

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Equality of the Singularity Critical Locus Dimension and the Newton Polyhedron Combinatorial Dimension

[Grzegorz Oleksik](#)*

Posted Date: 18 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.1455.v1

Keywords: combinatorial dimension; generic dimension; critical locus; nondegeneracy; Newton polyhedron; complex singularity



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Article

Equality of the Singularity Critical Locus Dimension and the Newton Polyhedron Combinatorial Dimension

Grzegorz Oleksik 

Institute of Mathematics, Poznan University of Technology; grzegorz.oleksik@put.poznan.pl

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to determine the local dimension of the critical locus of a generic singularity. We use combinatorial methods to calculate this dimension in terms of a convex object associated with the singularity, called the Newton polyhedron. In the article we prove that the local dimension of the critical locus of a generic singularity $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $n \leq 4$, is equal to the combinatorial dimension of the Newton polyhedron of the gradient mapping ∇f . Therefore there is some symmetry between combinatorial properties of the Newton polyhedron of a generic singularity and geometric properties of its critical locus.

Keywords: combinatorial dimension; generic dimension; critical locus; nondegeneracy; Newton polyhedron; complex singularity

MSC: Primary: 32S05; Secondary: 13C15

1. Introduction

In our research, we study discrete invariants of complex analytic singularities. More precisely, we try to read these invariants from a certain combinatorial convex object in real space, associated with a singularity, called the Newton polyhedron. A singularity is represented by a holomorphic function defined in some neighborhood of a critical point. In the sixties and seventies of the last century Vladimir I. Arnold posed the following problems related to the Newton polyhedron of a singularity (see [1]):

- 1968-2** What topological characteristics of a real (complex) polynomial are computable from the Newton polyhedron (and the signs of the coefficients)?
- 1975-1** Every interesting discrete invariant of a generic singularity with a Newton polyhedron Γ is an interesting function of the polyhedron. Study: the signature, the number of moduli, the singularity index, the integral monodromy, the variation, the Bernstein polynomial, and μ_i (for generic section).
- 1975-21** Express the main numerical invariants of a typical singularity with a given Newton polyhedron (e.g., the signature, the genus of the 1-dimensional Milnor fiber) in terms of the polyhedron.

So far, many of invariants have been read off from the Newton polyhedron of a generic singularity (i.e. singularity with generic coefficients). The most important of them is the Milnor number [5]. Also bifurcation set of a polynomial function is determined by its Newton polyhedron [13]. So we see many geometric and topological properties of a generic singularity are reflected in corresponding combinatorial properties of its Newton polyhedron. Thus, we can say that there is some kind of symmetry between a generic singularity and its Newton polyhedron. In the paper [2] we gave combinatorial conditions in terms of the Newton Polyhedron to check when a generic singularity is an isolated singularity. In [9] we generalized this result to the case of non-isolated singularity and we gave a formula for the dimension of the critical locus of a generic singularity $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$,

$n \leq 3$ in terms of the Newton polyhedron of f . The main result of our article is to extend this result to the case $n = 4$. More precisely, we prove that the dimension of the critical locus of a generic singularity $f : (\mathbb{C}^4, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, is equal to the combinatorial dimension of the Newton polyhedron of the gradient mapping ∇f (see Theorem 3, Corollary 1). Our result confirms Arnold's Conjecture in this case. It is possible to compute a dimension of an analytic set by Gröbner basis ([3]). However the complexity of the Gröbner basis computations may be exponential and our combinatorial methods could be more effective in many cases. To get singularities with generic coefficients we use the Kushnirenko nondegeneracy (see Preliminaries). Also C.T.C Wall gave some similar nondegeneracy conditions, but his conditions are too strong and imply that the singularity nondegenerate in his sense has to be an isolated singularity (see [12]). Another aspect of our paper is finite determinacy. Recall an analytic function is finitely determined if and only if it has an isolated singularity [8,11]. We show that dimension of the critical locus of Kushnirenko nondegenerate singularity is finitely determined (see Corollary 2, Example 2).

