

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

From Adaptation to Exhaustion: Defining Exposure-Related Malnutrition as a Bioenergetic Phenotype of Aging

[Torsak Tippairote](#)^{*}, Pruettithada Hoonkaew, Aunchisa Suksawang, Prayfan Tippairote

Posted Date: 8 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202504.1142.v3

Keywords: Aging; Stress, Physiological; Malnutrition; Energy Metabolism; Biomarkers



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

From Adaptation to Exhaustion: Defining Exposure-Related Malnutrition as a Bioenergetic Phenotype of Aging

Torsak Tippairote ^{1,2,3, *}, Pruettithada Hoonkaew ^{2,3,4}, Aunchisa Suksawang ^{2,3} and Prayfan Tippairote ^{2,3}

¹ Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University, School of Health Sciences, Pak Kret District, Nonthaburi 11120, Thailand

² Thailand Initiatives for Functional Medicine, Bangkok 10540, Thailand

³ HP Medical Center, 19/73 Bangna, Bangkok 10260, Thailand


⁴ Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Mahachai 2 Hospital, Samut Sakorn 74130, Thailand

* Correspondence: torsak@healingpassion-asia.com; Tel.: +66-81-8584975

Abstract

Aging is increasingly understood not as the passive accumulation of molecular damage, but as the cumulative cost of unresolved physiological adaptation under bioenergetic constraint. This review introduces *Exposure-Related Malnutrition (ERM)* as a mechanistically grounded and clinically actionable phenotype of early maladaptation. ERM arises from sustained metabolic strain during chronic stress exposure and manifests not through overt weight loss or nutrient deficiency, but through subtle, multisystem declines in physical, cognitive, and regenerative capacity. These include fatigue, impaired recovery, cognitive slowing, immune dysregulation, chronic pain, anabolic resistance, and reproductive decline—features often missed by classical malnutrition criteria. We propose a unifying framework—*Respond* → *Adapt* → *Resolve*—to model the trajectory of stress response and resolution, emphasizing the critical role of bioenergetic availability in shaping divergent outcomes. When metabolic substrates are insufficient, resolution fails and the system defaults to a trade-off state, prioritizing immediate survival over long-term maintenance. ERM represents this inflection point: a reversible, energy-constrained condition that precedes frailty and chronic disease. We review interconnected mechanisms—including neuroendocrine activation, immune reprogramming, skeletal muscle catabolism, translational suppression, and mitochondrial distress—that create a self-perpetuating loop of maladaptive adaptation. We map ERM onto key hallmarks of aging, propose a multidimensional staging model, and outline clinical strategies to detect and reverse ERM using dynamic biomarkers, functional assessments, and circadian-aligned lifestyle interventions. By reframing aging as a failure of adaptive resolution, this framework offers a novel lens to extend healthspan—via early detection of metabolic compromise and restoration of resilience before functional decline becomes irreversible.

Keywords: aging; stress; physiological; malnutrition; energy metabolism; biomarkers



Graphical Abstract

1. Introduction

Despite the unprecedented rise in global life expectancy, healthspan—the proportion of life spent in good functional health—has not kept pace (Crane et al., 2022; WHO, 2025). This disparity between longer survival and earlier onset of functional decline underscores a key paradox of modern aging: while we are living longer, we are not necessarily living better. Increasingly, evidence suggests that this gap may be rooted not in isolated disease processes, but in a systemic failure of the body's adaptive capacity to meet the cumulative demands of modern stress exposure (McEwen, 2022; Monzel et al., 2023).

Central to this emerging perspective is the concept of stress adaptation as a metabolically governed trajectory, in which the ability to resolve, rather than merely respond to, stress determines long-term resilience. Classical models, such as Selye's *General Adaptation Syndrome*, framed stress as a linear sequence of alarm, resistance, and exhaustion (Selye, 1950). Contemporary refinements—such as the concepts of *allostasis* and *the integrated stress response*—emphasize the dynamic, resource-dependent nature of this process (Costa-Mattioli & Walter, 2020; McEwen & Wingfield, 2003). These models converge on a common insight: chronic or unresolved stress imposes a persistent bioenergetic cost that progressively undermines system integrity, accelerating biological aging and predisposing to chronic disease (Bobba-Alves et al., 2023; Ryan & Ryznar, 2022).

This burden is further magnified by *the exposome*—the totality of exposures an individual accumulates across the lifespan, spanning both external and internal domains (Rappaport et al., 2014; Vermeulen et al., 2020). External exposures include pollutants, pathogens, dietary factors, psychosocial stressors, and circadian disruption, while internal exposures arise from endogenous processes such as inflammation, oxidative stress, metabolic byproducts, and microbiome activity. These factors interact continuously with the body, shaping health trajectories over time. The exposome captures not only the environment we live in but also how our biology responds to and is shaped by these influences—emphasizing the dynamic interplay between context and physiology that governs resilience and vulnerability.

We do not inhabit a clean or neutral world; rather, our systems are constantly navigating a complex and evolving landscape of challenges that intersect with genetic, epigenetic, and metabolic susceptibilities. In this context, equilibrium is not a fixed state (*homeostasis*), but a dynamic process (*homeodynamics*) requiring ongoing adaptation and resource reallocation to preserve function (Demirovic & Rattan, 2013; Rattan, 2020). When adaptive capacity is strained—whether through depletion, inefficiency, or chronic overload—this resilience erodes, giving rise to adaptive failure

phenotypes that often precede or underlie clinical disease and contribute to the progressive acceleration of biological aging (Kivimäki et al., 2023; Wu et al., 2024).

Within this framework, *Exposure-Related Malnutrition (ERM)* is proposed as a mechanistically distinct, early-stage phenotype of stress maladaptation, marking the tipping point between successful resolution and unresolved, energy-intensive adaptation. Unlike classical malnutrition, which is typically characterized by overt nutritional deficits, weight loss, or insufficient intake, ERM reflects a state of functional undernourishment, where the ongoing energetic demands of unresolved stress deplete available resources, forcing a trade-off that deprioritizes essential housekeeping functions—including cellular maintenance, repair, and long-term regenerative processes. This may occur despite seemingly adequate—or even excessive—caloric intake (Cederholm & Bosaeus, 2024).

The foundational logic of ERM draws on *Bruce Ames' nutrient triage theory*, which proposed that during periods of limited micronutrient availability, the body preferentially allocates resources to short-term survival functions at the expense of long-term health maintenance (Ames, 2006). ERM extends this concept to the broader bioenergetic landscape, highlighting how chronic stress can drive substrate misallocation not only in micronutrient pathways but across major energy-requiring systems.

While conceptually closer to *Disease-Related Malnutrition (DRM)*—in which illness-driven metabolic demands exceed nutritional supply—ERM may arise earlier, during a phase when adaptive systems are still actively compensating, albeit at escalating energetic cost (Muscaritoli et al., 2023). Unlike DRM, which typically presents with recognizable clinical and biomarker signs of classical malnutrition, ERM can remain silent, occurring in individuals who appear outwardly well-nourished. It reflects a subtle, progressive decline in resilience that precedes overt malnutrition, organ dysfunction, or clinical disease.

ERM reflects a state of *energy and substrate rationing*, in which declining availability, coupled with persistent adaptive demands, drives systematic misallocation of resources across neuroendocrine, immune, muscular, and mitochondrial systems. These covert trade-offs suppress anabolism, immune surveillance, and cellular turnover—while sustaining low-grade inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, fatigue, and early functional decline (Paulussen et al., 2021). They reflect a physiological state in which the body has responded and adapted but failed to resolve the stressor—resulting in unfinished resolution or maladaptation. Critically, these shifts represent adaptive strategies that become progressively unsustainable, ultimately eroding resilience and predisposing to chronic dysfunction. When identified early, they may be reversible, offering a vital window for intervention before irreversible dysfunction or structural disease emerges (Picard et al., 2018; Shaulson et al., 2024).

The purpose of this review is to synthesize current evidence across biological systems to propose a bioenergetic model of stress adaptation in aging. We introduce ERM as a unifying early-stage phenotype marked by declining energy availability, substrate misallocation, and failure to resolve prolonged adaptation. We trace how these unresolved adaptive processes give rise to maladaptive consequences across multiple levels of biological organization—including mitochondrial dysfunction, impaired cellular turnover, organ-level decline, and neuroendocrine disruption—positioning ERM as a critical contributor to the molecular basis of aging. This model reframes aging not as a passive or predetermined process, but as an energetically governed trajectory—shaped by cumulative stress exposure and potentially modifiable through early detection and targeted intervention.

2. Conceptual Model:


“Respond → Adapt → Resolve: A Bioenergetic Trajectory of Stress and Aging”

To move beyond fragmented views of aging, we propose a unifying trajectory—*Respond → Adapt → Resolve*—that conceptualizes aging as the cumulative outcome of unresolved physiological adaptation. Rather than emphasizing acute stress responses or isolated damage pathways, this model centers on the critical role of energy and substrate availability in determining whether adaptation

leads to resolution or maladaptation. When recovery is incomplete, bioenergetic costs accumulate, triggering covert trade-offs that progressively degrade resilience (Harrell et al., 2016). This framework highlights a critical inflection point—failure to resolve—as a key determinant of biological aging and a precursor to early dysfunction, exemplified by transitional states such as ERM.

