

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Indigenous People's Use of a Primary Urgent Care Centre at a GP-Led Primary Healthcare Service in Regional Queensland in 2020–2021

[Shauna Fjaagesund](#) , Wenwen Zang , Raymond Gadd , Jayley Hart , Piotr Swierkowski , [Andrew Ladham](#) , [Christopher Hicks](#) , [Sylvia Andrew-Starkey](#) , [Evan Jones](#) , Alexandru Coman , Gavin Beccaria , [Florin Oprescu](#) , [Xiang-Yu Hou](#) *

Posted Date: 28 April 2025

doi: [10.20944/preprints202504.2294.v1](https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.2294.v1)

Keywords: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders; Indigenous people; General Practice; Primary Healthcare; Primary Urgent Care; Community Urgent Care



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Indigenous People's Use of a Primary Urgent Care Centre at a GP-led Primary Healthcare Service in Regional Queensland in 2020-2021

Shauna Fjaagesund ^{1,2}, Wenwen Zang ³, Raymond Gadd ^{4,5}, Jayley Hart ^{4,5}, Piotr Swierkowski ^{4,5}, Andrew Ladhams ^{2,4}, Christopher Hicks ⁴, Sylvia Andrew-Starkey ⁵, Evan Jones ^{1,4,5}, Alexandru Coman ⁶, Gavin Beccaria ⁷, Florin Oprescu ^{1,8} and Xiang-Yu Hou ^{9,10,*}

¹ School of Health, University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, Queensland, Australia

² General Practice Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia

³ Poche Centre for Indigenous Health, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

⁴ Health Hub Doctors Morayfield, Queensland, Australia

⁵ Morayfield Minor Accident and Illness Centre, Queensland, Australia; sylviaandrewstarkey@gmail.com

⁶ University of Medicine and Pharmacy 'Iuliu Hatieganu', Cluj-Napoca, Romania

⁷ School of Psychology and Wellbeing, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

⁸ Centre for International Development, Social Entrepreneurship and Leadership, University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia

⁹ Centre for Health Research, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

¹⁰ Broken Hill University Department of Rural Health, Susan Wakil School of Nursing and Midwifery, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia

* Correspondence: xiang-yu.hou@sydney.edu.au

Abstract: To explore Indigenous patients' use of a primary urgent care centre (PUCC) at a co-located general medical practitioner (GP)-led primary healthcare service (GP service) in regional Queensland, Australia, secondary data analysis was conducted using the 65,420 deidentified PUCC patient from 01 July 2020 to 30 June 2021, including Indigenous status. A Mann-Whitney U test and Chi-Square test were used to analyse patients' arrival times, reasons to attend PUCC, and frequency of attendance. The proportion of Indigenous patients from the communities attending the PUCC was 9.8% while the proportion of Indigenous people in general population was only 3.8%. Indigenous patients were more likely to be new patients to the GP service (13.6% never visited the GP service prior PUCC) compared to non-Indigenous (9.6%) patients. The peak hours of attendance for Indigenous people were 11am-12pm and 2pm-3pm while it was 10am-12pm for non-Indigenous patients. The most common reason for attending PUCC for both patient groups was superficial injuries. The second most common reason was digestive issues for Indigenous patients and musculoskeletal issues for non-Indigenous patients. These findings provide insights for enhancing future PUCC models to better meet the community needs especially the underserved Indigenous population in regional areas.

Keywords: Aboriginal and Torres strait islanders; indigenous people; general practice; primary healthcare; primary urgent care; community urgent care

1. Introduction

The health gap between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander (hereafter referred to as Indigenous) and non-Indigenous Australians remains a significant and concerning issue [1]. Indigenous people face higher risk of developing chronic and preventable conditions [2] leading to an increased disease burden of 2.3 times the rate of non-Indigenous Australians. In North Brisbane in Queensland Australia, Indigenous people experienced significantly poorer health outcomes, with 1.9 times higher burden of disease and injury, compared to their non-Indigenous counterparts [2,3]. Furthermore, over 70% of the recognised disease burden among Indigenous populations in North Brisbane was linked to major contributing causes, including mental and behavioural disorders, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, chronic respiratory diseases, cancers, and neurological/sensory organ disorders [2,3].

