

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Biotechnological Tool for Metal(loids) Management with Multiple Approaches

[Adalgisa Scotti](#)^{*}, [Ana Rosa Castaño Gañan](#), [Vanessa Analía Silvani](#), Natalia Andrea Juárez, [Gabriela Coria](#), Sofía Utge Perri, Roxana Paula Colombo, [Inmaculada García Romera](#), [María Luisa Izaguirre-Mayoral](#), [Alicia Margarita Godeas](#), [Stefano Ubaldini](#)

Posted Date: 24 September 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202409.1719.v1

Keywords: food security; circular economy; mycorrhizal-assisted phytomanagement; TRL 6; CRM



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Biotechnological Tool for Metal(loids) Management with Multiple Approaches

Adalgisa Scotti ^{1,2,3,*,#}, Ana Rosa Castaño Gañan ^{1,#}, Vanesa Analía Silvani ⁴, Andrea Juarez ¹, Gabriela Coria ¹, Sofía Utge Perri ⁴, Roxana Paola Colombo ⁴, Inmaculada García-Romera ⁵, María Luisa Izaguirre-Mayoral ⁶, Alicia Godeas ⁴ and Stefano Ubaldini ²

¹ Bioenvironmental Laboratory, International Center for Earth Sciences, National Atomic Energy Commission, FRIS-University National Technological- San Rafael Mendoza 5600, Argentina. AS, AJ, GC, ACG.

² Institute of Environmental Geology and Geoengineering, National Research Council of Italy, Research Area of Rome 1, 00015 Montelibretti, Italy. SU.

³ Faculty of Exact and Natural Science, University of Cuyo, Padre Contreras 1300, Mendoza, 5600, Argentina.

⁴ Faculty of Exact and Natural Science, Department of Biodiversity and Experimental Biology, Institute of Biodiversity and Applied and Experimental Biology, National Scientific and Technical Research Council—University of Buenos Aires, Faculty of Exact and Natural Science, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, 1428, Argentina; VS, RC, AG, SUP.

⁵ Department of Soil and Plant Microbiology, Zaidín Experimental Station, CSIC, Granada, Spain. IGR

⁶ Technical University of Manabi, Ecuador. MI.

* Correspondence: scotti@cnea.gov.ar ; Tel.: +54261345-7005

equal contribution.

Abstract: Contaminated soils are a challenge for implementing biotechnology in bioremediation, recovery of Critical and Strategic Raw Materials (CRMs and SRMs), and food security. European Union (EU) Governments have established strict limits on As, Pb, Cd, and Hg in foods (Document 32023R0915), and requested the recovery of 34 CRMs within a circular economy (CE) (5th CRMs list). This study proposed a biotechnological tool for the decontamination of soil with heavy metal(loids) by arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM)-assisted phytoextraction and subsequent recovery of CRMs, or by phytostabilization to prevent their entry into the food chain. It consisted of placing *Baccharis salicifolia* plants, inoculated or non-inoculated with AM fungi, into Bioreactors (BRs) containing mining soil with Cd, Ni, and Cu, according to the argentinian Patent (AR090183B1). The bioextractive potential (BP) was also estimated at the highest Technological Readiness Level (TRL) using a Vegetable Depuration Module (VDM, TRL 6). Inoculated plants showed significantly higher aerial bioaccumulation coefficients (Cd: 68.62; P: 2.99; Ni: 2.51; Cu: 0.18) in BRs, and the BP values reached 1.16 g, 9.75 g, 2.40 g, and 213.1 g for Ni, Cd, Cu, and P, respectively. Finally, those CRMs and SRMs could be recovered from biomass through hydrometallurgy within a CE framework.

Keywords: food security; circular economy; mycorrhizal-assisted phytomanagement; TRL 6, CRM

1. Introduction

The elevated content of heavy metals(loids) in soils, whether from natural sources or anthropogenic activities, presents a challenge for developing biotechnologies to manage these elements. Many of these polluting elements are considered Critical Raw Materials (CRMs) or Strategic Raw Materials (SRMs), which should be recovered within a circular economy (CE) model. CE represents a completely new concept for the life cycle of a product, emphasizing sustainable activities such as recycling and reuse. This model is gradually replacing the traditional linear economy of the 'take—make—dispose' model, as it offers significant environmental benefits through waste reduction and economic advantages by saving on Raw Materials (RMs) [1,2].

A material may also be considered critical if it is a commodity produced exclusively in one country or region with unstable regimes. CRMs are very important to the worldwide economy and carry out a high risk associated with their supply. On the other hand, SRMs are any raw material vital to an individual’s or organization’s strategic planning and supply chain management. A shortage of these materials could leave an organization or government vulnerable to disruptions in the manufacturing of essential products. SRMs are crucial for making countries more self-sufficient in strategic products, especially in green energy areas, digital technology, and defense.

In 2023, a fifth list of 34 CRMs and SRMs was published in Annex II of the Regulation proposal COM (2023) based on the Study on the CRMs for the EU 2023 – Final Report. The materials listed are (*indicates SRMs): bauxite, antimony, arsenic baryte, beryllium, bismuth*, boron*/borate, cobalt*, coking coal, feldspar, fluorspar, gallium*, germanium*, hafnium, helium, heavy rare earth elements*, lithium*, light rare earth elements*, manganese*, natural graphite*, niobium, platinum group metals*, phosphate rock, copper*, phosphorus, scandium, silicon metal*, strontium, tantalum, titanium metal*, tungsten*, vanadium, nickel*, magnesium* [3].

In this context, it is essential to identify both natural and anthropic sources of CRMs and SRMs to address their potential recovery (Table 1). Anthropogenic activities can also alter the moisture and temperature regimes of soils and groundwater, as well as increase the rates of movement of contaminants through soil erosion (by wind or water), soil runoff, leaching, and volatilization. For instance, dust storms, volcanic eruptions, geothermal hydrothermal activity, and forest fires can elevate levels of As and Hg in the environment. The elevated content of heavy metals(loids) in soils, whether from natural sources or anthropogenic activities, the insufficient documentation of contaminated areas in many regions and the lack of regulations for their remediation further accentuate this environmental issue [4].

Table 1. Natural and anthropic source of some elements and their industrial use.

Element	Essential	Natural sources	Anthropogenic sources	Uses
Arsenic	No	Dust storms.	Metal mining and	Wood preservatives.
		Volcanic eruptions.	smelting.	Additive to
		Geothermal/hydro thermal activity.	Coal mining and burning of arsenic-rich coals.	veterinarian drugs (poultry).
		Forest fires.	Pesticide.	Doping agent in semiconductors.
Cadmium	No	Arsenic-rich minerals.	Timber industry.	
			Pyrotechnics.	
			Electroplating.	
Cadmium	No	Zinc and lead minerals.	Metal industry (non-ferrous metals and steel).	Pigments in paints, ceramics, plastics, etc.
		Phosphates rocks.	Automobile exhaust.	Cd impurities in Zn coatings used on metal structures.
			Phosphate mineral fertilizer	
Chromium	Yes	Chromium minerals.	Metal industry.	Electroplating.
			Electroplating.	Metal alloys.
Cooper	Yes	Sulfides, oxides, carbonates	Industrial sewage.	Anticorrosive products.
				Pesticides, detergents
			Domestic and industrial waste, mining waste, and manure (pig and poultry).	Electric supplies, electric conductor.
				Electroplating.
				Fungicides.