Structured around three metabolically demanding phases, the *Respond* → *Adapt* → *Resolve* model emphasizes that it is not the presence of stress alone, but the effectiveness of resolution that determines long-term outcomes. In the *Respond* phase, acute stress activates emergency systems, mobilizing energy and suppressing non-essential functions to ensure immediate survival. The *Adapt* phase involves sustained physiological adjustments, including neuroendocrine, immune, organ-level, cellular, and mitochondrial reprogramming to maintain function under constraint. The *Resolve* phase governs recovery and restoration, requiring sufficient energy and coordination to reverse adaptive changes and restore homeodynamic balance. When resolution fails—due to depleted bioenergetic reserves or chronic exposure—maladaptive consequences ensue, including persistent inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and accelerated biological decline (Bobba-Alves et al., 2023; Paulussen et al., 2021).

This adaptive trajectory is visually summarized in Figure 1, which maps resolution outcomes as a function of energy and substrate availability and highlights ERM as an early phenotype of failed resolution.



Within this model, ERM is conceptualized as an early and distinct phenotype of impaired stress resolution. Unlike classical malnutrition, which is typically associated with overt nutritional deficiency or weight loss, ERM reflects a functional misallocation of metabolic substrates—where energy and nutrients are chronically diverted toward survival-oriented processes such as inflammation and neuroendocrine activation, at the expense of cellular repair, regeneration, and anabolic processes (Cederholm & Bosaeus, 2024; Shaulson et al., 2024). This covert trade-off can occur even in individuals with adequate or excessive caloric intake, rendering it undetectable by conventional anthropometric or dietary assessments. Clinically, ERM may manifest as anabolic resistance, delayed recovery, physical intolerance, fatigue, brain fog, persistent low-grade inflammation, and impaired reproductive function—features that frequently precede the onset of frailty, sarcopenia, osteopenia, or overt chronic disease (Arron et al., 2024; Cabre et al., 2022; National Academies of Sciences & Medicine, 2024).

This *Respond* → *Adapt* → *Resolve* framework reframes aging as a dynamic, resource-sensitive trajectory in which the outcome of stress exposure is determined not solely by the magnitude of the stressor, but by the organism's capacity to complete resolution. Divergent resolution outcomes

emerge based on bioenergetic availability: adequate resources support restoration of *homeostasis*; mild deficits may lead to compensatory overcorrection or *hormetic strengthening*; whereas chronic insufficiency drives unresolved adaptation and *maladaptive remodeling* (Calabrese et al., 2024). ERM marks this critical threshold—a metabolically constrained state in which adaptive processes are initiated but remain energetically unsupported and unresolved. It represents a hidden inflection point in the trajectory toward decline: a *bioenergetic bottleneck* that silently undermines resilience and functional reserve. Recognizing ERM as a reversible, subclinical phenotype of maladaptation offers a vital opportunity for early intervention—shifting the clinical focus from managing downstream dysfunction to restoring upstream resolution capacity and preserving long-term physiological integrity (Ryan & Ryznar, 2022).

3. Mechanistic Pathways Underlying ERM

3.1. From Central Command to Cellular Collapse: The Bioenergetic Logic of Maladaptive Adaptation

The failure to resolve chronic physiological stress is evident across tightly interlinked systems, beginning with central neuroendocrine regulation and extending through immune and muscular function to cellular signaling networks and mitochondrial energetics. At each level, a common pattern emerges: a metabolic phenotype characterized by substrate rationing and resource allocation under persistent bioenergetic strain. Critically, these systems operate as dynamic, feedback-regulated loop—where signals originating from mitochondria, cells, and peripheral organs ultimately shape central control. This bidirectional communication determines whether the organism adapts, recovers, or progressively declines. The ERM phenotype, therefore, reflects not isolated dysfunction but a systemic failure of resolution across the bioenergetic hierarchy.

3.2. Neuroendocrine Axis: Central Command of Substrate Allocation

The *hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis* serves as the central regulator of systemic energy allocation in response to stress. Activation of the HPA and *sympathetic–adrenal–medullary (SAM)* systems initiate the Respond phase, rapidly mobilizing glucose and fatty acids while downregulating energy-intensive processes such as reproduction, growth, and digestion (Tsigos & Chrousos, 2002). Under conditions of chronic stress, sustained activation imposes bioenergetic strain that disrupts hormonal homeostasis—flattening the diurnal cortisol rhythm and skewing the cortisol:DHEA ratio toward a catabolic profile. These changes, commonly observed with aging, reflect a shift from adaptive flexibility to maladaptive rigidity (McEwen, 2007; Yiallouris et al., 2019).

The *Selfish Brain Theory* posits that the brain prioritizes its access to glucose and oxygen over peripheral tissues, especially under conditions of systemic energy scarcity (Peters, 2004; Peters et al., 2022). This neurocentric substrate allocation becomes maladaptive over time, diverting resources away from essential processes such as tissue repair, immune tolerance, and muscle maintenance—hallmarks of the *Adapt phase* trade-offs. The *Brain–Body Energy Conservation (BEC)* model expands this concept by identifying the brain as the central broker of the organism’s finite energy budget (Shaulson et al., 2024). As molecular damage accumulates, somatic cells enter a state of senescence and begin to produce the *senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP)*—a hypersecretory state characterized by the sustained release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and proteases. While SASP serves to signal cellular stress and maintain tissue surveillance, it also imposes significant metabolic costs and promotes systemic inflammation (*inflammaging*). These hypermetabolic signals are sensed by the brain, which responds with energy-conservation strategies that suppress non-essential physiological processes. This includes downregulation of anabolic hormones, reduced voluntary activity, and simplification of immune and endocrine functions. Chronically elevated cortisol and sympathetic tone further reinforce this adaptive trade-off, promoting insulin resistance, visceral adiposity, and circadian disruption—hallmarks of allostatic load and declining systemic flexibility (Ryan & Ryznar, 2022; Sapolsky, 2004).

Over time, these adaptations, though protective in the short term, contribute to the erosion of physiological resilience and the emergence of the ERM phenotype as a bioenergetically compromised state of accelerated aging.

3.3. Immune Reprogramming and Inflammaging: Energy-Intensive Surveillance

In response to stress, the immune system rapidly adopts a pro-inflammatory phenotype, shifting macrophages, neutrophils, and T cells toward aerobic glycolysis—a metabolically costly state that supports cytokine production, phagocytosis, and rapid cell proliferation (Olenchock et al., 2017). While this reprogramming is essential for acute host defense, it becomes maladaptive under conditions of chronic stress and limited metabolic resources. Immune cells fail to revert to oxidative metabolism, locking into a glycolytic phenotype that sustains low-grade inflammation and impairs resolution (Franceschi et al., 2018; Willmann & Moita, 2024).

This loss of metabolic flexibility reflects a broader bioenergetic trade-off characteristic of ERM: when energy and substrate availability are constrained, immune surveillance is maintained at the expense of tissue regeneration, neuroprotection, and systemic homeostasis. Chronically activated or aging immune cells exhibit mitochondrial dysfunction, impaired quality control—including reduced mitophagy and elevated oxidative stress. These disturbances activate pro-inflammatory pathways such as the *NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome* and the *cyclic GMP-AMP synthase-stimulator of interferon genes (cGAS-STING) axis*, amplifying cytokine release and sustaining inflammatory signaling (Wu et al., 2025).

As a result, immune cells shift from being metabolically flexible responders to chronically activated drivers of tissue injury, fibrosis, and energetic collapse—hallmarks of *immunosenescence* and *inflammaging* (Fulop et al., 2018). Persistent immune activation also depletes micronutrients critical to systemic resilience—such as zinc, selenium, and iron—compromising antioxidant defenses, mitochondrial function, and epithelial repair (Alack et al., 2019; Gulhar et al., 2024). This creates a self-reinforcing loop of micronutrient insufficiency, metabolic stress, and immune dysfunction.

Emerging technologies, such as *Single-Cell Energetic metabolism by Translation Inhibition (SCENITH)* and *single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)*, have revealed that aged immune cells display distinctive metabolic signatures—marked by diminished oxidative capacity and exaggerated inflammatory output (Wu et al., 2025). These findings align with ERM-associated metabolic adaptations, where immune vigilance is preserved while anabolic and reparative systems—such as skeletal muscle, neural tissue, and intestinal epithelium—are systematically deprioritized. Immune reprogramming under chronic metabolic strain thus exemplifies a maladaptive resolution phase of stress adaptation: energy is persistently funneled into defense and surveillance at the cost of long-term functional integrity and resilience.

3.4. Skeletal Muscle and Anabolic Resistance: The Energetic Reservoir Depleted

Skeletal muscle serves as the body's primary amino acid reservoir, mobilized during physiological stress to support gluconeogenesis, immune activation, and the hepatic acute-phase response (Cahill, 2006). While this catabolic mobilization is adaptive in the short term, persistent stress drives a chronic depletion of muscle mass—transforming a protective mechanism into a source of dysfunction. In the Adapt phase of prolonged stress exposure, elevated catabolic hormones, such as cortisol, and pro-inflammatory cytokines promote proteolysis, while simultaneously impairing *muscle protein synthesis (MPS)* even in the presence of adequate protein intake (Ferreira & Duarte, 2023; Paulussen et al., 2021).