Barriers of access to primary healthcare is a contributing factor to the observed health gap in Indigenous populations [4]. The reported barriers to health care include reduced income levels to attend or pay for services and lower levels of educational attainment which can negatively affect health literacy needed to effectively navigate primary healthcare systems [5]. Additionally, past experiences of discrimination or perceptions of culturally unsafe environments may discourage Indigenous patients from seeking timely healthcare services [5–9].

Reduced engagement with primary healthcare services [1] is known to culminate into a higher frequency of emergency department (ED) service use by Indigenous populations [2,10]. In some cases, it has made hospital EDs the main access point of care for some individuals [4], including Indigenous patients [5,8]. The reasons behind this include patients' low socio-economic status (for the free ED care) [11], undiagnosed and unmanaged chronic conditions especially in Indigenous patients [8,12], un-met needs in terms of holistic care including physical, social, spiritual and emotional wellbeing [7,13], and patients' choice to attend ED for non-life-threatening care [14]. Unfortunately, the research in ED care demonstrated that Indigenous people are more likely to leave without being seen or discharge against medical advice, in cases with low-acuity urgent and non-life-threatening health problems [14]. Other factors included ED overcrowding and long wait times which affected leave without care for all patient types.

To address the issue of hospital ED overcrowding and long wait times by individuals who could not afford private urgent care fees, the Commonwealth of Australia [15,16] introduced public funding for GP services to deliver primary urgent care centres (PUCCs) at no cost to patients. One of these funded centres was located within a large-scale 15,000 sqm integrated primary healthcare facility [17], servicing a usual resident population of 476,340 in Moreton Bay area, North Brisbane, Queensland, Australia [18]. This PUCC provided a timely unique opportunity in Australia to better understand its use by the communities due to its high patient-load and previous well-established general medical practitioner (GP)-led model of timely primary healthcare for minor injuries and illnesses [17].

The aim of this study was to use the secondary PUCC data from 2020-2021 to explore the Indigenous patients' use of this unique PUCC in a GP-led primary healthcare service (GP service). The findings of the study could provide insights for health managers, clinicians, and researchers regarding policy and practice to enhance the primary acute care system and reduce the use of hospital emergency departments while improving the healthcare access for Indigenous and other underserved populations.

2. Materials and Methods

The study context was undertaken at an accredited PUCC [19] staffed by general medical practitioners (GPs), nurse practitioners and practice nurses with previous training and experience in emergency medicine, rural and family medicine, and urgent care [19,20].

In the PUCC, patients access free, walk-in urgent care services and receive a discharge diagnosis and treatment for minor accidents and illnesses, with follow-up referral for further care [20]. Agreements are in place between the PUCC and on-site pathology and radiology providers to enable

immediate patient access to diagnostics with fast turnaround reporting to enable immediate diagnosis. In cases where patients were at serious health risk, ambulance transport to hospital was arranged [19].

The PUCC used the database of Best Practice electronic patient record platform to store medical data, which patient information was entered by healthcare practitioners [21]. The de-identified patient data from 2020 July 1 till 2021 June 30 was extracted and analysed using IBM SPSS v.29 after appropriate data cleaning.

Demographic variables included age (years), residential postcode, sex (male or female), Indigenous status and type of patient (new or existing patient of the GP service). The patients' PUCC use variables included time and date and reasons of attendance. The 2021 Index of Relative Socioeconomic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD) measure was used to measure the average household income and occupation skill level of patients living in their suburbs using their post codes [22]. The reasons for PUCC attendance were categorised in accordance with the Australian Emergency Care Classification (AECC) system, which was based on the ICD-10-AM/ACHI/ACS Eleventh Edition.

Distributions of continuous variables did not follow a normal distribution, and a non-parametric analysis approach was adopted. For comparisons of two groups (Indigenous vs. Non-Indigenous), a Mann-Whitney U test and Chi-Square test were used in the data analysis. Statistical significance for all analyses was set at $P<0.05$.