			Car breaks. Metal industry. Copper-based fungicides.	Plant residues treated with fungicides are used as soil amendments. Timber treatment chemicals. Copper piping and guttering. Vehicle brake linings.
			Battery manufacturing facilities. Private and industrial waste.	Batteries.
Lead	No	Lead minerals	Rifle ranges and military facilities. Leaded paints and leaded fuel addition. Insecticides.	Alloys, bullets, and other munitions.
				Catalysts, electrical switches.
Mercury	No	Mercury sulfide ores. Volcanoes. Forest fires. Ocean emissions	Artisanal and small-scale gold mining. Chemical industry. Fossil fuels (coal and petroleum) combustion. Nonferrous metals production	Batteries, fluorescent lights, felt production, thermometers, and barometers. Alloy for dental fillings. Bright-red paint pigments.
Nickel	Yes	Nickels mineral.	Metalworks, battery plants, electronics. Industrial waste.	Metal alloys, batteries, electronics.
				Batteries. Alloys.
			Battery plants. Metal industry. Phosphate fertilizers.	Construction anticorrosive planting. Tire rubber. Additives in veterinary drugs and pesticides.

According to the AMI 2030 [5] while the European Union (EU) excels in advanced materials and manufacturing, it risks falling behind in key future competitiveness areas such as Artificial Intelligence, Big Data, cloud computing, industrial biotech, robotics, and micro-electronics. The EU’s technological capacities are insufficient to grow in key sectors, like Information and Communications Technology (ICT), biotechnology, energy, aerospace, and defense. Furthermore, the EU’s research and innovation capabilities are trailing behind those of the United States of America (USA) and have

been surpassed by China, which may lead to future dependencies on these technologies [6] (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Indicator of overall European Union (EU) performance in key technologies. (Source: Advanced Technologies for Industry [6]).

Dinh et al. (2022) [7] highlighted the potential of phytomining for extracting noble metals within the CE model and the extraction of valuable chemical elements from subeconomic deposits or mineral wastes in plant biomass, which can be subsequently recovered. Phytomining is currently applied to the recovery of CRMs and SRMs from solid metal wastes, resulting in a promising option for advancing CE [7,8].

On the other hand, if some of these elements (As, Cu, Ni, Cd, Be, Sb) enter the food chain, whether from land or water resources, in concentrations exceeding allowable limits, they can have toxic effects on human health in the short, medium or long term. Currently, there are several regions where the concentrations of these elements are regulated by several pronouncements. In this sense, the EU, in its document 32023R0915, establishes maximum limits for As, Pb, Cd, and Hg in food [9]. Food products from regions with these elements will be controlled and may be rejected for sale. In South American countries, high soil Cd concentrations, from volcanic eruptions and chemical fertilization, have contaminated cocoa beans, affecting their international marketability. Many attempts have been proposed to address this problem, and the Fontagro project (ATN/RF 18951-RG) 'Bioprocess that reduces Cd rhizospheric solubility' specifically tackles this issue by implementing a Mycorrhizal-Assisted Phytomanagement (MAPm) [10].

In some cases, the situation is so severe that many contaminated agricultural soils can no longer be used for food crops. However, lignocellulosic energy crops, which can grow on such soils, offer an alternative by producing biomass for bio-based materials and biofuels, thereby reducing the pressure on limited arable lands [11]. However, this solution for using soil does not contribute to soil decontamination for the food industry unless the species used to obtain biomass for biofuel extract or reduce the bioavailability of the contaminants [12]. In previous work, the team proposed the extraction of metals from mining waste from biomass derived from extractive plant species using hydrometallurgy [8,13,14], Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) and Sustainable Waste Management (SWM) are two pillars of the CE framework. To reduce the negative effects on the environment caused by supply chains, GSCM employs sustainable materials, optimizes logistics, and uses energy-efficient techniques [15]. In this sense, we propose soil restoration techniques with low-cost biological systems [4,16] that can be applied to mining waste and arable soils in the context of a CE.

The MAPm is an innovative strategy of soil decontamination and sustainable waste management that utilizes mycorrhizal plants associated with specific Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, and advanced technology to achieve determined purposes such as a) the phytoextraction and subsequent recovery of CRMs and SRMs from plant biomass; b) the remediation of contaminated soils through phytostabilization of heavy metal(loid)s in the matrix soil, thus mitigating their translocation to the aerial plant biomass or mobilization to other areas; and c) the phytoextraction of toxic elements from areas already destined for agriculture production.

Furthermore, the use of MAPm contributes to a) carbon capture by increasing plant biomass and sequestration in soil C-pool through the accumulation of resistant glycoprotein released by AM fungi (glomalin), b) the reduction of chemical fertilization by increasing soil phosphorus (P) availability and translocation to plants, and c) the improvement of resistance to stress conditions (drought, salinity, and heavy metal(oids) soil contaminants), thus making it a valuable tool in the context of climate change [4,17,18]. This technology was substantially proven at laboratory scales (TRL 2-3), can be easily scalable from TRL 4 to TRL 6, and further develop into a protocol applicable in the territory (TRL 7), feasible to be used in the biotechnology industry across various waste management approaches, phytomining, phytostabilization, and food safety accomplishment. Therefore, we hypothesize that the MAPm is an effective green biotechnological tool for enhancing food security and phytoextraction of CRMs and SRMs.

Our objective was to develop a biotechnological tool capable of decontaminating soils with high levels of heavy metal(oids), phytostabilizing them to prevent entry into the food chain, or phytoextracting them and recovering valuable chemical elements such as CRMs and SRMs. In this study, we placed *Baccharis salicifolia* plants, either inoculated or not with a mixture of native AM fungal species isolated from the *Paramillos de Uspallata* Zn-Pb-Ag mine, into Bioreactors (BRs) containing either non-contaminated (blank) or contaminated soil with Cd, Ni, and Cu, following the procedure detailed in Argentina Patent No. AR090183B1 [19]. Additionally, the bioextractive potential (BP) of this biotechnological tool applied in the BRs (TRL 4) was estimated at the highest TRL by using a vegetable depuration module (VDM) (TRL 6).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mycorrhizal-Assisted Phytomanagement (MAPm) System

The MAPm system consisted of *Baccharis salicifolia* (Ruiz & Pav.) Pers plants, a native shrub found in the Complejo Minero Fabril San Rafael (CMFSR), a uraniferous mine belonging to the National Atomic Energy Commission (San Rafael, Mendoza province, Argentina), that was colonized by a mixture of AM fungal species, previously isolated from *Paramillos de Uspallata* mine (Mendoza, Argentina).

The AM fungal inoculum, composed of external mycelium, spores, and colonized root fragments, was obtained by culturing *Medicago sativa* (L.) as host plants in pots containing sterilized substrate (soil:perlite, 2:1, v/v) for four months under greenhouse conditions as described in Silvani et al. (2014) [20]. After that period, plants and growth substrate were left to dry and checked for

sporulation. The AM fungi were maintained by Banco de Glomeromycota in vitro (FCEN UBA, <https://bgiv.com.ar/>).

B. salicifolia plants were grown in a greenhouse under natural light conditions for 6 months as detailed in Castaño et al. (2023) [21]. Then, plants were transplanted in the BRs, and inoculated or not with the AM fungi, under experimental conditions.

2.2. Scale-up of TRL 6, Bioreactors (TRL 4) and Vegetable Depuration Module (VDM)

2.2.1. Experiment in Bioreactors

Four BRs were built in the Bioenvironmental Laboratory according to the procedure described in [17] (Figure 2a). Each BR was filled with three layers of stones of varying granulometry and a soil layer, and a chamber was used for planting (Figure 2b). Additionally, a collection chamber with a 6% slope was included to facilitate the flow of the leached solution from each chamber. In two BRs, the top 15 cm layer consisted of CMFSR mine soil supplemented with Cu (250 ppm as CuSO_4), Ni (7,5 ppm as NiCl_2), and Cd (2,60 ppm as CdCl_2) (contaminated treatment, CS), while in the other two BRs, the top 15 cm layer consisted of a blank soil (BS treatment) collected from a non-contaminated area from San Rafael (Mendoza). The preparation of the upper soil layer in the BRs, whether containing contaminants or not, followed the protocol outlined in [19] and the Argentinean patent.

