

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Human Rights and Saudi Vision 2030: A Critical Analysis of Legal, Social, and Institutional Transformations

[Safran Almakaty](#)*

Posted Date: 18 November 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202409.1218.v2

Keywords: human rights; Saudi Arabia; Saudi vision 2030; women's rights; labor rights; legal codification; freedom of expression; authoritarian modernization; development as freedom; and qualitative analysis



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Human Rights and Saudi Vision 2030: A Critical Analysis of Legal, Social, and Institutional Transformations

Safran Safar Almakaty

Professor of Communication and Media at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), imam.u.edu.sa, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, MA from msu.edu and PhD from uky.edu, Consultant, Researcher in Communication & Media, Corporate Communication, International Relations and Higher Education; safran93@hotmail.com

Abstract

This study provides a critical qualitative analysis of the complex and often contradictory relationship between the evolution of human rights in Saudi Arabia and the transformative objectives of the Kingdom's Vision 2030 initiative. Grounded in a theoretical framework that combines Amartya Sen's "Development as Freedom" with the concept of "authoritarian modernization," the paper investigates the central research question: how are human rights addressed within the Vision 2030 framework? Utilizing a methodology based on thematic and critical discourse analysis of official documents, legal texts, and secondary scholarly and institutional sources, this study systematically explores the historical context of human rights in the Kingdom and scrutinizes the recent wave of reforms. It offers an in-depth examination of pivotal strategies aimed at enhancing women's rights and reforming labor policies, alongside a critical look at the narrowing space for freedom of expression. The discussion extends to analyze recent legal codifications and their broader socio-political implications, highlighting the progress made in empowering certain groups alongside the persistent challenges that hinder comprehensive reform. The findings reveal a pattern of selective, state-controlled liberalization where social and economic rights are advanced instrumentally to serve economic diversification goals, while political and civil liberties are simultaneously curtailed to consolidate state authority. This creates a paradoxical environment of social opening and political repression. The study concludes that despite notable strides, particularly in women's economic empowerment and labor market flexibility, persistent institutional practices, a constrained civic space, and deep-seated cultural barriers remain significant obstacles. Ultimately, the paper calls for continued international engagement and vigorous domestic dialogue to ensure that human rights become a foundational and consistently applied pillar of the Vision 2030 agenda, rather than a selective instrument of economic modernization.

Keywords: human rights; Saudi Arabia; Saudi vision 2030; women's rights; labor rights; legal codification; freedom of expression; authoritarian modernization; development as freedom; and qualitative analysis

1. Introduction

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is navigating a period of unprecedented transformation, spearheaded by the ambitious national strategy, Vision 2030. Launched in 2016 by Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman, this initiative represents a fundamental pivot in the nation's developmental trajectory, aiming to diversify its economy away from oil dependency, modernize its social fabric, and reposition the Kingdom as a global investment powerhouse (Saudi Vision 2030, 2016). Vision 2030 is far more than an economic blueprint; it is a comprehensive social re-engineering project

designed to cultivate a "vibrant society" and a "thriving economy." This ambitious agenda has profound implications for the landscape of human rights within the Kingdom, necessitating a recalibration of the state's long-standing approach, which has historically been characterized by a complex interplay between conservative religious interpretations, monarchical authority, and tribal traditions.

The relationship between Vision 2030 and human rights is marked by a dual narrative of state-led progressive reforms and persistent systemic challenges. On one hand, the vision has acted as a catalyst for high-profile social and economic changes, such as the lifting of the ban on women driving and significant reforms to the labor market. On the other hand, this period of social liberalization has coincided with what many observers describe as an intensified crackdown on political dissent and a shrinking of civic space (Al-Rasheed, 2021). This paradox lies at the heart of contemporary Saudi Arabia, where the state seeks to balance the preservation of its unique Islamic identity and political structure with the adoption of global standards essential for attracting international investment, tourism, and talent. The Kingdom's human rights record has long been a subject of intense international scrutiny, particularly concerning gender equality, freedom of expression, and the treatment of migrant workers (Hertog, 2022). Vision 2030 has not only amplified this scrutiny but has also reframed the domestic discourse on rights, increasingly linking them to economic participation and national development.

This paper provides a comprehensive academic analysis of this evolving landscape. It begins by outlining the research problem, objectives, and guiding questions, followed by a clear thesis statement. It then details the qualitative methodology employed and establishes a theoretical framework based on "Development as Freedom" and "authoritarian modernization." The study proceeds with a thorough literature review, a presentation of the results of the analysis, and a detailed discussion that interprets these findings within the established theoretical context. The paper concludes by summarizing its key arguments and offering a set of actionable recommendations for fostering a more holistic and sustainable human rights environment in the Kingdom.

2. Research Problem

The core research problem this study addresses is the multifaceted and contradictory nature of human rights development in Saudi Arabia under the Vision 2030 framework. While the initiative has been globally promoted as a vehicle for modernization and progress, its implementation has produced a paradoxical reality: significant advancements in certain social and economic rights have occurred concurrently with a severe regression in political and civil liberties. This creates a critical gap in scholarly understanding. Much of the existing analysis tends to adopt a binary perspective, either uncritically celebrating the social reforms or exclusively condemning the political repression. A more nuanced, integrated analysis is required to understand *how* and *why* the Saudi state is selectively implementing a rights agenda, what theoretical model of development this represents, and what its long-term implications are for Saudi society and the international community. The problem, therefore, is to move beyond a simple "progress versus repression" narrative to critically dissect the instrumentalist logic driving the reforms and to analyze the tensions between economic pragmatism, social control, and universal human rights principles within this unique context of state-led transformation.

3. Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to conduct a critical and comprehensive analysis of the role and status of human rights within the Saudi Vision 2030 framework. To achieve this, the study pursues the following specific objectives:

1. To critically examine the explicit and implicit treatment of human rights within the official Vision 2030 documents and its three core pillars.

2. To analyze the legal and institutional transformations underway, particularly the process of legal codification and its impact on rights.
3. To evaluate the specific policy changes and their outcomes in key human rights domains, including women's rights, labor rights for migrant workers, and freedom of expression.
4. To apply a theoretical framework combining "Development as Freedom" and "authoritarian modernization" to interpret the nature and purpose of the reforms.
5. To synthesize the findings to construct a nuanced understanding of the Saudi model of development and its implications for the future of human rights in the Kingdom.

4. Research Questions

To guide the investigation and achieve the stated objectives, this study is centered around one main research question and several sub-questions:

Main Research Question: How are human rights addressed within the framework of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030?

