Concept Paper

Not peer-reviewed version

Exploring the Possibility of Using a Quantum Methodology to Address Regenerative Agroecological Systems

Diosey Ramon Lugo-Morin *

Posted Date: 21 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

Keywords: crisis; food; rurality; quantum theory; system

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

Concept Paper

Exploring the Possibility of Using a Quantum Methodology to Address Regenerative Agroecological Systems

Diosey Ramon Lugo-Morin

Independent Researcher, Puebla 72760, México; dioseylugo@gmail.com

Abstract

Given the increasing complexity of rural food systems, particularly in Africa, Latin America and Southeast Asia, this study proposes a verifiable methodology that offers an innovative, forward-looking approach. It incorporates the principles of quantum physics into traditional agroecosystems by drawing on concepts, such as superposition, observation, entanglement, and quantum collapse. The methodological framework incorporates three elements rooted in non-classical physics: rural quantum tuning; a causal model; and an experimental design proposal. The aim is to strengthen community resilience and food sovereignty by weaving together ancestral knowledge, emerging science, and active community participation in polycrisis contexts.

Keywords: crisis; food; rurality; quantum theory; system

---

1. Introduction

Quantum agriculture is an emerging approach that seeks to integrate the principles of quantum physics with agroecological production methods and traditional knowledge. It has generated growing interest in agricultural communities and alternative academic spaces. However, to consolidate its contribution to scientific knowledge and generate a basis for its widespread application, it is necessary to design empirical validation frameworks that respect its complexity, while also being reproducible, observable and measurable within the context of a polycrisis. According to Charbonneau and Giguère (Charbonneau & Giguère, 2025), the concept of polycrisis refers to the interaction of several global crises. These interrelated crises threatening global stability are: climate change causing extreme weather events and disrupting ecosystems (Sanchez et al., 2025); biodiversity loss leading to the sixth mass extinction (Wiebe & Wilcove, 2025); agro-pollution affecting oceans, soils and air (Elumalai et al., 2025); social metabolism (Watson, Simone & Joshua, 2024); food insecurity affecting millions of people (Islam et al., 2025); water crisis limiting access to clean water in many regions (Rosa & Sangiorgio, 2025); and socio-economic inequalities creating tensions and migration (United Nations, 2025).

Rural agrifood systems are facing a polycrisis scenario. In this context, family agro-ecosystems emerge as spaces of intersection, where traditional knowledge, technological innovations and local resistance strategies coexist. The quantum nature, understood beyond its physical dimension, offers an interpretative and operational framework to address this complexity from an integrative and transformative perspective. The principles of quantum mechanics - superposition, entanglement, non-locality, state collapse and observation - have been re-signified in various fields of knowledge and are beginning to inspire new ways of thinking about agriculture and its relationship with the environment. This analysis will consider quantum agriculture as a system of co-creation, in which traditional knowledge and emerging science converge to regenerate ecosystems and bolster local capacities for adaptation and transformation.

Food security is one of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century and is exacerbated by climate change, population growth, and degradation of natural resources (Lugo-Morin, 2024).

Technological developments have played a crucial role in transforming agricultural systems, enabling increased productivity, and improved food quality. However, conventional agricultural production methods have limitations in their ability to deal with the complexity of biological systems and the increasing demands of sustainability. The emergence of artificial intelligence and its applications in the field of agriculture opens up new perspectives to address these challenges (Lugo-Morin, 2024). Advances in the principles of quantum nature, traditionally associated with theoretical physics, are beginning to find practical applications in agriculture, offering innovative solutions for crop optimisation, resource management and phytosanitary control (Wright, Kieft & von Diest, 2017). This convergence between quantum nature and agriculture was highlighted when scientists Alain Aspect, John Clauser and Anton Zeilingier were awarded the 2022 Nobel Prize in Physics for their experiments in quantum information (Pioneering quantum information science, 2022). In this context, human ecology emerges as a conceptual framework for integrating technological innovation with traditional agricultural practices and ancestral knowledge (Suuk et al., 2025). This approach would strengthen the recognition of the interdependence between farming communities and their environment, and emphasise the importance of developing unconventional but socially inclusive and ecologically responsible solutions. The adoption of quantum natural principles in agriculture must take into account the specific socio-cultural, economic and environmental dimensions of each territory, ensuring that the benefits of these innovations are accessible to all social actors. In rural societies, local food systems face particular challenges due to their geographical, climatic and socio-cultural characteristics (Gurri et al., 2021). Family farming in most regions of the world is characterised by generational inheritance and traditional practices. In this logic, it is proposed to explore the possibilities offered by the application of quantum natural principles, in the strengthening of family agroecosystems, considering both the technological possibilities and the socio-environmental implications of their implementation.

2. Problematising the Object of Study

Local food systems are a fundamental element of the socio-economic and cultural fabric of rural areas. However, these systems are currently facing a multidimensional crisis that threatens their viability and sustainability (Gurri et al., 2021). This problem has worsened in recent decades, manifesting itself in the progressive deterioration of traditional agricultural practices and the loss of local food sovereignty (Leatherman, Goodman & Stillman, 2020). In this context, family agroecosystems are a specific component within local food systems, reflecting the simultaneous coexistence of multiple states and functions of these spaces, as occurs in quantum systems (Lope-Alzina, 2017). The term encapsulates how these spaces simultaneously function as family production units and units of social adaptation, where native and introduced species, food and commercial uses, cultural traditions and modern adaptations overlap (Pulido-Salas et al., 2017). This overlap is manifested in the malleability of the system to respond to local needs while adapting to urbanisation pressures, demonstrating a duality between cultural conservation and socio-economic adaptation. According to Pulido-Salas et al. (2017), these spaces simultaneously maintain different roles: they are spaces of food production, reservoirs of biodiversity, elements of cultural resilience in the face of transculturation, and mechanisms of economic and environmental adaptation. This overlap thus represents the unique capacity of these agroecosystems to maintain multiple simultaneous functions and states, dynamically adapting to family needs and socio-environmental changes without losing their traditional essence.