Twelve 6-month-old *Baccharis salicifolia* plants were grown in each BR. Two BRs contained plants inoculated with 5.0 g of inoculum (M+) and the other two BRs were non-inoculated (M-) (Figure 2b, 2c). For mycorrhization, a 10 cm hole was made in the soil and the AM inoculum was applied in the vicinity of the roots of *B. salicifolia* (Figure 2b). The MAPm system in the BRs was maintained for four months under natural environmental conditions and was irrigated with water every five days. The leached solution was collected from the collection chamber at the end of the experiment. The experiment had a 2x2 factorial design, with four BRs and four treatments: inoculated plants in blank soil (M+ BS), non-inoculated plants in blank soil (M- BS), inoculated plants in contaminated mine soil (M+ CS), and non-inoculated plants in contaminated mine soil (M- CS). After four months, the plants from each BR were harvested. The shoots were separated into leaves and stems, and the roots were carefully rinsed with distilled water to remove substrate particles. The fresh weight of the shoots and roots was recorded, then they were dried in an oven at 70 °C for 48 h until constant weight to obtain dry biomass.




Figure 2. The mycorrhizal-assisted Phytomanagement (MAPm) System in Bioreactors (BRs) (a-c) and the vegetable depuration module (VDM) (d-f) using *Baccharis salicifolia* plants and AM fungi. (a) BRs

with control and contaminated soil; **(b)** transplant in a BR; **(c)** *B. salicifolia* in BR after 4 months; **(d)** VDM view; **(e)** VDM hydraulic system view; **(f)** MAPm system in the VDM.

2.2.2. Potential Scaling up of BRs to the Vegetable Depuration Module (VDM)

The VDM is a technological development at TRL 6 in which the MAPm system is previously operated before to obtain an application protocol in the territory (TRL 7). The VDM was built at the Bioenvironmental Laboratory (CNEA FRSR, Mendoza Argentina) (Figure 2d). The module consists of two pools connected to collection chambers through a hydraulic system (Figure 2e). Each pool has a width of 3 m, a length of 5.0 m, and a depth ranging from 0.6 m (inlet end, lower depth) to 0.9 m (outlet end, upper depth), resulting in a height difference (Dh) of 0.3 m and a slope (Dh/length) of 6%. The collection chamber has a length of 1 m, a width of 3 m, and a depth of 1 m. The VDM was environmentally isolated with a waterproofing system and a metallic net-covered greenhouse with a polyethylene anti-hail film. The water runs through pipes that are connected to a reserve tank and a water pump that drives the vertical flow toward the pool (Figures 2e, f). The remaining fraction of percolated liquid is drained towards the collection chamber. The stone filter for filling the pools was carried out in the same way as in the BRs.

The last layer of the VDM contained the contaminated soil simulating the real environment, in the same way that the upper layer of the BR. *B. salicifolia* plants were inoculated with a mixture of AM fungal species from Paramillos mine. Each pool is equivalent in volume to 100 BRs. The scaling up from BR to VDM (100BR: 1VDM) was performed as described in [22].

2.2.3. Hydraulic Calibration

The following hydraulic parameters calibrated for the scaling up from TRL 4 (BR) to TRL 6 (VDM) were considered:

- type of water entry in irrigation
- income flow (Q_i)
- egress flow (Q_e)
- average Q_i and Q_e (Q)
- liquid retention time before the exit to the collecting chamber (t_c)
- permeability constant (K_s) of the stone filter and soil substrate
- the volume of the soil substrate (last layer with the soil)
- stone filter volume
- water holding capacity (WHC)
- porosity (ϕ)
- Darcy's velocity (V_D)
- average linear velocity (V_a)

The type of liquid entry in the calibration was vertical and laminar, thus Darcy's Law was applied to determine the K_s , according to the following formula:

$$K_s = Q / (A_c \times s) , \quad (1)$$

$$V_D = Q / A_c , \quad (2)$$

where, K_s : permeability hydraulic constant (m/days), Q : average flow rate as (inlet flow + outlet flow) / 2 (m^3/day), A_c = perpendicular area to the flow (m^2), S = slope (m/m), V_D = Darcy's velocity (Darcy flux).

Furthermore, the hydraulic retention time (t_c) was measured by registering the time it took the influent to cross down the different layers and to exit towards the collecting chamber when a 2 cm film covered the last surface layer of stone in the BR or VDM.

It is important to note that Darcy flux does not equal the fluid velocity, even though it is expressed in units of velocity. The fluid velocity is found through the average linear velocity (V_a), which is the average of the velocity of all possible fluid paths through the porous media

$$V_a = V_D / \varphi, \quad (3)$$

where φ is the porosity and V is the fluid velocity. The porosity, φ is calculated as the ratio of the volume of void space to the total volume of a material

$$\varphi = V_{\text{void}} / V_{\text{tot}}, \quad (4)$$

and is usually expressed as a fraction between 0 and 1 or as a percent.

Effective porosity is calculated as the volume collected in the collection chamber (V_{void}) divided by the total volume entered into the BR (V_{tot}).

Additionally, the water-holding capacity (WHC) of the BR was determined by:

$$\text{WHC} = M_t - M_s, \quad (5)$$

where, WHC: is the mass of the water in kilograms, M_t : the total mass of the container and wet soil in kilograms, and M_s : is the total mass of the container and dry soil in grams.

The BR and the VDM behave as a modified subsurface artificial wetland with an inlet vertical flow and an outlet flow collected in a collecting chamber (Figure 2). The volume of effluent obtained in the collecting chamber was not significant, as irrigation was carried out considering the humidity of the substrate registered with sensors immersed into the upper top layer.

The parameters mentioned above must respect the relationship between BR and MDV, maintaining a volume ratio of 100:1 and a surface ratio of 10:1, while ensuring that the permeability constant (K_s) remains similar in both the BR and the VDM.

2.3. AM Fungal Parameters

To determine AM fungal colonization in *B. salicifolia* plants, a subsample of roots was taken from each plant, cleared in KOH (10% w/v) for 15 min at 90 °C, rinsed in 5% HCl for 5 min, and stained with Trypan blue in lactic acid (0.02%) for 10 min at 90 °C (modified Phillips and Hayman 1970). The frequency (% F) and intensity (% I) of mycorrhizal colonization were measured according to Declerck et al. [23]. Fifty randomly selected root pieces (1 cm-length) were mounted on microscope slides in groups of ten and examined with an Olympus BX51 microscope at 400× magnification. The % F was calculated as the percentage of root segments containing any intraradical AM structure (hyphae, arbuscules, coils, or vesicles), and the % I was estimated by sorting out the root segments in different intensity classes (1-20%, 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80%, and 81-100%).

In addition, the easily extractable glomalin-related soil proteins (EE-GRSP) and total glomalin-related soil protein (T-GRSP) were measured, given the role of glomalin in C sequestration and immobilization of heavy metal(loids) in soils. The EE-GRSP fraction is composed of the newly produced or readily decomposed GRSP in soil, whilst the T-GRSP is considered the recalcitrant fraction [24]. To determine the EE-GRSP and T-GRSP fractions, samples of soil (CS and BS) in each BR were harvested from the rhizosphere of plants, sealed in polyethylene bags, and stored at 4 °C until processing. The concentration of EE-GRSP and T-GRSP for each treatment was estimated according to Li et al. 2022 [25].

