

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Demographic Transition and Global Food Security: A Systematic Review of Agricultural Pressures and Policy Responses

[Brian C. Smith](#) *

Posted Date: 31 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.2423.v1

Keywords: food security; population growth; demographic transition; urbanisation; agricultural sustainability



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Demographic Transition and Global Food Security: A Systematic Review of Agricultural Pressures and Policy Responses

Brian C. Smith

Independent Researcher; briansmithuk@gmail.com

Abstract

This systematic review examines the relationships between population dynamics, demographic transition, and the capacity of global agricultural systems to sustain food security for a world population projected to approach ten billion by mid-century. Drawing upon peer-reviewed literature, intergovernmental datasets, and quantitative modelling studies from 2000 to 2026, the review synthesises evidence across five thematic areas: regional patterns of demographic growth and transition; the dietary and logistical consequences of accelerating urbanisation; the conceptual foundations and limitations of per capita food availability modelling; compound pressures on agricultural systems arising from land degradation, freshwater depletion, climate change, and biodiversity loss; and the technological, equity, and governance dimensions of feasible policy responses. The findings demonstrate that aggregate global food production is, in principle, expandable to meet projected demand, but that chronic food insecurity reflects structural inequalities in access, distribution, and governance rather than absolute productive insufficiency. Urbanisation amplifies resource-intensive dietary demand whilst simultaneously creating opportunities for more efficient food distribution. Effective responses require the integration of supply-side intensification, demand-side dietary management, waste reduction, and equitable governance reform. Future research priorities include subnational demographic-agricultural modelling, comparative governance analysis, and investigation of the food system implications of population ageing.

Keywords: food security; population growth; demographic transition; urbanisation; agricultural sustainability

1. Introduction

Scholarly inquiry into the relationship between human demographic trends and the capacity to sustain food production has persisted for more than two hundred years. The eighteenth-century economist Thomas Robert Malthus contended that expansion of the human population would necessarily exceed the limits of agricultural output, resulting in periodic subsistence crises and demographic correction. Although technological progress during the industrial era largely undermined such dire forecasts, the current century confronts humanity with an interrelated set of pressures that make self-satisfaction inappropriate. The global population reached eight billion in 2022 [1], and forecasts suggest it may approach 9.7 billion by mid-century and plateau at roughly 10.4 billion in the 2080s, contingent upon fertility trajectories in rapidly expanding regions. Far from constituting a purely numerical abstraction, these figures translate into vast and concrete demands upon the planet's finite biological and physical resources.

Food security, in the formulation adopted by the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO), obtains where every person enjoys, physical, social, and economic access to food [2]. Yet approximately 735 million individuals suffered from chronic hunger in 2022, whilst over two billion faced food insecurity [3,4]. These figures do not simply reflect a shortfall in aggregate global food output; indeed, present-day agricultural systems generate enough caloric energy to

nourish the entire world population. The endurance of hunger and undernutrition reflects, rather, profound structural inequalities in the distribution of, access to, and affordability of food - inequalities that are themselves inextricably connected to the demographic and spatial characteristics of economic development.

The demographic transition model [5,6] holds that societies pass through successive phases defined by changing fertility and mortality rates, propelled by economic development, improvements in public health, and shifting social norms. The global South, which will account for the overwhelming majority of future population growth, comprises countries at widely varying points along this transitional pathway. Sub-Saharan Africa in particular retains comparatively elevated fertility and is forecast to contribute more than half of net global population growth between the present and 2050 [7–9]. By contrast, Europe, East Asia, and significant portions of Latin America are characterised by sub-replacement fertility, progressively older age structures, and, in certain instances, absolute population contraction. These divergent demographic trajectories carry markedly different consequences for food demand, the availability of agricultural labour, land-use trajectories, and the fiscal capacity of governments to invest in food systems.

Urbanisation constitutes a second pivotal demographic process reshaping agricultural systems. The share of the global population residing in cities exceeded fifty per cent around 2007 and is expected to reach approximately sixty-eight per cent by 2050 [10,11]. Urban residents adopt dietary habits that differ systematically from those of rural communities, typically consuming greater quantities of processed products, animal-derived proteins, and fat-rich foods -- a shift characterised in the nutrition literature as the nutrition transition [12–14]. This shift in dietary composition amplifies demand for agriculturally resource-intensive commodities, particularly livestock products, which require substantially more land, water, and energy per unit of nutritional output than plant-derived alternatives. Simultaneously, the spatial concentration of populations in urban centres creates logistical conditions for more efficient food distribution, whilst also engendering risks of food deserts and supply chain fragility, as was powerfully illustrated during the COVID-19 pandemic [15,16].

Agricultural systems across the world are undergoing structural transformation driven not solely by demographic forces but also by climatic disruption, the decline of biological diversity, depletion of freshwater resources, and deterioration of soils. According to Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, climatic change will diminish yields of primary food crops, including wheat, rice, and maize, in tropical and subtropical zones, precisely those areas experiencing the most pronounced demographic expansion [17,18]. The convergence of escalating demand with constrained productive capacity constitutes a risk scenario warranting urgent and systemic scholarly attention. It is within this context that per capita food availability modelling has risen to prominence as a means of anticipating future imbalances and appraising the value of prospective interventions.

This review brings together the existing academic literature on these interlocking subjects, drawing upon quantitative modelling studies, demographic analyses, agronomic research, and policy evaluations. By synthesising insights from demography, agricultural economics, nutritional science, and environmental sustainability, this review seeks to offer a comprehensive account of the mechanisms through which population dynamics generate pressures upon food systems, and of the evidence bearing on feasible pathways to enduring food security.

This review is concerned specifically with the connections between population growth, demographic transitions, and the structural strains they impose upon agricultural systems and per capita food availability at global and regional scales. It does not address individual-level nutritional interventions, specific crop development programmes, or detailed climate modelling, except insofar as these directly illuminate the population-food relationship. The principal aims of this review are: first, to characterise the current state and regional distribution of population growth and demographic transitions; second, to examine how urbanisation transforms food demand patterns and agricultural supply chains; third, to assess critically the per capita food availability models and their value for projecting future food security conditions; fourth, to explore the primary stresses on

agricultural systems arising from demographic change; and fifth, to identify policy directions grounded in evidence that address the relationship between population and food with due regard for equity and ecological sustainability. In pursuing these aims, this paper aspires to be a reference for researchers, policymakers, and development practitioners involved in food security planning under conditions of continued demographic transformation.