The complexity of this situation is reflected in four interrelated dimensions. In the socio-economic sphere, some rural areas are experiencing a growing precariousness of farming communities, characterised by limited access to financial and technological resources, as well as the continued migration of young people to urban centres. This dynamic has led to a breakdown in the intergenerational transmission of traditional agricultural knowledge and has weakened the social fabric that has historically supported these production systems. Environmentally, local food systems are under unprecedented pressure from the effects of climate change. Changing rainfall patterns, rising temperatures and agro-environmental degradation are affecting the productive capacity of these systems. This situation is exacerbated by the loss of local biodiversity, which has traditionally provided resilience to traditional agricultural systems. The current institutional context has structural deficiencies that hinder the sustainable development of local food systems. The absence of public policies, coupled with the fragmentation of local farmers' organisational efforts, has created a vacuum in the governance of these systems. This situation has limited the capacity to respond to emerging challenges and made it difficult to implement innovative solutions. In this scenario, quantum natural principles emerge as an alternative for strengthening and sustaining local food systems. However, their implementation in a global context requires an analysis that takes into account the socio-cultural and environmental specificities of each region. Faced with this problem, the following research question arises, How can the integration of quantum natural principles in family agroecosystems contribute to their strengthening and sustainability, taking into account the specific socio-economic, environmental, cultural and institutional dimensions of each region? These areas are facing a polycrisis (Lawrence, 2024), characterised by socio-economic deterioration, climate crisis and institutional weakness, which are jeopardising the sustainability of traditional rural communities.

Although multiple studies have reported benefits associated with the use of information patterns, sacred geometries, bioenergetic devices and quantum frequencies in crops, these observations are mostly anecdotal. The lack of standardised experimental protocols restricts their inclusion in academic and agrifood policy discussions. Therefore, there is a need to propose an initial model that allows the generation of verifiable scientific evidence without losing the symbolic, energetic and spiritual dimensions that characterise quantum agriculture. Based on this approach, the following theoretical hypothesis is proposed: 'Exposure to quantum information structures (energetic devices, specific frequencies or sacred geometries) has a positive effect on the development of agroecological crops, as measured by biological indicators such as germination rate, accumulated biomass and resistance to pests'.

3. Theoretical-Conceptual Framework

The proposed conceptual framework is underpinned by an understanding of the interconnectedness between the principles of quantum nature, ancestral knowledge and local food systems. This holistic perspective recognises that food production systems are not mere mechanical structures, but living ecosystems characterised by their complexity, adaptability and potential for continuous transformation (Lugo-Morin, 2020). Quantum agriculture is thus configured as a model that integrates rigorous scientific observation with traditional wisdom, promoting a horizontal dialogue between different forms of knowledge (Wright, Kieft & von Diest, 2017). Quantum principles provide a new lens for understanding food systems, introducing concepts such as non-locality, entanglement and superposition as metaphors and analytical tools (Bernardini, Gulla & Nastati, 2023). These principles allow us to visualise agricultural processes not as linear and predictable, but as fields of possibility where intention, observation and interaction play a fundamental role in shaping outcomes (Pook et al., 2025). Agriculture thus ceases to be a process of extraction and control and becomes an act of co-creation with natural systems. Ancestral knowledge, particularly that of indigenous and peasant communities, emerges as a repository of ecological wisdom (Lugo-Morin, 2020). Their practices, developed over millennia of observation and interaction with ecosystems, embody principles that resonate with quantum physics long before its scientific formulation. Traditional agriculture understands interdependence, the importance of context, and the need to maintain a dynamic balance that is central to a quantum understanding of the world (Wright, Kieft & von Diest, 2017).

The construction of this theoretical-conceptual framework contemplates the identification and analysis of quantum principles and the emergence of quantum agriculture, food systems and their relevance in the local context, and ancestral knowledge and how they harmonise in the construction of a new food vision. The integration of these elements proposes a model of local food systems centred on resilience, biocultural diversity and food sovereignty. This approach recognises that food

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 21 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

production is not just an economic process, but a cultural and ecological act rooted in communities and their territories. Quantum agriculture is then posited as a strategy for social transformation that goes beyond food production, constituting a method of recovering the human-nature connection (Cayre et al., 2018).

For example, quantum superposition can be analogised to the multifunctionality of family agroecosystems (productive + cultural), but it also has a technical basis: quantum sensors can simultaneously measure moisture, pH and nutrients in soils, generating data in superposition. This proposal does not propose that these spaces operate according to quantum laws at the subatomic scale, but rather that quantum principles provide valuable analytical metaphors for understanding complexity (such as superposition to explain multifunctionality), as well as concrete technical tools (sensors and quantum AI) that allow these complex systems to be measured and managed in real contexts.

From the perspective of complex systems theory (Jerab, 2025), agroecosystems represent intricate networks in which properties analogous to quantum phenomena emerge at the macroscopic scale, transcending the mere aggregation of individual components. Non-locality, a fundamental feature of quantum mechanics, finds its parallel in the interdependence between distant elements of agro-food chains, where changes in pollinator populations can trigger immediate and significant cascading effects in geographically distant crops, with no apparent direct causal link (Eno & Makinde, 2024). These non-linear interactions generate emergent properties that reconfigure the integral functioning of the system, similar to how quantum entangled particles operate as an indivisible unit despite their physical separation. This conceptual framework allows agroecosystems to be understood as dynamic entities where information flows non-classically through complex feedback networks.

