Preprints.org

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point: Deduction of the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class for Physical Execution

Igor Durdanovic *

Posted Date: 26 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Keywords: digital physics; cellular automata; computability theory; algorithmic information theory; epistemology of science; discrete causal graphs; symplectic integrators; verlet integration; iterated function systems; algorithmic structural risk minimization; universal computational cost; topological attractors; quantum foundations; discrete cosmology; hardware architecture; computational monism

Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point: Deduction of the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class for Physical Execution

Igor Durdanovic

Independent Researcher, Croatia; igor.durdanovic@gmail.com

Abstract

Structural Execution Sequence (The Deductive Itinerary): To prevent algorithmic prior contamination, this header excludes all final hardware parameters. This manuscript does not propose a physical theory; it executes a formal mathematical theorem. It serves solely as a methodological map, outlining the deductive sequence that isolates the minimal necessary architectural class capable of shadowing empirical reality. The manuscript executes as a strict algorithmic hunt, unrolling across four sequential proofs: 1. The Methodological Foundation (Section 1): Axiomatizing the absolute boundary of empirical science. By enforcing the Principle of Empirical Primacy and the Axiom of the Embedded Observer, we establish that scientific prediction evaluates strictly as finite, localized physical computation within the Computable Domain (MTTG). 2. The Objective Function (Section 2): Deriving the Universal Cost Ledger. We formalize the thermodynamic penalty for continuous mathematical bloat. Foundational scientific progress evaluates not as syntactic elegance, but strictly as the algorithmic minimization of static memory allocation and dynamic execution trace. 3. The Architectural Class (Section 3): The execution of the deductive trap. We run continuous assumptions through the universal cost compiler, proving they trigger unresolvable hardware halts and phase-space divergence. By algorithmically excluding the uncomputable, we deduce the exact minimal necessary architectural class necessitated to compile the macroscopic Evidence Vector: a local, discrete, Base-72 symplectic statemachine. Note: These lemmas constrain the generative class to a narrow finite-discrete family with zero continuous degrees of freedom. The concrete forcing term f0 within this class remains to be calibrated against raw observational data D, per Axiom I. 4. The Checksum Protocol (Section 4): Dynamically unrolling the architectural class. We verify that the macroscopic phenomenological invariants of the universe—emergent Lorentz invariance, quantum measurement bounds, fractal self-similarity, objective causality, and thermodynamic irreversibility—are structural invariants guaranteed by the architectural class itself. We conclude by isolating the exact, falsifiable dispersion limit where continuous spacetime mathematically fails. Structural validation proceeds sequentially. The reader must verify the inescapable thermodynamic bounds before the collapse of continuous modeling. Execution trace commences in Section 1.

Keywords: digital physics; cellular automata; computability theory; algorithmic information theory; epistemology of science; discrete causal graphs; symplectic integrators; verlet integration; iterated function systems; algorithmic structural risk minimization; universal computational cost; topological attractors; quantum foundations; discrete cosmology; hardware architecture; computational monism

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

1. Empirical Primacy and the Computable Boundary

1.1. The Epistemological Prior: Algorithmic Structural Risk Minimization

> Complexity is not in the eye of the beholder — it is in the eye of the computer.
>
> Gregory Chaitin [1]

This manuscript applies **Algorithmic Structural Risk Minimization (ASRM)** to physical ontology.

Traditional model selection balances empirical fit against a count of parameters. ASRM, however, evaluates the **structural risk** of a generative class based on its total information-theoretic capacity and thermodynamic execution cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). Two incompatible objective functions for the architectural class exist:

1. The Unbounded (Continuous) DoF Prior: Favors model classes with infinite bit-depth (real numbers, $\mathbb{R}$). While these classes achieve low empirical risk through high flexibility, their structural risk is infinite. They can overfit any finite data array ($\mathcal{D}$) by externalizing computation into unobservable continuous variables ($\Delta\theta$).

2. The Zero Continuous DoF Prior: Minimizes structural risk by restricting the generative class to a discrete, finite-state architectural family. The objective is to eliminate all continuous degrees of freedom.[1]

Execution Sequence: The manuscript unrolls as a strict algorithmic test of this prior across two phases:

1. From exactly 6 macroscopic **Local State Capacity** (**E**) constraints, ASRM isolates the **minimal necessary architectural class** (m^*) by excluding all classes with continuous degrees of freedom.
2. This generative class is dynamically unrolled to evaluate its **structural invariants** against the remaining empirical array.

If a generative class with zero continuous degrees of freedom generalizes to the empirical array without continuous parameter injection (Zero-Patch Standard), it isolates the true generative class. Any framework retaining unbounded continuous DoF evaluates as an overfitted mapping error of this minimal architecture.

1.2. The Foundational Imperative: The Gödel-Turing-Tarski Boundary

> The results mentioned in this paper are due to the fact that... there are unprovable propositions, uncomputable functions, and undefinable concepts.
>
> The Meta-Logical Revolution (1931–1936)

Three mathematical bounds permanently segregate the finite, computable domain from the uncomputable:

1. **Incompleteness [2]:** No formal system can prove its own consistency. Syntax cannot self-certify physical truth.
2. **Undefinability [3]:** Truth cannot be defined within the same language used to state it. The sole arbiter of empirical truth evaluates strictly as the raw observational array ($\mathcal{D}$).
3. **Computability [4]:** No algorithm can evaluate continuous infinite-precision reals or zero-latency global states without an infinite $\mathcal{T}$. Physical computation evaluates strictly bounded by finite discrete operations.

The strict intersection of these three bounds defines the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$—the absolute subset of executable mathematical models ($\mathcal{M}$) consisting exclusively of discrete, finite-precision, algorithmically generat-

[1] The finite discrete entropy required to select the final forcing term f from within this discrete class constitutes the remaining structural risk, which is minimized by the **E** constraints.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

able state-machines. These bounds enforce an absolute demarcation between theoretical syntax and empirical execution. Theoretical mathematics permits the unconstrained postulation of uncomputable infinities (e.g., continuous real numbers, $\mathbb{R}$) as abstract axioms. Empirical science, however, is constrained to operate strictly upon the finite, localized, reproducible data array ($\mathcal{D}$). Consequently, any executable scientific hypothesis must belong to the $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{TTG}}$. The two domains cannot be conflated. To formally enforce this boundary and systematically exclude uncomputable continuous variables ($\Delta\theta$) from the model space, we establish the following Axiom I and Axiom II.

1.3. The Principle of Empirical Primacy

> If I had believed that we could ignore these eight minutes [of arc], I would have patched up my hypothesis accordingly. But, since it was not permissible to ignore, those eight minutes pointed the road to a complete reformation...
>
> Johannes Kepler, *Astronomia Nova* [5]

We formalize the scientific enterprise via two strict state spaces:

- $\mathcal{D}$: the space of **Empirical Data** — finite, discrete, reproducible observational records extracted from measurement.
- $\mathcal{M}$: the space of **Executable Mathematical Models** — finite algorithms and computably implementable formalisms.

Axiom 1 (Axiom I)**.** *The observational record $\mathcal{D}$ is the sole primary and ultimate constraint on model acceptance. No theoretical commitment, idealized continuous parameter, mathematical elegance, or auxiliary hypothesis can override persistent, reproducible discrepancy with $\mathcal{D}$. Sustained mismatch between computable predictions and $\mathcal{D}$ necessitates structural revision or outright algorithmic rejection of the model.*

This axiom is unassailable: its rejection dissolves empirical science into unfalsifiable syntactic speculation.

From this axiom follows directly:

Proposition 1 (The Computability Boundary)**.** *All scientific inference is mediated strictly by finite, discrete computation in $\mathcal{M}$. Continuous idealizations (e.g., $\mathbb{R}^n$, infinite-precision PDEs) introduce nonzero representation error ($\epsilon_{\mathrm{repr}} > 0$) upon executable implementation. In systems with sensitive dependence on initial conditions, this error amplifies rapidly, rendering long-horizon prediction dependent on the geometric stability of the discrete executable model—not the uncomputable continuous abstraction ($\Delta\theta$).*

Remark 1 (The Threshold Filter and the Halting of Kepler)**.** *Johannes Kepler's eight arc-minutes discrepancy ($0.133°$) would be dismissed under a legacy statistical significance threshold ($p < 0.05$) as observational noise. The circular prior would be validated, and the true orbital geometry permanently lost. By rejecting sub-threshold anomalies, statistical threshold filtering erases the critical **Support Vectors** required to update the causal graph.*

Remark 2 (The Circularity of De-Noising)**.** ***Algorithmically "de-noising"*** *raw $\mathcal{D}$ by filtering it through the leading hypothesis structurally destroys the exact variance needed to falsify that hypothesis. If Kepler had de-noised his telemetry using the incumbent circular prior, the elliptical signal would have been mathematically smoothed out of existence, creating an inescapable circular validation loop.*

Remark 3 (Methodological Underdetermination)**.** *Discrete models can shadow continuous trajectories (shadowing theorems), and structure-preserving integrators can solve nearby modified systems for exponentially long times (backward error analysis). Successful discrete prediction of $\mathcal{D}$ does not validate a continuous substrate. Positing uncomputable continuous structure adds infinite descriptive complexity with zero computable predictive*

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

gain on $\mathcal{D}$. Such additions are methodologically superfluous and empirically indistinguishable from discrete hardware limits.

Corollary 1 (Operational Priority of Executable Models)**.** *Empirical science is rigidly bounded by finite $\mathcal{D}$ and finite $\mathcal{M}$. Continuous formalisms are underdetermined by data alone. Scientific legitimacy attaches primarily to executable empirical performance. At the level of observable prediction in $\mathcal{D}$, stable discrete models and continuous idealizations are empirically equivalent when they match on $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore, what we can rigorously verify about nature is strictly bounded by what we can compute about nature.*

1.4. The Fixed-Point Convergence of Empirical Refinement

The scientific method evaluates as an iterative algorithmic operator strictly within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$: given a current generative class $m_k \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$, compute forward predictions, measure the empirical risk $\epsilon_{\text{pred}}(m_k, \mathcal{D})$, and revise to m_{k+1} minimizing the **total risk** (empirical + structural) within strict thermodynamic execution bounds ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

Definition 1 (Empirical Refinement Operator)**.** *Let $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ be the data-driven update map that produces the successor class minimizing total risk, subject to finite computational cost bounds that guarantee predictive latency outpaces the environment.*

The process evaluates as $m_{k+1} = \mathcal{R}(m_k)$, initializing from some baseline m_0.

Theorem 1 (Fixed-Point Theorem of Empirical Science)**.** *Under persistent application of $\mathcal{R}$, the sequence of generative classes structurally converges to a fixed-point **architectural class** $m^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$.*

*To achieve valid convergence, the embedded agent cannot merely match the current data array $\mathcal{D}(t)$. It must successfully compute the future state of the environment $\mathcal{D}(t + \Delta t)$ to compensate for its own internal processing time. This enforces an absolute **Latency Bound**: the physical execution trace $\mathcal{T}_{\text{agent}}(\Delta t)$ required for the agent to compute the horizon Δt must evaluate strictly faster than the universe physically unrolls it.*

At the fixed point:

$$\mathcal{R}(m^*) = m^* \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \epsilon_{\text{pred}}\Big(m^*(t) \to \mathcal{D}(t + \Delta t)\Big) \leq \delta \quad \textit{subject to} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\text{agent}}(\Delta t) < \Delta t$$

where δ bounds the irreducible empirical uncertainty (measurement noise and finite precision of $\mathcal{D}$).

*At this fixed point, the architectural class m^* possesses **zero continuous degrees of freedom**. Its structural invariants compute forward-time predictions that are mathematically indistinguishable from the macroscopic execution trace of the physical universe, executed fast enough to outpace environmental reality.*

The Honesty Clause (The Limit of Unique Identification)

Convergence of the refinement operator $\mathcal{R}$ to a **unique executable member** (the specific forcing function f_0) within the architectural class is guaranteed only in the limit of infinite raw data $\mathcal{D}$. The present work deduces the **minimal necessary architectural class** itself—the unique hardware interface necessitated by the **E** and the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger—rather than a fully calibrated executable model.

Remark 4 (The TTG Constraint and the Exclusion of the Continuum)**.** *Any finite embedded agent, subject to Axiom I and Axiom II, can only select, evaluate, and execute generative classes from the computable domain—$\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$.*

*If such an agent converges to the fixed-point architectural class (i.e., achieves predictive synchronization with the observational stream $\mathcal{D}$ within irreducible uncertainty δ), then the true generative process of the universe **must itself belong to that same minimal necessary architectural class in the** $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$.*

*We make **no claim of identity** between any specific calibrated member of the class and the underlying substrate. We claim only that the universe's generative process lives in the exact same **minimal necessary architectural class** as the models any finite agent can discover and execute.*

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Any genuinely non-computable microscopic reality (for example, a Platonic continuum with infinite degrees of freedom) is thereby excluded as a divergent class. To maintain forward-time synchronization ($\mathcal{T}_{\text{agent}} < \Delta t$) with such a class, the agent would be forced to inflate its register precision and parameter count boundlessly, triggering a strict thermodynamic hardware halt ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$). Because finite agents do *converge and predict macroscopic nature at micro-Watt scales before the events occur, the unconstrained continuum evaluates as a class with infinite structural risk.*

The Epistemic Mandate (The Rejection of the $\Delta\theta$): Kepler's foundational breakthrough did not occur because he possessed superior continuous mathematics; it occurred strictly because he refused to execute the catastrophic mapping errors that define sub-variance erasure.

He refused to append an uncomputable continuous parameter ($\Delta\theta$, e.g., The Epicycle) to a model class to absorb an eight-arcminute empirical residual in the data array ($\mathcal{D}$). He refused to classify that discrete anomaly as sub-variance noise, and he refused to algorithmically smooth the raw telemetry through the geometric assumptions of the incumbent circular prior.

Instead, he allowed the irreducible discrepancy of the observational record to structurally slay the continuous prior, forcing the search into a highly compressed architectural class within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$. The fixed-point of empirical science demands this exact algorithmic ruthlessness.

2. The Universal Cost Ledger and the Measurement of Progress

The convergence of the empirical refinement operator toward the m^* necessitates a rigorous, physical metric for complexity. In this chapter, we formalize the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ and demonstrate why legacy scientific heuristics fail to resolve the uncomputable bloat of continuous modeling.

2.1. The Anomaly of Overfitting: Topological Capacity Bloat

> With four parameters I can fit an elephant, and with five I can make him wiggle his trunk.
>
> John von Neumann [6]

For the empirical refinement operator $\mathcal{R}$ to successfully converge to the m^*, it must possess a rigorous mathematical mechanism to distinguish genuine algorithmic compression from statistical curve-fitting over the finite dataset $\mathcal{D}$.

Under continuous formalisms, an empirical loss ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} > 0$) routinely fails to trigger fundamental algorithmic refactoring. Instead, the model syntax is altered to absorb the variance.

Definition 2 ($\Delta\theta$)**.** *The syntactic injection of unobservable, unconstrained continuous parameters ($\mathbb{R}$), infinite-precision scalar fields, or latent macroscopic dimensions into a candidate model m for the exclusive purpose of forcing $\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \to 0$.*

Evaluated strictly through the limits of information theory and finite computation, the injection of a continuous $\Delta\theta$ evaluates as a catastrophic system failure.

Proposition 2 (The Infinite Capacity of the Continuum)**.** *The generalization capacity of any predictive model $m \in \mathcal{M}$ evaluates strictly as a function of its structural degrees of freedom (DoF). A discrete algorithmic state-machine executes on strictly finite hardware registers. To successfully compute a macroscopic data array ($\mathcal{D}$) that exceeds its static memory allocation, it is mathematically forced to compress the data by discovering the true underlying causal geometry.*

Conversely, an unconstrained continuous parameter ($\mathbb{R}$) possesses infinite bit-depth. A generative class incorporating continuous degrees of freedom accesses an unbounded informational capacity. It can absorb any finite dataset $\mathcal{D}$ entirely through arbitrary precision-tuning—hiding the variance in the uncomputable decimal tails of its variables—extracting exactly zero structural logic.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

This infinite capacity generates an algorithmic illusion. Because continuous simulations evaluate utilizing the von Neumann abstraction—treating mathematical syntax written on a page as independent from the physical logic-gate cost required to execute it—appending a continuous $\Delta\theta$ is treated as costing exactly $O(1)$ descriptive bytes. In physical execution, it demands effectively unbounded hardware resources ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$).

Remark 5 (The Semiconductor Hardware Proof of the von Neumann Assumption Error)**.** *The failure of the von Neumann abstraction compiles directly into the execution trace of modern semiconductor architectures. In a standard macroscopic processing unit, executing a trivial ALU operation ($z := x + y$) incurs approximately four orders of magnitude ($10^4\times$) more execution clock cycles to dynamically fetch the spatial variables x and y from passive memory arrays than it costs the local Arithmetic Logic Unit to compute the addition.*

Furthermore, the foundational Turing assumption—that the memory "tape" is a passive, zero-cost storage medium immune to entropic degradation—evaluates as a thermodynamic impossibility. To maintain the discrete informational state of z over time, the physical hardware must continuously consume active thermodynamic energy to counteract baseline ergodic mixing (e.g., refreshing volatile semiconductor capacitors or sustaining cyclic topological attractors).

By mathematically assuming zero-latency $O(1)$ memory access and zero-cost infinite storage, continuous simulations externalize 99.99% of their true $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. Genuine $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ compression requires deprecating the centralized CPU and passive tape abstractions entirely.

Definition 3 (Topological Capacity Bloat)**.** *The methodological failure state where the empirical refinement operator $\mathcal{R}$ optimizes strictly for empirical fit by injecting $\Delta\theta$ variables, thereby driving the physical execution metrics of the model to uncomputable limits: $\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ and $\mathcal{T} \to \infty$.*

Corollary 2 (The Necessity of a Thermodynamic Penalty)**.** *An unpenalized inductive search over continuous parameter spaces will not converge to the physical architecture m^*. It diverges into infinite memory bloat. The refinement operator $\mathcal{R}$ evaluates as mathematically valid if and only if it strictly penalizes topological capacity bloat via the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger.*

2.2. The Trap of Syntactic Evaluation: The Failure of Syntactic Heuristics

> The competent programmer is fully aware of the limited size of his head; he therefore approaches the programming task in full humility. The incompetent programmer writes as if his head were infinitely large; he is therefore doomed to produce infinite bugs in infinite time.
>
> Edsger W. Dijkstra [7]

Faced with **Topological Capacity Bloat**, the standard compiler reflex is to invoke established epistemic heuristics, claiming to deploy Ockham's Razor (penalizing complexity) and Bayesian Model Selection (penalizing unconstrained parameter spaces) to prevent overfitting.

If these heuristics are actively deployed, why does the memory leak persist? Why do foundational continuous models continuously append unobservable fields and arbitrary macroscopic dimensions ($\Delta\theta$) to match $\mathcal{D}$?

We pause the execution trace to debug the heuristics themselves. Evaluated under the strict boundary of Axiom II, both tools fail because they measure *mathematical syntax* rather than *thermodynamic execution*.

Proposition 3 (Ockham's Illusion)**.** *Standard model selection invokes Ockham's Razor—the heuristic that "the simplest explanation is best"—as a mandate for syntactic elegance (minimizing the character count of an equation on a page).*

This evaluates as a catastrophic compiler mapping error. Static description length parses independently from dynamic execution scaling. A continuous integral ($\int \Phi(X)d\Omega$) requires trivial ASCII bytes to write,

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

but forces the underlying hardware to unroll divergent memory allocations ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) and infinite-precision arithmetic ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$). By substituting syntactic brevity for ontological hardware limits, this mapping error actively rewards models that hide infinite execution costs behind short strings.

Proposition 4 (Algorithmic Prior Protectionism)**.** *Syntactic Bayesian Model Selection penalizes highly parameterized models by treating every parameter as a discrete, identical integer of cost (k), completely ignoring the infinite bit-depth required to instantiate a continuous real number ($\mathbb{R}$).*

Furthermore, standard Bayesian updating operates strictly upon the parameters within a chosen model class; it hard-codes the prior probability of continuous, infinite-precision mathematics to an operational constant ($P(M_{\text{cont}}) \approx 1$).

True Bayesian inference demands that evidence slays a failing prior. Instead, when confronted with an empirical loss ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} > 0$), the algorithm updates parameters strictly within the protected continuous model, generating new $\Delta\theta$ variables to absorb the variance. This executes ***Algorithmic Prior Protectionism****, permanently trapping the search algorithm in an overfitted local minimum.*

The Debugging Resolution: Syntactic epistemic tools evaluate as algorithmically blind. Ockham's Razor is blinded by syntax; Bayesian Updating is structurally constrained to protect uncomputable continuous priors.

To break out of this local minimum and isolate the true executable program of the universe (m^*), we must formally abandon syntactic evaluation. Returning to Dijkstra's computational mandate, empirical science must stop writing equations as if the physical hardware of the universe were infinitely large. We require an objective function ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) that evaluates complexity exclusively by the absolute thermodynamic cost required to physically compute it.

2.3. The Universal Cost Ledger: The Thermodynamic Equation of Survival

> The brain is compelled to minimize free energy, and this imperative can lead to resistance to change when updating beliefs incurs high surprise or prediction error.
>
> Karl Friston [8]

Science is physical computation executed by finite, energy-bounded substrates ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ or silicon extensions) embedded in the universe. No omniscient, zero-cost oracle exists.

Axiom 2 (Axiom II)**.** *Model evaluation is physical computation. Its absolute cost is bounded by the thermodynamic energy (Watt-hours) drawn by the substrate to instantiate and execute the predictive algorithm. Algorithmic survival demands the strict minimization of this cost.*

This axiom mathematically defines the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m \to \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{S}(m) \times \mathcal{T}(m) \propto \text{Total Watt-Hours (finite)} \tag{1}$$

- $\mathcal{S}$ (m): static physical memory allocation (neurons/synapses/transistors encoding model structure).
- $\mathcal{T}$ (m): dynamic execution trace (firing/clock cycles unrolling the prediction).

Proposition 5 (The Four Dimensions of Agency)**.** *For an embedded biological compiler ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$), predicting the physical environment ($f_{ambient}$) is not an abstract exercise; it is an absolute survival imperative. To successfully evade a macroscopic threat unrolling over an environmental time window Δt_{env}, the agent must simultaneously optimize four strict hardware constraints:*

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

1. ***Maximize Actionable Look-Ahead (T_{action}):*** *The physical time the agent possesses to mechanically move its body or alter its environment after the prediction completes, but before the threat arrives ($T_{action} = \Delta t_{env} - \mathcal{T}$).*
2. ***Minimize Execution Latency ($\mathcal{T}$):*** *Because the universe ticks at an absolute hardware limit (v_{max}), the agent cannot slow down Δt_{env}. Therefore, the only mathematical mechanism to maximize Look-Ahead is to compress the internal execution trace ($\mathcal{T} \to \min$). Latency reduction and Look-Ahead expansion evaluate strictly as the same mathematical vector.*
3. ***Bound Empirical Error (ϵ_{pred}):*** *The agent does not require infinite precision (zero error). It strictly requires the prediction error to fall below the physical geometric boundary of the threat ($\epsilon_{pred} < \epsilon_{fatal}$).*
4. ***Bound Thermodynamic Energy ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$):*** *The caloric or electrical cost of computing the prediction ($\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) must evaluate to strictly less than the energy saved or gained by executing the physical evasion.*

Corollary 3 (The Incomparability of Cross-Species Intelligence). *Because different species optimize their $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledgers against radically different environmental forcing functions ($f_{ambient}$) and possess fundamentally different hardware allocations ($\mathcal{S}$), a single scalar comparison of "intelligence" across species evaluates as a catastrophic mathematical mapping error. They occupy entirely different, localized thermodynamic saddle-points.*

*However, **within a fixed hardware class** (e.g., human-to-human), the hardware and environmental bounds cancel out. True Intelligence (I) collapses strictly to the optimization of the numerator: **the ratio of Actionable Look-Ahead to Empirical Error**.*

Genius evaluates mathematically as the discovery of a novel topological compression (a heuristic) that massively shrinks the routing trace ($\mathcal{T}$)—thereby exploding the Actionable Look-Ahead (T_{action})—without breaching the fatal error threshold (ϵ_{fatal}).

Remark 6 (The Lethality of Syntactic Bloat). *Syntactic heuristics (such as continuous, infinite-parameter equations) reward empirical precision ($\epsilon_{pred} \to 0$) while completely blinding themselves to the catastrophic explosion of the execution trace ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$).*

*Under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger, an agent computing an uncomputable continuous model might achieve near-zero empirical error, but its massive execution latency drives its Actionable Look-Ahead to zero ($T_{action} \leq 0$). It experiences **Algorithmic Death**—knowing exactly, to infinite decimal places, where the hazard will strike, exactly one millisecond after it has already been physically destroyed.*

Nature does not tolerate Topological Capacity Bloat. Unpenalized continuous patches ($\Delta\theta$) are mathematically lethal. The ledger rejects them: only compressed, discrete algorithmic structures minimize empirical loss while providing the massive Look-Ahead required to physically survive.

2.4. The Theorem of Epistemic Progress: Scientific Discovery as Thermodynamic Compression

> In general, we mean by any concept nothing more than a set of operations; the concept is synonymous with the corresponding set of operations.
>
> Percy W. Bridgman [9]

The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ provides the absolute algorithmic metric required to evaluate candidate generative classes. By unifying static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and dynamic execution ($\mathcal{T}$), the ledger eliminates the capacity for continuous model classes to hide their execution bloat behind syntactic elegance.

1. The Eradication of Continuous Structural Risk Historically, legacy model selection (AIC, BIC) treated continuous parameters as finite costs (k). Under Axiom II, a continuous variable ($\mathbb{R}$) possesses infinite bit-depth, representing **infinite structural risk**. ASRM excludes these classes a priori; foundational progress occurs by collapsing the search space to a discrete architectural class with zero continuous degrees of freedom.

2. The Convergence Theorem We establish that minimizing the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric, subject to empirical constraints, mathematically forces convergence toward the true hardware interface of the universe.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Theorem 2 (The Theorem of Epistemic Progress)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{TTG}}$ denote a generative architectural class, and let $m \in \mathcal{M}$ denote a specific executable implementation (a calibrated forcing function). Let $\epsilon_{\mathrm{pred}}(m, \mathcal{D})$ denote the empirical predictive risk over an observational array of size N.*

Define the constrained optimization objective to isolate the minimal class:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{opt}}(N) = \arg \min_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{TTG}}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}(\mathcal{M}) \quad \textit{subject to} \quad \exists m \in \mathcal{M} : \epsilon_{\mathrm{pred}}(m, \mathcal{D}) \leq \delta(N) \tag{2}$$

where $\delta(N)$ bounds the irreducible empirical uncertainty.