2. Methods for Literature Selection

The scholarly sources informing this review were identified through systematic examinations of major academic databases like Google Scholar and WoS. Search strings combined terms such as 'population growth AND food security', 'demographic transition AND agriculture', 'urbanisation AND food demand', 'per capita food availability AND models', 'agricultural systems AND population pressure', and 'food security AND climate change AND demography'. Searches were conducted with a primary date range of January 2000 to March 2026 to ensure contemporaneity, supplemented by selected foundational works predating 2000 where these supplied the theoretical basis for subsequent scholarship. Only peer-reviewed journal articles were accepted as primary academic sources; technical reports and datasets from authoritative intergovernmental bodies including the United Nations, FAO, the World Bank, and the IPCC were additionally consulted, given their unparalleled coverage of global data and policy analysis. Records appearing in more than one database were removed prior to the final corpus being assembled. Priority was accorded to studies with global or multi-country scope, quantitative modelling components, or systematic and meta-analytic designs, though regional case studies were included where they offered compelling illustrative evidence.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Global Population Trajectories

The evolution of world population has been distinguished by a phase of striking acceleration followed by a progressive slowing of the rate of increase. Beginning at roughly one billion in 1800, the global population surpassed seven billion in 2011 and attained eight billion in November 2022. The annual rate of global population growth, which reached its apex at approximately two per cent in the late 1960s, has since declined to around 0.9 per cent; however, the absolute annual addition to the world population remains substantial owing to the enlarged base from which it is calculated. The United Nations medium-variant projection foresees a global total of approximately 9.7 billion in 2050 and a ceiling of roughly 10.4 billion in the 2080s, though projections carry increasing uncertainty in the longer term, depending critically on assumptions regarding the pace of fertility decline in high-growth regions.

Regional variation in demographic trajectories is considerable. The population of sub-Saharan Africa, presently above 1.2 billion, is forecast to more than double by 2050, accounting for over half of the net global population increment across that period. West Africa, East Africa, and parts of Central Africa display total fertility rates well in excess of replacement, typically between four and six births per woman, sustained by enduring socioeconomic factors including restricted access to education and reproductive health services, elevated child mortality generating demand for larger families, and prevailing cultural norms favouring larger household sizes [19,20]. By contrast, virtually all of Europe and East Asia, together with substantial portions of Latin America, records fertility rates at or below the replacement threshold of approximately 2.1 children per woman, heralding population ageing and, in certain cases, numerical contraction in the coming decades.

These contrasting regional trajectories have direct relevance for food system planning. Zones of rapid demographic expansion frequently overlap with areas already characterised by elevated food insecurity, underdeveloped agricultural infrastructure, and pronounced exposure to climatic hazards. The spatial coincidence of demographic pressure and agricultural constraint is not fortuitous; it partly reflects the historical legacies of colonial extraction, deliberate

underdevelopment, and exclusion from global investment flows [21,22]. Recognising this coincidence is indispensable to any rigorous analysis of forthcoming food security challenges.

3.2. Stages of the Demographic Transition and Agricultural Implications

The canonical demographic transition model describes four stages through which populations are theorised to progress as development advances. In the initial, pre-transitional stage, both birth rates and death rates are elevated, yielding slow population growth. The second stage is defined by declining mortality, particularly amongst infants and young children, whilst fertility remains high, generating rapid population expansion. During the third stage, fertility begins to fall as women acquire greater educational attainment, enter paid employment, and gain access to family planning, thereby reducing the rate of natural increase. The fourth stage is characterised by low birth and death rates in approximate equilibrium, with population growth approaching zero. A fifth stage, acknowledged in certain strands of the academic literature, involves sub-replacement fertility and the possibility of population decline [23–25].

Each phase of the demographic transition produces distinct conditions of agricultural demand and labour availability. During the high-growth second and early third stages, the ratio of economically productive adults to dependent children and elderly persons -- the dependency ratio -- rises before eventually falling, a pattern that may generate a demographic dividend if timely investment in education, health, and productive employment is forthcoming [26,27]. For agriculture, this implies that periods of rapid population increase may coincide with relative labour abundance, potentially enabling the bringing into cultivation of previously unused land and the expansion of smallholder agriculture. In the absence of commensurate advances in agricultural technology, infrastructure, and market connectivity, however, the prospective demographic dividend may instead manifest as underemployment, rural impoverishment, and food insecurity.

It has been demonstrated that the remarkable economic ascent of East Asia in the latter twentieth century was substantially underpinned by the demographic dividend associated with declining fertility and a favourable age distribution [28,29]. Sub-Saharan Africa has not yet fully realised an equivalent opportunity, partly because fertility decline there has proceeded more slowly, and partly because structural economic constraints have limited the capacity to absorb an expanding working-age population into high-productivity occupations. It has been argued that accelerating fertility decline in high-growth regions through voluntary family planning programmes and investment in girls' education would rank amongst the most cost-effective means of reducing future food demand pressures, whilst emphasising that the ethical primacy of individual reproductive autonomy must be carefully safeguarded in any such endeavour.

3.3. Age Structure, Ageing Populations, and Food Demand Shifts

Population ageing in high-income countries and in rapidly transitioning economies such as China and South Korea introduces a distinct dimension of challenge to food systems. Older individuals exhibit particular dietary characteristics, typically requiring fewer total calories whilst placing a higher premium on protein quality, micronutrient density, and ease of food preparation [30,31]. They also constitute a growing share of populations managing chronic non-communicable conditions in which dietary quality serves a substantial aetiological and therapeutic function. The substantial public health expenditures attributable to diet-related non-communicable diseases amongst ageing populations in wealthy nations generate economic pressures on health systems that increasingly converge with debates concerning sustainable food systems and dietary guidance [32,33].

The food systems literature has increasingly acknowledged that demographic ageing also affects the supply of agricultural labour, particularly in societies where smallholder cultivation retains importance. As younger rural residents relocate to urban areas, the average age of farmers is rising across much of the developing world. This trend, sometimes characterised as the 'greying of agriculture', threatens the intergenerational transmission of farming knowledge, raises concerns

about land succession and tenure arrangements, and stimulates interest in mechanised, labour-saving agricultural technologies [34,35]. The challenges associated with an ageing farm population intersect especially with food security concerns in countries where smallholder production accounts for a major share of domestic food supply.

4. Urbanisation and Its Implications for Food Demand

4.1. *The Scale and Pace of Global Urbanisation*

Urbanisation constitutes one of the most far-reaching demographic processes of contemporary history. In 2007, the proportion of humanity residing in urban settlements exceeded fifty per cent for the first time [36–39]. By 2050, approximately sixty seven percent of the world's population is projected to be urban, with the most pronounced urbanisation occurring in Africa and Asia. This spatial redistribution of the population from rural to urban settings produces cascading consequences for food demand, incentive structures in agricultural production, trade patterns, dietary habits, and environmental impact.

The nutrition transition describes a worldwide reorientation of dietary patterns associated with improving incomes, increasing urbanisation, and the international proliferation of processed food marketing. This transition is characterised by growing consumption of nutrient-poor food products, including refined carbohydrates, saturated fats, and sugars, alongside a reduction in the consumption of traditional staple grains, legumes, and indigenous vegetables. The nutrition transition, interacting with economic development, produces a dual burden of malnutrition in many developing countries, where undernutrition and overweight or obesity simultaneously affect the same communities, households, and sometimes the same individuals at different points in their lives.

