

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

On the Generalized Dirichlet Divisor Problem

[Runbo Li](#)*

Posted Date: 17 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1432.v2

Keywords: Dirichlet divisor problem; Riemann zeta-function; LARGE value theorem



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

On the Generalized Dirichlet Divisor Problem

Runbo Li

International Curriculum Center, The High School Affiliated to Renmin University of China, Beijing, China; carey.lee.0433@gmail.com

Abstract

Using more advanced results on the growth exponent for Riemann zeta-function and accurate numerical estimations, we obtain better upper bounds for α_k ($9 \leq k \leq 20$) on the generalized Dirichlet divisor problem. This gives a minor improvement upon the recent result of Trudgian and Yang.

Keywords: Dirichlet divisor problem; Riemann zeta-function; LARGE value theorem

MSC: 11M06, 11N37, 11N56

1. Introduction

Let $k \geq 2$ denotes an integer and $d_k(n)$ is the divisor function that represents the number of ways n may be written as a product of exactly k factors. The generalized Dirichlet divisor problem consists of the estimation of the function

$$\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n) - xP_{k-1}(\log x), \quad (1)$$

where P_{k-1} is an explicit polynomial of degree $k-1$. Clearly we have $\Delta_k(x) = o(x)$. We then define α_k as the least exponent for which

$$\Delta_k(x) \ll x^{\alpha_k + \varepsilon}. \quad (2)$$

In 1916, Hardy [1] first proved a lower bound that $\alpha_k \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}$ for all $k \geq 2$. The generalized Dirichlet divisor problem conjecture states that $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}$ holds for all $k \geq 2$, and this conjecture implies the Lindelöf hypothesis. Now, the best upper bounds for α_k ($k \leq 8$) are

$$\alpha_2 \leq 0.3144831759741, \quad \alpha_3 \leq \frac{43}{96}, \quad \alpha_k \leq \frac{3k-4}{4k} \text{ for } 4 \leq k \leq 8$$

by Li and Yang [2], Kolesnik [3] and Heath-Brown [4] (and Ivić [5]) respectively. Ivić also gave upper bounds with $k \geq 9$ in his book. For results with large k , one can see works of Heath-Brown [6] and Bellotti and Yang [7]. We also refer the readers to the blueprint of the new project ANTEDB organized by Tao, Trudgian and Yang [8].

In 1989, Ivić and Ouellet [9] refined the technique used in and gave better bounds for α_k with $k \geq 9$. In [5], Ivić connected this problem with the function $m(\sigma)$ defined as follows: For any fixed $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ we define $m(\sigma)$ as the supremum of all numbers $m \geq 4$ such that

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^m dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon}. \quad (3)$$

In order to obtain good bounds for α_k , one need to get lower bounds for $m(\sigma)$. Ivić and Ouellet [9] used a large value theorem and growth exponents for Riemann zeta–function to bound $m(\sigma)$. Specially, for $10 \leq k \leq 20$ they got

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{10} &\leq 0.675, & \alpha_{11} &\leq 0.6957, & \alpha_{12} &\leq 0.7130, & \alpha_{13} &\leq 0.7306, \\ \alpha_{14} &\leq 0.7461, & \alpha_{15} &\leq 0.75851, & \alpha_{16} &\leq 0.7691, & \alpha_{17} &\leq 0.7785, \\ \alpha_{18} &\leq 0.7868, & \alpha_{19} &\leq 0.7942, & \alpha_{20} &\leq 0.8009. \end{aligned}$$

In 2024, Trudgian and Yang [10] mentioned a series of new bounds for α_k . They combined the method of Ivić and Ouellet [9] with their new growth exponents for Riemann zeta–function to obtain those bounds.

Theorem 1. ([10], Theorem 2.9). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_9 &\leq 0.64720, & \alpha_{10} &\leq 0.67173, & \alpha_{11} &\leq 0.69156, & \alpha_{12} &\leq 0.70818, \\ \alpha_{13} &\leq 0.72350, & \alpha_{14} &\leq 0.73696, & \alpha_{15} &\leq 0.74886, & \alpha_{16} &\leq 0.75952, \\ \alpha_{17} &\leq 0.76920, & \alpha_{18} &\leq 0.77792, & \alpha_{19} &\leq 0.78581, & \alpha_{20} &\leq 0.79297, & \alpha_{21} &\leq 0.79951. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we use the essentially same methods to give a very minor improvement on their results.