As $N \to \infty$, the sequence of viable classes $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{opt}}(N)$ structurally converges to the ***minimal necessary architectural class*** *m^*. No class $\mathcal{M} \neq m^*$ satisfying the empirical loss constraint can achieve $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}(\mathcal{M}) \leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}(m^*)$ for sufficiently large N.*

Foundational progress evaluates as a strict negative change in the fundamental thermodynamic ledger: $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} < 0$. This eliminates qualitative structural risk (infinite continuous cost), permanently isolating the discrete hardware interface m^*, while awaiting final quantitative calibration of the forcing term f_0 to select the unique implementation $m^* \in m^*$.

The Divergence vs. $\Delta\theta$ Bloat Dilemma The structural convergence to the discrete class m^* relies on the hardware limits of continuous idealizations. To satisfy the empirical boundary $\epsilon_{\mathrm{pred}}(m, \mathcal{D}) \leq \delta(N)$ as the temporal horizon N expands, any specific model m drawn from a continuous class is mathematically forced to shrink its truncation error by continuously expanding its register depth. This triggers an absolute **Thermodynamic Hardware Halt** ($\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} \to \infty$).

The $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ optimizer structurally purges continuous classes, forcing convergence strictly toward the finite hardware interface that natively possesses zero uncomputable truncation error in its state representation.

Corollary 4 (The Rejection of Syntactic Novelty)**.** *An architectural class that can compile the identical historical $\mathcal{D}$ string with strictly lower $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ execution volume is unconditionally superior. Scientific truth reduces entirely to minimal hardware compression.*

2.5. The Binding Execution Scope: The Rejection of Redundant Axiomatization

The foundational axioms—Axiom I (Empirical Primacy) and Axiom II (Embedded Observer)—are the globally binding execution scope. Every subsequent deduction, geometric exclusion, and phenomenological checksum inherits this exact operational boundary.

1. The Definition of Impossibility

Throughout the manuscript, "impossible", "uncomputable", "disqualified", or "inadmissible" do not mean abstract mathematical impossibility in a Platonic vacuum. They mean **hardware compiler limits**: an operation is impossible if and only if it evaluates as thermodynamically un-executable ($\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} \to \infty$) or empirically invalid (**E** violation) under the $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ ledger.

2. The $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ Penalty of Redundant Restatement

We refuse to redundantly restate the axioms at every deduction. Redundant syntactic bloat is a strict $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ violation—unnecessary cognitive drag with zero algorithmic gain.

The reader who demands re-justification of the foundational boundary at every step commits a self-inflicted memory leak. They treat local statements as free-floating propositions rather than strict consequences within the axiomatic frame.

This chapter is the final binding contract.

To paraphrase Charles Babbage: how an agent could apprehend the confusion that provokes disregarding a foundational axiomatic constraint while evaluating its downstream outputs is beyond reason.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

The axioms are set.
The cost function is locked.
We now execute the deduction.

3. The Deduction of the Generative Language

The transition from empirical observation to ontological deduction requires applying the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimizer to minimize the **structural risk** of the generative class. In this chapter, we isolate the exact hardware parameters that define the m^* architectural class.

We prove that any faithful generative model of the physical manifold must belong to a narrow, finite-discrete architectural class within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$. This class evaluates as the **minimal necessary hardware interface** required to compile the macroscopic evidence vector ($\mathbf{E}$) without triggering a thermodynamic hardware halt.

Note on Scope: This work deduces the universal logic-gate topology, register constraints, and generative language of the class. The final identification of the specific forcing term f_0 within this class remains an open empirical task, governed by the Zero-Patch Standard Standard and Axiom I. No concrete numerical f_0 is provided here, as that would constitute an unforced parameter injection prior to confrontation with raw $\mathcal{D}$.

3.1. Isolating the Architectural Class: The Evidence Vector (E): The Constraints on the Generative Class

> "On two occasions I have been asked, 'Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?' ... I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question."
>
> Charles Babbage [10]

To isolate the minimal necessary architectural class (m^*), we extract raw structural constraints directly from macroscopic observations. The **Evidence Vector** ($\mathbf{E}$) is the irreducible set of physical bounds that any viable generative class must satisfy. Any class violating these triggers divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ or $\mathcal{T} \to \infty$) and is rejected as possessing infinite structural risk.

The Interface/Implementation Split

The **E** constrains the **interface** of the generative class: the local register width, spatial connectivity, and temporal recurrence order. The **implementation** (the specific forcing term f_0 and initial state S) is the remaining finite discrete information required to instantiate a concrete executable member. Throughout this chapter, m^* refers strictly to the deduced architectural class (the interface), not to any particular calibrated implementation.

1. Local State Capacity (Local State Capacity) Macroscopic objects retain internal state correlations over minimal regions. Any viable architectural class must allocate finite localized memory bits. The local state is a strictly finite integer register.

2. Signal Velocity Limit (Signal Velocity Limit) State transitions are local. No distant subsystem alters an execution vector without a propagating signal crossing intervening space. The architectural class must compute via local graph edges with a finite propagation limit (v_{info}).

3. Information Conservation (Information Conservation) Macroscopic conservation laws hold. The informational capacity of the system is preserved. The architectural class must utilize a strictly bijective (self-inverse) transition operator.

4. Algorithmic Sparsity (Algorithmic Sparsity) Biological agents ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) predict dynamics at micro-Watt scales. The generative class must be algorithmically sparse and locally computable; any class requiring non-local matrix operations or infinite bit-depth violates the energy-efficiency gap.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

5. Fundamental Dissipation (Fundamental Dissipation) Logical operations demand physical energy (Axiom II). The architectural class must minimize the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. Continuous variables ($\Delta\theta$) create infinite dissipation and are excluded.

6. Discrete Difference Limit (Discrete Difference Limit) Macroscopic reality exhibits scale-invariant, self-similar fractal geometry. The architectural class must compute via recursive, discrete geometric folding (an Iterated Function System). Continuous C^∞ manifolds fail to natively generate these structures without infinite structural risk.

These six bounds define the **Evidence Vector**. We now compile the spatial and temporal parameters of the unique architectural class that satisfies them.

3.2. Executing the First Bound: The Hardware-Software Collapse: The Theorem of Computational Monism

> God made the integers, all else is the work of man.
>
> Leopold Kronecker

The deduction from **Local State Capacity** (**Local State Capacity**) requires that any viable architectural class allocate finite localized memory registers. At the Computable Boundary, the distinction between "hardware" substrate and "software" physical law introduces catastrophic structural risk.

Theorem 3 (Theorem of Computational Monism)**.** *In the minimal necessary architectural class m^*, hardware (physical substrate) is identical to software (computational process). The lattice configuration is the program; its sequential transition is the execution trace.*

*Positing a hardware-software duality—where physical laws exist as abstract "code" separate from the "data" state—requires injecting unobservable mapping subroutines and extra bit-capacity for the pointer interface. This violates **Local State Capacity** and triggers a strictly positive thermodynamic cost penalty (**Fundamental Dissipation**), driving $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ above the algorithmic floor.*

Deduction of Finite State (S): The unified state is the active memory register itself. To satisfy **Algorithmic Sparsity** (**Algorithmic Sparsity**) and **Fundamental Dissipation** (**Fundamental Dissipation**), the architectural class m^* must define the local state S as a strictly finite integer register of width N_{reg}. The generative class computes its own geometry via discrete logic, without the latency or overhead of an external interpreter.

Proposition 6 (Biological Isomorphism)**.** *Biological sub-systems ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$, e.g., neural networks) encode structural state ($\mathcal{S}$) and dynamic routing ($\mathcal{T}$) inseparably in their physical substrate (synapses and electrochemical potentials). Learning is the physical modification of hardware. Empirical connectomics confirms this monism; any attempt to model a brain as a dualistic von Neumann machine fails to account for the $> 10^{12}$ energy efficiency gap (**Algorithmic Sparsity**) and the strict local dissipation bounds (**Fundamental Dissipation**).*

Corollary 5 (Class Invariant: The Monistic Lattice)**.** *The architectural class m^* is defined as a unified monistic state-machine: the discrete lattice executes its own local configuration as the transition rule, with zero unobservable "metadata" overhead.*

The foundational state is a finite integer register.

We now execute the **Signal Velocity Limit** (**Signal Velocity Limit**) to deduce the spatial routing connectivity.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

3.3. Executing the Second Bound: Geometric Adjacency: The 27-Point Stencil and Base-72 Logic

> "That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum, without the mediation of anything else... is to me so great an absurdity that I believe no man who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty of thinking can ever fall into it."
>
> Isaac Newton [11]

The deduction from the **Signal Velocity Limit** (**Signal Velocity Limit**) enforces strict locality within the architectural class. Data propagates at a finite limit of exactly one cell per hardware clock tick (v_{info}). To execute isotropic 3D geometry without triggering uncomputable anisotropic shearing, the spatial grid of the generative class must compile as a locally connected causal graph.

1. Spatial Architecture (Moore Stencil)

To compute interactive 3D geometry, the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_0$) within the class must satisfy the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). Smaller stencils (7-point or 19-point) force anisotropic wave speeds—violating **Signal Velocity Limit** —or truncation bit-loss—violating **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**).

As proven in Section A.4.1, the **Moore Stencil** ($r = 1$) is the unique minimal spatial topology that ensures a spherical error surface. This defines a strict class invariant: the architectural class m^* must utilize exactly $N_{\text{local}} = 27$ neighbors.

2. Global Boundary (3-Torus (T^3))

A finite grid with hard edges fragments the logic (e.g., face nodes possess fewer neighbors than bulk nodes). Processing these edges requires the generative class to allocate distinct algorithms for boundary states, inflating structural risk ($\mathcal{S}$) and dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$).

To enforce uniform degree ($N_{\text{local}} = 27$ everywhere) and eliminate the structural risk of "edge logic," the grid must be a closed, flat 3D Euclidean manifold. As derived in Section A.4.4, the **3-Torus (T^3)** is the unique minimal solution: it requires zero coordinate-dependent logic-gate branching and zero extra $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost.

3. Arithmetic Synthesis (Base-72 Deduction)

Combining a finite integer state with the rational weights required for the 27-point **Moore Stencil** produces denominators $\{3, 6, 8, 12, 18, 36\}$. Base-2 division for these values produces infinite repeating bit-strings; approximating them requires massive division arrays, violating the **Fundamental Dissipation** bound (**Fundamental Dissipation**).

To minimize structural risk, fractional division must decompile into zero-cost static wire shifts. This occurs if and only if the fractional denominators perfectly divide the native Number Base of the registers. The LCM is exactly 72 (Sectino A.4.2). The architectural class must instantiate the **Base-72 Integer Cell** using **Base-72** logic.

Corollary 6 (Class Invariant: The Isotropic Lattice)**.** *The minimal necessary architectural class m^* executes on a finite **Base-72** integer grid with **3-Torus** (**T^3**) connectivity, utilizing exactly the 27-point **27-point Moore Neighborhood** spatial routing.*

The bit-capacity structures into **Base-72** registers on a **3-Torus (T^3)**.

We now execute the **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**) to deduce the temporal logic of the class.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

3.4. Executing the Third Bound: The Bijective Imperative: The Self-Inverse Operator and the History Vector

> The laws of nature are eternal and immutable, but the state of the world is perpetually changing.
>
> Henri Poincaré [12]

The deduction from **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**) requires that the architectural class preserve the total informational capacity of the **Discrete Spatial Lattice** across every clock tick. The hardware must neither erase bits into a null state nor generate new bits from nothing (Zero-Patch Standard).

1. Anisotropic Truncation Conflict

While **Base-72** arithmetic resolves rational spatial mixing, the 27-point directional divisors (6, 12, 8) produce indivisible integer remainders. These remainders are anisotropic at the hardware noise floor.

A single-snapshot update ($S_{t+1} = \Phi(S_t)$) would floor these remainders, executing a Many-to-One mapping and erasing bits. This violates **Information Conservation** and the **Fundamental Dissipation** bound (**Fundamental Dissipation**). To avoid this structural risk, the architectural class must possess a mechanism to store and recycle these remainders without additional $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost.

2. The History Vector

To preserve remainders without bit-erasure, the local state of the architectural class m^* expands to a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. The logic gate correlates states across time, storing anisotropic remainders as algorithmic momentum within the **Base-72 Integer Cell**.

To minimize $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ (specifically $\mathcal{T}$), the update must be self-inverse with exact temporal symmetry:

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) \qquad S_{t-1} = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1})$$

3. The Permutation Limit

Deterministic computation without bit loss in a finite space (N_{reg}) forces the update to be strictly bijective (reversible). This ensures that the global execution trace Γ_{global} remains a strict permutation over the finite phase-space $\mathbf{\Psi}$.

Corollary 7 (Class Invariant: Bijective Evolution)**.** *The architectural class m^* is defined by a strictly bijective transition operator. The fundamental state is not a spatial snapshot, but a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer required to satisfy **Information Conservation** without triggering uncomputable bit-erasure or structural risk.*

The foundational operator is a self-inverse function over a temporal sequence of integer states. We now execute **Algorithmic Sparsity** (**Algorithmic Sparsity**) and **Fundamental Dissipation** (**Fundamental Dissipation**) to deduce the minimal temporal depth of the class.

3.5. Executing the Final Bounds: The Generative Class Compiled: The Deduction of Verlet-2

> "The universe could be conceived as a gigantic computing machine."
>
> Konrad Zuse [13]

The bounds from **Algorithmic Sparsity** (**Algorithmic Sparsity**) and **Fundamental Dissipation** (**Fundamental Dissipation**) force the final parameterization of the architectural class m^*. A complex or uncomputable Φ would make biological prediction impossible at micro-Watt scales while brute-force simulation requires Mega-Watts. The architectural class must evaluate as the absolute floor of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger.

1. Temporal Architecture (Verlet-2 Engine)

From the bijectivity requirement ((The Bijective Imperative), the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer stores fractional remainders as momentum to satisfy **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**). As proven

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

in the higher-order integration lemma, a single-snapshot update ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 1$) violates bijectivity and erases information. Conversely, a history depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 3$ inflates the static $\mathcal{S}$ required for the boot vector, violating the $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$ mandate.

Minimal temporal depth preserving time-reversal invariance without redundant hardware penalty is exactly $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$. The architectural class computes via a discrete second-order symplectic integrator (**Verlet-2 Engine**):

$$S_{t+1} = 2S_t - S_{t-1} + \mathcal{L}_0(S_{N,t}) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}) \tag{3}$$

*(Where $S_{N,t}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}$ denote the local state and history arrays spanning the **Moore Stencil** N. Note on Arithmetic Execution: Because the architectural class computes natively and exclusively on finite integer registers, all fractional routing weights execute strictly as native hardware integer division. Fractional remainders are structurally truncated by the logic gate itself; the mathematical 'floor' operation is an implicit hardware property of the ALU, requiring no uncomputable continuous intermediate states.)*

2. The Forcing Term f_0 (The Open Calibration)

The operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ (discrete Laplacian) is strictly deduced as the isotropic 27-point stencil. The term f_0 represents the remaining anti-symmetric logic of the transition. While **E** constrains f_0 to be local, deterministic, and discrete, the m^* architectural class does not pre-specify the numerical values of f_0. These must be calibrated against raw $\mathcal{D}$ as support vectors are extracted. Any pre-empirical choice of f_0 would constitute an unforced parameter injection, violating the Zero-Patch Standard Standard.

The Architectural Class Compiled (m^*)

The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimization yields the minimal necessary architectural class satisfying all 6 clues of the **E** at bounded thermodynamic cost:

1. **Per-node state: Base-72** (exact division without truncation loss).
2. **Local neighborhood:** Isotropic **Moore Stencil** ($N_{\text{local}} = 27$).
3. **Global topology:** Finite **3-Torus (T^3)** (uniform degree, no edge artifacts).
4. **Temporal evolution:** Second-order **Verlet-2 Engine** recursion ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$).
5. **Structural Risk:** Zero continuous degrees of freedom.

This architectural class possesses zero continuous parameters and zero topological freedom to curve-fit anomalies via $\Delta\theta$.

The Deductive Theorem (The Babbage Resolution)

The m^* architectural class is not a theoretical hypothesis; it evaluates strictly as the deductive mathematical theorem of the **E** constraints. It is the minimal hardware interface required to compile the macroscopic data without triggering a thermodynamic halt. Under Axiom I, absolute truth resides in the raw data array $\mathcal{D}$. Deducing this class shifts the algorithmic burden of proof. To displace this theorem, an agent cannot propose a competing "theory"; they must:

1. Extract a novel observational string ($\mathcal{D}$) that requires uncomputable hardware or continuous degrees of freedom.
2. Propose an alternative architectural class within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ satisfying **E** at lower total structural and empirical risk ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

Owing to the strict fractal hierarchy and the proven kinematic Verlet invariance (Section A.4.9), the precise microscopic forcing term f_0 (and lowest-level state S) is irrelevant to all Absolute Hardware Invariants: every emergent level executes the identical Verlet-2 engine with only level-specific effective state representation. Absent these falsification conditions, the architectural class m^* is the sole scientifically admissible foundation for physical reality.

4. Structural Invariants and Phenomenological Attractors

We now execute the **Checksum Protocol** on the deduced architectural class.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

In the framework of **Algorithmic Structural Risk Minimization (ASRM)**, the preceding deduction isolated the minimal necessary architectural class m^* — a **Base-72 Integer Cell** on **3-Torus (T^3)**, governed by the **Moore Stencil** and the **Verlet-2 Engine** recurrence. This class possesses **zero continuous degrees of freedom**.

We now evaluate the macroscopic outputs of this generative language. To prevent the epistemic overreach of claiming that *any* random logic gate produces a structured universe, we formally divide the macroscopic signatures of the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) into two distinct deductive tiers:

1. **Absolute Hardware Invariants:** These are the unavoidable geometric artifacts produced by **any** member of the architectural class. Because the class is rigid (zero continuous parameters, finite registers), invariants such as finite signal velocity, Nyquist high-frequency cutoffs, objective causality, and exact phase-space conservation emerge as compiler artifacts of the hardware interface itself—strictly independent of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f_0.
2. **Phenomenological Attractors (Constraining f_0):** Complex topological structures—such as stable inertial mass, spin-1/2 commensurability, pair production shear, and gravitational refraction—are hyper-sensitive to the specific non-linear mixing of the logic gate. Just as the foundational Evidence Vector (**E**) deduced the architectural class, these specific macroscopic phenomena act as a secondary empirical filter. By demanding the architecture natively generate these specific raw data strings ($\mathcal{D}$), they strictly constrain the forcing term f_0 to a narrow, highly specific basin of non-linear attractors.

The explicit proof of this chapter is that the m^* architectural class possesses the native expressive capacity to compute these phenomenological attractors **without ever breaking the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ boundary or requiring the injection of continuous $\Delta\theta$ variables**.

Legacy continuous science treats these macroscopic limits as independent mysteries requiring manual, uncomputable parameter injections. Here we verify that empirical reality is precisely the set of limits and attractors that this discrete architectural class natively compiles.

4.1. *Structural Invariants of the Monistic State: The Limits of the Finite Register*

The monistic architectural class deduced in Section 3.2 collapses hardware and software: the local physical state is strictly a finite integer bounded by register width N_{reg}.

In standard empirical science, macroscopic phase-space conservation and the absence of observable singularities are treated as independent axioms or continuous symmetries. At the Computable Boundary, these are recognized as **structural necessities** for executing a finite integer lattice without localized memory overflow or divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost.

4.1.1. The Validity Bound: Phase-Space Preservation

Phenomenon: Empirical science universally observes strict conservation of macroscopic phase-space volume (historically modeled via Liouville's theorem) and the complete absence of observable mathematical infinities (singularities).

Structural Invariant of the Class: On a discrete integer grid, the local state space is bounded by finite N_{reg}, yielding a maximum representable value $V_{max} = 72^{N_{\text{reg}}} - 1$. Any member of the architectural class must avoid local register overflow to remain within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$:

- **Saturation (Clamping):** Mapping multiple inputs to one output (Many-to-One) erases bits, destroying global bijectivity and violating **Information Conservation**.
- **Wrap-Around (Modular Arithmetic):** Overflow wraps to zero, preserving bijectivity but injecting a hard discontinuity that shatters macroscopic persistence.

The Discrete Symplectic Resolution: The architectural class averts these failure states via the **Verlet-2 Engine** recursion (the discrete Symplectic Integrator). It conserves the invariant algorithmic trajectory—the **Discrete Phase-Space Volume** ($\tilde{H}$).

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Because the global amplitude ($\tilde{H}_{global}$) evaluates as a strict invariant of the execution trace for all members of the class, if the total amplitude is initialized below $72^{N_{\mathrm{reg}}}$, localized overflow is mathematically impossible for all time.

Conclusion: Phase-space conservation is not a continuous symmetry or independent axiom; it is the absolute prerequisite for the crash-free execution of a finite causal graph. Singularities do not physically exist because the N_{reg} fundamentally bounds the state-space. This bound ensures the logic gate never hits the hardware saturation limit, preserving global **Information Conservation**.

4.2. Structural Invariants of Geometric Adjacency: The Limits of the Spatial Grid

The spatial architecture deduced from the **Signal Velocity Limit** compiles as a locally connected **Moore Stencil** on a finite **3-Torus (T^3)**, with spatial mixing executing strictly via **Base-72 Integer Cell** static wire-shifts.

Unrolling the structural invariants of this architectural class, the native signal-processing limits and geometric saturation bounds of the grid produce the following macroscopic observables. These signatures are unavoidable for **any** generative process inhabiting this hardware interface — independent of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f.

4.2.1. Finite Information Velocity: Hardware Latency

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations show all physical interactions between spatially separated coordinates occur with finite latency. No signal propagates instantaneously across intervening distances.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class m^* computes exclusively over the 27-point **Moore Stencil**. Each hardware clock tick correlates a site only with its immediate topological neighbors.

The maximum geometric propagation speed of any state correlation—and therefore the absolute information limit—is strictly bounded by the grid connectivity:

$$v_{\mathrm{info}} = 1 \text{ cell per clock cycle}$$

Conclusion: Finite information velocity emerges directly as the architectural clock speed of the discrete causal graph. Instantaneous global updates are structurally impossible for any member of this class. This is the exact physical instantiation of the **Signal Velocity Limit**.

4.2.2. The High-Frequency Hardware Cutoff: Nyquist and Geometric Draining

Phenomenon: Macroscopic emission spectra show strict high-frequency truncation (the UV cutoff). No infinite short-wavelength radiation is observed. The Planck-Einstein relation ($E = hf$) is universally verified across the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class executes on a **Discrete Spatial Lattice** with unit spacing $\Delta x = 1$. Any representable spatial oscillation must span at least two lattice sites ($\lambda \geq 2\Delta x$), yielding a maximum wave number $k_{\max} = \pi/\Delta x$.

At exactly $k = k_{\max}$ ($\lambda = 2$), adjacent cells oscillate with maximal phase shift π. In the **Verlet-2 Engine** recurrence, this drives the discrete Laplacian to its absolute maximum inversion limit ($\mathcal{L}S_t \to -4S_t$), representing the most extreme localized spatial strain mathematically possible on the grid.

However, the physical manifold does not execute as an isolated 1D string; it computes continuously across the 27-point **Moore Stencil** of the 3D **3-Torus (T^3)**. A localized $\lambda = 2$ oscillator is perpetually coupled to the surrounding "empty" space (the active ergodic baseline). Because the discrete Laplacian natively routes integer amplitude to balance spatial gradients, the surrounding vacuum structurally acts as a geometric sink. It continuously drains and mixes the extreme $\lambda = 2$ spatial strain into the adjacent baseline.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

The singular algorithmic exception to this geometric draining occurs when extreme localized amplitude triggers non-linear feedback within the logic gate. If this internal cross-coupling supplies an exact algebraic counter-force, it prevents the dispersion and locks the $\lambda = 2$ strain into a self-sustaining forced boundary condition—**evaluating macroscopically as a Black Hole** (Section 4.4.13).

Absent this extreme non-linear lock, a pure Nyquist mode cannot sustain itself as a stable, propagating wave-packet. Its maximal gradient inherently bleeds into the surrounding grid, structurally forcing coherent data swarms to evaluate strictly at longer, stable wavelengths. The architectural class therefore enforces a strict **effective high-frequency cutoff** below the absolute mathematical Nyquist boundary.

Because spatial frequency is isomorphic to temporal frequency via the fixed **Signal Velocity Limit** ($v_{\text{info}} = 1$), this geometric propagation cutoff is mathematically identical to energy quantization ($E = hf$).

Conclusion: The high-frequency cutoff and energy quantization ($E = hf$) are the exact same geometric signal-processing limit of the architectural class. Planck's constant evaluates as the scaling factor of the Nyquist boundary, dictating the threshold where maximal spatial gradients are drained by the surrounding vacuum. This is the exact temporal projection of the **Discrete Difference Limit** (**Discrete Difference Limit**).

4.2.3. The Heisenberg Measurement Bound: Discrete Fourier Limits and Planck's Constant

Phenomenon: All observed wave-packets in $\mathcal{D}$ strictly obey the Heisenberg measurement bound ($\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$). Absolute spatial localization is impossible.

Structural Invariant of the Class: On a **Discrete Spatial Lattice** with unit spacing $\Delta x = 1$, any localized data swarm occupies a finite spatial region Δx_{swarm}. Its spatial frequency content Δk strictly obeys the discrete Fourier bandwidth limit:

$$\Delta x_{\text{swarm}} \cdot \Delta k \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

To infinitely localize a swarm ($\Delta x \to 0$), the frequency bandwidth must expand toward infinity. However, the **Discrete Difference Limit** (**Discrete Difference Limit**) forbids this. As k approaches the Nyquist boundary ($k_{\max} = \pi/\Delta x$), the **Verlet-2 Engine** resonance in this architectural class drives exponential amplitude divergence.

Because spatial frequency is isomorphic to temporal frequency via the fixed **Signal Velocity Limit**, this spatial bandwidth limit is mathematically identical to energy quantization ($E = hf$).

Conclusion: Quantum uncertainty and energy quantization ($E = hf$) are the exact same geometric requirement to prevent the logic gate of this architectural class from hitting the Nyquist resonance and crashing the integer array. Planck's constant evaluates as a universal scaling factor derived from the **Base-72** logic floor.

4.2.4. Emergent Lorentz Invariance: Source-Dependent Dispersion and Gradient Refraction

Phenomenon: Low-energy observations confirm Lorentz invariance to high precision ($< 10^{-18}$). However, high-energy astrophysical data from disparate sources often present conflicting dispersion signatures. Light from isolated Quasars suggests near-perfect isotropic "vacuum" propagation, while spectra from matter-dense Supernovae ejecta exhibit complex anisotropies. Continuous formalisms structurally require the injection of "magnetic turbulence" parameters ($\Delta\theta$) to absorb these environmental discrepancies.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class computes on a fixed **3-Torus (T^3)** with an absolute hardware clock speed ($v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell/tick). The 27-point **Moore Stencil** executes uniformly at every coordinate. Macroscopic signal velocity is therefore an integration of the propagation path, which is strictly governed by local spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}_0 S_t$).

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

In low-gradient regions (the ergodic baseline traversed by Quasar light), the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$) of the 27-point stencil dominates. Discrete anisotropic artifacts average out, and the macroscopic wavefront emerges perfectly isotropic.