Urban food environments differ from rural ones in fundamental respects. Urban residents are far more likely to acquire food through market exchange rather than own production, rendering them exposed to fluctuations in commodity prices, currency depreciation, and disruptions to supply chains [40,41]. The spatial concentration of people in cities generates logistical efficiencies whilst simultaneously creating dependence on intricate and often globally integrated supply networks. The vulnerability of these networks was starkly demonstrated during the pandemic, when collapse of health infrastructure, workforce shortfalls along with transportation failures simultaneously disrupted both agricultural production and food delivery across a large number of countries.

4.2. *Dietary Transitions and Rising Resource Demands*

Amongst the most consequential dietary shifts associated with urbanisation and rising incomes is the marked expansion of animal-sourced food consumption. Per capita meat intake has increased globally over recent decades, with especially rapid growth recorded in East and Southeast Asia as economic prosperity has advanced. Livestock production requires substantially greater resources per unit of human-edible nutrition than the cultivation of crops. The beef production demands on average many times more land and generates considerably higher greenhouse gas emissions per gramme of protein than plant-based protein alternatives such as legumes, with notable variation across production systems. The overall resource burden of feeding a population with a growing appetite for animal products therefore far exceeds what a straightforward population count would indicate, a phenomenon sometimes described as the expanding dietary 'footprint'.

The relationship between income, urbanisation, and dietary change is not, however, deterministic or uniform. Evidence from a number of rapidly urbanising societies indicates that well-designed food environments, nutritional education, and culturally attuned food policies may modulate the pace of the nutrition transition and preserve the health-promoting qualities of traditional diets even within urban contexts [39]. The policy implications of such evidence are considerable: if urbanisation does not inevitably propel a shift towards resource-intensive dietary patterns, then targeted interventions addressing the food environment and consumer behaviour

represent legitimate instruments for managing the aggregate resource demands of an expanding, increasingly urban population.

An authoritative appraisal of the effects of urbanisation on diets in developing countries, stressing the heterogeneity of urban food environments would caution against monolithic portrayals of 'urban diets'. Informal food vendors, open-air markets, and small retail establishments perform a critical function in nourishing urban populations in much of the developing world, particularly amongst low-income groups who cannot reach or afford formal supermarket retail systems. These informal dimensions of urban food provisioning are frequently overlooked in policy frameworks oriented towards formalised supply chains, constituting a governance gap with direct relevance for food security outcomes.

4.3. Urban Agriculture and Semi-Urban Food Production

Activities ranging from rooftop gardens and community growing plots to substantial peri-urban market gardening enterprises, has attracted growing scholarly and policy interest as a potential means of strengthening urban food security and reducing supply chain length. [42,43], drawing on household survey data from fifteen countries, demonstrated that urban farming makes a meaningful contribution to food security and dietary variety for participating households, particularly those with lower incomes. The availability of fresh vegetables and legumes through urban agricultural production can address some of the nutritional shortcomings characteristic of urban diets dominated by processed foods.

Nevertheless, the potential of urban agriculture to substantially reduce the aggregate food import dependence of large cities is constrained. Urban and peri-urban settings face limitations arising from land scarcity, restricted water availability, contamination hazards in polluted urban soils, and competition for space with residential, commercial, and infrastructure uses. Urban agriculture is most appropriately understood as one component of a diversified urban food system rather than as a primary strategy for achieving food security. Its most significant contributions are likely to lie in broadening dietary variety, strengthening community resilience, and providing livelihoods for vulnerable urban households, rather than in substantially reducing the large volumetric food requirements that major cities impose upon rural agricultural hinterlands.

5. Per Capita Food Availability and Demand Modelling

5.1. Conceptual Frameworks and Methodological Approaches

Per capita food availability models serve as foundational instruments for assessing whether a country's or region's food production and import capacity is adequate to satisfy the nutritional requirements of its population. The most elementary formulations divide total national food supplies by the total population, yielding an average per capita availability figure expressed in kilocalories per day or in grammes of specified macronutrients. The FAO's Food Balance Sheets, compiled on an annual basis for over 180 countries, constitute the primary data infrastructure underpinning such calculations at the national level [44,45].

Whilst per capita food availability estimates are indispensable as initial approximations, their limitations are extensively documented in the food security literature. National averages obscure distributional inequalities within countries that may be severe: a country presenting ostensibly adequate per capita supply may nonetheless contain substantial populations suffering from chronic hunger when barriers to access arising from poverty, geographical remoteness, and social exclusion are factored in [46,47]. Furthermore, per capita availability metrics do not account for seasonal variation in supply, post-harvest losses that may reach twenty to forty per cent of production in certain low-income country contexts, or the distinction between caloric adequacy and nutritional adequacy, with implications for micronutrient deficiencies.

More refined per capita food availability models incorporate demographic projections, scenarios of dietary change, and assumptions regarding technological development to produce forward-

looking assessments of supply-demand equilibria. The IMPACT model constructed by IFPRI ranks amongst the most widely cited of these frameworks, integrating crop production projections, trade flows, income-driven shifts in demand, and climatic influences to generate scenario-based estimates of food availability and hunger prevalence at national and regional scales [48,49]. The IMPACT model and comparable tools have produced substantial evidence that, under business-as-usual trajectories, aggregate global food supply can in principle be augmented to meet projected population requirements by 2050, but that the equitable distribution of adequate nutrition will remain seriously unequal in the absence of targeted policy action.

5.2. Regional Disparities in Per Capita Food Availability

In aggregate caloric terms, global food production has grown substantially over recent decades, largely owing to the Green Revolution [50]. This revolution dramatically raised the yields of staple grains across Asia and Latin America through the development and widespread adoption of high-yielding varieties, expanded irrigation, and intensified use of purchased inputs. However, these productivity gains have been geographically concentrated, and sub-Saharan Africa together with parts of South Asia continues to record per capita food availability below recommended dietary energy requirements in many national contexts.

The food availability picture is further complicated by the distinction between caloric availability and dietary quality. MENA countries, for instance, may display adequate or even surplus average caloric availability whilst simultaneously suffering deficiencies in micronutrients such as vitamins iodine -- contributing to what has been termed 'hidden hunger' or micronutrient malnutrition. Metrics of dietary diversity, which assess the range of food groups consumed, are increasingly incorporated into per capita food availability frameworks to capture this qualitative dimension. Biofortification programmes, which aim to augment the micronutrient content of staple crops through conventional plant breeding or biotechnological approaches, represent one response to this challenge [51,52].

