

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Note on Fermat's Last Theorem

[Frank Vega](#) *

Posted Date: 2 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202109.0480.v11

Keywords: elementary number theory; Fermat's last theorem; taylor series inequalities; binomial theorem



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

A Note on Fermat's Last Theorem

Frank Vega 

Information Physics Institute, 840 W 67th St, Hialeah, FL 33012, USA; vega.frank@gmail.com

Abstract: Around 1637, Pierre de Fermat famously wrote in the margin of a book that he had a proof for the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ having no positive integer solutions for exponents n greater than 2. This statement, now known as Fermat's Last Theorem, remained unproven for centuries, despite the efforts of countless mathematicians. Andrew Wiles' work in 1994 finally provided a rigorous proof of Fermat's Last Theorem. However, Wiles' proof relied on advanced mathematical techniques that were far beyond the scope of Fermat's time, raising questions about whether Fermat could have truly possessed a proof using the methods available to him. Wiles's achievement was widely celebrated, and he was awarded the Abel Prize in 2016 in recognition of his groundbreaking work. The citation for the award described his proof as a "stunning advance" in mathematics. The present work offers a potential solution to Fermat's Last Theorem that may be more aligned with the original approach that Fermat claimed to have used.

Keywords: elementary number theory; Fermat's last theorem; taylor series inequalities; binomial theorem

1. Introduction

Fermat's Last Theorem, first stated by its namesake Pierre de Fermat in the 17th century, it claims that there are no positive integer solutions to the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$, whenever $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is greater than 2. In a margin note left on his copy of Diophantus' *Arithmetica*, Fermat claimed that he had a proof which the margin was too small to contain [1]. Later mathematicians such Leonhard Euler and Sophie Germain made significant contributions to its study [2,3], and 20th contributions by Ernst Kummer proved the theorem for a specific class of numbers [4]. However, a complete solution remained out of reach.

Finally, in 1994, British mathematician Andrew Wiles announced a proof for Fermat's Last Theorem. His work was complex and multifaceted, drawing on advance topics of mathematics such as elliptic curves, which were beyond the prevalent purview of knowledge during Fermat's time. After some initial errors were addressed, Wiles' work was hailed as the long-awaited proof of the Theorem [5] and described as a "stunning advance" in the citation for Wiles's Abel Prize award in 2016. It also proved much of the Taniyama-Shimura conjecture, subsequently known as the modularity theorem, and opened up entire new approaches to numerous other problems and mathematically powerful modularity lifting techniques [6]. The techniques used by Wiles are ostensibly far from Fermat's claimed proof in terms of extension, complexity and novelty of tools used—many of which were only available during the 20th century.

In this article, we present what we contend is a correct and short proof for Fermat's Last Theorem. The degree of actual closeness it might have with Fermat's own can only be speculated upon, but in our view simplicity was of paramount importance and we have deliberately eschewed techniques and results that were not available in the 17th century. The techniques developed here show promise for application to similar Diophantine equations and other problems in Number Theory such as the Beal conjecture, a well-known generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem [7].

2. Main Result

In our demonstration, we employ the inequalities derived from the following proposition:

Proposition 1. The exponential function e^x may be written as a Taylor series [8]:

$$e^x = 1 + \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

For $0 < x < 1$, the following inequalities hold:

$$e^x < 1 + x + x^2(e-2) < 1 + x + x^2 < 1 + 2x.$$

Key Observations:

- First inequality** ($e^x < 1 + x + x^2(e-2)$):
 - Since $e-2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$, the term $x^2(e-2) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{n!}$ dominates $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ for $0 < x < 1$, ensuring the inequality holds.
- Second inequality** ($1 + x + x^2(e-2) < 1 + x + x^2$):
 - Simplifies to $x^2(e-2) < x^2$, which holds because $e-2 \approx 0.718 < 1$.
- Third inequality** ($1 + x + x^2 < 1 + 2x$):
 - Reduces to $x^2 < x$, true for $0 < x < 1$ since $x^2 < x$ in this interval.

This is the main theorem.

Theorem 1 (Fermat's Last Theorem). There exist no positive integers a, b, c , and n satisfying the equation

$$a^n + b^n = c^n$$

when $n \geq 3$ is an integer.

Proof. Assume, for contradiction, that a solution (a, b, c, n) exists with:

- $n \geq 3$
- $a, b, c > 0$ integers
- $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$
- Without loss of generality, $0 < a < b < c$.

Reduction to prime exponents:

Since solutions for composite n imply solutions for their prime factors, we assume n is prime.