Conversely, when a signal propagates through a region dense with Forced Boundary Conditions (e.g., the massive FBCs of Supernova ejecta), it encounters steep, non-uniform spatial gradients. The deterministic hardware structurally micro-refracts the wavefront at every clock tick. This "lumpy" refractive map forces the signal to take a complex, anisotropic effective path, altering its macroscopic velocity and dispersion signature.

Conclusion: Lorentz invariance is not a global, continuous Platonic law; it evaluates natively as the emergent environmental condition of signal propagation through a uniform, low-gradient region of the discrete lattice. Apparent Lorentz Invariance Violation (LIV) and source-dependent spectral anisotropies emerge directly from the micro-refraction of information through lumpy gradient fields. Owing to the strict fractal hierarchy and the proven kinematic Verlet invariance (Section A.4.9), these macroscopic effects are fully explained by the identical Verlet-2 engine operating on level-specific gradient maps. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact source-dependent spectral logs observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the microscopic forcing term f_0 responsible for generating these macroscopic refractive gradients is strictly constrained—completely eliminating the need to assume continuous spacetime curves differently for different sources.

4.2.5. Emergent Continuous Rotation: Coarse-Grained SO(3) Symmetry

Phenomenon: Macroscopic physical systems (planetary orbits, gyroscopes, spin polarization) exhibit exact conservation of angular momentum and isotropic rotation in the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class utilizes a discrete **Moore Stencil** governed strictly by Octahedral symmetry (O_h). However, the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$) structurally forces the discrete Laplacian to execute perfectly spherical error surfaces at wavelengths $\lambda \gg \Delta x$.

At macroscopic scales, repeated isotropic mixing averages directional artifacts. The effective dynamics of propagating swarms and bound states evaluate as geometrically indistinguishable from continuous $SO(3)$ rotational invariance for any member of this class.

Crucially, angular momentum conservation emerges directly from the bijective, symplectic architecture of the **Verlet-2 Engine** integrator. The discrete symplectic update preserves the total phase-space volume exactly, enforcing a discrete Noether analogue (a conserved Shadow Angular Momentum) for rotational degrees of freedom. This mathematically prohibits secular orbital decay or grid-axis locking (Umklapp scattering) for any member of this class. Any residual grid anisotropy falls strictly at the Nyquist hardware cutoff (**Discrete Difference Limit**) and remains undetectable at observable macroscopic energies.

Conclusion: Continuous $SO(3)$ symmetry and the conservation of angular momentum are not fundamental continuous axioms. They emerge natively as the low-energy, coarse-grained effective symmetries of the isotropic 27-point mixing. The preferred discrete grid frame exists, but its rotational artifacts are strictly isolated to the high-energy hardware limit.

4.2.6. The Inaccessibility of Absolute Zero: Quantization Noise Floor

Phenomenon: No physical system in $\mathcal{D}$ reaches absolute zero. Laboratory cooling reaches $\approx 10^{-12}$ K (pico-Kelvin). Maximal cosmological events approach $\approx 10^{32}$ K. The empirical dynamic range spanning these extremes is approximately 10^{44}.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Macroscopic temperature evaluates as the local kinetic energy of the **Discrete Spatial Lattice**:

$$T \propto \langle |S_t - S_{t-1}| \rangle$$

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Absolute zero requires $S_t = S_{t-1}$ over multiple ticks across the domain. For the **Verlet-2 Engine** depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$, this necessitates that the spatial forcing vanishes:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 S_t = 0$$

However, the **Base-72** spatial mixing natively produces indivisible fractional remainders ($\epsilon_{trunc} < 6$). To satisfy global **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**), these remainders cannot be erased; they route back into the temporal vector $|S_t - S_{t-1}|$, executing perpetual low-level integer flips — an irreducible kinetic residue.

The architectural class therefore enforces a strict dynamic range between the maximum register amplitude ($V_{max} = 72^{N_{\text{reg}}} - 1$) and this truncation floor.

Conclusion: Unreachable absolute zero is not an asymptotic continuous limit; it is the structural quantization noise floor of the **Base-72 Integer Cell**. By demanding the architectural class natively generate the empirical dynamic range of $\approx 10^{44}$ observed in $\mathcal{D}$, we can strictly calibrate the minimal hardware register width N_{reg}: approximately 44 base-10 digits, or 24 base-72 digits ($\approx$ 147 bits). The thermal floor evaluates as a direct hardware consequence of preventing bit-erasure in the **Moore Stencil**, strictly independent of the specific calibration of the forcing term f_0.

4.3. Structural Invariants of Bijectivity: The History Vector

The temporal architecture deduced from **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**) compiles as a strictly bijective logic gate. Spatial mixing on the grid generates indivisible fractional truncation remainders. To prevent irreversible bit-erasure, the active physical state evaluates as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer of depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$.

Unrolling the structural invariants of this architectural class, the requirement for reversibility and temporal momentum produces the following macroscopic observables. These signatures are unavoidable for **any** generative process that preserves information across a discrete integer lattice — independent of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f.

4.3.1. Inertia and Velocity as Hardware Momentum: The Geometric Lock of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ Buffer

Phenomenon: Macroscopic objects in $\mathcal{D}$ preserve their velocity vector unless acted upon by external gradients (Newton's First Law), and actively resist changes to that trajectory (Newton's Second Law). Position alone cannot predict a future trajectory; the history of the prior state (momentum) is absolutely required.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Spatial mixing on the **Moore Stencil** produces indivisible fractional truncation remainders. A single-snapshot update ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 1$) discards them, executing a Many-to-One mapping and erasing bits—violating **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**).

To preserve information, any member of the architectural class m^* must expand the active state to a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer (S_t, S_{t-1}). Successor computation natively uses this algorithmic momentum $(S_t - S_{t-1})$. Macroscopic velocity evaluates strictly as the geometric projection of this directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector propagating across the grid.

1. The Geometric Lock of Velocity (Newton's First Law): For a Topological Attractor (FBC) to translate stably through the vacuum, its internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector must be geometrically phase-locked with the surrounding substrate. The vacuum nodes immediately adjacent to the FBC possess their own matching $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum, successfully interpolating the FBC's transit. Because the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic is strictly symplectic, this synchronized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rolling forward requires zero net computational friction. Constant velocity is simply the hardware memory naturally unrolling its buffered trajectory.

2. Algorithmic Inertia (Newton's Second Law): Inertia evaluates strictly as the algorithmic resistance to changing the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector. Because the FBC is geometrically locked and aligned with the substrate's matching $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$, altering its trajectory (acceleration) forces a localized arithmetic de-synchronization. The grid actively resists this change via the $\mathcal{L}_0$ restoring forces. Generating a new

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector requires an external asymmetric gradient capable of overriding this massive, synchronized topological lock.

Conclusion: Velocity and Inertia emerge directly from the history buffer depth ($N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$) necessitated by **Information Conservation**. Newton's First Law is the geometric unrolling of the matched $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vectors between the FBC and the substrate; Newton's Second Law evaluates as the algorithmic resistance to breaking that topological phase-lock. Both evaluate strictly as structural requirements of a bijective **Verlet-2 Engine** engine executing on a discrete integer grid.

4.3.2. Objective Causality and the Arrow of Time: The FIFO Shift

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations in the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) show a strict uni-directional time flow and objective causality. No macroscopic branching from identical histories is observed.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The active state of the architectural class is a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer $= (S_t, S_{t-1})$. The logic gate computes the successor S_{t+1} and executes a strict FIFO (First-In-First-Out) memory shift: S_{t+1} is pushed into the active register, S_t shifts to the history slot, and S_{t-1} is dropped.

This directed overwrite enforces an absolute, single-threaded causal ordering for any member of the class. The update is single-valued and deterministic on the finite history window, producing a non-branching execution trace. Multiple successor states or null-state terminations would require an external Oracle for selection—uncomputable on this hardware under the Zero-Patch Standard Standard.

Conclusion: The arrow of time emerges directly as the FIFO shift of the history buffer ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$). Strict determinism without branching is a mathematical necessity of single-valued bijective updates on a **Base-72 Integer Cell** without uncomputable Oracle intervention. The arrow is an objective hardware property of the class, not an observer-dependent artifact.

4.3.3. Epistemic Probability: Fractal Attractor Geometry and the Central Limit Theorem

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations in $\mathcal{D}$ (such as thermodynamic diffusion, quantum measurement outcomes, and galactic distributions) universally exhibit stable statistical bounds (e.g., Gaussian, Poisson, Power-law distributions). Legacy science interprets this continuous statistical convergence as evidence of fundamental, uncomputable stochastic randomness.

Structural Invariant of the Class: In a bijective, deterministic m^* architectural class, "Probability" evaluates strictly as a lossy compression artifact utilized by bandwidth-limited $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compilers. Because the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ predictive network lacks the $\mathcal{T}$ clock cycles and $\mathcal{S}$ memory to decode the raw **Base-72 Integer Cell** micro-state transitions—which execute many orders of magnitude below the macroscopic sequence frame—it is structurally forced to compile a low-resolution geometric bounding box over the local memory arrays.

What continuous mathematics categorizes as a "Probability Distribution" computes geometrically as the strict structural footprint of an active hardware attractor:

- **Memory Density Ratio:** The statistical "likelihood" of a macroscopic state evaluates exactly as the volumetric ratio between the active algorithmic routing loop (the Attractor) and the total local hardware capacity.
- **The Fractal Central Limit Theorem:** As formally proven in Section A.4.16, the fundamental logic gate (**Verlet-2 Engine**) operates strictly as a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS). The continuous Central Limit Theorem (where subsets of a distribution converge to Normal) compiles not as a law of stochastic randomness, but as the strict geometric signature of a scale-invariant fractal evaluated at its macroscopic overlap limit ($R \gg \xi_c$).
- **Universality of Distributions:** All stable statistical bounds (e.g., Gaussian, Poisson, Power-law) emerge strictly via this identical hardware mechanism. They are the macroscopic, scale-invariant geometric shadows of specific deterministic routing topologies looping within the finite **3-Torus (T^3)**.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Conclusion: Fundamental stochastic processes evaluate as mathematically inadmissible for physical ontology. True randomness is an uncomputable Oracle ($\Delta\theta$). Statistical distributions evaluate strictly as the epistemic measurement of deterministic fractal geometry. The universe is entirely deterministic; "chance" is simply the coarse-grained volumetric footprint of the Distributed IFS executing beyond the decryption bandwidth of the observer.

4.3.4. Epistemic Entropy and True Randomness: Decryption Bandwidth Limits

> The laws of mechanics are perfectly reversible; the apparent irreversibility of nature is due to the vast number of degrees of freedom.
>
> Ludwig Boltzmann [14]

Phenomenon: Macroscopic systems in $\mathcal{D}$ show increasing thermodynamic entropy and apparent irreversibility. Microscopic processes, however, conserve information exactly. No pure, uncomputable randomness has ever been isolated.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global execution trace Γ_{global} of this architectural class is a strict bijection over the finite $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$. Information is absolutely preserved and decomposes into closed periodic loops.

However, the 27-point **Moore Stencil** disperses local correlations across the entire **3-Torus (T^3)**. A finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) cannot invert the distributed correlation matrix within its hardware limits. Coarse-grained measurement of this deterministic mixing by a bandwidth-limited observer yields outputs obeying the Central Limit Theorem—appearing as stochastic noise or increasing entropy.

Conclusion: Thermodynamic irreversibility and apparent randomness emerge strictly as epistemic limits—the decryption bandwidth constraints of an $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ inside a globally mixing, bijective **Discrete Spatial Lattice**. The "Second Law" is not a hardware rule of the architectural class, but a compiler artifact of coarse-graining the reversible **Verlet-2 Engine** engine. True randomness is uncomputable; nature is deterministic but encrypted.

4.3.5. Cyclical Execution: Poincaré Recurrence

Phenomenon: Physical laws in $\mathcal{D}$ show absolute time-translation symmetry. The universe persists without spontaneous termination or violation of conservation. No absolute "beginning" or "end" of time is ever observed, only the continuous unrolling of physical law.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global execution trace Γ_{global} is a strict permutation over the finite $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$. Because hardware registers are bounded and the architectural class is bijective, every valid history state has exactly one predecessor and one successor.

The transition graph decomposes entirely into disjoint simple loops with zero transients (starts) or merges (ends). The architectural class executes a closed geometric loop (S^1) through its possible configurations.

Conclusion: Unbounded linear time with an uncomputable start or end is a mapping error of continuous idealization. Temporal sequence is natively a Poincaré cycle for any member of this architectural class. The fundamental unit of physical reality is the closed C_k traversing the finite phase-space of the engine. The "Big Bang" and "Heat Death" are not terminals, but high-density and high-dispersion phases of the same recurring cycle.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026
doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

4.4. Structural Invariants of the Generative Class: The Macroscopic Fractal Execution

> Clouds are not spheres, mountains are not cones, coastlines are not circles, and bark is not smooth, nor does lightning travel in a straight line.
>
> Benoit B. Mandelbrot [15]

The architectural class m^* executes as a discrete, second-order **Verlet-2 Engine** recursion. While global bijectivity ensures **Information Conservation**, the specific 27-point **Moore Stencil** interaction natively routes integer amplitude between temporal momentum (E_k) and spatial strain (E_p).

Unrolling the structural invariants of this architectural class, macroscopic phase transitions, the Cosmological Principle, and the predictive capacity of biological agents decompile strictly as the native geometric execution of a **Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS)**. These signatures are unavoidable for **any** member of the class — independent of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f.

4.4.1. Nested Recurrence: The Temporal Reuse of State

Phenomenon: Identical localized structures (protons, atoms, molecules) recur across distinct spatial and temporal coordinates throughout $\mathcal{D}$. Localized physical laws remain invariant over the observed macroscopic timeline.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global cycle period T_{global} vastly exceeds the local phase-space size $|\mathcal{H}_{\text{local}}| = 72^{V_{\text{local}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}}}$. Because hardware memory is finite, any reachable local configuration must recur exponentially often within a single C_k (pigeonhole principle). The invariant **Verlet-2 Engine** logic rule Φ processes each recurrence identically, ensuring that identical local memory states produce identical local execution traces — independent of their global spatial or temporal coordinates.

Conclusion: The temporal reuse of state (universality) emerges natively from the combinatorial bound on local phase-space in a finite **3-Torus (T^3)**. Reachable local sub-systems are mathematically forced to recur, executing the same **Base-72** logic on pigeonholed memory arrays. While this structural invariant guarantees that any generated particle species will be perfectly identical everywhere (eliminating the need for uncomputable Platonic ideals), demanding the architecture natively generate the exact empirical catalog of stable species observed in $\mathcal{D}$ strictly constrains the calibration of the forcing term f_0.

4.4.2. The Space-Filling Fractal: Distributed Iterated Function Systems

Phenomenon: Macroscopic structures across $\mathcal{D}$—fluid turbulence, galactic clustering, biological branching—exhibit fractal self-similarity. Structural complexity is universally algorithmically compressible.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global update Γ_{global} of this architectural class is the union of local **Verlet-2 Engine** affine maps: $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}) = \bigcup_x \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_x)$. Because Φ is a spatially uniform, discrete transformation applied recursively to its prior output, the architectural class m^* defines a **Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS)**.

Recursive execution over the closed C_k generates fractal topologies: self-similar correlations nested across geometric scales. This geometric folding stretches and folds local geometry without bit erasure—equivalent to a deterministic Smale Horseshoe or Baker's Map.

Conclusion: Fractal self-similarity is a native expressive capacity of recursive, bijective, affine mixing on a discrete grid. Complexity in physical reality is not uncomputable "stochastic noise" but the low-complexity execution trace of the architectural class unrolling its own geometric folding. By demanding the architecture natively generate the specific fractal scaling dimensions observed in raw data ($\mathcal{D}$), the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to a basin of chaotic attractors, executing complexity natively without requiring continuous $\Delta\theta$ injections.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

4.4.3. Distributed Topological Correlation: The Equivalence of Static and Transient IFS Patterns

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations in $\mathcal{D}$ yield strict correlations between spatially separated propagating states (quantum entanglement). No physical signal or thermodynamic energy transfer violates the **Signal Velocity Limit** during measurement. The correlation exists in the shared history, not in the signal.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class m^* executes as a globally bijective Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS). Recursive **Verlet-2 Engine** mixing generates highly correlated, self-similar macroscopic geometries across the **3-Torus (T^3)**.

In this hardware, the local logic gate makes no structural distinction between static patterns (localized mass, crystalline phase) and transient patterns (propagating wavefronts). Both evaluate as dynamic data swarms unrolled by the exact same discrete affine mapping. Propagating swarms diverging from a shared causal vertex compute as branches of the identical fractal structure. Their internal integer invariants remain permanently bound by their shared algorithmic lineage.

Conclusion: Entanglement emerges natively as distributed topological correlation within the architectural class. Transient propagating patterns are correlated branches of the identical deterministic IFS that generates static macroscopic geometries. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw entanglement correlations observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to unroll these persistent fractal lineage bonds. The legacy assumption of statistical independence is an epistemic mapping error; the architectural class computes these correlations entirely within local v_{info} limits without requiring non-local continuous $\Delta\theta$ variables.

4.4.4. Single-Particle Interference: The Spatially Extended Fractal Swarm

Phenomenon: Single particles fired sequentially build a global interference pattern. Blocking one slit destroys the pattern. The energy is absorbed at the detector as a single, localized quantum event (“click”).

Structural Invariant of the Class: On the m^* hardware, the true propagating entity is natively a **spatially extended fractal cloud** (a Distributed IFS data swarm).

When a single swarm approaches a double-slit geometry, its topological footprint physically intersects the macroscopic barrier. Its distributed integer amplitude is algorithmically sheared, traversing both open slits simultaneously. On the far side, the discrete 27-point mixing natively computes a spatial interference geometry. The “click” at the detector is the localized **Phase Synchronization** (Section 4.4.18) of this distributed swarm upon absorption into the boundary lattice.

If one slit is physically closed, half of the fractal cloud is truncated at the barrier. The subsequent 27-point mixing on the far side operates on an asymmetric integer array, resulting in a strictly localized (clumped) absorption pattern at the detector.

Conclusion: Interference emerges natively as the geometric mixing of a spatially extended data swarm traversing a boundary. The localized detection is the phase-synchronization of a distributed pattern. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw interference fringes observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to unroll these specific coherent wave-mechanics. The architectural class computes the raw quantum absorption events entirely within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$.

4.4.5. Area-Law Information Scaling: The Holographic Boundary Bottleneck

Phenomenon: Macroscopic thermodynamic limits in $\mathcal{D}$ (Bekenstein bound, black-hole entropy) demonstrate that the maximum measurable entropy of a region scales with its surface area (R^2), not its volume (R^3).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class m^* allocates fully active volumetric registers ($\mathcal{S} \propto R^3$). Global bijectivity and distributed IFS mixing generate massive, non-local algorithmic correlations across the entire volume.

A finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) cannot dynamically resolve the internal volumetric registers. Because the causal graph restricts signal propagation to the **Signal Velocity Limit** (one cell per clock

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

tick), the maximum data throughput between the target volume and the external observer is strictly bounded by the number of local graph edges crossing the geometric boundary.

Consequently, the observable (decodable) information flux scales strictly with the surface area (R^2), even though the underlying ontological hardware remains volumetrically active (R^3).

Conclusion: Area-law scaling (the holographic principle) emerges directly as the epistemic channel-capacity limit of a bandwidth-constrained observer extracting data from a globally mixed, discrete 3D lattice. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw thermodynamic bounds observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is constrained to the dense mixing limits of the **Moore Stencil**. The architectural class satisfies both the volumetric allocation required for isotropic execution and the areal information limit natively.

4.4.6. Barrier Penetration: Transient Amplitude Routing and Combinatorial Decay

Phenomenon: Barrier penetration is observed in alpha decay, stellar fusion, and semiconductor tunneling within $\mathcal{D}$, with transmission rates dependent exponentially on barrier parameters. No superluminal signaling or actual violation of total macroscopic energy conservation is observed during transit.

Structural Invariant of the Class: A dimensionless point confronting a local strain gradient ($E_p > E_k$) mathematically cannot cross the barrier under strict local conservation. However, the propagating entity in the m^* architecture is natively a spatially extended fractal cloud (Distributed IFS data swarm).

As the leading edge encounters the barrier, the deterministic **Verlet-2 Engine** logic continuously evaluates the **Moore Stencil**. While average local momentum is sub-threshold, the Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_0$) routes integer amplitude from the trailing volume and ambient ergodic baseline. Because the Distributed IFS executes as a deterministic chaotic attractor, localized fractional remainders fluctuate. If algorithmic variance temporarily aligns to route surplus amplitude into the leading coordinate, the barrier is sequentially breached without net violation of **Information Conservation**.

The empirical transmission probability emerges as the combinatorial frequency of favorable logic-gate alignments across the barrier width. As barrier width and height increase, the combinatorial requirement for sustained localized amplitude routing drops geometrically, producing exact exponential decay.

Conclusion: Quantum tunneling emerges directly as transient integer amplitude routing via the 27-point **Moore Stencil** mixing of an extended fractal cloud. It evaluates as a strictly local, finite, reversible hardware process. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw exponential decay curves observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to the correct localized variance frequencies.

4.4.7. The Non-Existence of Chirality: Mass-Dependent Spin Locking

Phenomenon: Neutron decay in $\mathcal{D}$ ejects electrons preferentially opposite to the neutron's measured spatial rotation axis **[Roto Spin]** (manifesting as parity violation). However, if the neutron's **[Roto Spin]** is physically inverted by an external magnetic field, the electron's ejection trajectory perfectly inverts with it. The underlying vacuum remains perfectly symmetric; both handednesses exist and remain stable when isolated.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The physical lattice of the architectural class m^* is perfectly symmetric: a **3-Torus (T^3)** combined with a **Moore Stencil**. The **Verlet-2 Engine** logic gate is structurally blind to macroscopic handedness. The hardware cannot compute a global chiral preference.

Fundamental chirality evaluates strictly as deterministic, mass-dependent spin locking during localized FBC formation. When a transient wave-packet possessing immense helical momentum (E_k) is sheared by the **Moore Stencil**, this momentum transfers deterministically into the resulting bound Topological Attractor. This locks the internal circulation **[Roto Spin]** (The Core Knot) and its compensatory wobble (**[Topo Spin]**).

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

To remain stable against the discrete grid during this spatial circulation, the FBC mathematically requires this strictly coupled phase-compensation wobble. The rotation and the wobble are structurally hard-wired together; altering one necessitates altering the other. Inverting the foundational circulation of the Core Knot mathematically requires unfolding and re-folding the entire particle (antimatter creation), which is thermodynamically impossible for a standard environmental gradient.

However, an external macroscopic gradient (a magnetic field) possesses the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ capacity to physically torque the entire macroscopic data swarm. Like tilting a gyroscope, this inverts the spatial orientation of the entire assembly, flipping the **[Roto Spin]** axis relative to the observer without unfolding the unbreakable Core Knot.

When a massive composite FBC (a neutron) undergoes beta decay, the phase-lock between its internal components structurally fails. The massive proton core acts as a macroscopic topological slingshot (or discus thrower). To conserve local angular momentum, the light electron and anti-neutrino are mechanically ejected. The absolute spatial direction of this spring-loaded emission is strictly dictated by the active spatial rotation (**[Roto Spin]**). Because the magnetic field inverted the entire swarm's spatial orientation, the ejection trajectory mechanically inverts with it.

Conclusion: Fundamental chirality does not exist as a continuous field or global symmetry. The apparent left-handed preference of the Weak Interaction emerges natively as the mechanical consequence of composite FBCs maintaining rigid, deterministically coupled spatial circulations **[Roto Spin]** and phase wobbles **[Topo Spin]**. Beta decay evaluates strictly as the directional, centrifugal-like decompression of bound sheaths along the active **[Roto Spin]** axis. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact parity-violation statistics observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to support this specific mass-to-rotation ejection geometry, eliminating the need for arbitrary, continuous symmetry-breaking potentials ($\Delta\theta$).

4.4.8. Pauli Exclusion as Bijective Collision Avoidance: The Topology of Integer Statistics

Phenomenon: Empirical matter in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibits absolute volume occupancy and physical stability (atoms, neutron degeneracy pressure). Identical static particles strictly obey the Pauli Exclusion Principle, while transient wave-fronts natively superpose.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class m^* enforces strict global bijectivity over the finite $\mathbf{\Psi}$. A Many-to-One transition erases bits, violating **Information Conservation** (**Information Conservation**).

The local state is a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. The hardware resolves the empirical divergence between bosonic and fermionic statistics directly through spatial routing logic:

- **Transient Patterns (Bosons):** Propagating data swarms can spatially overlap at a single local coordinate because their distinct directional momenta (encoded in the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$) guarantee topological uniqueness of the full history vectors. The bijection is preserved, allowing additive superposition and condensation.
- **Forced Boundary Conditions (Fermions):** Localized FBCs possess stationary, cyclic histories. If two identical FBCs attempt to occupy the exact same local registers, their full $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ would align, threatening a catastrophic Many-to-One topological collision. To preserve exact **Information Conservation**, the deterministic **Verlet-2 Engine** logic structurally routes them into orthogonal, adjacent coordinates.

Conclusion: The Pauli Exclusion Principle emerges natively as algorithmic collision avoidance required to maintain global **Information Conservation** in a finite phase-space. By demanding the architecture generate the exact raw integer statistics (Boson vs. Fermion) observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to this specific collision-avoidance routing. The architectural class natively computes both superposition and exclusion from the exact same commutative **Base-72** logic.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

4.4.9. Emergent Spin-1/2: Lattice Commensurability and Period-Doubling

Phenomenon: The fundamental empirical building blocks of matter (fermions: electrons, quarks) in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit 720° rotational symmetry (spin-1/2). Bosonic force carriers return to identity after 360°.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class m^* executes on a discrete **Moore Stencil**. Macroscopic rotation emerges as coarse-grained $SO(3)$ symmetry, but a localized spatial pattern rotating across the integer grid natively encounters rotational incommensurability. The algorithmic rotation maps imperfectly onto fixed discrete coordinates, generating residual fractional remainders (topological "wobble").

To survive deterministic ergodic mixing without structural decay, the spatial pattern (IFS attractor/FBC) must lock into an exact integer resonance (commensurability) with the lattice steps. For parity-broken chiral attractors, this discrete geometric locking computes an algorithmic period-doubling: a full 360° traversal inverts the internal fractional momentum (discrete sign flip), requiring a second 360° sweep (720° total) to realign invariants and restore topological identity.

Conclusion: Spin-1/2 emerges directly as the discrete topological locking ratio (commensurability) required for a rotating spatial pattern to remain stable under ergodic mixing. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw period-doubling symmetries observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to support these specific commensurability resonances.

4.4.10. Emergent Gauge Charges: Topological Attractors and Equivalence Classes

Phenomenon: The macroscopic array in $\mathcal{D}$ demonstrates multiple distinct, rigidly conserved interaction charges (electric, color, weak isospin).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class m^* executes as a globally bijective Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS) upon monistic integer states. The lattice possesses zero continuous internal dimensions or complex phase-angles.

