

Effects of *Fagopyrum dibotrys* Rhizoma Meal Supplementation on Productive Performance, Egg Quality, Egg Nutritional Value and Serum Biochemical Parameters of *Shanma* Laying Ducks

[Pingwen Xiong](#)[†], [Gaoxiang Ai](#)[†], [Jiang Chen](#), [Wenjing Song](#), [Qiongli Song](#), [Dongyou Yu](#), [Zhiheng Zou](#), [Qipeng Wei](#), [Chuanhui Xu](#), [Weide Su](#), [Xiaolian Chen](#)^{*}, [Lizhen Hu](#)^{*}

Posted Date: 26 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.0096.v2

Keywords: *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal; productive performance; egg quality; egg nutritional value; serum biochemical parameters; *Shanma* laying ducks



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Effects of *Fagopyrum dibotrys* Rhizoma Meal Supplementation on Productive Performance, Egg Quality, Egg Nutritional Value and Serum Biochemical Parameters of *Shanma* Laying Ducks

Pingwen Xiong ^{1,2,3,†}, Gaoxiang Ai ^{2,†}, Jiang Chen ², Wenjing Song ², Qiongli Song ², Dongyou Yu ¹, Zhiheng Zou ², Qipeng Wei ², Chuanhui Xu ², Weide Su ², Xiaolian Chen ^{3,*} and Lizhen Hu ^{2,*}

¹ Key Laboratory of Molecular Animal Nutrition (Zhejiang University), Ministry of Education, Hangzhou 310058, China

² Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanchang 330200, China

³ Jiangxi Province Key Laboratory of Animal Green and Healthy Breeding, Nanchang 330200, China

* Correspondence: chenxl@jxaas.cn (X.C.); hulizhen1980@jxaas.cn (L.H.)

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The rhizoma of *Fagopyrum dibotrys* (D. Don) Hara, a traditional natural medicinal herb with extensive historical applications in China, possess anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antioxidant, antimicrobial, immunomodulatory and antidiabetic effects. However, the potential positive effects of *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal (FDRM) on productive performance in high-density laying duck farming remain unclear. This experiment was conducted to assess the impacts of FDRM supplementation in *Shanma* laying ducks diet by determining productive performance, egg quality, egg nutritional value and serum biochemical parameters. With similar laying performance ($80.88 \pm 5.17\%$) and body weight (1.24 ± 0.02 kg), 512 healthy 32-week-old *Shanma* laying ducks were randomly assigned to 4 groups consisting of 8 replicates (16 ducks per replicate). Ducks in the control group (F0 group) were fed only the basal diet, while the other groups (F1, F2 and F3 groups) were fed the basal diets supplemented with 1%, 2% and 3% FDRM, respectively. The experiment lasted for 49 days with ad libitum access to feed and water. Our results showed that supplementing FDRM in duck diet had no adverse effects on laying performance ($P > 0.05$). Additionally, compared with the control group, dietary supplementation with FDRM significantly improved the shell strength, yolk color and shell proportion ($P < 0.05$), while increasing the serum total protein (TP) content ($P < 0.05$). The study also found that adding 2% FDRM significantly enhanced the contents of total amino acids, essential amino acids and umami amino acids in eggs ($P < 0.05$), improved the composition of monounsaturated fatty acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids ($P < 0.05$), and reducing the saturated fatty acids content. However, 3% FDRM addition increased the serum blood urea nitrogen content ($P < 0.05$), indicating reduced the dietary protein utilization efficiency. Overall, dietary supplementation with 2% FDRM might improved egg quality and egg nutritional value of *Shanma* laying ducks through improving the shell strength, yolk color and shell proportion, enhancing yolk fatty acid and amino acid profiles and elevating serum TP content.

Keywords: *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal; productive performance; egg quality; egg nutritional value; serum biochemical parameters; *Shanma* laying ducks

1. Introduction

Laying duck industry serves as a pivotal pillar of China's agricultural economy. In the context of its rapid development, key industry concerns now revolve around enhancing production performance, optimizing egg quality, improving the nutritional value of duck eggs, and achieving green and sustainable development. With rapid economic development and continuous improvement in living standards, consumers are placing higher demands on the nutritional and health-promoting qualities of egg products, making it imperative to enhance the nutritional and functional value of duck eggs [1]. Recent studies have revealed that Chinese herbal medicine or plant extracts are rich in bioactive compounds such as disease-resistant alkaloids, antioxidant flavonoids, and phenolics. These substances can effectively improve the nutritional value of eggs by enhancing antioxidant capacity, boosting immune function, and optimizing gut microbiota structure in poultry, demonstrating significant potential for practical applications [2–9].

Fagopyrum dibotrys (*F. dibotrys*) (D. Don) Hara, a perennial herbaceous plant belonging to the Polygonaceae family and *Fagopyrum* genus, possesses significant medicinal and edible value, and has been officially included in China's Feed Materials Directory [10]. Its rhizomata, stems, leaves, and flowers contain trace mineral elements essential for livestock and poultry, including copper (Cu), iron (Fe), and zinc (Zn), etc, as well as 17 amino acids such as methionine (Met), arginine (Arg), and lysine (Lys), etc, along with various vitamins including vitamin B₁, vitamin B₂, and vitamin E, etc. [11]. The rhizoma of *F. dibotrys* (FDR) has a long history of application in traditional Chinese medicine. It contains various bioactive compounds including flavonoids, phenolics, triterpenes, and tannins, etc. [12–14], and exhibits multiple biological properties such as antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and immunomodulatory effects [15–17]. Currently, its stems and leaves are widely used in pharmaceuticals, health foods, beverages, and forage, while research on its rhizomata in livestock production remains limited. As a forage crop, *F. dibotrys* exhibits strong adaptability, high propagation efficiency, and substantial biomass yield (up to 112,500 kg·hm⁻²). Its stems and leaves are rich in crude protein (12.28% DM) with low crude fiber (24.06% DM), neutral detergent fiber (39.74% DM), and acid detergent fiber (30.18% DM), making it a potential unconventional feed ingredient to replace part of swine diets and alleviate feed shortages [11,18–20]. Studies show that supplementing 10% fresh *F. dibotrys* in laying hens diets deepened yolk color and improved amino acids composition in Changshun green-shell eggs [20]. Furthermore, adding 400-800 mg/kg of *F. dibotrys* stem-leaf extract not only enhanced egg production, quality, and nutritional value but also improved immunity by modulating serum biochemical parameters [21]. Additionally, dietary inclusion of 1-2% *F. dibotrys* rhizoma meal (FDRM) in broilers alleviated oxidative stress induced by oxidized oil, thereby improving poultry health [16].