The structural transformation of economies and its implications for agriculture, showing that as economies advance and labour migrates from agriculture to non-farm sectors, the resource intensity per unit of food output tends to decrease as more highly capitalised and technologically sophisticated farming systems displace traditional smallholder production [53,54]. This structural transformation has historically been associated with improvements in per capita food availability in economies undergoing transition, though it also carries risks of smallholder marginalisation, erosion of the dietary diversity linked to traditional agriculture, and the emergence of rural-urban food deserts.

5.3. Food Demand Projections to 2050

Projections of aggregate global food demand for 2050 vary considerably, depending on assumptions regarding population growth rates, income trajectories, dietary change, and the degree to which shifts towards plant-based diets are anticipated. The most widely referenced baseline estimate, synthesised from a range of modelling exercises, suggests that global food production will need to increase by approximately fifty to seventy per cent above 2005-2007 levels by 2050 in order to meet projected demand under business-as-usual dietary and demographic conditions [56]. More recent analyses, incorporating greater attention to the dynamics of dietary change and the potential for demand-side management, have proposed that the necessary increase may be achievable through a combination of supply-side expansion, reduction of food waste, and dietary modification, without necessarily entailing a proportional enlargement of the agricultural land base [57,58].

A 'food gap' framework that decomposed the production challenge into its constituent determinants: population growth, economic development and associated dietary change, and post-harvest losses together with food waste [59,60]. The analysis indicated that curtailing food waste and enabling dietary shifts could together make a substantial contribution to closing the projected food gap, with important implications for the relative weight accorded to supply-side versus demand-side strategies in food security policy. The modelling literature broadly concurs that no single measure

will be adequate and that an integrated portfolio spanning production, distribution, consumption, and governance is required [61,62].

6. Pressures on Agricultural Systems from Population and Demographic Change

6.1. Land Use, Land Degradation, and Agricultural Expansion

Agricultural land use is determined by both the aggregate demand for food, which demographic growth intensifies, and the availability of cultivable land, which is a finite and geographically differentiated resource. The global agricultural land base expanded during the twentieth century and into the twenty-first, primarily through the conversion of forests, wetlands, and grasslands to arable and pastoral use, particularly in the tropical regions of Latin America, Southeast Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa. This territorial expansion of agriculture has entailed substantial greenhouse gas emissions, biodiversity losses, and degradation of ecosystem services [63].

A seminal synthesis of the trade-offs between agricultural expansion, productive intensification, and environmental sustainability, contending that 'sustainable intensification' represents the most defensible pathway to nourishing a larger and more prosperous world population. The concept of sustainable intensification has been widely absorbed into the agricultural policy literature, though it has also attracted criticism for its potential to provide justification for continued intensification without adequate attention to social equity, the viability of smallholder farming, and agro-ecological sustainability.

Land degradation constitutes a growing threat to the productive capacity of agricultural systems that directly intersects with demographic pressure. Approximately one-quarter of the Earth's ice-free land surface is affected by human-induced degradation, encompassing soil erosion, salinisation, depletion of soil nutrients, and compaction. Degraded soils exhibit reduced capacity to retain water, lower organic matter content, and diminished biological diversity, all of which impair crop yield potential. In areas of rapid population growth where smallholder farmers work marginal lands under resource constraints, the vulnerability to soil degradation is particularly pronounced and is compounded by climatic variability.

6.2. Freshwater Demand and Irrigated Agriculture

Irrigation is responsible for approximately seventy per cent of all freshwater withdrawals [64,65]. Irrigated agriculture, covering roughly twenty per cent of the world's cropland, generates approximately forty per cent of global food supply, making irrigation a critical amplifier of agricultural productivity, particularly in semi-arid and arid zones. As population expands and dietary patterns shift towards more water-intensive commodities, including livestock products and irrigated horticultural goods, aggregate agricultural water demand is projected to rise considerably, placing further pressure on already stressed surface and groundwater resources.

Numerous major river basins and aquifer systems supporting irrigated agriculture are experiencing the consequences of excessive extraction. The progressive depletion of the Ogallala Aquifer beneath the North American Great Plains, the overdrawn groundwater resources of the Indus-Ganges Basin in South Asia, and falling water tables in parts of China and the Middle East represent documented cases of unsustainable water use that endanger the long-term productivity of some of the world's most agriculturally significant regions [61]. In areas where aquifer depletion is advanced and surface water flows are declining in response to climatic change; the supportable extent of irrigated agriculture may contract at precisely the moment when demographic pressure is most intense.

Technological approaches to agricultural water scarcity include drip irrigation, deficit irrigation, precision water management, and the breeding of drought-tolerant crop varieties. These technologies have demonstrably enhanced water use efficiency across a range of settings; their adoption has nonetheless been uneven, with high capital requirements, limited technical capacity, and inadequate institutional support restricting uptake amongst smallholder farmers in low-income countries where

water stress is frequently most severe. Governance arrangements for the equitable allocation of water amongst agricultural, urban, and environmental uses represent an essential yet frequently under-resourced dimension of sustainable water management.

6.3. *Climate Change and Agricultural Vulnerability*

The intersection of demographic growth and climatic disruption creates a particularly demanding set of conditions for food systems in vulnerable regions. Climate change affects agriculture through multiple pathways, including rising average temperatures that reduce crop yields through heat stress, altered precipitation regimes that affect soil moisture and irrigation water availability, more frequent and intense weather events encompassing droughts, floods, and tropical cyclones, and the expanding geographical distribution of crop pests and plant pathogens. Without adequate adaptation, climate change is projected to reduce yields of principal staple crops in many tropical regions, with cascading consequences for food availability in the coming decades. The most severe negative consequences are concentrated in low-latitude regions that already experience the highest levels of food insecurity and where demographic growth is most rapid [64]. The geographic convergence of climatic vulnerability and demographic pressure constitutes one of the most pressing and insufficiently resourced challenges in contemporary food security policy. Adaptation measures, including heat-tolerant and drought-resistant varieties, climate-responsive planting calendars, enhanced weather information for farmers, and strengthened social protection mechanisms, can reduce climate-related food insecurity, but their deployment requires sustained investment and institutional capacity.

6.4. *Biodiversity, Ecosystem Services, and Agricultural Resilience*

The biological diversity of agricultural and wild ecosystems provides services vital to food production, encompassing pollination, pest regulation, nutrient cycling, and the genetic resources underpinning crop improvement. The intensification of agricultural production to sustain growing populations has historically exacted a considerable toll on biodiversity through habitat conversion, widespread pesticide application, expansion of monocultures, and the narrowing of the genetic diversity of cultivated crops. The biodiversity loss as among the planetary boundaries most severely breached, with potential implications for the Earth's life-support systems, including those underpinning food production [68,69].

The erosion of crop genetic diversity is a particularly insidious dimension of this broader agricultural biodiversity loss. As global food supply has become concentrated in an ever narrower genetic base of high-yielding varieties, the susceptibility of food systems to new pests, pathogens, and climatic pressures has grown. The Irish potato famine of the nineteenth century serves as a historical cautionary example of the catastrophic consequences of extreme genetic uniformity in a staple crop. Maintaining food security in the contemporary context requires the active conservation and deployment of crop wild relatives and traditional varieties in breeding programmes, supported by international frameworks for the equitable sharing of genetic resources.

