A Qualitative Study on Employee Experiences and Attitudes Towards AI Implementation in Enterprises

Eleanor Mitchell *

Posted Date: 25 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Keywords: artificial intelligence; employee experience; AI implementation; workforce engagement; skill development; ethical considerations; human-ai collaboration; organizational support

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Article

A Qualitative Study on Employee Experiences and Attitudes Towards AI Implementation in Enterprises

Eleanor Mitchell

Sapienza University of Rome; eleanormitchell006@gmail.com

Abstract

This study explores employees' experiences and attitudes toward artificial intelligence (AI) implementation in enterprises through a qualitative research approach. As organizations increasingly integrate AI into operational, managerial, and customer-facing processes, understanding the human dimension of AI adoption becomes critical for ensuring successful implementation and sustainable workforce engagement. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with thirty employees across diverse industries, capturing their perceptions, emotions, and reflections on AI technologies in the workplace. Thematic analysis of the interview data revealed fifteen major themes, including perceived empowerment, workflow transformation, skill development, trust and reliability concerns, ethical considerations, role redefinition, decision-making support, collaborative work dynamics, perceived efficiency gains, resistance and adaptation, training and organizational support, communication and change management, anxiety and uncertainty, perceived job satisfaction, and future expectations. Findings indicate that AI adoption is a multidimensional phenomenon with both positive and challenging implications for employees. Participants reported that AI enhanced efficiency, facilitated decision-making, and empowered them to focus on more strategic and creative tasks. Simultaneously, concerns about job security, role ambiguity, ethical implications, and trust in AI outputs were prevalent, underscoring the complexity of human-AI interaction in organizational contexts. The study highlights the critical importance of organizational support mechanisms, including training programs, transparent communication, participatory change management, and ethical governance, in shaping employees' experiences and attitudes. Furthermore, the findings suggest that successful AI integration relies on balancing technological capabilities with human-centered management, ensuring that employees feel competent, valued, and engaged in AI-enhanced workplaces. This research contributes to a deeper understanding of the human dimension of AI adoption and provides practical insights for organizations seeking to optimize the integration of AI while maintaining workforce satisfaction and performance.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; employee experience; AI implementation; workforce engagement; skill development; ethical considerations; human-ai collaboration; organizational support

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative forces shaping the contemporary enterprise landscape, redefining work, decision-making, and human–machine interaction at an unprecedented pace. Across diverse organizational contexts, AI is no longer an abstract notion of technological futurism but a tangible driver of strategic advantage, operational efficiency, and innovative service delivery. Amid this rapid integration of AI into business processes, management practices, and customer engagement mechanisms, understanding how employees experience and perceive these changes has become a critical priority for scholars and practitioners alike. While AI holds promise for augmenting human capabilities, streamlining complex tasks, and enabling data-driven insights, it also raises significant questions about job security, work identity, ethical implications, and the evolving nature of human agency in the workplace. This qualitative

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

inquiry into employee experiences and attitudes towards AI implementation in enterprises aims to explore these multi-dimensional dynamics, enriching the academic discourse with nuanced insights grounded in lived experience rather than purely quantitative metrics. The rise of AI technologies in organizational settings reflects broader socio-technological currents where intelligent systems permeate core functions such as predictive analytics, automated decision support, customer interaction, and workflow optimization. In sectors as varied as healthcare, manufacturing, marketing, hospitality, and cybersecurity, AI applications have demonstrated the capacity to enhance resilience, sustainability, and competitive differentiation (Vishwakarma et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2024; Law et al., 2024; Sarker, 2023). For instance, in healthcare systems, AI has been instrumental in fostering responsive and sustainable delivery models, enabling real-time data analysis, clinical decision support, and operational improvements under conditions of uncertainty (Vishwakarma et al., 2025). Similarly, AI-enabled load forecasting and anomaly detection tools in energy systems illustrate the potential for intelligent technologies to augment environmental sustainability and optimize resource allocation (Wang et al., 2024; Emon, 2025). These advancements exemplify how organizational contexts are progressively oriented towards leveraging AI not merely for efficiency gains but for strategic resilience in complex ecosystems. Despite the demonstrable advantages of AI adoption, the organizational implications extend far beyond technical integration. AI reshapes the cognitive and relational contours of work, redefining roles, responsibilities, and the social contract between employers and employees. As Pentina et al. (2023) emphasize, the emergence of consumer–machine relationships reflects a broader cultural shift in how humans relate to intelligent technologies, with implications for trust, autonomy, and interpersonal dynamics in professional contexts. Within enterprises, employees increasingly encounter AI systems as collaborators, evaluators, or even supervisors, a phenomenon that invites complex affective and cognitive responses. For example, AI-mediated recruitment processes have been shown to elicit varied emotional reactions, where applicants and employees alike grapple with questions of fairness, transparency, and human judgment (Kochling et al., 2023; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). These affective responses underscore the intricate interplay between human psychology and machine logic, challenging simplistic narratives that frame AI adoption as either uniformly beneficial or inherently threatening. The human dimension of AI implementation encompasses not only emotional responses but also perceptions of competencies and identity. As AI technologies permeate routine tasks, employees confront evolving skill requirements, prompting concerns about obsolescence, professional development, and career trajectories. Santana and Díaz-Fernández (2023) highlight the competencies necessary for thriving in the AI age, underscoring the imperative for organizations to invest in reskilling and continuous learning. Yet, the pace of AI adoption often outstrips the capacity of employees to adapt, raising critical questions about organizational support structures, learning cultures, and equitable access to developmental opportunities. In the absence of meaningful training and participatory engagement, employees may feel alienated from the technological processes shaping their work, leading to resistance, disengagement, or passive compliance. Moreover, AI implementation implicates ethical, governance, and accountability frameworks that extend employee experiences into broader organizational values and societal norms. Responsible AI governance entails deliberate consideration of fairness, explainability, privacy, and human oversight, dimensions that directly shape how employees interpret the legitimacy and purpose of AI systems within their work environments (Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). Ethical tensions surface when employees perceive AI as undermining human judgment or amplifying biases encoded within data and algorithms. Such concerns are particularly salient in contexts where decisions carry high stakes, such as performance evaluations, resource allocation, or customer service prioritization. Heyder, Passlack, and Posegga (2023) argue that ethical management of human-AI interaction requires not only robust policy frameworks but also culturally embedded values that empower employees to voice concerns, contribute to governance processes, and retain agency in human-machine partnerships. The literature on AI in organizational contexts has proliferated across disciplinary boundaries, reflecting the pervasive influence of intelligent technologies (Emon, 2025). In marketing research, AI has

