Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Stereographic Projection of Bloch Sphere and Quantum Error Correction

Unnathi Venkatesh *

Posted Date: 30 October 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

Keywords: Stereographic Projection; Bloch Sphere

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

Review

Stereographic Projection of Bloch Sphere

Unnathi Venkatesh

Centre for Fundamental Research and Creative Education, Bengaluru; mailto:unnathivenkatesh@gmail.com or unnathivenkatesh@gmail.com

Abstract: The Bloch sphere is a powerful geometrical representation of qubit states, fundamental to understanding quantum information theory. This paper explores the stereographic projection of the Bloch sphere, focusing on its utility in addressing decoherence and quantum error correction, two major challenges in quantum computing. By mapping the complex quantum states onto a two-dimensional plane, the stereographic projection simplifies the visualization and manipulation of qubit transformations. We investigate how this projection aids in identifying and correcting errors that arise from decoherence—such as phase flips and bit flips—while maintaining the integrity of quantum states. Additionally, we investigate the influence of decoherence on qubits through this projection, demonstrating how error correction protocols can be optimized by mapping errors onto the complex plane. The analysis highlights the potential of stereographic projection in developing more robust strategies for mitigating decoherence, thereby advancing the overall performance of quantum systems.

Keywords: Stereographic Projection; Bloch Sphere

---

1. Introduction

Qubits, or quantum bits, are the fundamental units of information in quantum computing, similar to classical bits in traditional computing. Unlike a classical bit that can be either 0 or 1, a qubit can exist in a superposition of states. Superposition allows a qubit to exist in a linear combination of both 0 and 1. This fundamental property allows quantum computers to process vast amounts of information simultaneously, offering solutions to problems in cryptography, optimization, and simulation of quantum systems, among others. It allows quantum computers to tackle problems that are currently intractable for classical systems, such as factoring large numbers, simulating molecular structures, and optimizing large-scale systems.

The Bloch sphere is a geometric representation of a qubit, providing an intuitive way to visualize its quantum state. It is a unit sphere in three-dimensional space, where any point on or within the sphere corresponds to a possible state of a qubit.

1.1. Quantum States

Quantum states are the fundamental entities in quantum mechanics that describe the properties of a quantum system. For a single qubit, a quantum state can be represented as a vector in a two-dimensional complex vector space, often referred to as Hilbert space. The general state of a qubit $|\psi\rangle$ is expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \equiv (\alpha, \beta)^T$$

Where α and β are complex numbers that satisfy the normalization condition $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. These coefficients represent the probability amplitudes of the qubit being in the respective basis states. Here, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are the basis states, analogous to the classical bit values 0 and 1.

1.1.1. Pure states

A pure state is a quantum state that is fully described by a specific wavefunction. Mathematically, a pure state for a qubit can be written as a superposition of the basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, represented as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

The condition $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ ensure that the total probability is normalized.

Quantum states can also be represented using matrices, specifically density matrices. The density matrix ρ for a pure state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by:

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^* & \beta^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & \alpha\beta^* \\ \alpha^*\beta & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

On the Bloch Sphere, pure states correspond to points on the surface of the unit sphere.

1.1.2. Mixed states

A mixed state is a statistical ensemble of pure states, representing a quantum system in which there is uncertainty about the exact state. Instead of being in a definite pure state, the system exists in a probabilistic mixture of different pure states. For a single qubit, the density matrix for a mixed state can be written as:

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle\psi_i|$$

Where p_i are probabilities associated with the pure states $|\psi_i\rangle$ and the sum of the probabilities is 1 ($\sum_i p_i = 1$).

On the Bloch Sphere, mixed states correspond to points inside the sphere, rather than on the surface. The closer a point is to the centre of the Bloch sphere, the more "mixed" the state is, with the centre of the sphere representing the maximally mixed state, where there is complete uncertainty about the system's state

The rank of ρ is determined by the number of non-zero eigenvalues it has, which corresponds to the number of pure states that contribute to the mixed state.