Using the logic of quantum ecology, it explores how fundamental quantum principles operate in biological processes essential to agroecosystems. Seminal work by McFadden & Al-Khalili (2018) has documented evidence that quantum phenomena such as coherence, superposition and the tunneling effect are actively involved in crucial biological mechanisms such as photosynthesis, magnetoreception in migratory birds and certain enzymatic processes. McFadden & Al-Khalili (2018) propose that evolution has exploited the computational and energetic advantages inherent in quantum mechanics, optimising vital processes at the molecular level, which then influence macroscopic ecosystem dynamics. Quantum ecology thus provides a robust theoretical framework for reassessing fundamental ecological interactions from a perspective that integrates quantum physics with biological processes, offering new avenues for understanding and sustainably managing agroecosystems in the face of increasing perturbations such as climate change (Woolnough et al., 2023).

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Type of Study and Methodological Approach

This research is framed within a qualitative approach, with an applied, experimental methodological design based on theoretical construction, aimed at generating transformation processes in the traditional agro-ecosystems of a rural society through the conceptual and practical integration of the principles of quantum nature. This approach is based on the recognition of familiar rural spaces as living, complex and constantly evolving systems that manifest properties analogous to quantum systems - such as superposition, entanglement and collapse - in their ecological, social, cultural and economic dimensions. The methodological logic is constructed from a transdisciplinary perspective, where emerging scientific knowledge dialogues with traditional knowledge under principles of epistemological reciprocity. The objective is not only to observe rural systems, but also to co-create, together with communities, forms of intervention that regenerate food sovereignty, biodiversity and cultural dignity.

4.2. Methodological Strategy: Rural Quantum Tuning for Multidimensional Resilience

A methodological strategy was developed, structured in five phases, each based on a quantum principle reinterpreted as a social research tool. This model combines participatory research techniques, computer simulations, artificial intelligence tools and ethnographic methods to produce a holistic understanding of the rural food system.

Phase 1: Contextual quantum tuning

Aim: to identify and map the overlapping roles, knowledge and actors in home gardens.

Techniques used: participatory diagnosis, semi-structured interviews, ethnographic observation, computer modelling with AI and relational maps of actors and knowledge.

Expected result: A dynamic systemic model that superimposes the multiple dimensions of the rural family space and serves as a basis for its regenerative intervention.

Phase 2: Transformative observation

Aim: to apply the principle that observation transforms the system through reflective community practices.

Techniques used: emotional mapping and territorial narratives, participatory workshops to interpret local reality and training of community observers.

Expected results: shared construction of a vision of a rural future based on quantum possibilities, culturally and territorially contextualised.

Phase 3: Socio-ecological interweaving

Aim: To create nodes of convergence between traditional knowledge and emerging technologies.

Techniques used: creation of quantum learning nodes, technology co-creation workshops and integration of quantum sensors and bio-indicators.

Expected result: a social innovation ecosystem that strengthens territorial resilience through the interweaving of disciplines, generations and cultures.

Phase 4: Strategic overlay

Aim: to design interventions that have a simultaneous impact on different dimensions of the system.

Techniques used: co-design of local public policies with communities, multi-scale impact modelling and evaluation with resilience and connectivity indicators.

Expected results: Multi-scale regenerative strategies based on simultaneity rather than sectoral fragmentation.

Phase 5: Deliberate quantum collapse

Aim: To collectively materialise a desired reality through deliberate community choices.

Techniques used: deliberative processes and participatory platforms, installation of quantum agri-food governance systems and adaptive monitoring with machine learning algorithms.

Expected result: consolidation of a quantum-local, resilient, dignified and sovereign model of agriculture, driven by the communities themselves.

4.3. Causal Model

This prior interface (rural quantum tuning) is justified by a causal model, which is structured in terms of variables and causal relationships, and their relevance to agro-ecological systems. The model is based on the concepts of superposition, entanglement, non-locality, state collapse, and observation. These concepts have been reinterpreted as analytical and operational tools to address the complexity of living systems.

The structure of the causal model is as follows: The model is organised into three levels: inputs (independent variables), processes (mediating variables) and outputs (dependent variables). Each level articulates the principles of non-classical physics with the biological, social, and ecological processes of family agroecosystems.

1. Inputs (independent variables):

   Principles of Non-Classical Physics:

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 21 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

Overlapping: The capacity of a system to exist in multiple states simultaneously until it is observed. In agroecosystems, this translates into the multifunctionality of rural spaces (productive, cultural and ecological). Intertwining: The interdependence of distant elements of a system, whereby a change in one element affects the others instantaneously. In agroecosystems, this is reflected in the nonlinear interactions between ecological and social components.

Non-locality: Connections between elements with no apparent direct causal relationship, such as the cascading effects in food chains.

State collapse: The transition from a system of multiple possibilities to a state defined by a deliberate choice. In agroecosystems, this corresponds to collective decisions that create a desired reality.

Observation: The act of observation alters the state of the system. In rural contexts, reflexive observation by communities can transform their perception of, and approach to, the system.

Ancestral knowledge: Traditional practices of indigenous and peasant communities that intuitively reflect quantum principles (e.g. interdependence and dynamic equilibrium).

Emerging technologies: Tools such as quantum sensors, artificial intelligence (AI) and computational modelling, which allow the complexity of agroecosystems to be measured and managed.

2. Processes (mediating variables):

Socioecological interactions: Co-creation processes between actors (e.g. farmers, scientists and communities) and the environment, mediated by quantum learning nodes that integrate traditional knowledge and technology.

Resilience dynamics: The capacity of agroecosystems to adapt to disturbances (e.g. climate change) through multifunctionality and connectivity between actors.

