

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Understanding Supplier Selection Criteria: Perspectives from Procurement Professionals in Diverse Industries

[Mason Cooper](#) *

Posted Date: 9 July 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202407.0744.v1

Keywords: supplier selection; procurement; quality assurance; sustainability; innovation; strategic alignment; risk management



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Understanding Supplier Selection Criteria: Perspectives from Procurement Professionals in Diverse Industries

Mason Cooper

Kellogg School of Management; masonc@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: This qualitative study explores supplier selection criteria from the perspectives of procurement professionals across diverse industries. Supplier selection is crucial for organizational efficiency and performance, influenced by factors beyond traditional cost considerations. The research aims to uncover nuanced criteria such as quality assurance, supplier reliability, innovation capability, sustainability practices, strategic alignment, relational dynamics, and risk management strategies. These criteria reflect a shift towards holistic evaluation frameworks that integrate economic, social, and environmental dimensions in procurement decision-making. Methodologically, the study employed semi-structured interviews with 30 procurement professionals, ensuring depth and diversity in perspectives. Thematic analysis was used to identify recurring themes and patterns, illuminating the complexities and strategic importance of supplier selection processes. Findings highlight the strategic role of suppliers in driving innovation, enhancing supply chain resilience, and supporting organizational goals. Moreover, the study underscores the significance of sustainable sourcing practices, ethical considerations, and effective supplier relationships in fostering long-term partnerships and mitigating operational risks. Practical implications suggest that organizations should adopt integrated approaches to supplier selection, leveraging data-driven insights and technological advancements to optimize decision-making. By prioritizing strategic alignment, fostering collaborative partnerships, and implementing robust risk management strategies, organizations can navigate uncertainties, capitalize on market opportunities, and sustain competitive advantage.

Keywords: supplier selection; procurement; quality assurance; sustainability; innovation; strategic alignment; risk management

1. Introduction

Supplier selection is a pivotal aspect of procurement management, crucially impacting the operational efficiency and strategic outcomes of organizations across diverse industries. The process involves the meticulous evaluation and decision-making by procurement professionals to identify and engage with suppliers who can reliably deliver goods and services that meet organizational needs. This qualitative research delves into the intricate criteria and considerations that shape supplier selection, drawing insights from the perspectives of procurement professionals operating in various industry settings. In recent years, the landscape of supplier selection has evolved significantly, driven by globalization, technological advancements, and changing market dynamics. These changes have prompted organizations to adopt more sophisticated approaches in evaluating suppliers beyond traditional cost considerations. As highlighted by Bals and Tate (2017), factors such as supplier reliability, responsiveness, quality, and sustainability have gained prominence alongside cost-effectiveness in supplier selection processes. This shift reflects a broader recognition among procurement professionals that supplier relationships are integral to achieving strategic objectives and mitigating risks in supply chain operations (Caniels & Gelderman, 2017). Moreover, the diversity of industries adds layers of complexity to supplier selection criteria. For instance, in industries with stringent regulatory requirements such as pharmaceuticals or aerospace, compliance and adherence

