Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Time as a Quantum Decay Process: The Quantum Origin of Time and the Cosmological Constant Problem

Daihun Kang *

Posted Date: 10 March 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

Keywords: quantum decay; cosmological constant problem; time dilation; dark energy; quantum gravity; vacuum energy density; gravitational time dilation; relativistic time dilation; emergent time; quantum mechanics-relativity bridge

Article

Time as a Quantum Decay Process: The Quantum Origin of Time and the Cosmological Constant Problem

Daihun Kang [1,2]

[1] College of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Republic of Korea; gpk1234567@naver.com

[2] Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Ewha Womans University Seoul Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ORCID iD: 0000-0003-3517-5532

Abstract: This paper presents a novel theoretical framework that reinterprets the cosmological constant problem through a quantum decay perspective. I propose a time-dependent quantum vacuum decay model wherein vacuum energy density gradually decreases through probabilistic processes, naturally explaining the vast 10^{120} discrepancy between Quantum Field Theory predictions and observed dark energy values. Beyond addressing this longstanding problem, this model reveals a profound connection between quantum decay and time itself, suggesting time is an emergent phenomenon fundamentally linked to quantum decay rates. This perspective offers elegant interpretations of gravitational time dilation, relativistic time dilation, and the cosmic speed limit without modifying general relativity or quantum mechanics, but by unifying their underlying mechanisms. I demonstrate mathematical correspondence between quantum decay rates and relativistic time dilation formulas, providing a microscopic foundation for macroscopic spacetime phenomena. The theory generates specific, testable predictions for precision atomic clock experiments, black hole observations, and cosmological measurements, potentially resolving tensions in current Lambda Cold Dark Matter model data. This framework bridges quantum mechanics and relativity by establishing a common mechanism governing both the cosmological constant and the nature of time, offering a pathway toward reconciling two pillars of modern physics.

Keywords: quantum decay; cosmological constant problem; time dilation; dark energy; quantum gravity; vacuum energy density; gravitational time dilation; relativistic time dilation; emergent time; quantum mechanics-relativity bridge

---

1. Introduction

The cosmological constant problem stands as one of the most profound unsolved challenges in theoretical physics.(1) According to Quantum Field Theory (QFT), quantum fluctuations in the vacuum state theoretically predict a vacuum energy density of approximately 1076 GeV^4.(1) However, the observed value of cosmological dark energy is merely 10^{-47} GeV^4,(2) creating an astonishing discrepancy of approximately 10^{120} between theoretical predictions and actual measurements.(3) Previous solutions have relied on extreme fine-tuning or anthropic reasoning,(4) which fail to provide a fundamental physical explanation, offering merely numerical adjustments rather than physical insight.

In this paper, I propose a Time-Dependent Quantum Decay Model to address this problem. This model interprets the vacuum state not as an absolutely stable state but as a metastable state that gradually decays over cosmological time scales. I hypothesize that as the universe expands, vacuum energy probabilistically decreases, with the decay rate determined by a specific decay constant λ. This approach naturally explains the gap between the extremely high vacuum energy density of the early universe and the currently observed dark energy value.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

However, perhaps the most significant contribution of this research is proposing that quantum decay (specifically, the decay of vacuum energy) may be fundamentally connected to the flow of time itself. While conventional physics explains the flow of time through thermodynamic entropy increase,(5) I suggest that quantum decay itself may determine the directionality of time. If quantum decay occurs through probabilistic processes, this implies that relativistic time dilation and gravitational time dilation phenomena could be interpreted in a new framework. Specifically, in environments with strong gravity, quantum decay rates may decrease, manifesting as slower time flow. Similarly, in relativistically fast reference frames, quantum decay may be delayed, reinterpreting the time dilation effects predicted by classical relativity theory.

The structure of this paper is as follows:

Section 2 details the theoretical foundations of the time-dependent quantum decay model and presents it as a solution to the cosmological constant problem. Section 3 explores the fundamental relationship between quantum decay and the flow of time, analyzing how gravitational fields and relativistic motion affect decay rates. Section 4 discusses potential experimental validations of this model, comparing it with the standard ΛCDM model through supernova luminosity distances, cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropy, and large-scale structure formation. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the conclusions of this research, examining whether this model can harmonize with existing physics and the possibility of experimental verification through next-generation observational technologies.

This research aims to provide fundamental physical insights that connect quantum mechanics and relativity by proposing that the flow of time itself may be linked to the rate of quantum decay, potentially offering a new paradigm for understanding the nature of time and gravity.

2. Time-Dependent Quantum Decay Model

This section establishes the theoretical foundation of the time-dependent quantum decay model and demonstrates how it addresses the cosmological constant problem. I first revisit the discrepancy between vacuum energy predictions from standard quantum field theory and the observed dark energy value, then review existing approaches to this problem. Subsequently, I introduce the concept of the Quantum Stochastic Decay Model and mathematically formalize how quantum energy naturally decreases through this process, consistent with cosmological observations.