For the EE-GRSP extraction, a sample of air-dried soil (1 g), taken from each plant, was incubated with 8 mL of 20 mM sodium citrate solution (pH 7.0), autoclaved at 121 °C and 1 atm for 30 min, and

then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min. By contrast, the T-GRSP was repeatedly extracted with 8 mL of 50 mM sodium citrate solution (pH 8.0) by autoclaving at 121 °C and 1.2 atm for 60 min, then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min. The procedure of extraction was repeated four times until the extract appeared straw-coloured, and all supernatants were collected. The EE-GRSP and T-GRSP concentrations (mg per g of dry soil) were measured by the Bradford assay with the SP-2000 UV UV-Vis Spectrophotometer at 550 nm using bovine serum albumin as standard (Sigma-Aldrich Inc.).

2.4. Chemical Determinations

The biomass samples were dried at 60 °C for 48 h, recording constant weight. The substrate samples were dried at 40 °C in an oven until constant weight, then ground and sieved to 2 mm. Subsequently, they were taken to 105 °C for 48 hours to obtain a dry sample.

Concentrations of Cd, Cu, and Ni in plant biomass, substrate, and leached were analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES; HORIBA JOBIN YVON JY2000-2 model, Serial Number 0990/1236 02072618 NE) before ICP-AES analysis, dried root and shoot and substrates samples were digested with HNO₃/H₂O₂ and HCl according to Method 3050 B [USEPA 1996] [26] and leached according to Method 3005A in SW-846 [27].

For determinations of phosphorus (P) in biomass, dried and ground plant samples were calcined at 500 °C. The ashes were dissolved in diluted HCl, and the P concentrations were determined by Sadzawka & Grez (2004) method [28]. For P determination in soil, bicarbonate extraction was used following Olsen (1954) [29], with a spectrophotometer UV 1100 UV-Vis Yoke.

2.5. Phytomanagement Parameters Calculation

The bioaccumulation coefficients (BC) and translocation factors (TF) were calculated to determine the degree of metal(loid) accumulation in shoots and roots of *B. salicifolia* with the following formulas:

$$\text{BC} = \frac{\text{metal(loid) concentration in plant shoot or root}}{\text{metal(loid) concentration in final substrate}} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{TF} = \frac{\text{BC in shoot plant}}{\text{BC in root plant}} \quad (7)$$

BC > 1 indicates potential bioaccumulation, and TF > 1 indicates translocation of metal(loid) from root to shoot parts.

The Bioextractive potential (BP) in VDM was calculated as follows:

$$\text{BP (mg) BR} = \frac{\text{Concentration metal(loid) plant (ppm)} \times \text{biomass (g) BR}}{1000} \quad (8)$$

where biomass (g) BR is the mass of one plant * by the number of plants in the BRs, and the BP in the VDM:

$$\text{BP (g) VDM} = \text{BP (mg) BR} / 10$$

The T-GRSP and EE-GRSP in VDM were calculated with the following formulas:

$$\text{T-GRSP or EE-GRSP (g)}_{\text{VDM}} = \text{concentration (g/g dry soil)} \times \delta_s \times V$$

where soil density (δ_s) is $\delta_s = 1.2924 \times 10^6 \text{ g/m}^3$ and $V_{\text{VDM}} = 2.25 \text{ m}^3$

2.6. Data Analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA), and when significant differences among treatments were detected ($p < 0.05$), post-hoc comparisons between mean values were made using Tukey's HSD Test (see Supplementary Material). Statistical procedures were performed with the Statistica 7.0 program.

3. Results

After four months in the BRs, most *B. salicifolia* plants in both treatments were colonized by AM fungi, either from propagules in the inoculum or from AM fungi already present in the BS, with typical AM structures developing within the roots (Figure 3). However, differences in mycorrhizal parameters were observed when inoculation was applied. The M+ plants exhibited a higher % F and % I of AM root colonization than M- plants in both the CS and BS treatments (Figure 4). However, M- plants were colonized by AM fungi present in the BS but at the lowest rate. Significant differences in the % F were observed between inoculated and non-inoculated *B. salicifolia* plants, with no significant effects related to the soil substrate used in each treatment (Figure 4a). The % F in M+ plants grown in CS (66.77 ± 15.35 %) did not significantly differ from those grown in BS (69.16 ± 4.54 %). In contrast, M- plants exhibited the lowest % F across both substrates with values of 23.7 ± 11.86 % in CS and 5.53 ± 1.75 % in BS, without significant statistical differences between substrates. The % I of AM root colonization in M+ plants grown in CS was significantly higher (55.45 ± 10.53 %) compared to M- plants, both in CS (20.30 ± 10.53 %) and BS (20.83 ± 5.58 %) (Figure 4b). However, no significant differences in % I was observed between M+ plants grown in BS, which showed a value of 44.93 ± 8.94 %.




Figure 3. AM root colonization of *B. salicifolia* plants inoculated (M+) and non-inoculated (M-) developed in blank soil (BS) and contaminated soil (CS) in the bioreactors. (a) Root fragment from the BS M- treatment, Bar=100 μ m; (b) Detail of spores and hyphae inside a root from the BS M+ treatment, Bar=80 μ m; (c) AM root colonization by native AM species in the CS M-, Bar= 200 μ m; d) Detail of vesicles within a root fragment from the CS M+ treatment, Bar= 200 μ m.




Figure 4. Percentage (%) of frequency (a) and intensity (b) of AM root colonization in *Baccharis salicifolia* plants, inoculated (M+) and non-inoculated (M-) with a mixture of AM species, when grown in contaminated soil (CS) and blank soil (BS) in the bioreactors. Values are the means $\pm$ standard error. Bars with different letters are significantly different (Tukey test, $p < 0.05$).

No significant differences were observed in the concentration of EE-GRSP estimated in CS (2.46 ± 0.15 mg per g dry soil) and BS (2.22 ± 0.20) of M+ plants, nor M- plants (Figure 5a). However, a higher content of EE-GRSP in CS of M+ plants was registered than in the CS from M- plants. On the other hand, a higher concentration of EE-GRSP was quantified in the BS of M- plants (2.67 ± 0.38) compared to CS (1.49 ± 0.09). The concentration of T-GRSP in the CS of M+ plants was significantly higher (1.94 ± 0.25 mg per g dry soil) than in M- plants in CS (0.46 ± 0.12) (Figure 5b). However, there was no statistically significant difference between the T-GRSP concentrations in the BS of M+ (1.21 ± 0.23) and M- plants (1.34 ± 0.35).




Figure 5. Concentration of easily extractable glomalin related soil protein (EE-GRSP) (mg EE-GRSP per g dry soil) (a) and total glomalin related soil protein (T-GRSP) (mg T-GRSP per g dry soil) (b) from the rhizosphere of *Baccharis salicifolia* plants, inoculated (M+) and non-inoculated (M-) with a mixture of arbuscular mycorrhizal species when grown in contaminated soil (CS) and blank soil (BS) in the bioreactors. Values are the means $\pm$ standard error. Bars with different letters are significantly different (Tukey test, $p < 0.05$).

Table 2 shows the concentrations of Ni, Cd, Cu, and P in the shoot and roots of *B. salicifolia*, both inoculated with AM fungi and non-inoculated, growing in the BRs with BS or CS. The concentration in shoots was significantly higher in M+ plants grown in CS for Ni, Cd, Cu, and P (16.32, 153.69, 37.27, 3358.52 ppm, respectively), while the same effect was found in Cu and P (10.45 and 2750.0 ppm, respectively) for BS treatment. In roots, the concentration tends to be slightly higher in M- plants for Cd and Cu (13.55 and 6.84 ppm, respectively).

Concentrations of metals(oids) leached into the collecting chamber at the end of the experiment were also recorded (Table 2). Ni and P concentration significantly increased between M+ and M- treatments (0.44 and 0.26 ppm for Ni; 1.59 and 1.27 ppm for P, respectively), whilst these amounts were negligible with the concentrations registered in plant biomass and soil. This indicated a stabilizing effect of the MAPm system for the elements under study given the low concentration detected in the leached solution from the collection chamber.