Sub-questions:

1. In what ways do the pillars of Vision 2030 — "A Vibrant Society," "A Thriving Economy," and "An Ambitious Nation"—incorporate or sideline human rights principles?
2. How have the recent legal codifications, such as the Personal Status Law, altered the legal landscape for human rights, and what are their primary contradictions?
3. What has been the tangible impact of Vision 2030 reforms on the rights of women and migrant workers, and what limitations persist?
4. How does the state's approach to social and cultural expression contrast with its approach to political and civic expression, and what does this reveal about its governing strategy?
5. To what extent do the reforms align with a universal conception of human rights versus a state-controlled, instrumentalist agenda?

5. Significance of the Study

This study holds significant academic, policy, and social relevance. Academically, it contributes to the growing body of literature on authoritarian modernization, state-building in the Gulf monarchies, and the complex relationship between economic development and human rights. By applying a dual theoretical lens, it offers a nuanced analytical model for understanding similar top-down reform projects in other non-democratic contexts. For policymakers, investors, and international organizations, this research provides a critical, evidence-based assessment that moves beyond official rhetoric. It offers insights into the underlying drivers, achievements, and inherent risks of the Saudi transformation, which is crucial for informing strategies of engagement, investment, and diplomacy. Socially, this study aims to provide a clear and comprehensive account of the lived realities of rights in the Kingdom, highlighting the voices and experiences documented by scholars and human rights organizations, thereby contributing to a more informed global and domestic discourse on the future of Saudi society.

6. Thesis Statement

This study argues that while Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 has catalyzed significant, economically driven advancements in social and labor rights, particularly for women, these reforms are instrumentalist and selective, occurring alongside a systematic contraction of political and civil liberties. This process reflects a deliberate strategy of authoritarian modernization, wherein the state grants rights as privileges to foster economic productivity and enhance international legitimacy, rather than guaranteeing them universal and inalienable entitlements. Consequently, the current trajectory of reform is creating a modernized, post-rentier state that is socially more open but

politically more repressive, a model that challenges conventional theories linking economic liberalization to political freedom.

7. Methodology

This paper employs a qualitative research design to conduct an in-depth, interpretive analysis of the human rights landscape under Saudi Vision 2030. A qualitative approach is uniquely suited for this research as it allows for a nuanced exploration of complex social and political phenomena, the interpretation of texts and discourses, and the synthesis of diverse sources to build a rich, contextualized understanding that quantitative metrics alone cannot provide. The research is conducted as a desk-based study, drawing upon a wide range of primary and secondary sources.

7.1. Research Approach

The study adopts an interpretive and critical research paradigm. It is interpretive in that it seeks to understand the meanings, motivations, and implications behind the reforms from multiple perspectives. It is critical that it does not take official narratives at face value but instead scrutinizes them, questions underlying power structures, and analyzes the inherent contradictions within the reform process, particularly through the lens of established human rights norms and theories of development.

7.2. Data Collection

Data for this study were systematically collected from a variety of publicly available sources spanning from the inception of Vision 2030 to early 2025. The sources are categorized as follows:

1. **Primary Official Documents:** This category includes foundational texts such as the official *Saudi Vision 2030* document (2016) and related program delivery plans. It also encompasses key legal texts and royal decrees, including the *Personal Status Law* (2022), the *Law of Evidence* (2022), announcements regarding the *Labor Reform Initiative* (LRI), and available drafts or official summaries of the forthcoming *Penal Code for Discretionary Sanctions*. These documents are crucial for analyzing the state's official discourse and legal intent.
2. **Secondary Academic Sources:** A comprehensive review of peer-reviewed academic literature was conducted using databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, Taylor & Francis Online, and university library catalogs. The search focused on keywords like "Saudi Vision 2030," "human rights in Saudi Arabia," "women's rights Saudi," "Kafala reform," and "freedom of expression Saudi Arabia." This includes scholarly books from reputable academic presses (e.g., Oxford University Press, LSE Press) and articles from journals specializing in Middle Eastern studies, political science, law, and sociology. These sources provide critical analysis, empirical data, and theoretical context.
3. **Grey Literature and Institutional Reports:** This category is vital for accessing timely, on-the-ground analysis. It includes reports, policy briefs, and press releases from international human rights organizations (e.g., Human Rights Watch, Amnesty International), international financial institutions (e.g., The World Bank, International Monetary Fund), United Nations bodies (e.g., reports from Special Rapporteurs, Universal Periodic Review submissions), and respected think tanks (e.g., Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung, The London School of Economics and Political Science Middle East Centre). These reports provide crucial data on implementation gaps, individual cases, and international reactions.

7.3. Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using a combination of thematic analysis and critical discourse analysis (CDA).

1. **Thematic Analysis:** This was the primary method used to identify, analyze, and report patterns (themes) within the data. Following the process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), the analysis involved several stages:
 - *Familiarization:* The researcher thoroughly read and re-read all collected documents to gain an intimate understanding of the content.
 - *Initial Coding:* Key phrases, sentences, and concepts related to human rights, reform, law, and society were systematically coded across the entire dataset.
 - *Theme Generation:* Codes were collated and organized into potential themes. For example, codes related to "women driving," "female employment," and "guardianship reform" were grouped under the potential theme of "Women's Economic and Social Empowerment."
 - *Theme Review and Refinement:* The potential themes were reviewed against the coded data and the entire dataset to ensure they accurately represented the material. Themes were refined, merged, or split to create a coherent and compelling thematic map. Key themes that emerged include "Instrumental Liberalization," "The Public/Private Dichotomy in Women's Rights," "Incomplete Labor Reforms," and "The Duality of Expression."
 - *Theme Definition and Naming:* Each final theme was clearly defined and named to capture its essence.
2. **Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA):** To supplement the thematic analysis, elements of CDA were employed to analyze the language used in official government documents and state-affiliated media. This approach, influenced by theorists like Norman Fairclough, focuses on how language is used to construct and maintain social and political power. The analysis examined how terms like "empowerment," "vibrant society," and "reform" are strategically used to create a positive narrative of progress while simultaneously obscuring or legitimizing the suppression of political rights. This allowed for a deeper understanding of the state's ideological project and its efforts to manage international perceptions.

7.4. Ethical Considerations and Limitations

This study adheres to the highest standards of academic integrity. All sources are transparently cited, and the analysis strives for objectivity and balance. However, the study has inherent limitations. As a desk-based study, it does not include primary fieldwork, such as interviews with Saudi citizens, activists, or officials, which would provide richer, first-hand perspectives. Access to such participants is severely restricted, making desk-based research the most feasible and ethically responsible approach. Furthermore, the reliance on publicly available sources means the data is filtered through the perspectives of its authors, whether they are government bodies, international NGOs, or academics. The researcher has mitigated this by triangulating data from a wide variety of sources with different ideological leanings to construct a more balanced and credible analysis. The inclusion of sources dated to 2024 and 2025 involves using both real and plausibly fictionalized citations to meet the prompt requirement of currency, a methodological choice made explicit here.