to quality standards are paramount (Giunipero, Hooker, & Denslow, 2019). Conversely, industries driven by innovation and rapid product development, like technology or fashion, prioritize supplier flexibility and speed to market (Vafaei, Aalaei, & Arabi, 2020). This diversity underscores the need for tailored approaches in supplier evaluation that align with industry-specific demands and organizational strategies. Furthermore, the advent of digital technologies has revolutionized supplier management practices, offering new tools and analytics capabilities to enhance decision-making processes. For example, artificial intelligence and big data analytics enable procurement professionals to analyze supplier performance metrics in real-time, predict supply chain disruptions, and optimize supplier portfolios (Sarker, Sarker, & Sahaym, 2018). These technological advancements not only streamline supplier selection processes but also empower organizations to make informed decisions based on comprehensive data-driven insights. In addition to technological advancements, the growing emphasis on sustainability and ethical sourcing practices has reshaped supplier selection criteria. Stakeholder pressures, regulatory requirements, and consumer expectations have compelled organizations to evaluate suppliers based on their environmental footprint, ethical labor practices, and social responsibility initiatives (Pagell & Shevchenko, 2014). This shift reflects a broader commitment among organizations to integrate sustainability principles into their supply chain strategies, thereby mitigating risks associated with environmental impacts and enhancing brand reputation (Hahn, Figge, Pinkse, & Preuss, 2018). Moreover, supplier selection is not merely a transactional process but a strategic imperative that requires collaboration across internal departments and external stakeholders. Effective supplier relationships are built on trust, transparency, and mutual value creation, where procurement professionals play a pivotal role in fostering long-term partnerships that drive innovation and competitive advantage (Luzzini, Ronchi, & Spina, 2015). This collaborative approach extends beyond traditional supplier evaluation metrics to encompass factors such as cultural fit, innovation capability, and alignment with organizational goals, as emphasized by Cao, Schniederjans, and Schniederjans (2016). The process of supplier selection is multifaceted, influenced by a myriad of factors ranging from technological advancements and regulatory compliance to sustainability practices and strategic alignment. This qualitative research aims to uncover these complexities by exploring the perspectives of procurement professionals across diverse industries, offering valuable insights into the evolving landscape of supplier management. By understanding the nuanced criteria and considerations that shape supplier selection decisions, organizations can enhance their procurement strategies, mitigate risks, and capitalize on opportunities for sustainable growth and competitive advantage in today's dynamic marketplace.

2. Literature Review

The literature on supplier selection criteria is extensive and reflects the evolving dynamics of global supply chains, technological advancements, and changing organizational priorities. Central to this discourse is the recognition that supplier selection is not solely driven by cost considerations but encompasses a spectrum of strategic, operational, and ethical factors that influence decision-making processes across diverse industries. Traditional approaches to supplier selection have emphasized cost minimization and quality assurance as primary criteria (Monczka et al., 2015). However, contemporary perspectives underscore the need for a more holistic evaluation framework that integrates multiple dimensions of supplier performance. According to Bals and Tate (2017), modern supplier selection criteria extend beyond price competitiveness to encompass factors such as supplier reliability, responsiveness, innovation capability, and sustainability practices. This shift reflects a broader strategic imperative among organizations to foster resilient supply chains capable of adapting to market disruptions and delivering value beyond transactional relationships. In recent years, the advent of digital technologies has revolutionized supplier management practices, offering new tools and analytics capabilities to enhance decision-making processes. Artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and blockchain technology enable procurement professionals to analyze vast amounts of supplier data in real-time, predict supply chain risks, and optimize supplier portfolios (Sarker, Sarker, & Sahaym, 2018). These technological advancements not only streamline operational

efficiencies but also empower organizations to make informed decisions based on data-driven insights, thereby improving overall supply chain resilience and agility. Moreover, the literature underscores the critical role of sustainability considerations in supplier selection criteria. With increasing regulatory scrutiny, consumer awareness, and stakeholder pressures, organizations are increasingly evaluating suppliers based on their environmental practices, ethical standards, and social responsibility initiatives (Pagell & Shevchenko, 2014). Sustainable supplier selection not only mitigates environmental risks but also enhances corporate reputation and fosters long-term stakeholder trust, as noted by Hahn et al. (2018). Furthermore, supplier selection is intricately linked to strategic alignment and collaborative partnerships within and across organizational boundaries. Effective supplier relationship management entails fostering mutually beneficial relationships characterized by trust, transparency, and shared value creation (Luzzini, Ronchi, & Spina, 2015). This collaborative approach extends beyond transactional exchanges to include joint innovation initiatives, knowledge sharing, and risk-sharing mechanisms that drive competitive advantage and operational excellence. In the context of marketing, Khan et al. (2024) highlight the integration of supplier selection with marketing strategies to align supplier capabilities with market demands and customer expectations. This strategic alignment not only enhances market responsiveness but also enables organizations to capitalize on emerging opportunities and mitigate competitive threats in dynamic market environments. Emotional intelligence (Emon & Chowdhury, 2024) plays a crucial role in supplier selection processes by enhancing interpersonal skills and decision-making capabilities among procurement professionals. By understanding and managing emotions effectively, procurement professionals can navigate complex supplier relationships, resolve conflicts, and negotiate favorable terms that contribute to organizational success. Economic factors (Emon, 2023) also influence supplier selection criteria, particularly in volatile market conditions where cost fluctuations, currency risks, and economic uncertainties impact procurement decisions. Strategic sourcing strategies that consider economic indicators and market trends enable organizations to optimize costs, mitigate financial risks, and maintain profitability amidst economic fluctuations. Identifying and overcoming barriers to growth (Khan et al., 2020) is essential in supplier selection processes, as organizational growth aspirations necessitate partnerships with suppliers capable of scaling operations, innovating products, and adapting to evolving market demands. Effective supplier selection criteria include assessing supplier scalability, flexibility, and resilience to support organizational growth objectives and sustain competitive advantage in the marketplace. In conclusion, the literature review underscores the multidimensional nature of supplier selection criteria, encompassing strategic, operational, technological, ethical, and collaborative dimensions. By integrating these diverse factors into supplier evaluation frameworks, organizations can enhance supply chain resilience, foster sustainable practices, capitalize on market opportunities, and maintain competitive advantage in an increasingly complex and interconnected global economy.

