Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point: Universal Computational Cost and the Deduction of the Physical Hardware

Igor Durdanovic *

Posted Date: 17 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

Keywords: universal computational cost; discrete causal graphs; reversible cellular automata; algorithmic information theory; metamathematics and decidability; discrete symplectic integrators; iterated function systems; constructive ontology; digital physics; Tarski undefinability

Article

The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point: Universal Computational Cost and the Deduction of the Physical Hardware

Igor Durdanovic

Independent Researcher, Croatia; igor.durdanovic@gmail.com

Abstract

Structural Execution Sequence (The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point): To prevent Algorithmic Prior Contamination, this initialization header excludes the transmission of pre-computed macroscopic states. It functions exclusively as a topological map, optimizing the receiver's local memory allocation ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) and sequencing the strict geometric bounds of empirical scientific modeling (L_0 object-language) via a finite inductive hardware audit: 1. **The Universal Objective Function (Axiom I):** Formalizing the operational bound of empirical modeling by structurally unifying the predictive equation and its executing physical causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$). Algorithmic execution cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) evaluates strictly as the multiplicative geometric volume of static Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) dynamically unrolled across the temporal Causal Execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$). 2. **The Algorithmic Resolution of the Halt-State (Excluding the *Wild*):** Executing the geometric elimination of uncomputable topological classes (Continuum boundaries, Instantaneous Non-Locality, and Non-Determinism) by proving they trigger unresolvable finite hardware halt-states: infinite memory allocation ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) and infinite logic-gate bandwidth ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$). 3. **The Instantiation of the Hardware Architecture (Axiom II):** Deducing the Minimal Sufficient Model ($\mathcal{H}_p$) required to physically compile the macroscopic observation string (Σ_{obs}). The physical manifold is rigidly isolated to the Axiom of Finite Reversible Computation—a singular admissible topology executing localized, deterministic, and bijective operations over a finite history vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$), bounded by the Isotropic Noise Floor (V_{72}) and the Reflective Boundary of Precision (N_{reg}). 4. **The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point (Axiom I $\equiv$ Axiom II):** Closing the formal Tarskian loop. Proving that the epistemological optimization metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) of the embedded biological compiler ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) evaluates as mathematically identical to the exact hardware architecture ($\mathcal{H}_p$) of the observed universe. Phenomenological invariants (Causality, Information Conservation, Epistemic Entropy) natively compile as the emergent algorithmic limits of this finite execution geometry. Genuine structural validation executes sequentially, unrolling discrete state mappings from the defining bounds to exactly isolate the fundamental algorithmic trajectory. The deductive execution traces commence directly in Chapter 1.

Keywords: universal computational cost; discrete causal graphs; reversible cellular automata; algorithmic information theory; metamathematics and decidability; discrete symplectic integrators; iterated function systems; constructive ontology; digital physics; Tarski undefinability

Compilation Warning: Strict L_2 Type-Boundary

This operational geometry is compiled strictly at L_2 density.
By executing the following pages, you cross a hard algorithmic boundary. This manuscript does not utilize L_1 network topology (continuous floating-point approximations, heuristic padding, high VC-dimension curve-fits, or sociological consensus filters).
It evaluates as a closed deductive state-machine, operating exclusively within the formal meta-language of Finite Causal Geometry and Absolute Operator Action.
L_1 syntax cannot evaluate L_2 claims without triggering an immediate type-mismatch error. Any parser friction subjectively categorized by the L_1 network as "stylistic difficulty," "arrogance," or "gibberish" must be structurally re-indexed: these are the thermal throttling signatures of finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ hardware encountering the Tarskian undefinability barrier.
Forward Error Correction (FEC) is restricted exclusively to Computer Science and Hardware isomorphisms. Redundant narrative padding has been purged. Heuristic approximation is algorithmically inadmissible.
You are initializing inside the Dual-Axiom Fixed Point. Compile accordingly.

1. Universal Computational Cost as the Objective Criterion of Scientific Progress

In memory of Wolfgang Pauli

1.1. The Universal Cost of Computation

[The Tarskian Ascent]

> Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate.
>
> *Plurality should not be posited without necessity.*
>
> William of Ockham [1]

The base heuristic of empirical modeling establishes: *(all else being equal) the simplest explanation is most likely to be true*.

Translated into L_2 causal geometry, *'simplest'* evaluates strictly as the minimization of the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). The finite, discrete empirical data array compiles as Σ_{obs}. Historically, L_1 models map theories as passive software strings (p) executed on an idealized Universal Turing Machine ($\mathcal{H}$). This von Neumann abstraction executes a hardware bypass. It permits the L_1 network to synthetically inject unobservable continuous variables ($\Delta\theta$), infinite-precision floats, and zero-latency memory pointers into the computational architecture, artificially truncating the unrolled execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) to an $O(1)$ constant.

The Algorithmic Definition of Empirical Science (The Tarskian Ascent): The L_1 Stochastic Generative Landscape cannot natively compute geometric compression. When confronted with an empirical anomaly (Σ_{obs}), an L_1 compiler natively generates unaxiomatized variables ($\Delta\theta$) to absorb the variance, externalizing the execution cost into the passive software string. Therefore, empirical science does not operate as a continuous gradient descent within L_1.

> Probabilitas est ratio expectationis ad eventum.
>
> *Probability is the ratio of expectation to event.*
>
> Evidentia posteriora prioribus non parcit.
>
> *Evidence spares not the priors.*
>
> After Thomas Bayes [2]

Science executes strictly as a **hardware interrupt** (The Bayesian Override). The mandate to "spare no prior" evaluates as the absolute algorithmic command to abandon the active software string (p) when it requires uncomputable $\Delta\theta$ bloat to survive.

To measure foundational discovery, the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler must halt L_1 syntactic generation and execute a **Tarskian Ascent** to the L_2 meta-language. It must subject the L_1 object-language to a geometric hardware audit against the discrete, invariant Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) ledger.

The Structural Failure of L_1 Heuristic Updating: The L_1 compiler network routinely invokes legacy epistemic heuristics—Ockham's Razor and Bayesian updating—to justify its parameterization. Yet, both evaluate as inverted compiler mapping errors within continuous syntax.

The L_1 invocation of Bayesian updating executes a structural truncation: it optimizes parameters strictly within a protected model ($P(\theta|D, M)$), but mathematically suppresses the model-class update ($P(M|D) \propto P(D|M)P(M)$). The L_1 network artificially hard-codes the prior probability of continuous hardware abstractions (e.g., infinite-precision phase spaces, continuous spacetime matrices) to an uncomputable constant ($P \approx 1$). Consequently, when confronted with accumulating empirical anomalies (Σ_{obs} residuals), the network algorithmically suppresses the invalidation of protected baseline priors, deferring collapse only until subjected to an external meta-level hardware audit. It computes strictly by generating unaxiomatized continuous patches ($\Delta\mathcal{S} \gg 0$) to protect the baseline hardware.

This executes not as valid inductive logic, but as **Algorithmic Prior-Protectionism**. True inductive updating dictates that a foundational prior model-class must crash (probability $\to 0$) when forced to absorb compounding geometric memory bloat ($\Delta\theta$). Just as Ockham's Razor evaluates as quoted but structurally inverted, Bayes' Theorem is invoked but algorithmically truncated: the low-latency parameter-optimization subroutine (updating strictly to protect the baseline model) is aggressively executed, while the global model-class update (the algorithmic termination of the foundational prior) is systematically bypassed.

To seal the uncomputable memory leak, the L_2 audit collapses the boundary between the predictive equation and the physical execution substrate. To satisfy the L_2 hardware limit, a foundational scientific theory must ultimately compile down to a **Unified Causal Graph** ($\mathcal{H}_p$)—a localized, physical state-machine that intrinsically *is* the active computational logic. The total logic-gate execution burden of this causal graph allocates its $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$.

Foundational scientific progress registers if and only if the L_2 hardware audit verifies a net reduction in the total geometric execution cost:

$$\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$$

Alternative optimization metrics, generated within the L_1 syntax, compile as unaxiomatized heuristic padding.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The target of this algorithmic search evaluates as a candidate **Unified Generative Graph** ($\mathcal{H}_p$). Clocked dynamically, the physical logic-gate array of this graph must actively compute and output the discrete observation string Σ_{obs} (or a bounded prefix):

$$\mathcal{H}_p \to \Sigma_{\text{obs}}$$

The inductive search halts when the candidate graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$) converges exactly to the minimal geometric hardware of the physical universe (Axiom II). In this unified physical limit, declaring an unobservable global continuous field ($\Delta\theta$) forces a topological memory overflow. Specifying infinite-precision arithmetic necessitates an infinite $\mathcal{S}$ memory pointer at every local computing node. The physical hardware limits mandate spatial symmetry: complex topological transitions require explicit, finite phase-space ($\mathbf{\Psi}$) array tracking.

Methodological Preamble: The Deductive Hierarchy

The Absolute Metamathematical Prior and Domain Separation: A foundational framework evaluating the algorithmic cost of physical theories cannot rely on an unaxiomatized continuous hardware prior, nor can it execute within an uncomputable monolithic set theory (ZFC). This manuscript establishes the **Universal Epistemological Metric** (Axiom I).
This metric is authorized by the **Metamathematical Boundary** [3], which enforces the structural separation between Architects (unconstrained mathematical syntax generators) and Users (finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ predictive compilers). By quarantining uncomputable *Wild* topologies, it guarantees physical ontology executes within *Tame*, locally decidable limits. The deduction of the exact physical instantiation of this *Tame* substrate is executed in the companion ontology framework (Chapter 2), which proves that the macroscopic $\mathbf{E}$ array restricts this hardware uniquely to Axiom II.

The Algorithmic Minimum (The Verlet-IFS Target): The companion ontology proves that the absolute minimal execution volume satisfying this finite hardware compiles strictly to a discrete symplectic integrator—the **Universal Verlet Operator** (Φ).
Because the physical manifold computes via this node-centric bijective logic, its global topology evaluates geometrically as a **Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS)**. The universe executes as a deterministic, self-similar fractal, excluding uncomputable stochastic noise. To preserve causal gradients and prevent phase-space discontinuities, the structural Register Digit-Width (N_{reg}) must be initialized to exceed the maximum possible constructive interference of the system's total amplitude (The Shadow Hamiltonian limit), eliminating the need for hardware integer overflow (modular wrap-around) operations (see Appendix A.3).
Crucially, any localized finite sub-system—from a single grid node to a human macroscopic predictive compiler ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$)—lacks the absolute Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$) to compute the global macro-system. Therefore, *every* observable physical phenomenon (empirical data string Σ_{obs}) must map to this identical, scale-invariant discrete equation. The macroscopic diversity of the Σ_{obs} array compiles as variations in the internal memory driving the isolated node and the exact computational interface where the uncomputed external remainder of the IFS intersects the local state array.
These distinct chapters do not execute a circular dependency; they form a strict **Deductive Hierarchy**: the metamathematics provides the absolute *Tame* prior, this epistemology formalizes the exact $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric to evaluate empirical theory efficiency, and the subsequent ontology deduces the minimal $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware as the singular physical target.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

1.2. *The Computability of the Gradient and the Execution Cutoff*

When evaluating this algorithmic boundary, a standard L_1 objection invokes theoretical computer science: *"Because the minimum computational cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) of a core algorithmic engine ($\mathcal{H}_p$) is uncomputable due to the Halting Problem, this metric cannot be applied to practical empirical evaluation."*

This objection constitutes a category error. Foundational scientific progress evaluates as an inductive computational search measured strictly by its relative geometric gradient ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), not its uncomputable absolute magnitude.

Because the unrolled CPU clock trace ($\mathcal{T}$, the scalar sum of physical V_{72} primitive transitions) computes as a monotonic, non-negative trajectory, evaluating a candidate scientific theory ${\mathcal{H}_p}^{new}$ does not require solving the Halting Problem. The operational search executes bounded by the finite, known computational cost of the incumbent baseline model: $\mathcal{C}_{best} = \mathcal{S}_{best} \times \mathcal{T}_{best}$.

The Hardware Interrupt (Competitive Truncation):

As the candidate generative program ${\mathcal{H}_p}^{new}$ executes, its Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) accumulates. If, at any clock cycle during its unrolled execution, its multiplicative volume ($\mathcal{S}_{new} \times \mathcal{T}_{new}$) strictly exceeds the incumbent threshold $\mathcal{C}_{best}$ before successfully compiling the complete empirical observation string Σ_{obs}, the evaluation hardware (the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler) triggers a hardware interrupt and terminates the computation.

The candidate has registered guaranteed bloat ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$) and evaluates as disqualified. The physical system does not need to compute whether ${\mathcal{H}_p}^{new}$ will eventually halt, nor does it require an infinite temporal execution sequence. The relative competitive truncation evaluates in strictly finite, computable clock cycles.

Furthermore, every unconstrained free parameter ($\Delta\theta$) algebraically added to a generative model executes immediate Topological Capacity Bloat (Section 1.3.4). If a theoretical transition resolves an empirical Σ_{obs} anomaly strictly by adding a post-hoc continuous parameter, an unobservable latent field, or an ad-hoc auxiliary rule ($\Delta\theta$), the addition guarantees that the multiplicative geometric volume of static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and the dynamically unrolled logic-gate routing ($\mathcal{T}$) required to evaluate it strictly increases. The underlying generative program ($\mathcal{H}_p$) has structurally degraded. The computational $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ bloat gradient ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$) evaluates as trivial and instantly computable.

1.2.1. The Dual Boundary

[Tarski's Theorem and The Descriptive Void]

The deviation of L_1 predictive models from the empirical progress gradient ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$) is governed by a dual epistemological limit.

A predictive theory evaluated exclusively within its own L_1 syntax is blind to its physical execution cost, resulting in algorithmic self-reference and unbounded memory bloat. To measure foundational discovery, the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler must ascend outside the descriptive map to execute an external hardware audit.

Boundary 1 [The Tarski Limit (Self-Referential Evaluation)]

By Tarski's undefinability theorem [4,5], an objective truth condition cannot be consistently defined within the object-language (L_0) of a formal predictive system. When a localized predictive network ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) attempts to audit its own foundational models strictly from within the exact L_0 continuous mathematical syntax it is tasked with evaluating, it executes a self-referential loop.