This impaired responsiveness to anabolic stimuli—termed *anabolic resistance*—reflects a systemic energy trade-off in which substrate allocation is redirected away from tissue maintenance and regeneration. Key anabolic pathways, including *mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1)* and *insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1)* signaling, are suppressed under metabolic strain. Additionally, muscle stem cells (*satellite cells*) fail to initiate proper regeneration in the absence of sufficient energetic and micronutrient support (Bian et al., 2020; Langston & Mathis, 2024).

Over time, these deficits contribute to muscle atrophy and the development of *sarcopenia*—a progressive loss of muscle mass and function that is both a hallmark of biological aging and a potential clinical endpoint of undiagnosed ERM (Cederholm & Bosaeus, 2024; Walrand et al., 2021). Importantly, this decline in muscle integrity is not merely structural; it underpins a wide spectrum of clinical phenotypes, including fatigue, poor exercise tolerance, and delayed recovery following physical activity. These features reflect the energetic exhaustion of skeletal muscle as both an effector and a victim of chronic metabolic trade-offs—where maintaining defense and immune activation takes precedence over repair, performance, and resilience.

3.5. Cellular Integrated Stress Response: Translational Triage Under Strain

The *Integrated Stress Response (ISR)* is a highly conserved mechanism that regulates cellular adaptation to stress by modulating protein synthesis. In response to nutrient deprivation, redox imbalance, or proteotoxic stress, ISR is activated through phosphorylation of *eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2 α)*, which attenuates global protein translation while selectively enhancing the synthesis of adaptive transcription factors such as *activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4)* and *C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP)* (Costa-Mattioli & Walter, 2020; Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016). This selective translational reprogramming enables cells to conserve resources and temporarily shift priorities toward stress mitigation and survival.

However, when stress persists, chronic ISR activation becomes maladaptive. Sustained suppression of protein synthesis disrupts proteostasis, impairs autophagy, and may lead to apoptosis or senescence, particularly in tissues with high turnover demands such as skeletal muscle, immune cells, and the intestinal epithelium (Hetz & Papa, 2018; Wek, 2018). These impairments compromise tissue regeneration and accelerate dysfunction, reflecting early stages of ERM, where repair capacity is sacrificed to preserve immediate survival.

The ISR is tightly linked to *endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress* and the *Unfolded Protein Response (UPR)*, which converge on shared signaling hubs to coordinate cellular decisions under duress. When misfolded proteins accumulate in the ER, the UPR is activated to restore folding capacity or trigger cell death pathways if damage is irreparable (Hetz & Saxena, 2017). This interplay between the ISR and ER stress responses exemplifies the principle of interorganelle collaboration—where stress signals from the ER and cytoplasm are integrated to determine cellular fate. Under unresolved strain, this collaboration shifts from compensatory adaptation to degenerative trade-offs, impairing cellular renewal and accelerating systemic vulnerability.

3.6. Mitochondrial Stress Response and Mitokines: The Energetic Fulcrum and Feedback Signal

Mitochondria are increasingly recognized not only as producers of *adenosine triphosphate (ATP)* but also as central signal transduction hubs that integrate metabolic status, oxidative stress, immune activation, and intercellular communication (Picard & Shirihai, 2022). These organelles coordinate cellular responses to stress by modulating ATP output, redox balance, *reactive oxygen species (ROS)* generation, and the supply of metabolic intermediates. In response to mild or transient energetic stress, mitochondria engage in *mitohormesis*—a process in which low-level ROS production triggers adaptive signaling pathways that enhance mitochondrial biogenesis, repair, and overall resilience (Ristow & Schmeisser, 2014).

However, when energy demands exceed capacity over time, these adaptive processes fail. Mitochondrial dysfunction leads to excess ROS, loss of membrane potential, and the release of *mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA)* into the cytosol—activating inflammatory signaling via the *NLRP3 inflammasome* and *cGAS–STING pathway* (Ni et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2025). This collapse fuels systemic inflammation and immune activation, contributing to the progression of ERM phenotypes and chronic disease.

In addition to local signaling, dysfunctional mitochondria produce mitokines—circulating stress signals such as *fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21)* and *growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15)*. These mitokines act on the central nervous system, particularly the hypothalamus and area postrema, to

suppress appetite, reduce activity, and recalibrate energy expenditure (Lockhart et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2024). GDF15, in particular, has been linked to anorexia, fatigue, and muscle catabolism, effectively informing the brain of peripheral energetic crisis and reinforcing systemic energy conservation (Shaulson et al., 2024).

Importantly, mitochondria also participate in intercellular rescue mechanisms through mitochondrial transfer—a process in which healthy mitochondria are transferred from donor cells (such as mesenchymal stem cells or astrocytes) to stressed or energy-depleted recipient cells via tunneling nanotubes, extracellular vesicles, or cell fusion (Diaz-Meco et al., 2025; Dong et al., 2023). This transfer restores oxidative capacity, reverses bioenergetic failure, and promotes tissue recovery, particularly in metabolically active or injured environments such as the brain, heart, and immune system (Islam et al., 2012; Spees et al., 2006). Mitochondrial transfer thus exemplifies a critical resilience mechanism—extending stress adaptation beyond the individual cell to a community-level response.

Together, these processes illustrate that mitochondria are not passive power plants, but energetic sentinels and signaling nodes that regulate both local and systemic adaptation. Through mitohormesis, mitokine signaling, and intercellular mitochondrial transfer, they act as the fulcrum of the stress response—balancing survival, repair, and functional decline across the trajectory of resilience and exhaustion.

3.7. Closing the Loop: From Peripheral Strain to Central Reprogramming

Collectively, these mechanistic pathways form a closed, self-reinforcing circuit of maladaptive stress adaptation. The loop begins with neuroendocrine activation, redirecting energy away from long-term maintenance toward immediate survival. This shift is reinforced by immune reprogramming that sustains inflammation, skeletal muscle catabolism that depletes physical capacity, translational triage that suppresses cellular renewal, and mitochondrial signaling that conveys energetic distress to the central nervous system. As resolution fails, this interconnected network transitions from adaptive to degenerative—locking the body into a chronically depleted, energy-conserving, and catabolic state.

The result is ERM— a subtle yet systemic bioenergetic phenotype that reflects the failure to resolve chronic physiological stress. This functional malnourishment is not characterized by overt starvation, but by persistent substrate rationing and misallocation, impaired anabolic signaling, and the chronic prioritization of immune and neural defense over cellular turnover and tissue repair. It represents the convergence of central command and peripheral exhaustion—a dynamic imbalance in which energy conservation becomes maladaptive, and the capacity for recovery is no longer energetically sustained.

By tracing this loop—from central regulation to peripheral strain and back—we recognize ERM as a *bioenergetic signature of unresolved adaptation*. It manifests as the metabolic cost of sustained defenses, the silent erosion of physiological plasticity, and the gradual loss of systemic resilience. Recognizing this loop presents a critical opportunity: to identify ERM early, disrupt its trajectory, and restore the bioenergetic balance essential for repair, recovery, and renewal—before chronic strain culminates in irreversible dysfunction.

4. Defining ERM as a Preclinical Aging Phenotype

ERM is proposed as an early, subclinical metabolic phenotype of physiological compromise that often escapes detection by conventional malnutrition criteria. Rather than being defined by caloric deficit or weight loss, ERM reflects chronic bioenergetic strain and impaired substrate allocation under prolonged adaptation demands.

4.1. ERM vs. Classical Malnutrition Syndromes

Unlike classical demand-driven syndromes—such as DRM, Chronic Energy Deficiency (CED), or Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (REDs)—ERM may occur despite normal or even excessive caloric intake (Cederholm & Bosaeus, 2024; Mountjoy et al., 2018; Prisabela et al., 2023). DRM is typically characterized by inflammation-induced catabolism in the context of acute or chronic illness, CED often results from increased physiological demands such as pregnancy without sufficient intake, and REDs reflects chronic energy imbalance in athletes. In contrast, ERM develops gradually in response to environmental burden, chronic psychosocial stress, subclinical inflammation, or circadian disruption—factors that cumulatively increase bioenergetic demand, necessitate substrate rationing, and undermine long-term physiological resilience, all without necessarily reducing total energy intake.

The hallmark of ERM is not overt nutrient depletion, but a characteristic pattern of maladaptive bioenergetic trade-offs—where physiological systems increasingly prioritize immediate survival at the expense of long-term functions such as repair, regeneration, and reproduction (Bobba-Alves et al., 2023; Ryan & Ryznar, 2022). ERM may precede, overlap with, or predispose individuals to later-stage malnutrition syndromes, including sarcopenia and frailty. Often clinically silent in its early stages, it represents a critical window for preventive detection and intervention.

4.2. ERM as the Early Metabolic Signature of Resilience Loss in Aging

ERM represents a transitional phase in which chronic activation of stress-adaptive systems gradually erodes physiological resilience, even in the absence of overt disease. As an early metabolic hallmark of aging, it is characterized by substrate misallocation, diminished anabolic signaling, and impaired recovery—marking the shift from adaptive flexibility to cumulative vulnerability.

This perspective aligns with models that frame aging as the biological toll of chronic adaptation demands and allostatic load accumulation (Seeman et al., 2001), as well as with emerging views of mitochondria as central hubs that integrate and propagate cellular stress signals across systems (Picard & Shirihai, 2022; Seeman et al., 2001). Just as prediabetes signals metabolic dysfunction before the onset of diabetes, ERM reflects subclinical energy imbalance that, if left unresolved, increases vulnerability to chronic disease, immune decline, and accelerated aging.