For two-level quantum systems (qubits), any density matrix ρ can be expressed in the Bloch sphere representation:

$$\rho = p_1 |\psi_1\rangle \langle\psi_1| + p_2 |\psi_2\rangle \langle\psi_2| \tag{1}$$

Where $(p_1, p_2 > 0$ and $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.

$$\rho = p_1 |\psi_1\rangle \langle\psi_1| + (1 - p_1) |\psi_2\rangle \langle\psi_2| \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ |\psi_2\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then the density matrix would be:

$$\rho = p_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^* & \beta_1^* \end{pmatrix} + p_2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^* & \beta_2^* \end{pmatrix}$$

which expands into:

$$\rho = p_1 \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha_1|^2 & \alpha_1{\beta_1}^* \\ {\alpha_1}^*\beta_1 & |\beta_1|^2 \end{pmatrix} + p_2 \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha_2|^2 & \alpha_2{\beta_2}^* \\ {\alpha_2}^*\beta_2 & |\beta_2|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given a density matrix ρ, its corresponding Bloch vector $r = (r_x, r_y, r_z)$ can be obtained by:

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

$$r_x = Tr(\rho\sigma_x) r_y = Tr(\rho\sigma_y) r_z = Tr(\rho\sigma_z)$$

Where σ represents Pauli matrices.

For mixed states, $|r| < 1$. The magnitude of the Bloch vector $|r|$measures the degree of purity:

$$\text{purity } = \text{Tr}\left(\rho^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + |r|^2)$$

1.2. Coherence and decoherence

In quantum mechanics, coherence refers to the ability of a quantum system to maintain superpositions of states. Decoherence occurs when a quantum system interacts with its surrounding environment, causing it to lose coherence. This interaction "entangles" the system with the environment, leading to the loss of information about the relative phases between the components of the quantum state. Mathematically, decoherence transforms a pure quantum state into a mixed state. This transformation is caused by the environment "measuring" or interacting with the system, causing the superposition to collapse into a classical probabilistic distribution.

Quantum algorithms rely on the ability of qubits to maintain superpositions and entanglement over extended periods of time. However, decoherence can quickly destroy these delicate quantum states, leading to computational errors. This is why quantum computers need to operate in carefully controlled environments, such as cryogenic temperatures, to minimize interaction with the environment.

Additionally, quantum error correction (QEC) schemes have been developed to mitigate the effects of decoherence. These methods use redundant encoding of quantum information across multiple qubits to detect and correct errors caused by decoherence, thereby preserving the integrity of the quantum computation.

2. Stereographic projection of Bloch Sphere

2.1. Bloch sphere as a unit sphere

The Bloch sphere represents a qubit state as a point on the surface of a unit sphere in a three-dimensional space. The north and south poles of the sphere correspond to the basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, respectively. The ends of two perpendicular horizontal axes, the x and the y, are labelled $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$, and $|i\rangle$ and $|-i\rangle$, respectively.

Using the equation for a quantum state, they can be rewritten as:

$$|\psi\rangle \ = \ r_\alpha e^{i\theta_\alpha} \ |0\rangle + r_\beta \ e^{i\theta_\beta} |1\rangle$$

If two quantum states in polar form differ only by a factor of some $e^{i\theta}$ then they are considered indistinguishable and can be treated as the same mathematically. This is called a global phase. Which means the equation can be rewritten as:

$$|\psi\rangle \ = \ e^{-i\theta_\alpha} \left(r_\alpha e^{i\theta_\alpha} \ |0\rangle + r_\beta \ e^{i\theta_\beta} |1\rangle\right)$$

$$= \ r_\alpha \ |0\rangle + r_\beta \ e^{i\theta} |1\rangle)$$

Since,

$$|\alpha|^2 + \ |\beta|^2 = \ 1$$

$$|r_\alpha|^2 + |x+iy|^2 = {r_\alpha}^2 + (x+iy)(x-iy)$$

$${r_\alpha}^2 + x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

Which is a sphere of radius 1.
Knowing that r = 1, the form of a quantum state on the Bloch sphere can be represented as in spherical coordinates in terms of its angles relative to the axis of the Bloch sphere.

With spherical coordinates defined as such:

$$x = r \times \sin\varphi \times \cos\theta$$

$$y = r \times \sin\varphi \times \sin\theta$$

$$z = r\cos\varphi$$

Setting $z = r_\alpha$, and replacing x and y in the quantum state:

$$|\psi\rangle = \cos\varphi|0\rangle + \sin\varphi(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)|1\rangle \tag{4}$$
$$= \cos\varphi|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}\sin\varphi|1\rangle \tag{5}$$

To ensure that every possible qubit state can be mapped to a unique point on the surface of the Bloch sphere the half angle form is used and a general pure state of a qubit up to a global phase factor can be written as:

$$|\psi\rangle \;=\; cos\,\frac{\varphi}{2}\,|0\rangle +\, e^{i\theta}\,sin\,\frac{\varphi}{2}\,|1\rangle$$

where angles $0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi$ $(polar\ coordinate)\ and\ 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ $(azhimuthal\ coordinate)$ are spherical coordinates.