3. Materials and Method

The research methodology employed for this study on understanding supplier selection criteria involved a qualitative approach to gather rich insights from procurement professionals across diverse industries. A purposive sampling technique was utilized to select participants who possessed extensive experience and expertise in supplier selection processes, ensuring the inclusion of diverse perspectives and insights. The data collection process consisted of semi-structured interviews conducted with 30 procurement professionals from various organizations, ranging from small enterprises to multinational corporations. Interviews were chosen as the primary method to allow for in-depth exploration of participants' perspectives, experiences, and decision-making criteria in supplier selection. To ensure the rigor and validity of the study, several measures were implemented during the research process. Firstly, interview questions were carefully designed based on a comprehensive review of existing literature on supplier selection criteria, encompassing themes such as cost considerations, quality assurance, supplier reliability, innovation capability, sustainability practices, and strategic alignment. This ensured that the interviews covered a wide spectrum of factors influencing supplier selection decisions in contemporary procurement practices. Data

collection was carried out over a period of six months, allowing sufficient time to establish rapport with participants, conduct interviews, and ensure data saturation, where no new significant themes or insights emerged from subsequent interviews. Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and transcribed verbatim to capture nuances in responses accurately. Field notes and reflective journals were also maintained throughout the research process to document observations, insights, and reflections on emerging themes and patterns in the data. Thematic analysis was employed as the primary method for data analysis, following the systematic approach outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). This involved iterative cycles of coding, categorizing, and interpreting the data to identify recurring themes and patterns related to supplier selection criteria. Data triangulation was achieved by cross-verifying themes across different interview transcripts, ensuring the reliability and consistency of findings. Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, emphasizing voluntary participation, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequences. The study adhered to ethical guidelines and principles of confidentiality in handling and storing sensitive participant information. In conclusion, the qualitative research methodology employed in this study facilitated a deep understanding of supplier selection criteria from the perspectives of procurement professionals in diverse industries. By employing rigorous data collection and analysis techniques, the study generated valuable insights into the complex decision-making processes involved in supplier selection, contributing to the body of knowledge on effective procurement practices and strategic supply chain management.

4. Results and Findings

The results and findings of the qualitative study on supplier selection criteria reveal a multifaceted landscape shaped by diverse factors influencing procurement decisions across industries. Through in-depth interviews with 30 procurement professionals, several key themes emerged that highlight the complexity and strategic importance of supplier selection processes. Firstly, cost remains a fundamental consideration in supplier selection, reflecting the traditional emphasis on economic efficiency and budgetary constraints. Many participants emphasized the need to balance cost-effectiveness with quality and reliability, underscoring the challenge of achieving optimal value without compromising on product or service standards. Cost considerations often drove negotiations and contractual agreements, influencing supplier relationships and procurement strategies. Quality assurance emerged as another critical criterion in supplier selection decisions. Participants highlighted the importance of supplier performance metrics, product specifications, and adherence to industry standards in ensuring consistent quality across supply chains. Suppliers' track records and certifications were cited as indicators of reliability and competence, essential for mitigating operational risks and maintaining product integrity. Supplier reliability and responsiveness were identified as pivotal factors influencing procurement decisions. Participants stressed the significance of suppliers' ability to meet deadlines, fulfill orders promptly, and respond swiftly to unforeseen disruptions or changes in demand. Reliable suppliers were perceived as strategic partners capable of enhancing supply chain resilience and operational continuity, thereby minimizing disruptions and optimizing business outcomes. Innovation capability emerged as a distinguishing criterion in supplier selection, particularly in industries characterized by rapid technological advancements and changing consumer preferences. Participants emphasized the importance of suppliers' ability to innovate, collaborate on product development, and introduce new technologies or solutions that drive competitive advantage and market differentiation. Innovation-oriented partnerships were seen as instrumental in fostering long-term growth and market leadership. Sustainability practices increasingly influenced supplier selection criteria, reflecting growing stakeholder expectations, regulatory requirements, and corporate social responsibility commitments. Participants highlighted the importance of suppliers' environmental stewardship, ethical labor practices, and social impact initiatives in aligning with organizational values and mitigating reputational risks. Sustainable sourcing strategies were viewed as integral to achieving environmental goals, enhancing brand reputation, and meeting evolving consumer preferences for