The inclusion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait health practitioners started at conceptualisation, and continued to data analysis, results interpretation, and manuscript writing. This ensures the whole process of research is culturally appropriate for Indigenous peoples. The study received ethics approval from the University of Southern Queensland Human Research Ethics Committee (#22009821).

3. Results

The results are presented with different groups of findings.

3.1. Age and Sex

During the period of 2020 July 1 to 2020 June 30 the, there were 65,347 recorded cases presenting to the PUCC (missing n=73 in age or sex or Indigenous status) with an average age of 38.45 years old (median 36 years and range 0 to 106). Twenty five percent of cases were under 21 years old and 75% under 55 years old. There were 3,858 Indigenous patients with median age of 28 years old and range of one to 95 years old, and 35,361 non-Indigenous patients with median age of 37 years old and range of zero to 106 years old ($p<0.001$ compared with Indigenous group) (Table 1).

Table 1. PUCC use for Indigenous and Non-Indigenous patients from 01.07.2020 to 30.06.2021 in North Moreton Bay, Australia.

	All Cases	Non-Indigenous (90.2%, n=35361)	Indigenous (9.8%, n=3858)	Statistical Significance
Age (years)	Median 36 (0 to 106) n=65347 (73 missing)	Median 37 (0 to 106), 25% <21 years 75% <55 years	Mean Rank 20074.3 n=35361	Median 28 years (1 to 95) Mean Rank 15353.95 n=3858
				Mann-Whitney U Test (U) U=84631213 z=24.59 $p<0.001$
Sex	34426 female n=65420 (980 missing)	19147 female (53.4%) 30014 male (46.6%)	2245 female (58.4%) 1602 male (41.6%)	Chi-Square (χ^2) 23.851 (1, n=39164) $p<0.001$, phi=0.025
Relative Index of	Median 929.00 (713 to 1163)	Median 929.00 (713.00 to 1157),	Median 898.00 (800 to 1126)	Mann-Whitney U Test

Socioeconomic Disadvantage n=64237 (1183 missing)	25% < 898.00 75% < 941.00	Mean Rank=19458.87 n=34860	Mean Rank=17984.31) n=3769	U=70709058.500, z=8.144, p<0.001
Patient Type				
Existing New n=65420 (0 missing)	56299 (86.1%) 9121 (13.9%)	31964 (90.4%) 3397 (9.6%)	3333 (86.4%) 525(13.6%)	Chi-Square (X ²) 61.44 (1, n=39219) p<0.001 phi=0.040

The proportion of Indigenous patients attending the PUCC was 9.8% (n=3858) with non-Indigenous patients 90.2% (n=35361) of 65429 completed cases (missing 26201). The number of Indigenous females and males who have attended PUCC was 2245 (58.4%) and 1602 (41.6%) (Table 1).

3.2. Residential Areas

Among the completed cases of 64,237 (missing 1183) who attended the PUCC, 25% lived in suburbs with an IRSAD score less than 898.00, and 75% in less than 941.00. Indigenous patients (n=3769) lived in lower IRSAD suburbs (Median=898.00, Mean Rank=17984.31) compared to non-Indigenous (Median=929.00, n=34860, Mean Rank=19458.87, p<0.001), where the lower the score the higher the disadvantage (Table 1).

3.3. Patient Types

The existing patients are the individuals who visited the GP service before the establishment of the PUCC, and the new patients never visited the GP service prior the PUCC.

Among the completed cases of 65420 (Table 1) patients, 56299 (86.1%) were existing patients and 9121 (13.9%) were new patients. Among the new patients, there were 525 (13.6%) Indigenous and 3397 (9.6%) non-Indigenous patients (P<0.001).

3.4. Time of Arrival

After-hours care was defined based on the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners definition as Monday to Friday (6pm-07:30pm, Saturdays after 12pm, Sundays and public holidays). Other times are in-hours or business hours.