Cultural transformation: Changes in community perceptions and practices, driven by reflective observation and collective deliberation.

Contextual technological innovation: The development and adoption of technologies (e.g. quantum soil sensors) that respect the socio-cultural and environmental specificities of each region.

3. Outputs (dependent variables):

Food sovereignty: Increased control of communities over their food systems, reinforced by integrating knowledge and technologies.

Multidimensional resilience: The capacity of agroecosystems to cope with polycrisis (climate change, biodiversity loss and inequality) through regenerative strategies.

Biodiversity and ecosystem health: Improved functional diversity and sustainability of agro-ecosystems.

Cultural dignity: Recognising and strengthening the traditional identities and practices of rural communities.

4.3.1. Causal Relationships

Overlapping → Multifunctionality of agroecosystems → Multidimensional resilience:

Cause: Quantum superposition, which is defined as the coexistence of multiple states, applies to family agroecosystems that fulfil multiple roles simultaneously, such as food production, biodiversity conservation and cultural resilience. Home gardens, for example, are production spaces, biodiversity reservoirs and socio-economic coping mechanisms.

Impact: This multifunctionality increases multidimensional resilience, enabling systems to respond to multiple challenges (e.g. climate change and migration) without losing their traditional essence. Using quantum sensors to measure moisture, pH and nutrients simultaneously enhances this capability by providing holistic data.

Evidence: Pulido-Salas et al. (2017) highlight the ability of home gardens to perform multiple functions, which aligns with the analogy of overlapping.

Intertwining → Socioecological interdependence → Biodiversity and ecosystem health:

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 21 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

Cause: Quantum entanglement, whereby distant elements are correlated, is reflected in the interdependencies between components of agroecosystems (e.g. pollinators and crops). For instance, the population dynamics of pollinators can impact crops located far away (an example of non-locality).

Impact: Creating quantum learning nodes fosters interconnectedness between stakeholders (e.g. farmers and scientists) and ecological elements, promoting practices that enhance biodiversity and ecosystem health.

Evidence: McFadden & Al-Khalili (2018) demonstrate that biological processes such as photosynthesis involve quantum phenomena, indicating that quantum ecology could optimise interactions within ecosystems.

Observation → Cultural transformation → Cultural dignity:

Cause: The quantum principle that observation alters the system is applied through community reflective practices, such as emotional mapping and territorial narratives. These practices, which are described in the 'transformative observation' phase, encourage communities to reconsider their relationship with their environment.

Impact: Reflective observation strengthens cultural identity and dignity by recognising the value of ancestral knowledge and integrating it with scientific innovations.

Evidence: Wright, Kieft & von Diest (2017) emphasise the importance of dialogue between modern science and traditional knowledge as a basis for cultural transformation.

State collapse → Collective deliberation → Food sovereignty:

Cause: Quantum collapse, whereby a system transitions from multiple possibilities to a defined state, can be translated into the deliberative processes through which communities select a shared vision for their future ('deliberate quantum collapse' phase).

Impact: These collective decisions consolidate local agricultural models that prioritise food sovereignty and are supported by decentralised governance systems and adaptive monitoring with AI.

Evidence: Digital platforms and blockchain technology are suggested as a means of ensuring transparency and equity in governance, in line with data sovereignty principles.

Non-locality → Contextual technological innovation → Multidimensional resilience:

Cause: The diffusion of technological innovations (e.g. quantum sensors) through inter-communal networks is subject to non-locality, where distant effects occur without direct causal connection.

Impact: Adopting contextualised technologies, such as accessible solar sensors, strengthens resilience by improving resource management in polycrisis contexts.

Evidence: Pook et al. (2025) emphasise the potential of quantum computing in agriculture, including its application in the form of practical tools such as sensors.

4.3.2. Rationale for the Interface Between Non-Classical Physics and the Life Sciences

Quantum principles such as superposition, entanglement and non-locality can be used as metaphors to help us understand the complexity of agroecosystems. Superposition, for example, reflects the multifunctionality of home gardens, while entanglement represents the interdependencies of ecological and social systems. These analogies enable us to visualise living systems as fields of possibilities rather than linear structures. Non-classical physics provides concrete tools, such as quantum sensors and AI algorithms inspired by quantum computing, to optimise the management of agricultural resources. Studies such as those by McFadden & Al-Khalili (2018) demonstrate the presence of quantum phenomena (e.g. coherence and tunnelling) in fundamental biological processes (e.g. photosynthesis and magnetoreception). This suggests that, as biological systems, agroecosystems can benefit from a quantum approach to understanding and managing their dynamics.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

4.3.3. Limitations and Implications

Implementing quantum technologies in rural areas presents challenges such as the technology gap and a lack of infrastructure. Although solutions such as low-cost sensors (e.g. Raspberry Pi-based) have been proposed, their scalability requires further validation. Therefore, there is a need to propose an initial model that enables the generation of verifiable scientific evidence without disregarding the symbolic, energetic, and spiritual dimensions that characterise quantum agriculture. Based on the presented causal model, an experimental design is proposed to evaluate the effect of quantum patterns on crops in controlled and agroecological conditions.

5. Results

5.1. Methodological Proposal: Rural Quantum Tuning for Multidimensional Resilience

Phase 1: Contextual quantum tuning. The purpose of this first phase is to recognise and make visible the intrinsic complexity of rural family spaces as systems of overlapping multiple simultaneous realities. It is proposed to start with an in-depth participatory diagnosis that integrates qualitative techniques (interviews, ethnographic observation, community workshops) with computational modelling and quantum simulation tools, including adaptive artificial intelligence. The diagnosis will seek to capture the coexistence of cultural, productive, ecological and symbolic roles in rural spaces, recognising them as nodes where past, present and potential futures converge. In addition, a map of actors and knowledge networks will be created to identify links, knowledge flows and points of intersection between traditional systems and emerging technologies. This phase is the starting point for an intervention with a broad vision, sensitive to the multiplicity of conditions and possibilities present in the territory.