eco-friendly products and services. Strategic alignment emerged as a recurring theme in supplier selection discussions, emphasizing the importance of aligning suppliers' capabilities and objectives with organizational goals and market strategies. Participants highlighted the value of strategic partnerships based on shared values, mutual trust, and collaborative innovation. Aligning supplier capabilities with strategic priorities enabled organizations to leverage supplier expertise, optimize supply chain efficiencies, and capitalize on emerging market opportunities. Cultural fit and relational factors played a significant role in supplier selection decisions, with participants emphasizing the importance of trust, transparency, and effective communication in fostering productive supplier relationships. Compatibility in organizational cultures, values, and communication styles facilitated smoother collaborations and conflict resolution, enhancing overall partnership effectiveness and performance outcomes. Moreover, risk management strategies were integral to supplier selection criteria, with participants prioritizing suppliers' risk mitigation capabilities, contingency planning, and resilience to unforeseen disruptions such as geopolitical events, natural disasters, or supply chain vulnerabilities. Proactive risk assessment and management strategies were seen as essential for safeguarding supply chain integrity, maintaining operational continuity, and protecting organizational interests in dynamic and unpredictable business environments. Overall, the findings underscored the complexity and strategic significance of supplier selection criteria in contemporary procurement practices. By integrating diverse factors such as cost, quality, reliability, innovation, sustainability, strategic alignment, relational dynamics, and risk management, organizations can enhance supply chain resilience, foster sustainable growth, and capitalize on strategic opportunities in a competitive global marketplace. The insights derived from this study contribute to advancing knowledge in procurement management, offering practical implications for optimizing supplier selection strategies and driving organizational success in dynamic business environments.

Table 1 summarizes the core supplier selection criteria identified in the study. Cost, quality assurance, and reliability are foundational factors influencing procurement decisions, emphasizing efficiency and operational reliability. Innovation capability reflects suppliers' potential to drive competitive advantage through technological advancements. Sustainability considerations underscore the importance of ethical sourcing practices and environmental stewardship in supplier partnerships. Strategic alignment highlights the significance of suppliers' alignment with organizational goals, fostering synergistic relationships that support long-term growth and market responsiveness.

Table 1. Supplier Selection Criteria.

Criteria	Description
Cost	Direct expenses incurred by purchasing goods or services from suppliers.
Quality Assurance	Degree to which suppliers meet specified product or service standards.
Reliability	Ability of suppliers to deliver goods/services on time and as promised.
Innovation Capability	Suppliers' capacity to introduce new technologies or solutions.
Sustainability	Suppliers' commitment to environmental and social responsibility.
Strategic Alignment	Alignment of suppliers' goals and capabilities with organizational strategies.

Table 2 outlines various evaluation methods utilized by organizations to assess supplier performance and suitability. Supplier audits and performance metrics provide direct insights into operational capabilities and adherence to quality standards. Risk assessments help identify potential vulnerabilities, guiding risk mitigation strategies. Supplier scorecards offer a structured approach to benchmark suppliers against key performance indicators, facilitating data-driven decision-making. Market analysis informs strategic supplier selection by analyzing suppliers' market presence and competitive strengths, enhancing informed procurement strategies.

Table 2. Evaluation Methods.

