersall, G.C.; Tieu, J.; Han, S.; Crowther, C.A.; Middleton, P. Combined diet and exercise interventions for preventing gestational diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2017**, *2017*, CD010443. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010443.pub3>.
20. Sparks, J.R.; Ghildayal, N.; Hivert, M.F.; Redman, L.M. Lifestyle interventions in pregnancy targeting GDM prevention: Looking ahead to precision medicine. *Diabetologia* **2022**, *65*, 1814–1824. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-022-05751-z>.
21. Giannakou, K. Perinatal epidemiology: Issues, challenges, and potential solutions. *Obstet. Med.* **2021**, *14*, 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1753495X20948984>.
22. Behboudi-Gandevani, S.; Bidhendi-Yarandi, R.; Panahi, M.H.; Vaismoradi, M. The Effect of Mild Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Treatment on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **2021**, *12*, 640004. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.640004>.
23. Yu, D.Q.; Xu, G.X.; Teng, X.Y.; Xu, J.W.; Tang, L.F.; Feng, C.; Rao, J.P.; Jin, M.; Wang, L.Q. Glycemic control and neonatal outcomes in women with gestational diabetes mellitus treated using glyburide, metformin, or insulin: A pairwise and network meta-analysis. *BMC Endocr. Disord.* **2021**, *21*, 199. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-021-00865-9>.
24. He, X.J.; Qin, F.Y.; Hu, C.L.; Zhu, M.; Tian, C.Q.; Li, L. Is gestational diabetes mellitus an independent risk factor for macrosomia: A meta-analysis? *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2015**, *291*, 729–735.
25. Landon, M.B.; Spong, C.Y.; Thom, E.; Carpenter, M.W.; Ramin, S.M.; Casey, B.; et al. A multicenter, randomized trial of treatment for mild gestational diabetes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2009**, *361*, 1339–1348.
26. Damm, P.; Houshmand-Oeregaard, A.; Kelstrup, L.; Lauenborg, J.; Mathiesen, E.R.; Clausen, T.D. Gestational diabetes mellitus and long-term consequences for mother and offspring: A view from Denmark. *Diabetologia* **2016**, *59*, 1396–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-3985-5>.
27. Weihe, P.; Weihrauch-Blüher, S. Metabolic Syndrome in Children and Adolescents: Diagnostic Criteria, Therapeutic Options and Perspectives. *Curr. Obes. Rep.* **2019**, *8*, 472–479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-019-00357-x>.
28. Cauzzo, C.; Chiavaroli, V.; Di Valerio, S.; Chiarelli, F. Birth size, growth trajectory and later cardio-metabolic risk. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **2023**, *14*, 1187261. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1187261>.
29. Chatzi, L.; Rifas-Shiman, S.L.; Georgiou, V.; Chatzi Vassilaki, K.; Hivert, M.F.; Mantzoros, C.S.; et al. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet during early pregnancy is associated with a reduced risk of gestational diabetes: The Rhea study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *100*, 403–410.
30. Lekic, T.; Klebe, D.; Poblete, R.; Krafft, P.R.; Rolland, W.B.; Tang, J.; Zhang, J.H. Neonatal brain hemorrhage (NBH) of prematurity: Translational mechanisms of the vascular-neural network. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *22*, 1214–1238. <https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867322666150114152421>.
31. Torres-Espinola, F.J.; Berglund, S.K.; García-Valdés, L.; Segura, M.T.; Campos, D.; Orozco-Suárez, S.; et al. Maternal obesity, overweight, and gestational diabetes affect the offspring neurodevelopment at 6 and 18 months of age: A follow-up from the PREOBE cohort. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* **2015**, *174*, 689–699.
32. Han, S.; Crowther, C.A.; Middle, E.; Jeffries, W.; Tieu, J.; Robinson, J.; Shepherd, E. Interventions for pregnant women with pre-existing diabetes or hyperglycemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2017**, *2017*, CD009008.
33. Green, B.N.; Johnson, C.D.; Adams, A. Writing narrative literature reviews for peer-reviewed journals: Secrets of the trade. *J. Chiropr. Med.* **2006**, *5*, 101–117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467\(07\)60142-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60142-6).
34. Nishikawa-Pacher, A. Research Questions with PICO: A Universal Mnemonic. *Publications* **2022**, *10*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications10030021>.

35. Sguanci, M.; Mancin, S.; Piredda, M.; De Marinis, M.G. Protocol for conducting a systematic review on diagnostic accuracy in clinical research. *MethodsX* **2024**, *12*, 102569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2024.102569>.