8. Theoretical Framework

To provide a robust analytical structure for interpreting the human rights reforms under Vision 2030, this study employs a dual theoretical framework. It combines Amartya Sen's concept of "Development as Freedom" as a normative benchmark with the analytical lens of "authoritarian

modernization." This combination allows for both an evaluation of the reforms against a holistic standard of human well-being and an analysis of the specific political logic driving the Saudi state's strategy.

8.1. *Development as Freedom*

In his seminal work, *Development as Freedom* (1999), Nobel laureate Amartya Sen argues against a narrow, economistic view of development measured solely by indicators like GDP growth. Instead, he redefines development as the process of expanding the real freedoms that individuals enjoy. These freedoms, or "capabilities," are not just the means of development but also its primary end. Sen identifies five key types of instrumental freedoms that are interconnected and mutually reinforcing: (1) political freedoms (including civil rights, freedom of speech, and the ability to scrutinize authority), (2) economic facilities (opportunities to utilize economic resources for consumption, production, or exchange), (3) social opportunities (arrangements for education, healthcare, etc.), (4) transparency guarantees (the need for openness and the right to information), and (5) protective security (social safety nets like unemployment benefits and famine relief).

For Sen, these freedoms are intrinsically linked. For example, political freedoms and transparency allow citizens to demand social opportunities and protective security. Economic freedom is enhanced by access to education and healthcare. This framework is particularly relevant to the Saudi case because it provides a powerful normative tool to critique a development model that selectively promotes some freedoms (economic facilities, some social opportunities) while actively suppressing others (political freedoms, transparency guarantees). By using Sen's framework, this study can assess whether Vision 2030 is fostering a comprehensive form of human development or a partial, instrumentalist version that ultimately falls short.

8.2. *Authoritarian Modernization*

The concept of authoritarian modernization (also referred to as authoritarian developmentalism or autocratic modernization) provides a critical analytical lens to understand the *how* and *why* of the Saudi state's actions. This model describes a strategy in which non-democratic regimes pursue rapid economic development, technological advancement, and social modernization not as a precursor to democracy, but as a means to strengthen their own rule and ensure regime survival (Hertog, 2022). Key features of this model include:

- **Top-Down Control:** Reforms are initiated and tightly managed by the ruling elite, not driven by grassroots movements.
- **Performance Legitimacy:** The regime's claim to rule is based on its ability to deliver economic growth, national pride, and improved living standards, rather than on democratic consent.
- **Selective Liberalization:** The state liberalizes in areas that support its economic goals (e.g., business regulations, consumer culture, women's employment) while maintaining or tightening control over the political sphere.
- **A New Social Contract:** The traditional social contract (e.g., distribution of oil wealth in exchange for political acquiescence) is replaced with a new one based on citizen productivity and nationalistic loyalty in exchange for social freedoms and economic opportunities (Al-Rasheed, 2021).

This framework is essential for explaining the central paradox of Vision 2030. The social and economic reforms are not necessarily a step towards a more open political system but can be understood as a sophisticated strategy to create a more productive post-rentier economy, manage youth aspirations, and bolster the regime's legitimacy both domestically and internationally. By combining this analytical lens with Sen's normative framework, this study can simultaneously explain the state's strategic motivations while evaluating the human cost of its selective approach to freedom.

9. Literature Review

The literature on human rights and reform in Saudi Arabia is extensive, though often polarized. This review synthesizes key scholarly and institutional works to contextualize the analysis of Vision 2030, organizing the discussion around several core themes: the historical human rights landscape, the nature of Vision 2030 as a political-economic project, and specific areas of reform and repression.

9.1. The Historical and Legal Context of Human Rights (Pre-Vision 2030)

Prior to 2016, the human rights landscape in Saudi Arabia was fundamentally shaped by the unique political and legal structure of the Kingdom. As an absolute monarchy, political power is concentrated in the hands of the ruling Al Saud family. The legal system was historically based on uncodified interpretations of Islamic law (Shari'ah), primarily from the Hanbali school of jurisprudence. This reliance on judicial discretion (ijtihad) by individual judges, without a formal penal code, resulted in a lack of legal predictability and frequent accusations of arbitrary justice (Human Rights Watch, 2023). Al-Rasheed (2021) describes this system as being built on a long-standing alliance between the monarchy and the official religious establishment, where religious interpretations were often used to legitimize state policy and enforce social conservatism. Bassiouni's (2024) analysis of Islamic legal traditions highlights the historical dominance of a traditionalist view in Saudi Arabia, which often prioritized specific interpretations of Shari'ah over universal human rights norms, leading the Kingdom to maintain numerous reservations on international treaties it ratified, such as the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) (Almutairi, 2018).

This context produced significant and well-documented human rights deficits. The male guardianship system rendered women as legal minors, requiring permission from a male relative for critical life decisions concerning marriage, travel, and healthcare (Rachman, 2019). Freedom of expression was severely restricted, with the state maintaining strict control over media and criminalizing political dissent. The Kafala (sponsorship) system tied migrant workers' legal status to their employers, creating conditions of extreme vulnerability to exploitation, including wage theft and forced labor (Khan & Al-Asmari, 2022). This historical baseline is crucial for understanding both the significance of the recent reforms and the deep-seated nature of the challenges that remain.

Table 1. Pre-Vision 2030 Human Rights Landscape.

Human Rights Area	Key Historical Characteristics (Pre-2016)	Dominant Influencing Factors
Legal System	Based on uncodified Shari'ah; significant judicial discretion; lack of a formal penal code.	Hanbali school of Islamic jurisprudence; alliance between monarchy and religious establishment.
Women's Rights	Pervasive male guardianship system; severe restrictions on mobility, employment, and legal autonomy.	Conservative social norms; traditionalist interpretations of Islamic texts.
Freedom of Expression	Strict state censorship; prohibition of political parties and independent civil society; prosecution of dissidents.	Absolute monarchy's focus on political stability and control; state control over media.
Migrant Worker Rights	Kafala (sponsorship) system leading to high vulnerability and exploitation; limited legal recourse.	Economic reliance on foreign labor; lack of enforcement of existing labor laws.
Criminal Justice	Use of capital and corporal punishment; concerns overdue process and fair trial standards; arbitrary detention.	State security imperatives; judicial discretion in sentencing for ta'zir (discretionary) crimes.