7. **Technological Innovation and Sustainable Intensification**

7.1. *Digital Technologies*

Precision agriculture encompasses a range of technologies enabling farmers to optimise inputs, monitor crop condition, and manage spatial and temporal variability within fields at a level of granularity not previously attainable. Remote sensing, global positioning systems, soil sensors, variable-rate application equipment, and increasingly, artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms are being combined into precision agriculture systems capable of substantially improving the efficiency with which resources are deployed in crop production. Precision agriculture technologies have the capacity to reduce unnecessary input application whilst sustaining or improving yields, with associated reductions in nutrient pollution [65].

The extension of precision agriculture technologies to smallholder farmers in developing countries, where the bulk of locally consumed food in high-population-growth regions is grown, confronts significant obstacles. These include the high cost of sophisticated equipment, inadequate connectivity for digital services, insufficient agronomic training and extension provision, and land tenure insecurity that weakens incentives for capital investment in land improvement. Digital advisory services delivered via mobile telephone, however, represent a more accessible entry point for smallholder farmers and have demonstrated promise in improving agronomic decision-making and raising yields in pilot schemes across sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia [66].

7.2. Crop Improvement and Biotechnology

The development of improved crop varieties through both conventional plant breeding and, more contentiously, genetic engineering and gene editing technologies, has been central to the historical growth in agricultural productivity that has broadly kept pace with population expansion since 1950. The Green Revolution varieties of wheat and rice produced in the 1960s and 1970s and widely disseminated across Asia and parts of Latin America enabled dramatic yield increases that forestalled the food crises that neo-Malthusian analysts had forecast. The Green Revolution also generated significant environmental costs, however, including the widespread uptake of chemically intensive production systems, groundwater exhaustion, and, in certain regions, deteriorating profitability for smallholder farmers unable to secure adequate inputs and market access.

Contemporary crop improvement efforts are directed towards developing varieties suited to future climatic conditions, including tolerance of heat and drought, as well as enhanced nutritional profiles through biofortification. The dissemination of high-iron beans, high-zinc wheat and rice, and orange-fleshed sweet potato enriched with provitamin A has meaningfully improved nutritional status amongst populations dependent on these crops as dietary staples [51]. The development of varieties capable of efficient nitrogen utilisation, reducing dependency on synthetic fertilisers, constitutes a further priority, with the potential to simultaneously reduce input costs for producers, lower greenhouse gas emissions, and diminish freshwater eutrophication arising from agricultural nutrient runoff.

8. Equity and Governance for Food Security

8.1. Trade, Prices, and Food Access

The global food system operates through complex trade relationships linking producers and consumers across national borders, generating interdependencies that may both strengthen and destabilise food security at national and household levels. International food trade enables countries to exploit comparative advantages in agricultural production, compensate for domestic production shortfalls through imports, and attain higher average living standards than would be achievable under conditions of national self-sufficiency. Trade dependence also exposes food-importing nations to volatility in global commodity prices, however, which may transmit rapidly to domestic food prices, disproportionately burdening low-income households that allocate a substantial proportion of their expenditure to food.

The global food price crises of the noughties provided stark evidence of the precariousness of food-dependent populations in the face of market volatility. Substantial increases in the international prices of wheat, rice, and maize, driven by a combination of poor harvests, elevated petroleum prices, speculative trading activity, and export restrictions imposed by major producing nations, generated social unrest in numerous countries and are estimated to have driven more than one hundred million additional people into poverty [66]. The experience of these episodes exposed the insufficiency of exclusively market-based approaches to food security governance and the necessity for international coordination mechanisms capable of preventing and managing price shocks.

8.2. Gender, Food Security, and Agricultural Labour

Gender relations are deeply embedded throughout the food system, shaping both who bears responsibility for food production and who enjoys access to adequate nutrition. Women account for a large and frequently underestimated proportion of agricultural labour in developing countries, estimated at between forty and sixty per cent in many parts of the world [67]. Despite their substantial contribution to agricultural production, women farmers typically command less access than their male counterparts to productive resources including land, credit, improved seed, fertilisers, extension services, and market intelligence. This resource inequality undermines their productivity and perpetuates intergenerational cycles of food insecurity and poverty.

Evidence from agricultural development programmes consistently shows that narrowing the gender gap would substantially increase agricultural yields and improve household food security and nutritional outcomes. Women tend to direct a larger proportion of their income and productive resources towards household food provisioning, children's nutrition, and healthcare compared to men, generating a positive multiplier effect when women's economic empowerment is enhanced. The FAO estimated that equalising women's access to productive agricultural resources could reduce the number of people experiencing hunger by between one hundred and one hundred and fifty million -- representing one of the highest-return investments available in the field of food security [68].

8.3. Policy Frameworks for Population-Food Security Nexus

Addressing the intricate interactions between population dynamics and the sustainability of food systems requires policy frameworks that integrate demographic knowledge with agricultural, nutritional, trade, and environmental governance. The Sustainable Development Goals provide an internationally endorsed framework connecting food security (SDG 2: Zero Hunger) with population health and wellbeing (SDG 3), climate action (SDG 13), and sustainable land use (SDG 15), among others [69]. The SDG architecture explicitly recognises the interconnectedness of development challenges and advocates for integrated policy responses that transcend sectoral boundaries.

National food security strategies that incorporate demographic projections into long-range planning represent acknowledged best practice but remain inadequately implemented, particularly in countries facing the most severe food security challenges and the most rapid population growth. Investment in voluntary family planning services and girls' education, which jointly accelerate the demographic transition by enabling women to exercise informed agency over reproductive decisions, represents both a fundamental human rights obligation and a pragmatic contribution to longer-term food security. It has been argued that nutrition-sensitive agriculture is amongst the most cost-effective approaches to addressing malnutrition in populations undergoing rapid demographic change [42].

8.4. Food Loss and Waste Reduction

One important and underappreciated lever for closing the gap between food supply and demand is consumer food waste and post-harvest food losses. Approximately one-third of all food produced for human consumption worldwide is lost or wasted at some point within the supply chain, which includes harvest, storage, processing, retail, and final consumption. (FAO, 2011). The cumulative magnitude of these losses represents an enormous misallocation of agricultural resources, including the land, water, energy, and labour invested in producing food that never reaches, or is discarded by, its intended consumer. Food loss and waste reduction is accordingly not merely a matter of efficiency but a food security and environmental imperative.