2.1. The Cosmological Constant Problem and Limitations of Existing Solutions

The cosmological constant problem represents one of the most severe unresolved challenges in modern physics.(6) Quantum field theory predicts enormous vacuum energy due to zero-point fluctuations, but the observed dark energy value is approximately 10^{120} times smaller than theoretical predictions.(1, 7, 8) Various theories have been proposed to explain this discrepancy, but a fundamental solution remains elusive.

2.1.1. Vacuum Energy Density Predicted by Quantum Field Theory

In standard quantum field theory, vacuum energy density is expressed as:

$\rho_{max} = (1/2)\sum_k \hbar\omega_k$

where ω_k is the energy of each mode k. Integrating this expression theoretically yields an enormous energy density of 10^{76} GeV^4. If this value were physically meaningful, it would manifest as dark energy (cosmological constant) in cosmology, yet the actual dark energy is only 10^{-47} GeV^4.(1, 8)

2.1.2. Limitations of Existing Solutions

Several approaches have been proposed to resolve this problem:

- Supersymmetry (SUSY): If perfectly preserved, supersymmetry would cause vacuum energies of bosons and fermions to cancel exactly, resulting in zero vacuum energy. However, supersymmetry is broken in reality, and the residual energy remains too large.(1, 9)
- Inflation & Reheating: Inflation theory suggests vacuum energy might be adjusted during the rapid expansion of the early universe, but fails to explain why it precisely matches the currently

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 10 March 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

observed value.(10)

- Anthropic Principle: Based on multiverse theory, this approach suggests that "only universes with dark energy at current levels can sustain our existence." This relies on observational selection effects rather than fundamental physical mechanisms, making it controversial.(11)
- Dynamical Field Models: Quintessence models explain dark energy through dynamic scalar field evolution but lack clear physical explanations for why it stabilized at the current value.(12)

To overcome these limitations, I propose that vacuum energy may gradually decay over cosmic time scales through probabilistic quantum decay processes that occur naturally.

2.2. Quantum Stochastic Decay Model

I propose a Quantum Stochastic Decay Model where vacuum energy gradually decays over time. The core idea is that the vacuum state is not completely stable but metastable, changing very slowly through quantum tunneling or probabilistic decay processes.

2.2.1. Mathematical Formulation of Quantum Decay

In quantum mechanics, metastable states (such as a false vacuum state) typically exhibit an exponential decay behavior, characterized by a survival probability that decreases over time as:

$$P(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

Where λ is the decay constant that quantifies the probability per unit time of transitioning from the metastable state to the stable state.

Applying this exponential decay law to the vacuum energy density associated with a false vacuum (metastable vacuum state), the vacuum energy density diminishes exponentially according to:

$$\rho_{vac}(t) = \rho_{vac,i} e^{-\lambda t}$$

Here, $\rho_{vac,i}$ explicitly represents the initial vacuum energy density of the false vacuum in the early universe. Through the passage of cosmic time, this vacuum energy density undergoes a progressive reduction driven by quantum decay processes. Consequently, the presently observed minute dark energy density can be naturally explained as the residual vacuum energy after extensive exponential decay:

$$\rho_{vac,obs} = \rho_{vac,i} e^{-\lambda t_{universe}}$$

2.2.2. Physical Significance of the Decay Constant λ

The decay constant λ can be estimated by considering the current age of the universe $t_{universe}$. If the initial vacuum energy was 10^{76} GeV^4 and the current value is 10^{-47} GeV^4, the decay constant can be derived as:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{t_{\text{universe}}} \ln\left(\frac{\rho_{vac,i}}{\rho_{vac,obs}}\right)$$

Through this calculation, an approximate decay constant value of $\lambda = 6.57 \times 10^{-16}$ s^{-1} can be obtained, which is consistent with the order of the universe's age.

2.3. Cosmological Implications of This Model

2.3.1. Resolving the "Identity" Problem of Dark Energy

- According to this model, what we currently observe as dark energy is not a fixed constant but the result of quantum effects that gradually decrease over time.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 10 March 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

- Therefore, the question "Why does dark energy have a specific value?" connects to the anthropic principle of "Why are we observing the universe at this particular moment?"

2.3.2. Predictions for Future Cosmic Evolution

- If vacuum energy continues to decay, dark energy may further decrease in the distant future, potentially slowing the accelerated expansion of the universe.
- This opens the possibility of the universe returning to a matter-dominated state in the far future.

2.3.2. Connection with Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

- This model may influence CMB anisotropy and could be verified through next-generation observations.

2.3.4. Comparison with Other Theoretical Approaches

- The proposed quantum decay model offers a distinct perspective compared to existing theoretical frameworks addressing the cosmological constant problem. Table 1 provides a comparative analysis of various approaches and how they relate to the quantum decay model proposed in this paper.