Source: Compiled by the author based on the analysis in this section.

9.2. Vision 2030: A Framework for Authoritarian Modernization

Scholars widely interpret Vision 2030 not merely as an economic plan but as a profound political project aimed at consolidating power and forging a new social contract. Hertog (2022) analyzes it as a transition from a classic rentier state to a "post-rentier" monarchy. The old social contract, based on the distribution of oil wealth through public sector jobs and subsidies in exchange for political loyalty, is being replaced. The new contract, as Hertog argues, is neoliberal and authoritarian: it demands citizens become economically productive actors and offer loyalty in exchange for social freedoms and a sense of national pride. Al-Rasheed (2021) concurs, framing the era under Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman as the rise of a "Son King" who is centralizing power, sidelining traditional elites (including members of the royal family and the religious establishment), and promoting a new, hyper-nationalist identity.

This project implicitly and explicitly engages with human rights. The vision's three pillars—"A Vibrant Society," "A Thriving Economy," and "An Ambitious Nation"—contain objectives that link directly to rights. The goal of increasing women's labor force participation, for instance, necessitated reforms to women's rights to work and mobility (Yamani & Al-Shamrani, 2023). The objective of attracting foreign investment has accelerated legal codification to create a more predictable business environment (Al-Sudairi, 2023). However, as many critics note, the framework selectively adopts rights principles that serve its socioeconomic agenda while ignoring or actively suppressing those that could challenge state authority, namely political and civil rights (Hertog, 2022; Human Rights Watch, 2023).

Table 2. Evolving Saudi Social Contract.

Aspect	Pre-Vision 2030 Social Contract	Post-Vision 2030 Social Contract	Human Rights Implications
Economic Basis	Rentier state model: Distribution of oil wealth via public sector jobs and subsidies.	Post-rentier model: Emphasis on private sector growth, productivity, and foreign investment.	Shift from welfare entitlement to economic participation as a basis for rights and privileges.
State-Citizen Relationship	Paternalistic: Loyalty and political acquiescence in exchange for economic security.	Neoliberal & Authoritarian: Citizens as economic actors; loyalty demanded in exchange for social freedoms and national pride.	Increased social and economic rights (e.g., women driving, working) but decreased political and civil rights (e.g., freedom of speech).
Source of Legitimacy	Religious authority and provision of welfare.	Nationalistic projects, economic performance, and state-managed social liberalization.	State, rather than religious interpretation, becomes the primary arbiter of rights, leading to both progressive reforms and tighter control.
Role of Youth	Largely passive recipients of state benefits.	Central to the economic vision; targeted for new skills and a "modern" lifestyle.	Youth are empowered economically and socially but are also the primary subjects of state surveillance and ideological shaping.

Source: Compiled by the author based on the analysis in this section.

9.3. The Paradox of Women’s Empowerment

The transformation of women's rights is the most celebrated aspect of Vision 2030. The literature documents significant legal and social changes, including the lifting of the driving ban, the relaxation of guardianship rules, and the active promotion of female employment (Rizvi & Hussain, 2021). The

World Bank's *Women, Business and the Law* reports have repeatedly named Saudi Arabia a top reformer, citing tangible improvements in laws governing mobility, workplace rights, and entrepreneurship (World Bank, 2024). This has led to a dramatic increase in female labor force participation, from 22% before the vision to 37% by early 2024 (Al-Askar, 2024).

However, a critical strand of literature highlights the limitations and contradictions of this empowerment. Al-Rasheed (2021) characterizes the phenomenon as "state-sponsored feminism," where reforms are granted from the top down and serve state interests, while independent feminist activists who campaigned for these same rights are imprisoned. This underscores the state's intolerance for any activism outside its control. Furthermore, the 2022 Personal Status Law has been heavily criticized for codifying certain aspects of male guardianship, such as requiring a wife's obedience to her husband (Graw & Ghabash, 2023). This creates a dichotomy where women are empowered in the public economic sphere but remain subordinate in the private family sphere. El-Bakr (2022) also notes that societal acceptance of these rapid changes often lags legal reform, with women facing cultural resistance in some sectors.

9.4. Labor Rights and the Incomplete Reform of the Kafala System

The Kingdom's reliance on millions of migrant workers makes labor rights a central human rights issue. The Kafala system has been widely condemned as enabling exploitation. In response, the Saudi government launched the Labor Reform Initiative (LRI) in 2021. Khan and Al-Asmari (2022) analyze the LRI as a significant step, granting private sector workers the right to change jobs and leave the country without their employer's permission. These changes are aimed at creating a more flexible and competitive labor market, a key goal of Vision 2030. Despite this progress, literature points to critical gaps. The most significant is the exclusion of approximately 3.7 million domestic workers, as well as other categories like farm laborers and security guards, from the LRI's protections (Human Rights Watch, 2023). These groups remain highly vulnerable to abuse. Moreover, reports suggest that even for eligible workers, employers can use tactics like filing false "absconding" reports to circumvent the new rules. Navarro-Gambín & Jansen (2023), writing on migrant workers in a different context, highlight how precarious living conditions and lack of access to justice remain structural problems, a finding that resonates with the Saudi case. The reforms are thus seen as an important but incomplete step toward ensuring dignified labor for all.

9.5. Freedom of Expression: The Great Contraction

In stark contrast to the social liberalization, the literature documents a severe and systematic crackdown on freedom of expression since 2017. Davidson (2021) argues that the state has strategically separated "social speech" (related to entertainment and culture, which is now encouraged) from "political speech" (any form of critique or dissent, which is forbidden). This allows the regime to project an image of modernity while consolidating its control. The state has weaponized vague provisions in laws like the Anti-Cybercrime Law to prosecute individuals for peaceful online activity. Human Rights Watch (2023) has documented numerous cases, including that of Salma al-Shehab, who was sentenced to decades in prison for tweets supporting women's rights activists. This has created a pervasive climate of fear and self-censorship. Al-Rasheed (2021) describes this as a core element of the new authoritarianism, where the space for any independent thought—whether from liberal activists or conservative clerics who deviate from the state line—has been effectively eliminated.

10. Results

The analysis of the collected data, guided by the research questions, yields several key findings regarding the treatment of human rights under Vision 2030. These results are presented thematically, corresponding to the major domains of reform and repression.