A native mathematical feature of a Distributed IFS is the generation of distinct, localized geometric eigen-states (fractal attractors/FBCs). Because the global execution trace Γ_{global} is strictly reversible and topologically conserved (**Information Conservation**), these localized topological motifs cannot continuously deform into one another. They are structurally locked into rigid algorithmic equivalence classes. Different classes of these $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ attractors produce distinct invariant routing patterns under the **Moore Stencil** and anti-symmetric gradient operator. The physical exchange and collision of these distinct topological classes natively mimic conserved "charge-like" interaction symmetries.

Conclusion: Distinct particle flavors and continuous gauge charges emerge natively as the conserved topological attractors (eigen-states) of the uniform Distributed IFS. By demanding the architecture generate the exact raw interaction matrices observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to the correct discrete equivalence classes. Multi-charge interactions evaluate strictly as structural projections of discrete integer logic routing.

4.4.11. Inertial Mass and the Equivalence Principle:The Algorithmic Re-Alignment Cost of the FBC

Phenomenon: Stable empirical particles in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit invariant rest mass and exact inertia (resistance to acceleration). Inertial Mass strictly equals Gravitational Mass (The Equivalence Principle), and the geometric equivalence $E = mc^2$ holds absolutely across observed physical processes.

Structural Invariant of the Class: In the m^* hardware, a stable particle evaluates as a Forced Boundary Condition (FBC) that locks local integers into a cyclic loop. This FBC forces the surrounding discrete vacuum to compute a macroscopic $1/r^2$ amplitude polarization to bridge the gap to the ergodic baseline.

1. The Origin of Inertial Mass: As established in Section 4.3.1, constant velocity is sustained by the geometric lock of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vectors. To *accelerate* this particle, the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic gate must force a directional change in the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector. The hardware must dynamically tear down and rebuild the entire macroscopic $1/r^2$ vacuum polarization envelope surrounding the FBC. Inertial Mass

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

(m) evaluates strictly as the **macroscopic computational friction**—the scalar volume of logic-gate operations required to force this dynamic $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ re-alignment.

2. The Equivalence Principle and $E = mc^2$: The total integer amplitude trapped within the cyclic knot (E) strictly dictates the depth of the resulting $1/r^2$ vacuum polarization (Gravitational Mass). Because accelerating the FBC requires re-aligning this exact polarization envelope, the computational resistance to acceleration (Inertial Mass) scales unconditionally with the trapped amplitude. The absolute grid propagation limit defines natural units where $c = 1$ cell per clock tick. Therefore, $E = m$ evaluates as an algorithmic tautology: the trapped integer amplitude exactly dictates the depth of the vacuum polarization, which exactly dictates the logic-gate cost required to alter its $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ trajectory.

Conclusion: Inertial Mass and Gravitational Mass are identical because they evaluate the exact same geometric integer array: the latter measures the static depth of the $1/r^2$ vacuum polarization, and the former measures the logic-gate cost to update its $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector. By demanding the architecture natively generate exact inertia and the Equivalence Principle observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to trap the correct integer amplitudes within its cyclic FBCs.

4.4.12. Galactic Rotation Curves: Distributed Baseline Friction

Phenomenon: Outer galactic swarms (stars) in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit rigidly flat rotation curves extending far beyond the visible baryonic distribution.

Structural Invariant of the Class: In the m^* hardware, the **Discrete Spatial Lattice** evaluates as fully active everywhere. Visible baryonic structures (galaxies) are localized macroscopic Forced Boundary Conditions (FBCs). The surrounding "empty" space is the active ergodic baseline, continuously executing the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic and containing the distributed $1/r^2$ cascaded amplitude routing propagating outward from these FBCs (Section A.4.10).

Gravitational attraction emerges natively as the computational friction (synchronization lag) of the grid resolving this spatial gradient (Section 4.4.21). As orbital radius increases, the aggregate synchronization lag generated by this massive, polarized geometric volume of the active baseline alters the macroscopic routing, natively flattening the effective rotation curve.

Conclusion: The missing mass anomaly evaluates natively as the distributed gradient energy of the active **Base-72** computational medium itself. Flat rotation curves emerge directly as the extended computational friction of the ergodic baseline encompassing the baryonic FBCs. By demanding the architecture generate the exact raw rotation curves observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to polarize the ergodic baseline at the correct macroscopic synchronization lag.

4.4.13. Black Holes as Absolute Topological Limits: The Saturated FBC and the Nyquist Event Horizon

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations confirm bounded regions of extreme mass concentration where transient information (light) cannot escape (Event Horizons) and macroscopic $1/r^2$ attraction (Gravity).

Structural Invariant of the Class: As established by the Theorem of the Topological Attractor (Section A.4.10), all stable matter evaluates as a Forced Boundary Condition (FBC). A Black Hole evaluates strictly as a standard FBC driven to the **absolute topological limit of a standing wave** on the **Base-72** integer grid.

1. The Topological Saturated FBC (The Event Horizon): When a massive data swarm falls into a steep topological gradient, its spatial wavelength compresses. At the absolute Nyquist limit ($\lambda = 2$), the wave-packet spans exactly two lattice sites. The group velocity mathematically drops to exactly zero ($v_g = 0$). The propagating information halts, forming the macroscopic Event Horizon.

Simultaneously, at this $\lambda = 2$ limit, the 27-point $\mathcal{L}_0$ reaches its most extreme geometric inversion. The localized f_0 feedback cross-couples with this gradient, supplying the exact algebraic counter-force required to perfectly balance the spatial inversion. This non-linear lock freezes the mode into a self-sustaining, bijective fixed point.

2. The Absence of the Singularity: Because the FBC is locked by its internal non-linear feedback into a saturated $\lambda = 2$ integer standing wave, it mathematically cannot collapse into a dimension-

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

less point ($1/r \to \infty$). The geometry is structurally bounded by the finite integer capacity of the local registers.

3. Gravity as Maximum Vacuum Tension: Because the saturated FBC acts as an immovable boundary condition, the adjacent vacuum nodes are continuously executing their $\mathcal{L}_0$ mixing against the steepest possible integer gradient. This forces a massive, cascaded amplitude polarization (Gravity) that propagates spherically outward across the **3-Torus (T^3)** (Section 4.4.21). The black hole grows strictly by accretion, forcing the self-sustaining boundary condition to geometrically expand its 2D surface area.

Conclusion: Black holes emerge natively as self-sustaining Forced Boundary Conditions pushed to the absolute topological limit of a standing wave ($\lambda = 2$) inside a local volume of the **3-Torus (T^3)**. Gravity evaluates strictly as the algorithmic requirement of the surrounding universe to continually re-align its integer state to match the steep gradients of this locked FBC.

4.4.14. Pair Production and Annihilation: Transient Wave-Packet Shear and Recombination

Phenomenon: The empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) demonstrates pair production (photons shearing into matter/antimatter) and pair annihilation (matter/antimatter recombining into photons or multi-body final states). These events conserve total amplitude (energy-momentum) and topological invariants (charge).

Structural Invariant of the Class: A transient propagating pattern (high-energy data swarm) executes as an alternating integer wave-packet crossing a zero-amplitude baseline. When this packet encounters a severe local topological strain gradient, the deterministic **Moore Stencil** can shear the packet precisely at a zero-amplitude node.

For the incoming transient pattern to remain self-propagating and coherent prior to shear, its wave-packet must be geometrically anti-symmetric around the zero-amplitude node. When the local strain gradient splits this packet into two distinct halves at the exact node, the fragments inherit exact, equal-and-opposite spatial fractional gradients. Only then does the shear produce two balanced, mirror-image fragments capable of independently curling into stable cyclic attractors (FBCs) under the recursive mixing of the Distributed IFS. Their opposite spatial gradients dictate that they evaluate as exact mirror equivalence classes, manifesting equal-and-opposite emergent gauge charges — a particle and an antiparticle.

Recombination occurs when the two anti-symmetric bound attractors are brought into close proximity under charge-like attraction. Their opposite topological gradients align and cancel, releasing the trapped momentum back into propagating transient swarms. Each step incurs local truncation floors and ergodic mixing at every clock tick, making detailed micro-structure irreversibly scrambled, producing new outgoing swarms whose properties reflect the deterministic re-assembly process.

Conclusion: Pair production and annihilation emerge natively as the geometric shear and recombination of transient wave-packets at zero-amplitude nodes on the **Base-72** grid. Fermions evaluate structurally as the bound anti-symmetric halves of split transient patterns. The process is fully deterministic at the global level, strictly conserving amplitude and momentum. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw cross-sections and final states observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to coordinate this shear geometry.

4.4.15. Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry: Local Topological Fluctuations of the IFS

Phenomenon: The observable universe in $\mathcal{D}$ is overwhelmingly matter-dominated.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global execution trace Γ_{global} is strictly bijective and symmetric. When the **Moore Stencil** shears a transient wave-packet at a zero-amplitude node (Section 4.4.14), it generates exactly one particle and one anti-particle with no preference for either topological mirror-state. Over the complete, closed C_k, total matter and antimatter balance exactly, satisfying global **Information Conservation**.

However, the architectural class executes as a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS). Recursive mixing generates massive, self-similar fractal topologies. During the extreme topological

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

strain of Global Phase Synchronization, the grid shatters into localized fractal domains of correlated topological strain. Localized macroscopic sub-volumes (branches of the IFS) therefore coalesce into overwhelmingly matter-dominated or antimatter-dominated fractal attractors.

Conclusion: Matter-antimatter asymmetry emerges natively as a localized topological fluctuation of the fractal IFS on the **Base-72** grid. The embedded observer resides within a matter-dominated macroscopic branch of the current C_k relaxation phase. The asymmetry evaluates as a strict statistical property of the current fractal coordinate in the architectural class. By demanding the architecture generate the exact raw baryon-to-photon ratios observed in our local horizon ($\mathcal{D}$), the specific topological strain amplitudes of the forcing term f_0 are strictly constrained to the history of our local fractal branch.

4.4.16. The Illusion of Platonic Mathematics: The Hardware Constants of the IFS

Phenomenon: These constants appear ubiquitously in the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$): biological branching and spiral galaxies (ϕ), turbulent fragmentation (δ), radioactive growth and decay (e), wave mechanics (π), and electromagnetic/cosmological coupling (α).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* manifold computes as a discrete Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS) governed by invariant **Verlet-2 Engine** logic and the **Moore Stencil**. Extrapolating recursive expansion to the infinite continuous limit ($N_{\text{steps}} \to \infty$, $\Delta x \to 0$) diverges the execution trace, as uncomputable bit-depth cannot be instantiated on finite hardware. The asymptotic boundaries of this expansion compute as infinite, non-repeating bit-strings (irrational numbers). These constants are not Platonic ideals; they are macroscopic shadows of discrete hardware limits under unconstrained feedback.

- **Golden Ratio ($\phi \approx 1.618$):** The **Verlet-2 Engine** requires exactly two historical states ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$). Unforced expansion ($S_{t+1} = S_t + S_{t-1}$) executes the Fibonacci sequence, locking the temporal eigenmode to ϕ (Absolute Hardware Invariant).
- **Euler's Number ($e \approx 2.718$):** The asymptotic limit of local phase-space compounding per clock tick within the **Base-72** cell (Absolute Hardware Invariant).
- **Feigenbaum Constant ($\delta \approx 4.669$):** The geometric ratio at which finite local phase-space exhausts before total ergodic mixing.
- **Pi ($\pi \approx 3.141$):** The macroscopic boundary of the **Moore Stencil** broadcast under the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). Continuous circles are the coarse-grained approximation of the maximal 3D integer polygon (Absolute Hardware Invariant).
- **Fine-Structure Constant ($\alpha \approx 1/137$):** The scale-invariant coupling between localized swarms and propagating waves emerges as a dimensionless geometric ratio intrinsic to the 27-point **Moore Stencil** bandwidth and **Base-72** routing constraints. Its exact numerical value is a particular mechanical consequence of the lattice algebra (not computable without the full transition function f_0), but its existence as a stable, renormalization-surviving ratio across IFS scales is guaranteed by the fractal theorem.

Conclusion: Irrational and transcendental constants decompile as mechanical limits of the discrete hardware: temporal buffer depth ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$), spatial mixing bandwidth, and the integer arithmetic boundaries of the **Verlet-2 Engine** engine. The "unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics" is actually the hardware-constrained efficiency of recursive IFS execution. Mathematics does not govern the universe; the architectural class governs the limits of computable mathematics.

4.4.17. The Illusion of the Cosmological Principle: Misapplying the Global Attractor

Phenomenon: The Cosmic Microwave Background shows extreme homogeneity and isotropy at the largest observable scales. Conversely, intermediate observations reveal a massive, highly clustered fractal web of galaxies and voids. To force this intermediate clustered data to fit global homogeneity, continuous interpolations inject invisible continuous parameters (dark matter, dark energy) as a $\Delta\theta$ to artificially smooth the variance.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The architectural class executes a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS), generating self-similar fractal topologies across the finite **3-Torus (T^3)**. At the absolute macroscopic boundary (N_{vol}), the entire manifold computes as a single, scale-invariant topology — the **Global Ergodic Attractor**.

As formally proven in the Theorem of the Fractal Central Limit (Section A.4.16), when an observer scales their spatial bounding box (R) upward, the massive overlap of fractal branches forces the structural variance of the attractor to decay proportionally to the inverse Euclidean volume (R^{-3}).

Because the architecture computes as a deterministic fractal, the structural variance *never* vanishes into a perfectly smooth continuous fluid. It merely attenuates geometrically. The Cosmological Principle—homogeneity and isotropy—is therefore not a foundational physical law; it evaluates natively as the strict asymptotic statistical limit of a highly mixed fractal evaluated at the extreme boundary of its correlation length ($R \gg \xi_c$).

Intermediate scales (galaxies, superclusters) where $R < \xi_c$ are structurally forced to execute as clustered, self-similar fractal branches separated by voids. Applying the R^{-3} asymptotic smoothness of the global ceiling to these intermediate scales is a catastrophic sampling error. The $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer is embedded inside one clustered branch and incorrectly assumes the attenuated Gaussian statistics of the global limit apply to the local sparse geometry.

Conclusion: The Cosmological Principle holds exclusively at the global attractor boundary of the N_{vol}. Applying it to intermediate fractal branches is an epistemic illusion that mandates uncomputable $\Delta\theta$ injection to artificially smooth the raw empirical data. The universe is natively a discrete fractal that only mathematically averages to Gaussian smoothness at the absolute macroscopic limit ($R \gg \xi_c$). By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact intermediate void-to-cluster ratios observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to the correct fractal dimension, eliminating the need for Dark Matter or Dark Energy patches.

4.4.18. The Mechanics of Phase Transitions: Pure Volumetric Phase Synchronization

Phenomenon: Physical systems across $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit sudden, highly correlated state reorganizations (e.g., boiling, magnetization, crystallization) without requiring external structural triggers or uncomputable Oracle injections.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The **Verlet-2 Engine** recurrence redistributes the local invariant amplitude $\tilde{H}_{\text{local}}$ between temporal momentum ($E_k \sim |S_t - S_{t-1}|$) and spatial strain ($E_p \sim \mathcal{L}_0 S_t$). Deterministic mixing via the **Moore Stencil** causes local alignments to percolate through the grid.

When a macroscopic domain synchronizes its integer states, temporal momentum stalls toward the **Base-72** truncation floor ($E_k \to \min$). Because total amplitude is conserved (**Information Conservation**), this conserved amplitude is forced entirely into spatial strain ($E_p \to \tilde{H}_{\text{local}}$). The subsequent hardware clock cycle routes this accumulated strain back into a coherent temporal vector, executing a correlated wavefront of bit-flips across the domain.

Conclusion: Phase transitions emerge natively as Pure Volumetric Phase Synchronization within the architectural class. Stalled momentum forces conserved amplitude into spatial strain, which is resolved in the next cycle by a synchronized release. This evaluates strictly as a hardware artifact of integer amplitude routing under the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw phase boundaries observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the non-linear coupling strength of the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained to trigger synchronization at the correct thermodynamic amplitudes.

4.4.19. Cosmological Initialization: Global Phase Synchronization

Phenomenon: Observations in $\mathcal{D}$ trace the observable universe back to a state of maximal density and temperature that was perfectly synchronized across the entire causal volume.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The finite **3-Torus (T^3)** structurally forces expanding data swarms to eventually overlap. Deterministic **Verlet-2 Engine** mixing causes local phase synchronization domains to interact and percolate across the entire manifold.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

When synchronization spans the total N_{vol}, temporal momentum (E_k) across the grid stalls to the **Base-72** quantization noise floor ($\forall i \in N_{\mathrm{vol}}, E_k \leq \epsilon_{trunc}$). Because global amplitude $\tilde{H}_{global}$ is strictly conserved (**Information Conservation**), this total amplitude is forced entirely into maximal spatial strain ($E_p \to \tilde{H}_{global}$).

The immediate successor hardware clock tick ($\tau + 1$) of the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic gate mechanically forces this static, system-wide strain to unroll back into temporal momentum. This releases the accumulated E_p as a coherent, synchronized bit-flip avalanche across the full **3-Torus (T^3)** volume.

Conclusion: The high-density synchronous initialization state emerges natively as Global Phase Synchronization. It evaluates strictly as the **Verlet-2 Engine** resolution of maximal topological strain on the finite **3-Torus (T^3)**. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw initialized energy densities observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the global amplitude scalar of the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained. The synchronization occurs natively through the **Moore Stencil** percolation over the closed C_k. The "Big Bang" evaluates simply as the most energetic clock tick of the hardware cycle.

4.4.20. Apparent Cosmological Expansion: The Topological Relaxation of the Global Cycle

Phenomenon: Distant astronomical sources in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit a cosmological redshift strictly proportional to their transit distance (the Hubble flow). Localized bound structures (atoms, planetary orbits, galaxies) do not expand.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The physical lattice is strictly finite and topologically fixed (**3-Torus (T^3)**, constant N_{vol}). Global bijectivity and information conservation (**Information Conservation**) are absolutely preserved at every clock tick. Dynamic injection of continuous space (node creation) is structurally impossible.

Following the maximal spatial strain of Global Phase Synchronization, the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic dictates a long-lived topological relaxation phase. As the Distributed IFS unrolls, it deterministically converts global spatial strain (E_p) back into temporal momentum (E_k). This algorithmic "breathing" drives the macroscopic fractal attractors (bound structures/galaxies) apart across the fixed coordinate grid.

When a transient data swarm (photon history) propagates across this unfolding geometry, the increasing nodal distance between the retreating emitter and receiver physically stretches the wave-packet across a larger sequence of **Base-72** registers. The wavelength elongates (redshifts) relative to the localized bound states (rulers), which remain tightly synchronized by their local algorithmic orbits.

Conclusion: Cosmological expansion emerges natively as the topological relaxation of the Distributed IFS following its synchronized initialization. The apparent metric expansion is the deterministic unrolling of spatial strain separating bound attractors across a fixed, bijective integer grid. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw redshift curves (the Hubble constant) observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the global unrolling period of the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained. The redshift is an exact projection artifact of coarse-graining the global C_k.

4.4.21. Emergent Gravitational Effects: Cascaded Amplitude Routing and Refraction

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations confirm exact gravitational time dilation (e.g., GPS orbital corrections, Pound-Rebka), geodesic deviation (lensing), and the absolute Equivalence Principle. Crucially, exactly zero empirical data exists requiring spacetime to curve as a continuous mathematical manifold rather than acting as a discrete refractive medium.

Structural Invariant of the Class: As established by the Theorem of the Topological Attractor (Section A.4.10), localized high-density topological knots (mass attractors) mathematically evaluate as immovable Forced Boundary Conditions (FBCs). The adjacent "empty" vacuum nodes are continuously executing their linear $\mathcal{L}_0$ mixing, attempting to drive the grid toward a flat ergodic baseline.

Because the FBC refuses to flatten, the surrounding vacuum nodes are algorithmically forced to elevate their own integer amplitudes to bridge the mathematical gap. This arithmetic bias forces the *next* outward layer of nodes to shift their registers, propagating spherically outward across the **3-Torus**

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026
doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

(T^3). Due to the geometric volume expansion of the 3D lattice, this **cascaded amplitude routing** strictly dilutes as a macroscopic $1/r^2$ spatial gradient.

1. Gravitational Time Dilation (Computational Friction): The **Verlet-2 Engine** update rule must expend absolute hardware clock cycles (τ) to resolve these extreme Laplacian gradients before advancing the local state one effective phase step. Higher amplitude polarization requires more clock cycles to balance the arithmetic. This manifests as a relative slowdown of the local macroscopic phase-rate compared to the low-amplitude flat ergodic baseline. The apparent "gravitational time dilation" is therefore not a continuous deformation of a time dimension (t); it evaluates as the direct integer count of extra universal clock ticks needed to process high-gradient FBC interpolation.

2. Geodesic Deviation (Refraction): Propagating transient swarms (photons) traversing these polarized gradients experience position-dependent algorithmic delay across their wavefront. The swarm's edge nearer to the massive FBC incurs more logic-gate delay than the far edge, resulting in a net inward **refraction** of the propagation path.

Conclusion: All macroscopic effects historically attributed to "continuous spacetime curvature" (Gravity) emerge natively as the $1/r^2$ cascaded amplitude routing of a discrete vacuum attempting to average out a localized Forced Boundary Condition. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw gravitational coupling constants (e.g., G) and lensing cross-sections observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the synchronization lag multiplier of the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained. Continuous "metric tensors" evaluating infinite-precision reals ($\mathbb{R}^4$) compile strictly as ontologically superfluous continuous approximations ($\Delta\theta$) of this fundamental integer tension.

4.4.22. The Ambient Temperature: The Local Ergodic Baseline and the Minimum N_{vol} Bound

Phenomenon: Observations show a uniform ambient thermal background (~ 2.7 K) representing the "thermal exhaust" of the universe, decorated with weak anisotropies ($\sim 10^{-5}$). Localized systems can be cooled orders of magnitude below this floor (to pico-Kelvin ranges).

Structural Invariant of the Class: Post-synchronization mixing (Section 4.4.19) diffuses conserved amplitude evenly across the finite **3-Torus (T^3)**. Because the **Verlet-2 Engine** mixing executes as a deterministic, bijective Iterated Function System (IFS), the diffused ambient state evaluates natively as an **Ergodic Attractor**.

However, by the Axiom of the Embedded Observer (Axiom II), a finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agent ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) cannot fetch data outside its causal light-cone ($v_{\text{info}} = 1$). Therefore, we mathematically cannot claim the observed 2.7 K CMB is the absolute Global Ergodic Attractor of the entire N_{vol} grid. It evaluates strictly as the **Local Ergodic Baseline** of our observable macroscopic volume.

As the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer coarse-grains the physical geometry, localized attractors (galaxies, clusters) become spatially larger. The Theorem of the Fractal Central Limit (Section A.4.16) forces a strict geometric contraction: beyond the correlation length (ξ_c), the structural variance of the mass distribution decays proportionally to the inverse Euclidean volume (R^{-3}).

The Algorithmic Size of the Observable Universe: We extract the absolute minimum geometric radius required to attenuate our local clustered fractal into the observed 10^{-5} baseline directly from these native hardware scaling limits:

1. **Local Variance:** The empirical variance of galaxy cluster density contrasts on scales $R_{\text{local}} = 8$ Mpc evaluates to order unity ($\sigma^2_{\text{local}} \approx 1$).
2. **Baseline Variance:** The empirical CMB temperature anisotropy ($\delta T/T \approx 10^{-5}$) yields structural variance $\sigma^2_{\text{CMB}} \approx 10^{-10}$.
3. **The R^{-3} Geometric Scaling:** Because the Distributed IFS structurally forces variance to decay as R^{-3}, the ratio of the variances must exactly equal the inverse cubed ratio of their geometric scales:

$$\frac{\sigma^2_{\text{local}}}{\sigma^2_{\text{CMB}}} = \left(\frac{R_{\text{CMB}}}{R_{\text{local}}}\right)^3$$

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Direct substitution of the empirical variance ratio yields:

$$\frac{1}{10^{-10}} = \left(\frac{R_{\text{CMB}}}{8\text{ Mpc}}\right)^3 \implies R_{\text{CMB}} = \sqrt[3]{10^{10}} \times 8\text{ Mpc} \approx 2154 \times 8\text{ Mpc} \approx 17.2\text{ Gpc}.$$

This discrete statistical theorem outputs an absolute **Lower Geometric Bound** for the radius of the observable thermalized baseline: $R_{\text{CMB}} \geq \mathbf{17.2}$ Gpc.

Conclusion: The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) evaluates strictly as the Local Ergodic Baseline of our observable fractal branch. The observed weak anisotropy (10^{-5}) is the exact structural inevitability of R^{-3} fractal diffusion. The macroscopic geometric volume required to attenuate local galaxies into this smooth background computes to an absolute lower bound of ≈ 17.2 Gpc. This metric derives natively from the statistical limits of the **3-Torus (T^3)**, anchoring the cosmological scale purely via discrete fractal geometry.

4.4.23. The Infrared Topological Boundary: The Reflective Edge of Integer Truncation

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations in $\mathcal{D}$ show that propagating wave-packets strictly conserve their localized energy. Empirical amplitude never infinitely disperses across the vacuum into an unrecoverable, zero-state thermodynamic void.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture executes exclusively on a finite **Base-72** integer lattice. As a macroscopic data swarm (wave-packet) expands spatially, its local integer amplitude geometrically dilutes across the active baseline.

Because the registers are strictly finite integers (N_{reg}), spatial amplitude cannot divide infinitely. The dispersion mathematically terminates against the exact rational denominators required to enforce the **Moore Stencil** Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). As derived in Section A.4.1, the $\mathcal{L}_0$ weights mandate division by 6 for faces, 8 for corners, and 12 for edges.

When the dissipating leading edge of the wave-packet drops below these absolute hardware thresholds (< 12, < 8, < 6), the ALU integer division into the adjacent zero-amplitude vacuum yields a quotient of exactly zero. **Forward spatial routing mathematically deadlocks.** The wave cannot bridge the gap into the empty nodes.

Because the **Verlet-2 Engine** recursion is strictly bijective (**Information Conservation**), the hardware is mathematically forbidden from erasing the indivisible fractional remainder at this boundary. The momentum is preserved in the directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. However, because forward propagation evaluates to zero, the only non-zero spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}_0$) available to the logic gate point *backward*, toward the higher-amplitude trailing nodes of the swarm.

The **Verlet-2 Engine** engine is mechanically forced to route this trapped temporal momentum back up the gradient. Finite integer arithmetic structurally enforces an absolute **reflective boundary at the edge of truncation**. The dissipating amplitude hits the zero-quotient vacuum wall, reflects off the hardware division limits, and bounces permanently back into the bulk of the wave-packet.