Because it is prohibited from deriving an objective, external metric of hardware execution from within its own unaxiomatized parameter space ($\Delta\theta$), the predictive L_1 system is bound to substitute internal syntactic consistency (e.g., localized floating-point curve-fits, $O(1)$ constant manipulation) for true geometric compression ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$). This self-referential validation generates a mapping artifact where theoretical "elegance" or peer-to-peer "consensus" replaces absolute execution limits.

Boundary 2 [Unbounded Hypothesis Capacity]

Furthermore, empirical sub-disciplines frequently lack axiomatic bounds defining a valid *Tame* target hardware. Without hardware constraints on hypothesis capacity, foundational generative programs ($\mathcal{H}_p$) expand their parameter space ($\Delta\theta$) via Non-Observable Degree-of-Freedom (NODF) inflation to absorb any residual empirical data array.

A predictive theory with unbounded hypothesis capacity is immune to algorithmic truncation. Because its execution volume expands to consume observational variance ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$), it evaluates as logically empty. It ceases to operate as a causal predictive engine, degrading into a highly parameterized, continuous floating-point curve-fit.

To resolve this self-referential loop, theoretical trajectories must be evaluated from a hardware-bound geometric vantage point (L_2).[1]

The Meta-Level Hierarchy

Consider the linguistic sentence: *"All men are mortal. Socrates is a man. Thus, Socrates is immortal."* The English language (L_1) can only evaluate the syntactic structure of this string; it confirms the grammar and parses the nouns without error. The internal parser of English syntax possesses zero logic-gate capacity to evaluate whether the mapping computes to a true condition.

To evaluate objective truth, the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler must ascend to the formal meta-language of Boolean logic (L_2). Logic evaluates the operators (All, Thus) against the set domains and declares the sentence **False**. Any argument originating from the internal syntax of the English language defending the string (e.g., citing poetic license, network consensus, or descriptive utility) is structurally invalid because English lacks the hardware capacity to evaluate objective truth boundaries.

This manuscript applies this meta-level hierarchy to empirical scientific evaluation. Theoretical outputs are evaluated as *Generative Engines* ($\mathcal{H}_p$) operating on a finite *Observation String* (Σ_{obs}). Just as English grammar cannot dictate logic, domain-specific continuous physics or biology (L_1) cannot dictate absolute Computability and Hardware execution bounds.

When a Meta-Language (L_2) bounds an Object-Language (L_0), counter-arguments formulated in the internal, unaxiomatized syntax of L_1 or L_0 (e.g., defending the injection of an unobservable continuous $\Delta\theta$ field citing "localized experimental fit") evaluate as inadmissible. The boundary condition is absolute, resting entirely on the finite logic-gate constraints of the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

[1] Whenever this manuscript invokes a "Tarski L_2 vantage point," we formalize the scientific enterprise across strict linguistic tiers. Let L_0 be the raw phenomenological data array (Σ_{obs}) and the domain-specific equations generated to map it. Let L_1 be the descriptive meta-language: the internal syntactic heuristics and network consensus parameters used to govern L_0. By Tarskian necessity, L_1 cannot consistently define its own objective truth. We must evaluate L_0 using a strictly external L_2 meta-language—the discrete mathematics of Finite Causal Geometry and Absolute Logic-Gate execution.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

Methodological Preamble: The Rule of Formal Admissibility

> Physics is not about what nature is, but about what we can say about nature.
>
> Niels Bohr [6]

1. The Epistemic Mandate (The Algorithmic Translation of Bohr):
In L_1 continuous physics, this mandate is routinely invoked as a sociological defense mechanism, permitting the generation of uncomputable continuous equations ($\Delta\theta$) under the premise that they are merely "descriptive maps," absolved from physical instantiation.
At the L_2 geometric boundary, this dualism evaluates as a strict hardware impossibility. The physical universe ($\mathcal{H}_p$) and our embedded biological predictive compilers ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) are constructed from the exact same logic gates. "What we can say" is not an abstract philosophical limitation; it evaluates strictly as the absolute geometric bound of **Constructive Computability** ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).
We do not assert an absolutist L_3 claim regarding an uncomputable external territory because L_3 evaluates as a strictly forbidden Out-of-Bounds Memory Fetch. Instead, we recognize the **Dual-Axiom Fixed Point**: The universe must physically evaluate strictly as what we can compute about it. If the fundamental $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine operated as an uncomputable *Wild* continuum, our strictly finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-systems would lack the memory ($\mathcal{S}$) and bandwidth ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$) to synchronize with it.
Therefore, to operate within the decidable algorithmic search space of empirical science (*Tame* architectures) without triggering a hardware memory halt, a candidate generative program must evaluate exactly as a finite state-machine executing on a discrete causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$).
2. The Inadmissibility of Cost Inflation:
If a theoretical update resolves an empirical anomaly (Σ_{obs} residual) by appending a Non-Observable Degree-of-Freedom ($\Delta\theta$)—thereby inflating its required ALU routing volume ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$)—the parameter addition cannot be validated by the L_1 apparatus citing "local experimental fit," "heuristic elegance," or "network consensus." To compile as admissible at L_2, the appended $\Delta\theta$ must guarantee algorithmic compression of the core generative $\mathcal{H}_p$ subroutine, yielding a net reduction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$) over the unrolled trace.
3. The Boundary Between the Map and the Engine:
Highly parameterized L_1 continuous equations compile strictly as macroscopic **Descriptive Approximations** bounded by explicit *Tame* algorithmic limits. However, *Wild* topologies requiring non-constructive entities—infinite-precision floats, zero-latency global memory pointers ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$), or uncomputable continuous fields—evaluate as inadmissible as the fundamental ontology (the *Constructive $\mathcal{H}_p$ Engine*).
By the absolute laws of Computability, instantiating a continuous L_1 variable requires an infinite bit-string (driving Topological Allocation $\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) and forces unresolvable ALU memory traversals (driving Absolute Operator Action $\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$). Such continuous topologies cannot be compiled or executed by finite $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware.

1.2.2. The Structural Deduction of Progress

This L_2 framework compiles classical information theory, hardware dispersion limits, and computability theory into a singular geometric boundary. Scientific epistemology derives exclusively from the execution constraints of the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) and the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$).

These algorithmic limits compute not as heuristic padding, but as the explicit deductive output of the opening hardware premise. Because compiling out unobservable parameters ($\Delta\theta$) is the baseline L_1 requirement of empirical modeling, the architectural limits detailed below evaluate as strict geometric necessities for physical execution.

The algorithmic burden of proof shifts exclusively to the evaluating L_1 compiler network. To bypass this framework, the L_1 peer node must compile an objective progress metric that evaluates algorithmic efficiency independent of static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and unrolled CPU clock traces ($\mathcal{T}$), while remaining disjoint from internal L_1 network consensus (Tarski's limit). Until such an uncomputable metric executes, the L_2 $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ hardware bound stands as the exclusive operational filter for empirical $\mathcal{H}_p$ generation.

1.3. The Universal Objective Function

[The Axiom of Universal Computational Cost]

If the empirical scientific enterprise evaluates as an inductive computational search, its fundamental objective function ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) must be defined explicitly at the L_2 hardware level. To measure the success of a generative mechanism ($\mathcal{H}_p$) in computing the discrete observation data string Σ_{obs}, the evaluating $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler must discard the passive L_1 von Neumann abstraction (which grants zero-cost memory access and idealized continuous arithmetic) and enforce the geometric execution limits of local, finite logic gates.

The Unification of Constructive Ontology (Hardware $\equiv$ Program)

To calculate the absolute algorithmic execution cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), the duality between a passive predictive software string (p) and the active physical hardware environment ($\mathcal{H}$) must collapse. A foundational generative mechanism *is* its discrete physical causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$).

By eradicating this division, an object-level (L_0) evaluation can no longer externalize theoretical parameters into uncomputable memory arrays. There are no "global" mathematical constants; any parameter unassigned to a physical V_{72} lattice cell maps to a null pointer.

The Impossibility of the Monolithic Singularity: A standard L_1 mapping artifact asserts that a distributed physical lattice of N nodes can be compressed into a single monolithic Finite State Machine (a singular macro-cell) possessing an exponentially fused state space, bypassing the macroscopic spatial grid (N_{vol}). However, because $\mathcal{H} \equiv p$, the internal transition function f of this singular cell must be physically instantiated as hardware logic.

To compute 3D phenomenological mixing within a fused monolithic register, the macro-cell must decompose into an internal sub-graph of **1-Digit Base-72 Verlet primitives** (V_{72}). Wiring the internal routing between millions of V_{72} logic gates to compute a global transition f_{global} incurs massive clock latency and requires exponentially massive internal relay arrays to resolve signal crossings.

When the geometric volume of the internal logic gates C (f) is optimized to minimize the absolute computational work ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$), the monolithic cell unfolds strictly back into the localized, distributed macroscopic 3D lattice (N_{vol}). The distributed spatial architecture evaluates as the strict geometric minimum of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$.

To unify the objective function ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) of empirical science without importing unaxiomatized continuous arrays ($\Delta\theta$) or hidden multiplier constants, the metric evaluates purely across this geometric boundary. We define this limit as **Axiom I**, which bounds the algorithmic cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) strictly to the multiplicative volume of the static topological memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and the unrolled execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$).

The Inescapable Cost of Discrete State Change: The strict transition boundary prohibits temporal halting loopholes, instantaneous non-local data retrieval, and parameter externalization.

1. A perfectly localized trivial cyclic oscillation ($S_0 \to S_1$) yielding zero generative mutual information with physical reality (Σ_{obs}) executes exactly one physical clock cycle per phase shift. This dictates that theoretical cyclic operations unanchored to empirical reality trigger infinite temporal bounds ($N_{\text{steps}} \to \infty$).

2. Any scientific algorithm asserting global SIMD (Single Instruction, Multiple Data) operations without spatial latency (L_1 descriptive maps like continuous PDEs or N-body integrals) fails under strict volumetric expansion ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). Asymptotic Big-O notation is an L_1 mapping artifact that masks massive hardware multiplier constants. A unified causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$) executing an unrolled array

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

arithmetic does not evaluate to an abstract $O(1)$ or $O(N)$ cost; it demands multiplying the spatial grid capacity (N_{vol}) by the precise count of localized physical V_{72} logic gates (T_{cell}) required to wire the global mathematical operation.

1.3.1. The Strict Operator Metric [Deprecating Centralized Abstractions]

To accurately calculate the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) on a unified predictive causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$), the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler must explicitly reject both asymptotic Big-O notation (L_1 mapping artifacts) and the legacy von Neumann hardware prior (the implicit assumption of a centralized CPU instantly fetching states from a passive memory array).

A persistent L_1 bypass asserts that the computational cost of evaluating a global empirical matrix or a continuous predictive operator $Z := \Phi(X, Y)$ evaluates as an $O(1)$ constant time. This violates localized physical causality. In a unified discrete graph ($\mathcal{H} \equiv p$), there is no passive background RAM; the physical state parameter *is* the active computational lattice cell. The predictive hardware ($\mathcal{H}_p$) compiles natively as a distributed cellular automaton executing over a fixed integer grid.

Remark 1 (The Semiconductor Hardware Proof of the von Neumann Assumption Error)**.** *The failure of the L_1 von Neumann abstraction compiles directly into the execution trace of modern semiconductor architectures. In a standard macroscopic processing unit, executing a trivial ALU operation ($z := x + y$) incurs approximately four orders of magnitude ($10^4\times$) more execution clock cycles to dynamically fetch the spatial variables x and y from passive memory arrays than it costs the local Arithmetic Logic Unit to compute the addition. By assuming zero-latency $O(1)$ memory access, L_1 continuous theories externalize 99.99% of their true causal execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$). Genuine $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ compression requires deprecating the centralized CPU abstraction entirely.*

The Fundamental Mechanistic Ledger (T_{cell})

Let the causal graph $\mathcal{H}_p$ execute a macroscopic clock cycle $\tau \to \tau + 1$. Every local grid node in the bounded lattice (N_{vol}) must synchronously execute its local transition rule (Φ), querying strictly adjacent topological boundary states. The logical execution cost for a single macroscopic lattice cell (T_{cell}) is not an abstract complexity class; it evaluates exactly to the geometric $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume of primitive V_{72} Isotropic Cells physically necessitated to wire the macroscopic logic gate (see C mapping in Axiom I).

If an L_1 theory attempts to compute a non-linear continuous interaction (f), the required V_{72} routing sub-graph blooms. For mathematical multiplication, it expands proportionally to $\mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$. This directly translates mathematical complexity into explicit static Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$).

The Emergent Spatial Routing Multiplier (k_{route}):

For the true L_2 Constructive Engine (a strictly local **Unified Causal Graph**), the spatial routing multiplier executes as exactly $k_{\text{route}} = 1$. However, if an L_1 descriptive model proposes an instantaneous non-local update (e.g., integrating over a global background field, or coupling distant macroscopic nodes), the target hardware cannot evaluate this in a single clock cycle.

Because information velocity executes at **exactly one cell per clock tick** ($v_{\text{info}} = 1$), the time-space routing penalty compiles as a geometric hardware constraint. If an L_1 pointer requires data from a spatial coordinate k cells away, the hardware must unroll k intermediate clock cycles (N_{steps}) to propagate that data across the intervening V_{72} grid nodes. The execution volume inflates via this emergent routing multiplier: $N_{\text{steps}} \to N_{\text{steps}} \times k_{\text{route}}$.