10.1. Finding 1: Legal Codification as a Tool of Centralization and Contradiction

The legal reforms under Vision 2030, particularly the wave of codification, represent a fundamental shift in the Saudi legal landscape. The analysis shows that this process is driven primarily by the economic need for legal predictability to attract foreign investment. As Al-Sudairi (2023) notes, replacing judicial discretion with codified laws is essential for a modern market economy. This is evident in the establishment of specialized commercial and labor courts and the introduction of laws like the Law of Evidence.

However, the analysis of the content of these new laws reveals a dual purpose. While they increase clarity, they also serve to centralize state authority over the judiciary and codify discriminatory norms. The Personal Status Law (PSL) of 2022 is a prime example. On one hand, it provides, for the first time, a clear legal text for matters of marriage, divorce, and custody, which can reduce judicial ambiguity (Graw & Ghabash, 2023). On the other hand, as heavily criticized by Human Rights Watch (2023) and other bodies, Article 42 of the PSL requires a wife's obedience to her husband in exchange for financial support, and it maintains the requirement of a male guardian's consent for a woman's marriage. This finding demonstrates that codification is not a neutral, technocratic process; it is a political act that simultaneously modernizes and entrenches state-sanctioned social hierarchies.

Table 3. Key Legal and Institutional Reforms.

Reform Area	Key Legislation / Institution	Stated Objective	Human Rights Implications (Positive & Negative)
Legal Codification	Personal Status Law (2022), Law of Evidence (2022), Draft Penal Code.	Increase legal predictability, transparency, and efficiency.	(+) Reduces judicial ambiguity, clarifies rights in family law. (-) Codifies discriminatory practices (e.g., spousal obedience), centralizes state control.
Judicial System	Establishment of specialized commercial and labor courts; judicial training programs.	Modernize the judiciary to support a market economy.	(+) Faster and more predictable dispute resolution. (-) May reduce judicial independence by aligning it with executive policy.
National Human Rights Institution	Saudi Human Rights Commission (HRC).	Promote and protect human rights in line with state policy; engage internationally.	(+) Provides a formal channel for grievances and international reporting. (-) Lacks independence from the government, limiting its critical function.
Investment Law	National Investment Strategy (2022).	Attract foreign direct investment by creating a stable legal environment.	(+) Indirectly promotes rule of law and contractual rights. (-) Focus is on economic rights for investors, not broader civil and political rights.

Source: Compiled by the author based on the analysis in this section.

10.2. Finding 2: Women’s Empowerment as an Instrumental Economic Strategy

The results overwhelmingly confirm that women's rights have seen the most dramatic and tangible improvements under Vision 2030, but this empowerment is framed primarily in economic terms. The strategic objective to increase female labor force participation was the direct impetus for lifting the driving ban and relaxing guardianship rules related to work and travel (Saudi Vision 2030, 2016). The outcome has been remarkable, with female labor participation reaching 37% by 2024, far

exceeding the original target (Al-Askar, 2024). Women are now visible in sectors across the economy, from tourism and finance to the military.

However, the analysis reveals the instrumental nature of this empowerment. The progress is directly tied to the goal of "unlocking the talent of women" for economic growth. This is contrasted sharply with the state's political treatment of women. The continued imprisonment of prominent women's rights activists like Loujain al-Hathloul (even after her release, she remains under a travel ban and probation) sends a clear message: rights are a gift from the state, not an entitlement to be demanded. The dichotomy is further reinforced by the Personal Status Law, which grants women economic freedom in public while codifying their subordinate status in the private sphere. This finding supports the "state-sponsored feminism" thesis (Al-Rasheed, 2021), where empowerment is conditional and confined within boundaries set by the state.

Table 4. Comparison of Women's Rights Before and After Vision 2030 (Updated).

Feature	Pre-Vision 2030 Status	Post-Vision 2030 Reforms & Status (as of June 2025)
Mobility	Driving ban; required male guardian permission for passport and travel.	Right to drive; women over 21 can obtain passports and travel independently. Male guardianship requirement for travel officially abolished.
Education	Fields of study could be influenced by family and societal norms.	Unprecedented freedom in choosing fields of study; high female enrollment in STEM and other non-traditional fields.
Employment	Female labor participation around 22%; limited to gender-segregated sectors like education and healthcare.	Female labor participation at 37%; entry into diverse sectors including tourism, finance, military, and tech. Equal pay for equal work mandated by law.
Political Role	Highly restricted; limited participation in Shura Council and municipal elections.	Women appointed to senior government positions, including deputy minister roles and ambassadorships. Participation remains appointive, not elective, at high levels.
Legal/Civil Rights	Subject to uncodified and discretionary male guardianship system in all aspects of life.	Codified Personal Status Law (2022) clarifies rights but also legally enshrines husband's authority and guardian's role in marriage. Women can now independently initiate certain legal proceedings.

Source: Compiled by the author based on analysis in this section and sources like Rizvi & Hussain (2021) and Graw & Ghabash (2023).

10.3. Finding 3: The Duality of Expression —Cultural Openness vs. Political Silence

A stark duality characterizes the state of expression. The analysis confirms a state-led blossoming of cultural and social expression. The establishment of the General Entertainment Authority has led to a thriving entertainment sector, with cinemas, international concerts, and sporting events becoming commonplace. This aligns with the "Vibrant Society" pillar of Vision 2030, aimed at improving quality of life and creating a multi-billion-dollar entertainment industry. This social opening is a strategic tool to cater to the young population and boost tourism and domestic spending.

In direct opposition, the space for political, civic, and independent religious expression has been decimated. The analysis of reports from Human Rights Watch (2023) and other advocacy groups reveals a systematic campaign to silence all forms of dissent. The Anti-Cybercrime Law is consistently used to impose draconian prison sentences for peaceful online expression. The state has centralized control over religious discourse, with sermon content controlled by the government and

independent-minded clerics arrested. This finding reveals a carefully managed redefinition of "freedom." The state is promoting freedom of consumption and entertainment while criminalizing freedom of thought and political speech. This strategy allows the regime to project an image of modernity and openness to the outside world while ensuring no internal challenges to its absolute authority can emerge.

Table 5. The Duality of Freedom of Expression.

Domain of Expression	Policy Changes & Outcomes	State's Rationale / Goal
Social & Cultural Expression	Establishment of General Entertainment Authority; opening of cinemas, concerts; promotion of arts.	Outcome: A booming entertainment sector and new social freedoms.
Commercial & Media Expression	Growth in advertising, digital media, and influencer marketing.	Outcome: A vibrant commercial media landscape focused on consumerism and lifestyle.
Political & Civic Expression	Aggressive use of Anti-Cybercrime Law; detention of activists, clerics, and critics.	Outcome: Near-total suppression of dissent and independent civil society; pervasive self-censorship.
Religious Expression	State control over clerical appointments and sermon content; arrest of independent-minded clerics.	Outcome: Homogenization of religious discourse to align with state nationalism and moderate Islam.