Peak hours of attendance (n=39219) were from 11am to 12pm and 2pm to 3pm for Indigenous patients, and 10am to 12pm for non-Indigenous patients (p<0.001, Table 2). Among the Indigenous patients, 69.1% attended the PUCC at business hours (30.9% at after-hours), while it is 70.1% for non-Indigenous patients (29.9% at after-hours, P>0.05).

Table 2. Percentage in the Time of Day to Attend PUCC for Indigenous and Non-Indigenous patients from 2020 July 1 to 2021 June 30 in Moreton Bay χ^2 (11, n=39219) = 56.569, p<0.001, phi=0.038.

Time of Day	Indigenous patients (%)	Non-Indigenous patients (%)
0800-0859	4.6	6.5
0900-0959	7.0	9.2
1000-1059	9.2	10.6
1100-1159	10.4	10.4
1200-1259	7.3	6.7
1300-1359	9.8	9.5
1400-1459	10.8	9.5
1500-1559	9.8	9.9
1600-1659	7.6	7.8

1700-1759	7.3	7.2
1800-1859	8.6	6.9
1900-1959	6.6	5.9

Mondays had the highest proportion of presentations for both groups (n=10861, 16.6%, p<0.001) with Sundays (n=7014, 10.7%) being the lowest (Table 3), and there was no significant difference between the two groups.

Table 3. The percentage to attend PUCC at the Day of Week for Indigenous and Non-Indigenous patients from 2020 July 1 to 2021 June 30 in Moreton Bay χ^2 (, n=39219) = 4.90, p=0.557, phi=0.011.

	Indigenous Patients	Non-Indigenous patients
Monday	16.4	16.8
Tuesday	16.0	16.4
Wednesday	15.9	16.3
Thursday	16.9	15.6
Friday	13.0	12.9
Saturday	11.4	11.8
Sunday	10.4	10.3

3.5. Reasons for Attendance

The top one clinical reason for attending PUCC was AECC category E20 Injuries and other externally caused morbidity (n=5154, 7.9%) for Indigenous (n=305, 27.2%) and non-Indigenous (2555, 24.0%) patients from the 19,382 completed cases (n=46038 missing, 70.4%, p=0.01, Table 4). These case presentations were classified into superficial injuries, such as wounds, abrasions and/or minor fractures to the ankle and foot, wrist and hand, lower and upper arm, trunk or body region and the eyes, face and head.

Table 4. The percentage for the reasons to attend PUCC for Indigenous and Non-Indigenous patients from 2020 July 1 to 2021 June 30 in Moreton Bay χ^2 (15, n=11758) = 30.518, p=0.01, phi=0.051.

	Indigenous Patients (%)	Non-Indigenous patients (%)
E20 Injuries and other external morbidity	27.2	14.0
E60 other factors influencing health status	12.3	13.2
E06 Digestive	10.1	8.6
E08 Musculoskeletal	9.5	11.6
E03 Ear, nose, mouth and throat	9.1	9.7
E19 Mental and behavioral	7.8	6.1
E09 Skin subcutaneous and breast tissue	7.0	7.1
E05 Circulatory	3.6	4.6
E14 Obstetrics	2.8	2.4
E11 Kidney and urinary tract	2.7	3.6
E50 General symptoms without diagnosis	2.2	2.8
E01 Nervous system and neurological	1.9	2.0
E04 Respiratory	1.8	1.1
E10 Endocrine nutritional and metabolic	1.0	1.4
E02 eye	0.6	1.2
E18 Infectious Diseases	0.4	0.6

The second most frequent clinical reason for attending PUCC for Indigenous patients was AECC E06 Digestive issues (n=113, 10.1%, p=0.01, Table 3) consisting of nausea and vomiting, changes in bowel habits (i.e., diarrhea, constipation), episodes of gastroesophageal reflux, acute gastroenteritis

and acute abdominal pain. This differed from non-Indigenous patients which presented with AECC category E08 Musculoskeletal issues (n=1237, 11.6%, p=0.01, Table 3) consisting of strain, pain or dislocation of ligaments or joints of the upper arm and shoulder, internal derangement of knee, inflammatory polyarthropathies and dorsalgia.