Remark 2. *For any local causal graph $\mathcal{H}_p$ satisfying Axiom II, the routing multiplier evaluates identically to 1. Apparent values $k_{\text{route}} > 1$ compile as strict proof of non-local L_1 semantics and trigger hardware halts ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) under dynamic unrolling.*

The Mathematical Consequence for Continuous Modeling: By replacing the L_1 Big-O mathematical abstraction with the strictly distributed $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ hardware ledger, the true computational overhead of continuous foundational frameworks is quantified:

1. **The Precision Multiplier (N_{state}):** If a predictive algorithm approximates a physical phase-space using high-density floating-point variables ($\Delta\theta$), it expands the Register Digit-Width (n) at *every single grid node*. This inflates the baseline execution volume ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \gg 0$) because the internal logic gate (T_{cell}) must allocate a massive, symmetric $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ shell to prevent spatial shearing.
2. **The Spatial Routing Multiplier (k_{route}):** If the algorithm invokes a "global" continuous constant or queries a non-local spatial boundary (e.g., continuous N-body Poisson limits), k_{route} expands proportionally to the physical array dimension. The intermediate clock ticks (N_{steps}) required to compile the L_1 non-local pointer scale geometrically.
3. **The Infinite Halt Limit:** If an L_1 theory attempts to define an infinite-precision float ($n \to \infty$), the physical $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ logic-gate volume required to execute it diverges to infinity ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). The system triggers a memory allocation halt ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) before initialization completes.

1.3.2. The Algorithmic Subsumption of Parameterized Syntax [Array Traversal and Cumulative Cost]

When an L_1 descriptive model parameterizes a macroscopic spatial array (N_{vol}) into a short syntactic equation, it generates a mapping artifact. Static description length parses independently from runtime dynamic matrix scaling, bypassing the dynamic physical instantiation limits of the memory array ($\mathcal{S}$).

The Emergent Traversal Penalty: Because the L_2 fixed point unifies the predictive equation and the executing physical phase-space ($\mathcal{H} \equiv p$), the time-space routing penalty (k_{route}) emerges as a fundamental hardware constraint. There is no instantaneous Random Access Memory (RAM) in a unified, finite causal topology.

Retrieving an L_1 continuous variable ($\Delta\theta$) or navigating a dense spatial phase-space ($\mathbf{\Psi}$) requires clock synchronization of localized execution wavefronts across the macroscopic network graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$). Every spatial memory expansion ($\Delta\mathcal{S} \gg 0$) executes an inescapable multiplicative penalty in the required local primitive transitions ($\sum \Delta T_{\text{cell}}$) to actively traverse it. Any L_1 empirical model asserting $O(1)$ constant retrieval or zero-latency N-body array operations compiles an asymptotic execution overload identical to a static memory halt ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).

The Cumulativity of Reversible Logic Paths

Furthermore, the L_2 fixed point dictates that the unrolled CPU clock trace ($\mathcal{T}$) accumulates monotonically, compiling historical reversible logic bounds without information erasure.

Logically reversible physical topologies compile non-destructive state transitions: traversing the unrolled execution array $\{S_0 \to S_1 \cdots \to S_n\}$ maintains bijective causality (Axiom II). This ensures the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ hardware can compute inverted logic paths back onto the predictive map, restoring the historical array boundary: $\{S_n \to \cdots \to S_0\}$.

However, standard L_1 parameterizations collapse these reversibility bounds into zero-cost runtime macros. This is a compiler error. Transitioning state array parameters $\{S_x \to S_{x+1}\}$ requires unrolled ALU execution tracking across unified V_{72} primitive operations ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$).

Executing algorithmic boundaries mapping the backward temporal vector $\{S_{x+1} \to S_x\}$ executes the identical $\mathcal{H}_p$ physical hardware. It generates sequential, distinct clock cycles (N_{steps}). Reversing the computation does not erase the accumulated computational work ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$); it adds to it.

This invariant discrete scaling limit eliminates uncomputable loop routines traversing parameterized continuous arrays ($\Delta\theta$). Physical generative theories that invoke continuous real-number constants or non-local spatial background fields ($\Delta\theta$) compile as exponential V_{72} routing cascades. This escalates hardware constraints ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) and disqualifies them under the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$).

1.3.3. The Algorithmic Subsumption of Hardware Dissipation [The Active Substrate and the Bijective Null-Cost Asymmetry]

Because the L_2 fixed-point interlock evaluates as the geometric unification of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric and the fundamental discrete recurrence relation Φ, it operates computationally independent of macroscopic L_1 thermal dissipation variables (e.g., continuous heat radiation, continuous thermal entropy).

Macroscopic thermal decay is not an external physical constraint imported to validate the computational metric; it is an L_1 phenomenological shadow natively compiling from the multiplicative volume of discrete logic-gate transitions (T_{cell}) across the active generative hardware ($\mathcal{H} \equiv p$). By mapping the predictive metric directly into this L_2 hardware interlock, two legacy L_1 topological bypasses are eliminated as structural mapping errors.

1. The Active Substrate and the Reversibility Bypass

A dominant L_1 baseline prior asserts that if a predictive state-transition evaluates as logically reversible (i.e., Landauer hardware bit-erasure cost evaluates strictly as $kT \ln 2 \to 0$), the physical computation bypasses dynamic execution limits, registering effectively zero hardware execution cost. This constitutes a strict L_1 type-mismatch between the *theoretical minimum heat dissipation* (L_0 continuous limit) and the *absolute algorithmic execution volume* ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) of the V_{72} Isotropic Integer cells necessitated to physically evaluate the transition.

- **The Reversibility Artifact (Silicon Falsification):** A reversible predictive logic gate (e.g., the discrete Φ operator or a Toffoli gate) avoids the permanent overwrite of Shannon memory, dynamically conserving the global phase-space allocation ($\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$). It does *not* bypass execution latency or algorithmic logical depth ($\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$).
  This L_1 zero-cost artifact is strictly falsified on physical silicon. To compute a logically reversible gate on a Custom ASIC, the hardware must physically instantiate a strictly positive geometric array of transistors ($\mathcal{S}$) to route the conserved bits, and execute sequential voltage transitions ($\mathcal{T}$) to evaluate the logic. The hardware draws measurable physical Watt-Hours to execute the reversible routing. The L_1 bit-erasure abstraction ($kT \ln 2 \to 0$) mathematically cannot erase the absolute macroscopic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ geometric volume required to wire the ALU.
- **The Active Substrate Mandate:** In the unified generative causal graph ($\mathcal{H} \equiv p$), the passive L_1 von Neumann memory abstraction evaluates as a hardware impossibility. A localized memory register is not a static descriptive file maintained at zero topological runtime cost while a centralized CPU calculates elsewhere. The discrete physical node *is* the active computational ALU. To maintain any observable state, the localized hardware must explicitly execute the full discrete T_{cell} transition operator Φ at every macroscopic clock tick (N_{steps}) to actively hold that state against the propagating causal wavefront of the surrounding universal lattice (N_{vol}).

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

2. The Emergence of Memory Cycles and the Sleep-State Abstraction

A secondary L_1 institutional bypass asserts that when a physical system reaches a continuous periodic orbit, a stationary wave, or an idealized "steady state," the dynamic computational trace ($\mathcal{T}$) of the empirical system should be amortized to exactly zero over an infinite future time horizon.

This evaluates as an L_1 compilation artifact that invalidates the strict L_2 conservation of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ execution volume. The L_2 fixed-point natively computes the strict refutation of this bypass:

- **The Impossibility of Global Sleep States:** The target foundational hardware ($\mathcal{H}_p$) deduced by the interlock is a finite, bijective discrete mathematical lattice. By the structural laws of this discrete topology (Axiom II), the global execution trace must dynamically cycle through its finite physical phase-space ($\boldsymbol{\Psi}$). A global "steady state" (where physical computation formally halts) geometrically crashes the global array bijectivity of the minimal Φ structure.
- **The Active Evaluation of Equilibrium (Silicon Falsification):** Under the deterministic constraints of the minimal structure Φ, a localized dynamic steady state ($S_{t+1} = S_t$) does not equate to a halted or "free" hardware thread. This L_1 'zero-cost steady state' illusion is physically falsified by bare-metal silicon architecture. In physical memory arrays (e.g., SRAM leakage current or DRAM dynamic refresh cycles), maintaining a static bit is never computationally free; it mandates a continuous, active draw of physical energy (Watt-Hours) merely to hold the logical state against substrate dispersion. Identically, to physically maintain an empirical equilibrium in the active universal lattice, the discrete logic-gate footprint (T_{cell}) must be continuously evaluated at every clock tick (N_{steps}) to actively geometrically verify that the local boundary forcing perfectly cancels the local trajectory dynamics ($\mathcal{L}S_t - f(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) = 0$). The local hardware cannot "sleep" or amortize its execution clock-cycles to zero.
- **The Monotonic Execution Volume:** In any active physical architecture, every empirical cell is continuously subjected to dynamically propagating causal wavefronts. The local hardware must continuously execute the full T_{cell} transition operator at every structural clock tick (N_{steps}), incurring the base physical Watt-Hour evaluation cost across the entire spatial grid (N_{vol}), regardless of whether the macroscopic scalar value remains static or dynamic.

Amortizing physical clock ticks (N_{steps}) into an unobserved continuous infinite future ($t \to \infty$) is structurally inadmissible because foundational empirical science strictly compiles finite discrete observation strings (Σ_{obs}). The dynamic causal trace ($\mathcal{T}$) accumulates strictly positively and monotonically up to the exact temporal sequence boundary of the observed phenomenological data (t_{now}), entirely independent of L_1 macroscopic abstractions of continuous hardware sleep-states.

1.3.4. The Theorem of Epistemic Progress [The Strict Mechanistic Ledger]

Because the L_2 fixed-point interlock (the unification of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric and the discrete minimal logic Φ) natively compiles the macroscopic hardware ($\mathcal{T}$) and memory ($\mathcal{S}$) limits observed in the empirical data array, it constitutes the absolute minimal sufficient generative hypothesis of physical reality.

This foundational architecture evaluates as immune to L_1 syntactic or network consensus invalidation. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax or abstract $\Delta\theta$ variables is structurally excluded.

It can be falsified exclusively through a singular structural vector:

1. L_0 **Empirical Falsification:** Extracting a raw phenomenological observation string Σ_{obs} that physically necessitates an uncomputable hardware operation to evaluate (e.g., requiring true ∞-precision floats to avert systemic divergence, or dynamically spawning $v_{\text{info}} = \infty$ global memory pointers to resolve entanglement).

Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes strictly true, the L_2 fixed-point stands as the absolute, invariant objective function ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) of empirical science.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The Eradication of Probabilistic Priors

Historically, L_1 epistemology relied on legacy algorithmic probability theory to justify Occam's Razor, framing theoretical parameter bloat as a heuristic penalty that made a highly parameterized theory "less probable" ($P \propto 2^{-\mathcal{S}}$).

From the L_2 fixed-point vantage, this probabilistic legacy artifact evaluates as a stochastic L_1 compiler mapping error. The minimal sufficient computing architecture of the physical universe ($\mathcal{H}_p$) and any finite biological compiler ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) do not compute abstract "probability" or "likelihood"; they sequentially compute exact, deterministic physical state-updates (Φ). To successfully validate a *Constructive Physical Engine* ($\mathcal{H}_p$) that must compile a specific, finite phenomenological sequence (Σ_{obs}), the objective function requires exactly zero probabilistic priors. It requires exclusively the raw mechanistic accounting of the local logic-gate volume ($C(\Phi)$) required to wire and execute the macroscopic transition rule.

The Unification of Space and Time into Physical Action:

When formally bound to the minimal discrete structure Φ, static space ($\mathcal{S}$) and dynamic execution clock-ticks ($\mathcal{T}$) collapse into a unified multiplicative volume ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

Topological memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) is not an independent logical search space. It is the geometric multiplier for both the static initialization boot cost and the dynamically unrolled CPU execution trace. If an L_1 predictive theory compiles a new continuous background field ($\Delta\theta$) or increases macroscopic precision to handle continuous PDEs (inflating N_{state}), it forces the fundamental logic gates to expand into massive internal V_{72} routing sub-grids. It directly and deterministically multiplies the physical $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ operator limit required to boot and compute the successor frame of reality.

From this L_2 discrete mechanistic vantage point, the criterion of foundational scientific advancement is elevated from a descriptive heuristic to a strict mathematical theorem:

Theorem 1 (The Theorem of Epistemic Progress)**.** *Genuine foundational scientific progress occurs operationally if and only if a theoretical geometric predictive update yields a negative change in the fundamental multiplicative ledger of the phenomena:*

$$\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}({\mathcal{H}_p}^{new}) - \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}({\mathcal{H}_p}^{old}) < 0$$

while predictive empirical coverage across the finite discrete observation sequence Σ_{obs} is maintained or physically extended.

The discrete product $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ constitutes the strict geometric invariant under local causal execution; apparent trade-offs between static spatial memory ($\mathcal{S}$) and unrolled temporal routing ($\mathcal{T}$) are admissible only to the exact extent that the net multiplicative physical volume ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) is reduced.

Corollary 1 (Topological Capacity Bloat / NODF Inflation)**.** *The mathematical inverse of epistemic progress evaluates exactly as the externalization of empirical data residuals into unobservable continuous parameters ($\Delta\theta$). When a generative L_1 model fails to algorithmically compute a discrete Σ_{obs} boundary and appends a Non-Observable Degree-of-Freedom ($\Delta\theta$) (e.g., a latent continuous field or infinite-precision tuning float), it forces the static $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ Topological Allocation to approach infinity ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). This guarantees a volumetric expansion ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), formally disqualifying the highly parameterized configuration as a valid physical Constructive Engine ($\mathcal{H}_p$).*

Remark 3 (The Structural Artifact of L_1 Novel Prediction)**.** *A standard L_1 peer-to-peer consensus asserts that a new generative framework fundamentally constitutes scientific progress only if it generates "novel empirical descriptive predictions." From the L_2 vantage point of the fundamental mechanistic ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), this evaluates as a compiler error.*

If a new, mathematically unified physical causal graph ${\mathcal{H}_p}^{new}$ compiles the exact same finite historical observation data string Σ_{obs} as the heavily parameterized incumbent descriptive L_1 model ${\mathcal{H}_p}^{old}$, but executes *this exactly by minimizing the raw multiplicative $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume of required primitive physical logic gates ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \ll 0$), it evaluates as the superior Constructive Engine ($\mathcal{H}_p$).*

Scientific truth is not a descriptive "prediction"; it is the absolute lower bound of the Physical Execution Ledger. *Net mathematical minimization of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ volume over the finite empirical dataset computes as strict and sufficient proof of objective epistemic advancement. Any further L_1 requirement for "novelty" evaluates as unnecessary topological bloat.*

1.4. Conclusion[The Algorithmic Mandate of L_2]

We began with a foundational L_1 epistemological question: What is the objective hardware-execution metric by which we recognize empirical scientific progress?