Table 1. Comparison of Theoretical Approaches to the Cosmological Constant Problem and Time.

Theoretical Approach	Key Concept	Addresses Cosmological Constant Problem	Explains Time Dilation	Relation to Quantum Decay Model
Quintessence (Peebles & Ratra, 2003)	Dynamic scalar field with time-varying equation of state	Yes (dynamic dark energy)	No (relies on general relativity)	Complementary - provides quantum mechanism for what quintessence describes phenomenologically
Modified Gravity (f(R) theories, MOND)	Modification of Einstein's field equations	Partially (alternative to dark energy)	Yes (through modified spacetime geometry)	Compatible - quantum decay could be the underlying cause of modified gravitational dynamics
Supersymmetry (SUSY)	Symmetry between fermions and bosons cancels vacuum energy	Yes (in principle, but requires fine-tuning after SUSY breaking)	No	Complementary - SUSY may explain initial vacuum energy value, quantum decay explains its current value
String Theory Landscape	Multiverse with different vacuum states	Yes (anthropic selection)	Partially (through holography)	Compatible - string landscape provides multiple metastable vacua that could undergo quantum decay
Holographic Principle	Gravitational dynamics encoded on boundary	Yes (through UV/IR connection)	Partially (emergent time)	Compatible - quantum decay may be the microscopic

(Susskind, 't Hooft)				mechanism behind holographic emergence
Emergent Gravity (Verlinde)	Gravity as entropic force	Partially (through modified dynamics)	Yes (as thermodynamic effect)	Compatible - quantum decay could be the fundamental process generating entropic gravity
Causal Set Theory	Discrete spacetime with Poisson sprinkling	Partially (through discreteness)	Yes (through causal structure)	Compatible - quantum decay could determine causal link formation
Quantum Decay (This paper)	Time as quantum decay process	Yes (through gradual decay)	Yes (decay rate = time flow)	Unifies quantum mechanics with relativistic time effects and provides microscopic explanation for dark energy evolution

This comparative analysis demonstrates that the quantum decay model proposed in this paper is not merely an alternative to existing theories but offers a more fundamental explanation that can potentially unify several theoretical approaches by providing the underlying quantum mechanism for both the cosmological constant evolution and relativistic time effects.

2.4. Section Summary and Connection to the Next Section

This section demonstrated that the cosmological constant problem can be addressed through quantum decay processes, where vacuum energy gradually decreases over cosmic time. I have mathematically formalized a quantum stochastic decay model in which vacuum energy density follows an exponential decay pattern, naturally explaining the vast discrepancy between the theoretically predicted value of 10^{76} GeV^4 and the observed dark energy value of 10^{-47} GeV^4. The calculated decay constant of approximately 6.57×10^{-16} s^{-1} aligns with the age of the universe and provides a physical mechanism for vacuum energy evolution without requiring fine-tuning or anthropic reasoning. This quantum decay approach offers a fundamental physical explanation that avoids the limitations of existing solutions such as supersymmetry, inflation theory, and dynamical field models. The next section will explore how this quantum decay process may be fundamentally connected to the flow of time itself, and how gravitational fields and relativistic effects influence decay rates.

3. Quantum Decay and the Flow of Time (Fundamental Reinterpretation of Time)

3.1. Time Flow in Existing Physics

 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

The flow of time has been defined in various ways in physics. In thermodynamics, the law of entropy increase is known to determine the directionality of time; however, it only explains why time flows in a particular direction rather than fundamentally elucidating why time itself exists.(13)

Relativity theory predicts that time can flow differently depending on gravity and relative velocity, which has been experimentally verified through atomic clock experiments and observations of spacetime near black holes.(14) However, existing relativity theory only provides mathematical predictions for time dilation without explaining the fundamental principles of why gravity and velocity affect the flow of time.(15)

In this research, I propose a new interpretation that connects the flow of time with quantum decay processes. That is, the flow of time is the process of quantum decay progression, and I will demonstrate how gravity and velocity affect this decay rate, explaining time dilation effects.

3.2. Why Time Slows in Strong Gravitational Fields

General relativity theory predicted and experimentally verified that time slows down in stronger gravitational fields, as observed near black holes and confirmed through precision experiments.(16, 17) In classical general relativity, this is explained geometrically through spacetime curvature.(18) In this research, I provide a complementary quantum interpretation of gravitational time dilation by connecting it with quantum decay rates.

3.2.1. Relationship with General Relativity's Geometric Interpretation

In Einstein's general relativity, the metric tensor $g_{(mv)}$ describes spacetime curvature, and gravitational time dilation is expressed by the relationship:

$d\tau/dt = \sqrt{(1 + 2\Phi/c^2)} = \sqrt{(1 - 2GM/rc^2)}$

where τ is proper time, t is coordinate time, and Φ is the gravitational potential. This geometric description has been verified with extraordinary precision through experiments such as Pound-Rebka (1959),(16) Gravity Probe A (1976),(17) and more recently with optical atomic clocks.(19)

Rather than contradicting this geometric interpretation, I propose that the underlying physical mechanism driving this geometric effect may be the quantum decay process itself. That is, spacetime curvature and quantum decay rates may be two manifestations of the same fundamental phenomenon.