Nevertheless, based on our knowledge, researches on *Fagopyrum dibotrys* has primarily focused on its stems and leaves, with studies conducted on growing-finishing pigs [9], sows [19], mice [17], and laying hens [20,21], demonstrating certain improvements in animal growth performance and farming efficiency. However, studies on the application of its rhizomata in poultry are very scarce. It is currently unclear whether dietary supplementation with FDRM can exert similarly positive effects on intensive laying duck production as its stem and leaf derivatives. Consequently, this study aims to investigate the effects of FDRM on productive performance, egg quality, nutritional value of eggs, and serum biochemical parameters, thereby providing a scientific basis for utilizing FDRM as an unconventional feed resource in *Shanma* laying duck production.

2. Materials and Methods

The experimental use of animals and related procedures were performed according to the Chinese Guidelines for Animal Welfare and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Ethical Committee Number: 2025-JXAAS-XM-18).

2.1. Experimental Materials

The *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal (FDRM) used in this study was provided by the Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences. Fresh *F. dibotrys* rhizomata were collected, crushed and passed through the 80-mesh screen to prepare FDRM. The main bioactive compounds of FDRM are total flavonoids and polyphenols, quantified using a UV spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) at the Jiangxi Province Key Laboratory of Animal Green and Healthy Breeding, which the contents are 19.6 mg/g and 63.85 mg/g respectively. Moreover, the nutritional compositions of FDRM in this experiment are shown in Table 1. The contents of gross energy, dry matter, crude protein, crude fat, crude fiber, crude ash, calcium, and total phosphorus in FDRM were determined according to the methods described in Feed Analysis and Feed Quality Testing Technology [22].

Table 1. Nutritional compositions of FDRM (air-dry basis) %.

Items	Contents
Gross energy (MJ/kg)	15.48
Dry matter	86.40
Crude protein	4.03
Crude fat	0.30
Crude fiber	14.10
Crude ash	4.10
Calcium	0.41
Total phosphorus	0.31

FDRM = Fagopyrum dibotrys rhizoma meal.

2.2. Ducks, Experimental Design and Treatments

This study was conducted on 32-weeks-old Longyan *Shanma* laying ducks for a 49-day period with a completely randomized design. A total of 512 laying ducks with similar productive performance (80.88 ± 5.17 %) and body weight (1.24 ± 0.02 kg) were used in this experiment. Ducks were randomly allocated to 4 groups with 8 replicates per group and 16 ducks per replicate (128 laying ducks per group). The control group (F0) received the basal diet, while the treatment groups were provided with diets containing 1% (F1), 2% (F2), and 3% (F3) FDRM supplementation. All diets were nutritionally balanced and formulated to meet identical nutritional specifications.

2.3. Diets and Management

This trial was carried out at the test field of laying ducks in Gaoan, Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, PR China. The basal diet fed animals was maize-soybean meal diet, which was formulated based on the China's national standard “nutrient requirements for egg duck” (GB/T 41189-2021) to meet the nutrient requirements of Longyan *Shanma* ducks. Table 2 presents the composition and nutrient levels of experimental diets. The experimental laying ducks were raised in three-layer three-dimensional netting, consisted of 4 adjacent cages (40 × 38 × 38 cm; length × width × height) with 2 animals per cage, providing 28,880 cm³ per animal in closed fully automated duck house. Each replicate was raised on the upper and middle floors and each group was guaranteed to be equal in the number of distributed upper and middle layers. During the period of study, the housing temperature and relative humidity were 23.0 ± 2 °C and 55 to 75 %, respectively. Furthermore, the photoperiod was set at 16L:8D through a 49-d experimental period. Animals were kept with ad libitum access to feed and water during the entire experimental period.

Table 2. Composition and nutrient levels of experimental diets (air-dry basis) %.

Items	F0	F1	F2	F3
Ingredient				

Maize	52.00	51.60	51.40	51.00
Soybean meal	23.90	23.81	23.75	23.65
wheat bran	9.35	9.85	10.10	10.60
Soybean oil	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Limestone	8.47	8.46	8.47	8.50
Calcium hydrogen phosphate dihydrate	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.36
Sodium chloride	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Choline chloride	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Vitamin premix ¹⁾	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Mineral premix ²⁾	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
DL-methionine	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16
L-lysine-HCl	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
L-tryptophan	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
Rice bran and hull	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00
<i>Fagopyrum dibotrys</i> rhizoma meal	0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00
Total	100	100	100	100
Nutrient levels ³⁾				
Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg)	10.47	10.47	10.48	10.47
Crude protein (%)	16.50	16.50	16.50	16.50
Ca (%)	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
NPP (%)	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Digestible lysine (%)	0.854	0.853	0.853	0.852
Digestible methionine (%)	0.399	0.408	0.408	0.408
Digestible threonine (%)	0.210	0.200	0.200	0.201
Digestible tryptophan (%)	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.604

¹⁾The vitamin premix provided the following per kg of diets:Vitamin A 7 500 IU, Vitamin D₃ 2 500 IU, Vitamin E 20 IU, Vitamin K₃ 2.5 mg, Vitamin B₁ 3 mg, Vitamin B₂ 6 mg, pantothenic acid 20 mg, pyridoxine 2.5 mg, nicotinic acid 27 mg, biotin 0.2mg, folic acid 1 mg. ²⁾The mineral premix provided the following per kg of diets:Cu (as copper sulfate)20 mg, Fe (as ferrous sulfate)50 mg, Zn (as zinc sulfate)70 mg, Mn (as manganese sulfate)70 mg, I (as potassium iodide) 0.4 mg, Se (as sodium selenite) 0.3 mg. ³⁾All nutritional levels were calculated based on Tables of Feed Composition and Nutritional Value in China (34th Edition, 2023). FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

2.4. Productive Performance

Throughout the trial, the ducks' egg production and egg weight were monitored daily, and feed consumption was meticulously recorded on a replicate basis at weekly intervals using an electronic balance (HLD-5003, Youheng Weighing Equipment Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China). At the end of the feeding trial, these values processed using Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corp., USA) were used to analyze the daily egg weight (DEW), average egg weight (AEW), laying rate (LR), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and the ratio of feed to egg (F/E) of the ducks for the 49-d feeding period.