4.3. Recognizing ERM Phenotypes

ERM rarely presents with overt clinical signs in its early stages. Individuals often maintain a normal or elevated body mass index (BMI), masking underlying physiological compromise. Yet, they may exhibit subtle but functionally significant and progressive patterns of decline— including *chronic fatigue, delayed physical recovery, increased susceptibility to infections, cognitive slowing, declining reproductive capacity, and persistent or unexplained chronic pain such as myofascial pain syndromes*. These symptoms reflect sustained bioenergetic strain, impaired tissue repair, and neuromuscular inefficiency—hallmarks of maladaptive stress physiology.

Crucially, these features do not emerge in isolation but as a patterned constellation—a systemic signal of declining resilience. Chronic psychosocial stress, low-grade inflammation, and neuroendocrine dysregulation progressively reprogram energy allocation, often before classical signs of malnutrition appear (Fülöp et al., 2025). Yet, these early functional signs are frequently misattributed to aging, stress, or mood disorders, leading to underrecognition and missed opportunities for preventive care.

4.3.1. Functional Biomarkers: The First Line of ERM Detection

Emerging consensus emphasizes the value of functional tests—such as handgrip strength, gait speed, one-leg standing time, and calf circumference—as early, accessible indicators of systemic decline. These tests reflect neuromuscular performance, metabolic reserve, and organ system coordination. In fact, they outperform many molecular biomarkers in predicting mortality and frailty

across populations (Furrer & Handschin, 2025a, 2025b). **Figure 2** summarizes the early phenotype of ERM, integrating functional symptoms, physical signs, and measurable biochemical trade-offs. This visualization supports clinical recognition of ERM beyond traditional malnutrition criteria.

- *Handgrip strength and muscle power* (dynapenia/powerpenia) have stronger associations with morbidity and mortality than muscle mass alone, and reflect early disruption in anabolic signaling and mitochondrial energy metabolism.
- *Gait speed and balance tests* capture neuromuscular coordination and are sensitive to cognitive and central nervous system compromise.
- *Calf circumference*, particularly in the context of preserved BMI, serves as a practical surrogate for declining peripheral muscle mass and functional reserve.

These physical assessments should not be interpreted as isolated values, but as part of an integrated functional pattern that reflects ERM's early-stage bioenergetic erosion.


Constellation of Exposure-Related Malnutrition (ERM): Characteristic Phenotype of Early-Stage Maladaptation

Functional Symptoms

- Chronic fatigue
- Poor physical recovery
- Frequent infections
- Cognitive slowing
- Persistent pain
- Anabolic resistance
- Declined reproductive health

Functional Signs

- Reduced strength e.g., grip strength
- Slow gait
- Decreased stamina e.g., 6-minute walk test, one leg standing
- Low muscle mass e.g., calf circumference
- Central obesity



Biomarker Trade-Off Patterns

Preservation

- Acute Phase reactants such as hsCRP, ESR, ferritin, fibrinogen etc.

Decline

- Housekeeping proteins such as Transport proteins, e.g., transferrin, albumin
- Slow cell turnover/repair with elevated intracellular enzymes such as ALT, AST, CPK

Suppression

- Growth such as IGF-1, lean body mass, bone mass
- Suppressed reproductive markers such as testosterone and estrogen

4.3.2. From Structure to Cellular Function: Compositional and Biophysical Markers

In addition to performance-based tests, *bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA)* provides insight into the body's internal reallocation of resources:

- *Progressive decline in skeletal muscle mass and bone mineral content* signals a shift away from long-term structural investment, consistent with catabolic resource diversion.
- *Accumulation of visceral fat*, particularly in the presence of stable or rising BMI, reflects a maladaptive redistribution of energy stores—often sustained by hyperinsulinemia and glucose-driven metabolic programming under stress.
- *Reduction in phase angle (PhA)*, a marker of cell membrane integrity and intracellular water balance, reflects impaired cellular vitality and bioenergetic efficiency. Rather than relying on a

single cutoff, declining trends in PhA may indicate cumulative stress effects and loss of physiological plasticity. Reduced PhA is also linked to anabolic resistance, sarcopenia, and increased frailty (Akamatsu et al., 2022; Norman et al., 2012).

Recent findings indicate that PhA is particularly sensitive in women, where it correlates not only with global cognitive function but also with specific domains such as memory, executive function, and attention—suggesting its potential as an early marker of physiological vulnerability prior to the development of overt malnutrition or dementia (Ikeue et al., 2025).

4.3.3. Patterns Over Points: The ERM Signature

ERM is best recognized not by single diagnostic thresholds, but by emergent physiological patterns over time. This concept aligns with recent findings showing that hematologic and metabolic biomarkers exhibit stable, individualized setpoints in healthy individuals. Deviations from personal baselines—even within population-normal ranges—can signal early adaptation failure (Foy et al., 2024).

These changes occur within the broader context of the integrated stress response (ISR), nutrient triage, and brain–body energy conservation, which prioritize short-term survival over long-term maintenance and repair (Ames, 2006; Shaulson et al., 2024; Wang & Zhang, 2025).

4.3.4. Pattern of Biochemical Trade-Offs: Systemic Signals of Strain

The underlying biochemical constellation reinforces the pattern-based signature of ERM:

- *Preservation or elevation of acute-phase reactants* (e.g., CRP, ferritin), coagulation factors, and stress proteins indicate active immune prioritization (Cederholm & Bosaeus, 2024; Sganga et al., 1985).
- *Decline in housekeeping proteins* such as prealbumin and transferrin marks hepatic reprioritization away from maintenance functions (Evans et al., 2021; Paulussen et al., 2021).
- *Suppression of long-term anabolic markers*, including IGF-1, sex hormones, and proteins related to muscle, bone, and reproductive function, reflects deeper systemic sacrifice in favor of short-term homeostasis (Bian et al., 2020; Payea et al., 2024; Ryan & Ryznar, 2022).

These physiological and biochemical trends are often amplified by mitochondrial dysfunction, redox imbalance, and mitochondrial DAMP release, which compound systemic inflammation and accelerate decline (Brzezniakiewicz-Janus et al., 2025).

In summary, the early recognition of ERM depends not on static thresholds but on the ability to detect emergent patterns of functional compromise, compositional shifts, and biomarker trajectories. Functional biomarkers—like grip strength, balance, gait speed, and PhA—offer practical, clinically validated tools for identifying resilience loss before irreversible structural decline occurs. They form the front line of a pattern-based recognition model, allowing proactive intervention in aging and metabolically vulnerable populations.

4.4. ERM and the GLIM Criteria: A Missing Middle

The Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) provides an internationally accepted framework for diagnosing malnutrition in clinical and aging populations. Diagnosis requires the presence of at least one phenotypic criterion, e.g., non-volitional weight loss, low BMI, reduced muscle mass, and one etiologic criterion, e.g., reduced food intake, disease burden, or inflammation (Cederholm et al., 2025). While GLIM represents a major advance in standardizing clinical nutrition assessment, it may overlook a biologically significant but subclinical stage of nutrient misallocation and bioenergetic exhaustion.

This is where the concept of ERM offers critical complementary insight. Unlike GLIM-defined malnutrition, ERM can present in individuals who do not meet GLIM criteria, yet are experiencing metabolic compromise due to chronic stress adaptation, systemic inflammation, and substrate misallocation (Bobba-Alves et al., 2023; Ryan & Ryznar, 2022). The hallmark phenotypes of ERM—such as chronic fatigue, cognitive dysfunction, physical intolerance, immune dysregulation, shifts in

body composition, and coordinated biomarker trade-off patterns—are not captured by the static thresholds defined in the GLIM criteria.

ERM may therefore be conceptualized as either:

- a “pre-GLIM” phenotype, representing an earlier, subclinical stage of adaptation failure, or
- a parallel subtype of functional malnutrition, primarily driven by maladaptive stress physiology rather than overt intake deficiency.

This distinction is especially relevant in older adults and individuals with chronic illness or psychosocial stress exposure, where conventional indicators—such as BMI or body weight—may remain within normal ranges even as *physiological reserve and resilience quietly erode*. These differences are further clarified in **Table 1**, which contrasts the GLIM and ERM frameworks across key domains—highlighting how ERM expands malnutrition recognition to include earlier, subclinical phases of bioenergetic compromise.

Table 1. Conceptual Comparison Between GLIM and ERM Frameworks for Malnutrition Recognition and Intervention.

| Domain | GLIM Malnutrition Framework | ERM Malnutrition Framework |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| Focus | Observable malnutrition | Subclinical bioenergetic exhaustion |
| Phenotypic Criteria | Weight loss, low BMI, low muscle mass | Fatigue, immune dysfunction, reduced phase angle, anabolic resistance |
| Etiologic Criteria | Inflammation, reduced intake, disease burden | Chronic adaptation to physiological stress, environmental burden, and cumulative lifestyle exposures |
| Detection Sensitivity | Moderate-to-late-stage malnutrition | High; based on emerging biomarkers and functional pattern recognition |
| Intervention Window | Post-functional decline | Early, targets reversible physiological compromise before functional decline |
| Biomarker Use | Optional; not central to diagnosis | Central to detection; includes acute phase reactants, cellular turnover, anabolic and stress-response markers |

As shown, GLIM prioritizes observable structural loss, whereas ERM focuses on functional and metabolic vulnerability under stress. The two are not mutually exclusive: ERM may precede GLIM-defined malnutrition or persist in parallel. Recognizing this distinction allows for *earlier, resilience-preserving interventions*—particularly in aging populations where *intake remains stable but physiological reserve is already compromised*.

