

Short Note

Not peer-reviewed version

Finite Field Grothendieck Inequality, Finite Field Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening and Finite Field Bourgain- Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Problems

[K. Mahesh Krishna](#) *

Posted Date: 17 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.1154.v1

Keywords: grothendieck inequality; johnson-lindenstrauss lemma; bourgain-tzafriri restricted invertibility;
finite field



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Short Note

Finite Field Grothendieck Inequality, Finite Field Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening and Finite Field Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Problems

K. Mahesh Krishna

School of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Chanakya University Global Campus, NH-648, Haralur Village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bengaluru North District, Karnataka 562 110 India
* Correspondence: kmaheshak@gmail.com

Abstract

We ask for finite field versions of following three: (1) Grothendieck Inequality, (2) Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Lemma, (3) Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Theorem.

Keywords: grothendieck inequality; johnson-lindenstrauss lemma; bourgain-tzafriri restricted invertibility; finite field

MSC: 12E20; 46B20; 90C27

1. Finite Field Grothendieck Inequality Problem

The Grothendieck inequality was derived in the following form by Lindenstrauss and Pelczynski in 1968 [1].

Theorem 1. [1–8] (*Grothendieck Inequality or The Fundamental Theorem in the Metric Theory of Tensor Products*) Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. There is a universal constant $K_G^{\mathbb{K}} > 0$ satisfying the following: For every Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if a scalar matrix $[a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n}$ satisfies

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} s_j t_k \right| \leq \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |s_j| \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |t_k| \right), \quad \forall s_j, t_k \in \mathbb{K},$$

then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} \langle u_j, v_k \rangle \right| \leq K_G^{\mathbb{K}} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|u_j\| \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|v_k\| \right), \quad \forall u_j, v_k \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Further,

$$1.67 \leq K_G^{\mathbb{R}} \leq 1.79, \quad 1.33 \leq K_G^{\mathbb{C}} \leq 1.41.$$

We formulate problem which is finite field analogue of Theorem 1. Let p be a prime. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{Z}_p := \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ be the standard field of integers modulo p . Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we define

$$|n| := \text{unique } a \text{ such that } 0 \leq a \leq p-1 \text{ and } n \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

Since p is a prime, we have the following:

- (i) If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is such that $|n| = 0$, then $n = 0$.
- (ii) $|mn| \leq |m||n|$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.
- (iii) $|m+n| \leq |m| + |n|$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ be the standard vector space over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We define the inner product

$$\langle (a_j)_{j=1}^d, (b_j)_{j=1}^d \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^d a_j b_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \forall (a_j)_{j=1}^d, (b_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$$

and the norm

$$\|(c_j)_{j=1}^d\|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^d |c_j|^2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, d(p-1)^2\}, \quad \forall (c_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d |c_j^2| \leq \sum_{j=1}^d |c_j|^2 = \|(c_j)_{j=1}^d\|^2, \quad \forall (c_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d.$$

Inner product and norm will have following properties:

- (i) If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ is such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, then $x = 0$.
- (ii) $\langle nx, y \rangle = n \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.
- (iii) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.
- (iv) $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.
- (v) $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.
- (vi) If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ is such that $\|x\| = 0$, then $x = 0$.
- (vii) $\|x\|^2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.
- (viii) $\|nx\| \leq |n| \|x\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.
- (ix) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$.

Based on Theorem 1, we formulate following problem.

Problem 2. (Finite Field Grothendieck Inequality Problem) Let p be a prime. Whether there is a universal constant $K_p > 0$ (which may depend upon p) satisfying the following property: for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $[a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n} \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ satisfies

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} s_j t_k \right| \leq \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |s_j| \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |t_k| \right), \quad \forall s_j, t_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} \langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle \right| \leq K_p \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \|\mathbf{u}_j\| \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathbf{v}_k\| \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d.$$

2. Finite Field Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Problem

Johnson and Lindenstrauss showed that points in high dimensional Euclidean space can be mapped to low dimensional Euclidean space with small distortion to distances between every pair of points, using Lipschitz maps [9].