2.5. Egg Quality

On the final day of the experiment, a random subset of eight freshly laid eggs (a total of 64 eggs from each treatment) were collected for each replicate, which were used for conventional egg quality analysis (within 48 h after laying), including shape index, shell strength, shell thickness, haugh unit, yolk color, vitellus proportion, albumen proportion and shell proportion. The shape index was measured with a precision caliper marked at 0.01mm intervals and was represented by the formula shape index (SI) = (egg length/egg width) [1]. Shell strength was assessed along the vertical axis with a compression tester (EFG-0503, Robotmation, Tokyo, Japan). The shell thickness was determined (excluding shell membrane) using a micrometer with the least count of 0.01 mm and was expressed

by the mean of measurements taken at three points (air cell, equator, and sharp end) of the egg. Haugh unit, yolk color and albumen height were measured using an Egg Multi-tester (EMT-5200, Robotmation, Tokyo, Japan). The vitellus, albumen, and shell were separated, weighed, and expressed as a percentage of total egg weight.

2.6. Egg Nutritional Value

After measuring the egg physical parameters, the vitelluses were sampled, lyophilized, and subsequently analyzed for nutrient composition, amino acid composition and fatty acids profile. Nutrient composition included moisture, crude protein (CP), crude fat, cholesterol and Ca were determined in accordance with AOAC methods [23]. Crude protein content was estimated by measuring nitrogen content (Kjeldahl method) with an automatic Kjeldahl nitrogen analyzer (SKD-200, Shanghai Peiou Analysis Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and applying a 6.25 conversion factor. Ether extract was measured using the Soxhlet method with petroleum ether extraction in a Hanon Automatic Soxhlet Extractor (SZF-06A, Shanghai Lichen Instruments Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The method for the Ca and cholesterol determination were used by an UV spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) and commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) as described by Zhang et al. [24]. According to the methods reported by Cullere et al. [25] and Xu et al. [26], the amino acids content and fatty acids profile of the eggs were analyzed. The determination of amino acids content in egg yolk was determined by ion-exchange chromatography with the following procedure: approximately 0.1 g of egg yolk powder sample was weighed and digested with 5 mL of 6 mol/L HCl solution at 105°C in an oven for 24 h. After digestion, the solution was diluted to 50 mL in a volumetric flask with deionized water and filtered through a 0.22 µm aqueous-phase filter into a centrifuge tube. Then, 2 mL of the filtrate was evaporated in an evaporating dish in a 60°C water bath, followed by the addition of 4 mL of 0.02 mol/L HCl solution for dissolution. Once fully dissolved, the sample was stored at 4°C for analysis using an ion-exchange amino acid analyzer (L8900, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). A total of 17 amino acids were determined, as detailed in Table 6. While the fatty acids profile was performed using gas chromatography (GC), the detailed procedure was as follows: Approximately 20 g of egg yolk sample was dried at 105°C for 1 h, followed by accurate weighing of 1 g dried sample for petroleum ether extraction (3 h). Subsequently, 60 mg of extracted fat was dissolved in 4 mL of isooctane, followed by sequential addition of 200 µL potassium hydroxide-methanol solution and 1 g sodium bisulfate. After salting-out, the upper layer containing fatty acid methyl esters was collected and stored at 4°C. Prior to GC analysis (Agilent 7890 A, Agilent Technologies, CA, USA), all samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm membrane. Quantitative analysis of fatty acids was conducted using GC ChemStation B.04.03 software (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). A total of 15 fatty acids were determined, as detailed in Table 7.

2.7. Serum Biochemical Parameters

At the end of the 8 weeks, two laying ducks with close to the average weight were randomly selected from each replicate for collection of 5 mL of fasting blood samples from the wing vein using a black 7-gauge needle and vacuum coagulation tubes. The blood samples were centrifuged at $1,006 \times g$ for 10 minutes to separate serum within 2 hours after blood collection using a centrifuge (LC-LX-L50C, Shanghai LiChen Instrument Technology, Ltd., Shanghai, China) at the Jiangxi Province Key Laboratory of Animal Green and Healthy Breeding, and the serum was separated and stored at -20°C for further use. The serum levels of triglycerides (TG, Cat no, A110-2-1), total cholesterol (TC, Cat no, A111-2-1), high-density lipoprotein (HDL, Cat no, A112-2-1), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL, Cat no, A113-2-1), total protein (TP, Cat no, A045-2-2), albumin (ALB, Cat no, A028-2-1), blood urea nitrogen (BUN, Cat no, C013-2-1), alkaline phosphatase (AKP, Cat no, A059-2-2), and calcium (Ca, Cat no, C004-2-1) were measured by an Automatic Biochemistry Instrument (BS-420, Shenzhen Myriad Bio-Medical Electronics Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China) using commercial assay kits. All assay kits were provided by the Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute.

2.8. Statistical Analyses

All data were organized using Excel 2013, and then subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (One-Way ANOVA) using the Bonferroni method in SPSS 22.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) to test for multiple comparisons. The experimental results were presented as mean and pooled SEM. A value of $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant, while a value of $0.05<P<0.10$ indicated a trend towards an increase or decrease.

3. Results

3.1. Productive Performance

The effects of dietary FDRM supplementation on productive performance of laying ducks are shown in Table 3. During the entire period, there were no significant effects ($P>0.05$) by adding FDRM in laying ducks diet, regardless of the supplementation levels, on DEW, AEW, DEN, LR, ADFI and F/E.

Table 3. Effects of FDRM on productive performance of laying duck¹.(33 to 39 weeks of age).

Items ⁴	Groups				SEM ²	P-value ³
	F0	F1	F2	F3		
DEW (g/d)	788.20	799.09	792.87	795.93	11.74	0.990
AEW (g)	64.94	65.09	64.93	64.34	0.22	0.650
DEN [egg/(duck·d)]	0.76	0.77	0.76	0.77	0.01	0.977
LR (%)	75.85	76.79	76.36	77.36	1.14	0.977
ADFI [g/(duck·d)]	183.22	177.23	180.3	178.55	0.93	0.116
F/E (g/g)	3.74	3.57	3.67	3.61	0.05	0.706

¹Data are the mean of 8 replicates with 16 ducks each. ²SEM (Standard error of the mean): the standard error of the average. ³In the same row, values with no letter superscripts mean no significant difference($P>0.05$), while with different letter superscripts mean significant difference($P<0.05$). ⁴DEW, daily egg weight = Gross egg weight laid in experimental period/49; AEW, average egg weight; DEN, daily egg number = Gross egg numbers laid in experimental period/49/16; LR, laying rate; ADFI, average daily feed intake; F/E, The ratio of feed to egg. FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

3.2. Egg Quality

The egg quality parameters of laying ducks are depicted in Table 4. Compared with the F0 group, the shell strength and yolk color in F2 and F3 groups were significantly increased ($P<0.05$). Furthermore, the yolk color in F1 group and the shell proportion in F3 group were significantly ($P<0.05$) higher than that in F0 group. No significant differences were observed in shape index, shell thickness, albumen height, haugh unit, vitellus proportion and albumen proportion ($P>0.05$), in response to dietary FDRM supplementation levels.