2.2. Stereographic Projection

The Riemann sphere, also called the extended complex plane, is a 1-point compactification of the complex plane, $C \cup \infty$ denoted as $\hat{C}$. Stereographic projection maps a point $q = (x, y, z)$ on the unit sphere to a point $z = \ x + iy$ on $\hat{C}$. The line passing through the north pole N = (0, 0, 1) and the point q on S 2 intersects C~ at the point z.

To derive the stereographic projection formula, we consider a unit sphere centred at the origin in three-dimensional space. The north pole of the sphere is at (0,0,1), and we project points from the sphere onto the plane $z = 0$. Stereographic projection maps circles of the unit sphere, which contain the north pole, to Euclidean straight lines in the complex plane; it maps circles of the unit sphere, which do not contain the north pole, to circles in the complex plane.

Let P be a point on the sphere with coordinates (x, y, z). The stereographic projection maps P to a point P' on the plane with coordinates $(x,\ y, 0)$. The line connecting the north pole (0,0,1) and the point P intersects the plane $z = 0$ at P'.

Here's how we derive the formula:

1. Consider a unit sphere centred at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x, y, z)
2. The stereographic projection projects the sphere onto the complex plane from the north pole. That is, we draw a line from the north pole $(0, 0, 1)$ through P and see where it intersects the plane $z = 0$, which we can identify with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.
3. Points on the unit sphere satisfy the $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. Parameterizing the sphere, the coordinates can also be represented as $(\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\phi)$.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

4. The line from (0, 0, 1) through $P = (x, y, z)$ can be parameterized as:

$$r(t) = (0,\ 0,\ 1) + t(x,\ y,\ z - 1)$$

We need to find t for which r(t) intersects the plane z = 0

$$1 + t(z - 1) = 0\ \Rightarrow t = \frac{1}{1 - z}$$

Substituting t into the parameterization gives

$$\left(x^{'},\ y^{'},\ z^{'}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{1 - z},\ \frac{y}{1 - z}, 0\right)$$

The point $(x',\ y')$ corresponds to the complex number$z' = x + iy$

For a point $(x,\ y,\ z)$ on the sphere (except the north pole) to a point z′ in the complex plane is given by:

$$z' = \frac{x + iy}{1 - z}$$

The north pole maps to the point infinity in the extended complex plane.

Since any point on the Bloch sphere can be written in Cartesian coordinates as:

$$x = \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi)$$

$$y = \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi)$$

$$z = \cos(\theta)$$

Plugging the coordinates into the stereographic projection formula:

$$z' = \frac{\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) + i\sin(\theta)\sin(\phi)}{1 - \cos(\phi)}$$

$$z' = \frac{\sin(\theta)e^{i\phi}}{1 - \cos(\theta)}$$

Use the trigonometric identity

$$\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1 - \cos(\theta)}{2}$$

$$z' = \frac{\sin(\theta)e^{i\phi}}{2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}}$$

Since $\sin\theta = 2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}$

$$z^{'} = \frac{2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\phi}}{2\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\phi}}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

Which means

$$z' = \cot\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\phi}$$

The stereographic projection of a point on the Bloch sphere with coordinates (θ, ϕ) onto the complex plane is given by:

$$z' = \cot\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\phi}$$

Conformality: Stereographic projection preserves angles. This means that the angle between two curves on the Bloch sphere is the same as the angle between their images on the complex plane. This property makes stereographic projection particularly useful for preserving the geometric relationships of quantum states.

Inverse Mapping: The inverse stereographic projection allows us to map points from the complex plane back to the Bloch sphere. Given a point z′ on the complex plane, the corresponding point on the Bloch sphere is:

$$\theta = 2\arctan|z'|, \phi = arg(z')$$

where $\arg(z')$is the argument (phase) of the complex number z′.

Unitary transformations: Unitary transformations on qubit states correspond to Möbius transformations on the complex plane under stereographic projection. A Möbius transformation has the general form:

$$z' = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \tag{6}$$

where a, b, c, d are complex numbers. This is a powerful property since it allows unitary operations, which preserve the norm and structure of quantum states, to be easily visualized in terms of transformations of the complex plane.

Distance: The projection is not isometric, meaning it does not preserve distances. Distances between points on the sphere and their corresponding distances in the complex plane are related, but they are not preserved in a simple way. The mapping between distances on the sphere and the complex plane introduces a distortion, especially near the projection points.