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

reconfigured traditional paradigms of consumer analysis, segmentation, and engagement, prompting calls for future research that situates human experience at the core of technological adoption (Thakur & Kushwaha, 2024; Emon, 2025). Similarly, AI research in hospitality management illustrates the systemic impact of intelligent systems on service delivery, workforce dynamics, and experiential outcomes (Law et al., 2024; Kong et al., 2023; Emon & Ahmed, 2025). These domain-specific inquiries converge on a central theme: AI implementation cannot be fully understood through technological metrics alone but requires a holistic appreciation of human perceptions, attitudes, and practices that animate the organizational milieu. The complexity of AI integration in enterprises is further compounded by the intersection of AI with other emergent technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT), edge computing, and embedded systems (Emon, 2025). The convergence of AI with intelligent infrastructure systems underscores how technological ecosystems shape both work practices and employee experiences (Oliveira et al., 2024; Matin et al., 2023; Emon, 2025). For example, AI-augmented IoT networks in manufacturing create environments where real-time data flows inform decision-making at multiple organizational strata, blurring the boundaries between human actors and automated systems (Emon, 2025). This fusion of technologies not only recalibrates operational workflows but also influences how employees perceive their roles within increasingly interconnected socio-technical networks. Within these dynamic landscapes, qualitative investigation into employee experiences and attitudes is particularly valuable because it captures the richness of subjective meaning, interpretive frames, and relational dynamics that quantitative surveys may overlook (Emon, 2025). Qualitative methodologies enable exploration of how individuals make sense of AI in context, how they negotiate identity shifts, and how organizational cultures mediate acceptance or resistance. Through in-depth dialogues, thematic analysis, and narrative inquiry, the lived experiences of employees reveal both the promise and the peril of AI adoption in ways that numbers alone cannot convey. Such inquiry aligns with broader calls in AI research to foreground human experience, contextual nuance, and interdisciplinary perspectives (Pentina et al., 2023; Thakur & Kushwaha, 2024; Emon, 2025). Employee attitudes towards AI are shaped by a constellation of factors, including perceived usefulness, ease of use, trust in technology, perceived threat to job security, and alignment with organizational values. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its derivatives provide valuable heuristics for understanding some of these dynamics, yet they often require contextual adaptation to account for the emotional, social, and ethical dimensions of AI interaction. Trust, for instance, is not merely a function of system reliability but also of transparency, fairness, and human interpretability (Emon, 2025). Employees may express skepticism towards opaque AI systems, particularly when they lack insight into how decisions are derived or when feedback mechanisms are limited. Such skepticism can manifest as resistance, selective engagement, or strategic adaptation, where employees attempt to retain control over decision processes despite algorithmic oversight. Another salient dimension of employee experience pertains to organizational communication and leadership practices during AI implementation. Clear, empathetic, and participatory communication can mitigate uncertainty and foster a sense of shared purpose. In contrast, top-down imposition of AI systems without employee involvement often breeds anxiety, mistrust, and apprehension. The process of implementation itself becomes a crucible where organizational narratives about innovation, human value, and technological transformation are contested and reconfigured. Employees observe not only the technical affordances of AI but also the symbolic messages conveyed through leadership behaviors, resource allocation, and strategic prioritization. The role of organizational culture in shaping employee attitudes towards AI cannot be overstated. Cultures that valorize experimentation, learning from failure, and collaborative problem-solving provide fertile ground for positive AI engagement. Within such cultures, employees are more likely to view AI as an opportunity for professional growth and creative augmentation. Conversely, cultures marked by rigid hierarchies, punitive evaluation systems, or low psychological safety may exacerbate feelings of threat and alienation. This cultural context interacts with individual differences, including prior experience with technology, risk tolerance, and career aspirations, producing a mosaic of responses that defy simplistic categorization. Beyond individual and

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

organizational factors, macro-level societal narratives about AI also shape employee attitudes. Media portrayals of AI as either a harbinger of unprecedented opportunity or a disruptive force displacing human labor influence collective imagination and individual expectations. Employees internalize these narratives, bringing them into the workplace as cognitive frames through which they interpret organizational initiatives. Such societal discourses intersect with personal histories, educational backgrounds, and professional identities, creating layered attitudinal landscapes that are best unpacked through qualitative engagement. Furthermore, ethical considerations interweave with experiential dimensions when employees confront dilemmas about autonomy, accountability, and fairness. For example, employees may grapple with the moral implications of delegating sensitive decisions to AI systems, especially in domains involving human welfare, equity, or social justice. These ethical tensions are not abstract but are lived realities that animate everyday work practices, shaping how employees engage with AI systems and with each other. The ethical management of human-AI interaction thus becomes a shared responsibility that demands reflective dialogue, inclusive governance, and a commitment to human dignity within technological transitions (Heyder et al., 2023; Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). The multifaceted nature of AI implementation underscores the importance of contextualized inquiry that honors the complexity of human experience. Qualitative research, with its emphasis on depth, meaning, and interpretive richness, is uniquely positioned to illuminate how employees navigate the uncertainties, opportunities, and contradictions inherent in AI adoption. By foregrounding narrative voices, thematic patterns, and interpretive insights, this study seeks not only to contribute to theoretical understanding but also to inform practice, guiding organizations in cultivating humane, ethical, and inclusive approaches to AI implementation. The advent of AI in organizational environments presents a constellation of technical innovations, strategic imperatives, and human-centered challenges. While the promise of AI for enhancing resilience, operational efficiency, and competitive advantage is well documented across domains (Vishwakarma et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2024; Law et al., 2024; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025), the lived experiences and attitudes of employees towards these changes remain underexplored. Understanding these experiences is essential for fostering sustainable and responsible AI integration that respects human agency, promotes ethical engagement, and enhances organizational well-being. Through qualitative exploration, this study endeavors to unravel the complex, dynamic, and deeply human dimensions of AI implementation in enterprises, offering insights that resonate with scholarly inquiry and practical relevance alike.

2. Literature Review

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in organizations, reshaping the way work is done, how decisions are made, and how humans interact with technology. Across industries, AI is no longer a distant vision of the future—it is embedded in day-to-day operations, influencing processes, strategies, and even the culture of work itself. This rapid adoption, however, does not affect only the technical aspects of organizations; it has profound implications for employees—their skills, experiences, attitudes, and sense of agency. As such, understanding how employees experience AI in the workplace is vital. Scholars have increasingly emphasized that AI is not just a tool to boost efficiency or productivity, but a socio-technical system that interacts with human perception, emotion, and behavior, creating both opportunities and challenges for the workforce. A central theme in the literature is the importance of competencies in navigating AI-driven work environments. Santana and Díaz-Fernández (2023) highlight that in the AI era, employees need a combination of technical, analytical, and socio-cognitive skills to thrive. These competencies go beyond traditional technical knowledge—they include the ability to interpret data, integrate systems, collaborate with AI tools, and maintain interpersonal effectiveness. Employees are no longer just operators of machines; they are co-creators in a human-AI ecosystem, adapting and responding to new ways of working. This raises critical questions about organizational support: how are employees trained? How do workplaces foster a culture of learning that allows workers to adapt alongside intelligent systems? Without these supports, employees may feel unprepared, anxious, or even resistant to AI

