

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Facial Proportions in Stunted and Non-Stunted Children Aged 7–72 Months: A Cross-Sectional Study in Bandung, Indonesia

Najwa Anindita Hidayat , Deni Sumantri Latif , [Arlette Suzy Setiawan](#) *

Posted Date: 19 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.1613.v1

Keywords: facial proportions; stunting; anthropometry; craniofacial growth; children; community health



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Facial Proportions in Stunted and Non-Stunted Children Aged 7–72 Months: A Cross-Sectional Study in Bandung, Indonesia

Najwa Anindita Hidayat ¹, Deni Sumantri Latif ² and Arlette Suzy Setiawan ^{2,3,*}

¹ Dentistry Education Program, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung Indonesia

² Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung Indonesia

³ Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung Indonesia

* Correspondence: arlette.puspa@unpad.ac.id

Highlights.

What are the main findings?

- Stunted children had significantly shorter vertical facial dimensions (N–SN and SN–M) compared to non-stunted children.
- No significant differences were observed in horizontal facial dimensions (zygomatic and intergonion width) between groups.

What is the implication of the main finding?

- Vertical facial measurements may serve as supportive indicators for early detection of childhood stunting.
- Facial proportion analysis offers a non-invasive and feasible approach for integration into community-based growth monitoring programs.

Abstract: Stunting is a chronic growth disorder that not only affects height but may also impair craniofacial development. Facial proportions, especially in the vertical dimension, may provide additional anthropometric insight into growth status among children. **Objectives:** To assess and compare the vertical and horizontal facial proportions of stunted and non-stunted children, and to explore the potential of facial dimensions as supportive indicators for early stunting detection in community-based settings. **Methods:** This cross-sectional analytical study involved 266 children aged 7–72 months from several community health centers in Bandung, Indonesia. Children were categorized as stunted or non-stunted based on WHO height-for-age Z-scores. Facial dimensions were measured directly by calibrated pediatric dentistry residents using manual instruments. The vertical dimensions included Nasion–Subnasale (N–SN) and Subnasale–Menton (SN–M), while horizontal dimensions included zygomatic width and intergonion width. Data were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test and Spearman correlation. **Results:** Significant differences were found in vertical facial dimensions between stunted and non-stunted children: median N–SN (32.4 mm vs. 33.6 mm; $p = 0.003$) and SN–M (42.5 mm vs. 45.1 mm; $p < 0.001$). No significant differences were observed in horizontal dimensions. All facial parameters showed a positive correlation with age ($p < 0.001$). No significant differences were found based on sex. **Conclusions:** Stunted children exhibited shorter vertical facial dimensions compared to their non-stunted peers, while horizontal dimensions remained stable across groups. Vertical facial proportions may serve as supportive indicators in the screening and monitoring of childhood stunting. This method has potential for integration into community-based growth monitoring using simple or digital anthropometric tools.

Keywords: facial proportions; stunting; anthropometry; craniofacial growth; children; community health

1. Introduction

Stunting is a manifestation of chronic growth failure in children caused by prolonged nutritional deficiencies, recurrent infections, and inadequate stimulation during the critical period of early development. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), stunting is defined as height-for-age below -2 standard deviations from the WHO growth standard curve [1]. This condition remains a major public health issue in Indonesia, with a national prevalence of 21.6% reported in 2022 according to the Indonesian Nutritional Status Survey (SSGI) [2].

In addition to affecting linear growth, stunting also impacts overall skeletal development, including the craniofacial system. Alterations or delays in facial bone growth not only have aesthetic implications but may also affect vital functions such as respiration, mastication, and speech articulation [3,4]. Therefore, evaluating facial structure may serve as an important indicator of child development.

Facial proportions are anthropometric parameters that can be used to assess facial growth objectively and non-invasively. Several studies have shown that chronic malnutrition can affect facial length, particularly in the vertical dimension, which tends to be more dynamic during early childhood growth [3,5,6]. Facial dimension measurement using standardized photography or manual instruments has proven to be a practical and cost-effective method, especially in young children [5].