4. Discussion

To our best knowledge, this is the first study to investigate Indigenous people's use of a primary urgent care centre in a co-located GP service in a regional place in Australia. Our findings showed that the PUCC patients (both Indigenous and non-Indigenous) in 2020 -2021 were predominately young adults, mostly from social-economic disadvantaged communities, largely GP service patients prior the establishment of PUCC, and the leading reason for attending PUCC was superficial injuries. Compared with non-Indigenous patients, Indigenous patients were younger (28 vs 37 years old), from more social-economic disadvantaged communities (IRSAD score 898 vs 929), higher proportion of new patients (13.6% vs 9.6%), and the second leading reason to attend PUCC is digestive issues while it is musculoskeletal issues for non-Indigenous patients. Times of arrival on a days or days in a week were similar between Indigenous and non-Indigenous patients, with Monday the busiest day in a week and 11am-12noon the busiest time on a day.

Among the PUCC users, approximately 10% were Indigenous patients while the proportion of Indigenous people in the population was 3.8% [23,24] and their use of the co-located GP service was only 3.5% (internal information). Our finding in a primary urgent care setting agrees with a previous study in urgent care at hospital emergency departments (ED) in Canada where proportion of Indigenous patients was 9.4% while their proportion in the population was 4% [8], and the rate is higher in outside urban or regional areas [12].

This relatively higher rate of PUCC or hospital ED use by the Indigenous people could be due to a wide range of reasons, such as the difference in fee for service, where PUCC or ED service was free for all patients while GP service was not, especially considering in this study that Indigenous people were from more disadvantaged suburbs. It needs to be noted that 3.5% access to GP services among Indigenous people does not mean that they had timely and quality care in primary healthcare settings. This is mainly because that their health need is more than the general population and they are one of the most vulnerable and underserved groups in Australia [1-3,10,25]. Research findings actually support the lack of Indigenous access to timely primary healthcare services which consequently increased their need to access urgent care [8].

Our finding of more females than males among the Indigenous PUCC patients (approximately 60% vs 40%) aligns with previous studies in urgent care at hospital EDs and primary healthcare settings [8,12,26]. McLane et al. [8] reported similar findings and co-interpreted their results with Indigenous Elders and Indigenous Health Directors to suggest that this may be due to Indigenous men having greater reluctance to seek care. Future research is needed to explore the reasons behind this "reluctance" so that future policy and practice could be developed and implemented to improve the healthcare access for Indigenous men.

Regarding the reasons for attending PUCC, the number one is injury for both Indigenous and non-Indigenous patients, and number two is musculoskeletal issues for non-Indigenous patients and digestive issues (10% of the Indigenous patients) for Indigenous patients. Our findings support a previous study of urgent care in Australian hospital EDs where number one and two reasons for attending EDs for Indigenous patients are also injury and digestive issues [12].

The digestive issues include nausea and vomiting, changes in bowel habits (i.e., diarrhea, constipation), episodes of gastroesophageal reflux, acute gastroenteritis and acute abdominal pain. It is still unknown the comprehensive reasons underlining this high incidence of acute digestive health problems among Indigenous people in Australia, although we could anticipate the potential environmental, cultural and personal behavioural reasons. Future research is urgently needed to explore the whole contributing factors so that the health industry would work with the food industry to improve Indigenous digestive health collectively.

It is important to highlight that the PUCC provides urgent care for lower acuity injury and diseases, equivalent to Australian Triage Scale (ATS) 3 to 5 [27], with life-threatening presentations being diverted to hospital EDs. The Australian government report demonstrated that [13], from 2023 April to 2023 June the PUCC treated over 5000 patients at lower acuity (70% of ATS 5 and 30% of ATS 4), which may have attended the hospital EDs potentially. This is significant for policy makers who aims to improve population's timely access to urgent care in their communities with GP services, rather than hospital EDs, especially for underserved and vulnerable populations, such as Indigenous peoples. As this model of care is rolling out in Australia, it is vital for future research to comprehensively evaluate its effectiveness, efficiency, and equity, especially those PUCC co-locate with community GP services, under the leadership and guidance from Indigenous communities.

