

Communication

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Advancements in Antivenom Therapy: Historical Perspectives, Current Challenges, and Ongoing Clinical Trials

---

Luis Alejandro Roque \*

Posted Date: 5 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.0389.v1

Keywords: Snakebite envenomation; antivenom therapy; recombinant antivenom; monoclonal antibodies; venom immunology; neglected tropical diseases; polyclonal antibodies; clinical trials; toxinology



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Communication

# Advancements in Antivenom Therapy: Historical Perspectives, Current Challenges, and Ongoing Clinical Trials

Luis A. Roque

University of Miami; lroque@med.miami.edu

**Abstract:** Snakebite envenomation remains a severe global health burden, particularly in impoverished, rural, and tropical regions where healthcare resources are sparse. Despite over 125 years of progress in antivenom therapy, numerous obstacles persist related to efficacy, specificity, cost, and availability. Conventional antivenoms, although life-saving, are associated with significant drawbacks including species specificity and adverse immunologic reactions. This review explores the historical milestones in antivenom development, discusses current therapeutic limitations, highlights novel innovations through biotechnological approaches, and presents a list of ongoing clinical trials that aim to revolutionize the field. It emphasizes the pressing need for improved therapeutics and the critical role of translational research in mitigating the global impact of snakebite envenomation.

**Keywords:** snakebite envenomation; antivenom therapy; recombinant antivenom; monoclonal antibodies; venom immunology; neglected tropical diseases; polyclonal antibodies; clinical trials; toxinology

## 1. Introduction

Snakebite envenomation is officially recognized by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a neglected tropical disease due to its disproportionate impact on resource-poor communities and its significant burden of morbidity and mortality. According to WHO estimates, between 81,000 and 138,000 people die each year from snakebites, with another 400,000 suffering permanent disabilities such as limb amputations or blindness [1]. The majority of these incidents occur in rural regions of sub-Saharan Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and Latin America—areas where both medical infrastructure and antivenom accessibility are insufficient. The urgency to enhance snakebite management through effective antivenom development is not merely medical but deeply socioeconomic and ethical, implicating global health equity and neglected population welfare.

## 2. Historical Background

The development of antivenom therapy began in the late nineteenth century with the pioneering work of Albert Calmette. In 1894, while working at the Institut Pasteur in Saigon, Calmette successfully produced the first snake antivenom by immunizing horses with cobra venom and extracting neutralizing antibodies from their serum [2]. This marked the birth of serotherapy as a strategy against envenomation.

A few years later, in Brazil, physician and immunologist Vital Brazil revolutionized antivenom therapy by producing polyvalent antivenoms effective against multiple species of venomous snakes, primarily those of the Viperidae and Elapidae families. His contributions led to the establishment of the Instituto Butantan in São Paulo, which continues to be a leading global center for venom and antivenom research [3]. These early discoveries laid the foundation for a century-long reliance on animal-derived polyclonal antibodies as the cornerstone of snakebite treatment.

### 3. Current State of Antivenom Therapy

Modern antivenoms are manufactured using methods that are essentially refinements of Calmette and Brazil's original principles. Animals—typically horses or sheep—are repeatedly exposed to small, non-lethal doses of venom to stimulate an immune response. The resulting polyclonal antibodies are then harvested from the animals' plasma and processed to create antivenom formulations. These preparations may include whole immunoglobulin G (IgG), F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragments obtained by pepsin digestion, or Fab fragments produced via papain digestion.

However, these therapies face several well-documented limitations. First, their species specificity means that they are generally effective only against the venoms of certain snakes. Misidentification of the snake species—a common occurrence in clinical settings—can render a specific antivenom ineffective. Second, the use of heterologous (animal-derived) antibodies poses a substantial risk of hypersensitivity reactions, including serum sickness and anaphylaxis [1]. Moreover, the cost and cold-chain requirements of these biologics often render them inaccessible in the rural and remote regions where they are most needed.

To address these issues, the WHO has launched initiatives aimed at improving the global production, distribution, and regulation of antivenoms. These include strengthening the capacity of endemic countries to manufacture quality-assured products and establishing a prequalification program for antivenoms similar to that used for vaccines and other essential medicines [1].