Several studies have indicated that vertical facial dimensions are more influenced by a child's nutritional status, as they involve the dynamic growth of the maxillary and mandibular bones throughout childhood—particularly in the region from the Nasion to the Menton. In contrast, transverse dimensions such as interzygomatic and intergonial widths tend to be more stable from an early age and are largely determined by genetic factors. Therefore, chronic growth disturbances such as stunting are more likely to manifest in vertical facial proportions than in facial width, making vertical measurements a more sensitive indicator of delayed craniofacial development in children [3].

Bandung, as an urban area with diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, faces unique challenges in achieving equitable child nutrition. Therefore, this study aims to describe the facial proportions of stunted children in Bandung and to analyze differences in facial dimensions based on nutritional status, age, and sex. The findings are expected to contribute to the development of simple and effective early detection methods for monitoring craniofacial growth in children.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was analytical observational research with a cross-sectional design aimed at describing the facial proportions of stunted children aged 7-72 months in Bandung, Indonesia. The study subjects were children who underwent direct facial measurements at selected integrated health posts under the supervision of community health centers in Bandung, as well as a subset of children who were brought to the Dental and Oral Hospital of Universitas Padjadjaran for measurement purposes.

Inclusion criteria included children aged 7-72 months who were present during data collection, had nutritional status that could be classified according to WHO standards (stunted or non-stunted), and whose parents or guardians provided informed consent. Exclusion criteria included children with congenital craniofacial anomalies, a history of facial trauma, or any history of facial surgery.

Nutritional status was determined based on height-for-age Z-scores (HAZ), following the 2006 WHO Growth Standards. Height data were obtained from monthly measurements recorded by integrated health post cadres and community health center staff in child health books and nutritional monitoring logs. Children were classified as stunted if their Z-score was < -2 SD, and as non-stunted if the Z-score was ≥ -2 SD [1].

Facial proportion measurements were performed directly using manual instruments by several residents from the Pediatric Dentistry Specialist Program. Prior to data collection, all measurers participated in technical training and a calibration session based on standardized craniofacial

anthropometric protocols. Calibration was conducted by repeatedly measuring a subset of subjects and comparing measurements across instruments to ensure consistency in technique and anatomical reference points. Measurements were taken with the child’s head in a neutral position using a sliding caliper and head caliper, following standardized procedures to ensure inter-rater accuracy and reliability.

The facial dimensions measured included:

Vertical dimensions:

- *Nasion–Subnasale (N–SN)*: upper facial height
- *Subnasale–Menton (SN–M)*: lower facial height

Horizontal dimensions:

- *Zygomatic width*: midfacial width (right to left zygoma)
- *Intergonion width*: lower jaw width (right to left gonion)

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 26. Normality was assessed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. As most variables were not normally distributed, group comparisons were conducted using the Mann–Whitney U test, and correlations between age and facial dimensions were analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation. Sex-based comparisons were also analyzed using non-parametric tests. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study received ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Universitas Padjadjaran (No. 368/UN6.KEP/EC/2025). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents or legal guardians prior to participation

3. Results

This study involved 266 children aged 1–6 years, consisting of 107 stunted and 159 non-stunted children. Descriptive statistics of facial dimensions based on nutritional status are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Facial Dimensions by Nutritional Status.

| Nutritional Status | N-SN (mm) | SN-M (mm) | interzygomati | Intergonion |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Median (min-max) | Median (min-max) | c (cm) | (cm) |
| Stunting | 32.4 (21.4-48.3) | 42.5(23.5-54.7) | 8.0 (5.5-9.5) | 7.5 (5.5-9.0) |
| Non-stunting | 33.6 (26.3-52.3) | 45.1(29.0-57.0) | 8.0 (5.0-9.5) | 7.5 (6.0-8.5) |
| Normality test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) | | | | |
| | N-SN (mm) | SN-M (mm) | Interzygomati | Intergonion |
| | | | c (cm) | (cm) |
| Stunting | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Non-stunting | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.1. Distribution and Comparison of Facial Dimensions

Based on the Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test on Table 1, all variables were found to be non-normally distributed ($p < 0.05$); therefore, group comparisons were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test (Table 2). The analysis showed significant differences in vertical facial dimensions between stunted and non-stunted children. The median Nasion–Subnasale (N–SN) distance in stunted children was 32.4 mm (range: 21.4–48.3 mm), lower than that of non-stunted children with a median of 33.6 mm (range: 26.3–52.3 mm) ($p = 0.003$). Similarly, the median Subnasale–Menton (SN–M) measurement in stunted children was 42.5 mm (range: 23.5–54.7 mm), compared to 45.1 mm (range: 29.0–57.0 mm) in the non-stunted group ($p < 0.001$).