Table 4. Effects of FDRM on egg quality of laying ducks¹(39 weeks of age).

Items ⁴	Groups				SEM ²	P-value ³
	F0	F1	F2	F3		
Shape index (SI)	1.36	1.35	1.35	1.35	0.003	0.782
Shell strength (N/m ²)	39.69 ^b	43.12 ^{ab}	43.88 ^a	44.80 ^a	0.61	0.006
Shell thickness (mm)	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.002	0.124
Albumen height (mm)	7.00	7.08	6.83	6.75	0.09	0.544
Haugh unit	80.74	81.59	80.20	79.32	0.64	0.674
Yolk color	5.52 ^b	5.76 ^a	5.77 ^a	5.73 ^a	0.03	0.003
Vitellus proportion (%)	31.55	31.54	31.74	31.60	0.13	0.953
Albumen proportion (%)	60.02	59.55	59.60	59.27	0.15	0.385

Shell proportion (%)	8.48 ^b	8.91 ^{ab}	8.83 ^{ab}	9.13 ^a	0.07	0.003
----------------------	-------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	------	-------

¹Data are the mean of 8 replicates with 16 ducks each. ²SEM (Standard error of the mean): the standard error of the average. ³In the same row, values with no letter superscripts mean no significant difference($P>0.05$), while with different letter superscripts mean significant difference($P<0.05$). ⁴Shape index (SI) = egg length/egg width. FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

3.3. Egg Nutritional Value

3.3.1. Nutrient Composition of Egg

For the conventional nutrient levels of the vitellus in laying ducks, no significant dietary effects were observed across the measured parameters (moisture; crude protein; ether extract; cholesterol and Ca) (Table 5). Nevertheless, compared with the F0 group, the Ca content in F2 and F3 groups tend to improve as the inclusion level of FDRM increased($P=0.073$).

Table 5. Effects of FDRM on conventional nutrient levels in the vitellus of laying ducks (Fresh matter basis)¹.

Items	Groups				SEM ²	P-value ³
	F0	F1	F2	F3		
Moisture (%)	49.57	49.75	49.25	49.61	0.10	0.410
Crude protein (%)	17.70	18.00	17.88	17.96	0.07	0.420
Ether extract (%)	10.61	10.53	10.52	10.38	0.06	0.646
Cholesterol (mg/g)	9.06	8.26	7.96	8.66	0.19	0.211
Ca (mg/g)	0.58	0.58	0.61	0.62	0.01	0.073

¹Data are the mean of 8 replicates with 16 ducks each. ²SEM (Standard error of the mean): the standard error of the average. ³In the same row, values with no letter superscripts mean no significant difference($P>0.05$), while with different letter superscripts mean significant difference($P<0.05$). FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

3.3.2. Amino Acids Composition

As can be seen from Table 6, the contents of Thr, Val, Ile, Leu, Asp, Glu, Gly, Cys, total EAAs, total AAs, and umami AAs in the vitellus showed significant response to the increasing FDRM supplement levels ($P<0.05$). Compared with the control group (F0), there was no difference in the amino acid profile in F1 group ($P>0.05$), dietary supplementation with 2% FDRM (F2) group could markedly increase the contents of Thr, Leu, Asp, Glu, Gly, Cys, total EAAs, total AAs, and umami AAs ($P<0.05$), while the Thr and Asp concentrations in the group supplemented with 3% FDRM (F3) were statistically heightened ($P<0.05$), with no dietary effect on other amino acids contents ($P>0.05$). Furthermore, compared with the the F1 group, the F2 group significantly increase the content of Val, Ile, Leu, Gly, Cys, and total EAAs ($P<0.05$), without significantly affecting the contents of other amino acids ($P>0.05$).

Table 6. Effect of FDRM on amino acids composition in the vitellus of laying ducks¹(mg/g, as-fresh basis).

Items ⁴	Groups				SEM ²	P-value ³
	F0	F1	F2	F3		
EAAs						
Thr	6.25 ^b	6.38 ^{ab}	6.77 ^a	6.82 ^a	0.07	0.003
Val	8.09 ^{ab}	8.01 ^b	8.46 ^a	8.11 ^{ab}	0.09	0.002
Met	4.00	4.31	4.52	4.42	0.08	0.104
Ile	6.14 ^{ab}	6.04 ^b	6.56 ^a	6.20 ^{ab}	0.08	0.024
Leu	12.26 ^b	12.89 ^b	13.67 ^a	12.95 ^{ab}	0.19	0.004
Phe	6.56	6.46	7.04	6.67	0.10	0.195

Lys	10.10	10.52	11.00	10.84	0.13	0.073
NEAAs						
Asp	12.24 ^b	12.42 ^{ab}	13.29 ^a	13.26 ^a	0.15	0.009
Ser	11.29	11.03	12.08	11.35	0.14	0.066
Glu	17.44 ^b	17.77 ^{ab}	18.84 ^a	18.28 ^{ab}	0.18	0.026
Gly	4.29 ^b	4.35 ^b	4.69 ^a	4.47 ^{ab}	0.05	0.010
Ala	7.14	7.27	7.42	7.44	0.06	0.307
Cys	2.18 ^b	2.20 ^b	2.28 ^a	2.26 ^{ab}	0.02	0.003
Tyr	5.15	5.36	5.64	5.50	0.08	0.227
His	3.18	3.30	3.42	3.44	0.04	0.092
Arg	8.68	9.07	9.85	9.48	0.17	0.227
Pro	4.96	4.80	5.29	4.84	0.07	0.095
Total EAAs	53.73 ^b	54.99 ^b	58.75 ^a	54.80 ^{ab}	0.66	<0.001
Total NEAAs	74.39	77.08	79.13	79.80	0.97	0.198
Total AAs	128.12 ^b	131.61 ^{ab}	140.00 ^a	134.60 ^{ab}	1.34	0.008
Flavour AAs	52.46	53.62	55.49	55.61	0.57	0.158
Umami AAs	29.67 ^b	30.19 ^{ab}	31.93 ^a	31.54 ^{ab}	0.31	0.021
Sweet AAs	27.98	27.45	28.01	28.10	0.38	0.939
Aromatic AAs	11.37	11.82	12.63	12.17	0.17	0.054

¹Data are the mean of 8 replicates with 16 ducks each. ²SEM (Standard error of the mean); the standard error of the average. ³In the same row, values with no letter superscripts mean no significant difference($P>0.05$), while with different letter superscripts mean significant difference($P<0.05$). ⁴Asp, asparc acid; Thr, threonine; Ser, serine; Glu, glutamic acid; Gly, glycine; Ala, alanine; Cys, cysteine; Val, valine; Met, methionine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine; Tyr, tyrosine; Phe, phenylalanine; Lys, lysine; His, histidine; Arg, arginine; Pro, proline; EAAs, essential amino acids; NEAAs, non-essential amino acids; AAs, amino acids; Flavour AAs = Asp + Glu + Gly + Ala + Tyr + Phe; Umami AAs = Asp + Glu; Sweet AAs = Ser + Gly + Ala + Pro; Aromatic AAs = Tyr + Phe. FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