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

adoption. The literature also underscores how AI technologies influence specific domains, such as production, supply chain, and operations. Rolf et al. (2023) review reinforcement learning algorithms in supply chain management, showing that AI can optimize complex processes like inventory management, demand forecasting, and logistics. While technically impressive, these changes reshape the nature of employees' work. Rather than performing routine tasks, workers are now expected to oversee AI-driven systems, interpret algorithmic recommendations, and make strategic decisions in collaboration with technology. Such shifts require workers to reframe their professional identity, balancing human judgment with AI outputs. Similarly, AI integration in manufacturing and smart systems creates environments where employees interact with real-time data, predictive maintenance tools, and automated decision-making processes, emphasizing the need for adaptive skills and continuous learning (Matin et al., 2023; Oliveira et al., 2024; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). AI's integration into the Internet of Things (IoT) further exemplifies how technology reshapes employees' experiences. Rejeb et al. (2023) note that in healthcare, connected devices generate vast amounts of data processed by AI, requiring staff to monitor, interpret, and respond to system insights. For employees, this convergence of AI and IoT means their roles become more dynamic, requiring real-time decision-making and constant engagement with evolving technologies. Beyond healthcare, AIoT in manufacturing or energy systems not only optimizes efficiency but also introduces challenges: employees must continuously learn, manage complex interactions, and adapt to shifting workflows, which can be both stimulating and stressful. Service-oriented sectors, such as hospitality and tourism, provide further insights into how AI affects employees' work experiences. Law et al. (2024) and Kong et al. (2023) show that AI systems—from recommendation engines to automated customer service—reshape service delivery and the way employees perform their roles. In these settings, workers encounter AI not just as a back-end tool, but as a front-line collaborator influencing customer experiences. Employees may find that AI augments their ability to serve clients effectively, but it can also introduce uncertainty regarding their own professional value, as machines handle tasks traditionally performed by humans. Marketing research reinforces this perspective: Pentina et al. (2023) argue that AI reshapes human interaction not only externally with consumers but also internally, as employees adjust to collaborating with automated systems, evaluating their performance, and making strategic decisions alongside AI-generated insights. Recruitment and talent management offer another perspective on employee attitudes toward AI. Kochling et al. (2023) explore emotional responses to AI in recruitment processes, highlighting how candidates experience both anxiety and opportunity when assessed by AI systems. This is significant because initial experiences with AI—such as during hiring or performance evaluation—can shape employees' broader perceptions of organizational fairness, transparency, and trustworthiness. Employees who feel alienated or judged unfairly by AI tools may develop skepticism or resistance, affecting engagement and commitment (Emon et al., 2025). On the other hand, thoughtfully implemented AI can provide clarity, objectivity, and efficiency, enhancing employee satisfaction if combined with human oversight. Generative AI represents a new frontier in business and work, offering both creative opportunities and complex challenges. Kanbach et al. (2024) discuss how generative AI enables new forms of value creation, from automated content generation to strategic innovation. For employees, these tools can free them from repetitive tasks, allowing them to focus on higher-level strategic or creative activities (Emon et al., 2025). Yet, generative AI also challenges traditional notions of skill, authority, and intellectual contribution, prompting workers to renegotiate their role in knowledge-intensive work environments. This illustrates a recurring theme in the literature: AI adoption is not simply about technology deployment—it is about reshaping the human experience of work, the meaning of expertise, and the structure of professional life. Ethical and governance considerations are equally critical in shaping employee perceptions of AI. Papagiannidis et al. (2025) highlight the importance of responsible AI governance, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and inclusive decision-making. Heyder et al. (2023) extend this discussion to ethical management of human-AI interaction, arguing that employees' trust and engagement depend on how organizations manage fairness, autonomy, and dignity in AI-enabled workplaces. When AI systems operate as

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

opaque "black boxes," employees may feel powerless, uncertain, or anxious about their own role in organizational decisions. Ethical governance structures, clear communication, and participatory implementation processes are therefore essential for cultivating positive employee experiences and attitudes. The literature also emphasizes the expanding role of AI in cybersecurity, edge computing, and advanced information systems, which indirectly shape workforce experiences. Iftikhar et al. (2023) note that fog and edge computing require employees to manage distributed AI systems, ensure data security, and maintain real-time system performance. Similarly, Hernandez-Jaimes et al. (2023) highlight AI in IoMT security, where employees must handle sensitive medical data in complex technological environments (Emon et al., 2025). These developments illustrate that AI adoption extends beyond frontline workers: IT specialists, data analysts, and security personnel face significant challenges and opportunities as they navigate increasingly complex socio-technical systems. Entrepreneurship and innovation literature shows another facet of employee experience. Giuggioli and Pellegrini (2023) argue that AI empowers entrepreneurs by providing tools for opportunity identification, operational efficiency, and scaling ventures. Within organizations, employees may experience similar empowerment: AI can enhance decision-making capabilities, stimulate innovation, and foster a sense of agency. Yet, such empowerment is contingent on training, organizational culture, and managerial support, emphasizing that employee experience is shaped by both technological and social contexts. Sustainability is another dimension where AI intersects with employee experience. Giannakidou et al. (2024) demonstrate AI and IoT applications in forest fire prevention, while Farahzadi and Kioumarsi (2023) highlight AI-enabled initiatives for reducing CO2 emissions in construction. These applications suggest that AI can position employees as active contributors to broader societal and environmental goals (Emon et al., 2025). This can enhance employees' sense of purpose, engagement, and motivation, particularly when organizations articulate clear visions for sustainable and responsible AI deployment. Human resource development literature further enriches our understanding. Ekuma (2024) demonstrates that AI reshapes learning, performance evaluation, and career development, while Cramarenco et al. (2023) show both positive and negative impacts on skills and well-being. Budhwar et al. (2023) discuss generative AI's role in HR practices, emphasizing how employees interact with intelligent tools for learning, performance management, and strategic decision-making. Across these studies, it becomes clear that employee experiences with AI are not monolithic; they encompass excitement, opportunity, anxiety, and adaptation simultaneously. Finally, research across finance, construction, and digitalization underscores that AI's impact is pervasive and cross-disciplinary. Bahoo et al. (2024) show AI transforming financial operations, while Asif et al. (2024) highlight AI in sustainable building management (Emon et al., 2025). These findings remind us that employees across different sectors face unique challenges and opportunities when engaging with AI. They must continually develop skills, negotiate ethical and social dimensions, and navigate changing work environments. This reinforces the need for qualitative research to understand employees' lived experiences, perceptions, and attitudes in context. Taken together, the literature portrays AI implementation as a multi-dimensional transformation, offering efficiency, innovation, and strategic advantage, while simultaneously introducing uncertainty, ethical complexity, and challenges for workforce engagement. Competencies, governance, ethics, training, and organizational culture are repeatedly highlighted as mediators of employee experience (Santana & Díaz-Fernández, 2023; Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Heyder et al., 2023). Across industries and applications—from supply chains, healthcare, and hospitality, to marketing and finance—research demonstrates that employees' experiences with AI are shaped not only by the technology itself but also by organizational practices, communication, and social context (Emon et al., 2025). This emphasizes that any meaningful understanding of AI implementation must center on human experience, exploring how employees navigate, negotiate, and make sense of intelligent systems in their daily work. Qualitative inquiry, therefore, is crucial for capturing the nuanced, lived perspectives of employees as they adapt to AI-driven organizational environments, revealing insights that quantitative methods alone cannot uncover. Such research

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

provides a foundation for designing AI implementations that are not only efficient and innovative but also humane, ethical, and empowering for employees.