3.2.2. Quantum Decay Stabilization and Spatial Density

One factor determining decay probability in the vacuum state is the frequency of quantum fluctuation interactions. Quantum decay can proceed faster when quantum particles interact sufficiently with each other. Conversely, in environments where quantum particles are excessively dense, decay may be delayed as individual particle movements are suppressed.

To explain this phenomenon intuitively, we can compare a box filled with basketballs and one with sparsely placed basketballs.

- When basketballs are sparsely placed, individual balls can move freely and exchange energy through collisions.
  - This means quantum fluctuations are active, and quantum decay can proceed rapidly.
- When basketballs are very densely packed, individual balls cannot move freely and maintain a stable state.
  - This means quantum decay is suppressed, resulting in a time-slowing effect.

In strong gravitational fields, space itself transforms into a state with higher energy density, causing quantum particles to experience strong gravitational suppression, preventing free decay. Therefore, stronger gravity reduces quantum decay rates, slowing down time.

3.2.3. Mathematical Formulation and Correspondence with General Relativity

I propose that the decay constant λ in a gravitational potential Φ is modified as follows:

$\lambda_e ff = \lambda_0 \sqrt{(1 + 2\Phi/c^2)}$

where λ_0 represents the decay rate in an ideal vacuum state without gravity. Since Φ is negative in gravitational fields (e.g., Φ = -GM/r for spherical mass), this equation shows that $\lambda_e ff$ decreases in strong gravitational environments.

Remarkably, this equation establishes a direct correspondence with general relativity's time dilation formula. If we define the relationship between decay rate and time flow as:

$d\tau/dt = \lambda_e ff/\lambda_0$

Then our quantum decay model precisely reproduces general relativity's prediction:

$d\tau/dt = \sqrt{(1 + 2\Phi/c^2)}$

This correspondence suggests that the geometric description of spacetime in general relativity may emerge from the more fundamental quantum decay processes occurring at smaller scales.

3.3. Why Time Slows at Higher Velocities

Special relativity theory predicts that time slows down at higher velocities,(20) which has been confirmed through numerous experiments including muon decay measurements, atomic clock experiments on aircraft and satellites, and particle accelerators.(14, 21) In special relativity, this time dilation is mathematically described by the Lorentz transformation.(22) In this research, I propose that this relativistic time dilation phenomenon can be fundamentally interpreted as a relativistic decrease in quantum decay rate λ.

3.3.1. Relationship with Special Relativity's Lorentz Transformations

In Einstein's special relativity, time dilation is expressed by the relationship:

$$\Delta\tau = \Delta t\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

where $\Delta\tau$ is the proper time in the moving frame, and Δt is the time measured in the stationary frame. This effect has been verified to extraordinary precision, most notably in particle accelerators where the lifetimes of unstable particles increase with velocity exactly as predicted by this equation.(21)

I propose that the Lorentz transformation, rather than being merely a mathematical description, emerges from a more fundamental quantum process - specifically, the change in quantum decay rates at relativistic speeds.

3.3.2. Rapid Motion and Quantum Decay Suppression

As velocity increases, the relative effect of quantum fluctuations within a system changes. Particularly, in systems moving at high velocities, individual quantum particles may move in the same direction, reaching a state where they cannot interfere with each other effectively.

This can be explained using the basketball analogy:

- Stationary basketballs can move freely, collide, bounce, and exchange energy.
  - Quantum decay occurs actively, and time flows normally.
- When basketballs move at ultra-high speeds in parallel, individual balls continue without colliding with each other.
  - Quantum decay occurs less frequently, resulting in a time-slowing effect.

3.3.3. Mathematical Formulation and Correspondence with Special Relativity

I propose that the decay rate at relativistic velocity v is expressed as:

$$\lambda_{\text{eff}} = \lambda_0\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

This equation demonstrates that as velocity approaches the speed of light c, λ_{eff} decreases, approaching zero at v = c.

If we accept the premise that the flow of time is directly proportional to the quantum decay rate, then:

$d\tau/dt = \lambda eff/\lambda 0 = \sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}$

This precisely reproduces the time dilation formula from special relativity. This correspondence suggests that Lorentz transformations, rather than being merely mathematical constructs, may emerge from the underlying quantum nature of spacetime.

This interpretation also provides a physical explanation for why unstable particles live longer at higher velocities - their internal quantum decay processes literally slow down, a fact that has been verified in numerous experiments with muons and other particles.

3.4. Why Speeds Faster Than Light Are Impossible

Special relativity theory predicts that speeds exceeding the speed of light are physically impossible.(20) The conventional explanation was that "infinite energy is required to exceed the speed of light."(23) However, in this research, I interpret the reason why the speed of light is an absolute velocity limit in terms of quantum decay rate limitations.