2. Preliminaries

Put $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a singularity i.e. a germ of a holomorphic function having isolated critical point at 0. So f is given by a convergent power series:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \quad c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z^{\alpha} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}. \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. Newton polyhedron of $f(x, y) = y^6 + x^2 y^2 + x^4 y$.

We will now give some definitions following famous Kushnirenko paper [5]

- $\text{supp } f = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : c_{\alpha} \neq 0\}$ - support of f
- $\Gamma_+(f)$ - convex hull of $\alpha + \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\alpha \in \text{supp } f$ - Newton polyhedron of f
- $\Gamma(f)$ - family of compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f)$ - Newton boundary of f
- $f_{\Delta}(z) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$, $\Delta \in \Gamma(f)$
- f - Kushnirenko nondegenerate on Δ if the system of equations

$$\frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial z_1}(z) = \dots = \frac{\partial f_{\Delta}}{\partial z_n}(z) = 0 \quad (2)$$

has no solution in $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^n$.

- f - Kushnirenko nondegenerate, if f nondegenerate on each face $\Delta \in \Gamma(f)$

If we start with a given subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}^n$, $(0, \dots, 0) \notin A$, we can also define an abstract Newton polyhedron $\Gamma_+(A)$ as a convex hull of sets $\alpha + \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\alpha \in A$. Then we say that $\Gamma_+(A)$ is generated by A .

Now, we pass to the case of the mapping. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ be a germ of a holomorphic mapping and $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ be a tuple of subsets of $\mathbb{N}^n$.

In the paper [10] we introduced the following definitions.

Definition 1. We define a tuple

$$\text{supp } f = (\text{supp } f_1, \dots, \text{supp } f_m) \quad (3)$$

and call it the support of f .

Definition 2. We define a tuple

$$\Gamma_+(f) = (\Gamma_+(f_1), \dots, \Gamma_+(f_m)) \quad (4)$$

and call it the Newton polyhedron of f .

We define a tuple

$$\Gamma_+(\mathcal{A}) = (\Gamma_+(A_1), \dots, \Gamma_+(A_m)) \quad (5)$$

and call it an abstract Newton polyhedron generated by $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we put

$$OX_I = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I\}, \quad (6)$$

so OX_I is the coordinate subspace spanned by axes $OX_i, i \in I$.

Definition 3. We say $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (k) -Kushnirenko condition (simply (k) -condition) if for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ there are at least $|I| - k$ nonempty sets among the following sets:

$$A_1 \cap OX_I, \dots, A_m \cap OX_I. \quad (7)$$

Definition 4. We say f satisfies (k) -Kushnirenko condition (simply (k) -condition) if $\text{supp } f$ satisfies (k) -Kushnirenko condition.

Remark 1. For $k = 0$ we will shortly write the Kushnirenko condition instead of (0) -Kushnirenko condition. It seems that Kushnirenko was the first, who gave such condition [6]. If f is a function (not a mapping) then Definition 4 is different from ([9], Definition 2.2). In this case the old definition of the Kouchnirenko condition [9, Definition 2.2] corresponds to the condition ∇f satisfy (k) -Kouchnirenko condition in the sense of our new Definition 4. Hertling and Kurbel collected conditions equivalent to the Kushnirenko condition in the case of quasihomogeneous polynomial ([4], Lemma 2.1), but this lemma is also true without the assumption of quasihomogeneity.

Definition 5. We define a combinatorial dimension of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\dim \mathcal{A} = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{A} \text{ satisfies } (k)\text{-condition}\} \quad (8)$$

Remark 2. Since $\text{supp } f_i \cap OX_I \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\Gamma_+(f_i) \cap OX_I \neq \emptyset$ for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ we get that

$$\dim(\text{supp } f) = \dim \Gamma_+(f) \quad (9)$$

Remark 3. It is also easy to observe the following conditions are equivalent:

- $\dim \mathcal{A} = k$.
- $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies k -condition and it does not satisfy $(k - 1)$ -condition.