Theorem 3. [9,10] (Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Lemma) Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists a Lipschitz map $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and a real number $r > 0$ such that

$$r(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\| \leq \|f(\mathbf{x}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_k)\| \leq r(1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|, \quad \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq M,$$

where

$$m = O\left(\frac{\log M}{\varepsilon^2}\right).$$

Over the period, some improvements of Theorem 3 have been obtained. We recall them.

Theorem 4. [9,11] (*Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Lemma: Frankl-Maehara form*) Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$m(\varepsilon, M) := \left\lceil 9 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 - \frac{2\varepsilon^3}{3}} \log M \right\rceil + 1.$$

If $M > m(\varepsilon, M)$, then for every $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M \in \mathbb{R}^M$, there exists a map $f : \{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2 \leq \|f(\mathbf{x}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2, \quad \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq M.$$

Theorem 5. [9,12] (*Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Lemma: Dasgupta-Gupta form*) Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Choose any natural number m such that

$$m > 4 \frac{1}{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3}} \log M.$$

Then there exists a map $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2 \leq \|f(\mathbf{x}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2, \quad \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq M.$$

The map f can be determined in randomized polynomial time.

Theorem 6. [9,13] (*Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Lemma: matrix form*) There is a universal constant $C > 0$ satisfying the following. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For each natural number

$$m > \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \log M,$$

there exists a matrix $M \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times N}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2 \leq \|M(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k)\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2, \quad \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq M.$$

Based on Theorem 6, we formulate following problem.

Problem 7. (Finite Field Johnson-Lindenstrauss Flattening Problem) Let p be a prime. What is the best function $\phi : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following: There is a universal constant $C_p > 0$ (which may depend upon p) satisfying the following. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N$. For each natural number

$$m > C_p \phi(\varepsilon, M),$$

there exists a matrix $M \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\| \leq \|M(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|, \quad \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq M.$$

3. Finite Field Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Problem

Let $\mathbb{R}^d$ be the standard d -dimensional Euclidean space with canonical orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}_{j=1}^d$. In 1987, Bourgain and Tzafriri showed that every matrix can be inverted restrictively with control over the norm [14].

Theorem 8. [14,15] (*Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Theorem*) There are universal constants $A > 0, c > 0$ satisfying the following property. If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a linear operator with $\|T\mathbf{e}_j\| = 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq d$, then there exists a subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ of cardinality

$$\text{Card}(\sigma) \geq \frac{cd}{\|T\|^2}$$

such that

$$\left\| T \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \mathbf{e}_j \right) \right\|^2 \geq A \sum_{j \in \sigma} |a_j|^2, \quad \forall a_j \in \mathbb{R}, \forall j \in \sigma.$$

It is clear that we can state Theorem 8 also in following way.

Theorem 9. [14,15] (*Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Theorem*) There are universal constants $A > 0, c > 0$ satisfying the following property. If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a linear operator with

$$\|T\mathbf{e}_1\|^2 = \dots = \|T\mathbf{e}_d\|^2 =: r \quad (\text{say}),$$

then there exists a subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ of cardinality

$$\text{Card}(\sigma) \geq \frac{rcd}{\|T\|^2}$$

such that

$$\left\| T \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \mathbf{e}_j \right) \right\|^2 \geq rA \sum_{j \in \sigma} |a_j|^2, \quad \forall a_j \in \mathbb{R}, \forall j \in \sigma.$$

Spielman and Srivastava gave a simple proof of Theorem 8 by proving a generalization of Theorem 8 [16] due to Vershynin [17]. Based on Theorem 8, we formulate finite field problem. Given a linear operator $T : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, we define

$$\|T\| := \max \left\{ \frac{\|T\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d, \mathbf{x} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Problem 10. (*Finite Field Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Problem*) Let p be a prime. Whether there are universal constants $A_p > 0, c_p > 0$ (which may depend upon p) satisfying the following property: If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ is a linear operator with $\|T\mathbf{e}_j\| = 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq d$, then there exists a subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ of cardinality

$$\text{Card}(\sigma) \geq \frac{c_p d}{\|T\|^2}$$

such that

$$\left\| T \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \mathbf{e}_j \right) \right\|^2 \geq A_p \sum_{j \in \sigma} |a_j|^2, \quad \forall a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \forall j \in \sigma.$$