5. ERM and the Hallmarks of Aging: Reframing Aging as a Failure to Resolve Adaptation

Aging has traditionally been framed as the progressive, time-dependent accumulation of molecular and cellular damage—driven by mechanisms such as mitochondrial dysfunction, altered nutrient sensing, cellular senescence, and stem cell exhaustion (Lopez-Otin et al., 2013). While this framework remains foundational, it has increasingly been recognized as incomplete. Recent developments in aging research highlight that many hallmarks may arise not solely from chronological aging, but from *unresolved physiological adaptation to persistent stress, environmental burden, and energetic imbalance* (López-Otín et al., 2023; López-Otín & Kroemer, 2024; Schmauck-Medina et al., 2022).

This evolving perspective aligns closely with the concept of ERM, which characterizes a metabolically constrained but potentially reversible state of chronic adaptation failure. In ERM, the body reallocates limited energy and substrates toward short-term survival functions at the expense of maintenance, repair, and regeneration. This systemic triage can activate features classically associated with aging—such as mitochondrial inefficiency, anabolic suppression, immune dysregulation, and impaired tissue renewal—*not because of biological age, but because of unresolved stress*.

ERM thus reframes aging as a *dynamic consequence of adaptation failure* rather than a fixed outcome of time. Crucially, many of the functional changes observed in ERM—such as suppressed IGF-1 signaling, mitochondrial stress, reduced autophagy, and stem cell dormancy—are not necessarily irreversible. With timely intervention to restore nutrient availability, redox balance, and anabolic signaling, biological aging trajectories may be interrupted, and resilience potentially recovered.

5.1. Mitochondrial Dysfunction → Bioenergetic Reversibility

Mitochondrial dysfunction is a central hallmark of aging, characterized by impaired oxidative phosphorylation, increased reactive oxygen species (ROS), mitochondrial DNA instability, and defective mitophagy. These changes reduce cellular energy availability and contribute to inflammatory signaling and tissue degeneration (Picard & Shirihai, 2022; Qi et al., 2025).

However, such impairments are not always irreversible. In the context of chronic metabolic stress—such as that seen in ERM—mitochondrial fragmentation, redox imbalance, and ATP insufficiency may reflect adaptive energy reallocation rather than permanent failure. The *mitochondrial integrated stress response (mt-ISR)* downregulates energetically costly processes to preserve core functions under resource scarcity.

Importantly, when substrate availability and redox conditions are restored, mitochondrial dynamics and ATP production can often recover. This suggests that mitochondrial dysfunction in ERM represents a *reversible metabolic bottleneck*, offering a window to restore bioenergetic function and interrupt decline before structural damage becomes entrenched.

5.2. Altered Nutrient Sensing → Adaptive Metabolic Flexibility

Disruption of nutrient-sensing pathways—including insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), and insulin signaling—is another hallmark of aging. These disruptions impair anabolic signaling, autophagy, and metabolic flexibility, undermining the capacity to balance growth and maintenance under fluctuating conditions (Chrousos, 2009).

A similar pattern is observed in ERM, where chronic energy insufficiency and stress induce systemic downregulation of these pathways. Suppressed IGF-1 signaling, insulin resistance, and impaired mTOR activity reflect an energy-conserving response to prolonged substrate scarcity. In skeletal muscle, this contributes to anabolic resistance—a reduced responsiveness to anabolic stimuli such as amino acids or mechanical loading—closely mirroring sarcopenia (Paulussen et al., 2021).

Unlike irreversible endocrine failure, these adaptations may be functionally reversible. When energetic capacity is restored and chronic stress resolved, nutrient-sensing pathways can recover their regulatory flexibility. ERM thus represents a state of *adaptive metabolic plasticity*, not permanent breakdown.

5.3. Cellular Senescence → Conditional Arrest

Cellular senescence is classically defined as a stable form of cell cycle arrest, often triggered by DNA damage, telomere shortening, oxidative stress, or oncogenic signaling. Senescent cells secrete a pro-inflammatory mix of cytokines, proteases, and growth factors—collectively known as the *senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP)*—which impairs tissue function and promotes chronic inflammation (López-Otín & Kroemer, 2024; Wiley & Campisi, 2021).

However, senescence is not always a terminal state. Cells can also enter transient or stress-induced senescence in response to metabolic strain, unresolved ISR activation, or translational repression (Costa-Mattioli & Walter, 2020; Wek, 2018). In ERM, such conditions may promote a form of “*stressed quiescence*”—a reversible senescence-like state in which cells halt growth but retain the potential for recovery if metabolic inputs are restored.

This form of *conditional arrest* reframes senescence in ERM as an adaptive checkpoint rather than irreversible decline—signaling an opportunity for early detection and intervention.

5.4. Stem Cell Exhaustion → Resource-Dependent Dormancy

Stem cell exhaustion is a hallmark of aging, marked by reduced self-renewal, differentiation, and tissue maintenance across systems such as muscle, immune, and hematopoietic lineages (López-Otín & Kroemer, 2021). This decline arises from accumulated genomic damage, disrupted niche signaling, and chronic inflammatory environments, contributing to impaired regeneration and frailty.

However, not all stem cell dysfunction stems from irreversible depletion. Under prolonged stress or energy scarcity, stem cells can enter a quiescent state—a protective dormancy that suppresses proliferation to conserve energy and reduce damage risk (Cheung & Rando, 2013; Ryall et al., 2015). This response is particularly relevant in ERM, where inflammation, mitochondrial stress, and downregulated mTORC1/IGF-1 signaling create a hostile metabolic environment.

In this context, stem cells may remain metabolically silenced rather than lost—preserved in dormancy until conditions improve. Restoration of nutrient flow, redox balance, and growth signaling can reactivate regenerative function. This concept of resource-dependent dormancy positions ERM as a reversible stage within the trajectory of stem cell exhaustion.

5.5. From Irreversibility to Intervention: ERM as a Critical Inflection Point

By mapping the functional features of ERM onto canonical hallmarks of aging, this framework reconceptualizes biological aging not as an inevitable outcome of time, but as an accumulation of unresolved adaptation. ERM represents a critical inflection point—where physiological strain has begun to compromise resilience, yet functional systems remain responsive to intervention.

In this light, the hallmarks of aging become not only markers of decline, but signposts of unmet adaptive needs. ERM highlights these vulnerabilities early, offering a unique window to interrupt decline, rebalance bioenergetic priorities, and extend healthspan before dysfunction becomes irreversible.

6. Translational and Clinical Relevance: Reframing Healthspan Through Adaptive Recovery

ERM challenges the traditional view of aging as a passive, time-driven decline. Instead, it reframes many hallmarks of aging—such as mitochondrial dysfunction, anabolic resistance, and immune dysregulation—as the downstream effects of unresolved physiological adaptation. ERM represents a chronic shift in bioenergetic priorities, where sustained stress redirects substrates away from maintenance and repair toward immediate survival, progressively compromising immune integrity, tissue regeneration, and neuroendocrine balance (Martel et al., 2024; Yiallouris et al., 2019).

6.1. A Window for Preventive Intervention

Despite its clinical relevance, ERM often remains invisible to conventional diagnostics. Individuals may fall within normal ranges for weight and laboratory values, yet present with signs of declining resilience—fatigue, brain fog, chronic pain, impaired recovery, immune susceptibility, and anabolic resistance. These early indicators often precede structural decline and mirror preclinical frailty (Arron et al., 2024; Walrand et al., 2021).

Japan's national health model illustrates how early-stage metabolic compromise can be identified and mitigated. Since 2008, routine metabolic syndrome screening has included waist circumference—highlighting central adiposity as an early marker of energy misallocation driven by chronic glucose, insulin, and cortisol exposure. This simple anthropometric indicator reflects a core feature of ERM: substrate accumulation in visceral fat at the expense of lean tissue and repair capacity. Japan's model, which integrates personalized lifestyle guidance into workplace and community health systems, has led to measurable improvements in metabolic outcomes (Shirai & Tsushita, 2024).

This proactive framework aligns with the clinical potential of ERM. By recognizing functional symptoms and biomarker trade-offs—before irreversible damage occurs—interventions can be

deployed to restore bioenergetic availability, reactivate regenerative pathways, and preserve long-term physiological resilience.

6.2. Strategies to Restore Metabolic Governance

Because ERM stems from chronic resource misallocation and maladaptive stress responses, recovery requires a systems-level approach targeting both upstream causes and downstream consequences.

- Lifestyle-Circadian Synchronization

Synchronizing daily behaviors with circadian rhythms—through structured sleep, timed meals, and light exposure—plays a vital role in restoring metabolic tempo, optimizing insulin sensitivity, and recalibrating neuroendocrine function (Ryan & Ryznar, 2022; Shaulson et al., 2024; Tippairote et al., 2021). Central to this process is the alignment of the cortisol rhythm, a core output of the circadian system that governs energy mobilization, immune modulation, and stress response. Disruptions to this rhythm—whether through irregular sleep patterns, nighttime eating, or insufficient light exposure—can desynchronize the HPA axis, promoting metabolic dysfunction.