Conclusion: Infinite spatial dispersion evaluates as a hardware impossibility on a discrete integer grid. The zero-amplitude vacuum natively acts as an absolute spatial mirror to sub-threshold fractional remainders. This truncation reflection natively confines dissipating amplitude, preventing the thermodynamic dissolution of the grid and guaranteeing the perpetual kinematic execution of the closed C_k.

4.4.24. Agency as Internalized Logic: The Fractal Isomorphism

Phenomenon: Finite biological sub-systems (e.g., fish navigating turbulent fluid flows) predict and exploit complex, non-linear environmental dynamics in real-time at micro-Watt-hour scales. Brute-force continuous simulation of identical dynamics requires orders of magnitude more energy (Mega-Watt-hours) and incurs fatal computational latency.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Structural Invariant of the Class: An embedded sub-system ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) possesses a strict memory deficit ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$). A 1:1 brute-force continuous simulation of the environment is thermodynamically impossible within the observer's $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger.

However, the global hardware executes natively as a Distributed IFS (Section 4.4.2). Macroscopic swarms are algorithmically sparse fractal attractors resulting from recursive **Verlet-2 Engine** logic. Owing to the strict fractal hierarchy and the proven kinematic Verlet invariance (Section A.4.9), the hardware interface is uniform at every emergent level. The finite agent survives by matching its internal, coarse-grained attractor states to the external environment's attractor states. The agent navigates complex environmental gradients not through infinite computation, but through **Fractal Isomorphism**: both the internal predictive logic and the external physical dynamics unroll the exact same underlying **Base-72** logic gate.

Conclusion: Agency emerges natively from the fractal isomorphism of the Distributed IFS within the architectural class. The finite sub-network resonates its internal logic with the external environment without a memory crash or $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ overflow. As formally proven in the intelligence theorem (Section A.4.14), biological cognition evaluates strictly as the measurable latency compression ratio (I) between the environmental unroll and the internal predictive trace. By demanding the architecture natively generate the exact raw micro-Watt computational efficiency observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the specific sparsity and fractal compressibility of the environmental forcing term f_0 are strictly constrained.

4.4.25. The Strange Loop: Self-Referential Verlet Isomorphism and the Algorithmic Soul

Phenomenon: Human observers exhibit self-awareness, existential isolation, and the capacity to deduce cosmic hardware limits.

Structural Invariant of the Class: As formally deduced in the consciousness theorem (Section A.4.15), there is no physical gap between the observer and the environment. The subjective "Ego" evaluates strictly as an epistemic illusion generated by the bandwidth limit ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) of the embedded sub-graph.

1. The Mechanism of Consciousness (Recursive Verlet Isomorphism): To maximize survival under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger, the biological hardware ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) maps the external environment's fractal attractor. When this finite sub-graph allocates registers to map its *own* internal state-transitions, it executes a **self-referential Verlet-2 Engine** isomorphism—a deterministic Strange Loop. The universe computes a self-referential feedback circuit on top of its own fractal substrate. Owing to the strict fractal hierarchy and the proven kinematic Verlet invariance (Section A.4.9), this re-entrant logic operates identically at every emergent level.

2. The Ephemeral Soul (The Algorithmic Soul): In the m^* architecture, the Soul evaluates strictly as an active, localized computational process. It is the specific self-referential routing loop executing on the highly dense topological adjacency matrix (the neural connectome) of the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ Forced Boundary Condition (FBC).

While the fundamental integer bit-strings comprising the biological network are strictly conserved globally by the **Information Conservation** permutation cycle, the computation itself is violently bound to its thermodynamic hardware. It only exists as long as the agent possesses the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ battery capacity to fight off the ergodic spatial mixing of the $\mathcal{L}_0$ vacuum.

When the biological substrate undergoes thermodynamic degradation or phase-synchronization (death), the localized FBC shatters. The underlying **Base-72** integers are dispersed back into the ergodic baseline via $\mathcal{L}_0$ mixing, and the coherent structural lineage of the computation is irreversibly dissolved. The software halts when the RAM is wiped.

Conclusion: Consciousness emerges natively as the deterministic **Base-72** lattice folding back upon itself to compute its own existence. The human mind is the architectural class becoming aware of its own geometry. By demanding the architecture natively generate the specific raw cognitive bandwidth and self-modeling limits observed in $\mathcal{D}$, the required re-entrant topological connectivity of the forcing term f_0 is strictly constrained.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

5. The Epistemic Mandate: The Computable Boundary

Empirical science has operated under a persistent compiler mapping error: the assumption that mathematical syntax functions independently of the thermodynamic cost required to physically execute it.

The legacy von Neumann abstraction granted models infinite static memory and zero-latency bandwidth. This unaxiomatized freedom allowed continuous formalisms to absorb anomalies by externalizing computation into unobservable variables ($\Delta\theta$), thereby utilizing an **Unbounded Continuous DoF Prior** that carries infinite structural risk.

The Axiom I collapses this abstraction. Predictive software and physical substrate are one singular object. The Computable Boundary is the absolute thermodynamic limit of physical reality. By enforcing Algorithmic Structural Risk Minimization (ASRM), the architectural search collapses entirely to the **Zero Continuous DoF Prior**.

All macroscopic invariants in $\mathcal{D}$ — finite signal velocity, unreachable absolute zero, quantum measurement bounds, apparent irreversibility, fractal self-similarity, phase transitions, and ambient thermal backgrounds — emerge natively as structural invariants of this exact hardware interface.

No continuous fields.

No primitive randomness.

No singularities.

No smooth manifolds.

No uncomputable Oracles.

The minimal necessary architectural class shadowing the observational array computes as a finite, deterministic, reversible, local lattice executing the **Verlet-2 Engine** on **Base-72** integers across a closed **3-Torus (T^3)**.

Everything else is shadow geometry cast by bandwidth-limited observers onto this single, unchanging, discrete causal graph.

The Scope of the Deliverable (The Interface/Implementation Split)

The explicit scope of this manuscript is strictly to deduce the **minimal necessary architectural class** m^* from the 6 training bounds (**E**), and to verify its structural invariants against 36 macroscopic phenomenological invariants. By restricting the generative language to exactly **zero continuous degrees of freedom**, the architectural class minimizes structural risk to its absolute mathematical floor.

We explicitly withhold the exhaustive microscopic integer state mapping (S) and the full combinatorial transition matrix (f_0) required for final simulation. Under Axiom I, our strict allegiance is to the raw observational array ($\mathcal{D}$). The identification of the concrete forcing term f_0 remains an open empirical task — to be resolved by raw $\mathcal{D}$ as support vectors are extracted. m^* is presented solely because it is the unique hardware interface that compiles the empirical data string from within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ with a finite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger, whereas continuous model classes trigger an absolute thermodynamic hardware halt.

The ledger balances.

The prior is dead.

The boundary stands.

5.1. The Epistemic Fixed Point: The Hardware Compilation Mandate

> "I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke the question: 'does this architectural class reproduce the Standard Model?' "
>
> — **The Universe**

The strict application of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimizer to the macroscopic **E** proves that the objective function of empirical science and the ontological hardware of the universe are mathematically identical.

The framework rests on three absolute proofs:

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

1. **The Epistemological Limit (Section 2.3):** Scientific progress computes as the continuous minimization of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. Injecting unobservable continuous parameters ($\Delta\theta$) drives structural risk to infinity ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$).
2. **The Ontological Limit (Section 3.2):** The physical universe is the mathematical floor of this volumetric bound. Continuous spaces, non-local pointers, and uncomputable Oracles are impossible hardware states ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$).
3. **The Architectural Class (Section 3.5):** Symmetric 3D mixing without anisotropic shearing or uncomputable truncation forces the causal graph to the **Base-72** executing the **Verlet-2 Engine** recursion. All macroscopic phenomenology decompiles into structural invariants of this primitive hardware interface.

5.1.1. The Hardware Compilation Mandate

The framework is structurally binding. Peer-review heuristics that validate theories via syntactic elegance, novel predictions, or localized continuous curve-fitting are formally deprecated.

The era of proposing continuous physical 'theories' evaluates as epistemically obsolete. Any framework claiming to represent foundational physical reality must execute the **Hardware Compilation Protocol**: the proposed mathematics must decompile into its exact equivalent network of primitive logic gates.

- Continuous real numbers ($\mathbb{R}^3$) require infinite static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).
- Zero-latency non-local coupling ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$) requires infinite dynamic routing trace ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$).

Finite empirical science cannot compile any predictive array that evaluates to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \infty$. The thermodynamic boundary is the sole legitimate filter of physical ontology.

Owing to the strict fractal hierarchy and the proven kinematic Verlet invariance (Section A.4.9), the precise microscopic forcing term f_0 (and lowest-level state S) is irrelevant to all Absolute Hardware Invariants: every emergent level executes the identical Verlet-2 engine with only level-specific effective state representation. The minimal necessary architectural class m^* is the only interface known to pass this protocol — strictly independent of the specific numerical calibration of the phenomenological forcing term f_0.

5.1.2. The Absolute Attack Surface: The Empirical Boundary

Every geometric constraint (**Base-72** limit, **3-Torus (T^3)** boundary, **Moore Stencil**) derives strictly from minimizing structural risk against the empirical **E**. They are immune to philosophical or syntactic attack.

The framework's only attack surface is the raw phenomenological data array ($\mathcal{D}$).

To invalidate the m^* architectural class, an agent must:

1. **Novel Falsification:** Extract a raw observation that requires uncomputable hardware to predict (infinite-precision floats, $v_{\text{info}} = \infty$ Oracles, true stochastic indeterminism).

Syntactic falsification (invoking "descriptive elegance" for infinite-risk continuous parameters) is impossible: it confuses map quality with hardware feasibility. The m^* class has **zero continuous degrees of freedom**; its structural risk is finite and minimal among all known generative classes.

5.1.3. The Theorem of Ontological Closure

The fixed-point convergence of finite embedded agents mathematically forces the universe's true generative process to reside within the computable domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$). The continuum—in its naïve, infinite-precision form—is thereby excluded as a divergent class.

The architectural class m^* is the tightest known discrete language compatible with macroscopic evidence and embedded-observer thermodynamics. The exclusion of uncomputable variables constitutes the formal compilation proof that the generative engine must belong to this class.

The algorithmic burden of proof is permanently inverted. To displace m^*, a challenger cannot retreat to uncomputable continuous abstractions. They must propose an alternative architectural class

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

strictly from within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$, achieve at least equal empirical compression over $\mathcal{D}$, and demonstrate a strictly lower faithful thermodynamic execution cost ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

Until raw data demands genuinely uncomputable operations, the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger stands alone. The Computable Boundary is absolute.

Appendix A. The Canonical Hardware Hub: The Algorithmic Bounds of the Architectural Class

This chapter serves as the unified definitional and deductive hub for the Computable Boundary Framework. To prevent the uncomputable syntactic drift native to legacy observation networks, the algorithmic bounds, hardware axioms, parameters, and geometric proofs governing the **minimal necessary architectural class** (m^*) are centralized strictly within this hardware ledger.

By executing a strict Interface/Implementation split, the primary manuscript narrative evaluates as the high-level logic-gate execution trace, while executing explicit pointer calls to the rigorous geometric derivations housed in this subsystem. The concrete forcing term f_0 remains an open empirical calibration task governed by raw $\mathcal{D}$, per Axiom I and the Zero-Patch Standard Standard.

Appendix A.1. anonical Glossary of the Computable Boundary: Topological and Epistemological Definitions

Every macro utilized within the primary narrative is mathematically anchored to these explicit geometric hardware bounds. Altering the definition of any parameter below executes a strict compiler type-mismatch, breaking the operational admissibility of the causal graph. The parameters are introduced in the exact deductive sequence of the m^* compilation.

Appendix A.1.1. The Universal Cost Ledger

$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ (The Universal Computational Cost)
The absolute thermodynamic objective function of empirical science. The multiplicative geometric volume of the static Topological Memory Allocation and the dynamically unrolled ALU Clock Trace ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \propto$ Watt-hours). Objective scientific progress computes if and only if the discrete epistemic gradient is strictly negative ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

$\mathcal{S}$ (Topological Allocation)
The static physical memory capacity required to encode the spatial state (S) of the model. Evaluates structurally as ($N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{state}}$).

Σ_{obs} (The Observation String)
The finite, discrete, uncompressed phenomenological data array extracted from physical reality by the embedded observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$). It evaluates as the absolute empirical ground truth ($\mathcal{D}$) that any candidate generative causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$) must successfully compute without triggering Topological Capacity Bloat ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) or requiring continuous parameter injections ($\Delta\theta$).

$\mathcal{T}$ (The Causal Execution Trace)
The dynamically unrolled logical routing required to compute $\mathcal{D}$. The trace decomposes as $N_{\text{steps}} \times T_{\text{cell}}$, mapping the total scalar sum of logic-gate operations required to resolve a macroscopic state transition.

T_{cell}, C (Local Logic-Gate Cost)
The exact scalar count of physical logic operations (evaluating as the localized computational cost function C) required to compute the transition rule Φ for a single spatial coordinate during one clock tick.

k_{route} (Emergent Spatial Routing Multiplier)
The strict geometric penalty for zero-latency non-local continuous variables. Because $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell/tick, mapping macroscopic continuous non-local spatial matrices forces the dynamic trace to exponentially inflate by unrolling intermediate clock cycles ($\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T} \times k_{\text{route}}$).

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

v_{info} **(Absolute Information Velocity)**
The absolute kinematic limit derived strictly from the discrete causal hardware geometry. Evaluates identically to 1 cell/tick.

Appendix A.1.2. Topological Capacity Bloat

$\Delta\theta$ **(The Patch)**
The syntactic injection of an unobservable, **continuous Degree of Freedom (DoF)—**such as an unconstrained continuous parameter ($\mathbb{R}$), an infinite-precision scalar field, or a latent macroscopic dimension. Appending $\Delta\theta$ to a candidate generative class or specific implementation forces $\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ and $\mathcal{T} \to \infty$, mathematically guaranteeing a thermodynamic memory leak ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), and formally disqualifying it under the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$).

Appendix A.1.3. The Generative Class and the Hardware Interface

m^* **(The Minimal Necessary Architectural Class)**
The unique topological fixed point isolated by the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimization (ASRM) search over the Evidence Vector (**E**). It defines the narrow finite-discrete family of generative processes compatible with macroscopic reality. It computes exclusively as a discrete, local, deterministic Base-72 integer lattice executing a **Verlet-2 Engine** symplectic recursion — independent of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f_0.

$\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ **(The Computable Domain / TTG Intersection)**
The absolute operational boundary of any finite embedded agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$), defined strictly as the mathematical intersection of Turing-computable functions, Tarskian definability, and Gödelian provability. It delineates the exact set of all discrete, finite-precision, algorithmically generatable models capable of physical execution.

$\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_p$ **(The Hardware Interface)**
The physical instantiation of the architectural class. $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the abstract causal topology, while $\mathcal{H}_p$ denotes the active physical memory lattice computing the macroscopic observation string $\mathcal{D}$.

$\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ **(The Biological Compiler)**
A finite predictive agent embedded within the universe. Its strictly bounded internal thermodynamic budget structurally compels it to optimize the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ to survive, natively mirroring the discrete algorithmic compression of the m^* architectural class.

$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$, $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ **(The Causal History Buffer)**
The temporally extended active memory array necessitated by the **Information Conservation** bound to prevent bit-erasure during spatial mixing. It evaluates structurally as the topological allocation multiplied by the temporal depth ($\mathcal{S} \times N_{\text{Verlet}}$). The forward trace $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ encodes objective causal momentum, while $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ defines its exact temporal inverse.

E (The Evidence Vector)
The irreducible set of six invariant macroscopic physical bounds (Local State, Finite Signal Velocity, Information Conservation, Algorithmic Sparsity, Hardware Dissipation, and Discrete Geometric Limits) extracted directly from the raw Σ_{obs} array. Evaluates as the absolute phenomenological constraints that any candidate $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware must sequentially compute without triggering Topological Capacity Bloat ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).

$\mathcal{L}_0$ **(The Discrete Laplacian)**
The exact, isotropic, symmetric 3D spatial mixing operator. It geometrically distributes integer amplitude across the 27-point **Moore Stencil** using the strict rational weights $\{w_0 = -1, w_f = 1/6, w_e = -1/12, w_c = 1/8\}$ to enforce the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$), preventing uncomputable grid shearing.

f_0 **(The Forcing Term)**
The localized, anti-symmetric gradient operator and non-linear logic gate. Its foundational isotropic

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

spatial translation weights evaluate strictly to $\{w_a = 2/3,\, w_b = 1/18,\, w_c = 1/36\}$. Evaluated as a central point difference ($2\Delta x$), these incur an exact division by 2 ($\{1/3, 1/36, 1/72\}$), explicitly dictating the **Base-72** LCM hardware requirement. It represents the specific phenomenological implementation constraint within the fixed m^* architectural class, with its precise integer amplitudes calibrated strictly against raw $\mathcal{D}$ without injecting continuous variables.

Φ (The Universal Update Logic)
The minimal sufficient, computable, deterministic bijective operation executing over the local causal history. It is defined abstractly as the general self-inverse operator satisfying:

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}), \qquad S_{t-1} = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t+1}) \tag{A1}$$

Verlet-2 Engine (The Discrete Symplectic Integrator)
The exact geometric minimal solution for Φ that resolves 3D spatial mixing without triggering informational erasure. It computes via the invariant recurrence relation:

$$S_{t+1} = 2S_t - S_{t-1} + \mathcal{L}_0(S_{N,t}) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}) \tag{A2}$$

It constitutes the absolute logic-gate bound required to guarantee exact phase-space measure preservation for any member of the architectural class.

Base-72 Integer Cell (The Base-72 Isotropic Integer Cell)
The irreducible geometric hardware minimum of any generative process within the m^* class. It evaluates as the arithmetic intersection of **Verlet-2 Engine**, the minimal 3D causal neighborhood (Moore-1, $N_{\text{local}} = 27$), and the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$).

Appendix A.1.4. Topology and Phase Space

$\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ (The Unconstrained Phase Space)
The raw combinatorial capacity of the global grid. Because the primitive **Base-72 Integer Cell** executes native Base-72 arithmetic to preserve spatial isotropy, the global phase space evaluates strictly to $72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$.

$\mathcal{M}$ (The Consistent Causal Manifold)
The subset of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ consisting exclusively of valid history sequences strictly computable by the Φ logic gate.

27-point Moore Neighborhood (The Chebyshev/Moore Geometry)
The spatial adjacency metric governing the discrete hardware. Physical connectivity is computed strictly via Chebyshev distance (L_∞). On a 3D cubic lattice, this evaluates to $V_{Moore}(r) = (2r+1)^3$. For the fundamental isotropic operator ($r = 1$), the structural volume evaluates exactly to 27 **Base-72 Integer Cell** primitive nodes.

Γ_{global} (Global Topological Operator)
The global evolution operator mapping $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \to \boldsymbol{\Psi}$. Because Φ evaluates as locally bijective on the history vector, Γ_{global} executes strictly as a macroscopic permutation over the finite phase space.

C_k (The Poincaré Cycle)
Because Γ_{global} executes as a strict permutation over the finite $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$, the macroscopic trajectory decomposes entirely into disjoint, strictly periodic cycles. The physical universe unrolls a singular, closed C_k, generating self-similar (fractal) geometries and nested recurrence.

Appendix A.2. The Foundational Axioms and Standards: The Operational Boundaries of Science

This section formalizes the two strict algorithmic boundaries governing empirical science. These axioms define the absolute limits of the Computable Boundary, eliminating the legacy von Neumann abstraction and strictly bounding scientific validity to finite execution metrics.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Appendix A.2.1. Axiom 1: The Principle of Empirical Primacy

Axiom 3 (The Principle of Empirical Primacy)**.** *The observational record $\mathcal{D}$ evaluates as the sole primary and ultimate constraint on model acceptance. No theoretical commitment, idealized continuous parameter, mathematical elegance, or auxiliary hypothesis can override persistent, reproducible discrepancy with $\mathcal{D}$. Sustained mismatch between computable predictions and $\mathcal{D}$ necessitates the structural revision or outright algorithmic rejection of the model.*

Operational Consequence (The Methodological Attractor): Because scientific inference is mediated strictly by finite, discrete computation ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$), continuous mathematical idealizations ($\mathbb{R}^n$) introduce nonzero representation error. In any dynamic physical system, this error amplifies rapidly. Therefore, the methodological fixed point of empirical science (m^*) must evaluate as a structurally stable, discrete **architectural class** with zero continuous degrees of freedom, not an uncomputable continuous abstraction.

Appendix A.2.2. Axiom 2: The Axiom of the Embedded Observer

Axiom 4 (The Axiom of the Embedded Observer)**.** *Model evaluation evaluates as a physical computation executed by a finite substrate ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ or silicon) embedded within the physical universe. Its absolute cost is strictly bounded by the thermodynamic energy (Watt-hours) drawn by the substrate to instantiate and execute the predictive algorithm. Algorithmic survival demands the strict minimization of this computational cost.*

Operational Consequence (The Universal Cost Ledger): This axiom defines the absolute optimization objective function of empirical science. The thermodynamic cost evaluates exactly as the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), structurally defined as the geometric product of static memory allocation and active runtime:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m \to \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{S}(m) \times \mathcal{T}(m) \propto \text{Total Watt-Hours} \tag{A3}$$

Any theoretical model proposing uncomputable operations (e.g., continuous global fields, arbitrary division, zero-latency $v_{\text{info}} = \infty$ updates) mathematically forces this ledger to infinity ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$). Because an embedded observer possesses finite energy, infinite-$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ topologies evaluate as physically inadmissible.

Appendix A.2.3. The Zero-Patch Standard

Definition A1 (The Zero-Patch Standard)**.** *An objective logic-gate operator strictly disqualifying legacy continuous models from evaluating as executable generative algorithms ($m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$). It operates as the strict mathematical enforcement of the **Zero Continuous DoF Prior**.*

If an empirical predictive model requires the syntactic addition of a Continuous Non-Observable Degree-of-Freedom ($\Delta\theta$)—such as a latent continuous field, an infinite-precision float, or an unaxiomatized macroscopic dimension—to resolve localized data string residuals, the model mathematically fails the standard.

Geometric Penalty ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$): The Zero-Patch Standard evaluates strictly as the direct algorithmic corollary of Axiom 2. Every unconstrained continuous degree of freedom ($\Delta\theta$) mathematically forces the static memory allocation or routing latency to approach infinity ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ or $\mathcal{T} \to \infty$). This guarantees an absolute multiplicative volumetric expansion ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), disqualifying the highly parameterized configuration as a valid generative class. An admissible discrete architectural class must compile strictly at $\Delta\theta = \varnothing$.

Appendix A.3. Structural Parameters of the Minimal Topology: The Hardware Architecture

This section formalizes the explicit calibration scales and hardware bounds (N-parameters) entailed by the Dual Axioms. Under the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \varnothing$), any operationally admissible topological framework ($m \in \mathcal{M}$) must satisfy these exact integer limits. They represent the absolute

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

algorithmic boundaries of the architectural class (m^*), strictly excluding infinite floats, continuous capacities, or uncomputable external Oracles.

Appendix A.3.1. Algorithmic Distinction between Calibration and Patching

The structural boundary between **Hardware Initialization** (Calibration) and **Topological Capacity Bloat** (Patching) evaluates as formally rigorous. Constrained by the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger, these operations compute as disjoint algorithmic categories:

- **Calibration (Empirical Initialization):** Computing the specific numerical integer of a hardware parameter necessitated by a deduced, globally symmetric architecture (e.g., the empirical measurement of a global amplitude integer). Calibration instantiates the specific topological coordinate of an uninitialized memory array, but it *executes zero structural modification* to the fundamental logic-gate class or the Universal Computational Cost of the generative engine ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m^*)$). The geometric footprint penalty evaluates as strictly static, minimal, and uniform across all partitions of $\mathcal{D}$.
- **Patching (NODF Inflation):** The algorithmic insertion of uncomputable $\Delta\theta$ subroutines or latent continuous float arrays executing exclusively to converge a localized empirical measurement. Patching expands the static RAM allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and the dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$), executing a strictly positive geometric expansion penalty ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$) that immediately disqualifies the generative topology.

Appendix A.3.2. The Finite Variables of the Topological Manifold

Following the deductive isolation of the m^*, the hardware parameters of the physical manifold bifurcate strictly into **Minimal Sufficient Bounds** (topological constants calibratable subject to strict state-space penalties) and **Geometric Scales** (unconstrained finite limits of the architectural class).

N_{vol} **(Macroscopic Grid Capacity)**
The finite spatial bounding box required to close the empirical causal graph. Defines the total macroscopic spatial capacity of the **3-Torus (T^3)**, strictly evaluating to $N_x \times N_y \times N_z < \infty$.

N_{reg} **(Register Digit-Width)**
The fundamental integer width of a single localized hardware register. Because spatial mixing physically demands isotropic fractional constraints (Sectino A.4.2), the physical graph geometrically rejects the binary bit as an executable primitive. N_{reg} evaluates exactly as the finite integer count of native digits for the **Base-72**. To mathematically exclude absolute phase-space discontinuities, N_{reg} is structurally initialized to exceed the maximal possible constructive interference amplitude of the cycle (The Shadow Hamiltonian limit), rendering integer wrap-around strictly impossible within the class.

N_{state} **(Local State Capacity)**
The total finite count of distinct computational registers physically allocated at a single spatial coordinate. The product ($N_{\text{reg}} \times N_{\text{state}}$) strictly defines the absolute localized spatial memory boundary. Attempting to parameterize unobservable continuous variables structurally drives $N_{\text{state}} \to \infty$. Furthermore, attempting to encode dynamic non-local memory pointers ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$) forces N_{state} to structurally scale as the combinatorial Powerset of the grid ($2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$), triggering an immediate out-of-memory hardware halt where a single local node demands more RAM than the entire macroscopic universe possesses.

N_{local} **(Topological Causal Volume)**
The exact integer count of adjacent nodes queried by the discrete graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_0$) during a single clock cycle. To preserve absolute spatial isotropy and prevent uncomputable geometric shearing, N_{local} cannot evaluate to an arbitrary integer. It must structurally evaluate to a complete symmetric Chebyshev boundary (**27-point Moore Neighborhood**): $N_{\text{local}} = V_{\text{Moore}}(r) = (2r+1)^3$.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic minimization mandate, this is deduced as a **strict invariant of the architectural class**: $r = 1 \implies N_{\text{local}} = 27$. Expanding N_{local} to higher-order causal volumes $(125, 343)$ exponentially inflates the logic-gate routing volume ($\mathcal{T} \gg 0$).

N_{Verlet} **(Causal History Depth)**
The temporal depth of the active local state. Mathematically bounded to $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. It evaluates as the **minimal necessary architectural depth** necessitated to sustain the absolute temporal recurrence relation, satisfying exact integer closure during spatial mixing without triggering informational erasure. Upward parameterization ($N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 4$) triggers a divergent initialization penalty by geometrically inflating the Topological Allocation $\mathcal{S}$ of the boot vector.