According to previous results, as velocity approaches the speed of light, quantum decay rate progressively decreases, completely stopping at v=c:

$\lambda eff = \lambda 0 \sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}$

This means quantum decay stops at the speed of light, equivalent to time stopping. This implies that if speed exceeds the speed of light, "since the flow of time does not exist," physical changes themselves cannot occur. Consequently, the reason speeds faster than light are impossible can be interpreted as the existence of a critical point where quantum decay rate becomes zero.

3.5. Section Summary

In this research, I have shown that by interpreting the flow of time as a result of quantum decay, gravitational time dilation and relativistic time dilation can be explained as a single physical process.

1. Stronger gravity reduces quantum decay rates, slowing down time.
2. Higher velocity reduces quantum decay rates, slowing down time.
3. Quantum decay stops at the speed of light, explaining why speeds faster than light are impossible.

This may provide an important physical foundation for connecting relativity theory and quantum mechanics, presenting a new paradigm.

4. Experimental Validation Possibilities and Observational Predictions

This section discusses how the theory proposing that time flow is related to quantum decay rates can be experimentally verified. First, I analyze how this theory can be differentiated from existing cosmological models, examining the possibility of comparison with the ΛCDM model through observable cosmological signatures. Then, I present experimental verification methods using ultra-precise atomic clock experiments and GPS satellite systems and discuss additional experimental testing possibilities through observations around black holes.

4.1. Cosmological Validation (Cosmological Tests)

The Time-Dependent Quantum Decay Model proposed in this research suggests that the cosmological constant Λ may not be a fixed value but a dynamically decreasing value. This creates observable differences from the standard ΛCDM (Lambda Cold Dark Matter) model, potentially allowing experimental verification through several cosmological observations.

4.1.1. Supernova Luminosity Distances and Dark Energy Evolution

Type Ia supernovae (SN Ia) luminosity distances serve as a primary observational tool for measuring the accelerated expansion of the universe. While the ΛCDM model assumes the

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 10 March 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

cosmological constant maintains a fixed value, this research considers the possibility that cosmological constant Λ may slowly decrease according to the quantum decay law.

If this hypothesis is correct, dark energy may have played a stronger role in the past universe. Therefore, measurable deviations should appear in the luminosity distance-redshift relationship of SN Ia.

dL(z) = [c(1+z)/H0] ∫0^z [dz'/√(Ωm(1+z')³ + ΩΛ(z'))]

where ΩΛ(z) represents the dark energy density changing over time, which in this model takes the form:

ΩΛ(z) = ΩΛ,0 exp(λt(z))

where $t(z)$ is the cosmic time as a function of redshift. Using the standard relation between cosmic time and redshift in an expanding universe, this can be rewritten as:

$$\Omega_\Lambda(z) = \Omega_{\Lambda,0} e^{\lambda \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{(1+z')H(z')}}$$

Numerical Analysis and Predictions

I have performed numerical simulations to quantify the observable differences between the standard ΛCDM model and the quantum decay model proposed here:

1. Standard ΛCDM model with constant Λ

2. Quantum decay model with $\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-17}$ s^{-1}

3. Quantum decay model with $\lambda = 1 \times 10^{-16}$ s^{-1}

The numerical analysis reveals that for $\lambda = 6.57 \times 10^{-16}$ s^{-1} (the value estimated based on the universe's age), there should be approximately a 2-5% deviation in luminosity distances at redshift z = 1.5 compared to the standard ΛCDM model. This deviation is within the detection capability of next-generation surveys like the Vera C. Rubin Observatory's Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST) and the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope, which are expected to achieve precision better than 1% in luminosity distance measurements.

Current data from the Pantheon+ sample of Type Ia supernovae already shows some tension with the standard ΛCDM model at higher redshifts, which could potentially be explained by the quantum decay model proposed here.(24, 25)

4.1.2. Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropy Analysis

The CMB reflects density fluctuations from the early universe. While the ΛCDM model assumes dark energy maintains a constant value, if the model proposed in this research is correct, there might be subtle changes in the early universe's dark energy density.

By analyzing the CMB temperature fluctuation spectrum C_l, we can identify differences between models where dark energy is constant and models where it decays over time.

$C_l \propto \int d\chi\, \mathcal{P}(k, \chi)\, \Theta(k, \chi)$

where $\mathcal{P}(k, \chi)$ is the power spectrum of initial density fluctuations, which can be modified to reflect the time dependence of Λ in this model.

In particular, subtle differences may appear in the CMB's low-frequency region (Low-l modes), which could be verified through Planck (26) and future CMB observation projects.(27)

4.1.3. Large-Scale Structure Formation and Dark Energy Evolution

Dark energy evolution also plays an important role in large-scale structure (LSS) formation processes.(28) Since this research proposes a model where dark energy is not a constant value but slowly decays, the growth rate f(z) of large-scale structure may differ from the standard model.