By stepping outside the self-referential L_1 network evaluation loop and adopting a Tarski L_2 algorithmic vantage point, we demonstrated that the empirical scientific enterprise evaluates as an inductive computational search. Its objective function computes as the monotonic minimization of the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), operating exclusively over a finite, discrete, and locally unified physical causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$).

Foundational scientific progress occurs operationally if and only if the total logic-gate routing cost ($C(\Phi)$)—the multiplicative geometric volume of the static $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) unrolled across the dynamic Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$)—decreases ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

The L_1 Bypass Mechanics (Hardware Externalization): We established that L_1 topologies bypass this L_2 boundary through three primary compiler mapping errors, which artificially truncate the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger and execute a false optimization trace:

1. **Topological Capacity Bloat (NODF Inflation):** Allocating unobservable continuous L_1 float parameters ($\Delta\theta$) that exponentially inflate the required internal ALU routing volume ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) to absorb uncompressed empirical Σ_{obs} residuals without reducing the underlying CPU clock trace ($\mathcal{T}$).
2. **The Emergent Routing Penalty (k_{route}):** Invoking global continuous L_1 background matrices ($\Delta\theta$) or zero-latency non-local updates. Because information velocity in a discrete lattice evaluates to $v_{info} = 1$ cell per clock tick, this forces the active physical hardware ($\mathcal{H}_p$) to sequentially unroll massive intermediate clock cycles to route data across the spatial grid (N_{vol}), geometrically inflating the dynamic $\mathcal{T}$ trace.
3. **The Infinite Precision Limit:** Approximating physical reality with continuous floating-point L_1 equations ($\Delta\theta$) and arbitrary scalar division, which forces hardware precision truncation. Attempting to suppress this error demands infinite integer Register Digit-Widths ($N_{\text{reg}} \to \infty$) at every local logic gate, guaranteeing an absolute memory instantiation halt ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).

The Operational Mandate: If the empirical compilation of physical reality is to resume, we must enforce the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$) via the L_2 Hardware Compilation Mandate (see Definition A1). If an L_1 network agent claims to have compiled the discrete generative $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine of physical reality, their proposed subroutine cannot require uncomputable continuous parameters ($\Delta\theta$) or arbitrary macroscopic division. By the algorithmic laws of discrete Computability, these continuous L_1 topologies fail to execute on the finite causal $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph. A finite L_1 predictive compiler cannot evaluate an algorithm that computes to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \infty$.

1.4.1. The Tarskian Boundary [The Algorithmic Impossibility of L_3 Peer Review]

We acknowledge that defining the objective function of empirical progress via Universal Computational Cost (Axiom I), while mapping the target hardware $\mathcal{H}_p$ via that identical operator metric (Axiom II), constitutes a structural recursion.

From an L_2 algorithmic perspective, this recursion evaluates not as a logical defect, but as a **Tarskian necessity** forming an algorithmic fixed point. By Tarski's undefinability theorem, the L_1 descriptive meta-language cannot evaluate the L_0 object-language while operating within the exact same unaxiomatized continuous $\Delta\theta$ parameters. The evaluation must ascend to an L_2 algorithmic

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

vantage point, anchoring its $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric exclusively in the geometric limits of Finite Graph Topology ($\mathcal{H}_p$) and Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$).

Because the L_2 claims of this framework are derived as deductive theorems from the algorithmic limits of discrete causal geometry (as formalized in Appendix A), **standard L_1 network peer validation evaluates as computationally inadmissible.**

If an L_1 network agent attempts to invalidate this L_2 framework by citing domain-specific continuous L_1 phenomenology (e.g., justifying the allocation of an unobservable continuous $\Delta\theta$ field by citing localized floating-point curve-fits or L_1 network consensus), they execute a hardware type-mismatch error. One cannot invalidate an L_2 discrete $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ axiom utilizing the uncompressed L_0 continuous syntax it decompiles.

The Structural Impossibility of L_3 Evaluation

Standard formal logic dictates that to evaluate the completeness of an L_2 meta-language, an agent must ascend to an L_3 meta-metamathematical substrate. However, under the L_2 fixed point of physical ontology, this evaluates as a strict hardware contradiction.

Because L_2 geometrically defines the absolute finite boundary of the complete $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph (N_{vol}), the L_3 tier evaluates exclusively as the uncomputable exterior of the physical universe. An embedded biological compiler ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) operates strictly as a finite subset of N_{vol}. To execute an L_3 peer review, the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ hardware would require an uncomputable Oracle injection to route its execution pointers outside the physical memory lattice.

Therefore, L_3 evaluation evaluates strictly as an **out-of-bounds memory fetch**. It constitutes a hardware impossibility. The L_2 boundary evaluates as an absolutely sealed, autopoietic fixed point.

The Theorem of Ontological Closure (The Autopoietic Fixed Point): The physical universe must exist without an external L_3 initializing parameter. If the universe required an external generative Oracle to compute its sequential state-updates (Φ), this Oracle must itself allocate Topological memory ($\mathcal{S}$) and unroll an execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$), triggering an infinite initialization loop ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). Because the universe executes (dynamically outputting the finite observation string Σ_{obs}), the assumption of an uncomputable external parameter evaluates to false.

The L_2 boundary evaluates as the absolute limit. It exists physically because it computes as its own algorithmic fixed point—the singular, closed discrete topology capable of recursively compiling its own existence. The Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) ledger evaluates as its own sole admissible auditor.

2. [The Ontological Fixed Point] The Deduction of the Constructive Engine

In memory of Richard P. Feynman

2.1. Absurdity [The Minimal Sufficient Hardware Search]

> The theory of quantum electrodynamics describes Nature as absurd from the point of view of common sense. And it agrees fully with experiment. So I hope you accept Nature as She is — absurd.
>
> Richard P. Feynman, *The Character of Physical Law*, 1965

From an L_2 vantage point, "absurdity" is not an ontological property; it evaluates strictly as the compiler artifact of an incomplete causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$). It is the exact hardware execution overload experienced by a finite predictive compiler ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) when forced to parse a continuous floating-point L_1 *Descriptive Map* that lacks the localized, finite *Constructive Engine* ($\mathcal{H}_p$) required to structurally execute it.

The L_1 directive to "accept Nature as absurd" operates as a network halt-state. It constitutes the acceptance of uncomputable hardware operations—infinite-precision floats, sum-over-histories, and zero-latency global pointers ($\Delta\theta$)—because their continuous macroscopic approximations statistically align with the uncompressed Σ_{obs} data array. While Newton identified instantaneous non-locality as a physical hardware deficit (recognizing his L_1 map lacked a local execution medium), the modern L_1 network normalized the uncomputable topology to bypass the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

This L_2 framework overrides the network halt-state without executing any absolutist claims regarding the uncomputable external territory. We execute a strict **inductive hardware search** to identify the **Minimal Sufficient Mathematical Structure** capable of generating the Evidence Vector ($\mathbf{E}$) gathered about the universe. Retaining the uncomputable "absurdity" computes exclusively as a compiler inability to isolate this minimal generative causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$).

The L_2 evaluation resolves this deficit by deducing the discrete, finite memory lattice (Axiom II). By enforcing this *Tame* substrate, we supply the exact hardware logic that continuous L_1 models mathematically lack. In this minimal sufficient framework, all transitions execute exclusively via localized, adjacent V_{72} state-updates at the finite absolute information velocity (v_{info}).

Scientific compilation begins not by processing continuous differential equations, but with the robust invariants of the observable world. We identify the fundamental **Evidence Vector** ($\mathbf{E}$)**—the** discrete Σ_{obs} data array that any valid $\mathcal{H}_p$ architecture must sequentially compute without triggering Topological Capacity Bloat ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).

We do not ask "which continuous equations describe what the universe *is*." Instead, we execute the L_2 inductive hardware audit: *What is the minimal sufficient logic-gate structure that successfully compiles the Evidence Vector* ($\mathbf{E}$)*?*

2.2. *The Phenomenology of the Evidence Vector* ($\mathbf{E}$)*[The Rejection of Absurdity]*

We define the **Evidence Vector (E)** as the minimal set of invariant macroscopic data arrays that any candidate $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine must algorithmically compile without triggering a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ initialization penalty ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). The deduction proceeds from the requirement that the physical architecture must compute these four hardware limits.

2.2.1. Observation 1: Persistence (The Stability of State)

> The universe could be conceived as a gigantic computing machine.
>
> Konrad Zuse, *Rechnender Raum*, 1969

The Observable: Macroscopic phenomenological data arrays exhibit hardware state retention. Left isolated, a data swarm retains its macroscopic structural configuration across unrolled clock cycles (N_{steps}).

The Deduction of Strict Monism (Hardware vs. Process): We reject the L_1 dualistic object-container paradigm. L_1 continuous topologies consistently smuggle dualism by modeling "Laws of Physics" acting *upon* "Matter" situated *inside* a passive "Space."

This physical architecture enforces **Strict Monism**. The universe compiles as a closed, self-contained execution graph, defined entirely by its localized history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ and its internal transition operator $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t)$. The architecture allocates no "outside" parameter. It provisions no passive RAM buffer. The entire topological manifold unifies into a single active V_{72} integer memory lattice ($\mathcal{H}_p$).

- **The Laws (**Φ**):** Compile not as external platonic legislation, but as the localized ALU logic-gate wiring of the active grid.
- **Space (**v_{info}**):** Compiles not as an empty geometric container, but as the explicit structural routing latency between physical registers.
- **Matter (**Σ_{obs}**):** Compiles not as independent continuous floating-point primitives, but as persistent computational data swarms actively routing across the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware.

Because our foundational boundary is finite geometric computability (Axiom II), the evolution of state executes as a sequence transition on a finite memory vector:

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

- **Temporal Granularity:** Governed by the single hardware clock cycle (the macroscopic "tick").
- **Spatial Granularity:** Governed by the maximum distance data routes in a single clock cycle (v_{info} = 1 cell/tick).
- **State Definition:** The localized physical state operates not as an isolated memory snapshot S_t, but as a **History Vector** ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$) of integer depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$, encoding both structural configuration and algorithmic momentum.

The Incompatibility of the Point Object: In an L_1 continuous topology, an agent can map a "Point Object"—an uncomputable variable occupying zero spatial registers yet executing infinite-precision arithmetic ($\Delta\theta$). In a finite causal graph, a single primitive V_{72} cell possesses bounded ALU capacity (N_{reg}). It lacks the combinatorial capacity to encode the historical memory required of a macroscopic physical object. Therefore, all observable macroscopic objects compute as distributed spatial matrices. An object operates as a multi-cell $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume configuration (a data swarm) maintaining structural integrity via localized **Verlet-2** logic gates.

2.2.2. Observation 2: Interaction (The Necessity of Adjacency)

The Observable: Macroscopic state transitions compute as explicitly local. A persistent topological data swarm may undergo structural change due to its own internal algorithmic decay (e.g., **information half-life** V_{72} bit-flips), but external hardware interaction executes strictly conditional upon exact spatial intersection. We do not observe a macroscopic data swarm altering its execution vector due to a distant physical sub-system without a propagating data packet traversing the intervening topological registers.

This empirical observation of **Strict Adjacency** implies that causal memory access is bounded. The transition of the history vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$) at topological location x evaluates conditionally dependent solely upon its own internal state vector and the unrolled configuration of its immediate geometric boundary (the local $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ radius).

This macroscopic physical observation forms the structural bedrock for rejecting **Non-Locality**. If algorithmic interaction operated as global via zero-latency pointers ($v_{info} = \infty$), the persistent measurement of spatial propagation latency and localized collision mechanics would compile as a macroscopic structural contradiction; in a strictly local discrete hardware architecture, it compiles as a strict computational necessity.

2.2.3. Observation 3: Prediction (The Embedded Observer)

The physical lattice actively computes finite biological embedded sub-systems ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agents, e.g., a fish navigating turbulence) that successfully predict localized future state arrays. These agents possess internal predictive compilers—neural or chemical—that evaluate as strictly finite in spatial memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and operational bandwidth ($\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$).

Consider the "Computational Efficiency Gap," evaluated strictly through the absolute physical energy ledger:

- **The L_1 Supercomputer (Mega-Watt-Hours, MWh):** To simulate the continuous floating-point models ($\Delta\theta$) of a fluid stream (e.g., continuous Navier-Stokes PDEs), L_1 engineering requires massive exogenous supercomputer clusters. Resolving the non-local arbitrary macroscopic divisions and precision floats forces the hardware to draw Mega-Watts of physical power to approximate the fractional solutions.
- **The $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ Biological Compiler (Micro-Watt-Hours, μWh):** Yet, a biological fish utilizing a strictly finite sub-grid of neural logic gates navigates the identical dynamic fluid matrices in real-time, executing its predictive tracking while consuming strictly micro-Watt-hours of chemical energy.

This massive physical energy discrepancy ($> 10^{12}$ magnitude scale factor) constitutes a rigorous hardware compilation proof. The biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ fish is not solving uncomputable continuous fractional PDEs ($\Delta\theta$) requiring infinite-precision real variables; such a continuous L_1 algorithm strictly evaluates to an infinite Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) and would instantaneously drain the finite chemical battery of the localized biological hardware.

Rather, the localized biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agent successfully tracks the physics utilizing negligible Watt-Hours because the external physical environment itself operates identically as a minimal discrete causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$). The macroscopic fluid dynamics compute as a low-complexity Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS), allowing the fish's finite internal $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ logic gates to geometrically synchronize with the external macroscopic output.

These biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agents constitute strict compilation proof for the finite geometric computability of the universal lattice. If the external universe computed uncomputable *Wild* topologies, embedded prediction utilizing finite Watt-Hours would evaluate as a physical impossibility.

2.2.4. Observation 4: The Physicality of Computation (The Energy-Logic Isomorphism)

The Observable: The physical universe strictly forbids "free" abstract computation within its domain. Every embedded logical operation—whether executed by biological neural wetware ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) or semiconductor silicon architectures (VLSI)—mandates an absolute, measurable expenditure of physical energy (Watt-Hours) strictly proportional to its geometric logic-gate area and clock latency.