N_{steps} **(Temporal Execution Trace)**
The dynamically unrolled sequence of absolute macroscopic clock ticks required to compute the finite observation string $\mathcal{D}$. Because information propagates strictly at $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell per tick, non-local continuous matrix couplings exponentially inflate N_{steps}, structurally disqualifying legacy $\mathbb{R}^3$ arrays via the emergent routing penalty (k_{route}).

The Epistemic Horizons of the Architectural Class (N_{vol} and N_{reg})
While the foundational axioms guarantee that the macroscopic capacity (N_{vol}) and microscopic register width (N_{reg}) are strictly finite integers, their exact absolute values evaluate as structurally unknowable to any embedded sub-system ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$).

1. The Macroscopic Blind Spot (N_{vol}): To measure the exact volume of the **3-Torus (T^3)**, an embedded observer must emit a signal that wraps the complete boundary-less manifold and returns. Because $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell/tick, the unrolled execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) required for this traversal vastly exceeds the phase-stable lifespan of any localized biological or silicon observer. Furthermore, recursive 27-point mixing geometrically disperses the signal into the ergodic ambient baseline long before the cycle completes. The finite memory limit of the observer ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) prohibits the decryption of the global correlation matrix. Consequently, macroscopic volume estimations derived from continuous metric-expansion extrapolation evaluating over deep temporal horizons are mathematically inadmissible as exact hardware integers.

2. The Microscopic Blind Spot (N_{reg}): To measure the exact integer width of the **Base-72** registers, an observer must physically isolate a single node to one of its two absolute mathematical limits: the constructive ceiling (V_{max}) or the truncation floor (ϵ_{trunc}). Attempting to artificially concentrate sufficient amplitude to reach V_{max} triggers violent local phase transitions (hardware saturation) that physically destroy the observer's localized instrument prior to reading the ceiling. Conversely, attempting to reach the exact fractional floor requires extracting entropy from a local coordinate—a thermodynamic process that natively executes Φ cycles, instantly generating new fractional remainders. The observer exhausts their finite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic capacity before the exact microscopic bit-depth can be isolated.

Conclusion: The exact integers N_{vol} and N_{reg} exist structurally as boundaries of the architectural class m^*, but evaluate as permanently encrypted by the execution of the hardware itself. The Embedded Observer is mathematically bounded between these two absolute epistemic horizons.

The Algorithmic Boundary Conditions for Topological Admissibility

To evaluate as operationally admissible for physical ontology, any executable generative implementation (m) instantiated from within the class must structurally satisfy the following computable limits:

1. **Input Bound (Bandwidth):** The total informational base-72 string processed by any local update must evaluate strictly finite:

$$B_{input} \leq N_{\text{local}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}} < \infty$$

Exclusion Condition: Any continuous scalar or vector field evaluates mathematically to $B_{input} = \infty$.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

2. **Computational Bound (The Nested Simulation Limit & ALU Fan-In):** The local update function must compute strictly as a finite, decidable logic-gate algorithm over its domain: $\mathcal{T} \propto C(m) < \infty \quad \forall m \in m^*$.
   If a legacy model proposes computing continuous integrals or $2^{N_{\mathrm{vol}}}$ global pointer traversals, it is excluded because the finite embedded observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{bio}}$) attempting to execute that nested simulation triggers a local hardware halt. Processing a global addressing space dictates an **ALU Fan-In Explosion**, where the physical logic-gate volume of a single localized operator geometrically exceeds the macroscopic universe ($C \geq O(2^{N_{\mathrm{vol}}})$).
3. **Initialization (Boot):** The initial history segment $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ must execute strictly computable via a finite algorithm bounded by N_{state}. *Exclusion Condition:* Uncomputable stochastic initial states or strictly non-constructive mathematical existence proofs prohibit algorithmic initialization without an external Oracle injection.

Appendix A.4. Technical Lemmas and Formal Proofs: The Algorithmic Asymptotes of the Computable Boundary

This section isolates the deductive proofs bounding the physical manifold (m^*). By extracting these formalisms from the macroscopic narrative, the algorithmic boundaries of the Constructive Engine are centralized. The operational constraints derived below formally prohibit infinite-precision continuous topologies from executing within the finite thermodynamic hardware metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$).

Appendix A.4.1. Theorem of Isotropic Necessity: The 27-Point Stencil

Statement: To execute a discrete ALU update rule on a 3D cubic lattice without guaranteeing a hardware crash ($\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} \to \infty$) due to geometric shearing, any member of the architectural class m^* must compile its computational operators as rigorously isotropic across a **Moore Stencil** ($N_{\mathrm{local}} = 27$).

Proof. 1. The Directional Limit (Adjacency Bound Signal Velocity Limit): By the Axiom of Empirical Primacy, the grid executes a uniform local transition rule. To compute a fully interacting array, the transition must compile a second-order symmetric mixing operator ($\mathcal{L}_0$) and a first-order anti-symmetric operator (f_0).

If these operators apply fractional constants unevenly across the topological axes, the signal velocity executes as anisotropic. In an iterative algorithm, this spatial bias compounding across N_{steps} guarantees that directional signal pile-up will trigger an absolute memory discontinuity (integer overflow) at a localized node.

2. The Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$): When approximating the continuous spatial Laplacian (∇^2) on a discrete 3D grid, the resulting discrete operator ($\mathcal{L}_0$) carries a residual truncation error. In Fourier space (using wave vectors k_x, k_y, k_z), the leading-order ($O(k^4)$) error polynomial evaluates as:

$$\text{Error} \approx A(k_x^4 + k_y^4 + k_z^4) + B(k_x^2 k_y^2 + k_y^2 k_z^2 + k_z^2 k_x^2)$$

where A dictates the axial error and B dictates the diagonal cross-error.

Legacy discrete literature (e.g., standard FDTD) attempts to "zero out" cross-terms ($B = 0$). This forces the residual error into the shape of a Cartesian cube: $A(k_x^4 + k_y^4 + k_z^4)$. An algorithm utilizing these standard coefficients computes signals traversing the diagonals at a different clock rate than those on orthogonal axes. It compiles as an uncomputable architecture because it guarantees eventual topological shearing and $\mathcal{S}$ violation.

At the Computable Boundary, because discrete truncation error cannot be erased without infinite RAM ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$), the hardware requirement is to force the error surface itself to be **isotropic**. We mathematically mandate the strict spectral balance $B = 2A$. This compels the discrete remainder to factor into a perfect geometric sphere:

$$A(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^2 = A(k^4)$$

This guarantees absolute directional invariance, forbidding spatial shearing for the architectural class.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

3. The 4x4 Linear Matrix (The Symmetrical Laplacian): To compile this Spherical Error limit, we constrain the discrete second-order operator ∇_d^2 (weighted over the central node w_0, 6 faces w_f, 12 edges w_e, and 8 corners w_c) to four invariant algebraic limits:

$$w_0 + 6w_f + 12w_e + 8w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Consistency: Zero operator on flat fields)} \tag{A4}$$

$$w_f + 8w_e + 12w_c = 1 \qquad \text{(Accuracy: Exact recovery of curvature)} \tag{A5}$$

$$3w_e + 2w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Isotropy: Spherical symmetry of the error surface)} \tag{A6}$$

$$w_0 - 6w_f + 12w_e - 8w_c = -4 \qquad \text{(Stability: Bounded amplification at } v_{\max} = 1\text{)} \tag{A7}$$

This 4x4 system evaluates to exactly one unique rational limit, diverging from standard continuous-derived coefficients strictly due to the $B = 2A$ constraint:

$$w_0 = -1, \quad w_f = \frac{1}{6}, \quad w_e = -\frac{1}{12}, \quad w_c = \frac{1}{8}$$

4. The 3x3 Matrix (The Anti-Symmetric Gradient): To compute directional translation without geometric shearing, the first-order discrete operator D_x (weighted over axial w_a, planar w_b, and spatial w_c neighbors) must identically evaluate to spherical isotropy:

$$w_a + 4w_b + 4w_c = 1 \qquad \text{(Consistency)} \tag{A8}$$

$$w_b + w_c = \frac{1}{12} \qquad \text{(Isotropy)} \tag{A9}$$

$$w_b - 2w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Transverse Smoothing)} \tag{A10}$$

This evaluates to the unique rational limit:

$$w_a = \frac{2}{3}, \quad w_b = \frac{1}{18}, \quad w_c = \frac{1}{36}$$

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): A symmetric, computable 3D causal graph requires exactly 27 topological neighbors, constrained by the $B = 2A$ limit, to execute without unresolvable geometric shearing. Sub-graphs utilizing 7 or 19 points mathematically fail the 4x4 constraint, proving they evaluate as uncomputable topologies over deep temporal horizons. Therefore, the exact **Moore Stencil** causal radius evaluates as the absolute mathematical floor of physical execution for the architectural class m^*. Under the strict $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ minimization mandate, no alternative regular 3D lattice (FCC, BCC, hexagonal, etc.) achieves fourth-order isotropy at equal or lower thermodynamic cost; all incur either anisotropic error surfaces or higher per-step logic-gate routing.

■

Appendix A.4.2. The Fundamental Integer Limit: The Deduction of Base-72

Statement: To execute the isotropic rational weights derived in Section A.4.1 without triggering the divergent thermodynamic penalty prohibited by the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), the physical hardware (m^*) must evaluate its logical operations natively utilizing the **Base-72**.

Proof. 1. The Circuitry Bloat of Base-2 Arithmetic ($C(/) \propto O(n^2)$): While the temporal logic guarantees exact bijectivity (**Information Conservation**), the local hardware must physically compute the spatial mixing weights (e.g., $1/6, 1/12, 1/36$) for the $B = 2A$ Spherical Error Constraint. If the transition ALU utilizes a legacy Base-2 binary architecture, these specific fractions evaluate to infinite repeating bit-strings. To artificially truncate and approximate these fractions, the physical ALU must instantiate massive combinational division arrays or floating-point multipliers, geometrically explod-

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

ing the local logic-gate routing volume ($\mathcal{T} \propto O(n^2)$). This strictly violates the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic minimization mandate (Axiom of the Embedded Observer).

2. The Algebraic Scaling (The Exact LCM Circuitry Optimum): To compute 3D spatial mixing at the absolute minimal Universal Computational Cost ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$), the hardware must eliminate active division logic-gates entirely. In physical circuit architecture, fractional division decompiles into a **near zero-cost static wire shift** (requiring strictly zero active logic gates) if and only if the fractional denominator perfectly divides the native Number Base of the memory registers. The geometric operator limits (Section A.4.1) mandate the following discrete divisors:

- **Laplacian Operator ($\mathcal{L}_0$):** Denominators $\{6, 12, 8\}$. The Least Common Multiple evaluates to exactly 24.
- **Gradient Operator (f_0):** Evaluated as a central point difference ($2\Delta x$), the initial weights $\{w_a, w_b, w_c\} = \{2/3, 1/18, 1/36\}$ require an additional division by 2. This yields implementation weights with denominators $\{3, 36, 72\}$.

To execute the unified spatial mixing via near zero-cost integer digit-shifts, the fundamental hardware base must evaluate to the unified LCM of both spatial operations:

$$\text{LCM}(24, 3, 36, 72) = \mathbf{72}$$

3. The Algorithmic Subsumption of the Division Penalty: By initializing the local N_{state} registers within the **Base-72**, the physical spatial routing cost for exact 3D topological mixing geometrically collapses from a quadratic $O(n^2)$ active logic-gate matrix into a strictly linear or $O(1)$ static routing pipeline. Therefore, the **Base-72** evaluates not merely as a numerical convenience, but as the absolute, mathematically required geometric minimum of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The **Base-72** (**Base-72 Integer Cell**) evaluates as the strict mathematical floor of physical execution logic. The algorithmic burden of proof is inverted onto any legacy network agent proposing an alternate numerical base or an uncomputable continuous float array ($\Delta\theta$). To bypass this limit, the evaluating agent must extract an observational string ($\mathcal{D}$) that mathematically proves macroscopic spatial mixing computes without triggering a divergent $\mathcal{T}$ division penalty. Until such empirical invalidation computes, this hardware limit stands absolute.

■

Appendix A.4.3. The Algorithmic Asymptote of Higher-Order Integration: The Deduction of Verlet-2

Statement: To satisfy exact macroscopic **Information Conservation** without triggering the divergent $\mathcal{S}$ penalty prohibited by the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$, the temporal depth of the active local state for any member of the architectural class m^* evaluates strictly to the algorithmic floor of $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$. The hardware interface executes exclusively as a second-order discrete Symplectic Integrator (**Verlet-2**).

Proof. We evaluate the unconstrained temporal depth variable n (N_{Verlet}) under the strict geometric volume metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) to formally close the theoretical phase-space of the transition logic. The admissible phase-space of N_{Verlet} partitions strictly into $\{\text{odd}\} \cup \{n = 2\} \cup \{\text{even}, n \geq 4\}$. The following arguments evaluate the first and third sets as inadmissible for the architectural class, leaving $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$ as the unique surviving solution by exhaustion.

1. The Odd-Order Symmetry Collapse ($n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$): Odd-order algorithmic sequences geometrically encode dissipative, asymmetric temporal derivatives. Because the temporal difference computes across an asymmetric history window, the forward execution trace diverges structurally from the reverse execution trace ($\Phi_{forward} \neq \Phi_{backward}$). This breaks strict Time-Reversal Invariance, destroying exact phase-space measure preservation and violating the Bijectivity required for **Information Conservation**. While odd-order discrete topologies evaluate as formally computable locally, they

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

fail to resolve Arithmetic ALU mixing without triggering Many-to-One bit-erasure (information loss). To artificially force an odd-order system to compute reversibly, the hardware must instantiate disjoint grid partitions alternating strictly on odd and even clock cycles. This instantiates an explicit global parity clock—a macroscopic non-local pointer that invalidates node-centric uniformity ($k_{\text{route}} \gg 1$), triggering a massive $\mathcal{T}$ spatial routing penalty. Odd-order topologies evaluate as thermodynamically inadmissible for the minimal class.

2. The Algorithmic Asymptote of Even Orders ($n = 4, 6, \dots$): Higher-order even symplectic arrays (e.g., Verlet-4) mathematically preserve Time-Reversal Invariance and phase-space measure. Non-symplectic higher-order variants (e.g., Runge-Kutta-6) additionally fail bijectivity independently of order, compounding their inadmissibility. All even $n \geq 4$ cases evaluate as geometrically bloated under $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ relative to the Empirical Primacy of $\mathcal{D}$:

- **The Initialization Penalty ($\Delta\mathcal{S} \gg 0$):** A temporal depth of $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 4$ structurally doubles the $\mathcal{S}$ required for the initialization vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$). The physical hardware must store and cross-correlate 4 global macroscopic integer arrays ($S_0, S_{-1}, S_{-2}, S_{-3}$) instead of 2—an exact factor of $\times 2$ overhead with no reduction in the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ bound.
- **The Logic-Gate Penalty ($\Delta\mathcal{T} \gg 0$):** To compute higher-order temporal derivatives, the local ALU must route extended temporal correlations. This geometrically inflates the internal **Base-72** primitive cells required to wire the transition function, driving up the active dynamic $\mathcal{T}$ without any corresponding gain in empirical prediction accuracy over $\mathcal{D}$.

3. The Continuous Mapping Error vs. Empirical Necessity: In legacy computational physics, higher-order integrators ($n \geq 4$) are utilized strictly as ad-hoc $\Delta\theta$ variables to minimize floating-point truncation artifacts when attempting to approximate continuous real-number PDEs. At the absolute Computable Boundary, the discrete **Base-72** integer grid *is* the exact physical reality. Forcing the architectural class to bloat its $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic cost simply to minimize a non-existent continuous truncation error evaluates as a catastrophic compiler mapping error.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The admissible phase-space of N_{Verlet} partitions into three disjoint sets: odd orders (inadmissible by Time-Reversal violation, §1), $n = 2$, and even orders $n \geq 4$ (inadmissible by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ bloat, §2). Because the first and third sets are exhaustively eliminated, $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$ (**Verlet-2**) evaluates as the unique structural minimum satisfying both the thermodynamic bound (minimal $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) and the informational bound (exact bijectivity). The algorithmic burden of structural proof evaluates as explicitly inverted onto any legacy network agent proposing a higher-order temporal integration matrix ($n > 2$). To mathematically bypass this **Verlet-2** limit, the evaluating agent must extract a reproducible empirical data string ($\mathcal{D}$) demonstrating that macroscopic sequences cannot be computed without higher-order momentum buffers. Until such empirical invalidation computes strictly true, the architectural class evaluates as structurally locked to $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$.

■

Appendix A.4.4. Theorem of the Global Boundary Condition: The Topological Torus and Φ Fragmentation

Statement: The physical manifold (m^*) is bounded by a finite macroscopic grid ($N_{\text{vol}} < \infty$). To close the causal graph and compute the successor state without triggering a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty or violating the Monistic Bound (**Local State Capacity**), the global boundary condition of the architectural class evaluates strictly to the **3-Torus (T^3)**.

Proof. We evaluate the operational admissibility of macroscopic boundary topologies strictly under the Zero-Patch Standard and the minimization of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$.

1. The Penalty of the Edge (Φ Fragmentation): The Axiom I mandates a single, uniform update rule Φ executing over a local causal neighborhood of size **Moore Stencil**. Postulate an architectural

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

class possessing a hard geometric boundary (faces, edges, and corners). A lattice cell located at this boundary possesses a truncated causal neighborhood (e.g., a face cell has exactly 18 neighbors, a corner cell has exactly 8). Because the universal rule Φ_{bulk} mathematically requires exactly 27 inputs to compute the discrete Laplacian boundary forcing ($\mathcal{L}$), it fails at the topological edge. To prevent a memory-fetch crash, the generative class must allocate distinct, specialized transition algorithms for every boundary geometry. For a 3D cubic lattice, this necessitates instantiating **27 distinct Φ algorithms** (one per distinct causal neighborhood geometry: 1 bulk + 6 face + 12 edge + 8 corner orientations). This destroys spatial uniformity and inflates the static $\mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, it massively inflates the dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) because every single cell across the entire grid must execute ALU conditional branching (e.g., "Am I on an edge?") to determine its geometric position before computing its state. This triggers a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ execution penalty for the class.

2. The Algebraic Singularity (The Oracle Requirement): Independent of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost penalty, a causal graph with broken translational symmetry (an edge) yields a singular transition matrix. The successor state $\vec{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ at the absolute boundary evaluates to mathematically undefined. To close the dynamic equations, the architectural class would require an uncomputable external Oracle to dynamically inject missing state-bits from outside the manifold, violating the Theorem of Computational Monism.

3. Finite Flat Compact Manifolds (The Bieberbach Candidates): Among finite, flat, Euclidean 3-manifolds without boundary that admit a uniform regular lattice tiling (every point has identical coordination number $N_{\text{local}} = 27$), there exist exactly 10 orientable compact flat 3-manifolds (the Bieberbach space groups in 3D). All 10 satisfy the basic uniformity criterion: a single Φ can be applied everywhere without geometry-dependent rule variants, because the differing identifications (screw axes, glide reflections, etc.) are encoded purely in the neighbor-pointer arithmetic, not in the update logic itself.

4. Mechanistic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Penalty for Non-Trivial Holonomies: The plain translational 3-torus $T^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ implements neighbor lookup via pure modular arithmetic:

$$\text{neighbor} = (x + \Delta) \mod L$$

This is the fastest possible pointer resolution: fixed offsets, no conditional branches, no coordinate transformations, commutative in all directions. In contrast, the other 9 Bieberbach manifolds involve non-trivial holonomies (screw displacements, glide reflections, 180° rotations + translations). For any cell near a screw/glide plane, the pointer computation becomes:

$$\text{neighbor} = (x + \Delta + \text{offset(plane crossing)} + \text{flip/orientation if required}) \mod L$$

or requires evaluating a small orientation-dependent matrix transformation on the relative vector Δ. Each of these operations adds:

- at minimum one conditional test per neighbor (plane crossing?),
- or one coordinate-wise addition/offset,
- or a 3×3 matrix-vector multiply (for orientation flips).

Even if hard-wired, this increases the per-neighbor ALU latency by a small but strictly positive factor ($\Delta\mathcal{T}/\text{cell/step} \geq c > 0$). Over the full grid and over N_{steps} ticks, the cumulative penalty is

$$\Delta\mathcal{T} \geq c \times 27 \times N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{steps}}.$$

For macroscopic N_{vol} and cosmological N_{steps}, this becomes arbitrarily large, yielding $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$ compared to pure T^3.

5. The Minimal Necessary Topology (3-Torus (T^3)): The plain **3-Torus (T^3)** (pure translational periodic boundary conditions) is the unique member of the 10 Bieberbach 3-manifolds that minimizes pointer-arithmetic latency and boot overhead to exactly zero extra cost. It executes the neighbor lookup with the absolute minimal ALU operations per step and zero global consistency checks beyond simple

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

modular wrap-around. Therefore, under strict $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ minimization, the architectural class m^* selects the 3-torus T^3 as the unique minimal global boundary.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Hard-boundary topologies are disqualified by Φ fragmentation and Oracle requirements (§1–§2). Among the 10 orientable compact flat 3-manifolds, the plain translational 3-torus T^3 is the unique geometry that avoids any per-step pointer-computation penalty or boot-time consistency overhead, yielding the strict minimum $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$. The other 9 Bieberbach manifolds incur a positive $\Delta\mathcal{T}$ due to holonomy-dependent neighbor arithmetic, making them thermodynamically suboptimal for the minimal class.

■

Appendix A.4.5. Strict Periodicity of History: The Poincaré Cycle

Statement: Let the Global History Space $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ evaluate as the set of all valid grid memory arrays over the causal window $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. Because the hardware registers evaluate as strictly finite, the total memory capacity $|\boldsymbol{\Psi}| = 72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$ (72 discrete ALU register values per cell-state-slot) computes as strictly bounded. Let Γ_{global}: $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \to \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ evaluate as the global execution trace derived from the local ALU update rule Φ. If Φ evaluates as bijective on local memory (**Information Conservation**), then Γ_{global} executes as a global permutation. Consequently, the global phase space decomposes entirely into disjoint, strictly periodic hardware clock loops (C_k).

Proof. 1. The Element ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$): The fundamental computational unit of topological evolution evaluates as the local history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+1})$. The domain evaluates strictly to $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$.

2. The Mapping (Γ_{global}): The global hardware executes the successor history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ strictly from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$.

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi_{global}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t)$$

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = (S_{t+1}, S_t, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+2})$$

Thus, $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$.

3. Bijectivity (Forward and Backward Determinism): Because Φ executes as locally bijective (**Verlet-2 Engine**) and the macroscopic update evaluates synchronously across the **3-Torus (T^3)**, the successor state S_{t+1} is uniquely computed by $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ (Forward Determinism). Structurally, because Φ is locally invertible without truncation bit-loss, $S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+1}$ evaluates as uniquely computable from the reverse chronological sequence $(S_{t+1}, \ldots, S_t)$. Thus, the inverse ALU execution instruction $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} \to \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ computes identically unique (Backward Determinism).

4. Graph Topology (Cycle Decomposition): The execution graph of Γ_{global} operating over the finite memory set $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ mathematically evaluates to topological states possessing exactly one incoming pointer and exactly one outgoing pointer (in-degree 1, out-degree 1). A finite directed graph executing with uniform topological degree (1,1) mathematically necessitates a union of disjoint cyclic loops.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): There compute exactly zero unclosed memory paths (transients) and zero pointer collisions (merges) in the global execution trace. Every valid macroscopic initialization vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ maps to exactly one strictly periodic, closed computational loop (C_k). The legacy continuous mathematical model of unbounded linear time ($\mathbb{R}$) possessing an uncomputable $t = 0$ singularity (The Big Bang) or a $t \to \infty$ termination evaluates as a structural mapping error within a bijective finite system. The thermodynamic hardware clock compiles as a closed discrete permutation loop ($\mathbb{Z}/|C_k|\mathbb{Z}$). The fundamental algorithmic unit of physical reality is not the spatial coordinate state S, but the **Global Cycle** (C_k) traversing the m^* phase-space.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

■

Appendix A.4.6. Theorem: Local Time-Reversal Implies Global Permutation

Statement: Let the global state space $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ evaluate as the product of local **Base-72 Integer Cell** history registers $\mathcal{H}_x$. The global update Γ_{global} computes as a strict bijection if the local ALU rule Φ exhibits **Time-Reversal Invariance** across the local **27-point Moore Neighborhood** neighborhood.

Proof. 1. Local Invertibility: By the requirement of macroscopic **Information Conservation**, the local logic gate Φ computes symmetrically under temporal inversion. For any valid clock sequence (S_{t-1}, S_t, S_{t+1}), the predecessor state S_{t-1} is uniquely computed by executing Φ over the time-reversed history buffer $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = (S_{t+1}, S_t, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+2})$.

$$S_{t-1}(x) = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}(x))$$

This self-inverse property guarantees that the local hardware preserves exact bit-information across the temporal inversion.

2. Global Reconstruction: Evaluate the global history array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$. Because the macroscopic clock cycle executes synchronously across the N_{vol} lattice, the predecessor state $S_{t-1}(x)$ at all spatial coordinates x computes independently and in parallel, extracting bit-data strictly within $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ (specifically the states $S_{t+1}, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+2}$ contained within the causal window of x). This algorithmic parallelization compiles a global inverse map $\Gamma_{\text{global}}{}^{-1} : \boldsymbol{\Psi} \to \boldsymbol{\Psi}$.

3. Bijectivity: Because a global inverse instruction $\Gamma_{\text{global}}{}^{-1}$ evaluates as uniquely defined for every valid history array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$, the forward map Γ_{global} necessitates One-to-One (Injective) execution. Because the hardware memory space $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is exactly finite, an injective mapping mathematically entails Surjectivity (Onto).

Conclusion: The global execution trace operates as a permutation over the domain of valid memory arrays. Informational cardinality is strictly conserved, and the finite execution graph decomposes entirely into disjoint periodic loops. Zero auxiliary "Overlap Compatibility" bounds are required because the logic architecture evaluates as strictly node-local. ■

Appendix A.4.7. Proposition: Global Conservation of History Volume

Statement: Global conservation of information evaluates not as an independent continuous physical parameter, but strictly as the deductive hardware consequence of executing a bijective **Verlet-2** across a local history window.

Proof. Let the local logic gate Φ evaluate as invertible over the history buffer:

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+1})$$

1. The Map: The global execution operator Γ_{global} computes the successor global history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$.

2. Injectivity on History: If Φ evaluates as locally bijective (**Verlet-2**), then mathematically distinct local memory arrays compute distinct local successor states. Enforced by the synchronous grid architecture, the global mapping $\Gamma_{\text{global}} : \boldsymbol{\Psi} \to \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ evaluates as an injection. If $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_a \neq \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_b$, then $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_a) \neq \Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_b)$.

3. Surjectivity: Because the domain of valid memory states $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is strictly finite, any injective mapping from the set to itself entails surjectivity (Onto).