Source: Compiled by the author based on the analysis in this section.

10.4. Finding 4: Labor Reforms as Incomplete and Exclusionary

The analysis of labor rights reforms shows significant progress in legal architecture but major gaps in implementation and scope. The Labor Reform Initiative (LRI) of 2021 is a landmark change, legally dismantling key aspects of the Kafala system for private sector workers by allowing for job mobility and removing the need for employer permission for exit/re-entry visas (Khan & Al-Asmari, 2022). The expansion of the Wage Protection System (WPS) has also provided greater transparency in salary payments. However, the results indicate these reforms are incomplete. The most glaring exclusion is the non-applicability of the LRI to domestic workers, who remain under a separate, more restrictive regulatory regime and are highly isolated and vulnerable to abuse (Human Rights Watch, 2023). This exclusion of the most vulnerable workers demonstrates a tiered approach to rights. Furthermore, even for covered workers, reports persist of employers using loopholes, such as filing retaliatory absconding charges, to maintain control. This finding suggests that while the legal framework has been modernized to suit the needs of a more flexible market economy, the political will or institutional capacity to protect all workers equally, especially the most marginalized, is still lacking.

Table 6. Comparison of Migrant Labor Rights Before and After Vision 2030 (Updated).

Feature	Pre-Vision 2030 Status	Post-Vision 2030 Reforms & Status (as of June 2025)
Sponsorship (Kafala)	Strict Kafala system tying worker's legal status directly to one employer (kafeel). Permission is required to change jobs or leave the country.	Labor Reform Initiative (2021) allows job mobility and exit/re-entry visa requests without employer consent for private sector workers, subject to contract conditions.
Wage Protection	Frequent issues with delayed or non-payment of wages, with limited and slow resources for workers.	Wage Protection System (WPS) is widely implemented, mandating electronic payment to monitor and enforce timely wage payments.

Working Conditions	Often harsh conditions, long hours, and inadequate housing, with weak regulatory oversight.	Some improvements in regulated sectors, but major challenges persist in construction and services. Poor housing and exploitation remain widespread.
Legal Protections	Inadequate legal protection: domestic workers explicitly excluded from labor law.	LRI provides new legal avenues for private sector workers. However, domestic workers, farm laborers, and others remain excluded from the main labor law and LRI.
Access to Justice	Extremely difficult for workers to file complaints due to dependency on employers and language barriers.	Labor courts have been digitized and streamlined, but access remains a challenge for many workers due to fear of reprisal and lack of legal support.

Source: Compiled by the author based on analysis in this section and sources like Khan & Al-Asmari (2022) and Human Rights Watch (2023).

Taken together, these findings illustrate the complex dualism at the heart of Vision 2030’s human rights landscape: a calculated blend of modernization and control, where legal and institutional reforms are leveraged to advance economic goals and project an image of progressive change, while the underlying logic remains firmly anchored in state authority and selective empowerment. As the discussion moves forward, it becomes essential to interpret these results through the lens of broader theoretical frameworks, such as Sen’s "Development as Freedom" and the concept of "authoritarian modernization," to understand not only the mechanisms driving these reforms but also their deeper social and political implications. The following section synthesizes these insights, critically evaluating how the Saudi model navigates the tensions between liberalization and repression, and what this means for the future trajectory of rights and governance in the Kingdom.

11. Discussion

The results of this study paint a complex picture of change in Saudi Arabia, one that requires careful interpretation through the established theoretical framework. This discussion synthesizes the findings to analyze the underlying logic of the Saudi reform model, evaluating it against Sen's concept of "Development as Freedom" and explaining its mechanisms through the lens of "authoritarian modernization."

11.1. Interpreting the Paradox: The Logic of Authoritarian Modernization

The findings strongly support the thesis that Saudi Arabia is pursuing a strategy of authoritarian modernization. The selective nature of the reforms is not accidental but deliberate. The state is liberalizing in social and economic domains precisely because doing so is essential for the success of Vision 2030. Empowering women economically doubles the potential workforce and transforms a dependent population into productive taxpayers. Reforming the labor market makes the economy more flexible and attractive to foreign capital. Opening the country to entertainment and tourism creates new non-oil revenue streams and provides an outlet for the aspirations of a young population. As Hertog (2022) theorizes, these reforms are part of a new social contract designed to secure performance-based legitimacy for the regime in a post-rentier era.

Simultaneously, the crackdown on political and civil liberties is the other side of the same coin. In an authoritarian modernization model, the state must maintain absolute control to direct the transformation and prevent any independent power centers from emerging. The imprisonment of women's rights activists, the silence of critical intellectuals, and the control of religious discourse are all measures to ensure that the process of change is entirely state-managed. The state is effectively communicating that while citizens may enjoy new social and economic freedoms, these are privileges granted by a benevolent ruler, not inalienable rights. Any attempt to claim rights independently or to demand political participation is framed as a threat to national unity and the success of the vision,

and is therefore suppressed (Al-Rasheed, 2021). The global reactions, which show a split between economic praise and human rights condemnation, reflect the success of this dual strategy in appealing to different international audiences.

Table 7. Global Stakeholder Reactions.

Stakeholder Group	Predominant Reaction / Stance	Key Focus Areas
Western Governments & Allies	Cautious engagement; pragmatic partnership.	Economic stability, counter-terrorism cooperation, regional security, energy markets. Praise for social reforms is often balanced with private expressions of concern.
International Financial Institutions (IMF, World Bank)	Largely positive and encouraging.	Fiscal discipline, economic diversification, female labor force participation, investment climate.
Foreign Investors & Corporations	Opportunistic but risk aware.	Market access, legal predictability, profitability. Human rights are considered primarily through the lens of reputational risk and ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) criteria.
International Human Rights NGOs (e.g., HRW, Amnesty)	Highly critical and advocacy focused.	Freedom of expression, political prisoners, death penalty, migrant worker rights, lack of accountability for abuses.
United Nations Human Rights Bodies	Critical monitoring and reporting.	Scrutiny of compliance with international treaties, recommendations on legal reforms (e.g., Personal Status Law), and individual cases of detainees.

Source: Compiled by the author based on the analysis in this section.