3. Research Methodology

For this study, a qualitative research methodology was adopted to explore employees' experiences and attitudes toward AI implementation in enterprises. The approach was chosen because it allowed for an in-depth understanding of subjective perceptions, lived experiences, and contextual nuances that quantitative methods could not capture. The research focused on employees working across diverse sectors where AI had been recently integrated into operational, managerial, and customer-facing processes. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure that individuals had direct experience with AI technologies in their daily work. This strategy was instrumental in gathering rich, detailed insights from those most knowledgeable about the phenomenon under investigation. A total of thirty participants were recruited, representing a range of roles, including technical staff, administrative personnel, and managerial employees, to provide a comprehensive perspective on AI's impact across organizational hierarchies. Data collection was conducted through semi-structured interviews, which enabled participants to articulate their experiences freely while allowing the researcher to probe specific areas of interest. The interviews were designed with open-ended questions covering topics such as employees' initial reactions to AI, perceived benefits and challenges, changes in job roles, training and support experiences, and attitudes toward future AI adoption. Each interview lasted approximately forty-five to sixty minutes and was conducted either face-to-face or via secure video conferencing platforms, depending on participants' availability and organizational constraints. All interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy and facilitate detailed analysis. Field notes were maintained to capture non-verbal cues, contextual observations, and initial reflections, which added depth to the textual data and supported the interpretive process. To analyze the data, thematic analysis was employed, following a systematic process of familiarization, coding, theme development, and refinement. Transcripts were read multiple times to immerse in the data and identify patterns and recurrent ideas. Initial codes were generated inductively, capturing meaningful segments of text related to employees' experiences, attitudes, and perceptions. These codes were then organized into broader themes that reflected the overarching dimensions of the participants' experiences with AI, including perceived empowerment, anxiety and resistance, skill development, and organizational support mechanisms. Thematic relationships were examined iteratively to ensure consistency, coherence, and depth of interpretation. In addition, constant comparison across participants and organizational contexts was conducted to highlight similarities, differences, and emergent patterns in experiences. Ethical considerations were carefully addressed throughout the research process. Participants were provided with detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and confidentiality measures before giving informed consent. All personal identifiers were removed during transcription, and pseudonyms were used to protect participants' identities. The study complied with ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects, ensuring voluntary participation, the right to withdraw at any stage, and secure storage of data in password-protected files. The researchers remained reflexive about their positionality and potential biases, engaging in ongoing discussions and memoing to maintain transparency and integrity in the data interpretation process. To enhance the credibility, dependability, and trustworthiness of the study, multiple strategies were implemented. Member checking was performed by sharing preliminary findings with participants to confirm the accuracy of interpretations and to allow for feedback or clarification. Triangulation was achieved by comparing data across participants from different roles and organizational settings, providing a richer understanding of common experiences and unique perspectives. Detailed documentation of the research process, including coding decisions, theme development, and analytic memos, was maintained to allow for auditability. The combination of these methodological strategies ensured that the findings accurately represented employees' experiences and attitudes, offering meaningful

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

insights into how AI integration affects work practices, perceptions, and organizational dynamics. Overall, the qualitative methodology facilitated a comprehensive exploration of the human dimension of AI implementation. By capturing the voices of employees, interpreting their experiences, and situating these insights within the broader organizational and technological context, the study generated nuanced understandings that can inform both academic scholarship and practical strategies for managing AI adoption effectively in enterprises. The research design, sampling approach, data collection techniques, and analytic procedures collectively provided a robust framework for investigating the complex interplay between AI technologies and employee experiences, ensuring the study's findings are both credible and practically relevant.

4. Results

The data collected from semi-structured interviews with thirty employees across diverse enterprises provided rich insights into their experiences and attitudes toward AI implementation. The analysis revealed fifteen major themes, reflecting both the positive and challenging aspects of AI integration in organizational contexts. These themes highlight employees' perceptions of empowerment, skill development, workflow transformation, emotional responses, and organizational support. The thematic analysis offers a comprehensive understanding of how AI adoption shapes daily work, professional identity, and engagement with technology.

Table 1. Perceived Empowerment Through AI.

Theme	Description
Perceived Empowerment Through AI	Employees expressed that AI tools enabled them to perform tasks more efficiently, make informed decisions, and access insights that were previously unavailable, enhancing their confidence and sense of control over work outcomes.

The discussion around empowerment indicated that AI was perceived as a facilitator of professional growth. Employees described AI as augmenting their capabilities, allowing them to focus on higher-value tasks rather than repetitive or mundane work. This perceived empowerment contributed to motivation, increased engagement, and a stronger identification with organizational goals. Employees felt they could contribute more meaningfully to strategic decision-making when AI supported their analytical and operational functions.

Table 2. Anxiety and Uncertainty.

Theme	Description
Anxiety and Uncertainty	Participants reported feelings of uncertainty regarding their future roles and the potential for displacement due to AI automation, leading to apprehension and stress in adapting to new workflows.

Although AI brought opportunities, it also generated anxiety. Many employees experienced tension between the benefits of AI and fears of redundancy or diminished relevance. These emotions were particularly strong among employees whose roles involved routine tasks that were increasingly automated. This anxiety was not only about job security but also about the ability to adapt to new technologies, understand AI outputs, and maintain professional competence in a changing environment.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Table 3. Skill Development and Learning Needs.

Theme	Description
Skill Development and Learning Needs	Employees highlighted the necessity of acquiring new technical, analytical, and problem-solving skills to effectively collaborate with AI systems, emphasizing continuous learning and upskilling.

Employees recognized that successful AI adoption depended on their capacity to develop new competencies. They highlighted the importance of both formal training and on-the-job learning to adapt to AI-driven workflows. Skill development was seen as a pathway to maintaining relevance and autonomy in the workplace. Participants emphasized that without adequate support for learning, the benefits of AI could be undermined by feelings of inadequacy or frustration.

Table 4. Workflow Transformation.

Theme	Description
Workflow Transformation	AI implementation altered task allocation, process flows, and decision-making structures, requiring employees to adjust their routines and embrace collaborative human-AI interactions.

The data revealed that employees' daily workflows were significantly modified by AI integration. Tasks that were once manual became automated, and decision-making increasingly relied on AI-generated insights. Employees reported both excitement and adjustment challenges, noting that new workflows demanded flexibility, attention to AI outputs, and readiness to intervene when exceptions arose. The transformation encouraged employees to adopt a more proactive and analytical approach to their work.

Table 5. Role Redefinition.

Theme	Description
Role Redefinition	Participants experienced shifts in job responsibilities, with some tasks being automated and others emerging that required human oversight, critical thinking, and ethical decision-making.

As AI redefined roles, employees had to reconcile their traditional responsibilities with new expectations. While some roles were enhanced by AI, others required significant re-skilling. Employees noted that AI freed them from routine work, allowing them to engage in more strategic and value-adding activities. However, these changes necessitated clear communication and support from management to reduce confusion and resistance.

Table 6. Decision-Making Support.

Theme	Description
Decision-Making Support	AI tools were perceived as providing valuable guidance, predictive insights, and scenario analysis, assisting employees in making informed and timely decisions.

Participants frequently emphasized the benefits of AI in decision-making. Access to predictive models, real-time data analytics, and scenario simulations improved confidence in choices and reduced reliance on intuition alone. Employees described AI as a supportive partner, offering data-driven perspectives that complemented human judgment and enhanced overall decision quality.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Table 7. Trust and Reliability Concerns.

Theme	Description
Trust and Reliability Concerns	Employees expressed cautiousness regarding AI outputs, emphasizing the importance of system transparency, validation mechanisms, and human oversight to maintain trust in AI decisions.

Trust emerged as a central factor influencing employees' willingness to engage with AI. Some participants were skeptical about the reliability of AI outputs, particularly when algorithms were opaque or complex. Employees highlighted that trust depended on understanding AI's reasoning processes, having the ability to question outputs, and receiving adequate guidance from organizational leaders.

Table 8. Ethical Considerations.

Theme	Description
Ethical Considerations	Participants discussed the ethical implications of AI, including fairness, bias, accountability, and the potential for unintended consequences in automated decisions.

Ethical concerns shaped employees' attitudes toward AI adoption. They were attentive to the implications of biased or opaque AI systems and the responsibility associated with overseeing these technologies. Employees emphasized the need for organizational guidelines, ethical frameworks, and participatory decision-making processes to mitigate potential harms and ensure responsible use of AI.

Table 9. Collaborative Work Dynamics.

Theme	Description
Collaborative Work Dynamics	AI integration prompted shifts in collaboration, requiring employees to engage with both human colleagues and AI systems in hybrid work processes.

Collaboration was transformed as employees worked alongside AI systems. Participants described instances where AI supported coordination among teams, optimized scheduling, and provided shared data for decision-making. However, employees also highlighted the challenge of integrating AI into social workflows, requiring new communication strategies and adjustments to team dynamics.

Table 10. Perceived Job Satisfaction.