Table 2. Concentrations of Ni, Cd, Cu, and P in ppm (mean and between parentheses standard deviation) in contaminated (CS) and blank soil (BS) at the beginning and the end of the experiment, as well as in the biomass of *Baccharis salicifolia* plants (root and shoot), and in the leached solution from the collector chambers.

Description	Ni	Cd	Cu	P
BS M+ shoot	BDL	BDL	10.45 ^{ab} (1.42)	2750.07 ^c (284.63)
BS M+ roots	BDL	BDL	16.08 ^b (1.60)	1717.71 ^b (200.15)
BS M- shoot	2.06 ^a (0.63)	BLD	1.09 ^a (0.15)	34.86 ^a (9.41)
BS M- roots	BDL	BLD	BDL	153.95 ^a (10.79)
CS M+ shoot	16.32 ^b (1.32)	153.69 ^c (16.71)	37.27 ^c (4.61)	3358.52 ^c (297.3)
CS M+ roots	BDL	5.21 ^a (0.3)	5.46 ^a (1.04)	103.91 ^a (13.72)
CS M- shoot	1.93 ^a (0,20)	31.14 ^b (2.5)	BDL	38.57 ^a (10.79)
CS M- roots	BDL	13.55 ^{ab} (1.9)	6.84 ^{ab} (1.43)	19.54 ^a (1.82)
BS initial	3.00 ^c (0.35)	BDL	11.75 ^d (1.75)	1000.58 ^e (100.42)
BS M+ final	3.13 ^c (0.81)	BDL	15.38 ^d (4.48)	1129.99 ^e (137.29)
BS M- final	3.00 ^c (1.04)	BDL	25.50 ^d (6.31)	1047.57 ^e (105.31)
CS initial	7.13 ^d (1.22)	2.62 ^d (0,09)	245.38 ^g (1.65)	585.07 ^d (107.20)
CS M+ final	6.50 ^d (1.04)	2.24 ^e (0.05)	175.25 ^f (5.81)	1123.82 ^e (83.10)
CS M- final	6.25 ^d (1.00)	2.08 ^e (0.03)	229.0 ^g (11.05)	1231.02 ^e (61.50)
Leached M-	0.26 ^f (0.02)	BDL	1.18 ^h (0.05)	1.27 ⁱ (0.01)
Leached M+	0.44 ^g (002)	BDL	1.39 ^h (0.08)	1.59 ^g (0.03)

ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD, in each column different letters mean a significant difference. BDL: Below Detection Limit; nd: not determined. CS: Contaminated soil; BS: Blank soil; M+: inoculated plants with AM fungi; M-: non-inoculated with AM fungi.

The shoot (aerial) and root bioaccumulation coefficients (ABC and RBC, respectively) and Translocation Factors (TF) were calculated. Figure 6 shows the behavior of Cd, Ni, Cu, and P in plants with and without AM inoculation when grown in the contaminated soil in the BRs. Bioaccumulation coefficients less than 1 were not considered and those greater than 1 were highlighted. Higher ABCs in inoculated *B. salicifolia* were for Cd (68.61), followed by P (2.99) and Ni (2.51) while Cu was < 1 (0.21). All of which were significantly higher than non-inoculated plants. On the other hand, higher RBC for Cd in non-inoculated plants was found. In this sense, MAPm is highly effective for all the elements under study and is particularly promising for Cd y P.




Figure 6. Shoot and root bioaccumulation coefficients and translocation factor (TF) for Ni, Cd, Cu, and P in *Baccharis salicifolia* plants inoculated (M+) and non-inoculated (M-) with AM fungi in BRs. ABC: Shoot Bioaccumulation Coefficient; RBC: Root Bioaccumulation Coefficient. Values are the means ± standard error (vertical bars). For each element and parameter, bars with different letters are significantly different (Tukey test, $p < 0.05$).

The total plant biomass of *B. salicifolia* inoculated output of BRs was 59.42 g per plant, considering 12 plants in each BR, the total biomass estimated by each BR was 713.04 g (Table 3).

Table 3. Shoots and roots biomass (g) of *Baccharis salicifolia* plants grown in contaminated soil (CS) and blank soil (BS) with inoculation (M+) and without inoculation (M-) of AM fungi in the BR at the end of the experiment.

Treatment	Shoot Biomass (g)	Root Biomass (g)	Total plant Biomass (g)
CS M+	52.67 (33.53)	6.75 (5.91)	59,42 (34,04)
CS M-	37.67 (28.31)	9 (7.21)	46,66 (29,21)
BS M+	30.00 (22.72)	5.50 (4.20)	35,50 (23,10)
BS M-	35.75 (24.06)	7.25 (2.87)	43,00 (24,23)

Values represent the mean and standard deviation between parentheses. CS: Contaminated soil; BS: Blank soil; M+: inoculated plants with AM fungi; M-: non-inoculated with AM fungi.

On the other hand, for the estimation of bioextracting potential (BP) of the MAPm system in the BR and the VDM, it was considered that 100 BRs involving 1 VDM concept were considered in Tables 3 and 4.

The projection of the results obtained in the BR for the scaling up to the VDM is shown in Table 4. The mass of CRMs and SRMs that can be extracted in the VDM under the physicochemical and biological conditions of the experiment through four months of growth of inoculated *B. salicifolia* is indicated. BP was highest for P (213.1 g), followed by Cd (9.75 g), Cu (2.40 g), and Ni (1.16 g). For scaling from BR to VDM, some variables were kept constant to achieve the same residence time of the water-soluble elements with the biomass and the soil, to promote the same interaction in BR and MDV of the phases: soil-biomass-water at both TRL levels. In this sense, the pH, Eh, porosity, and flow velocity (V_D and V_a) in both BR and VDM were approximately the same, while HWC, Q, and

Tc were proportional. Table 5 shows the VDM experimental calibration values, based on measurements taken from those BRs.

Table 4. Bioextrative Potential (BP) of Ni, Cd, Cu, and P in the bioreactors (BR) and the vegetable depuration module (VDM), in the VDM when *Baccharis salicifolia* was inoculated with AM fungi.

CRM and SRM	Concentration		Bioextrative Potential	
	Shoots* - Roots (ppm)	Mass/plant (mg)	Total/ BR (mg)	Total/VDM (g)
Ni	16.32*	16.32	11.63	1.16
Cd	153.69*-5.21	8.12	97.5	9.75
Cu	37.27* - 5.46	2.00	24.00	2.40
P	3358.52*-103.9	177.6	2131	213.1

CRM: Critical Raw Materials, SRM: Strategical Raw Materials, * indicates concentration in shoots.

Table 5. Hydraulic constants, physicochemical parameters, and the concentration of easily extractable glomalin-related soil proteins (EE-GRSP) and total glomalin-related soil protein (T-GRSP) estimated in the bioreactors (BRs) and the vegetable depuration module (VDM) under the CS M+ treatment.

	Qi (m³/d)	Qe (m³/d)	Q (m³/d)	Ks (m³/d/m²)	Tc (seg)	Vd (m/d)	Va (m/d)	φ	WHC (kg)	pH	Eh	GRSP (g)	
												T (g)	EE
BR	2.64	0.83	1.74	192.9	32	11.57	28.94	0.4	0.14	7.1	256	0.054	0.071
VDM	264	83	174	193	3200	11.57	28.94	0.4	14	7.1	225	5641	7150

Qi: income flow; Qe: egress flow, Q: average Qi and Qe; Ks: permeability constant; Tc: liquid retention time before exit to the collecting chamber; Vd: Darcy’s velocity; Va: average linear velocity; φ: porosity; WHC: water holding capacity.