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_9 &\leq 0.638889, & \alpha_{10} &\leq 0.663329, & \alpha_{11} &\leq 0.684349, & \alpha_{12} &\leq 0.701768, \\ \alpha_{13} &\leq 0.717611, & \alpha_{14} &\leq 0.732262, & \alpha_{15} &\leq 0.745070, & \alpha_{16} &\leq 0.756380, \\ \alpha_{17} &\leq 0.766588, & \alpha_{18} &\leq 0.775721, & \alpha_{19} &\leq 0.783939, & \alpha_{20} &\leq 0.791374. \end{aligned}$$

2. Growth Exponents for Riemann Zeta–function

In this section we list the new growth exponents for Riemann zeta–function proved by Trudgian and Yang [10], which is the most powerful and important input in the proof of Theorem 2. In the proof of Theorem 1 a weaker version of this was used.

Lemma 1. ([8], Table 6.2). *We have*

$$\mu(\sigma) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{31}{36} - \frac{3}{7}\sigma, & \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{88225}{153852}, \\ \frac{220633}{620612} - \frac{62831}{155153}\sigma, & \frac{88225}{153852} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{521}{796}, \\ \frac{1333}{3825} - \frac{1508}{3825}\sigma, & \frac{521}{796} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{53141}{76066}, \\ \frac{405}{1202} - \frac{227}{601}\sigma, & \frac{53141}{76066} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{454}{641}, \\ \frac{779}{2590} - \frac{423}{1295}\sigma, & \frac{454}{641} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3473692}{4856993}, \\ \frac{1610593}{5622410} - \frac{861996}{2811205}\sigma, & \frac{3473692}{4856993} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{52209}{69128}, \\ \frac{157319}{560830} - \frac{251324}{841245}\sigma, & \frac{52209}{69128} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1389}{1736}, \\ \frac{2841}{10316} - \frac{754}{2579}\sigma, & \frac{1389}{1736} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{587779}{702192}, \\ \frac{1691}{6554} - \frac{890}{3277}\sigma, & \frac{587779}{702192} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{7441}{8695}, \\ \frac{29}{130} - \frac{3}{13}\sigma, & \frac{7441}{8695} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{277}{300}, \\ \frac{3}{23} - \frac{3}{23}\sigma, & \frac{277}{300} \leq \sigma < 1. \end{cases}$$

In order to use the large value theorem in the next section, we also need the following two results, which give the upper bounds for $\mu(\sigma)$ when $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$:

Lemma 2. ([8], Lemma 6.4). We have

$$\mu(\sigma) = \mu(1 - \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} - \sigma$$

for all $0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 3. ([8], Lemma 6.5). We have

$$\mu(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} - \sigma$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$.

3. A Large Value Theorem

Now we provide a new large value theorem, which is a refined version of Ivić's large value theorem [5], Lemma 8.2]. Note that Ivić's version was used by Ivić and Ouellet [9].

Lemma 4. Let t_1, \dots, t_R be real numbers such that $T \leq t_r \leq 2T$ for $r = 1, \dots, R$ and $|t_r - t_s| \geq (\log T)^4$ for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq R$. If

$$T^\epsilon < V \leq \left| \sum_{m \sim M} a_m m^{-\sigma - it_r} \right|$$

where $a_m \ll M^\epsilon$ for $m \sim M$, $1 \ll M \ll T^C$, then

$$R \ll T^\epsilon \left(M^{2-2\sigma} V^{-2} + TV^{-f(\sigma)} \right),$$

where

$$f(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3-4\sigma}, & \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{58}{63-80\sigma}, & \frac{2}{3} < \sigma \leq \frac{583}{860}, \\ \frac{47}{41-50\sigma}, & \frac{583}{860} < \sigma \leq \frac{16581}{24022}, \\ \frac{4968}{3981-4774\sigma}, & \frac{16581}{24022} < \sigma \leq \frac{1333047}{1920826}, \\ \frac{15998}{12283-14600\sigma}, & \frac{1333047}{1920826} < \sigma \leq \frac{3269}{4658}, \\ \frac{656601}{497599-589921\sigma}, & \frac{3269}{4658} < \sigma \leq \frac{644621}{905666}, \\ \frac{2210899}{1649056-1949209\sigma}, & \frac{644621}{905666} < \sigma \leq \frac{4491541}{6228387}, \\ \frac{1037}{743-872\sigma}, & \frac{4491541}{6228387} < \sigma \leq \frac{592}{819}, \\ \frac{503}{325-374\sigma}, & \frac{592}{819} < \sigma \leq \frac{140323}{193464}, \\ \frac{12982}{8109-9268\sigma}, & \frac{140323}{193464} < \sigma \leq \frac{1461}{1982}, \\ \frac{1061878}{648903-738576\sigma}, & \frac{1461}{1982} < \sigma \leq \frac{1960121}{2577906}, \\ \frac{146}{85-96\sigma}, & \frac{1960121}{2577906} < \sigma \leq \frac{76}{97}, \\ \frac{158}{67-72\sigma}, & \frac{76}{97} < \sigma \leq \frac{1960121}{2419300}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow the arguments in [5], Lemma 8.2]. Let $c(\sigma)$ be an upper bound for $\mu(\sigma)$. By Lemmas 1–3, we know that $c(\sigma)$ can be written in the form $A - B\sigma$ with positive A, B when $\sigma \leq 0.8$. We