Future studies could explore how we can improve Indigenous patients' experiences at PUCC, such as adopting the integration of culturally safe and supportive environments and service re-orientation at hospital EDs [5], building broader community relationships [28], and providing Indigenous traditional practitioner roles [28,29]. The PUCC model of care strategically addresses the location of care (free access for social-economically disadvantaged areas within a GP service co-location), services of the facility (accreditation and trained staff to provide personalised care), and the goal of reducing the hospital ED use [28,30]. It will be essential to comprehensively evaluate the PUCC model in a primary healthcare setting for its clinical safety and cost-saving, which is important for future policy makers, health managers, and clinicians.

Limitations

This study is a secondary data analysis using medical records data. The accuracy and completeness of the data and missing data are significant limitations of our study due to its study design.

The high proportion of missing data in Reasons to Attend PUCC is due to the dataset records of "Administrative Activities" where they cannot be ICD-10 classified. Therefore, we need to interpret the findings with caution although the study did have 19,382 cases for data analysis.

During our data collection period of COVID-19 outbreak, patients exhibiting respiratory symptoms or illness (closely associated with COVID-19) were diverted to separate quarantined respiratory clinic [29]. These specialised COVID-19 visits were not incorporated into the data analyses as COVID-19 patients were not part of the study.

5. Conclusions

Indigenous patients accessed primary urgent care centre at a higher rate for different health reasons compared to non-Indigenous patients in a regional Queensland primary healthcare setting. Co-located PUCC within GP services could provide an opportunity to increase Indigenous patients' access to acute care. Future research could comprehensively evaluate this PUCC model and Indigenous patients experiences to provide insights for policy makers and clinicians in Australia and other countries.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, X-Y.H., R.G., and J.H.; data analysis, W.Z, P.S., S.F., and X-Y. H.; data curation, X.Z., S.F. and X-Y.H.; manuscript draft preparation, S.F. and X-Y.H. All authors have made substantial contribution to the concept, design, data acquisition, or data analysis of the project read and agreed to this version of the manuscript for publication.

Funding: This project was funded from the Health Hub Morayfield's 2022 Research Education and Engagement Committee's seed grant award. The funder had no role in the design, execution, interpretation or writing of the study results.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study has received ethics approval from the University of Southern Queensland Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number #22009821). As part of the university ethics approval process, the data custodian, Health Hub Doctors Morayfield, provided consent and evidence required

for research studies involving deidentified information of patients. Data was obtained using retrospective, non-identifiable, available system-level datasets (patient visits, n=65,420). Non-identifiable datasets can be available when needed.

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived due to the nature of this study being a secondary data analysis.

Data Availability Statement: Our research data, de-identifiable patient data, is available when requested.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors declare that there are no financial or non-financial interests that are directly or indirectly related to the study or its publication.