4. Discussion

The potential of AM fungi to promote plant growth, mitigate abiotic stress, and sequester metal(oids) in plant biomass and/or soil is well known under laboratory or greenhouse conditions (TRL 2-3), but not fully harnessed at higher levels of scalement, as point out Ibañez et al. [30], there are few trials of scaling to TRL 6. In the present work, we applied the MAPm system, consisting of *B. salicifolia* plants inoculated with a mixture of AM fungal species isolated from a Zn-Pb-Ag mine, into BRs (TRL 4) containing a mine soil with high levels of Cd, Ni, and Cu, to decontaminate and recover CRMs and SRMs. This approach was then projected to the VDM at TRL 6 to analyze their effectiveness at higher levels.

Our MAPm system reached the highest bioaccumulation values of Cd, predominantly in the shoot biomass (ABC: 68.61) and roots (RBC: 2.33), with a significant translocation of this element towards the shoot biomass (TF: 29.45). Likewise, Cd accumulation in roots was significantly higher when plants were non-inoculated (13.55 ppm) compared with the inoculated one (5.21 ppm), indicating that inoculation increased the translocation, resulting in high shoot and low root accumulation. Consequently, the MAPm system in the BRs exhibited a phytoextraction behavior, taking up Cd from the contaminated soil via AM hyphae, translocating it to the plant, and accumulating this element in the aerial plant biomass.

The effect of AM inoculation on the accumulation and translocation of heavy metal(oids) was consistent with our previous studies, showing an increase in the TF values when *Helianthus annuus* was inoculated with the AM fungal species *Rhizophagus intraradices* (strain GA5) at different TRLs [2,17,22]. Salas-Luévano et al. [31] identified *B. salicifolia* developed under natural conditions as a potential tool for phytoremediation, although a lower Cd accumulation was reported (1.3 ppm of Cd in shoots and 6.6 ppm in soil). In contrast, Hard et al. [32] demonstrated that *B. salicifolia* from a

polluted landfill is an effective bioaccumulator of Pb and Cd, which aligns with our findings. Previously, we reported high BC and TF of Zn (47.55 and 3 respectively) in *B. salicifolia* plants naturally developed in the CMFSR mine, thus demonstrating the great potential of *B. salicifolia* plants on bioremediation when they are studied at different TRLs [21]. It was proposed as a tolerance mechanism of metal stress by plants, the ability to translocate Cd to the shoot biomass and excrete it through leaf trichomes [33]. However, the role of AM fungal species involved in assisting phytoremediation is critical. In previous work, we observed a stabilizing effect, with decreased TF values in inoculated *Senecio bonariensis* (Asteraceae family) plants when different AM fungal strains were used in the VDM, thus demonstrating the importance of the appropriate selection of AM fungi in bioremediation process [34]. The AM fungal species used to inoculate *B. salicifolia* plants, isolated from a polluted mine (*Paramillos de Uspallata*), revealed a greater tolerance by its intense root colonization compared to the AM species already present in the soil. Several studies have shown that AM fungi isolated from metal-contaminated soils often exhibit greater tolerance to pollutants than species from less contaminated environments [35]. Therefore, the AM species from *Paramillos* mine are valuable biological resources for phytoremediation applications. In this study, the BP of metal(loid)s estimated for the MAPm system in the VDM was 1.16 g Ni < 2.40 g Cu, < 9.75 g Cd < 213.1 g P, greater values than those obtained in previous works by using other plant species and AM fungi, registering 0.216 g Ni < 0.5 g Cu < 114 g P [16]. To optimize Cd removal efficiency in the MAPm system, co-inoculation with other beneficial microorganisms should be attended in future studies [36], AM fungi typically enhance plant growth in Cd-contaminated soils by mobilizing available P from soil through their external mycelial networks, thus making them useful for remediation [37]. Our results showed significantly higher uptake and translocation of P in inoculated plants (ABC M+: 2.99) than in non-inoculated plants (ABC M-: 0.125), in both contaminated and blank soil. In addition, mycorrhizal plants enhance the production of molecules that chelate Cd by forming complexes, including phytochelatins, metallothioneins, and glutathione [12,35,38]). Glomalin produced by AM fungal mycelia can also bind more metals, significantly immobilizing them and promoting host plant tolerance to harsh situations [24]. We found that the concentrations of EE-GRSP and T-GRSP were higher in inoculated plants than in non-inoculated plants grown in contaminated soil. Increments in glomalin, and the high frequency and colonization intensity rates, may be attributed to the AM species used in inoculation, and a positive correlation between EE-GRSP and AMF biomass, may result in great glomalin exudation [24].

When *B. salicifolia* was inoculated, Ni concentration significantly increased in above-ground biomass (16.32 g M+ and 1.93 M-). This was also observed in Cu accumulation and translocation, while soil concentration decreased significantly by Cu and Cd. Both Ni and Cu are classified as SRMs included in the 5th list of CRMs, along with P, making their recovery from biomass through hydrometallurgical techniques, by the purification with selective electrodeposition, permitting a sustainable and selective metals recovery at a high degree of purity (99%) and determining commercial reuse. By applying a leaching/purification processing circuit of the SRMs and CRMs accumulated and concentrated on plant biomass, a recovery of 90% of purified metals has been demonstrated [39–41]. In this sense, CRMs from biomass (1.16 g of Ni, 2.40 g of Cu, and 213.1 g of P) could be recovered through the VDM. Elements recovery, in gram order, was also recorded in Scotti et al. [22], where 12.4 g of Mn, 29.3 g of Zn, 17.6 g of Sr, and 5 g of Cu in biomass of the VDM were extracted. Contrarily, these elements were phytostabilized and were not efficiently extracted depending on the AM fungal strain used in Colombo et al. [34]. This may be due to various mechanisms involved in the entry of elements into the microorganisms and roots, such as the bioavailability of the elements in the soil. One of the main mechanisms that limit bioavailability is the accumulation of heavy metal(oids) in spores and mycelium of AM fungi [42]. These effects might interfere with the decrease in the concentration of the elements in the soil, but could also impact their leaching. In our work, we found greater output of P and Ni to the collection chamber, when inoculation occurred, and only the concentration of Cd in the soil decreased. This result could be related to the microbial activity that could increase in the mycorrhizosphere because of the

abundance changes of microorganisms associated with AM hyphae, such as P-solubilizers and Ni solubilizer bacteria [43].

Calibration of the physical, chemical, and biological parameters was important for scaling the MAPm system from TRL 4 to TRL 6. In this sense, Ibañez et al. [30] discussed the importance of the tests at TRL 6, and Xu et al. [44] used the retention time in BRs simulating artificial wetlands with water inlet in vertical flow to analyze metal uptake effects in mycorrhized plants. They also discussed the importance of the BR filling system, permeability, and porosity. In this sense, it is important to keep the chosen parameters within the conditions required by the applied law. We considered laminar vertical flow with aeration at the time of water inlet, applying Darcy's law in VDM as outlined by Scotti et al. [22].

The behavior of a constructed wetland mainly depends on the hydraulics, chemistry, and permeability of the substrate [45]. A common obstacle encountered in constructed wetlands is an inadequate oxygen supply, that causes stressful conditions for plants and microorganisms and decreases metal removal efficiency [46,47]. The characteristics of the proposed VDM that favor an aerobic environment and removal CRM and SRM, include vertical subsurface flow [47], appropriate potential redox and pH values [48], high density of root biomass, and the development of an extraradical mycelial network in the substrate [49]. We accounted for the main variables necessary for scaling and considerations related to Darcy's law.