Step 1: Bounding c

From $a < b$ and $a^n + b^n = c^n$, we have:

$$c^n < b^n + b^n = 2b^n \implies c < 2^{1/n}b.$$

Step 2: Gap parameterization

Set $c = b + k$ where $k \geq 1$ is an integer. The equation becomes:

$$a^n = (b+k)^n - b^n.$$

Using the binomial theorem's lower bound:

$$(b+k)^n - b^n \geq \binom{n}{1} b^{n-1}k = nb^{n-1}k.$$

From the bound $c < 2^{1/n}b$:

$$k = c - b < (2^{1/n} - 1)b.$$

Applying the inequality $e^x < 1 + x + x^2$ for $0 < x < 1$ with $x = \ln(2)/n$ (Proposition 1):

$$2^{1/n} - 1 < \frac{\ln 2}{n} + \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n^2}.$$

Thus:

$$k < \left(\frac{\ln 2}{n} + \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n^2} \right) b.$$

Step 3: Upper bound for a^n

Using the binomial expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} a^n &= (b+k)^n - b^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} b^{n-i} k^i \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j+1} b^{n-(j+1)} k^{j+1} \\ &= k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j+1} b^{n-1-j} k^j \\ &= kb^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j+1} \left(\frac{k}{b} \right)^j \\ &< kb^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{k}{b} \right)^j \\ &= kb^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{k}{b} \right)^n \\ &< kb^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\ln 2}{n} + \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n^2} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Define $c_n = \frac{\ln 2}{n} + \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n^2}$. Using $e^x < 1 + 2x$ for $0 < x < 1$ (Proposition 1):

$$(1 + c_n)^n \leq e^{nc_n} = \exp\left(\ln 2 + \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n}\right) = 2 \exp\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n}\right) < 2 \left(1 + \frac{2(\ln 2)^2}{n} \right),$$

where the last step uses $e^y < 1 + 2y$ for $y = \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{n} < 1$. Thus:

$$a^n < 2kb^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2(\ln 2)^2}{n} \right).$$

Step 4: Contradiction

Combining the lower and upper bounds:

$$nb^{n-1}k \leq a^n < 2kb^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2(\ln 2)^2}{n} \right).$$

Dividing by $kb^{n-1} > 0$:

$$n < 2 \left(1 + \frac{2(\ln 2)^2}{n} \right).$$

For $n \geq 3$, the right-hand side is bounded by:

$$2 \left(1 + \frac{2(\ln 2)^2}{3} \right) < 2(1 + 0.961/3) < 2(1 + 0.3204) = 2.6408 < 3 \leq n.$$

This yields $n < 2.6408$ and $n \geq 3$, a contradiction. \square

3. Conclusions

This paper introduces a novel and concise proof of Fermat's Last Theorem, a celebrated problem in number theory that has remained unsolved for centuries. We have demonstrated that the equation

$$a^n + b^n = c^n$$

has no positive integer solutions for any natural numbers a, b, c and any integer exponent n greater than 2.

Our proof builds upon the rich history of mathematical attempts to tackle this theorem, offering a streamlined and accessible approach compared to previous methods. By leveraging the vast body of knowledge available in Fermat's time, we have shown that the tools of that era were indeed sufficient to prove his seminal result.

This successful proof of Fermat's Last Theorem not only resolves a long-standing mathematical mystery but also validates the potential of simple tools when applied to complex problems. It opens up new avenues for exploration and research, inspiring mathematicians to reconsider the power of classical methods in modern mathematics.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Iris, Marilyn, Sonia, Yoselin, and Arelis for their support.

References

1. Fermat, P.d. *Oeuvres de Pierre de Fermat*; Vol. 1, Gauthier-Villars: Paris, France, 1891.
2. Euler, L. *Elements of Algebra*; Springer Science & Business Media: New York, United States, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-8511-0>.
3. Germain, S. *Oeuvres philosophiques de Sophie Germain*; Collection XIX: Paris, France, 2016.
4. Kummer, E.E. Zur Theorie der complexen Zahlen 1847. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01212902>.
5. Wiles, A. Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem. *Annals of mathematics* **1995**, *141*, 443–551. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2118559>.
6. Ribet, K.A. Galois representations and modular forms. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **1995**, *32*, 375–402. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-1995-00616-6>.
7. Beal, A. A Generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem: The Beal Conjecture and Prize Problem. *Notices of the AMS* **1997**, *44*.
8. Whittaker, E.T.; Watson, G.N. *A Course of Modern Analysis*; Courier Dover Publications: New York, United States, 2020.