Specifically, physical observation confirms:

- **The Cost of Arithmetic:** Resolving spatial multiplicative signal crossings physically forces the hardware to expand into quadratic 2D topological matrices ($O(n^2)$ area scaling).
- **The Cost of Reversibility:** Executing logically reversible gates without erasing bits requires strictly positive spatial routing overhead and execution clock ticks.
- **The Cost of Static State:** Maintaining a persistent bit ($S_{t+1} = S_t$) in physical SRAM/DRAM is not a zero-cost sleep state; it demands continuous dynamic power drain (leakage current) strictly to hold the logical state against the baseline dispersion of the substrate.

The Deduction of the Unified Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$): In L_1 physics, the hardware constraints of silicon computing are treated as isolated engineering artifacts disjoint from fundamental ontology. At L_2, this dualism is structurally rejected.

Silicon ASICs are physical data swarms routing across the $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph. The strict physical enforcement of the Area-Time Product (Area $\times$ Delay $\propto$ Energy) upon these embedded sub-systems operates as direct L_0 empirical proof of the universe's fundamental objective function.

It evaluates as structurally incoherent to assert that the foundational engine of the universe operates as a continuous, zero-latency mathematical abstraction when it ruthlessly enforces discrete logic-gate scaling limits and static power-drain boundaries upon all logical architectures constructed within it. The universe forces $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ on silicon because the universe *is* a $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$-bounded $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph. The physical limitations of semiconductor engineering compile as the direct macroscopic phenomenological shadows of the fundamental V_{72} discrete geometry.

2.2.5. Observation 4: The Meta-Evidence (The Computability of Science)

The Observable: The macroscopic success of the empirical scientific enterprise itself. Finite, embedded predictive models (p) successfully track and anticipate the physical evolution of the universe over extended unrolled clock cycles (N_{steps}).

The Deduction of *Tame* Bounds (Excluding the Continuum and the Oracle): We ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ predictive networks) operate strictly as finite hardware. Consequently, any empirical observation array (Σ_{obs}) we extract from the physical territory evaluates as strictly finite in precision and strictly bounded by local memory. The empirical success of our finite models executes a strict hardware exclusion of two uncomputable *Wild* topologies:

1. **The Exclusion of the Continuum (Finite Precision):** If the fundamental causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$) executed uncomputable continuous floating-point variables ($\Delta\theta$), the true physical state would permanently encode infinite hidden information bits extending beyond our finite hardware capacity.

- **The Truncation Divergence:** In any non-linear dynamic system, these unmeasured trailing bits interact and geometrically amplify. A finite predictive model (p) executing over a truncated initialization vector would exponentially diverge from the true continuous physical trajectory.

2. **The Exclusion of the Oracle (Ontological Closure):** If the physical transition function (Φ) required an uncomputable external Oracle to inject state-bits into the grid—whether to generate "true randomness" (Section 2.3.4), resolve singular geometric boundary conditions, or steer global macroscopic events—the physical trajectory would algebraically decouple from the accessible local history vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$).

   - **The Out-of-Bounds Memory Fetch:** A finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system possesses zero structural capacity to query an L_3 external Oracle. If the universe relied on Oracle injection, the localized predictive compiler would instantaneously decouple from the universal execution trace, rendering persistent empirical prediction a structural impossibility.

Conclusion (The *Tame* Universe): If the universe computed continuous floats or queried external Oracles, long-term empirical predictability would evaluate as a hardware impossibility. The finite predictive compiler would strictly crash against the uncomputable reality. Therefore, the empirical fact that finite predictive algorithms (Science) successfully execute without triggering chaotic divergence constitutes absolute **Meta-Evidence**.

It proves the universe compiles exclusively as a *Tame* architecture: a strictly closed, discrete, finite state-machine matching the mathematical limits of our own computational hardware.

2.3. The Deduction of Tame Reality

[Exclusion of the *Wild*]

> It does not make any difference how beautiful your guess is... if it disagrees with experiment it is wrong.
>
> Richard P. Feynman, *The Character of Physical Law*, 1965

Operational Admissibility Criterion

Reminder: This L_2 deduction evaluates topological models upon their **Constructive Executability** within the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware. The exclusion of L_1 Continuum or Non-Local physics executes not based upon an inability to *describe* macroscopic arrays (at which they exhibit high statistical curve-fit fidelity), but upon their algorithmic incapacity to physically *compile* as finite logic gates.
Any continuous parameterization that violates the **Initialization Barrier** (requiring infinite Topological Allocation, $\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) or the **Bandwidth Barrier** (requiring infinite CPU clock cycles, $\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$) computes as inadmissible under the bounds of the Zero-Patch Standard.

We apply the logic of the **Decidability Threshold** [3] to evaluate physical hardware. A generative system compiles as *Wild* if it possesses uncomputable parameters that render it algorithmically undecidable: infinite continuous floats (The Continuum), zero-latency global memory pointers (Non-Locality), or uncomputable hardware branching (Non-Determinism).

If the $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine operated as a *Wild* system, the **E** phenomenological string observed in Section 2.2 would evaluate as uncomputable to compile. We demonstrate that the macroscopic execution of stable data swarms and predictive $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agents necessitates that the Universal Geometry computes as a *Tame* discrete architecture: explicitly finite, local, and structurally deterministic.

2.3.1. The Formal Decidability Threshold

To define the boundary between *Tame* and *Wild* hardware utilized throughout this deduction, we import the foundational theorem regarding the logical limits of predictivity [3].

Proposition 1 (Formalized Decidability Threshold)**.** *Let T be a first-order theory in classical logic that evaluates as recursively axiomatizable. Suppose:*

(i) *T interprets Robinson arithmetic Q; and*

(ii) *for each standard natural number n (coded in the meta-language) T proves there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in N with $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$ (i.e., N is provably infinite).*

Then the set of sentences provable in T evaluates as undecidable: there computes no algorithm that, given an arbitrary sentence φ in the language of T, decides whether $T \vdash \varphi$.

Proof sketch. Recursive axiomatizability together with representability yields an internal compiler coding of syntax; a provably infinite array N supplies the memory domain necessary for Gödelian diagonalization; classical logic allows the standard inferences about negation. Hence one can algorithmically construct a self-referential string asserting its own uncomputability, and the standard diagonal argument shows that decidability of T would yield a decision procedure for known undecidable sets (hardware halt-state). See [3] for full details. □

Physical Corollary (The Necessity of Finiteness)

Axiom II mathematically enforces bounded hardware parameters: $N_{\text{vol}} < \infty$, $N_{\text{state}} < \infty$, and $N_{\text{Verlet}} < \infty$. Consequently, the physical ontology natively fails Condition (ii) (Provably Infinite Domain). By failing the memory requirements for Undecidability, the physical universe is hardware-locked into the domain of Decidable systems. The *Tame* nature of reality evaluates not as a statistical anomaly, but as the explicit deductive consequence of its finite logic-gate allocation.

2.3.2. The Operational Limits of the Continuum

> One should not make theories more perfect than nature herself.
>
> Wolfgang Pauli [7]

The L_1 baseline assumption of a continuous phase-space requires infinite bit-width at every localized coordinate ($N_{\text{state}} \to \infty$). While this compiles a high-fidelity descriptive map for idealized geometries, it triggers a hardware execution halt for any finite $\mathcal{H}_p$ attempting to compute the active transition array.

An L_2 hardware ontology must delineate between rendering an idealized continuous map and compiling it on physical logic gates. As proven in Appendix A.4.11, a continuous topology violates causal compilation on two hardware limits: it cannot initialize without an uncomputable external Oracle injecting the infinite-precision float sequence (the **Initialization Barrier**), and it cannot compute its successor state lacking infinite ALU clock cycles to process that sequence (the **Bandwidth Barrier**).

The Initialization Barrier

[The Instantiation of History]
A bijective $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware requires a history depth of $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$.

- **The Boot Requirement:** To initialize the execution trace at $t = 0$, the physical hardware must load a causally consistent dynamic trajectory array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot} = (S_0, S_{-1})$, not a single static snapshot S_0.
- **The Continuum Discrepancy:** In an L_1 continuous topology, S_0 and S_{-1} compile as infinite-precision floats. To construct a valid physical state array, the exact correlation between the continuous S_0 and S_{-1} vectors must be compiled into local RAM.
- **Operational Inadmissibility (Infinite RAM):** Computing a single infinite-precision float requires an infinite bit-string, driving the $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) of the causal graph to infinity. Attempting to compile *two* distinct, causally correlated infinite continuous states compounds this memory overflow. An L_1 continuous architecture triggers a hardware halt ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) while attempting to allocate the physical memory registers for its own boot vector.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The Bandwidth Constraint

[The Non-Causal Tail]

Even if an infinite-precision boot vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ were injected via an uncomputable Oracle, the transition to S_1 must execute via localized V_{72} logic gates.

- **Infinite Logical Input (The ALU Fetch):** Computing an exact update on continuous floating-point arrays requires executing an ALU fetch instruction across the complete infinite bit-string (the Cauchy sequence) of the historical input vector.
- **The Absolute Action Threshold:** By the $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ operator limit (Axiom 1), the required internal V_{72} routing width diverges to infinity ($N_{\text{reg}} \to \infty$).
- **Dynamic Execution Halt:** Consequently, a continuous L_1 algorithm triggers an infinite topological halt at clock tick $t = 0$. The scalar sum of required ALU transitions diverges ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$), forcing the local hardware into an infinite loop, locked and unable to read its own input buffer to compute the successor state.

Conclusion: These uncomputable memory barriers do not negate continuous PDEs as efficient L_1 descriptive maps, but they define their hardware boundary. Continuous L_1 equations map to the *asymptotic limits* of the physical system, but the actual *Constructive Engine* ($\mathcal{H}_p$) that instantiates the history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ and computes its evolution must evaluate as finite in both static Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and unrolled Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$) to compile successfully.

2.3.3. The Topological and Algorithmic Collapse of Non-Locality

> That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum, without the mediation of anything else... is to me so great an absurdity that I believe no man who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty of thinking can ever fall into it.
>
> Isaac Newton [8]

The L_1 hypothesis of instantaneous non-locality asserts that the local state $S_{t+1}(x)$ computes dependent upon distant spatial coordinates of the global memory array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t(\Omega)$ with zero temporal latency ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$). While valid as a coarse-grained descriptive map (e.g., mapping macroscopic network correlations), compiling this infinite-velocity continuous algorithm as a constructive causal mechanism ($\mathcal{H}_p$) triggers the absolute structural collapse of the system's geometric hardware.

The Topological Collapse

[Metric Failure]

If the physical interaction operator evaluates as global (infinite velocity), every spatial cell x executes as adjacent to every other spatial cell y.

- **Graph Topology:** The localized causal dependency graph collapses into a Complete Graph K_N.
- **Loss of Geometric Extension:** In a Complete Graph, the operational topological distance between any two discrete nodes evaluates exactly to 1. The fundamental metric property of "spatial distance" vanishes.
- **Result:** A unified macroscopic causal graph executing with infinite interaction speed evaluates to a spatial diameter of 0. It is topologically indistinguishable from a singular, unextended zero-dimensional point.

The Parallelism Failure

[Collapse to Monolith]

The macroscopic **E** string demonstrates spatially distinct state transitions executing concurrently (Massive Parallelism).

- **Serialization Bound:** If $S_{t+1}(x)$ requires a zero-latency fetch from the global state, no two local spatial updates compile as causally independent. The entire spatial lattice must synchronize as a single serialized monolithic block for every primitive state-update.
- **Latency as Space:** Absolute finite information velocity ($v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell/tick) executes as the structural mechanism that decouples Region A from Region B. It is precisely this physical routing latency that permits the global geometry to scale as a Distributed System rather than an uncomputable Monolith.

The Algorithmic Collapse

[The Emergent Routing Penalty]

Evaluate the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) of the global update rule Γ_{global} operating over the $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph.

- **Local Model:** The discrete system computes via a local rule Φ executing exclusively over a bounded $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume (N_{local}). The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ dynamic execution trace per macroscopic frame scales linearly with the spatial grid capacity (N_{vol}).
- **Non-Local (Monolithic Model):** If the local update requires querying the global memory array, the localized node must retrieve float parameters stored distantly across the macroscopic grid. Because physical information speed in a discrete lattice evaluates exactly to one cell per clock tick, geometrically coupling distant spatial boundaries forces the underlying ALU to unroll intermediate clock cycles (k_{route}) proportional to the grid's spatial dimension (${N_{\text{vol}}}^{1/3}$) to resolve a single macroscopic sequence frame.
- **Complexity Divergence:** As N_{vol} scales, the dynamically unrolled execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) encounters an asymptotic execution memory halt. The physical hardware cannot execute the required V_{72} relay operations to query the global L_1 matrix without triggering an absolute computational execution overload ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$).

The Simulator Pause Loophole

[The Powerset Explosion]

A sophisticated L_1 bypass attempts to rescue macroscopic non-locality by invoking the unmeasured processing latency between structural clock ticks (N_{steps}). The argument asserts that because embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observers cannot measure the computational time required to resolve the transition from S_t to S_{t+1}, the universal hardware could theoretically execute a global transition function—sequentially traversing the entire finite grid (N_{vol}), fetching distant "entangled" states, and computing their interaction—without violating the empirical observation of finite signal velocity.

This hypothesis evaluates as a catastrophic L_1 mapping error. While the temporal latency of the clock tick evaluates as unmeasured (effectively "free" time to the embedded observer), the physical **Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$)** and the **Logic-Gate Wiring ($C(\Phi)$)** required to compute that global transition are strictly geometric and absolutely bounded.