36. Popova, P.V.; Pustozherov, E.A.; Tkachuk, A.S.; Grineva, E.N. Improving nutrition for the prevention of gestational diabetes: Current status and perspectives. *World J. Diabetes* **2021**, *12*, 1494–1506. <https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v12.i9.1494>.
37. Donazar-Ezcurra, M.; López-del Burgo, C.; Bes-Rastrollo, M. Primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus through nutritional factors: A systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **2017**, *17*, 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-1192-6>.
38. Rogozińska, E.; Chamillard, M.; Hitman, G.A.; Khan, K.S.; Thangaratnam, S. Nutritional manipulation for the primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis of randomised studies. *PLoS One* **2015**, *10*, e0115526. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115526>.
39. Mijatovic-Vukas, J.; Capling, L.; Cheng, S.; Stamatakis, E.; Louie, J.; Cheung, N.W.; Markovic, T.; Ross, G.; Senior, A.; Brand-Miller, J.C.; et al. Associations of Diet and Physical Activity with Risk for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 698. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10060698>.
40. Simmons, D. Prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus: Where are we now? *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* **2015**, *17*, 725–732. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12511>.
41. Russo, L.M.; Nobles, C.; Ertel, K.A.; Chasan-Taber, L.; Whitcomb, B.W. Physical activity interventions in pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **2015**, *125*, 576–582. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000000691>.
42. Kouiti, M.; Hernández-Muñiz, C.; Youlyouz-Marfak, I.; Salcedo-Bellido, I.; Mozas-Moreno, J.; Jiménez-Moleón, J.J. Preventing Gestational Diabetes Mellitus by Improving Healthy Diet and/or Physical Activity during Pregnancy: An Umbrella Review. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 2066. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14102066>.
43. Tang, Q.; Zhong, Y.; Xu, C.; Li, W.; Wang, H.; Hou, Y. Effectiveness of five interventions used for prevention of gestational diabetes: A network meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* **2022**, *101*, e29126. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000029126>.
44. Mierzyński, R.; Poniedziałek-Czajkowska, E.; Sotowski, M.; Szydełko-Gorzakowicz, M. Nutrition as Prevention Factor of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Narrative Review. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 3787. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13113787>.
45. Griffith, R.J.; Alsweiler, J.; Moore, A.E.; Brown, S.; Middleton, P.; Shepherd, E.; Crowther, C.A. Interventions to prevent women from developing gestational diabetes mellitus: An overview of Cochrane Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2020**, *6*, CD012394. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012394.pub3>.
46. Cangelosi, G.; Grappasonni, I.; Nguyen, C.T.T.; Acito, M.; Pantanetti, P.; Benni, A.; Petrelli, F. Mediterranean Diet (MedDiet) and Lifestyle Medicine (LM) for support and care of patients with type II diabetes in the COVID-19 era: A cross-observational study. *Acta Biomed.* **2023**, *94*, e2023189. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v94iS3.14406>.
47. Poggioli, R.; Hirani, K.; Jogani, V.G.; Ricordi, C. Modulation of inflammation and immunity by omega-3 fatty acids: A possible role for prevention and to halt disease progression in autoimmune, viral, and age-related disorders. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **2023**, *27*, 7380–7400. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202308_33310.
48. Zafar, M.I.; Mills, K.E.; Zheng, J.; Regmi, A.; Hu, S.Q.; Gou, L.; Chen, L.L. Low-glycemic index diets as an intervention for diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2019**, *110*, 891–902. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqz149>.
49. Wiśniewska, K.; Okreglicka, K.M.; Nitsch-Osuch, A.; Oczkowski, M. Plant-Based Diets and Metabolic Syndrome Components: The Questions That Still Need to Be Answered-A Narrative Review. *Nutrients* **2024**, *16*, 165. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16010165>.
50. Kim, H.; Lee, K.; Rebholz, C.M.; Kim, J. Plant-based diets and incident metabolic syndrome: Results from a South Korean prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med.* **2020**, *17*, e1003371. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003371>.
51. Cangelosi, G.; Mancin, S.; Pantanetti, P.; Sguanci, M.; Morales Palomares, S.; De Luca, A.; et al. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Lifestyle Behavior and Clinical Care Pathway Management in Type 2 Diabetes: A Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study. *Medicina (Kaunas)* **2024**, *60*, 1624. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina60101624>.