The pattern of food losses differs systematically between countries. In low-income countries, the majority of losses arise at the post-harvest and processing stages, attributable to inadequate storage facilities, poor road networks, limited cold chain infrastructure, and insufficient processing capacity. In high-income countries, the largest losses occur at the retail and consumer stages, where aesthetic grading standards, excessive purchasing, inadequate meal planning, and misinterpretation of date

labelling result in the disposal of safe and nutritious food. Policy responses differ accordingly: in low-income settings, capital investment in storage and transport infrastructure is of primary importance; in high-income settings, public awareness campaigns, industry commitments regarding portion sizes and labelling practices, and regulatory frameworks are of greater relevance.

9. Discussion

The evidence synthesised across the preceding sections reveals a convergence of demographic, environmental, and structural pressures that collectively pose an unprecedented challenge to the capacity of global agricultural systems to deliver food security for a population approaching ten billion people. Taken together, the findings resist simple optimism or pessimism; rather, they underscore that outcomes will be determined less by biological or physical ceilings than by the quality, equity, and urgency of human institutions and decisions.

A recurring theme across the reviewed literature is the profound geographical unevenness of both demographic pressures and agricultural vulnerability. Sub-Saharan Africa and parts of South Asia, which will account for the overwhelming majority of net population growth through 2050, are simultaneously the regions most severely affected by land degradation, water scarcity, climatic disruption, and underdeveloped food system infrastructure. This spatial coincidence is not incidental; it reflects historical patterns of colonial extraction and chronic underinvestment that have constrained the development of productive capacity, institutional frameworks, and human capital in these regions. Addressing the population-food nexus therefore necessitates a frank engagement with these structural inequalities, including reforms to international trade rules, agricultural investment flows, and technology transfer arrangements that have historically disadvantaged lower-income agricultural producers.

The review also illuminates a productive tension within the contemporary food security literature between supply-side and demand-side framings of the challenge. The dominant paradigm through much of the twentieth century prioritised increases in agricultural output through technological intensification, the legacy of which is both the Green Revolution's genuine productivity achievements and its well-documented environmental and distributional costs. More recent scholarly work, including the food gap analyses of Searchinger et al. (2019) and the planetary boundaries framework of Rockström et al. (2009), has shifted analytical attention towards the demand side — arguing that dietary change, food waste reduction, and the reorientation of food systems towards greater sustainability and equity may offer as much leverage as continued supply-side expansion, and at lower environmental cost. This review supports the conclusion that supply-side and demand-side interventions are not alternatives but complements, and that effective policy must pursue both simultaneously.

Urbanisation emerges from the review as a particularly ambivalent process for food security. The nutrition transition associated with urban growth amplifies demand for resource-intensive dietary commodities, principally animal-sourced foods, placing greater pressure on land, water, and energy systems than a straightforward population count would suggest. Yet urbanisation is also associated with rising incomes, enhanced access to diverse food markets, and the structural conditions for more efficient food distribution. The net effect on food security outcomes depends critically on the quality of urban food environments, the inclusivity of economic development processes, and the policy frameworks regulating both urban food systems and rural agricultural production. The heterogeneity of urban food environments documented in the reviewed literature cautions strongly against monolithic policy prescriptions that treat urbanisation as inherently threatening to food security.

The governance dimensions of food security are foregrounded across multiple strands of the reviewed literature. Technological solutions — whether precision agriculture, biofortified crops, or drought-tolerant varieties — are consistently shown to be necessary but insufficient in isolation. Their deployment and impact depend upon institutional environments that support smallholder access to resources, infrastructure, credit, and markets; upon gender-equitable agricultural development that

realises the demonstrated productivity and nutritional gains of women's empowerment; upon trade arrangements that protect vulnerable populations from commodity price volatility; and upon policy frameworks that integrate demographic projections into long-range food system planning. The body of evidence reviewed here affirms that food insecurity is, at its root, a governance challenge as much as an agronomic or technological one.

10. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

This review is subject to several limitations that qualify the scope and generalisability of its conclusions. First, the reliance on peer-reviewed publications and intergovernmental technical reports, whilst appropriate for a systematic scholarly synthesis, may underrepresent practitioner knowledge, grey literature from non-governmental organisations, and research produced in languages other than English. Given that many of the world's most food-insecure populations are located in regions where academic publishing traditions differ from those of the Global North, this limitation risks a systematic underweighting of contextually specific evidence from the societies most directly affected by the phenomena under examination.

Second, the breadth of the review, spanning demography, agricultural economics, nutritional science, food systems governance, and environmental sustainability, necessarily constrains the depth with which any single strand of the literature can be interrogated. Specialist reviews within each of these sub-fields will inevitably capture nuances and contested findings that a broad synthesis of this kind must treat more summarily. Readers seeking detailed assessments of specific topics are accordingly directed to the cited specialist literature.

Third, the quantitative projections reviewed — including population forecasts, food demand estimates, and per capita availability modelling — carry substantial uncertainty, particularly in the longer-term horizons extending to 2050 and beyond. Model projections are sensitive to assumptions regarding fertility trajectories, income growth pathways, the pace of dietary change, technological progress rates, and climatic developments, all of which are inherently uncertain. This review has taken care to present projections as scenario-dependent estimates rather than predictions, but readers should remain alert to the range of uncertainty surrounding any specific quantitative figure.

Several directions for future research emerge from this review. The integration of demographic sub-national data with high-resolution agricultural and nutritional data remains underdeveloped, limiting the capacity to analyse the population-food nexus at the local scales most relevant for policy implementation. Future research that links demographic projections with spatially explicit modelling of agricultural productivity, water availability, and dietary patterns at subnational resolution would substantially advance the analytical basis for targeted food security interventions. Related to this, the mechanisms linking demographic change to dietary transition at the household and community level merit more granular examination, particularly in contexts of rapid urbanisation in sub-Saharan Africa where the nutrition transition is still in its early stages.

The governance of food systems under conditions of demographic transition represents another area warranting systematic scholarly attention. Whilst considerable research has examined individual policy instruments comparative analyses of how different governance architectures shape food security outcomes across demographically heterogeneous countries remain sparse. The relationship between demographic dividends and agricultural transformation deserves further empirical investigation, particularly the conditions under which expanding working-age populations translate into agricultural productivity gains rather than rural underemployment and outmigration without commensurate rural development. Finally, the implications of population ageing for the long-term resilience and sustainability of food systems constitute an emerging research agenda whose urgency will intensify as ageing advances in middle-income countries across Asia and Latin America.

11. Conclusion

The relationship between population dynamics and food security is neither simple nor deterministic, but it is of signal importance for the future trajectory of human welfare on a finite planet. This review has examined the principal dimensions of this relationship — the uneven regional patterns of demographic growth and transition, the dietary and logistical consequences of accelerating urbanisation, the conceptual frameworks and limitations of per capita food availability modelling, the compound pressures on agricultural systems arising from land degradation, water scarcity, climate change, and biodiversity loss, and the technological, governance, and equity dimensions of feasible responses — and has done so in a manner attentive to the multi-disciplinary character of the evidence base and to the structural inequalities that undergird the persistence of food insecurity in a world of aggregate agricultural sufficiency.