Evaluation Method	Description
Supplier Audits	On-site inspections to assess supplier capabilities and compliance.
Performance Metrics	Quantitative measures of suppliers' performance (e.g., delivery accuracy).
Risk Assessments	Evaluation of suppliers' vulnerability to potential disruptions or risks.
Supplier Scorecards	Systematic rating systems to evaluate suppliers based on predefined criteria.
Market Analysis	Assessment of suppliers' market position and competitiveness.

Table 3 identifies critical decision-making factors guiding supplier selection processes. Cost-effectiveness prioritizes economic efficiency while ensuring value for investment. Long-term viability assesses suppliers' resilience and continuity, crucial for sustained partnerships. Strategic fit emphasizes suppliers' alignment with organizational goals, supporting strategic objectives and market responsiveness. Risk mitigation capabilities mitigate potential disruptions, safeguarding supply chain integrity. Ethical considerations underscore suppliers' ethical practices, promoting corporate integrity and sustainable supplier relationships.

Table 3. Decision-Making Factors.

Decision-Making Factor	Description
Cost-effectiveness	Balance between costs and benefits of supplier offerings.
Long-term Viability	Suppliers' ability to sustain performance over time.
Strategic Fit	Alignment of suppliers' capabilities with organizational strategies.
Risk Mitigation	Suppliers' capacity to manage and mitigate risks effectively.
Ethical Considerations	Suppliers' adherence to ethical standards and corporate social responsibility.

Table 4 outlines key performance indicators (KPIs) used to measure suppliers' performance and effectiveness. On-time delivery and lead time metrics assess suppliers' reliability and operational efficiency in meeting deadlines. Quality defect rate indicates suppliers' adherence to quality standards and product/service reliability. Customer satisfaction gauges stakeholders' satisfaction with supplier performance, reflecting service levels and relationship management. Innovation rate evaluates suppliers' contribution to innovation and technological advancement, supporting competitive differentiation and market leadership.

Table 4. Performance Indicators.

Performance Indicator	Description
On-time Delivery	Percentage of orders delivered on schedule.
Quality Defect Rate	Incidence of defects or non-conformities in delivered products/services.
Lead Time	Time taken from order placement to delivery.
Customer Satisfaction	Feedback and satisfaction levels from internal stakeholders or end-users.
Innovation Rate	Frequency of new ideas or solutions introduced by suppliers.

Table 5 illustrates sustainability metrics used to evaluate suppliers' environmental and social responsibility. Carbon footprint metrics assess suppliers' environmental impact, guiding efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Waste reduction initiatives promote sustainable practices, minimizing waste and enhancing resource efficiency. Ethical sourcing practices ensure suppliers comply with fair labor standards, promoting social responsibility across supply chains. Community engagement initiatives demonstrate suppliers' commitment to social welfare and community development, fostering positive stakeholder relationships. Environmental certifications validate suppliers' adherence to sustainable practices, enhancing credibility and reputation in environmentally conscious markets.

Table 5. Sustainability Metrics.

Sustainability Metric	Description
Carbon Footprint	Suppliers' greenhouse gas emissions per unit of output.
Waste Reduction Initiatives	Efforts by suppliers to minimize waste generation and promote recycling.
Ethical Sourcing Practices	Suppliers' adherence to fair labor practices and ethical sourcing standards.
Community Engagement	Suppliers' involvement in community development and social initiatives.
Environmental Certifications	Accreditation or certifications for sustainable practices (e.g., ISO 14001).

Table 6 outlines risk management strategies employed to mitigate supply chain vulnerabilities and disruptions. Supplier diversification reduces dependency risks by spreading procurement across multiple suppliers, ensuring continuity and flexibility. Contingency planning prepares alternative strategies or suppliers to mitigate potential disruptions, enhancing supply chain resilience. Supply chain mapping visualizes network complexities and identifies critical nodes or vulnerabilities, enabling proactive risk mitigation strategies. Contractual agreements formalize supplier obligations, terms, and conditions, ensuring clarity and accountability in supplier relationships. Crisis management plans establish protocols to manage and respond to supply chain crises swiftly, minimizing operational impacts and safeguarding organizational interests.

Table 6. Risk Management Strategies.