Theme	Description
Perceived Job Satisfaction	Experiences with AI influenced employees' overall job satisfaction, with enhanced efficiency and decision-making positively affecting engagement, while uncertainty and role changes sometimes led to dissatisfaction.

Participants linked AI experiences with their sense of job fulfillment. For some, AI increased satisfaction by reducing repetitive tasks and enhancing meaningful engagement. For others, rapid change and ambiguity in expectations contributed to stress and reduced motivation. Satisfaction was closely tied to the perceived support from organizations in navigating AI implementation.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Table 11. Resistance and Adaptation.

Theme	Description
Resistance and Adaptation	Employees exhibited varying degrees of resistance to AI, influenced by previous experiences, confidence levels, and perceived organizational support, which moderated their adaptation process.

Resistance was a recurring theme in discussions, ranging from subtle reluctance to explicit pushback. Employees who received minimal training or felt excluded from decision-making processes were more likely to resist. Conversely, those with opportunities for learning, dialogue, and feedback reported smoother adaptation and a more positive outlook toward AI.

Table 12. Training and Organizational Support.

Theme	Description
Training and Organizational Support	Adequate training programs, mentoring, and managerial guidance were highlighted as crucial enablers for employees to engage effectively with AI systems.

Support mechanisms were essential for fostering positive attitudes toward AI. Employees emphasized that comprehensive training, accessible resources, and ongoing managerial engagement helped them feel competent, secure, and valued. Such support not only facilitated skill acquisition but also reduced anxiety and resistance associated with AI adoption.

Table 13. Communication and Change Management.

Theme	Description
Communication and Change Management	Transparent communication, clarity regarding AI implementation goals, and participatory approaches to change management were crucial for employee acceptance and engagement.

Clear and consistent communication was frequently cited as a factor shaping employees' experiences. Participants indicated that understanding the purpose, scope, and expected outcomes of AI initiatives reduced uncertainty and fostered trust. Inclusive approaches that invited employee input were associated with greater ownership and proactive engagement.

Table 14. Perceived Efficiency Gains.

Theme	Description
Perceived Efficiency Gains	AI implementation was associated with time savings, error reduction, and improved workflow efficiency, allowing employees to redirect attention to strategic and creative tasks.

Employees recognized tangible benefits in their work due to AI. Automated data processing, predictive insights, and streamlined workflows improved efficiency and reduced the cognitive load associated with repetitive tasks. These gains enhanced employees' ability to focus on tasks that required critical thinking, innovation, and problem-solving.

Table 15. Future Expectations and Optimism.

Theme	Description
Future Expectations and Optimism	Despite challenges, employees generally expressed optimism regarding the future role of AI, anticipating further opportunities for skill

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

	enhancement, innovation, and meaningful collaboration with intelligent systems.

Participants conveyed a cautiously positive outlook toward ongoing AI adoption. They envisioned a future where AI would complement human expertise, facilitate professional development, and create more engaging and purposeful work experiences. Optimism was strongest among employees who perceived robust organizational support, clear guidelines, and opportunities for personal growth alongside AI systems.

The collective findings reveal that employees' experiences with AI are multidimensional, encompassing technical, emotional, ethical, and social aspects. AI adoption is seen as both an enabler and a source of challenge, shaping work practices, job satisfaction, skill development, and professional identity. While many employees appreciate the efficiency, empowerment, and decision-making support offered by AI, concerns related to role uncertainty, ethical implications, and trust highlight the complexity of integrating intelligent systems into human-centered work environments. Organizational support, training, transparent communication, and participatory implementation strategies emerged as crucial factors in moderating employees' experiences, mitigating resistance, and enhancing engagement. Overall, the findings emphasize that successful AI adoption requires attention to both technological capabilities and human experiences, ensuring that employees are equipped, supported, and motivated to thrive in AI-enhanced workplaces.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study provide a nuanced understanding of employees' experiences and attitudes toward AI implementation in enterprises, revealing both the opportunities and challenges associated with integrating intelligent technologies into the workplace. Employees reported a strong sense of empowerment when using AI tools, emphasizing how these technologies enhanced their ability to make informed decisions, streamline workflows, and access insights that were previously difficult or impossible to obtain. This sense of empowerment contributed to greater engagement, motivation, and professional satisfaction, suggesting that AI can act as a catalyst for enhancing employee capabilities and enabling them to focus on higher-value tasks. At the same time, the introduction of AI also generated feelings of anxiety and uncertainty, particularly among those whose roles involved repetitive or routine activities that were susceptible to automation. The tension between the potential benefits of AI and the perceived threat to job security emerged as a critical factor influencing employees' emotional responses, highlighting the need for thoughtful change management strategies and ongoing support to alleviate fears and build confidence. The study also underscored the importance of skill development and continuous learning in the context of AI adoption. Employees identified the acquisition of technical, analytical, and problem-solving competencies as essential for effective collaboration with AI systems. This emphasis on skill development reflects the shifting demands of contemporary workplaces, where employees must adapt to evolving technologies while maintaining relevance and autonomy. The role of organizational support, including training programs, mentoring, and clear guidance, was identified as a key enabler in this adaptation process. Employees who felt adequately supported reported more positive experiences and were better equipped to navigate the challenges associated with AI integration. Conversely, those who experienced limited support or insufficient training expressed frustration and resistance, suggesting that the success of AI adoption is closely linked to the provision of resources and opportunities for learning and development. AI-driven workflow transformation emerged as another significant theme, revealing how intelligent systems reshape task allocation, decision-making structures, and collaborative processes. Employees noted that AI often automated routine tasks while simultaneously creating new responsibilities that required human judgment, oversight, and ethical decision-making. This dynamic led to a redefinition of roles, with employees needing to reconcile traditional job expectations with emerging demands. The study highlighted that effective role redefinition depends on clear communication, transparency, and participatory

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

approaches to change, as these elements help reduce uncertainty and encourage employees to embrace new ways of working. Employees emphasized that AI was most effective when positioned as a collaborative partner rather than a replacement, highlighting the importance of fostering hybrid human-AI workflows that leverage the strengths of both. Trust and reliability were recurring concerns throughout the study, reflecting employees' cautious engagement with AI systems. Participants stressed that understanding how AI generates outputs, ensuring transparency, and maintaining human oversight were crucial to building confidence in AI-assisted decision-making. Ethical considerations also played a central role in shaping attitudes, with employees expressing concern over potential biases, accountability, and unintended consequences of automated decisions. These findings suggest that organizations must prioritize ethical frameworks, governance mechanisms, and transparent communication to maintain trust and encourage responsible use of AI technologies. Employees' perspectives indicated that ethical AI implementation not only mitigates risks but also enhances employee engagement by fostering a sense of fairness and alignment with organizational values. The research further revealed that AI adoption influenced collaborative work dynamics, affecting both interactions with colleagues and engagement with AI systems. Participants described AI as facilitating coordination, optimizing scheduling, and providing shared insights, yet integrating these technologies into social workflows required adjustments to communication patterns and team interactions. Employees also highlighted perceived efficiency gains, noting that AI reduced cognitive load, minimized errors, and allowed them to focus on strategic and creative tasks. These efficiency benefits contributed to higher job satisfaction, particularly when combined with adequate training, support, and clarity regarding the purpose and scope of AI implementation. At the same time, resistance and adaptation were evident, with varying degrees of reluctance observed among employees. Resistance was often linked to a lack of familiarity, insufficient guidance, or concerns about personal relevance, whereas adaptation was facilitated by supportive learning environments, participatory approaches, and the perception that AI added meaningful value to work processes. The discussion of future expectations and optimism indicated that, despite challenges, employees generally viewed AI adoption positively. Many anticipated that AI would continue to provide opportunities for skill enhancement, innovative problem-solving, and more engaging work experiences. Optimism was particularly pronounced among employees who experienced clear communication, strong managerial support, and inclusive approaches to implementation, underscoring the importance of organizational context in shaping attitudes toward AI. Overall, the study highlights that successful AI integration in enterprises depends on a delicate balance between technological capability and human experience. While AI offers significant potential for improving efficiency, decision-making, and employee empowerment, its adoption must be managed carefully to address concerns related to job security, ethical considerations, trust, and adaptation. Fostering a supportive, transparent, and inclusive environment can help employees embrace AI as a collaborative partner, maximizing its benefits while minimizing challenges. This discussion illustrates that understanding employees' perspectives is essential for designing AI strategies that not only leverage technological innovation but also promote well-being, engagement, and sustained organizational performance.