Traditional Japanese lifestyle patterns, such as early dinners, seasonal meal timing, and exposure to natural light, inherently support circadian alignment. These culturally embedded practices may contribute to Japan's exceptional longevity by sustaining hormonal rhythms and reducing bioenergetic strain (Shirai & Tsushita, 2024).

- Mitochondrial and Nutritional Support for Resilience

Mitochondrial resilience and nutritional sufficiency are tightly intertwined. Essential cofactors—magnesium, zinc, selenium, B vitamins, alpha-lipoic acid—are critical for mitochondrial redox balance and energy production. Subclinical deficiencies often manifest as low alkaline phosphatase, elevated homocysteine, or reduced transport proteins (e.g., prealbumin, transferrin), signaling the need for targeted repletion and consistent protein intake (Beck & Rosenthal, 2002; Ray et al., 2017).

Complementary mitohormetic stimuli—such as moderate exercise, thermal stress, and intermittent fasting—enhance mitochondrial biogenesis and adaptive signaling. These effects are reinforced by polyphenols like quercetin and EGCG, which reduce senescent cell burden and promote tissue repair (Martel et al., 2024; Ristow & Schmeisser, 2014).

Japan's traditional diet offers a real-world validation: nutrient-dense, anti-inflammatory, and phytonutrient-rich, it supports immune competence, muscle integrity, and systemic recovery. This dietary pattern has been associated with reduced chronic disease burden and sustained physical and cognitive function into advanced age (Li et al., 2024; Shirai & Tsushita, 2024).

Together, mitochondrial support and personalized nutrient repletion form a foundational strategy to reverse the energy imbalance at the core of ERM and to rebuild physiological reserve.

- Neuroendocrine and Stress Axis Modulation

The capacity to dynamically regulate stress through the HPA and SAM axes is essential for survival and healthy aging. In ERM, chronic overactivation of these axes leads to persistent catabolism, inflammation, and autonomic imbalance.

Mind-body interventions—such as mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), yoga, and vagal breathing—help restore parasympathetic tone, lower cortisol output, and improve neuroendocrine flexibility (Chrousos, 2009; Srour & Keyes, 2025). These practices support systemic recovery and energy conservation.

Cultural constructs also matter. In Japan, *ikigai*—a sense of meaning and purpose—has been linked to lower allostatic load and longer lifespan, highlighting the role of psychosocial integration in sustaining adaptive capacity (Shirai & Tsushita, 2024).

Targeting these neuroendocrine hubs—where emotion, metabolism, and inflammation intersect—can help reverse the maladaptive cycle of ERM and reestablish the physiological adaptability required for resilience.

6.3. Toward Resilience-Informed Healthspan Strategies

ERM reframes healthcare goals—from treating disease to preserving adaptive potential. A resilience-informed approach emphasizes:

- *Monitoring of functional reserve* (e.g., grip strength, heart rate recovery, phase angle)
- *Recognition of dynamic biomarker constellations* rather than reliance on static thresholds
- *Restoration of energy availability and systemic plasticity*

This model is not aspirational—it is already being implemented. Japan's Health Promotion Law, *Smart Life Project*, and community-based integrated care prioritize functional maintenance and social participation. These policies have contributed to Japan's status as a global leader in healthy life expectancy (Shirai & Tsushita, 2024).

ERM provides a practical framework to apply similar principles in clinical settings. By identifying reversible patterns of depletion and intervening before overt disease, we can extend healthspan, delay frailty, and support aging as a process of sustained adaptation—not inevitable decline.

7. Conclusions

Aging is not merely the passive accumulation of molecular damage over time—it is increasingly recognized as the cumulative cost of chronic adaptation under conditions of bioenergetic constraint. This review introduces ERM as a mechanistically grounded and clinically actionable phenotype of early maladaptation. ERM reflects a persistent state of stress-induced substrate misallocation, manifesting as a distinct constellation of metabolic trade-offs and progressive physiological inefficiency. Unlike classical forms of malnutrition, ERM does not result from overt nutrient deprivation but emerges from chronic bioenergetic compromise that prioritizes short-term survival at the expense of long-term maintenance and repair.

By aligning ERM with the established hallmarks of aging, this framework positions ERM as a potentially reversible driver of biological aging. It provides a new lens through which to detect early functional decline and metabolic fragility, often preceding the clinical onset of frailty, immune dysfunction, or sarcopenia.

Translating the concept of ERM into clinical and research practice requires a phased, multidisciplinary approach:

- *Systematic reviews* should first consolidate existing evidence across the domains of stress physiology, metabolic adaptation, and malnutrition to identify converging biomarker patterns, functional deficits, and compensatory trade-offs consistent with ERM.
- *Exploratory studies*, including retrospective analyses, biomarker clustering, and cohort profiling, are essential to refine the diagnostic criteria for ERM and to inform the development of a robust staging model that integrates functional symptoms, clinical signs, performance testing, and biomarker patterns.
- With phenotype recognition and staging in place, *intervention trials* can be designed to target the mechanisms underpinning ERM. These may include strategies to enhance mitochondrial efficiency, restore micronutrient and amino acid availability, synchronize circadian and neuroendocrine rhythms, and promote recovery following sustained stress.

Together, these research phases will help establish ERM as a measurable and clinically meaningful syndrome—defined by a reproducible constellation of functional impairments and biomarker patterns—and position it as a modifiable inflection point along the trajectory of aging and chronic disease.

Reframing healthspan through the lens of adaptive recovery and metabolic flexibility offers a compelling new direction for preventive aging care. By detecting ERM in its earliest stages—and restoring the physiological conditions necessary for repair, regeneration, and energy balance—we may preserve systemic resilience, prolong functional independence, and intervene before chronic dysfunction becomes entrenched or irreversible.

Author Contributions: TT designed and supervised the study. PH, AS assisted in data collection. PT contributed to data analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: The authors received no financial support from any organization for the submitted work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We appreciated the continuing support of the team of nurses and supporting staff in the Nutritional and Environment Medicine department, HP Medical Center, on this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process: During the preparation of this work the authors used *Elicit's Notebooks* to locate relevant papers, refine research questions, and *Chat GPT* for paraphrasing sentences. After using this tool/service, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the publication.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation Full Term

| | |
|---------------|--|
| AMPK | AMP-activated Protein Kinase |
| ATF4 | Activating Transcription Factor 4 |
| ATP | Adenosine Triphosphate |
| BEC | Brain–Body Energy Conservation |
| BIA | Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis |
| BMI | Body Mass Index |
| cGAS–STING | cyclic GMP–AMP synthase–stimulator of interferon genes |
| CED | Chronic Energy Deficiency |
| CHOP | C/EBP Homologous Protein |
| CRP | C-Reactive Protein |
| DHEA | Dehydroepiandrosterone |
| DRM | Disease-Related Malnutrition |
| eIF2 α | eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2 Alpha |
| ERM | Exposure-Related Malnutrition |
| FGF21 | Fibroblast Growth Factor 21 |
| GDF15 | Growth Differentiation Factor 15 |
| GLIM | Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition |
| HPA | Hypothalamic–Pituitary–Adrenal (axis) |
| IGF-1 | Insulin-like Growth Factor 1 |
| ISR | Integrated Stress Response |
| MBSR | Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction |
| mTORC1 | mechanistic Target of Rapamycin Complex 1 |
| mt-ISR | Mitochondrial Integrated Stress Response |
| mtDNA | Mitochondrial DNA |
| MPS | Muscle Protein Synthesis |
| NLRP3 | NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3 |
| PhA | Phase Angle |
| RED-S | Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport |
| ROS | Reactive Oxygen Species |
| SAM | Sympathetic–Adrenal–Medullary |
| SASP | Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype |
| SCENITH | Single-Cell Energetic metabolism by Translation Inhibition |
| scRNA-seq | single-cell RNA sequencing |
| UPR | Unfolded Protein Response |