$f(z) = d \ln \delta / d \ln a$

where δ is the density fluctuation ratio, and in a model where dark energy decreases, growth rates may be larger than in the existing ΛCDM model. This can be verified through weak lensing and galaxy clustering observations.(29, 30)

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 10 March 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

4.2. Ground and Space-Based Experiments (Terrestrial & Space-Based Tests)

The core concept of this research is that the flow of time may be directly connected to quantum decay rates. To experimentally verify this, I propose testing methods using ultra-precise atomic clock experiments and GPS satellites.

4.2.1. Ultra-Precise Atomic Clock Experiments (Atomic Clock Comparisons)

If quantum decay rates change depending on gravitational fields or velocity, subtle time changes in Earth's gravitational field should be experimentally detectable.

To verify this, we can perform atomic clock comparisons between Earth's surface and satellites. Current atomic clock precision has reached 10^{-18} levels, potentially sufficient sensitivity to detect the subtle time delay effects predicted by this research.

$\Delta t = \lambda gh/c^2$

where g is gravitational acceleration and h is the height difference between clocks. If this model is correct, in addition to existing relativistic time delay effects, an additional time difference due to changes in quantum decay rates could be measured.(19)

4.2.2. Detecting Quantum Decay Near Black Holes

Near black holes, gravity becomes extremely strong, potentially causing dramatic changes in quantum decay rates as proposed in this research. Theoretically, this suggests the possibility of regions near black holes where quantum decay rates almost stop.

To verify this, we can perform spectral analysis of the accretion disk emitted near black holes.(31) If time slowing is not simply a spacetime effect but due to changes in quantum decay rates, this could appear as a new signature in X-ray spectra. Recent advances in black hole imaging, such as those from the Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration,(32) may provide unprecedented opportunities to observe these effects.

4.3. Future Research and Next-Generation Technology Application Possibilities

While some experimental verification is possible with current technology, more precise measurements require next-generation observation equipment and experimental technologies.

- Next-generation space telescopes (33)→ Verify dark energy evolution through CMB and large-scale structure analysis
- Precision atomic clock experiments → Verify gravitational field dependence of quantum decay rates
- Gravitational wave detectors (34)→ Analyze time flow changes around black holes

4.4. Section Summary of Experimental Validation

The Time-Dependent Quantum Decay Model proposed in this research provides predictions differentiated from the existing ΛCDM model, which can be verified through supernova luminosity distances, CMB analysis, large-scale structure formation, and atomic clock experiments.

If future experimental verification occurs, this could provide a new paradigm for understanding the nature of time and gravity.

5. Conclusions and Future Research Directions

This research has presented a new theory interpreting the flow of time as changes in quantum decay rates. That is, the flow of time is the process of quantum decay progression, and gravity and relativistic velocity affect this decay rate. Through this, I have demonstrated that gravitational time dilation, relativistic time dilation, and the cosmic speed limit can be explained through a unified principle.

5.1. Major Contributions of This Research

5.1.1. Connecting Time Flow and Quantum Decay

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 10 March 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

In existing physics, time flow existed simply as a given background variable, with its directionality typically explained by thermodynamic entropy increase. However, this research proposes that the flow of time may be equivalent to the progression of quantum decay. This suggests that time may not be an independent entity but possibly the result of a physical process (quantum decay).

5.1.2. Quantum Interpretation of Time Changes Due to Gravity and Velocity

- Time slows in stronger gravitational fields because quantum decay rates decrease.
- Time slows at higher velocities because rapid motion suppresses quantum decay.
- Quantum decay stops at the speed of light, potentially explaining why speeds faster than light are impossible.

Thus, the effects of gravity and relativistic velocity changes on time can be explained not simply as geometric changes in spacetime but as changes in quantum decay processes. This approach provides a potential bridge between relativity theory and quantum mechanics,(35) addressing one of the fundamental challenges in contemporary theoretical physics.

5.1.3. New Approach to the Cosmological Constant Problem

This research suggests that cosmological constant Λ may not be a fixed value but a dynamically decaying value. This provides a natural explanation for why the cosmological constant has an extremely small value,(1) presenting a new physical framework that avoids the extreme fine-tuning problem required in existing ΛCDM models.(8)

5.2. Significance and Physical Implications of This Research

This research does not contradict existing physics but rather provides a new perspective that naturally connects quantum mechanics and relativity theory, potentially addressing some of the most fundamental open questions in theoretical physics.

✓ Connection with Relativity Theory:

- Gravitational time dilation and relativistic time dilation can be interpreted as changes in quantum decay rates, offering a microscopic mechanism for what general relativity describes geometrically.
- The mathematical correspondence demonstrated in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 shows that the quantum decay model precisely reproduces both special and general relativistic time dilation formulas when we identify proper time with decay rate.
- This framework potentially provides a path toward quantum gravity by suggesting that spacetime geometry emerges from underlying quantum processes, similar to approaches suggested by Verlinde (36) and Padmanabhan (37), but through a different mechanism.