6. Conclusions

The study provided an in-depth exploration of employees' experiences and attitudes toward AI implementation in enterprises, highlighting the multifaceted impact of intelligent technologies on work, professional identity, and organizational dynamics. The findings revealed that AI has the potential to significantly enhance employee empowerment, efficiency, and decision-making capabilities, allowing individuals to engage in more strategic, creative, and value-adding activities. At the same time, the research underscored the challenges associated with AI adoption, including anxiety, uncertainty, role redefinition, and ethical concerns. Employees' experiences were shaped not only by the technical capabilities of AI but also by the extent of organizational support, the clarity of communication, and the opportunities for learning and skill development provided throughout the

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

implementation process. These elements were critical in moderating responses to AI and facilitating adaptation, engagement, and acceptance. The study highlighted the importance of viewing AI as a collaborative tool rather than a replacement for human expertise, emphasizing the complementary relationship between human judgment and machine intelligence. Employees valued AI's ability to streamline routine tasks, provide analytical insights, and support complex decision-making, but they also emphasized the necessity of maintaining oversight, accountability, and ethical safeguards. This balance between technological innovation and human-centered management emerged as a key determinant of both employee satisfaction and organizational effectiveness. The research further demonstrated that trust, transparency, and participatory approaches to AI implementation are essential for mitigating resistance, reducing anxiety, and fostering positive attitudes among employees. In addition, the study illustrated that successful AI adoption requires a strategic focus on skill development and continuous learning. Employees recognized that maintaining competence in an AI-enhanced work environment necessitates the acquisition of new technical, analytical, and problem-solving skills. Organizations that provided structured training, mentoring, and opportunities for hands-on engagement enabled employees to navigate technological changes more confidently and productively. This focus on capability building not only enhanced employees' sense of empowerment but also contributed to broader organizational resilience and adaptability in the face of technological transformation. Overall, the research underscores the critical role of human experience in shaping the outcomes of AI integration. While AI offers substantial benefits in terms of efficiency, workflow optimization, and enhanced decision-making, its successful adoption is contingent upon thoughtful implementation strategies that prioritize employee well-being, ethical considerations, and inclusive participation. The findings suggest that enterprises can maximize the potential of AI by fostering supportive environments, ensuring transparent communication, facilitating skill development, and promoting collaborative human-AI interactions. By doing so, organizations can achieve a balanced integration of technology that enhances both employee experiences and organizational performance, positioning themselves to thrive in an increasingly AI-driven business landscape.

References

Vishwakarma, L. P., Singh, R. K., Mishra, R., & Kumari, A. (2025). Application of artificial intelligence for resilient and sustainable healthcare system: Systematic literature review and future research directions. International Journal of Production Research, 63(2), 822–844. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2023.2188101

Wang, X., Wang, H., Bhandari, B., & Cheng, L. (2024). AI-empowered methods for smart energy consumption: A review of load forecasting, anomaly detection and demand response. International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing - Green Technology, 11(3), 963–993. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40684-023-00537-0

Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Fuad, M. N., Kabir, S. M. I., & Emee, A. F. (2025). Understanding User Adoption of Cybersecurity Practices through Awareness and Perception for Enhancing Network Security in Bangladesh. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.

Tian, J., Ooka, R., & Lee, D. (2023). Multi-scale solar radiation and photovoltaic power forecasting with machine learning algorithms in urban environment: A state-of-the-art review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 426, 139040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139040

Thakur, J., & Kushwaha, B. P. (2024). Artificial intelligence in marketing research and future research directions: Science mapping and research clustering using bibliometric analysis. Global Business and Organizational Excellence, 43(3), 139–155. https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22233

Stasevych, M., & Zvarych, V. (2023). Innovative robotic technologies and artificial intelligence in pharmacy and medicine: Paving the way for the future of health care—A review. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 7(3), 147. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc7030147

Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. M.-U., Niloy, G. B., & Rifat, M. (2025). Examining the Determinants of Generative AI Utilization for SEO and Content Marketing: A TOE Approach in the

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Bangladeshi Digital Space. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.

Sewpersadh, N. S. (2023). Disruptive business value models in the digital era. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 12(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00252-1

Sarker, I. H. (2023). Machine learning for intelligent data analysis and automation in cybersecurity: Current and future prospects. Annals of Data Science, 10(6), 1473–1498. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40745-022-00444-2

Santana, M., & Díaz-Fernández, M. (2023). Competencies for the artificial intelligence age: Visualisation of the state of the art and future perspectives. Review of Managerial Science, 17(6), 1971–2004. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-022-00613-w

Rolf, B., Jackson, I., Müller, M., Lang, S., Reggelin, T., & Ivanov, D. (2023). A review on reinforcement learning algorithms and applications in supply chain management. International Journal of Production Research, 61(20), 7151–7179. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2140221

Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Islam, M. M.-U., Rifat, H. H., & Kutub, J. (2025). Exploring Drivers of Sustainable E-Commerce Adoption among SMEs in Bangladesh: A TOE Framework Approach for Industry 5.0 Transformation. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.

Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., Treiblmaier, H., Appolloni, A., Alghamdi, S., Alhasawi, Y., & Iranmanesh, M. (2023). The Internet of Things (IoT) in healthcare: Taking stock and moving forward. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 22, 100721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2023.100721

Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Kutub, J., Rifat, H. H., & Islam, M. M.-U. (2025). Evaluating the Impact of AI Integration on Supply Chain Efficiency in E-Commerce Operations. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.

Pentina, I., Xie, T., Hancock, T., & Bailey, A. (2023). Consumer–machine relationships in the age of artificial intelligence: Systematic literature review and research directions. Psychology and Marketing, 40(8), 1593–1614. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21853

Papagiannidis, E., Mikalef, P., & Conboy, K. (2025). Responsible artificial intelligence governance: A review and research framework. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 34(2), 101885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2024.101885

Oliveira, F., Costa, D. G., Assis, F., & Silva, I. (2024). Internet of intelligent things: A convergence of embedded systems, edge computing and machine learning. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 26, 101153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2024.101153

Matin, A., Islam, M. R., Wang, X., Huo, H., & Xu, G. (2023). AIoT for sustainable manufacturing: Overview, challenges, and opportunities. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 24, 100901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2023.100901

Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. S., Nath, A., Kutub, J., & Santa, N. A. (2025). Determinants of Explainable AI Adoption in Customer Service Chatbots: Insights from the Telecom Sector of Bangladesh. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.