The relation between distances on the surface of the Bloch sphere and in the complex plane is given by:

$$d_{\text{plane}} = \frac{2 \times d_{\text{sphere}}}{1 + (1-h)^2} \tag{7}$$

This relationship shows that the further a point is from the projection point (south pole), the smaller the distance distortion becomes in the complex plane. The distortion is governed by the factor $\frac{1}{1+r^2}$, where r is the distance from the origin in the complex plane.

Quantum gates

Quantum gates are the fundamental building blocks of quantum circuits. They manipulate qubit states through unitary transformations, which preserve the normalization of the quantum state. Quantum gates can be represented as matrices acting on the state vector of the qubit. The action of a quantum gate on a qubit state $|\psi\rangle$ is represented by the matrix multiplication $U|\psi\rangle$, where U is a unitary matrix. A quantum gate, being a unitary transformation, is reversible.

These gates perform specific rotations and transformations on the qubit states, enabling complex quantum operations and algorithms. These rotations correspond to specific mobius transformations

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

on the extended complex plane. For example, the Hadamard gate, $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ on the Hilbert space corresponds to the conformal mapping $H(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1}$ in the extended complex plane.

2.3. Visualization of different types decoherence

Pure States to Mixed States

A pure state starts as a point on the periphery of the stereographic projection, indicating a qubit in a superposition or one of the basis states $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$. As decoherence occurs (due to environmental noise or interaction), the point begins to move inward toward the origin, representing the degradation of the quantum coherence. This inward movement corresponds to the mixing of quantum information with the environment, converting the quantum state into a classical probabilistic mixture.

Phase dampening

Phase damping is a type of decoherence where the relative phase information between the qubit's basis states ∣0⟩∣ and ∣1⟩ is lost. In the stereographic projection, phase damping can be visualized as a movement along the projection, with the qubit's state gradually collapsing toward the ∣0⟩∣-∣1⟩ axis. The loss of phase coherence is reflected by the state becoming closer to the z-axis (or the centre of the projection), signalling that phase information has been lost.

Amplitude dampening

Amplitude damping occurs when a qubit loses energy to its environment, typically resulting in the qubit relaxing into its ground state ∣0⟩∣. This type of decoherence moves the qubit's state toward the ∣0⟩ point on the Bloch sphere. In the stereographic projection, amplitude damping can be visualized as a drift toward the origin, with the state moving from a superposition or ∣1⟩ towards ∣0⟩, effectively shrinking the projection of the state closer to the centre of the plane.

3. Quantum error correction

Quantum error correction (QEC) involves detecting and correcting errors that arise due to decoherence and other quantum noise. It ensures that qubits remain in their intended states by identifying deviations caused by bit flips, phase flips, or a combination of both, and applying corrective measures. In the stereographic projection, quantum error correction is seen as the process of pulling quantum states back from erroneous positions (inside the sphere or at incorrect points on the surface) to their original positions on the surface of the Bloch sphere.

In quantum computing, qubits are generally represented as points on the Bloch sphere, but when we map quantum states onto the complex plane, particularly using stereographic projection or other mapping techniques, we simplify the problem into manageable two-dimensional terms. This allows for more effective error diagnosis and correction, particularly in dealing with phase flips and bit flips caused by decoherence.

3.1. Types of Errors:

Bit Flip Errors: A bit flip moves the qubit state between $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. In the stereographic projection, this error is represented as a reflection across the real axis on the complex plane. This geometric shift makes it easier to identify the nature of the error as a discrete transformation, visualizing how a qubit's state moves between opposite poles on the complex plane.

Phase Flip Errors: A phase flip changes the phase relationship between $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, which can be seen as a shift in the azimuthal angle ϕ on the Bloch sphere. On the stereographic projection, this type of error causes the point representing the qubit to move within the plane, often along the $x - y$ axes. They correspond to rotations around the origin of the complex plane. This clear geometric representation allows for an intuitive understanding of phase shifts and how they distort quantum states.

Combined Errors: Some errors affect both the bit value and the phase of the qubit simultaneously. These are more complex errors but can also be corrected using advanced QEC schemes. In the stereographic projection, combined errors are visualized as movements that involve both a change in position and rotation, and the correction process restores both the phase and the state value.