52. Cangelosi, G.; Acito, M.; Grappasonni, I.; Nguyen, C.T.T.; Tesauro, M.; Pantanetti, P.; Morichetti, L.; Ceroni, E.; Benni, A.; Petrelli, F. Yoga or Mindfulness on Diabetes: Scoping Review for Theoretical Experimental Framework. *Ann. Ig.* **2024**, *36*, 153–168. <https://doi.org/10.7416/ai.2024.2600>.
53. Amanat, S.; Ghahri, S.; Dianatinasab, A.; Fararouei, M.; Dianatinasab, M. Exercise and Type 2 Diabetes. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2020**, *1228*, 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1792-1_6.
54. Rippe, J.M. Lifestyle Strategies for Risk Factor Reduction, Prevention, and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease. *Am. J. Lifestyle Med.* **2018**, *13*, 204–212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827618812395>.

55. Li, Q.; Zheng, T.; Ding, H.; Chen, J.; Li, B.; Zhang, Q.; Yang, S.; Zhang, S.; Guan, W. Exploring the Benefits of Probiotics in Gut Inflammation and Diarrhea-From an Antioxidant Perspective. *Antioxidants (Basel)* **2023**, *12*, 1342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12071342>.
56. Mancin, S.; Mazzoleni, B. Probiotics as adjuvant therapy in the treatment of Allergic Rhinitis. *Res. J. Pharm. Technol.* **2023**, *16*, 2393–2398. <https://doi.org/10.52711/0974-360X.2023.00394>.
57. Ayesha, I.E.; Monson, N.R.; Klair, N.; Patel, U.; Saxena, A.; Patel, D.; Venugopal, S. Probiotics and Their Role in the Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (Short-Term Versus Long-Term Effect): A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cureus* **2023**, *15*, e46741. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.46741>.
58. Bodepudi, R.; Seher, S.; Khan, S.A.; Emmanuel, S.; Shantha Kumar, V.; Nerella, R.; Shaman Ameen, B.; Patel, D.; David John, J.; Khan, S. Myoinositol Versus Metformin in the Treatment of Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome: A Systematic Review. *Cureus* **2023**, *15*, e41748. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.41748>.
59. Voulgaridou, G.; Papadopoulou, S.K.; Detopoulou, P.; Tsoumana, D.; Giaginis, C.; Kondyli, F.S.; Lymperaki, E.; Pritsa, A. Vitamin D and Calcium in Osteoporosis, and the Role of Bone Turnover Markers: A Narrative Review of Recent Data from RCTs. *Diseases* **2023**, *11*, 29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases11010029>.
60. Colotta, F.; Jansson, B.; Bonelli, F. Modulation of inflammatory and immune responses by vitamin D. *J. Autoimmun.* **2017**, *85*, 78–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2017.07.007>.
61. Mancin, S.; Cangelosi, G.; Matteucci, S.; Palomares, S.M.; Parozzi, M.; Sandri, E.; Sguanci, M.; Piredda, M. The Role of Vitamin D in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation: Implications for Graft-versus-Host Disease-A Narrative Review. *Nutrients* **2024**, *16*, 2976. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16172976>.
62. Seraphin, G.; Rieger, S.; Hewison, M.; Capobianco, E.; Lisse, T.S. The impact of vitamin D on cancer: A mini review. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2023**, *231*, 106308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2023.106308>.
63. Cangelosi, G.; Palomares, S.M.; Pantanetti, P.; De Luca, A.; Biondini, F.; Nguyen, C.T.T.; et al. COVID-19, Nutrients and Lifestyle Eating Behaviors: A Narrative Review. *Diseases* **2024**, *12*, 193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases12080193>.
64. Lv, Z.; Guo, Y. Metformin and Its Benefits for Various Diseases. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **2020**, *11*, 191. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.00191>.
65. Gillani, S.W.; Ghayedi, N.; Roosta, P.; Seddigh, P.; Nasiri, O. Effect of Metformin on Lipid Profiles of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *J. Pharm. Bioallied Sci.* **2021**, *13*, 76–82. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.JPBS_370_20.
66. Chen, C.; Yuan, S.; Zhao, X.; Qiao, M.; Li, S.; He, N.; Huang, L.; Lyu, J. Metformin Protects Cardiovascular Health in People With Diabetes. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **2022**, *9*, 949113. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2022.949113>.