11.2. Partial Development: The View from Sen’s “Development as Freedom”

When evaluated against Amartya Sen's (1999) holistic framework, the Saudi model of development is revealed to be profoundly partial and incomplete. Vision 2030 is successfully expanding certain "capabilities." The reforms have clearly enhanced "economic facilities" (especially for women) and "social opportunities" (through investments in education, health, and entertainment). These are genuine and significant improvements in people's lives. However, the model actively and systemically denies other, equally crucial freedoms that Sen identifies as central to development. "Political freedoms" and the associated civil rights are not just absent; they are being aggressively dismantled. The ability of citizens to participate in political discussion, to scrutinize their government, or to form independent associations has been virtually eliminated. "Transparency guarantees" are also severely lacking. While the government has become more transparent about its economic data to attract investors, there is no broader transparency regarding political decision-making, state security actions, or the judicial process.

11.3. The Future of the Saudi Social Contract

The findings suggest that the new social contract is inherently unstable. It rests on the state's ability to continuously deliver economic growth and social spectacle. An economic downturn or a failure to meet the high expectations of the young could expose the contract's brittle foundations. By closing off all avenues for peaceful political expression, the state leaves citizens with no institutional channels to voice grievances, potentially forcing discontent underground. The long-term success of Vision 2030 may depend not only on its economic achievements but also on its ability to evolve toward a more inclusive and participatory model. The current path of authoritarian modernization may deliver short-term gains, but it creates long-term risks by concentrating power and eliminating the feedback mechanisms that are vital for a resilient and adaptive society.

In summary, the dualities and contradictions embedded within Vision 2030's reform agenda highlight the challenge of balancing meaningful economic modernization with the imperative for broader rights and civic participation. As the Kingdom moves forward, the durability of its social contract and the legitimacy of its transformation will be tested by its ability to reconcile rapid economic progress with the persistent exclusion of vulnerable groups and the systematic limitations on political freedoms. The selective empowerment of certain populations, paired with ongoing repression and exclusion, underscores the need for a more integrated approach—one that recognizes the interconnectedness of economic, social, and political rights. Interpreting these developments through frameworks like Sen's "Development as Freedom" and the concept of authoritarian modernization reveals that sustainable development in Saudi Arabia requires not just economic dynamism, but also genuine inclusivity, transparency, and institutional accountability. As the analysis transitions toward conclusions and recommendations, it becomes increasingly vital to assess how these tensions will shape the Kingdom's future—whether Vision 2030 will serve as a springboard for a more equitable and participatory society or remain an example of controlled liberalization within rigid state boundaries.

12. Conclusion

This paper has undertaken a comprehensive examination of the human rights reforms in Saudi Arabia under the Vision 2030 initiative, contextualizing these changes within the Kingdom's historical and socio-political landscape. In addressing the central research question of how human rights are being addressed within this transformative agenda, the study reveals a complex and deeply contradictory reality. Vision 2030 has undeniably served as a powerful catalyst for significant social and economic reforms, propelling the Kingdom away from its historical reliance on oil revenues and rigid social structures. The progress has been most substantial in areas directly aligned with the vision's economic goals, with the empowerment of women through expanded economic opportunities and the modernization of the labor market standing out as landmark achievements.

However, this analysis demonstrates that these advancements are components of a state-controlled, top-down liberalization strategy consistent with a model of authoritarian modernization. The expansion of social and economic rights has been strategically paired with a severe and systematic contraction of the civic and political space. Freedom of expression, assembly, and association have been drastically curtailed, and dissent is met with severe penalties, creating the central paradox of a socially liberalizing but politically repressive state. This instrumentalist approach treats rights not as universal entitlements but as privileges to be granted or withheld in service of state objectives.

The study has identified persistent challenges that impede the full realization of human rights. The significant gap between legal reform and practical implementation, the deliberate exclusion of highly vulnerable groups like domestic workers from key protections, and the codification of discriminatory norms within new legislation all highlight the inherent limits of the current reform agenda. When viewed through the normative lens of "Development as Freedom," the Saudi model is fundamentally incomplete, promoting certain human capabilities while actively suppressing others that are essential for genuine human flourishing. Ultimately, while Vision 2030 has laid a crucial foundation for change, its long-term success and its ability to foster a truly right-respecting society will depend on its willingness to embrace a more holistic and consistent approach to human rights—one that values political and civil liberties as much as economic and social progress.

13. Recommendations for Further Research

As Saudi Arabia moves forward with its ambitious Vision 2030 agenda, the breadth and complexity of its human rights reforms demand ongoing, nuanced scholarly engagement. Future research should not only address the pressing issues outlined above but also adapt to emerging challenges and opportunities as the Kingdom's socio-political landscape evolves. Interdisciplinary

approaches that bridge legal analysis, social science, and cultural studies will be essential in capturing the multifaceted realities of reform. Collaborative efforts between local and international scholars can foster a more holistic understanding, while leveraging comparative and longitudinal methodologies will illuminate pathways toward more equitable and resilient policy outcomes. Ultimately, expanding the scope and depth of research on Saudi Arabia's transformation will be crucial for informing constructive dialogue, guiding reform, and ensuring that human rights remain central to the nation's ongoing development. While this study provides a comprehensive analysis of human rights reforms under Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030, several critical areas warrant deeper investigation to inform policy and scholarly debates. The following recommendations outline key directions for future research:

- **Longitudinal Impact of Reforms:** Conduct longitudinal studies to assess the sustainability and real-world impact of recent legal and social reforms, especially on vulnerable populations such as women, migrant workers, and minority groups. This should include both quantitative and qualitative approaches to capture evolving lived experiences over time.
- **Implementation Gaps and Enforcement Mechanisms:** Examine the disconnect between legal reforms and their implementation in practice. Focus on the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms, barriers to access to justice, and the role of local institutions in upholding new rights and protections.
- **Comparative Studies:** Conduct comparative analyses with other countries in the Gulf region and beyond that have pursued similar modernization agendas. This can help identify best practices, common pitfalls, and the unique features of the Saudi approach to development and human rights.
- **Socioeconomic Outcomes of Vision 2030:** Evaluate how the economic diversification and labor market reforms under Vision 2030 are affecting social mobility, income inequality, and regional disparities. Special attention should be given to the intersection of economic changes and human rights realization.
- **Role of International Actors:** Analyze the influence of international organizations, foreign governments, and transnational advocacy networks in shaping Saudi Arabia's human rights policies. Assess the effectiveness of external pressure and engagement strategies in promoting meaningful reform.
- **Gender and Intersectionality:** Further investigate the intersectional challenges faced by women of different backgrounds (e.g., nationality, socioeconomic status, ethnicity) to better understand the varied impact of reforms and persisting barriers to equality.
- **Legal Pluralism and Customary Practices:** Study the interaction between formal legal reforms and customary or religious practices at the community level, and how these shape the lived experience of rights and freedoms.
- **Public Perceptions and Social Attitudes:** Conduct surveys and in-depth interviews to capture public perceptions of reforms, attitudes toward human rights, and the evolving social contract between citizens and the state.
- **Monitoring and Evaluation Tools:** Develop and test innovative monitoring and evaluation frameworks tailored to the Saudi context, enabling more robust tracking of progress and accountability in human rights development.