References

1. Akamatsu, Y., Kusakabe, T., Arai, H., Yamamoto, Y., Nakao, K., Ikeue, K.,...Satoh-Asahara, N. (2022). Phase angle from bioelectrical impedance analysis is a useful indicator of muscle quality. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*, 13(1), 180-189. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12860>
2. Alack, K., Pilat, C., & Krüger K*Shared, a. (2019). Current Knowledge and New Challenges in Exercise Immunology. *Deutsche Zeitschrift für Sportmedizin*, Volume 70(No. 10), 250-260. <https://doi.org/10.5960/dzsm.2019.391>
3. Ames, B. N. (2006). Low micronutrient intake may accelerate the degenerative diseases of aging through allocation of scarce micronutrients by triage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 103(47), 17589-17594. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608757103>
4. Arron, H. E., Marsh, B. D., Kell, D. B., Khan, M. A., Jaeger, B. R., & Pretorius, E. (2024). Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome: the biology of a neglected disease. *Front Immunol*, 15, 1386607. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1386607>
5. Beck, F. K., & Rosenthal, T. C. (2002). Prealbumin: a marker for nutritional evaluation. *Am Fam Physician*, 65(8), 1575-1578. <https://www.aafp.org/afp/2002/0415/p1575.pdf>
6. Bian, A., Ma, Y., Zhou, X., Guo, Y., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., & Wang, X. (2020). Association between sarcopenia and levels of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor-1 in the elderly. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*, 21(1), 214. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03236-y>
7. Bobba-Alves, N., Sturm, G., Lin, J., Ware, S. A., Karan, K. R., Monzel, A. S.,...Picard, M. (2023). Cellular allostatic load is linked to increased energy expenditure and accelerated biological aging. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 155, 106322. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2023.106322>
8. Brzeźniakiewicz-Janus, K., Jarczak, J., Konopko, A., Ratajczak, J., Kucia, M., & Ratajczak, M. Z. (2025). Mitochondria Express Functional Signaling Ligand-Binding Receptors that Regulate their Biological Responses—the Novel Role of Mitochondria as Stress-Response Sentinels. *Stem Cell Reviews and Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12015-025-10847-2>
9. Cabre, H. E., Moore, S. R., Smith-Ryan, A. E., & Hackney, A. C. (2022). Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S): Scientific, Clinical, and Practical Implications for the Female Athlete. *Dtsch Z Sportmed*, 73(7), 225-234. <https://doi.org/10.5960/dzsm.2022.546>
10. Cahill, G. F., Jr. (2006). Fuel metabolism in starvation. *Annu Rev Nutr*, 26, 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nutr.26.061505.111258>
11. Calabrese, E. J., Nascarella, M., Pressman, P., Hayes, A. W., Dhawan, G., Kapoor, R.,...Agathokleous, E. (2024). Hormesis determines lifespan. *Ageing Res Rev*, 94, 102181. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2023.102181>
12. Cederholm, T., & Bosaeus, I. (2024). Malnutrition in Adults. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 391(2), 155-165. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1056/NEJMra2212159>
13. Cederholm, T., Jensen, G. L., Correia, M. I. T. D., Gonzalez, M. C., Fukushima, R., Pisprasert, V.,...Compher, C. (2025). The GLIM consensus approach to diagnosis of malnutrition: A 5-year update. *Clin Nutr*, 49, 11-20. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2025.03.018>
14. Cheung, T. H., & Rando, T. A. (2013). Molecular regulation of stem cell quiescence. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 14(6), 329-340. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3591>
15. Chrousos, G. P. (2009). Stress and disorders of the stress system. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, 5(7), 374-381. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2009.106>
16. Costa-Mattioli, M., & Walter, P. (2020). The integrated stress response: From mechanism to disease. *Science*, 368(6489), eaat5314. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.aat5314>
17. Crane, P. A., Wilkinson, G., & Teare, H. (2022). Healthspan versus lifespan: new medicines to close the gap. *Nature Aging*, 2(11), 984-988. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00318-5>
18. Demirovic, D., & Rattan, S. I. S. (2013). Establishing cellular stress response profiles as biomarkers of homeodynamics, health and hormesis. *Experimental gerontology*, 48, 94-98.
19. Diaz-Meco, M. T., Linares, J. F., & Moscat, J. (2025). Hijacking the powerhouse: Mitochondrial transfer and mitophagy as emerging mechanisms of immune evasion. *Molecular Cell*, 85(7), 1258-1259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2025.02.026>

20. Dong, L.-F., Rohlena, J., Zobalova, R., Nahacka, Z., Rodriguez, A.-M., Berridge, M. V., & Neuzil, J. (2023). Mitochondria on the move: Horizontal mitochondrial transfer in disease and health. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 222(3). <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202211044>
21. Evans, D. C., Corkins, M. R., Malone, A., Miller, S., Mogensen, K. M., Guenter, P.,...Committee, A. M. (2021). The Use of Visceral Proteins as Nutrition Markers: An ASPEN Position Paper. *Nutr Clin Pract*, 36(1), 22-28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ncp.10588>
22. Ferreira, R. P., & Duarte, J. A. (2023). Protein Turnover in Skeletal Muscle: Looking at Molecular Regulation towards an Active Lifestyle. *Int J Sports Med*, 44(11), 763-777. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2044-8277>
23. Foy, B. H., Petherbridge, R., Roth, M. T., Zhang, C., De Souza, D. C., Mow, C.,...Higgins, J. M. (2024). Haematological setpoints are a stable and patient-specific deep phenotype. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08264-5>
24. Franceschi, C., Garagnani, P., Parini, P., Giuliani, C., & Santoro, A. (2018). Inflammaging: a new immune-metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 14(10), 576-590. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-018-0059-4>
25. Fülöp, B., Borbély, É., & Helyes, Z. (2025). How does chronic psychosocial distress induce pain? Focus on neuroinflammation and neuroplasticity changes. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity—Health*, 44, 100964. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbih.2025.100964>
26. Fulop, T., Larbi, A., Dupuis, G., Le Page, A., Frost, E. H., Cohen, A. A.,...Franceschi, C. (2018). Immunosenescence and Inflamm-Aging As Two Sides of the Same Coin: Friends or Foes? [Review]. *Front Immunol*, 8(1960). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01960>
27. Furrer, R., & Handschin, C. (2025a). Biomarkers of aging: from molecules and surrogates to physiology and function. *Physiol Rev*, 105(3), 1609-1694. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00045.2024>
28. Furrer, R., & Handschin, C. (2025b). Biomarkers of aging: functional aspects still trump molecular parameters. *npj Aging*, 11(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41514-025-00207-2>
29. Gulhar, R., Ashraf, M. A., & Jialal, I. (2024). Physiology, Acute Phase Reactants. In *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing.
30. Harrell, C. S., Gillespie, C. F., & Neigh, G. N. (2016). Energetic stress: The reciprocal relationship between energy availability and the stress response. *Physiol Behav*, 166, 43-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.10.009>
31. Hetz, C., & Papa, F. R. (2018). The Unfolded Protein Response and Cell Fate Control. *Molecular Cell*, 69(2), 169-181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.06.017>
32. Hetz, C., & Saxena, S. (2017). ER stress and the unfolded protein response in neurodegeneration. *Nat Rev Neurol*, 13(8), 477-491. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2017.99>
33. Ikeue, K., Kato, H., Tanaka, M., Yamakage, H., Kato, S., Iwasa, M.,...Satoh-Asahara, N. (2025). Phase Angle Is a Potential Novel Early Marker for Sarcopenia and Cognitive Impairment in the General Population. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*, 16(3), e13820. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.13820>
34. Islam, M. N., Das, S. R., Emin, M. T., Wei, M., Sun, L., Westphalen, K.,...Bhattacharya, J. (2012). Mitochondrial transfer from bone-marrow-derived stromal cells to pulmonary alveoli protects against acute lung injury. *Nat Med*, 18(5), 759-765. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2736>
35. Kivimäki, M., Bartolomucci, A., & Kawachi, I. (2023). The multiple roles of life stress in metabolic disorders. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 19(1), 10-27. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-022-00746-8>
36. Langston, P. K., & Mathis, D. (2024). Immunological regulation of skeletal muscle adaptation to exercise. *Cell Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2024.04.001>
37. Li, Y., Wang, K., Jigeer, G., Jensen, G., Tucker, K. L., Lv, Y.,...Gao, X. (2024). Healthy Lifestyle and the Likelihood of Becoming a Centenarian. *JAMA Network Open*, 7(6), e2417931-e2417931. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.17931>
38. Lockhart, S. M., Saudek, V., & O'Rahilly, S. (2020). GDF15: A Hormone Conveying Somatic Distress to the Brain. *Endocr Rev*, 41(4). <https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnaa007>
39. Lopez-Otin, C., Blasco, M. A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M., & Kroemer, G. (2013). The hallmarks of aging. *Cell*, 153(6), 1194-1217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039>