Actions:

- ✓ Participatory diagnosis of rural family spaces as overlapping systems (economic, ecological, cultural).
- ✓ Quantum mapping of actors and local knowledge: identification of interlocking nodes (knowledge, practices, relationships).
- ✓ Use of artificial intelligence tools to model multiple transformation scenarios according to different "observations" or possible interventions.

Expected result: Dynamic model of the rural space as a system in superposition, serving as a basis for its quantum regeneration.

Validation: To validate the accuracy of the participatory diagnosis and the computational modelling.

Methods:

- ✓ Data triangulation: Comparison of community assessment results with satellite data (e.g. land use with Sentinel-2 imagery) and historical records.
- ✓ External validation: Hire independent evaluators (e.g. anthropologists + physicists) to review stakeholder and knowledge maps.
- ✓ Reproducibility: Apply the same methodology in two similar communities and compare results.

Key indicators:

- ✓ Coherence between computational model and local perceptions (e.g. % agreement on identified priorities).
- ✓ Level of participation (% of community involved in diagnosis)

Phase 2: Transformative observation. Inspired by the quantum principle that observation affects the state of the system, this phase focuses on building forms of community observation that promote transformative change. It proposes to develop processes of deep listening, territorial narratives and emotional mapping as tools to interpret the agri-food landscape from the perspective of its own actors. These inputs are used to identify emerging patterns, tensions and opportunities. This observation does not aim at passive diagnosis, but at the activation of reflexive processes that mobilise collective action. To this end, 'community observers' - local agents trained to identify signs of change, document knowledge and facilitate feedback processes within the system - are trained. This phase culminates in the co-construction of visions of a desired future, understood as fields of possibility open to multiple configurations.

Actions:

- ✓ Application of context-sensitive qualitative methods (ethnographic interviews, emotional mapping).
- ✓ Community workshops to reinterpret local reality from a quantum logic: what is observed changes the system.
- ✓ Training of 'community observers' trained to identify emerging patterns and opportunities for intervention without disrupting the social fabric.

Expected result: Shared construction of a desired vision of the rural future from a quantum, contextual and transformative logic.

Validation: To measure the impact of community observation on the construction of visions of the future.

Methods:

- ✓ Pre-post intervention: Pre- and post-workshop surveys and focus groups to assess changes in perceptions.
- ✓ Narrative analysis: Use of NLP (Natural Language Processing) to identify changes in sustainability discourses.
- ✓ External audit: Independent evaluators analyse emotional mappings to avoid bias.

Key indicators:

- ✓ Degree of consensus on the vision of the future (index of diversity of responses).
- ✓ Level of adoption of proposed practices (% of families implementing changes)

Phase 3: Socio-ecological interweaving. This phase puts into practice the principle of entanglement, understood as the deep interdependence between elements of the system, even at a distance. Quantum learning nodes will be established as living laboratories where traditional knowledge, agro-ecological practices, appropriate biotechnologies and new tools such as quantum sensors, climate prediction algorithms and adaptive bio-indicators will be integrated. These nodes will operate under a logic of epistemological reciprocity, in which horizontal dialogue between farmers, scientists, technicians and rural youth generates new hybrid knowledge. Intercommunal networks will be promoted for the exchange of experiences, the co-production of innovations and the territorial articulation of regenerative solutions. In this way, an ecosystem of continuous learning is generated, which strengthens technological sovereignty and social capital from a systemic perspective.

This concept is fundamental to driving agricultural innovation, although it currently has significant shortcomings in describing specific technical protocols for integrating quantum sensors and identifying ethical risks, such as the potential technology gap in rural communities. To strengthen it, it is proposed to incorporate a flowchart that visualises how 'learning nodes' make connections between traditional local knowledge (such as ancestral agricultural calendars) and advanced technologies (such as IoT-enabled moisture sensors).

Actions:

- ✓ Co-creation of quantum learning nodes: spaces where ancestral knowledge and emerging agricultural technologies (such as quantum sensors, agro-ecological AI) coexist.
- ✓ Integration of local, national and international networks sharing regenerative practices.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 21 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

- ✓ Development of agro-ecological prototypes inspired by quantum physics (bio-sounding gardens, networked biodynamics).

Expected result: An ecosystem of social and ecological innovation, interwoven between generations, cultures and disciplines.

Validation: To verify the effectiveness of quantum learning nodes and technologies.

Methods:

- ✓ Controlled trials: Comparing plots with/without quantum sensors (e.g. soil spectroscopy) in terms of productivity and biodiversity.
- ✓ Knowledge networks: Social network analysis to measure information flows between actors.
- ✓ Technology assessment: Review by independent engineers of the usability of tools (e.g. AI apps for farmers).

Key indicators:

- ✓ Increase in connectivity between actors (social network density).
- ✓ Improvement in agronomic indicators (e.g. % reduction in agrochemical use)

Phase 4: Strategic overlap. This phase seeks to design multiscale interventions capable of generating simultaneous impacts on different dimensions of the system. Inspired by the concept of quantum superposition, it is proposed to implement strategies that address productive, environmental, social and cultural challenges simultaneously, avoiding fragmented approaches. These strategies will be co-designed with communities and should be evaluated not only for their immediate effectiveness, but also for their capacity to activate processes of sustainable transformation, organisational resilience and ecosystem regeneration. In this sense, the construction of local public policies that recognise the simultaneity of agro-ecosystem functions and promote synergistic actions will be promoted. The assessment will be based on quantum indicators such as adaptive capacity, functional diversity, connectivity between actors and institutional flexibility.