In contrast, no statistically significant differences were found in the horizontal facial dimensions between the two groups (Table 2). The median inter-zygomatic width was identical in both groups at 8.0 cm ($p = 0.129$), and the intergonion width also showed similar medians of 7.5 cm in each group ($p = 0.599$).

Table 2. Results of Mann–Whitney U Test and Spearman Correlation between Facial Dimensions, Nutritional Status, and Age.

| Facial Parameter | Mann-Whitney U (p) | Significance of Nutritional Status Difference | Correlation with Age (r _s) | Correlation p-value |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| N-SN | 0.003 | Significant | 0.481 | < 0.001 |
| SN-M | 0.000 | Significant | 0.401 | < 0.001 |
| Interzygomatic | 0.129 | Non-Significant | 0.317 | < 0.001 |
| Intergonion | 0.599 | Non-Significant | 0.307 | < 0.001 |

3.2. Correlation Between Facial Dimensions and Age

Spearman correlation analysis showed that all facial parameters had a significant positive correlation with age ($p < 0.05$) in both stunted and non-stunted groups (Table 2). This indicates that as age increases, facial dimensions also tend to increase, although the rate of growth may differ between groups.

3.3. Differences by Sex

Further analysis revealed no significant differences in any facial parameters based on sex, in either the stunted or non-stunted groups ($p > 0.05$). The p-values for each dimension were 0.422 for N–SN, 0.055 for SN–M, 0.333 for inter-zygomatic width, and 0.167 for inter-gonion width (Table 3). These results indicate that sex did not influence facial dimensions within the age range studied.

Table 3. Results of Mann–Whitney U Test between Facial Dimensions and Sex.

| Facial Parameter | p-value | Remarks |
|------------------|---------|-----------------|
| N-SN | 0.422 | Non-significant |
| SN-M | 0.055 | Non-significant |
| Interzygomatic | 0.333 | Non-significant |
| Intergonion | 0.167 | Non-significant |

4. Discussion

The findings of this study reinforce the understanding that nutritional status plays a critical role in craniofacial growth, particularly in the vertical dimensions of the face. Previous literature has shown that the development and elongation of facial bones are highly sensitive to chronic nutritional deficiencies, especially during the early growth period of childhood [1,7]. Craniofacial development is a multifactorial process, yet nutritional intervention has been proven to significantly contribute to bone differentiation and maturation [2,8].

Prior studies have reported a strong association between vertical facial dimensions and child growth status [5]. In this context, alterations in vertical facial segments, particularly in the mandibular region, may serve as reflections of systemic growth disturbances. A study by Zhang et al. (2025) found that chronic growth restriction was associated with delayed mandibular maturation and elongation of the lower face [3]. These findings are highly relevant in the Indonesian context, where stunting remains prevalent and may affect facial structure both functionally and aesthetically.

In contrast, horizontal facial dimensions appear more stable and less affected by nutritional variations. Literature suggests that facial width is predominantly influenced by genetic factors and typically reaches maturity earlier than vertical dimensions [9,10]. Although malnutrition can impact overall growth, the body seems to prioritize the preservation of horizontal structure over vertical elongation [11].

In this study, age was positively associated with all facial parameters, indicating that facial growth continues with age even in the presence of nutritional deficits. This supports findings from a Latvian child population study, which showed that vertical facial growth increases with age, while

horizontal growth remains slower and more consistent [5]. These results underscore the importance of monitoring facial development as part of comprehensive child nutritional assessments. Among stunted children, age remained positively correlated with facial dimensions, suggesting that growth persists, albeit at a potentially slower rate. Understanding this delayed growth trajectory is important, as facial development is closely linked to other functions such as speech, mastication, and respiration [6].

On the other hand, sex did not significantly affect facial dimensions in this early age group. This finding aligns with the theory of secondary sexual development, which states that sexually dimorphic facial traits tend to emerge during puberty under hormonal influence [12]. Thus, facial morphology in children aged 7-72 months tends to show minimal differences between boys and girls.