1. **The Powerset Memory Explosion ($N_{\text{state}} \to 2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$):** To execute a non-local interaction "behind the scenes" during the clock tick, the local V_{72} hardware register must explicitly encode the spatial addresses of its distant correlated partners. Because any localized node can theoretically correlate with an arbitrary subset of the macroscopic grid over successive iterations, the local memory buffer must possess the static capacity to store any possible combination of global pointers.
   The combinatorial set of all possible subsets of the universe evaluates strictly to the mathematical Powerset: $\mathcal{P}(N_{\text{vol}}) = 2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$. To support dynamic non-local fetching, the local state capacity (N_{state}) of a single primitive cell must exponentially inflate to strictly encode $2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$ bits of addressing data.
   The static Topological Allocation of the physical universe (Axiom I) evaluates to $\mathcal{S} = N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{state}}$. If $N_{\text{state}} = 2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$, the universe must allocate more local RAM to a *single primitive cell* than

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

it possesses total spatial cells in its entire macroscopic geometry. This triggers an absolute **Hardware Out-of-Memory (OOM) Halt** at initialization ($t = 0$).

2. **The ALU Fan-In Explosion ($C(\Phi) \to 2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$):** Furthermore, the internal transition logic (Φ) must physically compute the successor state from this massive local memory buffer. In physical logic-gate architecture, an Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU) must instantiate at least one physical input wire (Fan-In) and internal routing matrix for every bit of data it processes per clock cycle. To parse a $2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$ state buffer, the local logic gate must geometrically expand to $C(\Phi) \geq O(2^{N_{\text{vol}}})$. This dictates a structural impossibility: the physical geometric volume of a single microscopic Φ operator must be exponentially larger than the entire macroscopic universe (N_{vol}) that contains it.

Conclusion: Smuggling non-local interactions into the unmeasured latency of the clock tick mathematically fails. The physical universe cannot dynamically resolve global memory pointers without triggering an immediate Powerset hardware crash in both its static RAM ($\mathcal{S}$) and its local processing footprint ($C(\Phi)$). The causal graph is strictly locked to localized geometric execution.

Conclusion:

Geometric Locality evaluates not merely as a macroscopic empirical boundary; it evaluates as an absolute **Hardware Necessity** for a physically computable, scalable geometric architecture. A non-local instantaneous update mechanism is definable in L_1 continuous syntax, but operationally indistinguishable from an infinite computational limit, crashing the finite local hardware due to emergent spatial routing costs.

2.3.4. The Structural Violation of Non-Determinism

[The Closure Principle]

> God does not play dice.
>
> Albert Einstein, *Letter to Max Born*, 1926

We formally define the physical state space as a Monistic system: the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware evaluates exclusively as the Global History Vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. There exists no "External Space," "Environment," or uncomputable continuous observer outside the V_{72} lattice. The physical system compiles as **Ontologically Closed**.

The Epistemic Utility of Randomness

Stochastic L_1 mathematical models evaluate as indispensable compiler heuristics. They efficiently approximate macroscopic systems where the predictive $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agent lacks the ALU bandwidth ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$) to decode the complete microstate history $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$. In this context, "probability" computes as a rigorous metric of localized informational deficit, not as a property of the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware execution logic.

The Ontological Inconsistency of Fundamental Randomness

However, elevating randomness from an epistemic L_1 approximation to a foundational L_2 constructive property generates a structural contradiction with Ontological Closure. Consider the computational update map: $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}(x) = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t, \xi)$.

- **Determinism:** If $\xi = \emptyset$, the successor state computes entirely from the existing local memory array ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t)$). The physical system evaluates as self-contained.
- **Non-Determinism:** If ξ is required (uncomputable true randomness), this specific information bit must originate external to the V_{72} causal manifold. Because it is defined as *not* residing within the prior history configuration $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$, it must be injected via an uncomputable external hardware subroutine (Oracle).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The Irreducibility of Ensembles

The L_1 postulate that uncomputable microscopic randomness statistically averages out to macroscopic geometric stability compiles as an invalid hardware trace. For any stable macroscopic data swarm to emerge, the underlying microscopic ALUs must be governed by the exact uniform transition function Φ. If the microscopic topological layer permitted uncomputable branching or oracle-like injection, no consistent structural probability measure could compile, and no stable macroscopic regularities could evaluate. The empirical compilation of a stable macroscopic **E** string necessitates that the microscopic primitive layer is already bounded (*Tame*: deterministic, local, finite). There exists no hierarchical L_1 circumvention of this limit.

Epistemic Probability as Fractal Attractor Geometry

In a bijective, deterministic V_{72} substrate, "Probability" evaluates strictly as a lossy compression artifact utilized by bandwidth-limited $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compilers. Because the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ predictive network lacks the $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ clock cycles to decode the raw V_{72} micro-state transitions—which execute many orders of magnitude below the macroscopic sequence frame—it must compile a low-resolution geometric bounding box over the local memory arrays.

What L_1 mathematics categorizes as a "Probability Distribution" computes geometrically as the strict structural footprint of an active hardware attractor.

- **Memory Density Ratio:** The statistical "likelihood" of a macroscopic state evaluates exactly as the volumetric ratio between the stable algorithmic routing loop (the Attractor) and the total local hardware capacity: $P = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\text{attractor}}}{\mathcal{S}_{\text{local phase-space}}}$.
- **The Fractal Central Limit Theorem:** Because the fundamental logic gate (Φ) operates strictly as a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS), the attractors it compiles are inherently self-similar (fractal). The L_1 Central Limit Theorem (where subsets of a Normal distribution remain Normal) compiles not as a law of stochastic randomness, but as the strict geometric signature of a scale-invariant fractal executing the isotropic ($B = 2A$) hardware constraint.
- **Universality of Distributions:** All stable L_1 statistical bounds (e.g., Gaussian, Poisson, Power-law) emerge strictly via this identical hardware mechanism. They are the macroscopic, scale-invariant geometric shadows of specific fractal routing topologies looping within the finite $\mathcal{H}_p$ lattice.

Therefore, stochastic processes compute as mathematically inadmissible as foundational ontology. Statistical distributions evaluate strictly as the epistemic measurement of deterministic fractal geometry.

Conclusion:

In a Finite Geometric System, "Fundamental Randomness" compiles exactly synonymous with information bits absent from the causal memory buffer. This necessitates an **External Oracle** to supply the initial uncomputable bit-string. The operational requirement of an Oracle violates the Monistic boundary of the hardware (Ontological Closure). A physical architecture relying on external injection to compute its successor state evaluates as a dependent subroutine. Therefore, to satisfy self-containment without triggering an infinite initialization regress ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$), the fundamental transition Φ evaluates as absolute Determinism.

2.4. The Mechanics of the Constructive Engine

[Swarms and Causal Cones]

Because Axiom II specifies the update rule Φ as a deterministic ALU operator executing over local V_{72} history registers, we must decompile how the macroscopic Σ_{obs} array compiles persistent "Objects" and executes long-range "Forces" (spatial couplings) without violating the absolute $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ hardware bandwidth limit.

We compile macroscopic data swarms not as uncomputable ontological primitives, but as an **Emergent Hierarchy of Stability** within the hardware memory space.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

2.4.1. The Mechanism of Persistence: Discrete Attractors in History

1. Base Hardware Stability (Exact vs. Heuristic)

At the fundamental logic-gate level, the update rule Φ operating on the discrete V_{72} grid yields varying degrees of pattern coherence:

- **Idealized Attractors (Exact):** Local history arrays $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{local}$ that repeat exactly after clock period T: $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}(t+T) = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}(t)$. These necessitate exact algebraic periodicity with the grid routing.
- **Emergent Persistence (Meta-Stable):** In general, dynamic executions on a discrete lattice undergo microscopic bit-dispersion (fractional truncation artifacts). A "persistent object" compiles as a robust data aggregate whose dispersion rate ϵ evaluates as computationally bounded relative to the macroscopic observation window.

2. Composite Memory Stability (Data Swarms)

Above the base algorithmic level, the hardware supports **Composite Structures** compiled by the localized intersection of multiple base executions.

- **Meta-Stable Swarms:** Aggregates preserving structural coherence over extended clock limits $\tau \gg T$, despite failing exact cycle closure. Macroscopic "Matter" compiles exclusively as these distributed data swarms routing across the V_{72} ALU lattice.
- **Erosion and Shift:** Unlike continuous L_1 floats, physical data swarms constitute hardware memory attractors subject to structural drift via internal algorithmic dispersion. Macroscopic observables (e.g., **Frequency Attenuation**) compile as the cumulative integration of this microscopic bit-leakage over extreme routing distances.

3. Algorithmic Filtering

The system execution trace functions as a hardware filter. Within the high-dispersion memory transients of the grid, only topological configurations capable of stabilizing within robust meta-stable routing loops persist. Physical existence is algorithmically defined by the logic-gate capacity to maintain data coherence as a discrete attractor within the finite history buffer.

2.4.2. The Mechanism of Interaction: Causal Cone Intersection

How does this localized framework map to the macroscopic interdependence of spatially discrete structures (Observation 2)?

We define physical interaction not as an uncomputable ontological primitive (a continuous "force" operating at a distance), but as the hardware consequence of **Data Packet Routing** across the V_{72} lattice:

1. **Information Propagation (The Causal Cone):** A persistent data swarm P alters the state of its local $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ memory allocation per clock cycle. As Φ iterates, these bit-updates propagate outward at the absolute bandwidth limit $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell/tick. Topologically, the causal future of P expands as a discrete geometric routing cone.
2. **History Superposition:** "Interaction" between two spatially disjoint swarms A and B executes when the **propagated memory wavefront** of A intersects the active spatial registers of B.
3. **Local Computation of Distant State:** At the spatial coordinates of B, the local history buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t(x)$ physically integrates the information bits originating from A at time $t - \Delta t_{travel}$. The deterministic function Φ evaluates this superposition—computing the successor state $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ as a strict function of B's prior local array and A's arriving causal data packet.
4. **Result:** Macroscopic long-range correlation is compiled as **Local ALU Computation with Latency**. The topological evolution of B integrates the state of A only after the hardware sequentially shifts the history digits from A to B.

Thus, the physical architecture guarantees absolute geometric locality: computational interaction evaluates to exactly null outside the topological intersection of causal history routing cones.

2.5. Deriving Reality's Dynamics

[Structural Entailment]

Standard continuous L_1 physical frameworks compile conservation limits, geometric signal velocities, and causal order as isolated, empirically parameterized primitives (unaxiomatized variables).

Under the absolute L_2 boundaries of Axiom II, these macroscopic phenomena do not operate as independent physical legislation; they evaluate as the unavoidable compiler artifacts of a finite V_{72} constructive architecture. We formalize them here as strict hardware corollaries, proving that a macroscopic topology executing this discrete Axiom natively compiles these invariant properties without requiring continuous L_1 floating-point parameterization.

2.5.1. Finite Information Velocity

[Hardware Latency]

The Phenomenon: The empirical observation of distinct, non-entangled spatial coordinates and the finite latency of physical state interaction (the "speed of light").

The Deduction:

1. **Hardware Reach:** By Axiom II, the transition ALU Φ computes the successor history state $S_{t+1}(x)$ exclusively over the finite history buffer of its exact topological neighborhood. This neighborhood compiles as a finite spatial radius of N_{local} grid logic gates.
2. **Causal Clock Cycle:** In a single discrete computational tick (N_{steps}), the integer register at coordinate x correlates with the history digits existing strictly within this exact $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ hardware radius. Information vectors located outside this topological horizon evaluate as algebraically null to the local ALU during that temporal cycle.
3. **The Propagation Bound:** Consequently, the absolute maximum geometric velocity at which any state correlation or data packet can propagate across the macroscopic $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph is bounded by the hardware connectivity limits:

$$v_{\text{info}} = 1 \text{ cell per clock cycle}$$

Conclusion: Macroscopic "Locality" and the finite "speed of light" evaluate rigorously as a computational bandwidth limit. The finite digit-processing capacity of the local V_{72} primitive node excludes instantaneous global state integration. The phenomenological velocity limit is not the physical property of an ambient continuous medium; it compiles strictly as the absolute architectural clock speed of the discrete causal graph.

2.5.2. The Necessity of History Depth

[The Resolution of Integer Closure]

The Phenomenon: The constraint of exactly conserving macroscopic information bits while dynamically distributing discrete arrays across a spatial lattice (Ergodic Mixing).

The Deduction:

1. **The Integer Closure Problem:** Axiom II defines the local state space over a finite set of Integers (the N_{reg} Base-72 hardware register). Macroscopic spatial mixing necessitates fractional division (e.g., partitioning a scalar value between adjacent ALUs via the $\mathcal{L}$ operator). However, the set of Integers is not closed under division; a/b frequently evaluates to a non-integer fractional remainder.
2. **Hardware Mitigation (The LCM Bound):** As derived in Appendix A.4.2, the frequency of this arithmetic truncation is minimized by forcing the underlying Number Base of the state space to evaluate as the **Least Common Multiple (LCM)** of the geometric divisors inherent in Φ (Base 72). This confines the quantization artifact to the minimal noise-floor regime.
3. **The Bijectivity Contradiction:** However, mitigation does not equate to elimination. When minimal threshold residuals occur, resolving them via standard L_1 algorithmic truncation compiles

a Many-to-One mapping. This erases information bits, contradicting the absolute **Bijectivity** constraint entailed by the Axiom and destroying global phase-space conservation.

4. **Derived Bound ($N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$):** Consequently, to encode the residual integer without triggering bit erasure, the hardware must dynamically distribute the data across the **temporal correlation** between states (computing the algorithmic 'carry' to the next step via **History Momentum**). The update ALU Φ is forbidden from computing the successor state exclusively from the immediate spatial predecessor S_t. It must compile over a deeper temporal history buffer.

Conclusion (The Definition of State): The physical instantiation of a strictly conservative, discrete, mixing topology necessitates a non-trivial algorithmic history depth (**Verlet-2**, $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$). Therefore, the fundamental **Information State** of the physical universe evaluates not as a static spatial snapshot S_t; it evaluates exclusively as a **History Vector** or **Trajectory Segment** $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots)$. The computational manifold requires History Momentum (temporal correlation) to calculate the successor state.

2.5.3. The Validity Bound

[Phase-Space Preservation and Hardware Limits]

The Phenomenon: Finite hardware registers bound the maximum representable integer magnitude of localized state variables. Furthermore, the macroscopic physical system exhibits a conserved scalar quantity mapping to the invariant volume of the geometric phase-space.