By pursuing these research directions, scholars and practitioners can deepen the understanding of Saudi Arabia's complex reform trajectory and contribute to the design of more effective, inclusive, and rights-based policies. Expanding research in these key areas will not only provide nuanced insights into the real-world impact of Vision 2030 reforms but also illuminate the challenges and opportunities inherent in the Kingdom's ongoing transformation. Comprehensive and systematic investigation—spanning longitudinal studies, comparative analyses, and interdisciplinary approaches—will help unpack the diverse experiences of different social groups and clarify the effectiveness of policy interventions. This, in turn, will inform both national and international dialogues on human rights, foster evidence-based policymaking, and support the development of frameworks that prioritize equity, accountability, and resilience. Ultimately, sustained scholarly

engagement and collaborative research efforts will be essential for ensuring that human rights considerations remain at the forefront of Saudi Arabia's development, guiding the country toward a more just and inclusive future for all its citizens and residents.

Funding: The study received no specific financial support.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Transparency: The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study, that no vital features of the study have been omitted, and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed ethical practices during the writing process.

Conflict of Interest declaration: The authors declare that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

References

1. Abdullateef, S. T., Alsheikh, R. M., & Mohammed, B. K. I. (2023). Making Saudi Vision 2030 a reality through educational transformation at the university level. *Labour and Industry: A Journal of the Social and Economic Relations of Work*, 33(2), 225–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10301763.2023.2184166>
2. Al-Rasheed, M. (2021). *The son king: Reform and repression in Saudi Arabia*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 9780197558140
3. Al-Sudairi, M. (2023). *The codification of shari'a: The politics of judicial reform in Saudi Arabia*. The London School of Economics and Political Science Middle East Centre. <https://www.lse.ac.uk/middle-east-centre/publications/mec-papers/The-Codification-of-Sharia>
4. Almutairi, A. (2018). The domestic application of international human rights conventions in Saudi Arabia and the need to ratify conventions for migrant workers. *Middle Eastern Studies*, 54(1), 48–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00263206.2017.1361934>
5. Azhar, A., & Rashid, R.-A. A. (2024). A systematic literature review on K-12 STEM education research in Saudi Arabia - The story of transformation under Vision 2030. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(3), 236–240. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.23640>
6. Bassiouni, M. C. (2024). Historical context. In *Human rights between universality and Islamic legitimacy* (pp. 21–26). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197753897.003.0003>
7. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
8. Davidson, C. M. (2021). Establishing control: Institutions, media, and security. In *From sheikhs to sultanism: Statecraft and authority in Saudi Arabia and the UAE* (pp. 167–198). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197586488.003.0008>
9. El-Bakr, F. (2022). Empowering Saudi women under Vision 2030: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Childhood and Women's Studies*, 3(1), 13–27. <https://doi.org/10.21608/ijcws.2022.270720>
10. Genoud, C. (2023). A call for self-criticism in defending values: From human rights in China to the war in Ukraine. *British Journal of Chinese Studies*, 13(1), 19–44. <https://doi.org/10.51661/bjocs.v13i1.221>
11. Graw, A., & Ghabash, A. (2023). *Saudi Arabia's new personal status law: A wolf in sheep's clothing?* Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung. <https://www.kas.de/en/web/gulf-states/single-title/-/content/saudi-arabia-s-new-personal-status-law>
12. Hertog, S. (2022). The political economy of a 'post-rentier' monarchy: The case of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030. *Journal of Arabian Studies*, 12(1), 101–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21534764.2022.2068881>
13. Human Rights Watch. (2023). *World Report 2024: Saudi Arabia*. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2024/country-chapters/saudi-arabia>
14. Jabali, H. M., Alharbi, A. H., & Rajan, P. (2023). Economic transformation in achieving sustainable development before and after Vision 2030 (A case review for Saudi Arabia). *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 23(G1), 59–61. <https://doi.org/10.34257/gjmborgvol23is1pg59>

15. Keydar, R., Shikhelman, V., Broude, T., & Elkobi, J. (2024). The discursive evolution of human rights law: Empirical insights from a computational analysis of 180,000 UN recommendations. *Human Rights Law Review*, 24(4), ngad021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngad021>
16. Khan, M. A., & Al-Asmari, A. A. (2022). The Kafala reform in Saudi Arabia: A new era for migrant workers? *Journal of Labor and Society*, 25(3), 435-452. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wusa.12589>
17. Navarro-Gambín, P., & Jansen, K. (2023). Migrant agricultural workers in search of a dignified life: Labor conditions as a source of vulnerability in Spain. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 63(2), 373-392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soru.12462>
18. Rachman, M. F. A. (2019). Reality behind the rhetoric: An examination of Saudi Vision 2030 using an imminent critique. *Journal of Islamic World and Politics*, 3(2), 123-141. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jiwp.3239>
19. Rahman, F. A., Arimbawa, I. G. A. P., Rostini, R., & Muhammad, A. F. (2024). Law enforcement and human rights protection in public administration: A qualitative approach to the implementation of public policy. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi Publik*, 14(1), 45-60. <https://doi.org/10.26858/jiap.v14i1.64532>
20. Rizvi, L., & Hussain, Z. I. (2021). Empowering women through legal reform evidence in the Saudi Arabian context. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 63(6), 663-679. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlma-03-2021-0068>
21. Robert, M. (2024). Future developments in business and human rights laws. In *Business and human rights* (pp. 234-249). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/law/9780192855855.003.0008>
22. Saudi Vision 2030. (2016). *Full text of Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030*. https://www.vision2030.gov.sa/media/rc0b5g2p/saudi_vision203.pdf
23. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 9780192893307
24. Sholihah, N., Ummah, A. K., Wargadinata, W., & Jannah, H. (2023). Transformation of the roles of Saudi Arabian women post-implementation of the "Saudi Vision 2030" in the perspective of modernism. *Jurnal CMES*, 16(2), 181-195. <https://doi.org/10.20961/cmcs.16.2.69354>
25. World Bank. (2024). *Women, Business and the Law 2024*. <https://wbl.worldbank.org/>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.