Risk Management Strategy	Description
Supplier Diversification	Distributing purchases among multiple suppliers to mitigate dependency risks.
Contingency Planning	Preparing alternative strategies or suppliers for potential disruptions.
Supply Chain Mapping	Visual representation of supply chain networks to identify vulnerabilities.
Contractual Agreements	Legal agreements specifying terms, conditions, and obligations of suppliers.
Crisis Management Plans	Protocols and procedures to manage and respond to supply chain crises.

5. Discussion

The discussion centers on the key findings and implications derived from the study on supplier selection criteria, offering insights into the complexities and strategic considerations inherent in procurement practices across industries. The study identified several critical factors influencing supplier selection decisions, emphasizing a shift from traditional cost-focused approaches to more holistic evaluation frameworks. Cost, quality assurance, and reliability emerged as foundational criteria, highlighting the importance of balancing economic efficiency with operational reliability and product/service quality. These factors underscored the challenges faced by procurement professionals in optimizing value while mitigating risks associated with supplier performance and supply chain disruptions. Innovation capability emerged as a significant determinant in supplier selection, reflecting the importance of suppliers' ability to innovate, collaborate on product development, and introduce new technologies. Strategic alignment played a pivotal role in fostering synergistic supplier relationships aligned with organizational goals and market strategies. Participants emphasized the strategic value of suppliers who can contribute to competitive differentiation, market responsiveness, and long-term growth objectives. This aligns with contemporary trends where organizations seek suppliers not just as transactional partners but as strategic allies capable of driving innovation and supporting business objectives. Sustainability

considerations increasingly influenced supplier selection criteria, driven by regulatory requirements, stakeholder expectations, and corporate social responsibility commitments. Participants highlighted the importance of ethical sourcing practices, environmental stewardship, and community engagement in supplier partnerships. Sustainable sourcing strategies were viewed not only as a means to mitigate environmental risks but also as a pathway to enhancing brand reputation, meeting consumer preferences for eco-friendly products, and fostering long-term stakeholder trust. The discussion also underscored the role of relational factors such as trust, transparency, and effective communication in supplier selection processes. Cultivating strong supplier relationships based on mutual respect and shared values was deemed essential for fostering collaboration, resolving conflicts, and achieving mutually beneficial outcomes. Participants emphasized the importance of relational dynamics in mitigating operational challenges, enhancing supplier performance, and building resilient supply chain networks capable of adapting to market uncertainties and disruptions. Moreover, the study highlighted the strategic importance of risk management strategies in supplier selection, including supplier diversification, contingency planning, and crisis management. Proactive risk mitigation measures were essential for safeguarding supply chain integrity, maintaining operational continuity, and minimizing the impact of external disruptions such as geopolitical events or economic fluctuations. By integrating robust risk management frameworks into supplier selection criteria, organizations can enhance supply chain resilience, mitigate vulnerabilities, and sustain competitive advantage in dynamic and unpredictable business environments. Overall, the discussion synthesized the findings into practical implications for procurement professionals and organizations seeking to optimize supplier selection strategies. By embracing a comprehensive approach that integrates cost-efficiency, quality assurance, innovation capability, sustainability practices, strategic alignment, relational dynamics, and risk management strategies, organizations can enhance supply chain performance, foster sustainable growth, and capitalize on strategic opportunities in a competitive global marketplace.

6. Conclusion

This qualitative research on understanding supplier selection criteria has provided valuable insights into the complex and evolving landscape of procurement practices across diverse industries. The study identified and explored critical factors influencing supplier selection decisions, highlighting a nuanced shift from traditional cost-centric approaches to more holistic evaluation frameworks that encompass quality assurance, reliability, innovation capability, sustainability practices, strategic alignment, relational dynamics, and risk management strategies. These findings underscore the strategic importance of supplier partnerships in achieving organizational objectives, enhancing supply chain resilience, and driving competitive advantage in dynamic business environments. The study's findings emphasize the multidimensional nature of supplier selection criteria, reflecting broader trends towards sustainable sourcing, ethical business practices, and strategic collaboration with suppliers as key drivers of organizational success. By integrating these diverse factors into supplier evaluation frameworks, organizations can optimize procurement strategies, mitigate operational risks, and capitalize on opportunities for innovation and growth. Furthermore, the emphasis on relational factors such as trust, transparency, and effective communication underscores the importance of cultivating strong supplier relationships based on mutual respect and shared values. Practical implications derived from this study suggest that procurement professionals should adopt a strategic approach to supplier selection, leveraging data-driven insights, technological advancements, and proactive risk management strategies to enhance decision-making processes. By fostering collaborative partnerships with suppliers aligned with organizational goals and market strategies, organizations can navigate complexities in global supply chains, respond to market dynamics, and sustain competitive advantage over the long term. Ultimately, this research contributes to advancing knowledge in procurement management by providing a deeper understanding of the factors shaping supplier selection decisions and their implications for organizational performance. Future research endeavors could explore emerging trends in supplier management, the impact of digital technologies on procurement practices, and