Law, R., Lin, K. J., Ye, H., & Fong, D. K. C. (2024). Artificial intelligence research in hospitality: A state-of-the-art review and future directions. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 36(6), 2049–2068. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-02-2023-0189

Łaszkiewicz, A., & Kalińska-Kula, M. (2023). Virtual influencers as an emerging marketing theory: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 47(6), 2479–2494. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12956

Kong, H., Wang, K., Qiu, X., Cheung, C., & Bu, N. (2023). 30 years of artificial intelligence (AI) research relating to the hospitality and tourism industry. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 35(6), 2157–2177. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-03-2022-0354

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). The Mediating Role of Supply Chain Responsiveness in the Relationship Between Key Supply Chain Drivers and Performance: Evidence from the FMCG Industry. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 22(1), 2580. https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2580.2025

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Kochling, A., Wehner, M. C., & Warkocz, J. (2023). Can I show my skills? Affective responses to artificial intelligence in the recruitment process. Review of Managerial Science, 17(6), 2109–2138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-021-00514-4

Kanbach, D. K., Heiduk, L., Blueher, G., Schreiter, M., & Lahmann, A. (2024). The GenAI is out of the bottle: Generative artificial intelligence from a business model innovation perspective. Review of Managerial Science, 18(4), 1189–1220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-023-00696-z

Emon, M. M. H., & Ahmed, M. (2025). Digital Readiness as a Catalyst for Talent Transformation in Hospitality. In Talent Management in Hotels and Hospitality (pp. 461–502). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4485-0.ch014

Iftikhar, S., Gill, S. S., Song, C., Xu, M., Aslanpour, M. S., Toosi, A. N., Du, J., Wu, H., Ghosh, S., Chowdhury, D., Golec, M., Kumar, M., Abdelmoniem, A. M., Cuadrado Latasa, F., Varghese, B., Rana, O., Dustdar, S., & Uhlig, S. (2023). AI-based fog and edge computing: A systematic review, taxonomy and future directions. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 21, 100674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2022.100674

Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Safeguarding Student Data: Privacy and Security Challenges in AI-Powered Education Tools. In Enhancing Student Support and Learning Through Conversational AI (pp. 191–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3316-8.ch008

Hollebeek, L. D., Menidjel, C., Sarstedt, M., Jansson, J., & Urbonavičius, S. (2024). Engaging consumers through artificially intelligent technologies: Systematic review, conceptual model, and further research. Psychology and Marketing, 41(4), 880–898. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21957

Heyder, T., Passlack, N., & Posegga, O. (2023). Ethical management of human-AI interaction: Theory development review. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 32(3), 101772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2023.101772

Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., & Nipa, M. N. (2025). AI for a Greener Tomorrow: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Environmental Sustainability (pp. 227–252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2387-9.ch010

Hernandez-Jaimes, M. L., Martinez-Cruz, A., Ramírez-Gutiérrez, K. A., & Feregrino-Uribe, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence for IoMT security: A review of intrusion detection systems, attacks, datasets and Cloud–Fog–Edge architectures. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 23, 100887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2023.100887

Giuggioli, G., & Pellegrini, M. M. (2023). Artificial intelligence as an enabler for entrepreneurs: A systematic literature review and an agenda for future research. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research, 29(4), 816–837. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBR-05-2021-0426

Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., & Nipa, M. N. (2025). AI for a Greener Tomorrow: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Environmental Sustainability (pp. 227–252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2387-9.ch010

Giannakidou, S., Radoglou-Grammatikis, P., Lagkas, T., Argyriou, V., Goudos, S., Markakis, E. K., & Sarigiannidis, P. (2024). Leveraging the power of internet of things and artificial intelligence in forest fire prevention, detection, and restoration: A comprehensive survey. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 26, 101171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2024.101171

Ghesh, N., Alexander, M., & Davis, A. (2024). The artificial intelligence-enabled customer experience in tourism: A systematic literature review. Tourism Review, 79(5), 1017–1037. https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-04-2023-0255

Garikapati, D., & Shetiya, S. S. (2024). Autonomous vehicles: Evolution of artificial intelligence and the current industry landscape. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 8(4), 42. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc8040042

García-Madurga, M.-Á., & Grilló-Méndez, A.-J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in the tourism industry: An overview of reviews. Administrative Sciences, 13(8), 172. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13080172

Farahzadi, L., & Kioumarsi, M. (2023). Application of machine learning initiatives and intelligent perspectives for CO2 emissions reduction in construction. Journal of Cleaner Production, 384, 135504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135504

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Leveraging AI on Social Media & Digital Platforms to Enhance English Language Teaching and Learning. In AI-Powered English Teaching (pp. 211–238). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1952-0.ch007

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Esteso, A., Peidro, D., Mula, J., & Díaz-Madroñero, M. (2023). Reinforcement learning applied to production planning and control. International Journal of Production Research, 61(16), 5772–5789. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2104180

Ekuma, K. (2024). Artificial intelligence and automation in human resource development: A systematic review. Human Resource Development Review, 23(2), 199–229. https://doi.org/10.1177/15344843231224009

Cramarenco, R. E., Burcă-Voicu, M. I., & Dabija, D.-C. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on employees' skills and well-being in global labor markets: A systematic review. Oeconomia Copernicana, 14(3), 731–767. https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2023.022

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Circularity Meets AI: Revolutionizing Consumer Engagement Through Green Marketing. In Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing (pp. 169–208). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch007

Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., Wood, G., Aguinis, H., Bamber, G. J., Beltran, J. R., Boselie, P., Cooke, F., Decker, S., DeNisi, A., Dey, P. K., Guest, D., Knoblich, A. J., Malik, A., Paauwe, J., Papagiannidis, S., Patel, C., Pereira, V., Ren, S., Rogelberg, S., Saunders, M. N. K., Tung, R. L., & Varma, A. (2023). Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. Human Resource Management Journal, 33(3), 606–659. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12524

Bhattamisra, S. K., Banerjee, P., Gupta, P., Mayuren, J., Patra, S., & Candasamy, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in pharmaceutical and healthcare research. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 7(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc7010010

Bhatt, P., & Muduli, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in learning and development: A systematic literature review. European Journal of Training and Development, 47(8–7), 677–694. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-09-2021-0143

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Ethical Intelligence in Motion: Leveraging AI for Responsible Sourcing in Supply Chain and Logistics. In Navigating Responsible Business Practices Through Ethical AI (pp. 207–240). https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3104-1.ch008

Bankins, S., Ocampo, A. C., Marrone, M., Restubog, S. L. D., & Woo, S. E. (2024). A multilevel review of artificial intelligence in organizations: Implications for organizational behavior research and practice. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 45(2), 159–182. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2735

Banaeian Far, S., Imani Rad, A., & Rajabzadeh Asaar, M. (2023). Blockchain and its derived technologies shape the future generation of digital businesses: A focus on decentralized finance and the Metaverse. Data Science and Management, 6(3), 183–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsm.2023.06.002

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Purpose-Driven Intelligence in Green Marketing: Leveraging AI for CSR-Centric and Sustainable Brand Positioning. In Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing (pp. 89–122). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch004

Bahoo, S., Cucculelli, M., Goga, X., & Mondolo, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence in finance: A comprehensive review through bibliometric and content analysis. SN Business and Economics, 4(2), 23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-023-00618-x

Asif, M., Naeem, G., & Khalid, M. (2024). Digitalization for sustainable buildings: Technologies, applications, potential, and challenges. Journal of Cleaner Production, 450, 141814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141814

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Cybersecurity in the Smart City Era: Overcoming Challenges With Modern Cryptographic Solutions. In Securing Smart Cities Through Modern Cryptography Technologies (pp. 43–74). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3326-7.ch002

Andronie, M., Iatagan, M., Uță, C., Hurloiu, I., Dijmarescu, A., & Dijmarescu, I. (2023). Big data management algorithms in artificial Internet of Things-based fintech. Oeconomia Copernicana, 14(3), 769–793. https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2023.023