3.2. Error Correction

3.2.1. Geometric Error Detection

In a typical quantum error correction protocol, errors are detected by measuring ancillary qubits that interact with the logical qubit and extract information about any errors without disturbing the quantum information itself. Error syndromes can be interpreted geometrically as deviations from the ideal trajectory. Mapping onto the complex plane allows for a clearer understanding of how these measurements correspond to specific shifts (rotations or reflections) in the complex plane, streamlining the process of error detection. This geometrical approach also aids in distinguishing between different types of errors—bit flips, phase flips, or combinations—by observing how the state deviates from its expected position on the unit circle.

By representing error syndromes on the complex plane, stabilizers can be seen as specific geometric constraints that qubit states must satisfy. When a qubit violates these constraints (e.g., shifts outside the correct region on the complex plane), an error is detected, and the correction procedure can be designed to return the state to its correct position.

QEC works by using redundancy—encoding a single logical qubit into multiple physical qubits, such that errors affecting a subset of qubits can be detected without disturbing the encoded information. A quantum error-correcting code (QECC) can be viewed as a mapping of k qubits (a Hilbert space of dimension 2^k) into n qubits (a Hilbert space of dimension 2^n), where $n > k$. The k qubits are the "logical qubits" or "encoded qubits" that we wish to protect from error. The additional $n - k$ qubits allow us to store the k logical qubits in a redundant fashion, so that the encoded information is not easily damaged. The location of the error can be determined by measuring the qubit operators. In the stereographic projection, the correction process is visualized as the qubit's state being "restored" to its original position on the surface of the sphere. For instance, after a bit flip error, the corrective operation rotates the qubit back to its intended state (e.g., from $|1\rangle$ back to $|0\rangle$).

3.2.2. Correcting Errors Using Complex Plane Geometry

By mapping errors onto the complex plane, error correction protocols can be optimized by applying transformations that precisely reverse the effects of the errors. This geometric representation simplifies the process of identifying the necessary quantum gates to apply, as errors manifest as simple shifts or rotations in the plane.

Error Correction with Stabilizer Codes:
Stabilizer codes like the Shor code or surface codes are essential for detecting and correcting errors in quantum systems. These codes partition the Bloch sphere into different regions, where each region corresponds to a specific type of error that can be detected and corrected.

On the stereographic projection, stabilizer codes can be represented as geometrical zones around points corresponding to logical qubit states. If an error moves the qubit state into an incorrect region, the QEC protocol will detect the error, diagnose its type (bit flip or phase flip), and apply the appropriate correction. Geometrically, this would correspond to moving the qubit's state back to the correct zone on the projection.

Stabilizer codes are based on the stabilizer formalism, where quantum states are described as the simultaneous eigenstates of a set of commuting operators known as *stabilizers*.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 October 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

These stabilizers are chosen from the Pauli group, which consists of the identity I, and the Pauli operators X (bit flip), Z (phase flip), and Y= iXZ (bit-phase flip). The Pauli group for a single qubit is:

$$P_1 = I, X, Y, Z$$

For an n-qubit system, the Pauli group P_n is generated by taking tensor products of Pauli operators for each qubit, creating a group of size 4^n. A stabilizer code is defined by a set of k independent stabilizer generators $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ where each stabilizer is a product of Pauli operators acting on different qubits. These stabilizers commute with each other and satisfy the following properties:

- Commutativity: S_iS_j=S_jS_i for all i, j.
- Eigenvalue constraint: The stabilizers must satisfy $S_i |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ meaning the encoded state $|\psi\rangle$ is a +1 eigenstate of all stabilizer generators.

The subspace of states stabilized by these operators defines the codespace, where quantum information is encoded. Errors shift the encoded state out of this codespace, but stabilizer measurements can detect such shifts and enable error correction.

In stabilizer codes, errors are detected by measuring the stabilizers. For instance, if an error occurs (such as a bit flip, phase flip, or a more general combination), the affected qubit will no longer satisfy the eigenvalue constraint. Instead, it will be shifted to an eigenstate with eigenvalue -1 for some stabilizers, indicating that an error has occurred.

To diagnose the error:

1. Syndrome Measurement: Each stabilizer generator Si is measured, and the outcome is either +1 or -1. The collection of these outcomes forms an error syndrome, which provides information about the type and location of the error.
2. Error Correction: Based on the error syndrome, the error is diagnosed as either a bit flip, phase flip, or both. Corrective operations (Pauli operators) are then applied to return the qubit to the codespace.

Stabilizers can be thought of as defining geometric constraints on the qubits' positions in the complex plane. The measurement of stabilizers helps determine whether a qubit has deviated from its original trajectory due to an error.