Problem 11. (Finite Field Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Problem) Let p be a prime. Whether there are universal constants $A_p > 0$, $c_p > 0$ (which may depend upon p) satisfying the following property: If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ is a linear operator with

$$\|T\mathbf{e}_1\|^2 = \dots = \|T\mathbf{e}_d\|^2 =: r \quad (\text{say}),$$

then there exists a subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ of cardinality

$$\text{Card}(\sigma) \geq \frac{rc_p d}{\|T\|^2}$$

such that

$$\left\| T \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} a_j \mathbf{e}_j \right) \right\|^2 \geq r A_p \sum_{j \in \sigma} |a_j|^2, \quad \forall a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \forall j \in \sigma.$$

References

1. Lindenstrauss, J.; Pelczynski, A. Absolutely summing operators in L_p -spaces and their applications. *Studia Math.* **1968**, *29*, 275–326. <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm-29-3-275-326>.
2. Blei, R.C. An elementary proof of the Grothendieck inequality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1987**, *100*, 58–60. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2046119>.
3. Rietz, R.E. A proof of the Grothendieck inequality. *Israel J. Math.* **1974**, *19*, 271–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02757725>.
4. Grothendieck, A. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1955**, *16*.
5. Friedland, S.; Lim, L.H.; Zhang, J. An elementary and unified proof of Grothendieck's inequality. *Enseign. Math.* **2018**, *64*, 327–351. <https://doi.org/10.4171/LEM/64-3/4-6>.
6. Albiac, F.; Kalton, N.J. *Topics in Banach space theory*; Vol. 233, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, 2016; pp. xx+508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31557-7>.
7. Diestel, J.; Fourie, J.H.; Swart, J. *The metric theory of tensor products: Grothendieck's resume revisited*; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008; pp. x+278. <https://doi.org/10.1090/mbk/052>.
8. Pisier, G. Grothendieck's theorem, past and present. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **2012**, *49*, 237–323. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-2011-01348-9>.
9. Johnson, W.B.; Lindenstrauss, J. Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space. *Contemp. Math.* **1984**, *26*, 189–206. <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/026/737400>.
10. Matousek, J. *Lectures on discrete geometry*; Vol. 212, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002; pp. xvi+481. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0039-7>.
11. Frankl, P.; Maehara, H. The Johnson-Lindenstrauss lemma and the sphericity of some graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **1988**, *44*, 355–362. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956\(88\)90043-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956(88)90043-3).
12. Dasgupta, S.; Gupta, A. An elementary proof of a theorem of Johnson and Lindenstrauss. *Random Structures Algorithms* **2003**, *22*, 60–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.10073>.
13. Foucart, S.; Rauhut, H. *A mathematical introduction to compressive sensing*; Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013; pp. xviii+625. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4948-7>.
14. Bourgain, J.; Tzafriri, L. Invertibility of “large” submatrices with applications to the geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis. *Israel J. Math.* **1987**, *57*, 137–224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02772174>.
15. Vershynin, R. Random sets of isomorphism of linear operators on Hilbert space. In *High dimensional probability. Proceedings of the fourth international conference*; Beachwood, OH: IMS, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2006; pp. 148–154. <https://doi.org/10.1214/074921706000000815>.

16. Spielman, D.A.; Srivastava, N. An elementary proof of the restricted invertibility theorem. *Israel J. Math.* **2012**, *190*, 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-011-0194-2>.
17. Vershynin, R. John's decompositions: selecting a large part. *Israel J. Math.* **2001**, *122*, 253–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02809903>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.