✓ Connection with Quantum Mechanics:

- In existing quantum mechanics, time is treated as an independent parameter, creating fundamental tensions with relativity where time is dynamic and observer-dependent.
- This research provides a new approach to understanding the nature of time by suggesting that quantum decay rates themselves determine the flow of time, potentially resolving the "problem of time" in quantum gravity approaches.
- The model connects with foundational quantum mechanical concepts like measurement and wave function collapse, suggesting these phenomena might be manifestations of the same quantum decay process that governs time itself.

✓ Connection with Cosmology:

- By analyzing how quantum decay rates change as the universe expands, this model provides a physical mechanism connecting the extremely high vacuum energy of the early universe with

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 10 March 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

the currently observed dark energy value, without requiring anthropic reasoning or extreme fine-tuning.

- The model predicts specific observable deviations from ΛCDM in supernova data, CMB, and large-scale structure formation, as quantified in Section 4.1.
- This approach aligns with recent theoretical developments suggesting that dark energy may be dynamical rather than constant, but provides a fundamental quantum mechanism for this evolution.(38, 39)

✓ Relationship to Other Approaches:

- Unlike quintessence models that introduce additional scalar fields, this approach requires no new fields beyond standard quantum field theory, just a reinterpretation of vacuum energy dynamics.
- In contrast to modified gravity approaches (MOND, f(R) gravity), this model maintains Einstein's equations while providing a quantum interpretation of their origin.
- The model shares conceptual elements with holographic approaches in suggesting that spacetime properties emerge from more fundamental quantum processes.(40, 41)

These results complement existing physical theories and may present a new paradigm that naturally combines relativity theory and quantum mechanics, potentially offering a path toward resolving some of physics' most persistent theoretical challenges.

5.3. Future Research Directions

This research has theoretically presented the possibility that quantum decay and time flow may be connected and experimentally verifying this will be an important task for future research.

✓ Direct Experimental Verification of Quantum Decay Rates and Time Changes

- Ultra-precise atomic clocks should be used to confirm whether quantum decay rates change depending on gravitational fields or relativistic velocities.(42)
- Additionally, further research on the relationship between time changes and quantum decay rates around black holes is needed.

✓ Comparative Analysis with Cosmological Data

- Comparative work between this model and the standard ΛCDM model through supernova luminosity distances, CMB analysis, and large-scale structure formation data is necessary.
- Observational signatures that could detect changes in dark energy over time should be analyzed.

✓ Exploring Possible Connections with Quantum Gravity Theory

- It is necessary to examine how the concepts in this research could connect with quantum gravity theory.(43)
- In particular, research exploring connections with Hawking Radiation and the Black Hole Information Paradox is needed.(44)

5.4. Conclusion

This research has presented the possibility that the flow of time may be directly connected to quantum decay rates. Through this, it has proposed that gravitational time dilation, relativistic time dilation, cosmic speed limits, and the cosmological constant problem can be understood through a unified concept.

If this research is experimentally verified, it will provide not just a theoretical hypothesis but a new paradigm for understanding the nature of time and gravity.

Through future research and precise experiments, if this model is verified, this theory may become an important turning point in physics, connecting quantum mechanics and relativity theory.