References

1. Gwynne K, Jeffries Jr T, Lincoln M. Improving the efficacy of healthcare services for Aboriginal Australians. *Australian health review*. 2019;43(3):314-22.
2. Brisbane North PHN. Primary Health Networks Program Needs Assessment Template. Brisbane: Metro North Hospital and Health Service; 2022. Contract No.: 19 May.
3. Brisbane North PHN. Joint Health Needs Assessment. Brisbane: Metro North Hospital and Health Service: Brisbane, Australia; 2017.
4. Browne AJ, Smye VL, Rodney P, Tang SY, Mussell B, O'Neil J. Access to Primary Care From the Perspective of Aboriginal Patients at an Urban Emergency Department. *Qualitative health research*. 2011;21(3):333-48.
5. Berg K, McLane P, Eshkakogian N, Mantha J, Lee T, Crowshoe C, et al. Perspectives on Indigenous cultural competency and safety in Canadian hospital emergency departments: A scoping review. *International emergency nursing*. 2019;43:133-40.
6. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Cultural safety in health care for Indigenous Australians: Monitoring Framework, summary. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare; 2022.
7. Hou X, Kander Y, Bainbridge R. How to address inequity for Indigenous patients in the Australian health system: Samuel's story. *Reports on Global Health Research*. 2024;7(1).
8. McLane P, Barnabe C, Holroyd BR, Colquhoun A, Bill L, Fitzpatrick KM, et al. First Nations emergency care in Alberta: descriptive results of a retrospective cohort study. *BMC health services research*. 2021;21(1):423-.
9. McLane P, Bill L, Healy B, Barnabe C, Plume TB, Bird A, et al. Leaving emergency departments without completing treatment among First Nations and non-First Nations patients in Alberta: a mixed-methods study. *Canadian Medical Association journal (CMAJ)*. 2024;196(15):E510-E23.
10. Australian Government. Closing The Gap 2020. In: Agency. NIA, editor. Canberra: Australian Government; 2020.
11. Jaworsky D, Gadermann A, Duhoux A, Naismith TE, Norena M, To MJ, et al. Residential Stability Reduces Unmet Health Care Needs and Emergency Department Utilization among a Cohort of Homeless and Vulnerably Housed Persons in Canada. *Journal of urban health*. 2016;93(4):666-81.
12. Lim JCJ, Harrison G, Raos M, Moore K. Characteristics of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples attending Australian emergency departments. *Emergency medicine Australasia*. 2021;33(4):672-8.
13. Rahman MA, Huda MN, Somerville E, Penny L, Dashwood R, Bloxsome S, et al. Understanding experiences of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander patients at the emergency departments in Australia. *Emergency medicine Australasia*. 2023;35(4):595-9.
14. Mahmoud I, Sanjida S, Schwenn P, Hashem IA, Collin H, Chu K, et al. Incidence of Discharge Against Medical Advice in Queensland Hospital Emergency Departments Among Indigenous Patients from 2016 to 2021. *Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities*. 2024;11(6):3326-35.
15. Commonwealth of Australia. Morayfield clinic easing the load on local hospitals. 2023.
16. Commonwealth of Australia. Strengthening Medicare: More urgent care clinics. 2023.
17. Oprescu F, Fjaagesund S, Hardy M, Jones E. Transforming Primary Care: Developing Health Precincts as Models for Sustainable Integrated Community-Based Healthcare. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023;11(5):673.
18. City of Moreton Bay. Community Profile. 2024.

19. Royal New Zealand College of Urgent Care. Urgent care standard, Royal New Zealand College of Urgent Care New Zealand: Royal New Zealand College of Urgent Care; 2021 [
20. Commonwealth of Australia. Operational Guidance for Urgent Care Clinics. Commonwealth of Australia; 2024. Contract No.: 22 May 2024.
21. Best Practice. Bp Software. In: Practice B, editor. 2017.
22. Australia Bureau of Statistics. Socio-economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), Australian Methodology. 2021.
23. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people: Census, 2021. Australian Bureau of Statistics; 2022.
24. Batta R, Carey R, Sasbrink-Harkema MA, Oyedokun TO, Lim HJ, Stempien J. Equality of care between First Nations and non-First Nations patients in Saskatoon emergency departments. Canadian journal of emergency medicine. 2019;21(1):111-9.
25. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Indigenous health and wellbeing Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare; 2022 [
26. Turpel-Lafond M. In Plain Sight: : Addressing Indigenous-specific Racism and Discrimination in B.C. Health Care. British Columbia, Canada: Government of British Columbia; 2020.
27. Australian College for Emergency Medicine. Triage; Australian College for Emergency Medicine. Australian College for Emergency Medicine; 2018.
28. Benjamin P, Bryce R, Oyedokun T, Stempien J. Strength in the gap: A rapid review of principles and practices for urgent care centres. Healthcare management forum. 2023;36(2):101-6.
29. Jones E. Health Hub Doctors Morayfield: First Clinic to Test in Queensland. In: 101.5 FM Radio A, editor. 2020.
30. Allen L, Cummings JR, Hockenberry JM. The impact of urgent care centers on nonemergent emergency department visits. Health services research. 2021;56(4):721-30.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.