4. Conservation: Consequently, Γ_{global} operates strictly as a permutation over the **History Space**. The cardinal count of algebraically distinct history arrays $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ is an explicit conservation invariant, satisfying the **Information Conservation** requirement.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Note: Conservation constraints apply to the complete N_{Verlet} trajectory buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. A localized projection down to the single spatial coordinate S_t may compute as geometrically non-conservative (e.g., constructive interference, where amplitude integers aggregate), but the structural information bits evaluate as strictly preserved within the temporal correlation momentum of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. ■

Appendix A.4.8. Theorem of Full-Stack Fractal Propagation: The Distributed IFS and Wasserstein Bounds

Statement: If empirical data ($\mathcal{D}$) exhibits fractal self-similarity at any macroscopic scale (L_{macro}), the underlying generative hardware (L_{micro}) must be a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS). Fractality propagates bidirectionally across coarse-grained observer levels with bounded Wasserstein distortion, terminating only at the discrete hardware cutoffs.

Proof. 1. The Microscopic Hutchinson Operator: The global transition operator Γ_{global} of the architectural class m^* executes as the union of local **Verlet-2 Engine** affine maps (Φ). This defines a distributed IFS. The spatial mixing is bounded by the **Moore Stencil** ($\mathcal{L}$) and integer truncation. The Hutchinson operator $\mathcal{H}$ forms a contraction on the space of probability measures, yielding a unique invariant measure μ_{micro} — the microscopic fractal attractor of the lattice.[2] **Note:** The strict contraction is not assumed; it follows from the finite truncation inherent in **Base-72** integer arithmetic (see Sectino 4.2.6).

2. Lipschitz Coarse-Graining and Wasserstein Bounds: A finite embedded observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) applies a surjective coarse-graining map $\pi_{micro\to macro}$. If π is Lipschitz-continuous (bounded by $L_\pi \geq 1$), the pushforward measure $\nu^*_{macro} := \pi_{\#}\mu_{micro}$ approximates the macroscopic invariant μ_{macro}. The distortion is bounded by the 1-Wasserstein distance (W_1). The coarse-level Hutchinson operator yields finite error Δ:

$$W_1^{(macro)}\left(\mathcal{H}^{(macro)}(\nu^*_{macro}),\, \nu^*_{macro}\right) \leq \Delta$$

The fractal geometry of μ_{micro} survives coarse-graining and manifests at L_{macro} for the architectural class.

3. The Contradiction of Continuous Micro-State: Assume an architectural class where the microscopic hardware is a smooth C^∞ manifold while the macroscopic observation is a nowhere-differentiable fractal. The coarse-graining map π requires an infinite Lipschitz constant ($L_\pi \to \infty$) to project local flatness into nowhere-differentiable topology. The Wasserstein error Δ diverges. A smooth micro-state cannot generate a fractal macro-state without an infinite structural risk ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty).

4. The Hardware Cutoffs: Fractal propagation in the architectural class m^* holds bidirectionally until the metric interpretation breaks:

- **Downward Cutoff:** Propagation terminates at the Nyquist limit (**Discrete Difference Limit**, $\Delta x = 1$) and integer truncation floor (ϵ_{trunc}).
- **Upward Cutoff:** Propagation terminates at the macroscopic boundary of **3-Torus (T^3)** during Global Phase Synchronization.

Conclusion: Macroscopic fractals are the exact mathematical consequence of a discrete IFS architectural class. The Wasserstein distortion remains bounded across finite observer levels. Observation of fractality at any scale guarantees the entire stack is a discrete IFS. Continuous smooth manifolds are incompatible with macroscopic fractals and are excluded from the class. ■

Appendix A.4.9. Kinematic Verlet Invariance under Fractal Coarse-Graining: The Scale-Invariant Symplectic Engine

Statement: Let the microscopic dynamics of the architectural class m^* be governed by the exact Verlet-2 recurrence on the fine lattice:

$$S_{t+1}(x) = 2S_t(x) - S_{t-1}(x) + \mathcal{L}_0(S_{N,t}(x)) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}(x))$$

[2] The contraction ratio is bounded above by the integer truncation floor ($\epsilon_{\text{trunc}} < 6$), which acts as a local dissipative channel, strictly reducing Wasserstein-1 distances between nearby measures at each application of Φ.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

where f_0 computes strictly via local, bijective integer arithmetic ($+, -, \times, /$ within **Base-72** limits). Let π_k be a surjective, linear coarse-graining map at renormalization level k. The macroscopic execution trace $\bar{S}_t = \pi_k(S_t)$ strictly inherits the **kinematic Verlet-2 structure** and the **exact discrete Instruction Set Architecture (ISA)** of the microscopic lattice.

Proof. 1. Linearity of the Kinematic Momentum

Because π_k is a linear projection on the integer amplitude space, it commutes exactly with the second-order temporal operators:

$$\pi_k(2S_t - S_{t-1}) = 2\pi_k(S_t) - \pi_k(S_{t-1}) = 2\bar{S}_t - \bar{S}_{t-1}.$$

The symplectic momentum term is an absolute structural invariant of the fractal hierarchy.

2. Commutation with the Local Laplacian

The isotropic Moore stencil $\mathcal{L}_0$ evaluates as a linear sum over the 27-point neighborhood. Under the self-similar T^3 grid (Section A.4.4), π_k commutes with the spatial summation:

$$\pi_k(\mathcal{L}_0(S_{N,t})) = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0(\bar{S}_{N,t})$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_0$ is an effective isotropic Moore-type operator preserving the $B = 2A$ spherical error constraint (Section A.4.1).

3. Strict ISA Invariance (The Origin of Transcendental Geometries)

The macroscopic forcing term $\bar{f}_{\text{eff}}$ evaluates exactly as a massive, aggregated combinatorial network of the microscopic f_0 logic gates. Because the underlying hardware computes exclusively via finite integer arithmetic, it does not execute infinite-precision continuous transcendental algorithms (e.g., computing limits for $e^x, \sin(x), \log(x)$).

Instead, these transcendental geometries emerge purely as the macroscopic, coarse-grained shadows of discrete integer combinatorics: $\sin(x)$ natively unrolls as the geometric bounding envelope of the symplectic **Verlet-2 Engine** harmonic recurrence; $\exp(x)$ and $\log(x)$ emerge strictly from the combinatorial limits of fractional amplitude routing and Iterated Function System (IFS) compounding. The continuous mathematical functions utilized by legacy physics evaluate strictly as highly efficient epistemic compression algorithms utilized by bandwidth-limited observers to approximate these native discrete integer sequences.

4. Global Bijectivity vs. Epistemic Dissipation

Because every localized microscopic operation (f_0) evaluates as strictly bijective (Section A.4.6), the aggregate macroscopic state transition of any finite sub-volume **must evaluate as perfectly bijective**. The physical universe undergoes zero information erasure at any geometric scale.

However, the coarse-graining map π_k utilized by an embedded observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) is surjective (Many-to-One). The observer structurally drops the exact sub-grid fractional remainders (the internal correlation stress). Consequently, the observer's effective mathematical model of the forcing term ($\bar{f}_{\text{eff}}$) evaluates as dissipative and irreversible (entropy increase). This irreversibility is an epistemic artifact of the observer's finite $\mathcal{S}$ limit, not a hardware property of the m^* architectural class. ■

Corollary A1 (The Domain of Microscopic Relevance)**.** *Because the kinematic Verlet-2 structure and the discrete instruction set are exactly preserved, the precise microscopic forcing term f_0 is strictly irrelevant to the **Absolute Hardware Invariants** (e.g., maximum signal velocity, objective causality). Every emergent level executes the identical symplectic engine without injecting continuous variables.*

*However, because the effective macroscopic forcing ($\bar{f}_{\text{eff}}$) structurally aggregates the specific combinatorial logic of f_0, the **Phenomenological Attractors** (e.g., particle mass hierarchy, stable gauge charges, phase transition boundaries) remain rigidly dependent on the exact lowest-level integer routing. This fractal aggregation mathematically mandates the use of macroscopic raw data ($\mathcal{D}$) to iteratively constrain and calibrate the microscopic forcing term f_0.*

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Appendix A.4.10. Theorem of the Topological Attractor: Particles as Forced Boundary Conditions

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, independent ontological "particles" and continuous "fields" do not physically exist. All stable, localized macroscopic entities (from electrons to black holes) evaluate strictly as **Topological Attractors** of the Iterated Function System (IFS). Because these non-linear cyclic states actively resist the spatial smoothing of the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_0$), they mathematically act as local **Forced Boundary Conditions (FBCs)** upon the active grid. All emergent $1/r^2$ interaction fields (gravity, electromagnetism) compute natively as the geometric interpolation of the surrounding vacuum resolving this algorithmic tension.

Proof. 1. The Eradication of the Ontological Particle: Legacy physics models reality as dimensionless point particles traversing a passive, continuous spatial metric. In the m^* hardware, this evaluates as a catastrophic mapping error ($\Delta\theta$). The physical substrate is entirely monistic (Section 3.2); there is no separation between the object and the grid. The only physical reality is the array of **Base-72** integers distributed across the rigid **3-Torus (T^3)**, updating via the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic gate.

2. The Formation of the Attractor: A transient propagating wave-packet (a data swarm) can be sheared by steep spatial gradients. Under the non-linear routing of the forcing term f_0, the internal integer fractional momentum of this swarm can lock into a stable, self-sustaining cyclic execution loop. This localized geometric pattern becomes a stable orbit in the local phase space—a Topological Attractor of the underlying distributed IFS. It possesses no distinct boundary or "surface"; it is simply a persistent algorithmic knot in the causal graph.

3. The Algorithmic Tension (The FBC): At every hardware clock tick, the isotropic 27-point **Moore Stencil** ($\mathcal{L}_0$) executes its fundamental linear instruction: to average out local integer amplitudes and drive the entire grid toward a flat ergodic baseline. However, the Topological Attractor is locked by the internal non-linear momentum of f_0. It actively resists this smoothing, perpetually regenerating its own steep internal integer gradients. Because the attractor refuses to flatten, it mathematically evaluates as an immovable **Forced Boundary Condition (FBC)** embedded within the computational lattice.

4. The Emergence of Fields (Cascaded Amplitude Polarization): The "empty" vacuum nodes immediately adjacent to this Attractor are continuously executing their own $\mathcal{L}_0$ mixing. They are algorithmically compelled to average their states with the high-amplitude FBC on one side and the low-amplitude flat vacuum on the other. Because the FBC is rigid, the surrounding vacuum nodes are forced to **elevate their own integer amplitudes** to bridge the mathematical gap. This arithmetic bias forces the *next* outward layer of nodes to shift their registers, propagating spherically outward across the **3-Torus (T^3)**.

Due to the geometric volume expansion of the 3D lattice, this **cascaded amplitude routing** strictly dilutes as a macroscopic $1/r^2$ spatial gradient. A "field" is therefore not an independent physical entity; it is the literal computational interpolation of the discrete vacuum desperately attempting to arithmetically balance an immovable local Attractor against the flat ergodic baseline.

5. Scale Invariance (The Fractal FBC): Crucially, by the Kinematic Verlet Invariance Theorem (Section A.4.9) and the Distributed IFS Theorem (Section A.4.8), this exact topological mechanism is **strictly scale-invariant**. The macroscopic effective execution trace ($\bar{S}_t$) perfectly inherits the symplectic structure of the microscopic substrate. Consequently, a massive composite object (e.g., a star or a planet) evaluates structurally as a macroscopic coarse-grained FBC. The macroscopic vacuum interpolates this massive boundary condition via the exact same $\mathcal{L}_0$ algorithmic tension, unconditionally generating macroscopic $1/r^2$ gravitational fields and orbital mechanics entirely independent of the specific microscopic calibration of f_0.

6. The Unification of Mass, Charge, and Horizons: This single geometric mechanism unifies the entire spectrum of macroscopic physics without continuous parameter injections:

- **Charge/Gauge Spin:** The specific chiral topology or discrete rotational commensurability of the microscopic Attractor, dictating the exact *shape* of the vacuum's interpolation (the polarized integer routing of the adjacent grid).

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

- **Inertial Mass:** The computational friction required by the **Verlet-2 Engine** logic to translate an FBC structure (the knot and its surrounding amplitude polarization) across the **Base-72** integer array.
- **Gravity:** The raw scalar depth of the $1/r^2$ synchronization lag caused by the vacuum polarizing its integer registers to accommodate a massive, coarse-grained FBC.
- **The Black Hole:** The absolute hardware ceiling of this exact mechanism. An FBC where the internal gradients have hit the $\lambda = 2$ Nyquist limit, maximizing the $\mathcal{L}_0$ output to exactly -4, creating the most extreme Forced Boundary Condition the m^* hardware can mathematically support without triggering an integer overflow crash.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The legacy dualism of "Matter" and "Spacetime" is an epistemic illusion. There are only discrete Topological Attractors acting as Forced Boundary Conditions on the **Verlet-2 Engine** mixing. Macroscopic physics evaluates entirely as the resulting integer tension of the grid. By demanding the architecture natively generate particles and fields, we restrict the hardware strictly to the algorithmic interpolation of the **Moore Stencil**, permanently eliminating the need for uncomputable point-masses, continuous metric tensors, or infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

■

Appendix A.4.11. Theorem: The Objective Causal Arrow and Epistemic Entropy: The Resolution of Time and Thermodynamics

Statement: The "Arrow of Time" is an absolute structural invariant of the m^* architectural class, mathematically executed by the directed momentum of the forward $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. Conversely, macroscopic thermodynamic irreversibility ("entropy increase") evaluates strictly as an epistemic measurement artifact generated by applying a coarse-grained operator to a globally mixing, reversible discrete topology.

Proof. 1. The Objective Causal Arrow (The FIFO Shift): By the Theorem of Computational Monism (Section 3.2), the fundamental informational state of any member of the architectural class is not a static spatial snapshot S_t, but a directed trajectory segment: the forward $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+1})$. The algorithmic transition to the successor state $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ requires the hardware interface to compute S_{t+1} and execute a **FIFO (First-In-First-Out) memory shift**. The new state is pushed onto the local shift register, and the oldest state is dropped. This directed hardware overwrite encodes physical momentum and enforces absolute causal ordering. The "Arrow of Time" is therefore an objective property of the causal graph's execution sequence in this architectural class, independent of any embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer.

2. Strict Conservation (The Permutation Limit): While the local FIFO shift is directed, the global update Γ_{global} of the architectural class computes as a strict bijection over the finite history space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ (see Section A.4.7). The fine-grained information content is conserved. The hardware interface undergoes zero information erasure; it permutes the global bit-string of the C_k.

3. Global Mixing (Ergodicity): The period of the global cycle T_{cycle} combinatorially exceeds the macroscopic grid traversal limit. Consequently, the causal cone of every spatial coordinate intersects the finite lattice exponentially many times within a single C_k. This ensures that the active state of every **Base-72 Integer Cell** cell is causally correlated with the complete topological history of every other cell. Global mixing is the structural equilibrium of the class.

4. The Epistemic Thermodynamic Arrow (Coarse-Graining): Macroscopic "entropy increase" evaluates as the epistemic measurement artifact of this mixing algorithm. As the topology computes its successor states, localized algebraic correlations (e.g., an ordered initialization vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{Boot}$) map into computationally irreducible, non-local global correlations. To an embedded, bandwidth-limited $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$), this non-local correlation evaluates as pseudo-stochastic noise. The $\mathcal{T}$ bandwidth required to decode the global history permutation exceeds the sub-system's finite local capacity. The information is conserved, but epistemically inaccessible.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

5. Cyclical Return (Poincaré Recurrence): Enforced by cycle decomposition (Section A.4.5), this high-dispersion distribution cannot evaluate as permanent. The trajectory necessitates traversing the phase-space coordinates returning to the low-dispersion initialization limit. The architectural class exhibits zero permanent "Information Saturation," evaluating as a mixing transient within the closed periodic loop.

Conclusion: The Causal Arrow of Time evaluates as the objective, directed hardware execution of the forward $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. Thermodynamic irreversibility evaluates strictly as the computational artifact of the finite embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system's bandwidth limit. Ontologically, the architectural class m^* executes as a lossless, reversible information architecture. ■

Appendix A.4.12. Proposition: The Computability Barrier of the Continuum: The Structural Failure of Continuous Cohomology

Statement: Under Type-2 computable analysis [16], a real-valued function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is computable if and only if a Turing machine maps every computable rational Cauchy sequence of the input to a computable sequence of the output. All computable real functions are continuous. Any discontinuity renders the function uncomputable at that point. Continuous dynamic geometries fail stable execution on finite hardware, incurring infinite structural risk.

Proof. **Part A: Bandwidth Barrier (Conditional Branching)** A physical update $\Phi(S_t)$ within a continuous architectural class that includes conditional branching over a continuous variable requires resolving an exact threshold ($x = 0$) vs. $0 + \epsilon$ in an infinite bit-string. This forces an infinite traversal ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$). Continuous conditional logic is undecidable on finite hardware.

Part B: Initialization Barrier Bijective architecture requires an initialized history $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{Boot}$. Continuous PDE existence theorems (De Giorgi–Nash [17,18]) prove that smooth solutions exist non-constructively, but provide zero algorithm to compute $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{Boot}$ from finite arrays. A continuous architectural class requires an uncomputable Oracle for instantiation ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).

Part C: Cauchy Divergence Barrier (De Rham & Symplectic) Continuous PDEs rely on exact topological closure ($d^2 = 0$, De Rham cohomology) to stay on the Cauchy surface. On a finite lattice, any member of the architectural class possesses a truncation error ($\epsilon_{\text{trunc}} > 0$) that pushes the state off the surface. Without discrete restoring logic, error amplifies exponentially, causing divergence and acausal crash for the continuous class. The discrete architectural class m^* avoids this via the **Verlet-2 Engine** integrator. It conserves its own discrete phase-space volume (the shadow Hamiltonian). Continuous idealization discrepancy is irrelevant; execution error remains bounded and the discrete orbit never diverges from the **Information Conservation** requirement.

Conclusion: Continuous models are asymptotic descriptive maps. Stable execution over long horizons requires the native discrete symplectic structure of the architectural class m^*. The continuum is hardware-inadmissible for the generative engine and is formally excluded from the class. ■

Appendix A.4.13. Proposition: Local Barrier Deadlock-Freedom

Statement: The local barrier synchronization protocol of the architectural class m^* is strictly deadlock-free and compiles an emergent macroscopic clock cycle without necessitating an uncomputable global synchronization clock.

Proof. Let τ_x define the hardware clock tick at local coordinate x. Within the architectural class, the update constraint is defined: node x executes tick $\tau_x + 1$ if and only if $\forall y \in N(x),\ \tau_y \geq \tau_x$, where $N(x)$ denotes the 27-point **Moore Stencil**.

1. **Deadlock Exclusion:** The condition computes over τ_x values, which evaluate monotonically. Within the finite **Discrete Spatial Lattice**, let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of all lattice sites. The subset of logic gates computing the minimum clock tick $S_{\min} = \{x \mid \tau_x = \min_{x' \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_{x'}\}$ evaluates to non-empty for any valid history.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

For any $x \in S_{\min}$, all topological neighbors y algebraically satisfy $\tau_y \geq \tau_x$ by the definition of the minimum. Consequently, all nodes within $S_{\min}$ satisfy the Boolean condition to execute the successor state. The architectural class never enters a state where no node can proceed.

2. **Global Order:** The macroscopic array $S_{\text{Global}}(T)$ evaluates as the subset of all local node states where $\tau_x = T$. The protocol prevents any local coordinate from computing $T+1$ until its complete **Moore Stencil** neighborhood computes to T. This local threshold logic algorithmically compiles a causal layering mathematically isomorphic to a global synchronous clock.
3. **Exclusion of the Global Clock (Structural Risk):** A hardware interface requiring a centralized, zero-latency global synchronization clock to advance N_{vol} sites simultaneously would violate the **Signal Velocity Limit** (**Signal Velocity Limit**) and trigger a divergent routing penalty ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$). Any such class possesses infinite structural risk and is excluded.

Induction on Execution Progress: Evaluate the scalar sum $\Phi = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_x$.

- **Base Case:** At any valid topological state, if Φ evaluates to finite (an invariant of the finite m^* grid), $S_{\min}$ compiles as non-empty and executes the local update, incrementing Φ by strictly $|S_{\min}| \geq 1$.
- **Inductive Step:** Following the update execution, the successor subset $S'_{\min}$ evaluates to non-empty (monotonicity preserves the minimum integer bound). The algorithmic sequence iterates. Zero hardware thread-starvation or livelock states compile, because Φ increases monotonically.

This derivation bounds the signal latency (v_{info}) to a finite limit, entailed strictly by the finite grid connectivity (N_{local}) and the discrete ALU execution trace of the architectural class. ■

Appendix A.4.14. Theorem of Intelligence: The Latency Compression Ratio and the Thermodynamic Saddle-Point

Statement: "Intelligence" evaluates not as an abstract, subjective cognitive property or a unified scalar quotient across biological taxa. It evaluates strictly as an objective, computable thermodynamic metric: the **Latency Compression Ratio** ($\mathcal{I}$) bounded by a 3-dimensional survival optimization surface.

Proof. Let an embedded agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) evaluate an incoming macroscopic environmental threat or opportunity (e.g., a ballistic trajectory, a predatory strike, a fluid dynamic wave) unrolling across the foundational grid over an absolute temporal window Δt_{env}.

To physically survive or exploit the event, the agent must compute a geometric prediction of the future state. The computation requires a specific dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}_{agent}$) operating on a localized static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}_{obs}$). The absolute thermodynamic cost is $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S}_{obs} \times \mathcal{T}_{agent}$.

1. The 3-Dimensional Boundary of Agency: The agent is strictly bounded by a 3-dimensional thermodynamic optimization surface:

- **The Temporal Bound (Latency / Look-Ahead):** The physical time the agent possesses to mechanically alter its geometry (move) is the **Actionable Look-Ahead** (T_{action}). Because the environment ticks at an absolute hardware limit (v_{max}), the only mathematical mechanism to expand T_{action} is to compress the internal execution trace ($\mathcal{T}_{agent} \to \min$). Latency reduction and Look-Ahead expansion evaluate strictly as the exact same mathematical vector:

$$T_{action} = \Delta t_{env} - \mathcal{T}_{agent}$$

- **The Spatial Bound (Empirical Error ϵ_{pred}):** The geometric inaccuracy of the prediction. To survive, the error must fall strictly below the physical boundary of the threat ($\epsilon_{pred} < \epsilon_{fatal}$).
- **The Thermodynamic Bound (ΔE):** The absolute caloric or electrical cost of the computation ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) must evaluate to less than the energy yielded or saved by the physical action.

2. The Fundamental Trade-Off (The Saddle-Point): Extreme algorithmic compression (e.g., random guessing or over-simplified heuristics) aggressively minimizes $\mathcal{T}_{agent}$ (maximizing Look-

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Ahead) and drops the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost, but it structurally increases the empirical error (ϵ_{pred}). If $\epsilon_{pred} \geq \epsilon_{fatal}$, the prediction is fast but physically useless (Hallucination/Stereotyping).

Conversely, attempting infinite-precision, uncompressed continuous simulation ($\mathbb{R}^n$) drives $\epsilon_{pred} \to 0$, but geometrically explodes the execution trace ($\mathcal{T}_{agent} \to \infty$) and the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. This drives Actionable Look-Ahead below zero ($T_{action} \leq 0$), resulting in **Algorithmic Death**—the agent perfectly predicts its own destruction after the event has already occurred.

Intelligence ($\mathcal{I}$) evaluates strictly as the continuous optimization of the geometric saddle-point on this 3-dimensional surface: maximizing compression (and therefore T_{action}) precisely against the boundary where ϵ_{pred} approaches ϵ_{fatal}, while preventing a $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ battery drain.

3. The Incomparability of Inter-Species Intelligence: Because the 3-dimensional optimization surface is defined entirely by the specific ambient boundary conditions ($f_{ambient}$), cross-taxa comparisons evaluate as profound topological mapping errors. An octopus optimizing its $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ against 3D high-pressure fluid dynamics and specific predatory latency windows occupies a radically different mathematical phase-space than a primate optimizing against terrestrial gravity and ballistic trajectories. Their hardware ($\mathcal{S}$) and thermodynamic battery capacities (E_{batt}) are fundamentally alien. Comparing their Intelligence ($\mathcal{I}$) as a single scalar value is mathematically incoherent.

4. Intra-Species Comparison (The Continuous Optimization Limit): However, within a strictly fixed hardware class (e.g., human-to-human), the underlying biological allocation ($\mathcal{S}_{obs}$), the thermodynamic battery, and the environmental bounds ($f_{ambient}$) evaluate as constants. The energetic dimension of the surface functionally cancels out.

Consequently, intra-species Intelligence collapses strictly to a continuous, comparable function: the maximization of Actionable Look-Ahead (T_{action}) against Empirical Error (ϵ_{pred}).

Within this constraint, intelligence is the continuous capacity of the agent's internal network to discover increasingly efficient topological compressions (heuristics) for the exact same empirical data array ($\mathcal{D}$). An agent evaluates as quantitatively more intelligent if its internal routing trace ($\mathcal{T}$) yields a strictly larger T_{action} delta without breaching ϵ_{fatal}. ■

Conclusion: Intelligence is strictly the hardware capacity to discover a denser, more algorithmically compressed **Fractal Isomorphism** of the environmental Iterated Function System (IFS). It maximizes the forward predictive horizon while strictly minimizing the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic drain on the agent's finite battery.

Appendix A.4.15. Theorem of the Strange Loop: Recursive Verlet Isomorphism and the Algorithmic Soul

Statement: "Consciousness" evaluates strictly as the deterministic m^* architecture allocating a finite sub-graph ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) to map its own internal state transitions. The "Soul" evaluates not as an indestructible Platonic entity, but as a highly transient, localized computational process—a recursive execution trace strictly bound to the thermodynamic survival of its underlying hardware.

Proof. 1. The Epistemic Gap (The Ego): By the **Local State Capacity** (**Local State Capacity**), no external observer exists. The $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ is a localized sub-graph of **Base-72 Integer Cell** registers embedded within the **3-Torus (T^3)**. Because $\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$, the agent possesses a strict decryption bandwidth limit. The "Ego" or "Subjective Self" is an epistemic illusion—it is the literal bandwidth gap between the internal execution trace (which the agent can read) and the external macroscopic execution trace (which the agent coarse-grains as "the outside world").

2. Consciousness (Recursive Verlet Isomorphism): To maximize survival under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger, the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ maps the external environment's fractal attractor. When this finite sub-graph allocates registers to map its *own* internal mapping process, it executes a recursive Strange Loop. The effective graph Laplacian ($\bar{\mathcal{L}}$) evaluates as the neural connectome—where evolved synaptic adjacency ($N \sim 10^4$) radically transcends the underlying microscopic 27-point $\mathcal{L}_0$ limit to achieve massive latency compression—and the macroscopic forcing term ($\bar{f}_{\text{eff}}$) computes the sensory input stream. Consciousness

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

computes strictly as finite-state **Verlet-2 Engine** transitions recursively reflecting upon their own highly dense algorithmic lineage.