67. Petrelli, F.; Grappasonni, I.; Nguyen, C.T.T.; Tesauro, M.; Pantanetti, P.; Xhafa, S.; Cangelosi, G. Metformin and Covid-19: A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews with Meta-analysis. *Acta Biomed.* **2023**, *94*(S3), e2023138. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v94iS3>.
68. Priya, G.; Kalra, S. Metformin in the Management of Diabetes During Pregnancy and Lactation. *Drugs Context* **2018**, *7*, 212523. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.212523>.
69. Tosti, G.; Barberio, A.; Tartaglione, L.; Rizzi, A.; Di Leo, M.; Viti, L.; et al. Lights and Shadows on the Use of Metformin in Pregnancy: From the Preconception Phase to Breastfeeding and Beyond. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **2023**, *14*, 1176623. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1176623>.
70. Hyer, S.; Balani, J.; Shehata, H. Metformin in Pregnancy: Mechanisms and Clinical Applications. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*(7), 1954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19071954>.
71. Berná, G.; Oliveras-López, M.J.; Jurado-Ruiz, E.; Tejedo, J.; Bedoya, F.; Soria, B.; Martín, F. Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics Insights into Diabetes Etiopathogenesis. *Nutrients* **2014**, *6*(11), 5338–5369. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6115338>.
72. Sugandh, F.; Chandio, M.; Raveena, F.; Kumar, L.; Karishma, F.; Khuwaja, S.; Memon, U.A.; Bai, K.; Kashif, M.; Varrassi, G.; et al. Advances in the Management of Diabetes Mellitus: A Focus on Personalized Medicine. *Cureus* **2023**, *15*(8), e43697. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.43697>.
73. Scuri, S.; Tesauro, M.; Petrelli, F.; Argento, N.; Damasco, G.; Cangelosi, G.; et al. Use of an Online Platform to Evaluate the Impact of Social Distancing Measures on Psycho-Physical Well-Being in the COVID-19 Era. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*(11), 6805. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116805>.
74. Bults, M.; van Leersum, C.M.; Olthuis, T.J.J.; Bekhuis, R.E.M.; den Ouden, M.E.M. Mobile Health Apps for the Control and Self-management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Qualitative Study on Users' Acceptability and Acceptance. *JMIR Diabetes* **2023**, *8*, e41076. <https://doi.org/10.2196/41076>.
75. Petrelli, F.; Cangelosi, G.; Scuri, S.; Pantanetti, P.; Lavorgna, F.; Faldetta, F.; et al. Diabetes and Technology: A Pilot Study on the Management of Patients with Insulin Pumps During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2020**, *169*, 108481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108481>.
76. Kitazawa, M.; Takeda, Y.; Hatta, M.; Horikawa, C.; Sato, T.; Osawa, T.; Ishizawa, M.; Suzuki, H.; Matsubayashi, Y.; Fujihara, K.; Yamada, T.; Sone, H. Lifestyle Intervention With Smartphone App and

- isCGM for People at High Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: Randomized Trial. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2024**, *109*(4), 1060–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgad639>.
77. Palmisano, A.; Angileri, S.; Soekeland, F.; Gazineo, D.; Godino, L.; Savini, S.; Andreoli, D.; Mancin, S. Chronic Kidney Disease and Mobile Health: Quality of Renal Nutritional Apps in Italy. *Acta Biomed.* **2023**, *94*(4), e2023169. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v94i4.14576>.
 78. Ownby, R.L.; Simonson, M.; Caballero, J.; Thomas-Purcell, K.; Davenport, R.; Purcell, D.; Ayala, V.; Gonzalez, J.; Patel, N.; Kondwani, K. A Mobile App for Chronic Disease Self-management for Individuals with Low Health Literacy: A Multisite Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *J. Ageing Longev.* **2024**, *4*(2), 51–71. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jal4020005>.
 79. Cangelosi, G.; Mancin, S.; Morales Palomares, S.; Pantanetti, P.; Quinzi, E.; Debernardi, G.; Petrelli, F. Impact of School Nurse on Managing Pediatric Type 1 Diabetes with Technological Devices Support: A Systematic Review. *Diseases* **2024**, *12*(8), 173. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases12080173>.
 80. Golovaty, I.; Ritchie, N.D.; Tuomilehto, J.; Mohan, V.; Ali, M.K.; Gregg, E.W.; Bergman, M.; Moin, T. Two Decades of Diabetes Prevention Efforts: A Call to Innovate and Revitalize Our Approach to Lifestyle Change. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2023**, *198*, 110195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2022.110195>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.