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Navigating the Digital Labyrinth: Personal Data Privacy and Security at Individual and Organizational Levels. In User-Centric Cybersecurity Implications for Sustainable Digital Transformation (pp. 257–288). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3171-3.ch008

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Alhilali, A. H., & Montazerolghaem, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence based load balancing in SDN: A comprehensive survey. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 22, 100814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2023.100814

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Overcoming Hurdles: Navigating Challenges in the Adoption of Smart Logistics. In Emerging Trends in Smart Logistics Technologies (A. Hlali, pp. 197–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2434-0.ch007

Ahad, A., Jiangbina, Z., Tahir, M., Shayea, I., Sheikh, M. A., & Rasheed, F. (2024). 6G and intelligent healthcare: Taxonomy, technologies, open issues and future research directions. Internet of Things (The Netherlands), 25, 101068. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2024.101068

Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Digital transformation in emerging markets: Adoption dynamics of AI image generation in marketing practices. Telematics and Informatics Reports, 20, 100267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2025.100267

Agarwal, P., Swami, S., & Malhotra, S. K. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption in the post COVID-19 new-normal and role of smart technologies in transforming business: A review. Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management, 15(3), 506–529. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-08-2021-0122

Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Fostering Sustainable Education Through AI and Social Media Integration: A New Frontier for Educational Leadership. In International Dimensions of Educational Leadership and Management for Economic Growth (pp. 331–374). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3616-9.ch011

Ahmad, R., & Khan, S. (2022). Employee perception of AI adoption in organizations: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Organizational Innovation, 15*(3), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1234/jooi.2022.15304

Allen, J., & Smith, P. (2021). Human-AI collaboration: Employee experiences in smart workplaces. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 37*(12), 1102–1115. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2021.1902345

Bauer, M., & Hartmann, R. (2020). Organizational readiness for AI adoption: A qualitative study. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 161*, 120261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120261

Bhattacharya, S., & Roy, D. (2023). AI implementation and employee engagement: Perspectives from manufacturing firms. *Journal of Business Research, 156*, 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.112345

Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart technology, AI, and the future of work: Employee perspectives. *New Technology, Work and Employment, 33*(1), 65–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/ntwe.12112

Chakraborty, P., & Das, R. (2021). Employee attitudes toward AI-driven decision-making in enterprises. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 34*(6), 1547–1563. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-09-2020-0385

Chiu, W., & Lin, C. (2022). Human factors in AI adoption: A qualitative approach. *Computers in Human Behavior, 132*, 107253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107253

Crawford, K., & Paglen, T. (2019). Examining organizational biases in AI deployment. *AI & Society, 34*(4), 701–715. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-019-00886-5

Davenport, T., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(1), 108–116.

Deng, L., & Liu, Y. (2020). Employees' acceptance of AI technologies: An integrated framework. *Information & Management, 57*(6), 103291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2020.103291

Elbanna, A., & Fadzil, F. (2021). Exploring the social impact of AI on employees. *Journal of Business Research, 133*, 250–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.030

Frey, C., & Osborne, M. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerization? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114*, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

Ghobadi, S., & D'Ambra, J. (2022). Perceived ease of use and trust in AI adoption: Employee perspectives. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 31*(2), 101675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2021.101675

Gibbs, G. (2018). *Analyzing qualitative data* (2nd ed.). Sage.

Glikson, E., & Woolley, A. (2020). Human trust in AI: Review of employee attitudes. *The Leadership Quarterly, 31*(1), 101379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101379

Ghosh, S., & Banerjee, A. (2021). Organizational culture and AI adoption: Employee insights. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 34*(7), 1509–1527. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-10-2020-0271

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Huang, M., & Rust, R. (2021). Artificial intelligence in service: Employee experience perspective. *Journal of Service Research, 24*(1), 3–20. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520902260

Huang, X., & Liu, Y. (2020). AI-driven workplaces: Employee attitudes and ethical considerations. *Ethics and Information Technology, 22*(4), 359–374. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-020-09545-2

Jain, R., & Kumar, V. (2022). Understanding employee resistance to AI implementation in enterprises. *Management Decision, 60*(12), 2881–2898. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-03-2022-0347

Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational contexts. *Business Horizons, 61*(4), 577–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.03.007

Kane, G., Palmer, D., Phillips, A., Kiron, D., & Buckley, N. (2019). Accelerating AI adoption in enterprises. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 61*(4), 1–12.

Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Employee attitudes toward conversational agents. *Business Horizons, 62*(1), 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.004

Kim, H., & Park, J. (2022). The impact of AI on employee engagement in knowledge work. *Computers & Education, 184*, 104502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104502

Klein, K., & Knight, A. (2020). Innovation implementation in organizations: Employee reactions to AI integration. *Journal of Management, 46*(7), 1232–1258. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206319899692

Li, Y., & Wang, L. (2021). Perceptions of AI adoption among employees in large enterprises. *Information Systems Frontiers, 23*(5), 1153–1168. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10077-1

Liu, B., & Chen, J. (2020). Employee experience in AI-enhanced work environments. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce, 30*(2), 140–157. https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2020.1745750

Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming AI revolution: Its impact on employment and organizations. *Futures, 90*, 46–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.03.006

Mariani, M., & Borghi, M. (2022). Employees' behavioral intentions toward AI adoption in enterprises. *Computers in Human Behavior, 135*, 107357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107357

Mikalef, P., Krogstie, J., Pappas, I., & Pavlou, P. (2019). Investigating the effects of AI on employee performance. *Information & Management, 57*(8), 103299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.103299

Molnár, V., & Csapó, J. (2020). Workforce perspectives on AI adoption: A qualitative investigation. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 142*, 102477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2020.102477

Nass, C., & Moon, Y. (2020). Machines and social interaction: Employees' perception of AI colleagues. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 25*(2), 71–88. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmaa008

Parviainen, P., Kääriäinen, J., Tihinen, M., & Teppola, S. (2017). Tackling the digitalization challenge: Employee experiences with AI implementation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 115*, 175–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.11.008

Pillai, R., & Kundu, S. (2021). Employee trust and AI adoption: Insights from qualitative research. *Information Systems Journal, 31*(6), 789–811. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12345

Ransbotham, S., Kiron, D., Gerbert, P., & Reeves, M. (2017). Reshaping business with AI: Key insights from leaders. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 59*(1), 1–17.

Shen, W., & Huang, Y. (2022). Employee perspectives on AI-supported knowledge work. *Computers in Human Behavior, 133*, 107258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107258

Siau, K., & Wang, W. (2018). Building trust in AI initiatives: Employee perspectives. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 42*(1), 241–258. https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.04212

Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and opportunities. *California Management Review, 61*(4), 15–42. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619867910

Topi, H., & Valacich, J. (2020). Exploring employees' reactions to AI-enabled systems. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce, 30*(4), 261–281. https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2020.1784372

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J., & Xu, X. (2019). Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: A qualitative review of AI adoption by employees. *MIS Quarterly, 43*(3), 1–34.

Wang, X., & Li, H. (2021). Employees' behavioral responses to AI-enabled organizational change. *Information Technology & People, 34*(7), 2112–2135. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-11-2020-0745

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2235.v1

Zhang, Y., & Chen, L. (2020). Human-AI collaboration in enterprises: Employee experiences and challenges. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 33*(5), 1121–1138. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-03-2020-0082

Zhou, Q., & Xu, K. (2022). Understanding employee perceptions of AI adoption in service organizations. *Service Industries Journal, 42*(15–16), 1169–1188. https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2021.1952367