choose 0.8 as the end point because it is enough for our proof of Theorem 2. Let $\theta = \theta(\sigma)$ be implicitly defined by

$$2c(\theta) + 1 + \theta - 2(1 + c(\theta))\sigma = 0. \quad (4)$$

Suppose that for some σ , the value of θ lies in an interval $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ with fixed A, B . Then by (4) we have

$$\theta = \frac{2(1 + A)\sigma - (2A + 1)}{2B\sigma + (1 - 2B)}. \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, let

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{2(1 + c(\theta))}{c(\theta)}. \quad (6)$$

The values of $f(\sigma)$ when $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 0.8$ are listed above. Now by similar arguments as in the proof of [[5], Lemma 8.2], Lemma 4 is proved. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We shall use the method of Ivić and Ouellet [9] to prove Theorem 2. It was shown in [[5], Chapter 8] that to obtain bounds for $m(\sigma)$ it suffices to obtain bounds of the form

$$R \ll T^{1+\varepsilon} V^{-m(\sigma)}, \quad (7)$$

where R is the number of points $t_r (1 \leq r \leq R)$ such that $|t_r| \leq T$, $|t_r - t_s| \geq (\log T)^4$ for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq R$ and $|\zeta(\sigma + it_r)| \geq V > 0$ for any given V . Moreover, by [[5], (8.97)] we know that

$$R \ll T^\varepsilon \left(TV^{-2f(\sigma)} + T^{\frac{4-4\sigma}{1+2\sigma}} V^{\frac{-12}{1+2\sigma}} + T^{\frac{4(1-\sigma)(\kappa+\lambda)}{(2-4\lambda)\sigma-1+2\kappa-2\lambda}} V^{\frac{-4(1+2\kappa+2\lambda)}{(2-4\lambda)\sigma-1+2\kappa-2\lambda}} \right), \quad (8)$$

where (κ, λ) is an exponent pair. We shall use $(\kappa, \lambda) = \left(\frac{3}{40}, \frac{31}{40}\right)$ in the rest of our paper for the sake of convenience.

Note that $c(\sigma)$ is an upper bound for $\mu(\sigma)$ given by Lemmas 1–3. By (8) and the definitions of $f(\sigma)$ and $c(\sigma)$, we can easily calculate the corresponding $m(\sigma)$ for σ between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0.8. Numerical calculation gives that

$$\begin{array}{llll} m(0.638889) > 9, & m(0.663329) > 10, & m(0.684349) > 11, & m(0.701768) > 12, \\ m(0.717611) > 13, & m(0.732262) > 14, & m(0.745070) > 15, & m(0.756380) > 16, \\ m(0.766588) > 17, & m(0.775721) > 18, & m(0.783939) > 19, & m(0.791374) > 20, \end{array}$$

and Theorem 2 is now proved.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank the Analytic Number Theory Exponent Database (ANTEDB) project.

References

1. Hardy, G.H. On Dirichlet's divisor problem. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **1916**, *15*, 1–25.
2. Li, X.; Yang, X. An improvement on Gauss's Circle Problem and Dirichlet's Divisor Problem. *arXiv e-prints* **2023**, p. arXiv:2308.14859v2, [arXiv:math.NT/2308.14859]. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.14859>.
3. Kolesnik, G. On the estimation of multiple exponential sums. In *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979)*; Academic Press, London–New York, 1981; pp. 231–246.
4. Heath-Brown, D.R. Mean values of the zeta-function and divisor problems. In *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979)*; Academic Press, London–New York, 1981; pp. 115–119.
5. Ivić, A. *The Riemann zeta-function*; Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2003.

6. Heath-Brown, D.R. A new k -th derivative estimate for exponential sums via Vinogradov's mean value. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **2017**, *296*, 88–103.
7. Bellotti, C.; Yang, A. On the generalised Dirichlet divisor problem. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **2024**, *56*, 1859–1878.
8. Tao, T.; Trudgian, T.; Yang, A. Database of known results on analytic number theory exponents.
9. Ivić, A.; Ouellet, M. Some new estimates in the Dirichlet divisor problem. *Acta Arith.* **1989**, *52*, 241–253.
10. Trudgian, T.S.; Yang, A. Toward optimal exponent pairs. *arXiv e-prints* **2024**, p. arXiv:2306.05599v3, [arXiv:math.NT/2306.05599]. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.05599>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.