3. Main Results

In the paper [9] we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $n \leq 3$ be a singularity. If f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\dim \Sigma(f) = d$
- (ii) $\text{supp } \nabla f$ satisfies d -condition and it does not satisfy $(d-1)$ -condition, for each $0 \leq d \leq n$.

By Remarks 1, 2, 3 we can reformulate the above theorem as following.

Theorem 2. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $n \leq 3$ be a singularity. If f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate, then

$$\dim \Sigma(f) = \dim \Gamma_+(\nabla f) \quad (10)$$

Roughly speaking, $\dim \Gamma_+(\nabla f)$ is a measure of the density of supports f'_{z_i} on the coordinate subsystems. If this density increases in all coordinate subsystems, the dimension of the critical locus decreases. If this density is maximal, then the singularity has an isolated critical point at 0. Therefore we may put forward the following conjecture.

Hypothesis 1. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, be a singularity. If f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate, then

$$\dim \Sigma(f) = \dim \Gamma_+(\nabla f) \quad (11)$$

Now, we give the main result of the paper, which confirms our conjecture for $n = 4$

Theorem 3. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^4, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, be a singularity. If f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate, then

$$\dim \Sigma(f) = \dim \Gamma_+(\nabla f) \quad (12)$$

Example 1. Let

$$f(x, y, z, w) = xyz + xyw + wz.$$

We easily check that f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate. Put $I = \{1\}$. We get all supports in $\text{supp } \nabla f$ are disjoint with OX_I . Therefore f does not satisfy 0 - Kushnirenko condition. On the other hand it is easy to see f satisfies 1-Kushnirenko condition. Hence

$$\dim \Sigma(f) = \dim \Gamma_+(\nabla f) = 1.$$

As a direct corollaries of the main result we get the following:

Corollary 1. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $n \leq 4$ be a singularity. If f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate, then

$$\dim \Sigma(f) = \dim \Gamma_+(\nabla f) \quad (13)$$

Corollary 2. Let $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $n \leq 4$ be Kushnirenko nondegenerate singularities. If $\Gamma_+(\nabla f) = \Gamma_+(\nabla g)$, then

$$\dim \Sigma(f) = \dim \Sigma(g) \quad (14)$$

Example 2. Let

$$g(x, y, z, w) = f + \sum_{i+j \geq 1} xyz^i w^j.$$

Observe that $\Gamma_+(\nabla f) = \Gamma_+(\nabla g)$. Hence g is also Kushnirenko nondegenerate and by Corollary 2 we get

$$\dim \Sigma(g) = \dim \Sigma(f) = 1.$$

4. The Proof of the Main Results

We will imitate the proof of [Theorem 3.2] [9]. However the proof in the case $\dim \Sigma(f) = 2$ requires more effort, which is shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^4, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, be a nondegenerate singularity. If $\dim \Sigma(f) = 2$, then $\text{supp } \nabla f$ does not satisfy (1)-Kushnirenko condition.*

Proof. Since f is Kushnirenko nondegenerate, by [9, Proposition 4.3] we get

$$\Sigma(f) \subset \{z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 0\} \quad (15)$$

Therefore without loss of a generality we can assume that $\dim \Sigma(f) \cap V(z_1) = 2$ Now, let's expand f with respect to z_1

$$f(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = g_0(z_2, z_3, z_4) + z_1 g_1(z_2, z_3, z_4) + z_1^2 g_2(z_2, z_3, z_4) + \dots \quad (16)$$

Hence $\dim \Sigma(g_0) \cap V(g_1) = 2$. Consider cases:

- $g_0 \equiv 0$. Then $z_1 | f$. Put $I = \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then $\text{supp } f'_{z_i} \cap OX_I$, $i = 2, 3, 4$, are empty sets. Hence $\text{supp } \nabla f$ does not satisfy (1) - Kushnirenko condition.
- $g_0 \not\equiv 0$. Since f is nondegenerate, then g_0 is also nondegenerate and

$$\Sigma(g_0) \subset \{z_2 z_3 z_4 = 0\} \quad (17)$$

Therefore without loss of a generality we can assume that

$$\dim(\Sigma(g_0) \cap V(g_1) \cap V(z_2)) = 2. \quad (18)$$

Now, let's expand g_0 and g_1 with respect to z_2

$$g_0(z_2, z_3, z_4) = g_{00}(z_3, z_4) + z_2 g_{01}(z_3, z_4) + z_2^2 g_{02}(z_3, z_4) + \dots \quad (19)$$

$$g_1(z_2, z_3, z_4) = g_{10}(z_3, z_4) + z_2 g_{11}(z_3, z_4) + z_2^2 g_{12}(z_3, z_4) + \dots \quad (20)$$

Hence $\dim(\Sigma g_{00} \cap V(g_{01}) \cap V(g_{10}) = 2$. Therefore function g_{00}, g_{01}, g_{10} are identically equal to 0 and f has a form:

$$f(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = z_2^2 h(z_2, z_3, z_4) + z_1 z_2 k(z_2, z_3, z_4) + z_1^2 l(z_2, z_3, z_4), \quad (21)$$

$h \not\equiv 0, k \not\equiv 0$ or $l \not\equiv 0$. Put $I = \{3, 4\}$. Then $\text{supp } f'_{z_i} \cap OX_I$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are empty sets. Hence $\text{supp } \nabla f$ does not satisfy (1) - Kouchnirenko condition.

It finishes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $0 \leq d \leq 4$. By Remark 3 it is enough to prove the equivalence of conditions i) and ii). Since the conditions ii) are disjoint for different d , it is enough to prove only implication from i) to ii). By ([9], Proposition 4.1) $\text{supp } \nabla f$ satisfies (d) - Kouchnirenko condition. It is enough to show that $\text{supp } \nabla f$ does not satisfy $(d-1)$ - Kouchnirenko condition. Let's consider the cases:

- $d = 4$. Since $f \not\equiv 0$ and $\text{ord } f \geq 2$, this case is impossible.
- $d = 3$. It is a consequence of ([9], Proposition 4.5)
- $d = 2$. It is a consequence of Lemma 1.
- $d = 1$. It is a consequence of the main result of [2]

It finishes the proof. $\square$

Funding: This research was funded by Rector of Poznan University of Technology; grant number 0213/SBAD/0119.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Arnold, V. I. *Arnold's problems*. Springer-Verlag: Berlin; Moscow, **2004**
2. Brzostowski, Sz.; Oleksik, G. On combinatorial criteria for non-degenerate singularities. *Kodai Math. J.* **2008**, *39*
3. Cox, D. A.; Little J.; O'Shea D. *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms. An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*. Springer **2015**
4. Hertling, C. A.; Kurbel, R. On the classification of quasihomogeneous singularities. *J. Singul.* **2012**, *4*
5. Kushnirenko, A. G. Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor. *Invent. Math.* **1976**, *32*
6. Kushnirenko, A. G. Criteria for the existence of a non-degenerate quasihomogeneous function with given weights. *Usp. Mat Nauk* **1977**, *32* (in Russian)
7. Mondal, P. *How many zeroes?* Springer CMS/CAIM, **2021**
8. J. Mather, , 35, 127–156 (1969)
9. Mather, J. Stability of C^∞ mappings III *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **1969**, *35*
10. Oleksik, G. On a generic dimension of the critical locus. *Results Math.* **2020**, *75*
11. Oleksik, G. A generic dimension of an analytic set. *Ann. Pol. Math* **2025**, *submitted*.
12. Tougeron, J. -C. Idéaux de fonctions différentiables I *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **1968**, *8*
13. Wall, C. T. C. Newton polytopes and non-degeneracy. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **1999**, *509*
14. Zaharia, J. On the bifurcation set of a polynomial function and Newton boundary II. *Kodai Math. J.* **1996**, *19*

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.