### 4. Innovations in Antivenom Therapy

Recent scientific and technological advances are beginning to redefine the antivenom landscape. One of the most promising developments involves the use of monoclonal antibody (mAb) technologies and recombinant DNA platforms to produce targeted, synthetic antivenoms. These molecules can be engineered for high specificity to venom toxins while minimizing the immunogenicity typically associated with animal-derived products.

Phage display technologies, which allow for the rapid screening and selection of high-affinity antibody fragments against specific venom components, have been instrumental in this endeavor. These methods facilitate the generation of human or humanized antibodies that are less likely to provoke immune reactions in patients [4]. Furthermore, recombinant antivenoms can be produced in controlled bioreactor systems, enabling scalable and cost-effective manufacturing.

An unusual but illustrative case contributing to the field is that of Tim Friede, a U.S. citizen who self-immunized with sublethal doses of various snake venoms over several years. This extreme form of active immunization led to the production of polyclonal antibodies in his serum that showed broad neutralization across multiple snake species in preclinical tests. Although not an endorsed or safe method of research, the findings offer insights into the potential for designing universal or broadly cross-reactive antivenoms [5].

Other innovations include the development of small molecule inhibitors, such as varespladib, which target venom phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> enzymes and show potential as oral adjunct therapies [6]. These innovations collectively signal a shift from passive, species-specific immunotherapy to active, broad-spectrum, and modular approaches.

### 5. Ongoing Clinical Trials

Numerous clinical trials registered on ClinicalTrials.gov are actively exploring improved therapeutic strategies for snakebite envenomation. These investigations include both traditional biologics and experimental approaches such as small molecule inhibitors and enhanced diagnostic tools. Below is a representative list of currently active or recently completed trials:

1. NCT04996264 – A Phase 2 clinical trial assessing the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of oral varespladib-methyl in patients bitten by venomous snakes.

2. NCT04470791 – An interventional study in Mexico evaluating the use of localized cryotherapy as a supplementary treatment to antivenom in patients with Bothrops envenomation.
3. NCT04520282 – An observational study focused on measuring hemostatic variables in envenomed patients to elucidate the mechanisms of venom-induced consumption coagulopathy (VICC).
4. NCT03859154 – A diagnostic study aiming to develop non-invasive waveform analysis tools for early detection of hematotoxic envenomation.
5. NCT00303303 – A Phase 4 clinical trial assessing the effectiveness of CroFab® (Crotaline polyvalent Fab antivenom) specifically for the treatment of copperhead envenomation in the United States.
6. NCT00811239 – A randomized controlled trial examining the efficacy and safety of specific antivenoms in the treatment of envenoming by Bungarus multicinctus.

These trials underscore the global commitment to transforming snakebite treatment through robust scientific inquiry and clinical validation. They also provide essential data for regulatory agencies and healthcare providers to make evidence-based decisions regarding treatment protocols and product adoption.

## 6. Conclusion

Over a century after the discovery of the first antivenoms, snakebite envenomation continues to be a neglected yet critical health crisis, particularly in underserved regions. Traditional antivenoms, though effective when properly administered, are hampered by biological and logistical constraints. Innovations in monoclonal antibody engineering, phage display screening, and small-molecule inhibitors present viable alternatives that could revolutionize treatment paradigms. Ongoing clinical trials offer hope for the validation and deployment of these novel interventions. Ultimately, sustained investment in research, manufacturing infrastructure, and international policy coordination is imperative to reduce the burden of snakebite envenomation and save thousands of lives annually.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Snakebite Envenoming: A Strategy for Prevention and Control. Geneva: WHO; 2019.
2. Calmette A. Contribution à l'étude des venins et de leur traitement. Ann Inst Pasteur (Paris). 1894;8:275–90.
3. Vital Brazil O. Immunization against snake venom. Mem Inst Butantan. 1901;1:1–8.
4. Pucca MB, Cerni FA, Peigneur S, Cunha TM, Tytgat J, Arantes EC. African snake venom toxins: A review of pharmacological relevance and neutralization approaches. Toxicon. 2019;158:110–127.
5. Gupta YK. Self-immunization in snakebite research: A controversial but informative case. Toxins. 2025;17(3):215.
6. Lewin M, Samuel S, Merkel J, Bickler P. Varespladib (LY315920) Appears to Be a Potent, Broad-Spectrum Inhibitor of Snake Venom Phospholipase A2 and a Possible Pre-Referral Treatment for Envenomation. Toxins. 2016;8(9):248.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.