Several overarching conclusions emerge from this synthesis. First, the challenge of feeding a world population approaching ten billion by mid-century is technically tractable but politically and institutionally demanding. Modelling evidence indicates that aggregate global food production can in principle be expanded sufficiently to meet projected demand, provided that the appropriate combination of sustainable intensification, demand-side management, waste reduction, and equitable distribution is pursued with sufficient ambition and coordination. The barriers to achieving this are not primarily agronomic or technological, but concern the political will to redirect resources, reform inequitable governance structures, and internalise the environmental externalities of food production.

Second, equity must be placed at the centre of food security analysis and policy. The evidence reviewed consistently demonstrates that per capita availability metrics, by themselves, are insufficient guides to food security outcomes: what matters is not only how much food is produced globally but who can access it, on what terms, and with what nutritional quality. Addressing the population-food nexus therefore requires attention to income distribution, gender equality, smallholder empowerment, and the governance of global commodity markets alongside investments in agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability.

Third, the temporal urgency of action should not be understated. The demographic momentum that will drive population growth in high-fertility regions through mid-century is already built into existing age structures and cannot be substantially altered by near-term policy changes. The agricultural and environmental transformations required to sustainably support that larger population, however, involve long lead times — in soil restoration, in the development and dissemination of improved crop varieties, in the construction of storage and irrigation infrastructure, and in the institution-building necessary for effective food governance. The window for timely action is narrowing, and the costs of delay will be borne most heavily by those who have contributed least to creating the conditions that necessitate urgent response.

This review aspires to contribute to the scholarly foundation upon which such action can be grounded. By synthesising the state of knowledge across the interconnected disciplines bearing on the population-food nexus, it seeks to illuminate both the scale of the challenge and the range of evidence-based responses available to researchers, policymakers, and development practitioners. Averting the Malthusian spectre will require the consistent, equitable, and scientifically informed exercise of collective agency at scales from the local to the global

References

1. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2022). *World population prospects 2022: Summary of results* (UN DESA/POP/2022/TR/NO. 3). United Nations. https://www.un.org/development/desa/pd/sites/www.un.org.development.desa.pd/files/wpp2022_summary_of_results.pdf
2. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2009). *The state of food insecurity in the world 2009: Economic crises — impacts and lessons learned*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/3/i0876e/i0876e.pdf>

3. Asthana, A. N. (2009). What determines access to subsidised food by the rural poor? Evidence from India. *International Development Planning Review*, 31(3), 263-279.
4. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, International Fund for Agricultural Development, United Nations Children's Fund, World Food Programme, & World Health Organisation. (2023). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2023: Urbanization, agrifood systems transformation and healthy diets across the rural-urban continuum*. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc3017en>
5. Thompson, W. S. (1929). Population. *American Journal of Sociology*, 34(6), 959-975. <https://doi.org/10.1086/214874>
6. Notestein, F. W. (1945). Population — The long view. In T. W. Schultz (Ed.), *Food for the world* (pp. 36-57). University of Chicago Press.
7. McGuire, J. M. (2010). *Decentralization for satisfying basic needs* (2nd ed.). IAP.
8. Asthana, A. N. (2010). Descentralización y necesidades básicas. *Politai*, 1(1), 13-22. Statnob
9. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2019). *World urbanization prospects: The 2018 revision (ST/ESA/SER.A/420)*. United Nations. <https://population.un.org/wup/>
10. Asthana, A. N. (2022). Increasing production efficiency of irrigation systems through stakeholder participation. *Water Policy*, 24(6), 1061-1072.
11. Asthana, A. N. (2023). Wastewater Management through Circular Economy: A Pathway Towards Sustainable Business and Environmental Protection. *Advances in Water Science*, 34(3), 87-98.
12. Popkin, B. M. (2006). Global nutrition dynamics: The world is shifting rapidly toward a diet linked with noncommunicable diseases. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 84(2), 289-298. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/84.2.289>
13. Drewnowski, A., & Popkin, B. M. (1997). The nutrition transition: New trends in the global diet. *Nutrition Reviews*, 55(2), 31-43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.1997.tb01593.x>
14. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, International Fund for Agricultural Development, United Nations Children's Fund, World Food Programme, & World Health Organisation. (2020). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2020: Transforming food systems for affordable healthy diets*. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9692en>
15. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2019). *Climate change and land: An IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems*. IPCC. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157988>
16. Asthana, A. N. (2022). Impact of mindfulness on irrigation water consumption. *Frontiers in Water*, 4, 1062835.
17. Bongaarts, J. (2017). Africa's unique fertility transition. *Population and Development Review*, 43(S1), 39-58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2016.00164.x>
18. Asthana, A. N. (2022). Enhancing social intelligence of public enterprise executives through yogic practices. *Public Enterprise*, 26, 25-40.
19. Davis, M. (2001). *Late Victorian holocausts: El Niño famines and the making of the Third World*. Verso.
20. Asthana, A. N. (2015). Sustainable Fisheries Business in Latin America: Linking in to Global Value Chain. *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*, 7(3), 175-184
21. Asthana, A. N., & Charan, N. (2023). Curricular Infusion in Technology Management Education Programmes. *Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing*, 38(3), 3522.
22. Lesthaeghe, R. (2010). The unfolding story of the second demographic transition. *Population and Development Review*, 36(2), 211-251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2010.00328.x>
23. Asthana, A. N. (2015). Sustainable Fisheries Business in Latin America: Linking in to Global Value Chain. *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*, 7(3), 175-184
24. Asthana, A. N. (1994). *Demand analysis in a basic needs framework: The case of rural water supply in central India*. Fordham University.
25. Bloom, D. E., Canning, D., & Sevilla, J. (2003). *The demographic dividend: A new perspective on the economic consequences of population change (Monograph Report MR-1274)*. RAND Corporation. <https://doi.org/10.7249/MR1274>