3.3.3. Fatty Acids Profile

Table 7 showed the effect of dietary FDRM addition on the fatty acid profile in the vitellus of laying ducks. The levels of C16:0 and total SFAs in the F1 and F2 groups exhibited a significant decrease compared to the F0 group ($P<0.05$), while the UFAs:SFAs ratio increased significantly ($P<0.05$). Moreover, the levels of C14:0 significantly decreased in the F2 and F3 groups ($P<0.05$), the F3 group statistically heightened the contents of C20:1 and C20:3, markedly reduced the contents of total SFAs ($P<0.05$). Compared with the F0 group, the PUFAs:SFAs ratio in the vitellus from the F1 group displayed a remarkable enhancement ($P<0.05$).

Table 7. Effect of FDRM on fatty acids profile in the vitellus of laying ducks¹(%).

Items ⁴	Groups				SEM ²	P-value ³
	F0	F1	F2	F3		
C14:0	0.40 ^a	0.39 ^{ab}	0.36 ^b	0.34 ^b	0.01	<0.001
C16:0	21.82 ^a	21.19 ^b	21.15 ^b	21.44 ^{ab}	0.08	0.034
C17:0	1.21	1.18	1.15	1.17	0.01	0.081
C18:0	6.64	6.62	6.67	6.64	0.02	0.884
C20:0	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.001	0.111
C16:1	4.65	4.73	4.75	4.72	0.02	0.116
C17:1	1.32	1.37	1.29	1.30	0.01	0.323
C18:1n9	45.70	45.88	46.01	46.34	0.10	0.106
C20:1	0.32 ^b	0.33 ^{ab}	0.33 ^{ab}	0.34 ^a	0.003	0.007
C18:2n6	15.20 ^{ab}	15.42 ^a	15.33 ^{ab}	14.81 ^b	0.09	0.033

C18:3n3	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.001	0.907
C18:3n6	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.003	0.082
C20:3	0.085 ^b	0.089 ^{ab}	0.087 ^{ab}	0.092 ^a	0.001	0.025
C20:4	0.93	0.95	0.93	0.94	0.004	0.197
C22:6	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.002	0.053
Total SFAs	30.31 ^a	29.46 ^b	29.41 ^b	29.67 ^b	0.10	0.033
Total MUFAs	51.98	52.31	52.40	52.71	0.11	0.204
Total PUFAs	17.96 ^{ab}	18.23 ^a	18.11 ^{ab}	17.62 ^b	0.08	0.041
Total UFAs	69.70	70.55	70.55	70.33	0.11	0.069
UFAs:SFAs	2.30 ^b	2.40 ^a	2.39 ^a	2.37 ^{ab}	0.01	0.040
PUFAs:SFAs	0.59 ^b	0.62 ^a	0.61 ^{ab}	0.60 ^{ab}	0.005	0.032

¹Data are the mean of 8 replicates with 16 ducks each. ²SEM (Standard error of the mean): the standard error of the average. ³In the same row, values with no letter superscripts mean no significant difference($P>0.05$), while with different letter superscripts mean significant difference($P<0.05$). ⁴SFAs = C14:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0 + C20:0; MUFAs = C16:1 + C17:1 + C18:1n9 + C20:1; PUFAs = C18:2n6 + C18:3n3 + C18:3n6 + C20:3 + C20:4 + C22:6; UFAs = MUFAs + PUFAs; SFAs, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFAs, polyunsaturated fatty acids; UFAs, unsaturated fatty acids. FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

3.4. Serum Biochemical Parameters

For serum biochemical parameters, the levels of TP and BUN along with the concentration of Ca in serum of laying ducks showed significant responses to increased dietary FDRM supplement levels (Table 8, $P<0.05$). The serum levels of TP in F2 group and BUN in F3 group were significantly ($P<0.01$) higher than that in F0 group. Additionally, in comparison to the F2 group, the F1 and F3 group dramatically lowered the Ca concentration in serum of laying ducks.

Table 8. Effects of FDRM on serum biochemical parameters of laying ducks¹.

Items ⁴	Groups				SEM ²	P-value ³
	F0	F1	F2	F3		
TP (g/L)	30.26 ^b	31.88 ^{ab}	35.20 ^a	32.4 ^{ab}	0.49	0.002
ALB (g/L)	15.91	15.67	17.34	15.88	0.24	0.107
BUN (mmol/L)	6.25 ^b	5.63 ^b	8.47 ^{ab}	10.29 ^a	0.54	0.005
AKP (KU/100 mL)	20.28	19.76	20.24	22.94	1.21	0.900
Ca (mmol/L)	1.34 ^{ab}	1.29 ^b	1.46 ^a	1.29 ^b	0.02	0.030
TG (mmol/L)	6.32	4.29	5.06	4.67	0.33	0.169
TC (mmol/L)	2.43	3.85	2.37	2.58	0.21	0.210
HDL (mmol/L)	1.12	1.85	1.19	1.50	0.11	0.059
LDL (mmol/L)	0.76	0.83	0.67	0.66	0.04	0.449

¹Data are the mean of 8 replicates with 16 ducks each. ²SEM (Standard error of the mean): the standard error of the average. ³In the same row, values with no letter superscripts mean no significant difference($P>0.05$), while with different letter superscripts mean significant difference($P<0.05$). ⁴TP, total protein; ALB, albumin; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; AKP, alkaline phosphatase; Ca, calcium; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein ; LDL, low-density lipoprotein. FDRM = *Fagopyrum dibotrys* rhizoma meal. F0 = control group; F1 = the group supplemented with 1% FDRM; F2 = the group supplemented with 2% FDRM; F3 = the group supplemented with 3% FDRM.