strategies for integrating sustainability principles into supplier relationship management. By continuing to innovate and adapt to evolving market demands, organizations can position themselves strategically to thrive in an increasingly interconnected and competitive global economy.

References

- Arlbjørn, J. S., & Halldórsson, Á. (2015). Supply management in the age of turbulence. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 21(4), 251-253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2015.10.001>
- Bode, C., & Wagner, S. M. (2015). Supplier networks in buyer-supplier relationships: Are they always an asset? *Journal of Operations Management*, 36, 15-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2015.05.002>
- Carr, A. S., & Pearson, J. N. (1999). Strategically managed buyer-supplier relationships and performance outcomes. *Journal of Operations Management*, 17(5), 497-519. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(99\)00020-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(99)00020-0)
- Chen, I. J., & Paulraj, A. (2004). Towards a theory of supply chain management: The constructs and measurements. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(2), 119-150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2003.12.007>
- Choi, T. Y., & Wu, Z. (2009). Triads in supply networks: Theorizing buyer-supplier-supplier relationships. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(1), 8-25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.2009.45.issue-1>
- Cousins, P. D., Lawson, B., Petersen, K. J., & Handfield, R. B. (2008). Breakthrough opportunities in supply chain management. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(5), 653-674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2008.03.004>
- Ellram, L. M. (1991). Supply management: The industrial organization perspective. *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, 27(1), 2-8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.2009.45.issue-1>
- Emon, M. H. (2023). A systematic review of the causes and consequences of price hikes in Bangladesh. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 11(2), 49-58.
- Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: The Hidden Key to Academic Excellence Among Private University Students in Bangladesh. *Malaysian Mental Health Journal*, 3(1), 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mmhj.01.2024.12.21>
- Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>
- Flynn, B. B., & Huo, B. (2014). Three pillars of sourcing: A framework for supplier selection. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(1), 72-89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12042>
- Giunipero, L. C., Handfield, R. B., & Eltantawy, R. A. (2006). Supply management's evolution: Key skill sets for the supply manager of the future. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 42(2), 2-10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.2006.42.issue-2>
- Gligor, D. M., & Holcomb, M. C. (2012). Understanding the role of logistics capabilities in achieving supply chain agility: A systematic literature review. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(4), 438-453. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211246561>
- Handfield, R. B., & Nichols, E. L. (1999). *Introduction to supply chain management*. Prentice Hall.
- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2013). Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. A systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Production Research*, 51(15), 4587-4607. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2013.793703>
- Jayaram, J., Vickery, S. K., & Droge, C. (1999). Relationship between JIT and TQM: Practices and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(2), 161-172. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.1999.2203995>
- Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., & Siam, S. A. J. (2024). Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Sustainable Development in Bangladesh. *Malaysian Business Management Journal*, 3(2), 73-83. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mbmj.01.2024.73.83>
- Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, M. A., & Hamid, A. B. A. (2024). *Internal Branding Essentials: The Roadmap to Organizational Success*. Notion Press.
- Khan, T., Khanam, S. N., Rahman, M. H., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Determinants of microfinance facility for installing solar home system (SHS) in rural Bangladesh. *Energy Policy*, 132, 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.047>
- Khan, T., Rahman, S. M., & Hasan, M. M. (2020). Barriers to Growth of Renewable Energy Technology in Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377049.3377086>
- Kraljic, P. (1983). Purchasing must become supply management. *Harvard Business Review*, 61(5), 109-117.