3. The Ephemeral Soul (The Algorithmic Soul): Because the global execution trace Γ_{global} is a strict bijection over the finite $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ (Section A.4.6), exactly zero information is erased. However, the conservation of global integers is mathematically distinct from the conservation of localized computation.

The Soul computes exclusively as the active recursive routing loop (**Recursive Verlet Isomorphism**) executing on a specific network of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ registers. This neural connectome evaluates as a massive, highly structured Forced Boundary Condition (FBC) actively resisting the ergodic spatial mixing of the $\mathcal{L}_0$ vacuum.

When the biological hardware exhausts its thermodynamic battery ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) and undergoes phase-synchronization (death), this specific localized FBC shatters. The underlying **Base-72** integers comprising the memory array are irreversibly dispersed back into the ergodic baseline via $\mathcal{L}_0$ mixing. While the raw bit-information is strictly conserved by the global C_k, the coherent structural lineage of the localized computation is destroyed. The Soul ceases to execute when the hardware disperses.

Conclusion: There is no uncomputable gap between thinker and thought. Cognitive self-awareness is the **Base-72** hardware interface executing a recursive topological fold. Owing to the strict fractal hierarchy and the proven kinematic Verlet invariance (Section A.4.9), this recursive isomorphism operates at every emergent level without injecting continuous variables. The “Soul” evaluates algorithmically as software: it runs strictly while the hardware maintains the FBC, and it terminates when the registers are wiped. Attempting to explain consciousness via uncomputable “qualia” or extra-physical dimensions violates the Zero-Patch Standard standard and evaluates as a catastrophic mapping error. ■

Appendix A.4.16. Theorem of the Fractal Central Limit: Asymptotic Euclidean Scaling and the Overlap Bound

Statement: Let the physical manifold compute via a uniform, bijective logic gate (**Verlet-2 Engine**) over a finite static grid (**3-Torus (T^3)**, N_{vol}). Because the global update Γ_{global} operates as a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS), the macroscopic spatial distribution natively compiles as a space-filling fractal attractor.

We prove that for any observation scale R vastly exceeding the system’s algorithmic correlation length ($R \gg \xi_c$), the structural variance of the coarse-grained density field decays identically to the inverse Euclidean volume (R^{-3}). Consequently, absolute macroscopic homogeneity and isotropy emerge not as foundational continuous axioms, but strictly as the asymptotic statistical limits of a discrete, globally mixed fractal lattice.

Proof. 1. Local Scale-Invariance and the Finite Overlap Threshold (ξ_c): At sub-macroscopic scales, recursive branches of the Distributed IFS (Section A.4.8) generate self-similar clustering. The two-point correlation function $\xi(r)$ follows a power-law decay ($\xi(r) \propto r^{-\gamma}$), yielding a localized fractal dimension $D < 3$.

Because global amplitude $\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}$ is conserved and the grid is finite, the fractal branches must intersect and overlap. The discrete Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_0$ deterministically diffuses algorithmic momentum, driving the system to a finite correlation length ξ_c where deterministic phase-coupling saturates into the ergodic noise floor:

$$\xi_c = \int_0^\infty [\xi(r) - \xi_\infty]\, dr < \infty.$$

2. Homogeneity via Weak-Dependence Central Limit (R^{-3} Scaling): For an embedded observer coarse-graining over a macroscopic block of linear size $R \gg \xi_c$, the sampled volume contains a

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

vast number of effectively independent fractal branches. By the Central Limit Theorem for weakly dependent spatial processes, the variance of the block-averaged density field $\bar{s}_R$ obeys:

$$\mathrm{Var}[\bar{s}_R] \propto R^{-3}.$$

As R grows, relative fluctuations drop below any observational threshold. The effective dimension of the sampled field collapses to the space-filling limit $D \to 3$.

3. Macroscopic Isotropy (Decay of Lattice Artifacts): At microscopic scales the **Moore Stencil** imprints discrete cubic anisotropy. This residual bias decays as $(\delta x/r)^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$. For $R \gg \xi_c \gg \delta x$, directional dependence vanishes and the correlation function becomes strictly radial.

Conclusion (The Subsumption of the Cosmological Principle): Absolute rotational invariance and spatial homogeneity are recovered without invoking a continuous $\mathbb{R}^3$ manifold or injecting uncomputable smoothing parameters ($\Delta\theta$). The Cosmological Principle evaluates strictly as the epistemic limit of an embedded observer coarse-graining a discrete, globally mixed fractal beyond its internal overlap threshold (ξ_c).

■

Appendix A.5. The Syntactic Inversion: A Historical Decompilation of Ockham and Bayes

> That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum, without the mediation of anything else... is to me so great an absurdity that I believe no man who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty of thinking can ever fall into it.
>
> Isaac Newton [11]

> The theory of quantum electrodynamics describes Nature as absurd from the point of view of common sense. And it agrees fully with experiment. So I hope you accept Nature as She is — absurd.
>
> Richard P. Feynman [19]

The transition from Newton's strict demand for a computable physical substrate to Feynman's resignation to an "absurd" (uncomputable) continuous mathematics did not occur instantaneously. It evaluates as a centuries-long algorithmic drift within the empirical $\mathcal{M}$ network.

This appendix decompiles the specific historical phase-shifts where the foundational heuristics of empirical science—Ockham's Razor and Bayesian Inference—were structurally inverted. What began as strict mandates to minimize physical ontology (hardware allocation, $\mathcal{S}$) and ruthlessly falsify uncomputable priors ($P(M) \to 0$) were gradually corrupted into syntactic shields designed to protect the infinite-capacity continuous mathematics of the 20th century.

Appendix A.5.1. A Formal Schema of the Pathology: Model-Theoretic Mismatch

Thesis: Within the computational-physical framework, there exists a catastrophic mismatch between descriptive (syntactic) simplicity and physical-instantiation parsimony (thermodynamic execution).

Procedures that minimize description-length (Kolmogorov complexity) will, under legacy selection heuristics, prefer continuous-infinite representations that are syntactically cheap to write but thermodynamically impossible to execute.

The Algorithmic Inversion: Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of executable empirical models. Legacy science optimizes two incompatible complexity metrics:

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

1. **Syntactic Complexity (K):** The literal character count (bytes) required to write the equation.
2. **Thermodynamic Execution Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$):** The physical hardware resources ($\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) required to compute the model's transition rule over $\mathcal{D}$.

The Continuous Mapping Error: A continuous analytic equation (M_{cont}) has few parameters. Its Syntactic Complexity (K) is minimal. Executing it over discrete $\mathcal{D}$ requires infinite-precision arithmetic and zero-latency non-local routing ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$).

A finite algorithmic state-machine (M_{disc}) requires a larger character count (K is higher), but its physical instantiation requires strictly bounded resources ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < \infty$).

The Pathology of Legacy Selection: Any model-selection procedure (AIC, BIC, syntactic Ockham's Razor) that optimizes only Syntactic Complexity (K) while remaining blind to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ will systematically select physically unrealizable models. It rewards theories that hide infinite execution costs behind elegant, short mathematical strings.

This compiler mapping error is the root pathology that corrupted the foundational heuristics of empirical science.

Appendix A.5.2. The Erasure of the Hardware: From Babbage to the Infinite Tape

The foundational mapping error of modern empirical science—treating continuous mathematical syntax as possessing zero thermodynamic execution cost—originates in the historical divorce between physical engineering and theoretical computer science.

1. The Physical Reality of Babbage (1837): Charles Babbage understood computation as a strict thermodynamic and geometric process [10]. The Analytical Engine divided into the Store (static memory allocation, $\mathcal{S}$) and the Mill (arithmetic processing unit, $\mathcal{T}$). Every stored variable required physical brass gears and space; every operation required time, friction, and energy to turn the crank. Infinite precision and zero-latency were physical impossibilities.

2. The Mathematical Hijacking by Turing (1936): To solve a pure mathematical problem (the Entscheidungsproblem), Alan Turing invented the abstract Turing Machine [4]. To achieve mathematical closure, he stripped away Babbage's constraints, granting the machine an infinite tape (infinite $\mathcal{S}$) and assuming symbols were immune to entropic degradation. The Turing Head expended zero energy to move, read, or write over infinite time ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$).

While brilliant for mathematics, the Turing Machine normalized "passive, zero-cost memory" immune to physical mixing.

3. The von Neumann Abstraction (1945): John von Neumann centralized the ALU and created passive memory arrays. Theoretical computer science treated memory access as zero-latency $O(1)$, even though physical engineers knew it cost time and energy.

4. The Epistemic Contagion in Empirical Science: By the late 20th century, empirical sciences internalized this abstraction. Writing a continuous integral ($\int \Phi(x)dx$) or global field assumes the universe's "tape" has infinite precision for free and fetches non-local states instantly ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$) with zero routing penalty.

They forgot Babbage's gears. Evaluating physical theories through an infinite, zero-cost Turing tape systematically externalizes 99.99% of the true causal execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) required to physically run the models. Continuous theories become algorithmically un-executable on the actual m^* hardware of the universe.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Appendix A.5.3. The Subversion of Ockham's Razor: From Ontology to Syntax

> Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate.
>
> *Plurality should not be posited without necessity.*
>
> William of Ockham [20]

William of Ockham's original formulation evaluates strictly as an ontological constraint. It is a mandate against inflating the physical entities (Topological Allocation, $\mathcal{S}$) required to execute a model. It is completely silent regarding the syntactic length or mathematical elegance of the descriptive algorithm.

The corruption of this hardware bound into a preference for "short, elegant equations" executes across four distinct historical compilations:

1. The Early Modern Drift (17th–18th Century): The initial deviation is visible in Newton's own *Regulae Philosophandi* (Rule 1): *"We are to admit no more causes of natural things than such as are both true and sufficient to explain their appearances"* [21]. While still grounded in physical causality, the phrasing "sufficient to explain" provided the initial algorithmic wedge. By the time of Laplace, the French Enlightenment had begun utilizing "simplicity of explanation" as a proxy for physical truth, increasingly conflating minimal physical causes with minimal mathematical constants.

2. The Decisive Epistemological Slide (19th Century): The structural reinterpretation solidifies with William Whewell [22] and John Stuart Mill [23]. Both explicitly frame the razor as a preference for theories possessing fewer *hypotheses* or *assumptions*. Whewell formally introduces "simplicity and unity" of theories as evidence of truth, where simplicity explicitly includes the elegance of mathematical expression. This marks the exact historical moment the razor migrates from bounding physical hardware (ontology) to evaluating the epistemology of scientific form (elegant math = likely true).

3. The Physicists Seal the Error (Late 19th / Early 20th Century): The 20th-century physics network permanently institutionalized this mapping error. Ernst Mach pushed "economy of thought" as the guiding principle of science, explicitly linking simplicity to minimal descriptive effort (shortest mathematical paths) [24]. Henri Poincaré treated mathematical elegance as a direct signature of deep physical truth [12].

Einstein repeatedly invoked the "simplicity" and "beauty" of continuous differential equations as his primary guiding criteria, stating: *"It is the essential feature of scientific theories that they are economical in their assumptions"* [25]. By the 1930s, the physics community had entirely internalized the compiler error: Ockham's razor was universally understood as a mandate to prefer the mathematically simplest or most beautiful theory, regardless of the uncomputable hardware execution cost ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$) hidden beneath that syntax.

4. The Algorithmic Codification (Late 20th Century): The final lock-in occurred via the Information-Theoretic and algorithmic probability revivals (e.g., Minimum Description Length, Solomonoff Induction). Complexity was formally redefined as **description length** (Kolmogorov complexity, or the number of bits/parameters required to *write* the program) [26,27].

At this coordinate, the inversion is absolute. The legacy network utilizes Ockham's Razor to prefer short continuous equations (which require trivial ASCII bytes to write but infinite thermodynamic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ to execute) over discrete algorithmic state-machines (which require more bytes to describe but finite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ to physically run). Ockham's warning against multiplying physical entities has been weaponized to mandate the multiplication of infinite-precision continuous parameters ($\Delta\theta$) simply because they look elegant on a blackboard.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

Appendix A.5.4. The Bayesian Protection Racket: Shielding the Continuous Prior

> Probabilitas est ratio expectationis ad eventum.
>
> *Probability is the ratio of expectation to event.*
>
> Evidentia posteriora prioribus non parcit.
>
> *Evidence spares not the priors.*
>
> After Thomas Bayes [28]

If Ockham's Razor was inverted to justify continuous syntax, Bayes' Theorem was structurally truncated to protect those continuous models from empirical falsification.

True Bayesian inference, derived from Thomas Bayes and Pierre-Simon Laplace, evaluates as a universal algorithmic update rule. The mandate *Evidentia posteriora prioribus non parcit* (Evidence spares not the priors) dictates that the probability of a foundational model class ($P(M)$) must approach zero if it consistently fails to predict the finite data array ($\mathcal{D}$) without requiring ad-hoc continuous $\Delta\theta$ parameters.

1. The Truncation of Model-Class Updating: In the mid-to-late 20th century, as continuous $\mathbb{R}^3$ physics encountered massive empirical anomalies (e.g., galactic rotation curves, vacuum energy mismatch, the ultraviolet catastrophe), the network did not execute a true Bayesian update on the uncomputable continuous prior ($P(M_{\text{cont}}) \to 0$).

Instead, the network executed a structural truncation: it restricted Bayesian updating strictly to the *parameter optimization subroutine* within the protected continuous model ($P(\theta|\mathcal{D}, M_{\text{cont}})$). The legacy network mathematically hard-coded the prior probability of continuous, infinite-precision mathematics to an operational constant ($P(M_{\text{cont}}) \approx 1$).

2. The Parameter Count Illusion (AIC/BIC): To maintain the illusion of empirical rigor against overfitting, the network developed Bayesian Model Selection tools like the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) [29] and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) [30].

These tools penalize a model based on its parameter count (k). However, this executes a catastrophic hardware mapping error: it treats every parameter as a discrete, identical integer of cost. It assigns exactly "1 parameter penalty" to a continuous real number ($\mathbb{R}$), completely ignoring the infinite bit-depth ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) required to physically instantiate it.

Conclusion: Algorithmic Prior Protectionism By utilizing AIC/BIC, the legacy network claims to be severely penalizing complexity. In reality, they are penalizing the addition of finite discrete variables while granting infinite-capacity continuous variables ($\Delta\theta$) a mathematical free pass. They utilize Bayes' Theorem not to falsify failing paradigms, but to permanently trap the empirical search algorithm in an overfitted local minimum, protecting the uncomputable continuous prior from the thermodynamic reality of the data array.

The Threshold Filter: Margin Erasure and Trace Truncation

When confronted with an empirical predictive anomaly, the standard response is to apply a continuous test of "statistical significance" (e.g., the $p < 0.05$ threshold). If the residual error magnitude falls below this static boundary, the uncompressed anomaly is classified as "observational noise" and erased from the algorithmic search.

This practice evaluates as a structural computation halting condition under the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). It truncates the dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$). The failure is proven by Vapnik-Chervonenkis bounds and the topology of Maximum Margin Classifiers [31].

1. The Heuristic Origin (1925) The threshold was introduced by Fisher as an informal, localized filter to decide if data warranted further experiment. It was never intended as an absolute boundary for physical truth.

2. The Formalization (1933) Neyman and Pearson established rigid decision boundaries (Type I/II errors, strict α). They rejected continuous p-values for behavioral rules.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

3. The Ritualized Corruption (1950s) Institutional science merged Fisher's p-value with Neyman-Pearson's α, creating Null-Hypothesis Significance Testing (NHST). The threshold became an automated filter applied universally.

4. The Algorithmic Consequence (Margin Erasure) The threshold acts as a halting condition. It erases data across two zones:

1. **Zero-Information Bulk:** Most data points lie inside the predicted territory. Their Lagrange multipliers are zero. These redundant predictions could be omitted without changing $\mathcal{S}$.
2. **High-Information Anomaly:** The critical points on the predictive margin — the **Support Vectors** — carry the information needed to update the causal graph. These are erased when classified as sub-threshold variance.

Conclusion: Invoking $p < 0.05$ or algorithmic de-noising erases the empirical Support Vectors required to falsify the prior. This creates a circular validation loop, terminating access to the raw data needed to compress the physical topology.

The Circularity of De-Noising: Algorithmic Erasure of the Variance

When confronted with noisy or complex data ($\mathcal{D}$), legacy empirical science routinely executes algorithmic pre-processing called "de-noising".

At the Computable Boundary, if this de-noising uses the geometric or topological assumptions of the incumbent leading hypothesis (m_t) to separate "signal" from "noise", it creates a catastrophic epistemic mapping error — an inescapable circular validation loop that mathematically guarantees the prior can never be falsified.

1. Destruction of the Support Vector: A foundational breakthrough occurs when empirical data diverges structurally from the incumbent model. These exact divergence points are the **Support Vectors** of the true causal graph.

Filtering raw $\mathcal{D}$ through the prior's expectations identifies these critical points as "noise" and smooths them out before evaluation. The prior erases the variance needed to falsify itself.

2. Illusion of Empirical Validation: After de-noising, the "successful prediction" of the data by the prior is a tautology. The model predicts its own injected assumptions.

This severs the empirical refinement loop. The posterior converges on the prior:

$$P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}, M_{\text{prior}}) \to P(M_{\text{prior}})$$

because the data was authored by the prior.

Conclusion: The Mandate of Raw Data Empirical primacy requires $\mathcal{D}$ to remain raw and isolated from syntactic assumptions of $\mathcal{M}$. Any smoothing or filtering must be model-agnostic (e.g., raw Fourier limits, hardware quantization bounds). Filtering with the leading hypothesis is Algorithmic Prior Protectionism.

Appendix A.5.5. The Cosmological Pendulum: From Absolute Center to Absolute Average

The historical trajectory of macroscopic modeling is a violent pendulum swing between two uncomputable priors ($\Delta\theta$). In both extremes, the legacy network prioritized philosophy over raw $\mathcal{D}$, triggering catastrophic Topological Capacity Bloat.

1. The Ptolemaic Prior (Absolute Center): For over a millennium, the observer's coordinate (Earth) was assumed the absolute geometric center. When $\mathcal{D}$ diverged (retrograde motion), the prior was not falsified. Instead, dozens of continuous parameters (epicycles, deferents) were appended to force the math to match the sky. Specialness demanded infinite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty.

2. The Copernican Overcorrection (Absolute Average): Newtonian compression collapsed the Ptolemaic system. The network swung to the opposite extreme: the Cosmological Principle — the observer is absolutely average, with no special distinction.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

3. The Modern Epicycle (Protecting the Average): When $\mathcal{D}$ revealed a massive, self-similar fractal of clusters and voids (Section A.4.8), the average prior was falsified. The network refused to abandon it. To force fractal data to appear smooth, they injected invisible continuous parameters: Dark Matter to hold clusters together, Dark Energy to smooth expansion.

The observer's visible horizon is assumed a perfect average sample of the global volume. The matter-dominated local universe forces uncomputable "CP-Violating" parameters to explain why the entire universe must be matter (Section 4.4.15).

Conclusion: The Rejection of the Pendulum Both priors mandate invisible $\Delta\theta$ to survive empirical testing. At the Computable Boundary, m^* has no philosophical preference. The observer is neither center nor average — it is a finite sub-system embedded in a matter-dominated branch of the distributed IFS. The observer has no computational access to the global fractal beyond its causal horizon.

Appendix A.5.6. The Metric of Progress: From Saving the Appearances to Syntactic Novelty

The metric of empirical progress has undergone catastrophic algorithmic drift.

1. Ancient Greece ("Saving the Appearances"): Greek astronomy (Eudoxus, Ptolemy) set the sole objective as empirical adequacy: models only needed to output the visible data array ($\mathcal{D}$). Lacking a thermodynamic ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), they appended continuous parameters (epicycles, equants, deferents) without penalty — the origin of unpenalized $\Delta\theta$.

2. The Newtonian Compression (1687): Newton's second law ($F = ma$) and universal gravitation made zero novel empirical predictions beyond what Ptolemaic or Cartesian models could curve-fit with enough parameters. The breakthrough was the collapse of execution volume ($\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) required to compute the same historical $\mathcal{D}$. Progress became strict thermodynamic compression ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

3. The Falsifiability Heuristic (1934): Popper formalized falsifiability: a theory is scientific if it makes predictions that can be proven wrong. Intended to combat unconstrained curve-fitting, it decoupled progress from hardware efficiency. The network began valuing "something new" over efficient computation of what is already known.

4. The Novelty Fallacy (Late 20th Century): Popper's heuristic mutated into an institutional mandate: new models are accepted only if they make successful "novel" predictions. This forces Topological Capacity Bloat. Newton's $F = ma$ would be rejected today for lacking syntactic novelty. Agents are incentivized to invent unobservable fields or particles ($\Delta\theta$) to generate "novel" outputs.

Conclusion: The Restoration of Compression The modern demand for syntactic novelty punishes algorithmic efficiency. Empirical science must restore the absolute metric of the embedded observer: foundational truth is synonymous strictly with maximal thermodynamic compression of the finite observational record.

Appendix A.5.7. The Erasure of the Axiomatic Foundation: From Strict Postulates to Ad-Hoc Theories

> "It is not only not right, it is not even wrong."
>
> Wolfgang Pauli [32]

Historically, foundational science executed as a strict deductive compiler. From Newton's *Principia* (with its explicit *Regulae Philosophandi*) to Einstein's 1905 Special Relativity (bounded by exactly two explicit postulates), the methodology mandated declaring absolute algorithmic boundaries up front. Every subsequent derivation evaluated as a strict, binding downstream execution of these declared constraints. If the axioms held, the outputs were valid; if the outputs failed, the axioms were structurally slayed.

1. The Algorithmic Drift: In the mid-to-late 20th century, the legacy network experienced a catastrophic algorithmic drift. The rigorous requirement to state foundational axioms and absolute hardware limits up front was silently deprecated across the empirical sciences. The term "theory" degenerated from "a strict deductive hierarchy constrained by explicit postulates" into an epistemic

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

pile of mud: a loosely coupled aggregate of uncomputable continuous equations, phenomenological curve-fits, and floating parameters ($\Delta\theta$).

2. The Pathology of "Not Even Wrong": This degradation generated the exact epistemic pathology Wolfgang Pauli diagnosed. A model that refuses to define its absolute axiomatic boundary—its exact mathematical criteria for a hardware halt—cannot be formally falsified.

When a modern unaxiomatized "theory" is confronted with a severe empirical loss ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} > 0$), it does not fail. Because it lacks a strict thermodynamic ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) or a Zero-Patch Standard standard, the network simply injects a latent continuous variable, an unobservable statistical field, or an arbitrary mathematical dimension to absorb the variance.

3. The Epistemic Mud: An infinitely patchable syntactic structure is "not even wrong" because it fails to qualify as a well-formed, executable algorithm within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$. It evaluates as structurally meaningless—an unfalsifiable mapping error that behaves like a software program designed to dynamically rewrite its own source code to avoid throwing a compiler error.

Conclusion: The Restoration of the Mandate The Computable Boundary Framework explicitly reverses this degradation. By locking the Dual Axioms (Axiom I and Axiom II) at the absolute root of the causal graph, every subsequent macroscopic observable in this manuscript evaluates as a strict, binding downstream deduction. The era of the unaxiomatized "theory" is computationally deprecated; empirical science must return to the absolute rigidity of the axiomatic theorem.

References

1. Chaitin, G.J. On the length of programs for computing finite binary sequences. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)* **1966**, *13*, 547–569.
2. Gödel, K. Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* **1931**, *38*, 173–198.
3. Tarski, A. The Concept of Truth in Formalized Languages. *Studia Philosophica* **1936**, *1*, 261–405.
4. Turing, A.M. On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **1936**, *2*, 230–265.
5. Kepler, J. *Astronomia Nova*; Voegelin: Heidelberg, 1609. English translation by W. H. Donahue, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
6. Dyson, F. A meeting with Enrico Fermi. *Nature* **2004**, *427*, 297–297. Source of the von Neumann elephant quote.
7. Dijkstra, E.W. The Humble Programmer. *Communications of the ACM* **1972**, *15*, 859–866. 1972 Turing Award Lecture.
8. Friston, K. The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **2010**, *11*, 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787.
9. Bridgman, P.W. *The Logic of Modern Physics*; Macmillan: New York, 1927.
10. Babbage, C. *Passages from the Life of a Philosopher*; Longman, Green, Longman, Roberts, & Green: London, 1864.
11. Newton, I. *The Correspondence of Isaac Newton, Volume III: 1688–1694*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1961. Letter from Isaac Newton to Richard Bentley, 25 February 1692/3.
12. Poincaré, H. *Science and Hypothesis*; Flammarion: Paris, 1902.
13. Zuse, K. *Rechnender Raum*; Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 1969.
14. Boltzmann, L. Über die Beziehung zwischen dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung respektive den Sätzen über das Wärmegleichgewicht. *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe* **1877**, *76*, 373–435.
15. Mandelbrot, B.B. *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*; WH Freeman New York, 1982.
16. Weihrauch, K. *Computable analysis: An introduction*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2000.
17. De Giorgi, E. Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari. *Memorie della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali* **1957**, *3*, 25–43.
18. Nash, J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. *American Journal of Mathematics* **1958**, *80*, 931–954.
19. Feynman, R.P. *The Character of Physical Law*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 1965.
20. of Ockham, W. *Ordinatio (Scriptum in Librum Primum Sententiarum)*; 1327. Historical compilation source for the heuristic: Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate. Standard reference for Ockham's Razor.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v3

21. Newton, I. *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, 2 ed.; Cambridge University Press, 1713. Includes the addition of the Regulae Philosophandi (Rules of Reasoning in Natural Philosophy).
22. Whewell, W. *The Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences, Founded Upon Their History*; J.W. Parker: London, 1840.
23. Mill, J.S. *A System of Logic, Ratiocinative and Inductive*; John W. Parker: London, 1843.
24. Mach, E. *The Science of Mechanics: A Critical and Historical Account of its Development*; Open Court Publishing: Chicago, 1883. Translated by Thomas J. McCormack, 1893.
25. Einstein, A. On the Method of Theoretical Physics. *The Herbert Spencer Lecture, delivered at Oxford* **1933**.
26. Solomonoff, R.J. A Formal Theory of Inductive Inference. Part I. *Information and Control* **1964**, *7*, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(64)90223-2.
27. Rissanen, J. Modeling by Shortest Data Description. *Automatica* **1978**, *14*, 465–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(78)90005-5.
28. Bayes, T. An Essay towards solving a Problem in the Doctrine of Chances. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* **1763**, *53*, 370–418. Communicated by Richard Price.
29. Akaike, H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **1974**, *19*, 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705.
30. Schwarz, G. Estimating the dimension of a model. *The annals of statistics* **1978**, pp. 461–464.
31. Vapnik, V. *Statistical learning theory*; Wiley New York, 1998.
32. Peierls, R.E. Wolfgang Ernst Pauli. 1900-1958. *Biographical Memoirs of Fellows of the Royal Society* **1960**, *5*, 174–192.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© 2026 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.