4. Discussion

In general, compared to *Fagopyrum esculentum* (common buckwheat) and *Fagopyrum tataricum* (tartary buckwheat), *F. dibotrys* (golden buckwheat), as a member of the *Fagopyrum* genus, contains a greater variety of flavonoids and phenolic acids, exhibits stronger antimicrobial activity, and demonstrates intermediate antioxidant capacity [14,27]. Additionally, Chinese herbal medicine rich in flavonoids and phenolic acids have been shown to improve poultry health status and egg production performance [28,29]. This experiment aimed to investigate the effects of dietary supplementation with FDRM on laying performance, egg quality, egg nutritional value and serum biochemical indicators of *Shanma* laying ducks. Our findings demonstrated that dietary inclusion of FDRM had no significant impact on daily egg weight, average egg weight, daily egg number, laying rate, average daily feed intake, and the ratio of feed to egg in laying ducks, but markedly enhanced shell strength and yolk coloration. This aligns with previous reports where supplementation of Mulberry leaf extract (rich in flavonoids such as rutin and phenolic acids like chlorogenic acid) in Lohmann Silber layers diet showed no adverse effects on production performance, yet significantly improved yolk pigmentation [24]. In contrast, Chen, et al. [1] and Feng, et al. [30] reported that dietary supplementation with honeycomb extracts (rich in flavonoids such as quercetin and phenolic acids like caffeic acid.) and *Eucommia ulmoides* leaf powder (rich in phenolic acids such as chlorogenic acid) in laying ducks have no significant improvements in laying performance and egg quality. Similarly, in the study by Iskender et al. [31], it was observed that no significant differences in laying performance and eggshell quality, in response to dietary supplementation with hesperidin, naringin and quercetin (All belong to the flavonoids). These discrepancies might be attributed to variations in extracts types, poultry breeds and diets. Given the limited existing research on FDRM in laying duck nutrition, further mechanistic investigations are warranted to elucidate its functional properties.

Duck eggs, containing abundant protein and amino acids, fatty acids, minerals and vitamins, serve as an excellent source of essential nutrients for human food and health. The primary indicators for assessing their nutritional value and sensory quality typically encompass amino acid composition and fatty acid profiles [1,21]. As fundamental building blocks of life, essential amino acids such as lysine, methionine, threonine, and phenylalanine not only play critical roles in regulating lipid and protein metabolism but also constitute indispensable nutrients that cannot be endogenously synthesized by animals and must be supplemented through dietary intake [32]. This study revealed that compared to the control group, the 2% FDRM-supplemented group significantly increased the contents of total amino acids (by 9.27%), total essential amino acids (by 9.34%), and umami amino acids (by 7.62%) in egg yolks, confirming the beneficial effect of FDRM on the nutritional value of duck eggs. The potential underlying mechanisms may involve enhancing antioxidant capacity and modulating the expression of genes related to amino acid metabolism [33]. Notably, Yao et al. [34] also reported that sea buckthorn extract rich in flavonoid such as quercetin significantly improved the contents of total amino acids, essential amino acids, and umami amino acids in eggs through a similar mechanism. Nevertheless, current research remains insufficient in identifying the specific bioactive components within FDRM and their molecular targets, which represents a critical focus for future investigations.

Accumulating evidence highlights the dual implications of fatty acid intake on human health. Scientific evidence indicated that high intake of saturated fatty acids is associated with elevated risks of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disorders, while monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids demonstrate various protective health effects, including anti-inflammatory effects, regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism, and promotion of muscle growth [26,35]. In the present trial, adding FDRM in laying duck diet led to an increase in the ratio of unsaturated to saturated fatty acids (UFAs:SFA) and a decrease in total SFAs in egg yolks, with the 2% FDRM group showing a pronounced decrease in total SFAs. Researches conducted by Zhang et al. [20] and Zhang et al. [21] revealed that supplementing laying hen diets with *Fagopyrum dibotrys* stems and leaves or their extracts could improve the amino acid composition and content of whole eggs while significantly increasing the levels of C20:4 and C22:6 in egg yolks. Furthermore, Chen et al. [1] also reported that the contents of total unsaturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, and polyunsaturated fatty

acids in duck eggs showed an increasing trend with the dietary supplementation level of honeycomb extracts, while the total saturated fatty acids content decreased significantly. Our findings are in full accordance with these previously established conclusions. The potential mechanism is that the polyphenols in FDRM scavenge ROS, protecting PUFAs from oxidative degradation and thereby reducing lipid peroxidation [36]. In addition, the flavonoids in *Fagopyrum dibotrys* enhance fatty acid elongation, thereby increasing the deposition of PUFAs in egg yolks [30].

Serum biochemical parameters serve as critical indicators for assessing metabolic status and health conditions in animals, primarily encompassing serum enzymes, protein and lipid metabolites. Serum TP, composed of ALB and GLB, reflects protein absorption and metabolism in the body. Elevated TP levels indicate enhanced protein metabolism and immune competence [37–39]. The experimental data confirmed that FDRM supplementation led to a marked rise in serum TP concentration, aligning with findings reported by Chen, et al. [38] and Zhang, et al. [21]. Serum BUN levels serve as an indicator of protein and amino acid utilization, with decreased concentrations suggesting favorable amino acids balance [40]. Tan, et al. [39] discovered that dietary *Fagopyrum dibotrys* supplementation with 1% in broilers decreased the serum BUN levels. However, this study revealed that the 3% FDRM supplementation group significantly elevated the serum BUN content compared to the control group, diverging from the aforementioned findings. This discrepancy suggested that dietary FDRM supplementation should not exceed 3%, as higher levels may compromise protein utilization efficiency.

5. Conclusions

This study found that adding 2% FDRM to the diet of *Shanma* laying ducks could improve the shell strength, yolk color and shell thickness in duck egg. Additionally, it improved the fatty acid profile, increased the levels of total amino acids, essential amino acids and umami amino acids in egg yolks. Concurrently, elevated the serum total protein levels indicated augmented physiological processes related to protein synthesis. These modifications suggested that 2% FDRM had a potential improvement in egg quality and egg nutritional value, with no negative impact on laying performance and health status of *Shanma* laying ducks. Under the conditions of this experiment, FDRM could be effectively utilized as a phytogetic feed additive in *Shanma* laying duck diets.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.X., X.C. and L.H.; Methodology, P.X., G.A. and J.C.; Software, Q.S., C.X. and W.So.; Validation, Z.Z., Q.W. and W.Su.; Formal analysis, G.A., J.C., Q.S. and C.X.; Investigation, P.X., W.So. and Q.S.; Data curation, C.X., W.So. and W.Su.; Writing–Original Draft Preparation, P.X., G.A. and J.C.; Writing–Review & Editing, X.C., L.H., Q.S., W.So. and D.Y.; Visualization, P.X., Z.Z., Q.W., D.Y. and W.Su.; Supervision, P.X., Z.Z., Q.W., D.Y. and W.Su.; Project administration, Z.Z., Q.W., D.Y. and W.Su.; Funding Acquisition, X.C. and L.H.. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by Jiangxi Province Key Research and Development Program (20224BBF62003), the Earmarked Fund for Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System of China (CARS-42-43), Jiangxi Province Modern Agricultural Poultry Industry Technical System of China (JXARS-12) and Gan-Po Talented Youth Support Program · the High-level and High-skill Leading Talent Training Project of Jiangxi Province (2023).