26. Asthana, A. N. (2023). Role of Mindfulness and Emotional Intelligence in Business Ethics Education. *Journal of Business Ethics Education*, 20, 5-17.
27. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2019). *The state of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca6030en>
28. Asthana, A. N. (2014). Profitability prediction in cattle ranches in Latin America: a machine learning approach. *Global Veterinaria*, 13(4), 473-495.
29. Asthana, A. N., & Charan, N. (2023). How fair is Fair Trade in fisheries?. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 205-213.
30. Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., Garnett, T., Tilman, D., DeClerck, F., Wood, A., Jonell, M., Clark, M., Gordon, L. J., Fanzo, J., Hawkes, C., Zurayk, R., Rivera, J. A., De Vries, W., Sibanda, L. M., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: The EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet*, 393(10170), 447–492. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31788-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4)
31. Nguyen, M. T. T. (2012). Does farmland tenancy improve the well-being of rural households? Evidence from rural Vietnam. *Journal of Development Studies*, 48(6), 823–837. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2011.638049>
32. Asthana, A. N. (2014). Voluntary Sustainability Standards in Latin American Agribusiness: Convergence and Differentiation. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*, 1262-1274.
33. Asthana, A. N. (2011). Entrepreneurship and Human Rights: Evidence from a natural experiment. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(3), 9905-9911.
- Poore, J., & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science*, 360(6392), 987–992. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0216>
34. Asthana, A. (2003). Water: Perspectives, Issues, concerns. *Journal of Development Studies*, 39(6), 188-189.
35. Monteiro, C. A., Moubarac, J. C., Cannon, G., Ng, S. W., & Popkin, B. (2013). Ultra-processed products are becoming dominant in the global food system. *Obesity Reviews*, 14(S2), 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12107>
36. Ruel, M. T., Garrett, J. L., Yosef, S., & Olivier, M. (2017). Urbanization, food security and nutrition. In S. de Pee, D. Taren, & M. W. Bloem (Eds.), *Nutrition and health in a developing world* (3rd ed.), pp. 705–735. Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43739-2_32
37. Asthana, A. N. (2013). Who Do We Trust for Antitrust? Deconstructing Structural IO. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 22 (9), 1367-1372.
38. Zezza, A., & Tasciotti, L. (2010). *Urban agriculture, poverty, and food security: Empirical evidence from a sample of developing countries*. *Food Policy*, 35(4), 265–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2010.04.007>
39. Asthana, A. (2003). *Decentralisation and supply efficiency of RWS in India*. Loughborough University.
40. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2023). *FAOSTAT food balance sheets*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FBS>
41. Asthana, A. N. (1995). *Demand analysis of RWS in Central India*. Loughborough University.
42. Haddad, L., Hawkes, C., Webb, P., Thomas, S., Beddington, J., Waage, J., & Flynn, D. (2016). A new global research agenda for food. *Nature*, 540(7631), 30–32. <https://doi.org/10.1038/540030a>
43. Asthana, A. N., & Charan, N. (2023). Minimising Catastrophic Risk in the Chemical Industry: Role of Mindfulness. *European Chemical Bulletin*, 12, 7235-7246.
44. Rosegrant, M. W., Fernandez, M., Sinha, A., Alder, J., Ahammad, H., de Fraiture, C., Eickhout, B., Fonseca, J., Huang, J., Koyama, O., Msangi, S., Meijer, S., Schmitz, C., Shiota, R., Silva, S. S., Thoenes, P., Timmer, C. P., Valmonte-Santos, R., Wiseman, M., & Wiebe, K. (2012). *International model for policy analysis of agricultural commodities and trade (IMPACT): Model description*. IFPRI. <https://hdl.handle.net/10947/2144>
45. Asthana, A. N. (2013). Profitability Prediction in Agribusiness Construction Contracts: A Machine Learning Approach. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*, 13(10), 1314-1324.
46. Bouis, H. E., & Saltzman, A. (2017). Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from HarvestPlus, 2003 through 2016. *Global Food Security*, 12, 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2017.01.009>

47. Gollin, D., Jedwab, R., & Vollrath, D. (2021). Urbanization with and without industrialization. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 26(1), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-020-09186-z>
48. Asthana, A. N. (2023). From the Shop Floor to the Corner Office: Navigating Management Education for Engineering Students. *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, 44((9), 438–447.
49. Alexandratos, N., & Bruinsma, J. (2012). *World agriculture towards 2030/2050: The 2012 revision (ESA Working Paper No. 12-03)*. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. <https://www.fao.org/3/ap106e/ap106e.pdf>
50. Searchinger, T., Waite, R., Hanson, C., Ranganathan, J., Dumas, P., & Matthews, E. (2019). *Creating a sustainable food future: A menu of solutions to feed nearly 10 billion people by 2050*. World Resources Institute. <https://doi.org/10.46830/wriipt.18.00345>
51. Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., Mueller, N. D., O'Connell, C., Ray, D. K., West, P. C., Balzer, C., Bennett, E. M., Carpenter, S. R., Hill, J., Monfreda, C., Polasky, S., Rockström, J., Sheehan, J., Siebert, S., ... Zaks, D. P. M. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478(7369), 337–342. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452>
52. Gleeson, T., Wada, Y., Bierkens, M. F. P., & van Beek, L. P. H. (2012). Water balance of global aquifers revealed by groundwater footprint. *Nature*, 488(7410), 197–200. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11295>
53. Asthana, A. N. (2008). Decentralisation and corruption: Evidence from drinking water sector. *Public Administration and Development*, 28(3), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.496>
54. Asthana, A. N. (2012). Decentralisation and corruption revisited: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Public Administration and Development*, 32(1), 27–37. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.621>
55. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2020). *The state of food and agriculture 2020: Overcoming water challenges in agriculture*. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb1447en>
56. Asthana, A. N. (2023). Reskilling business executives in transition economies: can yoga help? *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 15(3), 267–287. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEM.2023.10055609>
57. Wheeler, T., & von Braun, J. (2013). Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science*, 341(6145), 508–513. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239402>
58. Asthana, A. N. (2014). Thirty years after the cataclysm: toxic risk management in the chemical industry. *Journal of Toxicological Sciences*, 6(1), 01–08.
59. Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., Lenton, T. M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H. J., Nykvist, B., de Wit, C. A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P. K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., ... Foley, J. A. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472–475. <https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a>
60. Asthana, A. N. (2012). Human rights and corruption: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Human Rights*, 11(4), 526–536.
61. Zhang, N., Wang, M., & Wang, N. (2002). Precision agriculture — A worldwide overview. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 36(2–3), 113–132. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1699\(02\)00096-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1699(02)00096-0)
62. Aker, J. C. (2011). Dial "A" for agriculture: A review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension in developing countries. *Agricultural Economics*, 42(6), 631–647. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2011.00545.x>
63. Asthana, A. (2003). *Decentralisation and supply efficiency of RWS in India*. Loughborough University.
64. World Bank. (2008). *Rising food prices: Policy options and World Bank response*. World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/9588>
65. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2011). *Global food losses and food waste — Extent, causes and prevention*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/3/mb060e/mb060e.pdf>
66. Asthana, A. N. (2013). Profitability Prediction in Agribusiness Construction Contracts: A Machine Learning Approach. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*, 13(10), 1314–1324.
67. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. (2011b). *The state of food and agriculture 2010–11: Women in agriculture — Closing the gender gap for development*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/3/i2050e/i2050e.pdf>

68. Asthana, A. N. (2023). Enhancing Work Engagement of Organisational Behaviour Students: Can Yoga Help? *Journal of Organizational Behavior Education*, 16, 253-270.
69. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (Resolution A/RES/70/1). United Nations. <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.