When an error occurs, the qubit state is moved out of the region defined by the stabilizer constraints, and the error syndrome reveals how far the qubit has deviated from its correct state

3.2.3. Optimizing Quantum Error Correction Codes

- **Error Clustering**: By representing qubit states and errors on the complex plane, error correction protocols can more easily cluster multiple errors and diagnose correlated errors. For example, in the case of multi-qubit systems, phase flips and bit flips across multiple qubits can be visualized as collective transformations in the complex plane, allowing for optimized code design that can simultaneously address multiple errors.
- **Threshold Optimization**: The complex plane representation also helps in optimizing the fault-tolerant threshold of quantum systems. By analyzing how errors accumulate and propagate on the complex plane, quantum engineers can adjust error correction protocols to ensure that the cumulative effects of small errors remain within correctable bounds. This leads to more efficient and resilient quantum error correction codes, minimizing the computational overhead required to correct errors while maintaining quantum coherence.

Geometric Insights for Fault Tolerance
Mapping quantum errors onto the complex plane offers geometric insights that improve fault tolerance in quantum systems. For instance, small errors due to decoherence might only cause minor deviations

 doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

in the position of a qubit's state in the complex plane. By continuously monitoring these deviations and applying small corrective transformations, error correction protocols can preemptively address errors before they accumulate into larger, more destructive shifts.

Moreover, the complex plane representation helps in identifying patterns in how certain errors interact with each other. For example, combinations of bit flips and phase flips can be visualized as compound transformations in the complex plane, enabling more advanced error correction schemes that address multiple types of errors simultaneously.

This approach can simplify the process of choosing corrective gates, as the error correction is reduced to a set of straightforward geometric operations. Mapping errors onto the complex plane thus provides a more intuitive understanding of the corrections needed, making it easier to design optimized sequences of gates.

This is particularly valuable in multi-qubit systems, where mapping qubit states and errors onto the complex plane allows for a clearer understanding of the interplay between qubits, helping to minimize the propagation of errors across the system.

3.2.4. Advantages of the Complex Plane Approach

- **Unified View of Errors**: Bit flips, phase flips, and combined errors are treated as geometric transformations within a single framework, simplifying the error identification and correction process.
- **Visualization of Gate Effects**: Corrective quantum gates can be visualized as geometric operations (e.g., reflections, rotations), making it easier to design gate sequences that restore qubit states to their correct positions.
- **Enhanced Efficiency**: The complex plane provides an efficient way to detect and correct errors using minimal operations, reducing the computational overhead needed for error correction in practical quantum systems.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we explored the application of stereographic projection of the Bloch sphere as a tool for visualizing and optimizing quantum error correction processes. The Bloch sphere, traditionally used to represent the state of a qubit, offers a three-dimensional geometric framework that captures the dynamics of quantum information. By projecting this spherical surface onto a two-dimensional complex plane, we gain a more accessible, intuitive view of how qubit errors—such as bit flips and phase flips—manifest as geometric transformations, such as reflections and rotations.

This stereographic projection provides a simplified and effective means of diagnosing and correcting errors in quantum systems. The mapping of errors onto the complex plane allows for clearer visualization of the deviation of quantum states due to environmental interactions, such as decoherence, which can be seen as a drift or distortion from the ideal qubit trajectory. Using this projection, error correction protocols—particularly those based on stabilizer codes—can be optimized to apply minimal, targeted corrective operations. This geometric approach streamlines the identification of error syndromes and improves the efficiency of error-correcting operations by visualizing the underlying transformations.

The application of stereographic projection offers a promising avenue for advancing fault-tolerant quantum computation, as it simplifies the complex behavior of qubits and errors in a way that is conducive to both analysis and correction. By enhancing our understanding of the geometric nature of quantum errors, this approach could lead to more efficient error correction schemes, improved coherence times, and ultimately, more robust quantum computing architectures.

doi:10.20944/preprints202410.2387.v1

References

1. Ingemar Bengtsson and Karol Życzkowski. *Geometry of quantum states: an introduction to quantum entanglement*. Cambridge university press, 2017.
2. Jae-weon Lee et al. "Qubit geometry and conformal mapping". In: *arXiv preprint quant-ph/0201014* (2002).
3. Maris Ozols. *Geometry of a qubit*. 2007.
4. Bartosz Regula and Gerardo Adesso. "Geometric approach to entanglement quantification with polynomial measures". In: *Physical Review* A 94.2 (2016), p. 022324.
5. SG Schirmer, T Zhang, and JV Leahy. "Orbits of quantum states and geometry of Bloch vectors for N-level systems". In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 37.4 (2004), p. 1389.