Data Availability Statement: All data are available by contacting the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: None.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Chinese Guidelines for Animal Welfare and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences (approval number: 2025-JXAAS-XM-18).

References

1. Chen, X.L.; Xiong, P.W.; Song, W.J.; Song, Q.L.; Zou, Z.H.; Huang, J.N.; Chen, J.; Xu, C.H.; Su, W.D.; Ai, G.X.; Wei, Q.P. Dietary supplementation with honeycomb extracts positively improved egg nutritional and flavor quality, serum antioxidant and immune functions of laying ducks. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2023**, *10*, 1277293.
2. Liu, B.H.; Ma, R.Y.; Yang, Q.L.; Yang, Y.; Fang, Y.J.; Sun, Z.H.; Song, D.J. Effects of traditional Chinese herbal feed additive on production performance, egg quality, antioxidant capacity, immunity and intestinal health of laying hens. *Animals* **2023**, *13*, 2510-2510.
3. Shen, S.Y.; Lin, Y.Y.; Liao, S.C.; Wang, J.S.; Wang, S.D.; Lien, C.Y. Effects of phytogenic feed additives on the growth, blood biochemistry, and caecal microorganisms of White Roman geese. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **2023**, *68*, 202-211.
4. Song, W.J.; Chen, J.; Ai, G.X.; Xiong, P.W.; Song, Q.L.; Wei, Q.P.; Zou, Z.H.; Chen, X.L. Mechanisms of the effects of turpiniae folium extract on growth performance, immunity, antioxidant activity and intestinal barrier function in LPS-challenged broilers. *Poult. Sci.* **2025**, *104*, 104903.
5. Song, W.J.; Zou, Z.H.; Chen, X.L.; Tan, J.; Liu, L.X.; Wei, Q.P.; Xiong, P.W.; Song, Q.L.; Chen, J.; Su, W.D.; Xu, C.H. Effects of traditional Chinese herbal feed supplement on growth performance, immunity, antioxidant levels, and intestinal health in chickens: a study on Ningdu yellow chickens. *Poult. Sci.* **2023**, *102*, 102986.
6. Song, Q.L.; Zou, Z.H.; Chen, X.L.; Ai, G.X.; Xiong, P.W.; Song, W.J.; Liu, G.H.; Zheng, A.J.; Chen, J. Effect of Moringa oleifera leaf powder supplementation on growth performance, digestive enzyme activity, meat quality, and cecum microbiota of Ningdu yellow chickens. *Agriculture* **2024**, *14*, 1523.
7. Chen, J.; Song, Q.L.; Wu, D.; Zou, Z.H.; Gong, J.P.; Chen, X.L.; Song, W.J.; Xiong, P.W.; Xu, C.H.; Li, M.C.; et al. Effects of Honeysuckle stem and leaf powder on growth performance, immune function, antioxidant capacity and intestinal health of Ningdu Yellow Chickens. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutri.* **2024**, *36*, 3631-3641.
8. Song, Q.L.; Chen, J.; Wu, D.; Zou, Z.H.; Gong, J.P.; Chen, X.L.; Song, W.J.; Xiong, P.W.; Xu, C.H.; Li, M.C.; et al. Effects of Tetrastigma hemsleyanum leaves powder on growth performance, serum biochemical index and jejunum morphology of Chongren partridge chickens. *Chinese Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine* **2024**, *51*, 2481-2488.
9. Shi, K.Z.; Zhang, X.; Du, L.C.; Huang, B.; Tan, Y.; Jiang, X.Q.; Zhou, S.X. Investigate the effects of Qian Fagopyrum cymosum (Trev.) Meisn No.1 in Guizhou native porcine culture. *Feed Research* **2020**, *43*, 32-34.
10. Chen, C.; Li, A. Transcriptome analysis of differentially expressed genes involved in proanthocyanidin accumulation in the rhizomes of Fagopyrum dibotrys and an irradiation-induced mutant. *Front. Physiol.* **2016**, *7*, 100.
11. Hou, Z.P.; Zheng, X.; Chen, Q.; Wu, D.Q. Nutritional value, bioactivity of extract and application in animal production of Fagopyrum dibotrys. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutri.* **2021**, *33*, 3019-3027.
12. Jing, R.; Li, H.Q.; Hu, C.L.; Jiang, Y.P.; Qin, L.P.; Zheng, C.J. Phytochemical and pharmacological profiles of three Fagopyrum buckwheats. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2016**, *17*, 589-602.
13. Li, X.; Liu, J.L.; Chang, Q.X.; Zhou, Z.Y.; Han, R.L.; Liang, Z.S. Antioxidant and antidiabetic activity of proanthocyanidins from Fagopyrum dibotrys. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 2417.
14. Zhang, L.L.; He, Y.; Sheng, F.Y.; Hu, Y.F.; Song, Y.; Li, W.; Chen, J.R.; Zhang, J.M.; Zou, L. Towards a better understanding of Fagopyrum dibotrys: a systematic review. *Chin. Med.* **2021**, *16*, 89.
15. Zhang, M.; Zhang, X.K.; Pei, J.; Guo, B.L.; Zhang, G.S.; Li, M.H.; Huang, L.F. Identification of phytochemical compounds of Fagopyrum dibotrys and their targets by metabolomics, network pharmacology and molecular docking studies. *Heliyon* **2023**, *9*, e14029.
16. Chen, Z.J.; Dai, G.T.; Wu, X.; Li, L.; Tian, Y.J.; Tan, L.L. Protective effects of Fagopyrum dibotrys on oxidized oil-induced oxidative stress, intestinal barrier impairment, and altered cecal microbiota in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* **2023**, *102*, 102472.
17. Wang, X.; Zhao, B.S.; Ruan, Y.Y.; Xu, W.C.; Luo, Z.C.; Xu, J.Y.; Shi, C.; Shan, J.J. Fagopyrum Dibotrys Rhizoma regulates pulmonary lipid metabolic homeostasis and the ERK-cPLA2 pathway to alleviate asthma in mice. *Phytomedicine* **2024**, *131*, 155782.
18. Yang, T.; Chen, X.L.; Hu, L.Z.; Zou, Z.H.; Wei, Q.P.; Wen, H. Advances in studies on the pharmacological effects of Fagopyrum dibotrys and its application in animal production. *Pratacultural Science* **2023**, *40*, 2411-2423.