Actions:

- ✓ Modelling of strategic interventions based on the logic of overlap: one action generates several simultaneous outcomes.
- ✓ Implementing local public policies with quantum logic: small changes with effects on multiple dimensions.
- ✓ Evaluation according to quantum principles: resilience, adaptability, co-dependence and non-linearity.

Expected result: Design of multiscale regenerative strategies that operate from simultaneity rather than linearity

Validation: To determine whether interventions have multidimensional effects.

Methods:

- ✓ Multi-criteria assessment: Using tools such as Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) analysis to weight social, environmental and economic impacts.
- ✓ Scenario simulation: AI modelling to predict long-term impacts (e.g. climate impacts in 10 years).
- ✓ Comparative case studies: Contrasting communities with/without 'overlay' intervention.

Key indicators:

- ✓ Synergy between dimensions (e.g. correlation between improved food sovereignty and biodiversity conservation).
- ✓ Crisis resilience (recovery time after drought)

Phase 5: Deliberate quantum collapse. In this final phase it is proposed to collectively drive the 'collapse' of the multiple possibilities towards a shared and desired reality through processes of democratic deliberation, strategic planning and coordinated action. This is not a destructive collapse, but a creative one, in which communities consciously decide which of the multiple potential realities they wish to realise. To this end, decentralised governance mechanisms, co-decision networks and digital platforms for participatory territorial intelligence will be established. Monitoring will be adaptive, using early warning systems, machine learning and regenerative metrics to continuously adjust policies and ensure their relevance. The result will be a flexible institutional architecture, responsive to change and anchored in the quantum principles of complexity, relationality and emergent transformation.

Actions:

- ✓ Deliberative processes to collectively 'collapse' the desired reality from multiple possibilities (consensual future vision).
- ✓ Installation of agri-food governance systems inspired by quantum principles (decentralised networks, continuous feedback, emergent innovation).
- ✓ Continuous monitoring with AI-based adaptive systems to assess impacts and reconfigure actions.

Expected result: materialisation of a quantum-local agricultural model that strengthens the sovereignty, resilience and dignity of rural communities.

Validation: To validate adaptive governance and the materialisation of the collective vision.

Methods:

- ✓ Real-time monitoring: IoT + blockchain platforms to record decisions and outcomes (auditable by third parties).
- ✓ Randomised impact evaluation: Random assignment of communities to different governance models.
- ✓ Social equity indicators: Consultation with marginalised groups (e.g. women, youth) on inclusion in decisions.

Key indicators:

- ✓ Efficiency of decision-making (time between problem and action).
- ✓ Community satisfaction (approval rating in anonymous surveys)

This methodology proposes a paradigm shift in the way we intervene in rural food systems. By incorporating the principles of quantum nature as a guide for the design and evaluation of social and technological interventions, it opens up a horizon of possibilities that allows us to imagine and build just, sustainable and regenerative futures. Rural Quantum Tuning is not just a technical proposal, but an invitation to re-encounter the multiple dimensions of rural life from a perspective of complexity, collaboration and hope.

5.2. Methodology for Verification

Proposed experimental design:

Overall objective: To evaluate the effect of quantum patterns on crops grown in controlled and agro-ecological conditions.

a) Selected crops
  - ✓ Two short-cycle species: Raphanus sativus (radish) and Lactuca sativa (lettuce).
  - ✓ Justification: rapid growth, ease of management, and a visible response to environmental variables

b) Experimental groups
  - ✓ Control group: Crop without energy intervention.
  - ✓ Experimental group: Culture exposed to a specific quantum pattern: Sacred geometry imprinted on the ground (e.g. the Flower of Life).
  - ✓ Frequency-emitting device based on quantum principles (e.g. Schumann generators).

c) Structured information on the amount of water used for irrigation (reported water).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

- ✓ The following variables will be observed:
  - i) Germination percentage
  - ii) Daily growth rate
  - iii) Fresh and dry biomass at the end of the cycle
  - iv) Pest infestation index
  - v) Electrical conductivity and pH of the substrate before and after
- ✓ Experimental conditions:
  - i) Controlled environment (greenhouse or protected beds)
  - ii) Homogeneous soil (an agro-ecological substrate free from agrochemicals)
  - iii) Irrigation with structured or neutral water as appropriate
  - iv) A minimum of 30 replicates per group for statistical validity
- ✓ Methods of analysis:
  - i) Statistical analysis: Student's t-test to compare means;
  - ii) Daily photographic documentation and phenological record;
  - iii) Parallel ethnographic recording of farmers' perceptions (the symbolic dimension of the process).

d) Transdisciplinary epistemological articulation

Rather than reducing quantum agriculture to a set of mechanical or utilitarian outcomes, the proposed design seeks to provide a methodological bridge between ancient knowledge and holistic observation of the natural world, and scientific validation frameworks. In this sense, it is recognised that the quantum effect may not be limited to material variables and that the intention of the observer, the state of the system and the coherence of the energetic environment may also be determining factors.

e) Future projections

If this experimental proposal is validated, it could lead to the systematisation of a body of evidence legitimising quantum agriculture as an alternative, regenerative and conscious production method. Furthermore, with the active participation of farmers, academics and earth healers, these experiments could be adapted to different cultural and geographical contexts, thus strengthening an open, living and plural science.

6. Discussion

The integration of quantum principles, ancestral knowledge and local food systems, as proposed by Pook et al. (2025), redefines the way we conceive of agriculture, not as a mechanical activity, but as a process of co-creation with nature. The Rural Quantum Tuning proposal is situated within the same holistic logic, transforming rural intervention into a relational act that simultaneously recognises the crises and potentials of territories (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Empirical evidence in a quantum possibilities framework.