5. Conclusions

The proposed MAPm system demonstrated a significant strategy for effectively extracting Cd from soils while maintaining P concentration, making it a promising option for intercropping in contaminated soils. Additionally, the MAPm enhances the translocation of the studied elements, positioning it as a viable strategy for CRMs and SRMs recovery through hydrometallurgical processes.

The calibration parameters used allowed the scaling up of MAPm into the BRs under similar hydraulic, chemical, and biological conditions.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org.

Author Contributions: "Conceptualization, A.S., V.S., A.C.G. and S.U.; methodology, A.J., A.C.G., G.C., S.U.P. and R.C.; formal analysis, A.J., G.C., A.G.; investigation, A.S., A.C.G. and S.U.; resources, M.I.; data curation, A.S., A.J., G.C. and R.C.; writing—original draft preparation, A.S., V.S., A.G., and A.C.G.; writing—review and editing, I.G.R., S.U. and M.I.; supervision, A.S., A.J. and V.S.; project administration, A.S., I.G.R. and G.C.; funding acquisition, M.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding: This research was funded by FONTAGRO ANT/RF - 18951, PID UTN MSECASR 8446 San Rafael Regional Faculty, National Technological University (FRSR UTN), National Atomic Energy Commission (CNEA), PIP 11220200102192CO (CONICET) and UBACYT 20020170100142BA (UBA).

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the authorities of the National Atomic Energy Commission for their support in this research and the authorities of San Rafael Regional Faculty of the National Technological University. We also acknowledge Buenos Aires University and National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET) for financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Ubaldini, S.; Guglietta, D.; Vegliò, F.; Giuliano, V. Valorization of Mining Waste by Application of Innovative Thiosulphate Leaching for Gold Recovery. *Metals* **2019**, *9*, 274 (1-12) <https://doi.org/10.3390/met9030274>
2. Guglietta, D.; Belardi, G.; Cappai, G.; Casentini, B.; Godeas, A.; Milia, S.; Passeri, D.; Salvatori, R.; Scotti, A.; Silvani, V. Toward a Multidisciplinary Strategy for the Classification and Reuse of Iron and Manganese Mining Wastes. *Chem. J. Mold* **2020**, *15*, 21–30.
3. European Commission, Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs, Grohol, M., Veeh, C., Study on the critical raw materials for the EU 2023 – Final report, Publications Office

- of the European Union. Available online: <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2873/725585>. Accessed on 12 September 2024.
4. Scotti, A.; Silvani, V.; Milia, S.; Cappai, G.; Ubaldini, S.; Ortega, V.; Colombo, R.; Godeas, A.; Gómez, M. Chapter title: Scale-up of Mycorrhizal-Assisted Phytoremediation (MAPm) system from TRL6 to TRL 7: cost-benefits within a circular economy context. In book title: *Soil Science - Emerging Technologies, Global Perspectives and Applications*. Aide, M. and Braden, I. Publisher: IntechOpen, London, United Kingdom, 2022. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.101584.
 5. Advanced Materials Initiative. Available online: <https://www.ami2030.eu/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Ami2030-Dossier-2.pdf> Accessed on 12 September 2024.
 6. Dobrzańska-Danikiewicz, A. European development trends in innovative advanced materials area. *International Scientific Journal Innovations* **2024**, 2, 36-39.
 7. Dinh, T.; Dobo, Z.; Kovacs, H. Phytomining of noble metals—A review. *Chemosphere* **2022**, 286, 131805 (1-14). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131805>.
 8. Ubaldini, S.; Guglietta, D.; Trapasso, F.; Carloni, S.; Passeri, D.; Scotti, A. Treatment of Secondary Raw Materials by Innovative Processes. *Chem. J. Mold.* **2019**, 14, 32–46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19261/cjm.2019.585>.
 9. COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2023/915 of April 25 2023 on maximum levels for certain contaminants in food and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006. Available online: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32023R0915>. Accessed on 12 September 2024.
 10. Fontagro. Bioproceso reductor de la solubilidad del cadmio (Cd) rizosférico. Available online: <https://www.fontagro.org/new/proyectos/bioproceso-cd/es>. Accessed on 12 September 2024.
 11. Ofori-Agyemang, F.; Burges, A.; Waterlot, C.; Lounès-Hadj Sahraoui, A.; Tisserant, B.; Mench, M.; Oustrière, N. Phytomanagement of a metal-contaminated agricultural soil with Sorghum bicolor, humic/fulvic acids and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi near the former Pb/Zn metaleurop Nord smelter. *Chemosphere* **2024**, 362, 142624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.142624>.
 12. Garg, N.; Bhandari, P. Cadmium toxicity in crop plants and its alleviation by arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi: An overview. *Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology* **2014**, 148(4), 609-621. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2013.788096>.
 13. Ubaldini, S. Advanced Technologies in Bio/Hydrometallurgy for Recovery and Recycling of Metals. *Metals* **2023**, 13, 1105, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/met13061105>.
 14. Ubaldini, S. Leaching Kinetics of Valuable Metals. *Metals* **2021**, 11, 173. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met11010173>.
 15. Seuring, S.; Müller, M. From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2008**, 16(15), 1699-1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>.
 16. Scotti, A.; Milia, S.; Silvani, V.; Cappai, G.; Guglietta, D.; Trapasso, F.; Tempesta, E.; Passeri, D.; Godeas, A.; Gómez, M.; Ubaldini, S. Sustainable Recovery of Secondary and Critical Raw Materials from Classified Mining Residues Using Mycorrhizal-Assisted Phytoextraction. *Metals* **2021**, 11(8), 1163. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met11081163>.
 17. Scotti, A.; Silvani, V.A.; Juarez, N.A.; Godeas, A.M.; Ubaldini, S. The Role of Mycorrhizal-Assisted Phytomining in the Recovery of Raw Materials from Mine Wastes. *Metals* **2022**, 12(11), 1828. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met12111828>.
 18. FAO, UN Water. Progress on the Level of Water Stress: Global Status and Acceleration Needs for SDG Indicator 6.4.2; 444 FAO; United Nations Water (UN Water): Rome, Italy, **2021**; p 95.
 19. Scotti, A.; Godeas, A.; Silvani, V. Procedimiento para Aumentar la Capacidad Biorremediadora de Plantas Hiperacumuladoras a Través de Hongos Formadores de Micorrizas Arbusculares (HMA) para Tratamiento de Suelos y/o Aguas Contaminados. Patent AR090183 B1130100620, **2022**.
 20. Silvani, V.A.; Bidondo, L.F.; Bompadre, M.J.; Colombo, R.P.; Pérgola, M.; Bompadre, A.; Fracchia, S.; Godeas, A. Growth dynamics of geographically different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates belonging to the 'Rhizophagus clade' under monoxenic conditions. *Mycologia* **2014**, 106(5), 963-75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3852/13-118>.
 21. Castaño, A.R.; Scotti, A.; Silvani, V.A.; Ubaldini, S.; Trapasso, F.; Tempesta, E.; Plá, R.R.; Giuffré, M.; Juarez, N.A.; Guglietta, D. Remote Sensing and Mycorrhizal-Assisted Phytoremediation for the Management of Mining Waste: Opportunities and Challenges to Raw Materials Supply. *Minerals* **2023**, 13(6), 765. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min13060765>.
 22. Scotti, A.; Silvani, V.; Cerioni, J.; Visciglia, M.; Benavidez, M.; Godeas, A. Pilot testing of a bioremediation system for water and soils contaminated with heavy metals: vegetable depuration module. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* **2019**, 21(9), 899-907. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2019.1583634>.
 23. Declerck, S.; D'Or, D.; Bivort, C.; De Souza, F.A. Development of extraradical mycelium of *Scutellospora reticulata* under root-organ culture: spore production and function of auxiliary cells. *Mycological Research* **2004**, 108(1), 84-92. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756203008761>.