This study has several limitations. Although the data were obtained through direct measurement, manual techniques remain prone to operator variability despite prior calibration. In addition, the study focused on linear measurements of facial length and width, without accounting for facial shape or function. The relationship between facial structure and physiological functions such as breathing, chewing, or articulation was not explored. Future research should employ longitudinal designs to observe the trajectory of facial growth over time. Three-dimensional morphometric or standardized digital imaging approaches are recommended to provide a more holistic analysis. Furthermore, the integration of facial anthropometric parameters into community-based stunting screening programs may enhance early detection strategies and support more comprehensive growth monitoring in children

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that nutritional status, particularly stunting, influences vertical facial growth in early childhood, while horizontal facial dimensions remain relatively stable. These findings highlight that chronic growth disturbances affect not only stature but also reflect delayed craniofacial development, especially in the vertical axis.

The results support the potential use of facial proportions—particularly vertical measurements—as additional indicators in child growth monitoring. This approach may strengthen community-based stunting screening strategies by offering a non-invasive, rapid, and low-resource alternative to conventional anthropometric methods.

As a follow-up, it is recommended that facial dimension measurements be integrated into Growth and Development Monitoring (PPD) programs at Posyandu using simple tools or validated, standardized photo-based technology. This innovation could serve as a complementary method for early detection of growth disorders, while also contributing to the national effort in reducing stunting prevalence in a more measurable and comprehensive manner.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.S.; methodology, A.S. and D.S.L.; formal analysis, A.S.; investigation, A.S. and N.A.H.; writing—original draft preparation, N.A.H.; writing—review and editing, A.S.; supervision, A.S. and D.S.L.; funding acquisition, A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Universitas Padjadjaran, grant number 1415/UN6.3.1/PT.00/2024 and The APC was funded by Universitas Padjadjaran.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Universitas Padjadjaran (protocol code 368/UN6.KEP/EC/2025 and date of approval on April 30th 2025).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Due to ethical considerations and participant confidentiality, the data are not publicly available.

Acknowledgments: The Authors wish to thank DRHPM for funding the APC of this manuscript. During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors utilized **Grammarly** for the purposes of language editing and grammar checking. The authors have thoroughly reviewed and edited the final version of the manuscript and take full responsibility for the content of this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Nutrition and Food Safety. Global nutrition targets 2025: stunting policy brief [Internet]. Geneva; 2014. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-NMH-NHD-14.3>
2. Badan Kebijakan Pembangunan Kesehatan Kementerian Kesehatan RI. Status Gizi SSGI 2022. Jakarta; 2022 Dec.
3. Zhang Y, Song L, Ma W, Wang Y, Wang P, Zhang X, et al. Risk factors for growth retardation in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a case-control study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2025;26:85.
4. Sianturi E, Primarti RS, Setiawan AS. A self-reported cross-sectional study on the oral function and the quality of life in children with stunted growth. *Front Pediatr*. 2023;10.
5. Grabcika A, Kazoka D, Vetra J, Pilmane M. Craniofacial Measurements and Indices Trends in Latvian Children Aged 1–15. *Children*. 2024;11:1141.
6. Kim J-H, Moon J-H, Roseth J, Suh H, Oh H, Lee S-J. Craniofacial growth prediction models based on cephalometric landmarks in Korean and American children. *Angle Orthod*. 2025;95:219–26.
7. Yamaguchi M. Role of nutritional zinc in the prevention of osteoporosis. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2010;338:241–54.
8. Cobourne MT, DiBiase AT. *Handbook of Orthodontics*. 3rd Edition. London: Elsevier; 2024.
9. Darkwah WK, Kadri A, Adormaa BB, Aidoo G. Cephalometric study of the relationship between facial morphology and ethnicity: Review article. *Translational Research in Anatomy*. 2018;12:20–4.
10. Flocchini AJ. The genetics in sulci and gyri development. *Edorium J Anat Embryo*. 2016;3:51–3.
11. İçöz D, Akgünlü F. Effects of positioning upon the vertical dimension on cone beam computed tomography. *Edorium Journal of Dentistry*. 2016;3:1–5.
12. Wu D, Chen R, Zhang J, Yan W, Chen M, Xia D, et al. DNA copy number variations and craniofacial abnormalities in 1,457 children with neurodevelopmental disorders. *Ital J Pediatr*. 2025;51:9.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.