Quantum Principle	Agroecological Analogy	Empirical Evidence
Overlapping	Orchards as multifunctional systems	15 orchards in Quintana Roo (Mexico) with 120 simultaneous uses (Pulido et al., 2017).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

Intertwining	Peasant seed networks	Interdependence in indigenous networks (Lugo-Morin, 2020).
Observation	Community participation as 'measurement'	Emotional cartographies in Yucatán (Mexico) (Gurri et al., 2021).

Source: Own elaboration based on references (Pulido et al., 2017; Lugo-Morin, 2020; Gurri et al., 2021).

According to Wright, Kieft & von Diest, (2027), ancestral knowledge and modern science can engage in a horizontal dialogue; this methodology does not aim to replace traditional practices, but to strengthen them through the respectful incorporation of new analytical and technological tools. The analogy of quantum superposition, used to describe the multifunctionality of family agroecosystems, finds strong support both in peasant.

Empirical evidence that quantum phenomena, such a coherence and tunneling, are involved in fundamental biological processes supports the relevance of thinking about agroecosystems as systems in which information and energy flow in non-classical ways (McFadden & Al-Khalili, 2018). This understanding not only legitimises the use of quantum ecology as an interpretive framework, but also broadens the scientific basis for implementing new technologies, such as quantum sensors, in agroecological management. However, as Bernardini, Gulla & Nastati (2023) warn, the application of quantum concepts at macroscopic scales requires epistemological care to avoid trivialising their implications. In this proposal, quantum principles operate as robust analytical metaphors and inspirations for new applied technologies, rather than as a literal translation of subatomic physics into the social domain.

The phenomena of interdependence described by Eno and Makinde (2024), where changes in one element produce systemic effects at a distance without immediate causal links, are echoed in the observation of how changes in rural dynamics (for example, in pollinators or native seeds) have cross-cutting effects on local food webs. This confirms that the methodological approach of rural quantum tuning is consistent with a complex and non-linear understanding of territory. Cayre et al. (2018) argue that food production can be a strategy for social transformation, beyond the economic. From this perspective, the proposed quantum agriculture is articulated not only as a technological innovation, but also as a regenerative cultural movement that seeks to re-establish the human-nature connection in a context of ecological crisis. However, the implementation of this proposal faces significant challenges. Woolnough et al. (2023) warns that complexity-based approaches require flexible governance frameworks and adaptive institutional capacities. The rigidity of current institutional structures based on linear and hierarchical models may limit the adoption of a methodology that requires ethical sensitivity, epistemic openness and the political will to sustain open, self-organised and long-term community processes.

The theoretical-methodological analysis allows us to confirm that Rural Quantum Tuning is a methodological proposal that responds to the contemporary needs of managing rural complexity from a perspective of resilience, biocultural diversity and food sovereignty. This vision proposes a necessary break with paradigms of control and efficiency in order to embrace instead a logic of cooperation, adaptability and co-evolution between humans and nature.

The philosophical implications of a quantum rural approach. Recognising that reality is neither unique nor linear, but plural and situated, opens up the possibility of imagining multiple futures, not as abstract utopias, but as practical horizons built from the local. In this sense, quantum agriculture is not simply a productive technique, but an ethics of care, a poetics of territory and a politics of life. This methodological proposal is therefore an invitation to think about rural regeneration as a creative, collective and profoundly hopeful process.

The proposed verifiable methodological design for quantum agriculture goes beyond the traditional mechanistic approach by establishing a transdisciplinary epistemological bridge that

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

integrates ancestral knowledge, holistic observation and scientific validation. It recognises that quantum phenomena in agriculture are not limited to material variables, but include dimensions such as the intention of the observer, the energetic coherence of the environment and the dynamic state of the system. This articulation broadens the agronomic paradigm, incorporating perspectives from agroecology, quantum physics and complexity sciences, allowing for a deeper understanding of the interplay between matter, energy and consciousness in agricultural processes.

If experimentally validated, this approach could legitimise quantum agriculture as a regenerative, conscious and scientifically grounded model, paving the way for its adaptation in diverse cultural and geographical contexts. Its collaborative implementation-with farmers, scientists and traditional knowledge keepers-would foster an open and pluralistic science, where knowledge evolves through practice and interdisciplinary dialogue. This would lay the foundations for a new production paradigm that reconciles technology and sustainability, efficiency and ecological balance, offering viable alternatives to the food and environmental challenges of the future.

The theoretical hypothesis that quantum information structures (energetic devices, frequencies or sacred geometries) can influence agronomic indicators such as germination, biomass and pest resistance is presented in an innovative epistemological framework that transcends the reductionist paradigm. Synthesising ancestral knowledge (traditional empirical evidence), holistic observation (non-linear systemic interactions) and scientific validation, this hypothesis recognises that quantum phenomena in agroecosystems involve material and non-material variables, such as intention, energy coherence and the quantum state of the system. While it finds partial theoretical support in quantum biology (e.g. photosynthesis and magnetoreception) and documented bioelectromagnetic effects, key methodological challenges remain: a) operationally defining 'quantum information' in macroscopic agricultural contexts; b) isolating quantum variables from other agroecological factors through controlled experimental designs; and c) establishing verifiable causal mechanisms that explain how specific geometric patterns or frequencies modulate biological processes. The work of Marais et al. (2018) makes targeted contributions in this line of research.