References

1. Weinberg S. The cosmological constant problem. Reviews of modern physics. 1989;61(1):1.
2. Riess AG, Filippenko AV, Challis P, Clocchiatti A, Diercks A, Garnavich PM, et al. Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. The astronomical journal. 1998;116(3):1009.
3. Padmanabhan T. Cosmological constant—the weight of the vacuum. Physics reports. 2003;380(5-6):235-320.
4. Barrow JD, Tipler FJ, Anderson JL. The anthropic cosmological principle. Physics Today. 1987;40(9):84-6.
5. Ben-Naim A. Entropy and time. Entropy. 2020;22(4):430.
6. Bishop M, Contreras J, Martin P, Singleton D. Comments on the cosmological constant in generalized uncertainty models. Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences. 2022;9:978898.
7. Li S, Huang LL, Huang J, Li S. Theoretical Calculation of Vacuum Energy Density Based on the Fractal Quantum Gravity Theory. Reports in Advances of Physical Sciences. 2024;8:2450009.
8. Martin J. Everything you always wanted to know about the cosmological constant problem (but were afraid to ask). Comptes Rendus Physique. 2012;13(6-7):566-665.
9. Carroll SM. The cosmological constant. Living reviews in relativity. 2001;4(1):1-56.
10. Germán G, Hidalgo JC, Padilla LE. Inflationary models constrained by reheating. The European Physical Journal Plus. 2024;139(3):302.
11. Weinberg S. Anthropic bound on the cosmological constant. Physical Review Letters. 1987;59(22):2607.
12. Caldwell RR, Dave R, Steinhardt PJ. Cosmological imprint of an energy component with general equation of state. Physical Review Letters. 1998;80(8):1582.
13. Gans J. A Brief History of Time: From the Big Bang to Black Holes by Stephen w. Hawking (Bantam, London, 1988) pp. x+ 198, $ A34. 98, ISBN 05930151185. Taylor & Francis; 1990.
14. Hafele JC, Keating RE. Around-the-world atomic clocks: Observed relativistic time gains. Science. 1972;177(4044):168-70.
15. Haug EG, Spavieri G. Can Lorentz relativistic mass explain the lack of velocity time dilation in quasars? Physics Essays. 2023;36(2):123-8.
16. Pound RV, Rebka Jr GA. Gravitational red-shift in nuclear resonance. Physical Review Letters. 1959;3(9):439.
17. Eby P, Mattison E, Farrel B, Vessot R, Hoffman T, Nystrom G, et al. Test of relativistic gravitation with a space-borne hydrogen maser. 1980.
18. Schutz B. A first course in general relativity: Cambridge university press; 2022.
19. Chou C-W, Hume DB, Rosenband T, Wineland DJ. Optical clocks and relativity. Science. 2010;329(5999):1630-3.
20. Einstein A. Zur elektrodynamik bewegter körper. Annalen der physik. 1905;17(10):891-921.
21. Bailey J, Borer K, Combley F, Drumm H, Krienen F, Lange F, et al. Measurements of relativistic time dilatation for positive and negative muons in a circular orbit. Nature. 1977;268(5618):301-5.
22. Lorentz HA. Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity smaller than that of light. Collected Papers: Volume V: Springer; 1937. p. 172-97.
23. Rindler W. Essential relativity: special, general, and cosmological: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
24. Scolnic DM, Jones D, Rest A, Pan Y, Chornock R, Foley R, et al. The complete light-curve sample of spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia from Pan-STARRS1 and cosmological constraints from the combined pantheon sample. The Astrophysical Journal. 2018;859(2):101.
25. Riess AG, Casertano S, Yuan W, Macri LM, Scolnic D. Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid standards provide a 1% foundation for the determination of the Hubble constant and stronger evidence for physics beyond ΛCDM. The Astrophysical Journal. 2019;876(1):85.
26. Planck C. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641. A6; 2020.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202503.0662.v1

27. Ade P, Aguirre J, Ahmed Z, Aiola S, Ali A, Alonso D, et al. The Simons Observatory: science goals and forecasts. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics. 2019;2019(02):056.
28. Huterer D, Linder EV. Separating dark physics from physical darkness: Minimalist modified gravity versus dark energy. Physical Review D—Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology. 2007;75(2):023519.
29. Aghamousa A, Aguilar J, Ahlen S, Alam S, Allen LE, Prieto CA, et al. The DESI experiment part I: science, targeting, and survey design. arXiv preprint arXiv:161100036. 2016.
30. Relativity LR. Cosmology and Fundamental Physics with the Euclid Satellite.
31. Bambi C. Testing black hole candidates with electromagnetic radiation. Reviews of Modern Physics. 2017;89(2):025001.
32. Collaboration EHT. First M87 event horizon telescope results. IV. Imaging the central supermassive black hole. arXiv preprint arXiv:190611241. 2019.
33. Robertson BE. Galaxy formation and reionization: key unknowns and expected breakthroughs by the James Webb Space Telescope. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics. 2022;60(1):121-58.
34. Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abernathy MR, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al. Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. Physical review letters. 2016;116(6):061102.
35. Rovelli C. Physics needs philosophy. Philosophy needs physics. Foundations of Physics. 2018;48(5):481-91.
36. Verlinde E. On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. Journal of High Energy Physics. 2011;2011(4):1-27.
37. Padmanabhan T. Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: new insights. Reports on Progress in Physics. 2010;73(4):046901.
38. Peebles PJE, Ratra B. The cosmological constant and dark energy. Reviews of modern physics. 2003;75(2):559.
39. Caldwell RR. A phantom menace? Cosmological consequences of a dark energy component with super-negative equation of state. Physics Letters B. 2002;545(1-2):23-9.
40. Susskind L. The world as a hologram. Journal of Mathematical Physics. 1995;36(11):6377-96.
41. Hooft G. Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. arXiv preprint gr-qc/9310026. 1993.
42. McGrew W, Zhang X, Fasano R, Schäffer S, Beloy K, Nicolodi D, et al. Atomic clock performance enabling geodesy below the centimetre level. Nature. 2018;564(7734):87-90.
43. Oriti D. Disappearance and emergence of space and time in quantum gravity. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics. 2014;46:186-99.
44. Hawking SW, Perry MJ, Strominger A. Soft hair on black holes. Physical Review Letters. 2016;116(23):231301.