19. Xiong, P.W.; Chen, X.L.; Hu, L.Z.; Song, Q.L.; Wei, Q.P.; Liu, L.X.; Song, W.J.; Zhou, Z.H. Effects of golden buckwheat stem and leaf meal on reproductive performance, serum immune indices, antioxidant capacity and intestinal flora of Gannan Tibetan Pigs. *Chinese Journal of Animal Science* **2023**, *59*, 269-276.
20. Zhang, R.; Chen, G.J.; Shang, Y.S.; Li, S.G.; Li, X.D.; Xiong, X.Q.; Mu, Q.; Wang, X.L.; Ran, W.N.; Ban, S.Z. Effect of freshly feeding Fagopyrum dibotrys on performance, egg quality and serum index of heat stressed laying hens. *Acta Prataculturae Sinica* **2020**, *29*, 179-189.
21. Zhang, R.; Cheng, G.J.; Nie, C.S.; Wu, J.G.; Pan, F.Q.; Liu, F.D.; Shang, Y.S.; Li, S.G.; Chen, Y.L.; Long, Z.F.; et al. Effects of ambient temperature and Fagopyrum dibotrys extract supplemental level on performance, egg quality, and serum biochemical and immune indices of laying Hens. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutri.* **2023**, *35*, 5708-5723.
22. Zhang, L.Y. Feed Analysis and Feed Quality Testing Technology, 3rd ed.; China Agricultural University Press: Beijing, China, **2007**.
23. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 18 th ed.; The Association of Official Analytical Chemists: Arlington, VA, USA, **2006**.
24. Zhang, B.; Wang, Z.B.; Huang, C.X.; Wang, D.H.; Chang, D.M.; Shi, X.W.; Chen, Y.F.; Chen, H. Positive effects of Mulberry leaf extract on egg quality, lipid metabolism, serum biochemistry, and antioxidant indices of laying hens. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 1005643.
25. Cullere, M.; Tasoniero, G.; Giaccone, V.; Acuti, G.; Marangon, A.; Zotte, A.D. Black soldier fly as dietary protein source for broiler quails: meat proximate composition, fatty acid and amino acid profile, oxidative status and sensory traits. *Animal* **2018**, *12*, 640-647.
26. Xu, C.H.; Xiong, P.W.; Song, W.J.; Song, Q.L.; Hu, Y.; Song, T.X.; Ji, H.Y.; Chen, X.L.; Zou, Z.H. Effects of Fermented Navel Orange Pulp on growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality, meat nutritional value, and serum biochemical indicators of finishing Tibetan pigs. *Foods* **2024**, *13*, 1910.
27. Zhao, J.L.; Jiang, L.; Tang, X.H.; Peng, L.X.; Li, X.; Zhao, G.; Zhong, L.Y. Chemical Composition, Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Activities of the Flower Volatile Oils of Fagopyrum esculentum, Fagopyrum tataricum and Fagopyrum Cymosum. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*.
28. Ting, S.; Yeh, H.S.; Lien, T.F. Effects of supplemental levels of hesperetin and naringenin on egg quality, serum traits and antioxidant activity of laying hens. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *163*, 59-66.
29. Surai, P.F. Polyphenol compounds in the chicken/animal diet: from the past to the future. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2014**, *98*, 19-31.
30. Feng, Y.L.; Dai, G.T.; Han, X.; Li, M.J.; Zhao, D.G.; Wu, J.H.; Wu, Y.B.; Wen, Z.G. Feeding Laying Ducks *Eucommia ulmoides* oliv. Leaves Increases the n-3 Fatty Acids Content and Decreases the n-6: n-3 PUFA Ratio in Egg Yolk without Affecting Laying Performance or Egg Quality. *Foods* **2023**, *12*.
31. Iskender, H.; Yenice, G.; Dokumacioglu, E.; Kaynar, O.; Hayirli, A.; Kaya, A. Comparison of the effects of dietary supplementation of flavonoids on laying hen performance, egg quality and egg nutrient profile. *British Poultry Science* **2017**, *58*, 550-556.
32. Ji, F.J.; Gu, L.H.; Rong, G.; Hu, C.J.; Sun, W.P.; Wang, D.F.; Peng, W.Q.; Lin, D.J.; Liu, Q.W.; Wu, H.Z.; et al. Using Extract From the Stems and Leaves of Yizhi (*Alpinia oxyphylla*) as Feed Additive Increases Meat Quality and Intestinal Health in Ducks. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *8*.
33. Tian, X.Z.; Li, J.X.; Luo, Q.Y.; Wang, X.; Xiao, M.M.; Zhou, D.; Lu, Q.; Chen, X. Effect of Supplementation With Selenium-Yeast on Muscle Antioxidant Activity, Meat Quality, Fatty Acids and Amino Acids in Goats. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2022**, *8*.
34. Yao, B.N.; Liao, F.Y.; Yang, J.Y.; Liu, A.; Wang, J.; Zhu, B.G.; Feng, G.; Yang, S.L. Effect of sea buckthorn extract on production performance, serum biochemical indexes, egg quality, and cholesterol deposition of laying ducks. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2023**, *10*, 1127117.
35. Lenighan, Y.M.; McNulty, B.A.; Roche, H.M. Dietary fat composition: replacement of saturated fatty acids with PUFA as a public health strategy, with an emphasis on -linolenic acid. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **2019**, *78*, 234-245.
36. Efenberger-Szmechtyk, M.; Nowak, A.; Czyzowska, A. Plant extracts rich in polyphenols: antibacterial agents and natural preservatives for meat and meat products. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **2021**, *61*, 149-178.

37. Wang, Y.L.; Xue, Y.Y.; Sun, H.B.; Nan, S.S.; Zhang, W.J.; Nie, C.X. Effects of Mulberry leaf extract on growth performance, slaughter performance, organ indexes, serum biochemical indexes and nutrient apparent digestibility of yellow feather broilers. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutri.* **2023**, *35*, 5049-5058.
38. Chen, K.L.; Gui, G.H.; Shen, L.L.; Ren, M.M.; Xu, E. Effects of adding buckwheat stem and leaf powder on meat quality and blood biochemical indexes in growing pigs. *Guizhou Journal of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Medicine* **2020**, *44*, 5-8.
39. Tan, L.L.; Zhang, D.H.; Zhang, J.; Deng, R. Effects of golden buckwheat on antioxidant function, intestinal barrier function and serum biochemical indexes of broilers. *Jiangsu Agricultural Sciences* **2019**, *47*, 232-235.
40. Liu, X.J.; Li, W.; Chen, J.; Ma, X.D.; Chen, C.; Liu, H.Y.; Su, Q.; Zhang, J.S.; Qiao, F.B.; Jia, Z.P. Effects of compound Chinese Herbal Medicine on growth performance, serum immune, protein metabolism, and anti-stress indices of weaned piglets. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutri.* **2025**, *37*, 194-203.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.