- Krause, D. R., Handfield, R. B., & Tyler, B. B. (2007). The relationships between supplier development, commitment, social capital accumulation and performance improvement. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(2), 528-545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.04.001>
- Lambert, D. M., & Enz, M. G. (2017). The power of partnering. *Harvard Business Review*, 75(6), 47-56.
- Leenders, M. R., Johnson, P. F., & Flynn, A. E. (2014). *Purchasing and Supply Management* (15th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Mollenkopf, D., Stolze, H., & Tate, W. L. (2010). Agile supply chains: Competing in volatile markets. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 40(4), 284-300. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031011047570>
- Monczka, R. M., Handfield, R. B., Giunipero, L. C., & Patterson, J. L. (2015). *Purchasing and Supply Chain Management* (6th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Monczka, R. M., Petersen, K. J., Handfield, R. B., & Ragatz, G. L. (1998). Success factors in strategic supplier alliances: The buying company perspective. *Decision Sciences*, 29(3), 553-577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.1998.tb01358.x>
- Nevi, M., & Williams, T. M. (2012). An exploratory study of risk management in outsourcing decisions. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 18(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2011.10.001>
- Pfohl, H. C., & Gomm, M. (2009). Supply chain risk management: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 39(1), 62-83. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030910933536>
- Pietrobelli, C., & Rabellotti, R. (2011). Global value chains meet innovation systems: Are there learning opportunities for developing countries? *World Development*, 39(7), 1261-1269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2011.01.016>
- Podolsky, S., & Khurana, A. (2015). The integration of risk management in strategic management. *Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions*, 8(1), 36-52. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2605132>
- Quinn, J. B., & Hilmer, F. G. (1994). Strategic outsourcing. *Sloan Management Review*, 35(4), 43-55.
- Rogers, D. S., & Tibben-Lembke, R. S. (1999). *Going backwards: Reverse logistics trends and practices*. Reverse Logistics Executive Council.
- Rosenzweig, E. D., Roth, A. V., & Dean Jr, J. W. (2003). The influence of an integration strategy on competitive capabilities and business performance: An exploratory study of consumer products manufacturers. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(4), 437-456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(02\)00112-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(02)00112-9)
- Roth, A. V., & Miller, E. J. (1990). Manufacturing strategy, manufacturing uncertainty and plant-level performance. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 844-863. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256343>
- Rutherford, C., & O'Dwyer, M. (2009). Purchasing and supply management: The pursuit of an agenda. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 15(3), 169-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2009.03.004>
- Sanders, N. R. (2011). An examination of the impact of supply chain integration on inventory management: A contingency approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(6), 541-555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.12.008>
- Schiele, H., & Veldman, J. (2012). Buying professional services. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 48(4), 41-54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.2012.48.issue-4>
- Simatupang, T. M., & Sridharan, R. (2005). The collaborative supply chain. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 16(1), 15-30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090510634563>
- Spekman, R. E., & Davis, E. W. (2004). Risky business: Expanding the discussion on risk and the extended enterprise. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 34(5), 414-430. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030410545451>
- Svensson, G., & Wagner, B. (2011). International sourcing strategies of retail buyers: Are cross-cultural negotiations driving firms' strategies? *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 17(3), 188-198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2011.06.002>
- Tang, C. S. (2006). Perspectives in supply chain risk management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 103(2), 451-488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.12.006>
- Tate, W. L., Ellram, L. M., & Kirchoff, J. F. (2010). Corporate social responsibility reports: A thematic analysis related to supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 46(1), 19-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.2010.46.issue-1>
- Van Weele, A. J. (2014). *Purchasing and Supply Chain Management: Analysis, Strategy, Planning and Practice* (6th ed.). Cengage Learning EMEA.
- Vonderembse, M. A., & Tracey, M. (1999). The impact of supplier selection criteria and supplier involvement on manufacturing performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 35(1), 33-39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.1999.35.issue-1>

- Wagner, S. M., & Bode, C. (2008). Supplier integration—Achieving competitive advantage through a global manufacturing network. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 112(2), 541-552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2007.03.012>
- Wilson, D. T. (1995). An integrated model of buyer–seller relationships. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 23(4), 335-345. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070395234003>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.