40. López-Otín, C., Blasco, M. A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M., & Kroemer, G. (2023). Hallmarks of aging: An expanding universe. *Cell*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.001>
41. López-Otín, C., & Kroemer, G. (2021). Hallmarks of Health. *Cell*, 184(1), 33-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.034>
42. López-Otín, C., & Kroemer, G. (2024). The missing hallmark of health: psychosocial adaptation. *Cell Stress*, 8, 21-50. <https://doi.org/10.15698/cst2024.03.294>
43. Martel, J., Ojcius, D. M., & Young, J. D. (2024). Lifestyle interventions to delay senescence. *Biomedical Journal*, 47(2), 100676. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2023.100676>
44. McEwen, B. S. (2007). Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. *Physiol Rev*, 87(3), 873-904. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00041.2006>
45. McEwen, B. S., & Wingfield, J. C. (2003). The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine. *Hormones and Behavior*, 43(1), 2-15. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0018-506X\(02\)00024-7](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0018-506X(02)00024-7)
46. McEwen, C. A. (2022). Connecting the biology of stress, allostatic load and epigenetics to social structures and processes. *Neurobiol Stress*, 17, 100426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2022.100426>
47. Monzel, A. S., Levin, M., & Picard, M. (2023). The energetics of cellular life transitions. *Life Metabolism*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/lifemeta/load051>
48. Mountjoy, M., Sundgot-Borgen, J. K., Burke, L. M., Ackerman, K. E., Blauwet, C., Constantini, N.,...Budgett, R. (2018). IOC consensus statement on relative energy deficiency in sport (RED-S): 2018 update. *Br J Sports Med*, 52(11), 687-697. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099193>
49. Muscaritoli, M., Imbimbo, G., Jager-Wittenaar, H., Cederholm, T., Rothenberg, E., di Girolamo, F. G.,...Molfino, A. (2023). Disease-related malnutrition with inflammation and cachexia. *Clin Nutr*, 42(8), 1475-1479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2023.05.013>
50. National Academies of Sciences, E., & Medicine. (2024). *Toward a Common Research Agenda in Infection-Associated Chronic Illnesses: Proceedings of a Workshop*. The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/doi:10.17226/27462>
51. Ni, H.-M., Williams, J. A., & Ding, W.-X. (2015). Mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial quality control. *Redox Biology*, 4, 6-13. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2014.11.006>
52. Norman, K., Stobäus, N., Pirlich, M., & Bösly-Westphal, A. (2012). Bioelectrical phase angle and impedance vector analysis – Clinical relevance and applicability of impedance parameters. *Clin Nutr*, 31(6), 854-861. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2012.05.008>
53. Olenchock, B. A., Rathmell, J. C., & Vander Heiden, M. G. (2017). Biochemical Underpinnings of Immune Cell Metabolic Phenotypes. *Immunity*, 46(5), 703-713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.04.013>
54. Pakos-Zebrucka, K., Koryga, I., Mnich, K., Ljujic, M., Samali, A., & Gorman, A. M. (2016). The integrated stress response. *EMBO reports*, 17(10), 1374-1395. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201642195>
55. Paulussen, K. J. M., McKenna, C. F., Beals, J. W., Wilund, K. R., Salvador, A. F., & Burd, N. A. (2021). Anabolic Resistance of Muscle Protein Turnover Comes in Various Shapes and Sizes. *Front Nutr*, 8, 615849. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.615849>
56. Payea, M. J., Dar, S. A., Anerillas, C., Martindale, J. L., Belair, C., Munk, R.,...Maragkakis, M. (2024). Senescence suppresses the integrated stress response and activates a stress-remodeled secretory phenotype. *Molecular Cell*, 84(22), 4454-4469.e4457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2024.10.003>
57. Peters, A. (2004). The selfish brain: competition for energy resources. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 23(1), 29-34. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/ajhb.21106>
58. Peters, A., Sprengell, M., & Kubera, B. (2022). The principle of 'brain energy on demand' and its predictive power for stress, sleep, stroke, obesity and diabetes. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 141, 104847. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104847>
59. Picard, M., McEwen, B., Epel, E., & Sandi, C. (2018). An Energetic View of Stress: Focus on Mitochondria. *Front Neuroendocrinol*, 49, 72-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2018.01.001>
60. Picard, M., & Shirihai, O. S. (2022). Mitochondrial signal transduction. *Cell Metab*, 34(11), 1620-1653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2022.10.008>

61. Prisabela, M., Nadhiroh, S. R., & Isaura, E. R. (2023). Characteristics of Pregnant Woman with Chronic Energy Deficiency in Puskesmas Gesang, Lumajang on 2020: Descriptive Analysis. *Media Gizi Kesmas*, 12(2), 643-648. <https://doi.org/10.20473/mgk.v12i2.2023.643-648>
62. Qi, Y., Rajbanshi, B., Hao, R., Dang, Y., Xu, C., Lu, W.,...Zhang, X. (2025). The dual role of PGAM5 in inflammation. *Exp Mol Med*, 57(2), 298-311. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-025-01391-7>
63. Rappaport, S. M., Barupal, D. K., Wishart, D., Vineis, P., & Scalbert, A. (2014). The blood exposome and its role in discovering causes of disease. *Environ Health Perspect*, 122(8), 769-774. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1308015>
64. Rattan, S. I. S. (2020). Homeostasis, Homeodynamics and Aging. In S. I. S. Rattan (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Biomedical Gerontology* (pp. 238-241). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801238-3.11425-4>
65. Ray, C. S., Singh, B., Jena, I., Behera, S., & Ray, S. (2017). Low Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) In Adult Population an Indicator of Zinc (Zn) and Magnesium (Mg) Deficiency. *Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal*, 5, 347-352.
66. Ristow, M., & Schmeisser, K. (2014). Mitohormesis: Promoting Health and Lifespan by Increased Levels of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS). *Dose-Response*, 12(2), dose-response.13-035.Ristow. <https://doi.org/10.2203/dose-response.13-035.Ristow>
67. Ryall, J. G., Cliff, T., Dalton, S., & Sartorelli, V. (2015). Metabolic Reprogramming of Stem Cell Epigenetics. *Cell Stem Cell*, 17(6), 651-662. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.11.012>
68. Ryan, M., & Ryznar, R. (2022). The Molecular Basis of Resilience: A Narrative Review [Review]. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2022.856998>
69. Sapolsky, R. M. (2004). *Why zebras don't get ulcers: The acclaimed guide to stress, stress-related diseases, and coping* (3rd ed.). Henry Holt.
70. Schmauck-Medina, T., Molière, A., Lautrup, S., Zhang, J., Chlopicki, S., Madsen, H. B.,...Fang, E. F. (2022). New hallmarks of ageing: A 2022 Copenhagen ageing meeting summary. *Aging (Albany NY)*. <https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.204248>
71. Seeman, T. E., McEwen, B. S., Rowe, J. W., & Singer, B. H. (2001). Allostatic load as a marker of cumulative biological risk: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 98(8), 4770-4775. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.081072698>
72. Selye, H. (1950). Stress and the General Adaptation Syndrome. *British Medical Journal*, 1(4667), 1383-1392. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2038162/>
73. Sganga, G., Siegel, J. H., Brown, G., Coleman, B., Wiles, C. E., III, Belzberg, H.,...Placko, R. (1985). Reprioritization of Hepatic Plasma Protein Release in Trauma and Sepsis. *Archives of Surgery*, 120(2), 187-199. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.1985.01390260051008>
74. Shaulson, E. D., Cohen, A. A., & Picard, M. (2024). The brain-body energy conservation model of aging. *Nature Aging*, 4(10), 1354-1371. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-024-00716-x>
75. Shirai, T., & Tsushita, K. (2024). Lifestyle Medicine and Japan's Longevity Miracle. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*, 18(4), 598-607. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15598276241234012>
76. Spees, J. L., Olson, S. D., Whitney, M. J., & Prockop, D. J. (2006). Mitochondrial transfer between cells can rescue aerobic respiration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 103(5), 1283-1288. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510511103>
77. Srour, R. A., & Keyes, D. (2025). Lifestyle Mindfulness In Clinical Practice. In *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2025, StatPearls Publishing LLC.
78. Tippairote, T., Bjørklund, G., & Yaovapak, A. (2021). The continuum of disrupted metabolic tempo, mitochondrial substrate congestion, and metabolic gridlock toward the development of non-communicable diseases. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1907299>
79. Tsigos, C., & Chrousos, G. P. (2002). Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, neuroendocrine factors and stress. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 53(4), 865-871. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(02\)00429-4](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00429-4)
80. Vermeulen, R., Schymanski, E. L., Barabási, A. L., & Miller, G. W. (2020). The exposome and health: Where chemistry meets biology. *Science*, 367(6476), 392-396. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay3164>

81. Walrand, S., Guillet, C., & Boirie, Y. (2021). Nutrition, Protein Turnover and Muscle Mass. In *Sarcopenia* (pp. 45-62). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119597896.ch5>
82. Wang, X., & Zhang, G. (2025). The mitochondrial integrated stress response: A novel approach to anti-aging and pro-longevity. *Ageing Res Rev*, 103, 102603. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2024.102603>
83. Wek, R. C. (2018). Role of eIF2 α Kinases in Translational Control and Adaptation to Cellular Stress. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 10(7). <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a032870>
84. WHO. (2025). *Noncommunicable diseases*. WHO. Retrieved March 25 from https://www.who.int/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases#tab=tab_1
85. Wiley, C. D., & Campisi, J. (2021). The metabolic roots of senescence: mechanisms and opportunities for intervention. *Nature Metabolism*, 3(10), 1290-1301. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-021-00483-8>
86. Willmann, K., & Moita, L. F. (2024). Physiologic disruption and metabolic reprogramming in infection and sepsis. *Cell Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2024.02.013>
87. Wu, F., Mu, W.-C., Markov, N. T., Fuentealba, M., Halaweh, H., Senchyna, F.,...Furman, D. (2025). Immunological biomarkers of aging. *The Journal of Immunology*, 214(5), 889-902. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jimmun/vkae036>
88. Wu, Z., Qu, J., Zhang, W., & Liu, G.-H. (2024). Stress, epigenetics, and aging: Unraveling the intricate crosstalk. *Molecular Cell*, 84(1), 34-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2023.10.006>
89. Yiallouris, A., Tsioutis, C., Agapidaki, E., Zafeiri, M., Agouridis, A. P., Ntourakis, D., & Johnson, E. O. (2019). Adrenal Aging and Its Implications on Stress Responsiveness in Humans [Review]. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 10(54). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00054>
90. Zhang, B., Chang, J. Y., Lee, M. H., Ju, S.-H., Yi, H.-S., & Shong, M. (2024). Mitochondrial Stress and Mitokines: Therapeutic Perspectives for the Treatment of Metabolic Diseases. *Diabetes Metab J*, 48(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.4093/dmj.2023.0115>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.