24. Zhang, J.; Li, J.; Ma, L.; He, X.; Liu, Z.; Wang, F.; Chu, G.; Tang, X. Accumulation of glomalin-related soil protein benefits soil carbon sequestration: Tropical coastal forest restoration experiences. *Land Degradation & Development* **2022**, 33(10), 1541–1551. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4192>.
25. Li, Y.; Xu, J.; Hu, J.; Zhang, T.; Wu, X.; Yang, Y. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Glomalin Play a Crucial Role in Soil Aggregate Stability in Pb-Contaminated Soil. *IJERPH* **2022**, 19(9), 5029. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095029>.
26. US EPA O. EPA Method 3050B: Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges, and Soils. 2019. <https://www.epa.gov/esam/epa-method-3050b-acid-digestion-sediments-sludges-and-soils>. Accessed on 12 September 2024.
27. US EPA O. SW-846 Test Method 3005A: Acid Digestion of Waters for Total Recoverable or Dissolved Metals for Analysis by Flame Atomic Absorption (FLAA) or Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Spectroscopy. 2015. <https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/sw-846-test-method-3005a-acid-digestion-waters-total-recoverable-or-dissolved-metals>. Accessed on 12 September 2024.
28. Zagal, E. and Sadzawka, A. *Protocolo de métodos de análisis para suelos y lodos*. Universidad de Concepción, Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero: Santiago, Chile, 2007, 10-18.
29. Olsen SR. *Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate*. US Department of Agriculture. 1954; 939, 18-19.
30. Ibáñez, J. Niveles de madurez de la tecnología [Technology readiness levels: TRLS]: Una introducción. *Econ. Indus* **2014**, 393, 165–171.
31. Salas-Luévano, M.A.; Mauricio-Castillo, J.A.; González-Rivera, M.L.; Vega-Carrillo, H.R.; Salas-Muñoz, S. Accumulation and phytostabilization of As, Pb and Cd in plants growing inside mine tailings reforested in Zacatecas, Mexico. *Environ Earth Sci.* **2017**, 76(23), 806. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-7139-y>.
32. Hard, H.R.; Brusseau, M.; Ramirez-Andreotta, M. Assessing the feasibility of using a closed landfill for agricultural graze land. *Environ Monit Assess* **2019**, 191(7), 458. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7579-9>.
33. Kadukova, J.; Manousaki, E.; Kalogerakis, N. Pb and Cd Accumulation and Phyto-Excretion by Salt Cedar (*Tamarix Smyrnensis* Bunge). *International Journal of Phytoremediation* **2008**, 10(1), 31-46. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226510701827051>.
34. Colombo, R.P.; Silvani, V.A.; Benavidez, M.E.; Scotti, A.; Godeas, A.M. Different behavior of two strains of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus intraradices* on *Senecio bonariensis* Hook. & Arn. against heavy metal soil pollution: a pilot-scale test. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* **2024**, 26 (11), 1741–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2024.2353389>.
35. Garg, N.; Singh, S.; Kashyap, L. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants: An Insight into Physiological and Molecular Mechanisms. In: Varma, A., Prasad, R., Tuteja, N. (eds) *Mycorrhiza - Nutrient Uptake, Biocontrol, Ecorestoration*, springer, Cham. **2017**. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68867-1_4.
36. Zulfiqar, U.; Haider, F.U.; Maqsood, M.F.; Mohy-Ud-Din, W.; Shabaan, M.; Ahmad, M.; Kaleem, M.; Ishfaq, M.; Aslam, Z.; Shahzad, B. Recent Advances in Microbial-Assisted Remediation of Cadmium-Contaminated Soil. *Plants* **2023**, 12(17), 3147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12173147>.
37. Herath, B.M.M.A.; Madushan, K.W.A.; Lakmali, J.P.D.; Yapa, P.N. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as a potential tool for bioremediation of heavy metals in contaminated soil. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews* **2021**, 10(03), 217–228. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2021.10.3.0255>.
38. Shi, W.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, S.; Polle, A.; Rennenberg, H.; Luo, Z-B. Physiological and molecular mechanisms of heavy metal accumulation in nonmycorrhizal versus mycorrhizal plants. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2019**, 42, 1087–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13471>.
39. Ubaldini, S.; Povar, I.; Lupascu, T.; Spinu, O.; Trapasso, F.; Passeri, D.; Carloni, S.; Guglietta, D. Application of Innovative Processes for Gold Recovery from Romanian Mining Wastes. *Chem. J. Mold.* **2020**, 15, 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.19261/cjm.2020.718>.
40. Ubaldini, S.; Massidda, R.; Veglio, F.; Beolchini, F. Gold stripping by hydro-alcoholic solutions from activated carbon: Experimental results and data analysis by a semi-empirical model. *Hydrometallurgy* **2006**, 81, 40-44. DOI: 10.1016/j.hydromet.2005.10.004.
41. Luptakova, A.; Ubaldini, S.; Fornari, P.; Mačingova, E. Physical-chemical and biological-chemical methods for treatment of acid mine drainage. *Chemical Engineering Transactions* **2012**, 28,, 115-120. DOI: 10.3303/CET1228020.
42. Benavidez, M.E.; De La Fournière, E.M.; Colombo, R.P.; Silvani, V.A.; Debray, M.E.; Scotti, A.; Godeas, A.M. Micro PIXE mapping proves a differential distribution and concentration of trace elements in fungal structures of *Rhizophagus intraradices*. *Fungal Biology* **2024**, 128(7), 2089-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2024.08.008>.
43. Becerra-Castro, C.; Prieto-Fernández, A.; Alvarez-Lopez, V.; Monterroso, C.; Cabello-Conejo, M.I.; Acea, M.J.; Kidd, P.S. Nickel solubilizing capacity and characterization of rhizobacteria isolated from hyperaccumulating and non-hyperaccumulating subspecies of *Alyssum serpyllifolium*. *Int J Phytoremediation* **2011**, 13 Suppl 1, 229-244. doi: 10.1080/15226514.2011.568545. PMID: 22046762.

44. Xu, Z.; Li, K.; Li, W.; Wu, C.; Chen, X.; Huang, J.; Zhang, X.; Ban, Y. The positive effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation and/or additional aeration on the purification efficiency of combined heavy metals in vertical flow constructed wetlands. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **2022**, *29*, 68950–68964. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-20759-0>.
45. Guitttonny-Philippe, A.; Masotti, V.; Höhener, P.; Boudenne, J.L.; Viglione, J.; Laffont-Schwob, I. Constructed wetlands to reduce metal pollution from industrial catchments in aquatic Mediterranean ecosystems: A review to overcome obstacles and suggest potential solutions. *Environment International* **2014**, *64*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.11.016>.
46. Akrotos, C.S.; Tsihrintzis, V.A. Effect of temperature, HRT, vegetation and porous media on removal efficiency of pilot-scale horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering* **2007**, *29*(2), 173-91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2006.06.013>.
47. Mena, J.; Rodriguez, L.; Nuñez, J.; Fernández, F.J.; Villaseñor, J. Design of horizontal and vertical subsurface flow constructed wetlands treating industrial wastewater. *Water Pollution IX* **2008**, *111*, 555-564. DOI:10.2495/WP080551.
48. Husson, O. Redox potential (Eh) and pH as drivers of soil/plant/microorganism systems: a transdisciplinary overview pointing to integrative opportunities for agronomy. *Plant Soil* **2013**, *362*(1-2), 389-417. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1429-7>.
49. Göhre, V.; Paszkowski, U. Contribution of the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis to heavy metal phytoremediation. *Planta* **2006**, *223*(6), 1115-22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-006-0225-0>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.