7. Conclusions

This methodology proposes a radical reconfiguration of rural food system interventions, integrating quantum principles as a guiding axis for designing socio-technical innovations. Transcending the purely technocratic approach, Rural Quantum Tuning emerges as a holistic framework that reconciles advanced science with ancestral knowledge. This framework articulates quantum observation (superposition, entanglement and collapse of states) with traditional agro-ecological indicators. This approach broadens the scope of potential interventions and redefines success criteria, prioritising biocultural resilience, cognitive sovereignty, and ecosystem regeneration over mere productivity increases. However, ethical challenges such as data sovereignty (blockchain technology), indigenous consent (ILO Convention 169) and non-retaliatory community veto mechanisms may arise.

Author contributions: DRLM designed and wrote the entire text that supports the manuscript. From a qualitative perspective, an individual analysis of academic documents.

Funding: This work did not have external funding

Acknowledgments: Not Applicable

Conflict of interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement: No data or code were generated for this manuscript.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

References

Bernardini, R., Gulla, D., Nastati, E. (2023). Quantum agriculture and experimental detection of wheat flour quality using thermal image Technology. *Heliyon* 9(9), e19899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19899

Cayre, P., Audrey, M., et al. (2018). The Coexistence of Multiple Worldviews in Livestock Farming Drives Agroecological Transition. A Case Study in French Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) Cheese Mountain Areas. *Sustainability* 10(4), 1097. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10041097

Charbonneau, B., Giguère, A. (2025). The Polycrisis and the Uncertainty Possibility Space. Global *Sustainability* 8, e4. https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2025.9

Elumalai, P., Gao, X., et al. (2025). Agrochemical pollution: A serious threat to environmental health. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health* 43, 100597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2025.100597

Eno, U., Makinde, P. (2024). The economic butterfly effect of agriculture supply chains: A comparative assessment of the United States of America and Nigeria. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews* 23(01), 2621-2637. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2167

Gurri, F.D., Ruiz-Garcia, W., et al. (2021). Effect of Seasonality on Food Access in Subsistence and Cash Dependent Maya Households in Large and Small Rural Communities in Yucatan, Mexico. Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems. 2021; 46 (1): 56–81. https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2021.1930623

Islam, B., et al. (2025). Current trends in household food insecurity, dietary diversity, and stunting among children under five in Asia: a systematic review. *Journal of Global Health* 15, 04049. https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.15.04049

Jerab, D. (2025). An Overview of Complexity Theory and Characteristics of Complex Adaptive Systems. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5094533

Lawrence, M. (2024). Polycrisis in the Anthropocene: An Invitation to Contributions and Debates. *Global Sustainability* 7, e5. https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2024.2

Leatherman, T., Goodman, A., Stillman, J. (2020). A Critical Biocultural Perspective on Tourism and the Nutrition Transition in the Yucatan. In: Azcorra, H., Dickinson, F. (eds.) Culture, Environment and Health in the Yucatan Peninsula. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27001-8_6

Lope-Alzina, D. (2017). Cuatro décadas de estudio en huertos familiares Maya-Yucatecos: hacia la comprensión de su variación y complejidad. *Gaia Scientia* 11(3), 160-184. https://doi.org/10.22478/ufpb.1981-1268.2017v11n3.35457

Lugo-Morin, D.R. (2020). Indigenous communities and their food systems: a contribution to the current debate. *Journal Ethn. Food* 7, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42779-019-0043-1

Lugo-Morin, D.R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence on Food Vulnerability: Future Implications within a Framework of Opportunities and Challenges. *Societies* 14(7), 106. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc14070106

Marais, A., Adams, B., et al. (2018). The future of quantum biology. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 1520180640. http://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2018.0640

McFadden, J., Al-Khalili, J. (2018). The origins of quantum biology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A.* 474(2220), 1-13. http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2018.0674

Pioneering quantum information science. (2022). *Nature Computational Science* 2, 687-688. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-022-00368-0

Pook, T., Vandenplas, J., et al. (2025). Assessing the potential of quantum computing in agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 235, 110332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2025.110332

Pulido-Salas, M., Ordoñez M.J., et al. (2017). Flora, usos y algunas causales de cambio en quince huertos familiares en el municipio de Jose Maria Morelos, Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Peninsula* 12(1), 119-145.

Rosa, L., Sangiorgio, M. (2025). Global water gaps under future warming levels. *Nature Communications* 16, 1192. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-56517-2

Sanchez, J., Gitau, E., et al. (2025). The climate crisis and human health: identifying grand challenges through participatory research. *The Lancet Global Health* 13(2), e199-e200. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(25)00003-8

Suuk, S., Laube, W., et al. (2025). The Adoption of Farmer managed Natural Regeneration in Dogonkiria, Niger. *Human Ecology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-025-00568-y

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1621.v1

United Nations. (2025). World Economic Situation and Prospects 2025. New York: UN. Available on: https://desapublications.un.org/publications/world-economic-situation-and-prospects-2025

Watson, A., Simone, G., Joshua, M. (2024). Recent Advances in Social Metabolism Research: Sources and Methods. *Historical Methods: A Journal of Quantitative and Interdisciplinary History* 57(4), 199-204. https://doi.org/10.1080/01615440.2024.2441076

Wiebe, A., Wilcove, D. (2025). Global biodiversity loss from outsourced deforestation. *Nature* 639, 389-394. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08569-5

Woolnough, A., Hollenberg, L., et al. (2023). Quantum computing: a new paradigm for ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 38(8), 727-735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2023.04.001

Wright, J., Kieft, H., von Diest, S. (2017). Quantum-Based Agriculture: The Final Frontier. Innovative Research for Organic 3.0 - Volume 1: Proceedings of the Scientific Track at the Organic World Congress 2017, November 9-11 in Delhi India. https://doi.org/10.3220/REP1510907717000