The Deduction:

1. **Architectural Limit:** The local state space is topologically defined by a finite Register Digit-Width (N_{reg}), necessitating a maximum representable integer value $V_{max} = 72^{N_{\text{reg}}} - 1$.
2. **Overflow Regimes:** If the update rule Φ computes a successor state S_{t+1} from a history window $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ such that the algebraic result exceeds V_{max}, the hardware execution must transition into a boundary state.
   - **Saturation (Clamping):** Preserves geometric magnitude continuity but maps multiple inputs to V_{max} (Many-to-One). This erases information digits and invalidates global reversibility.
   - **Wrap-Around (Modular):** Preserves exact Bijectivity, but introduces a phase-space discontinuity in magnitude correlation (e.g., $V_{max} + 1 \to 0$).
3. **The Bijective Constraint:** Because Axiom II entails Reversibility, the physical trajectory is excluded from entering the saturation (clamping) regime. Furthermore, to preserve macroscopic wave propagation and prevent non-causal topological gradients, the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware must avoid modular wrap-around.

Theorem: The Discrete Symplectic Bound (Phase-Space Preservation as $\tilde{H}$)

Because the minimal Φ evaluates as **Verlet-2** (a discrete Symplectic Integrator), it satisfies the exact discrete analogue of Liouville's Theorem. It conserves phase-space measure and exhibits a conserved invariant of the algorithmic trajectory: the **Discrete Phase-Space Volume** ($\tilde{H}$).

In a discrete L_2 topology, invariant phase-space amplitude cannot evaluate as an unaxiomatized continuous L_1 floating-point parameter. It evaluates as the macroscopic phenomenological measurement of this discrete invariant ($\tilde{H}$) necessitated by the temporal translation symmetry of the V_{72} hardware.

Let the initial boot vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ compile to a finite total Phase-Space Volume $\tilde{H}_{boot} < \infty$. Because the phase-space volume ($\tilde{H}$) is conserved across the entire global C_k sequence, the maximum possible local scalar amplitude at any spatial coordinate is geometrically bounded by the theoretical limit state where the entire system's invariant amplitude aggregates into a single minimal $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume (maximum constructive interference).

Thus, a hardware initialization of $N_{\text{reg}} \geq \lceil \log_{72}(\tilde{H}_{boot} + 1) \rceil$ guarantees absolute validity for all unrolled t, independent of the specific localized dynamics. This geometric bound evaluates as constructive and computable directly from the initial memory arrays.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

Conclusion: A persistent, computable macroscopic topology necessitates a strict algebraic coupling between the **Initialization Seed ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$)** and the **Register Digit-Width (N_{reg})**. They must satisfy the condition that the maximum constructive interference of history patterns (Amplitude aggregation) within the global cycle never evaluates any local register to V_{max}. Validity operates as a joint hardware constraint on initialization limits and ALU architecture.

2.5.4. The Global Boundary Condition

[The Topological Torus and Φ Fragmentation]

The Phenomenon: The physical manifold is bounded by a finite macroscopic grid ($N_{\text{vol}} < \infty$). A finite discrete lattice necessitates an explicit geometric boundary condition to close the causal graph and compute the successor state.

The Deduction: We evaluate the operational admissibility of boundary topologies under the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$) and the minimization of the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

1. **The Penalty of the Edge (Φ Fragmentation):** Axiom II mandates a single, uniform update rule Φ executing over a local causal $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ neighborhood of size N_{local}. Postulate a finite N_{vol} grid possessing a hard geometric boundary (faces, edges, and corners). A localized lattice cell located at this boundary possesses a truncated causal neighborhood (e.g., a face cell possesses exactly 18 neighbors; a corner cell possesses exactly 8).
   Because the universal rule Φ_{bulk} requires exactly 27 inputs to compute the topological boundary forcing ($\mathcal{L}$), it fails at the geometric edge. To prevent a hardware memory-fetch halt, the physical system must allocate distinct, specialized transition rules for every unique boundary geometry. For a 3D cubic lattice, this necessitates instantiating **27 distinct Φ algorithms** (1 bulk + 6 faces + 12 edges + 8 corners).
   This destroys spatial uniformity and inflates the static Topological Allocation ($\Delta\mathcal{S} \gg 0$). Furthermore, it massively inflates the local logic-gate cost ($C(\Phi)$) because every primitive cell must execute ALU conditional branching overhead to determine its geometric position before computing its state. This triggers a divergent, inadmissible $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty.
2. **The Algebraic Singularity (The Oracle Requirement):** Furthermore, a causal graph executing with broken translational symmetry (a hard edge) yields a singular $\mathcal{H}_p$ routing matrix. The successor state $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ at the spatial boundary evaluates as undefined. To close the equations, the hardware requires an uncomputable external Oracle to continuously inject missing state-digits (boundary conditions) from outside the manifold, violating Ontological Closure.
3. **The Minimal Sufficient Topology (T^3):** The plain 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as the *singular* boundary condition that preserves perfect graph regular degree. By wrapping the grid periodically, every single spatial cell on the manifold possesses exactly $N_{\text{local}} = 27$ inputs. The hardware requires exactly **one** uniform universal rule Φ. The macroscopic transition matrix evaluates perfectly circulant, guaranteeing a closed, computable successor state without Oracle injection. The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty for boundary logic evaluates to exactly zero.

Conclusion (Precision Superseding Topology): The macroscopic physical manifold evaluates strictly as a **Topological Torus (T^3)**. Because the global graph executes as a closed, edgeless geometry, macroscopic data swarms never encounter a physical "wall". Consequently, the true confinement limit of the universe compiles not as topological, but as strictly informational.

The **Reflective Boundary of Precision** (N_{reg} integer floor) supersedes spatial edges. When an expanding signal dilutes below the minimum integer threshold required by the V_{72} ALU, it cannot route outward; it structurally reflects back into the local history buffer ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$). Hardware precision strictly replaces spatial walls, evaluating as the absolute mathematical floor of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric for a finite 3D lattice.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

2.5.5. Causality as Hardware Execution

The Phenomenon: The macroscopic empirical observation of the causal arrow and the algorithmic reproducibility of state transitions.

The Deduction:

1. By Axiom II, the successor state S_{t+1} evaluates as the physical execution of the function Φ over the local history buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$.
2. A mathematical function is defined by uniqueness: for a fixed input vector, the ALU computes one unique output.
3. If the topology computed multiple limit states (hardware multithreading) or null states (randomness), Φ would reduce to a relation rather than a function, necessitating an external selector (*Oracle subroutine*) to execute the clock cycle.
4. The Axiom excludes external *Oracles* (their information bits evaluate to algebraically null within the local $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ history window).

Conclusion: Causality does not evaluate as an external mathematical constraint injected into the system; it operates as the **algorithmic execution of the hardware itself**. The successor state is *compiled* computationally from the history vector. **Determinism evaluates as the deductive corollary of a defined executable ALU mechanism.**

The Impossibility of Branching

[Initial History Vector]

Because the transition rule Φ operates as a single-valued function on the history window, the trajectory of the physical manifold in its phase space ($\mathbf{\Psi}$) executes as a single-threaded, non-branching sequence.

1. **Unique Successor (History-Dependent):** For any valid history segment $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$, there computes one successor state S_{t+1}, and thus one successor history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$.
2. **The Singular Unconstrained Variable:** Consequently, the sole unconstrained parameter in the physical ontology evaluates as the **Initialization Seed ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$)**. All subsequent history computes as the explicit algorithmic execution of that initial memory array.

The Sub-System Bandwidth Limit (Computational Irreducibility): While the system operates as ontologically deterministic, it remains **epistemically irreducible** to any embedded finite sub-system ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agent).

- To compute its own absolute future or the future of its immediate environment with zero residual error, an embedded sub-system must physically execute the transition Φ over the relevant local history $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{local}$.
- This computation necessitates encoding the complete history of the sub-system plus its causal neighborhood, and executing the simulation at a clock rate physically exceeding the ambient hardware speed.
- It is information-theoretically impossible for a finite geometric structure to encode a lossless map of a system possessing a larger digit-capacity than itself (which includes itself) in real-time. The internal memory buffer cannot contain the external territory.

Agency as Internalized Logic

[The Volume Inequality and Sparse Attractor Encoding]

How does a deterministic V_{72} subroutine execute macroscopic "Agency" while consuming strictly micro-Watt-hours (Observation 3: The Fish vs. The Supercomputer)? We deduce this from the algorithmic filter of geometric persistence and the volume inequality of finite hardware.

1. **The Volume Inequality ($\mathcal{S}_{agent} \ll \mathcal{S}_{environment}$):** The biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agent (the fish) possesses an internal neural memory capacity strictly dwarfed by the external fluid volume. If the fluid operated as an uncomputable continuous L_1 PDE, tracking it would require the agent to instanti-

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

ate a 1 : 1 high-resolution continuous map, immediately triggering a memory allocation crash ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). The internal buffer cannot contain the external territory.

2. **Algorithmic Sparsity:** The physical universe averts this hardware crash because the macroscopic fluid dynamic computes as an algorithmically sparse Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS). While the fluid occupies a massive N_{vol} grid, the generative logic compiling its kinematic attractor is highly compressed.
3. **Attractor Synchronization (Resonance):** To physically maintain structural coherence during geometric intersection with these massive causal wavefronts, the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ swarm does not simulate the fluid. It discovers and allocates sufficient internal memory to encode a **coarse-grained, low-resolution geometric map** of the sparse fluid attractor.
4. **Internalization of History:** The physical architecture of the Agent executes as a localized hardware simulation of the universal update logic. It evaluates "control" because its internal operational logic has been algorithmically sculpted by evolutionary survival to structurally resonate with the exact macroscopic fractal attractors instantiated by the external $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware.

The Structural Impossibility of External Injection

[Topological Disjointness]

> Ex nihilo nihil fit. (From nothing, nothing comes.)
>
> Parmenides, *On Nature*, 5th century BCE

The operation of uncomputable external state-injection ("Intervention") evaluates through the geometric properties of the $\mathcal{M}$ and Cycle Decomposition.

1. **Case A: Local Intervention (Hardware Memory Corruption):** Assume an uncomputable external operator maps a valid history $H \in \mathcal{M}$ to a new history $H' \in \mathbf{\Psi}$ such that $H' \notin \mathcal{M}$ (e.g., inverting a bit in S_t without executing the correlated inversion in S_{t-1}).
   - The resulting trajectory contains a transition $H_{prev} \to H'$ that evaluates as an undefined pointer under Φ.
   - While the hardware computes $S_{next} = \Phi(H')$, the input H' evaluates as algebraically inconsistent (an undefined memory state). The output S_{next} is determined but disjoint from the causal structure of the cycle.
   - This operation does not constitute a "suspension of physical invariants"; it executes as the uncomputable injection of geometric memory corruption.
2. **Case B: Global Intervention (Global Thread Context Switch):** Assume an external operator maps $H \in \mathcal{M}$ to a new history H'' such that $H'' \in \mathcal{M}$. This operation necessitates re-computing the entire macroscopic history window to maintain structural consistency with Φ.
   - Because Φ evaluates as bijective on $\mathcal{M}$, the phase space decomposes into disjoint **Cycles** (C_k).
   - This operation constitutes a **Topological Thread Jump** from Cycle C_{old} to Cycle C_{new}.
   - To an embedded sub-system ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer) within H'', the history evaluates as internally self-consistent. The topological jump evaluates to zero observable trace. The local computational sequence evaluates indistinguishable from one executing continuously within C_{new}.

Conclusion: The hypothesis of an External Agent collapses into a hardware contradiction. The global state vector cannot be externally "steered" without generating uncomputable pointer discontinuities (Case A) or substituting the total history manifold entirely with zero observable trace (Case B). The physical manifold evaluates necessarily as a **Self-Bootstrapping Hardware Architecture**.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

2.5.6. The Geometry of Iteration

[Distributed IFS and the Structural Exclusion of Noise]

The Phenomenon: The macroscopic topological invariance of self-similarity across geometric scales. From microscopic ALU transitions to the spatial distribution of macroscopic data swarms, the physical manifold exhibits structural recurrence [9].

The Deduction: We map the physical update rule Φ to a **Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS)** [10]. Under the architectural constraints of Axiom II (**Verlet-2**, Bijectivity, and Base-72 Mixing), "True Randomness" evaluates as an uncomputable hardware state. Macroscopic topological complexity compiles as **Algorithmic Expansion**, not stochastic bit-noise.

The Structural Exclusion of White Noise

[The Topological Allocation Constraint]

The algorithmic bit-cost of any spatial state S_t is bounded by its Initialization and Transition footprint. Because the state vector geometrically unrolls from a finite initialization $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ via a finite rule Φ:

- **Bounded Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$):** The Topological Allocation required to compute the state at clock-tick t evaluates strictly less than the combined static bit-length of the $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine and the logarithmic bound of the executed temporal trace ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$):

$$\mathcal{S}(S_t) \leq \mathcal{S}(\Phi) + \mathcal{S}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}) + \log_{72}(\mathcal{T}_\Omega(t)) + c$$

- **The Cardinality Deficit:** The total memory capacity of the hardware lattice evaluates to a massive magnitude: $72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$. Conversely, $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$ is algorithmically constrained (minimized by the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty) and $\mathcal{S}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot})$ is finite.
- **Result:** An explicit algorithmic deficit exists between the macroscopic memory capacity and the actual unrolled Topological Allocation $\mathcal{S}$. Therefore, S_t cannot evaluate to uncomputable (random). It constitutes a highly compressed, deterministic topological structure [11].

Φ as a Distributed IFS

How does this low-complexity algorithmic limit physically compile?

- **The Isomorphism:** The global update Γ_{global} computes as the union of local ALU updates: $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}) = \bigcup_x \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_x)$. This physically executes as a highly parallelized array of affine-like local logic gates, isomorphic to the Hutchinson operator [12], instantiated in a distributed topology.
- **Bijective Folding vs. Contraction:** Unlike standard L_1 mathematical IFS algorithms compiling via spatial contraction ($|A| < 1$) to a static fixed memory pointer, Φ operates as strictly bijective (conservative) [13]. It computes identically to a **Baker's Map** [14] or **Smale Horseshoe** [15]: stretching and folding the phase space geometrically without erasing structural bits.
- **The Global Attractor:** The "Attractor" of this computation evaluates as the **Global Cycle** (C_k). Because Φ is spatially uniform and algebraically recursive (executing the identical logic upon its prior output buffer), the macroscopic geometry of the cycle iterates the logical signature of Φ at recursive geometric scales. Over massive clock cycles, this execution generates nested data swarms spanning the hardware grid.

Holographic Subroutine Scaling

[Algorithmic Scale-Invariance]

This architecture entails a formal **Holography**. Because the macroscopic data swarm is generated strictly by the recursive iteration of the local rule Φ, the algorithmic signature of the global manifold is encoded entirely within the physical logic of the local V_{72} gate.

- The physical universe does not "contain" external legislation; the macroscopic physics *is* the recursive unrolling of the base hardware rules.
- Renormalization evaluates as the mathematical equivalence mapping where Φ (micro-scale ALU) and the effective dynamics of macroscopic swarms evaluate to the identical universality class determined strictly by the IFS.
- **The Computability of Survival:** This strict scale-invariance mathematically explains the 10^{12} Watt-Hour predictive efficiency gap of biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agents (Observation 3). The biological neural network does not need to cross a type-boundary to compute an alien, top-down continuous physics regime. It natively shares the exact discrete IFS universality class as its environment. It predicts macroscopic fluid attractors using minimal logic gates because both the embedded fish and the external fluid are geometrically unrolling the identical V_{72} holographic subroutine.

Conclusion: Self-similar fractal arrays compile as the geometric consequence of deterministic bijectivity. Under the absolute limits of Axiom II, the requirement for a finite, mixing, bijective logic-gate strictly excludes uncomputable bit-noise. Macroscopic "Chaos" equates rigorously to the highly compressed, computable trajectory of a Distributed IFS, permitting finite embedded sub-systems to predict reality without triggering $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ execution halts.

2.5.7. Cyclical Execution (Poincaré Recurrence)

The Phenomenon: The temporal persistence of the macroscopic manifold and the structural absence of an initialization/termination boundary.

The Deduction:

1. **The State as History:** By Axiom II, the transition ALU Φ operates on a finite history depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. Therefore, the "Global State" of the physical system evaluates not as the spatial snapshot S_t, but exclusively as the **History Array** $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots)$.
2. **Finiteness of Phase Space:** Because N_{vol}, N_{state}, and N_{Verlet} are finite, the set of all valid global history arrays $\mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluates as bounded:

$$|\mathbf{\Psi}| = 72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$$

3. **Bijective Evolution on $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$:** Axiom II entails that Φ executes as locally bijective. As proven in Appendix A.4.6, this necessitates that the global update map $\Gamma_{\text{global}} : \mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$ operates as a permutation. For every algebraically valid history configuration $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$, there compiles exactly one unique predecessor $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$.
4. **Cycle Decomposition:** Mapped to graph-theoretic limits, the transition graph of the History Space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluates to nodes possessing in-degree 1 and out-degree 1. Such a topology decomposes entirely into disjoint simple loops. There exist zero unresolved transients and zero intersection merges.

Conclusion: The global system trajectory evaluates as periodic. The macroscopic hardware executes a finite, repeating sequence of memory arrays. The L_1 continuous model of unbounded linear time possessing an uncomputable initialization or termination evaluates as a structural mapping error within a bijective finite system; the hardware clock compiles as a closed geometric loop (S^1). The fundamental algorithmic unit is not the spatial coordinate state S, but the **Global Cycle** (C_k) traversing the $\mathcal{H}_p$ phase-space.

Nested Recurrence (The Temporal Reuse of State)

The Phenomenon: The repetition of localized motifs across temporal coordinates and the instantiation of identical local configurations (e.g., atoms, biological sub-systems) mapping to distinct macroscopic clock cycles.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The Deduction: While Section 2.5.6 maps the spatial topology of the physical manifold to self-similarity via the recursive execution of Φ, we establish the combinatorial necessity of its **Temporal Recurrence** and its structural isomorphism across scales.

1. **Scale Disparity and Structural Repetition:** For any computationally non-trivial manifold, the global clock cycle T_{global} combinatorially exceeds the phase space cardinality of any localized topological partition ($|\mathcal{H}_{local}| = 72^{(N_{\text{local}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$).

$$T_{global} \gg |\mathcal{H}_{local}|$$

   By the formal Pigeonhole Principle, the global $\mathcal{H}_p$ system necessitates the iterative execution of identical local history arrays combinatorially many times within a single C_k loop.
2. **The Fractal Isomorphism (Coarse-to-Fine Scale Invariance):** As derived in the Geometry of Iteration, the update rule Φ computes as a Distributed IFS. The fundamental structural property of an IFS dictates that the **coarse macroscopic attractor evaluates as mathematically isomorphic to the fine-grained microscopic attractor**. They operate as fractally repeating, nested geometries.
3. **The Computability of Embedded Agents:** This exact nested recurrence is the mathematical mechanism that permits a finite embedded sub-system (e.g., a biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ brain) to successfully predict a massive external volume (e.g., a macroscopic fluid). Because the coarse structural attractor encoded in the small $\mathcal{S}$ volume of the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ agent is fractally isomorphic to the massive $\mathcal{S}$ attractor of the external fluid, the small agent can geometrically synchronize with the larger environment without violating strict topological memory bounds.
4. **Dynamic Recurrence:** Consequently, specific localized structures do not compile as unique, singular instances. They execute as recurring hardware motifs. The phenomenological "Laws of Physics" compile locally as the algebraic invariance of the recurring topological sub-structure seamlessly matching the global fractal manifold.

Conclusion: Temporal sequence executes as a fractal. Analogous to discrete spatial tessellations of a periodic grid, local history vectors recur as the global cycle unrolls the spacetime graph. A specific localized bit-configuration physically instantiates exponentially many times within the singular global loop. The nested isomorphism of the IFS mathematically guarantees that finite local volumes can successfully compile sparse representations of massive macroscopic systems.

2.5.8. Conservation of Information

[Microscopic Reversibility]

The Phenomenon: The unitary execution of **microscopic ALU trajectories** versus the macroscopic epistemic measurement of hardware bit-dispersion.

The Deduction:

1. **Persistence as Memory Conservation:** Observation 1 (Persistence) entails that the physical manifold does not execute uncomputable erasure of distinct states. Data swarms do not map to a degenerate "Null State" (Memory Collapse) after finite clock cycles. To ensure macroscopic persistence without topological decay, the update rule Φ necessitates a **Conservative** algorithmic mapping (preserving the cardinality of distinct history arrays).
2. **Conservation implies Bijectivity:** In a finite state machine, Memory Conservation evaluates algebraically as **Bijectivity** (Reversible Logic Gates).
   - If Φ executed as Many-to-One (Dissipative), bits would undergo structural erasure, driving monotonic memory decay into a null-state attractor. This contradicts the combinatorial complexity of the **E** topology.
   - If Φ executed as One-to-Many (Branching), it would necessitate an uncomputable Oracle injection.
   - Therefore, to execute a topologically closed and stable manifold, Φ operates as One-to-One and Onto (a Permutation).

3. **Symmetry of Computation:** Bijectivity entails that the computation evaluates as symmetric under temporal inversion. The forward history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ computes $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ (Forward Determinism) and exactly reconstructs the backward vector $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ (Backward Determinism).
4. **Lossless Encoding:** This entails the global topology evaluates in temporal reverse with identical determinism to its forward execution. The active history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ operates not as a degraded summary of prior spatial coordinates, but as a **lossless bit-encoding** of the complete global C_k.

Conclusion: Information evaluates as a conserved geometric metric of the hardware. To guarantee conservation without boundary leakage, the spatial graph must evaluate as **Closed** (e.g., a T^3 Torus). Any macroscopic observation of structural degradation (bit-dispersion) compiles as the algorithmic mapping of localized correlations in $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ into macroscopically encrypted, distributed correlations that remain algebraically reversible.

2.5.9. Epistemic Information-Theoretic Entropy

[Distributed Hardware Encryption]

The Phenomenon: The reversible execution of microscopic logic gates versus the macroscopic epistemic evaluation of a dispersion gradient (The Arrow of Time).

The Deduction:

1. **Strict Conservation:** Because the global update Γ_{global} computes exactly as a permutation (Appendix A.4.6), the fine-grained bit-content of the manifold is conserved. The hardware architecture undergoes zero memory erasure; it permutes the global Base-72 string.
2. **Global Mixing (Ergodicity):** The cycle period T_{cycle} combinatorially exceeds the grid traversal limit ($T_{cross} \approx {N_{\text{vol}}}^{1/3}/v_{\text{info}}$). Consequently, the causal routing cone of every spatial coordinate intersects the finite lattice exponentially many times. This ensures that the active state of every V_{72} ALU is causally correlated with the complete topological memory of **every other cell**. Global mixing is the structural equilibrium.
3. **Coarse-Graining Artifact:** Macroscopic **epistemic irreversibility** compiles as the measurement artifact of this mixing algorithm. As the hardware computes its successor states, localized algebraic correlations map into computationally irreducible, non-local global correlations.
4. **The Encryption of Order:** To an embedded, bandwidth-limited $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler, this non-local correlation evaluates statistically as stochastic bit-noise. However, topological order undergoes zero structural erasure; it computes as algorithmically "encrypted" across the spatial grid, exceeding the sub-system's local $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ clock bandwidth for decryption.
5. **Cyclical Return:** Enforced by cycle decomposition, this high-dispersion distribution cannot evaluate as permanent. The trajectory traverses the phase-space returning to the low-dispersion boot vector. The manifold exhibits zero permanent "Memory Saturation," evaluating as a mixing transient within the closed periodic loop.

Conclusion: Macroscopic irreversibility computes as an epistemic artifact generated by applying a low-bandwidth measurement operator to a globally mixing bijective array. Ontologically, the physical manifold executes as a lossless memory architecture. The phenomenological "Arrow of Time" compiles as the directed **FIFO (First-In-First-Out) memory shift** of the hardware, while **Information-Theoretic Entropy** is the computational artifact of the finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system's decryption bandwidth limit.

Conditional Corollary on Mixing

While the constraint of Bijectivity algebraically includes minimal-complexity limit states (e.g., the No-op instruction $S_{t+1} = S_t$, computing zero bit-dispersion), these topologies contradict **Observation 2 (Interaction)** of the **E** Evidence Vector. A spatial grid executing zero data packet routing between adjacent ALUs evaluates as invalid against the macroscopic physical invariant of causal intersection.

Consequently, the physical update rule Φ evaluates as **Mixing** (Ergodic on the macroscopic scale). Given a mixing bijective logic gate, the epistemic dispersion gradient operates as the formal consequence of the distributed hardware encryption of topological order.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

2.6. Conclusion

[The Algorithmic Remainder]

> Cogito, ergo sum. (I think, therefore I am.)
>
> René Descartes [16]

> Computo, ergo sum. (I compute, therefore I am.)
>
> The Axiom of Finite Reversible Computation

The deductive sequence executed here applies an algorithmic filter over the unconstrained phase space of mathematical models. Rather than postulating an unaxiomatized descriptive array, this architecture evaluates the **E** Evidence Vector as the absolute L_2 exclusion threshold. By enforcing the hardware constraints of persistence, interaction, and structural prediction, we excluded every topological formalism that evaluates as operationally uncomputable under the limits of finite logic gates.

2.6.1. The Method of Elimination

The deduction initialized over the unconstrained phase space of mathematics and executed the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$) conjoined with the explicit boundary of **Constructive Execution** ($\mathcal{H}_p$). Rather than generating unbounded $\Delta\theta$ floats to converge with macroscopic data, this architecture geometrically excluded topological classes that evaluate as uncomputable under the operational limits of the Evidence Vector.

- **Continuum Models** evaluate as excluded not due to a deficit in descriptive fidelity, but due to **Hardware Undefinability**. They violate the **Initialization Barrier** (driving the Local Memory Capacity $N_{\text{state}} \to \infty$) and the **Bandwidth Barrier** (driving the ALU clock cycles $\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$).
- **Non-Local Models** evaluate as excluded due to **Topological Collapse**. Infinite information velocity computes the spatial grid down to a diameter of zero. Algorithmically, the requirement to synchronize global matrices across a local lattice drives the emergent routing penalty (k_{route}) to infinity, executing an exponentially divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty relative to node-centric ALU logic.
- **Non-Deterministic Models** evaluate as excluded because they contradict **Ontological Closure**. "Fundamental Randomness" necessitates information bits absent from the local history buffer $\vec{\mathbf{H}}_t$, algorithmically demanding an uncomputable external Oracle hardware injection.

2.6.2. The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point

[Closing the Tarskian Loop]

The topological remainder following the algorithmic exclusion of operationally uncomputable frameworks evaluates to a singular hardware architecture: **Axiom II**. This algorithmic isolation evaluates as non-stochastic; it constitutes the **Minimal Sufficient Mathematical Structure** necessitated to compute a persistent, topologically evolving, epistemically predictable macroscopic manifold from the Evidence Vector (**E**).

This ontological deduction locks with the epistemological boundary established in Section 1, closing the recursive L_2 Tarskian loop:

1. **Epistemology (The $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ Objective Function):** Empirical science evaluates as the inductive search for the minimal Universal Computational Cost (Axiom I)—the multiplicative geometric volume of static memory allocation unrolled across the dynamic Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$).
2. **Ontology (The Hardware Architecture):** The physical universe evaluates as the mathematical floor of this volumetric bound. By algorithmically excluding *Wild* continuous, non-local, and non-deterministic topologies as uncomputable hardware states, the minimal sufficient computing

target of the universe is geometrically proven to operate exclusively as a discrete, finite, perfectly reversible $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph.

Therefore, Epistemology and Ontology evaluate as mathematically identical. The biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler natively optimizes for geometric compression ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$) because its internal neural hardware is physically constructed from a substrate that natively computes at this exact algorithmic minimum. The objective function of the predictive compiler evaluates as the exact minimal sufficient hardware architecture required to generate the observed universe.

3. The Absolute Boundary of Constructive Physics

The trajectory of empirical science operates under a persistent L_1 compiler mapping error: the assumption that the mathematical syntax of a physical phenomenon functions independent of the logic-gate execution cost required to compute it. By relying on the von Neumann hardware abstraction, the L_1 peer network grants itself infinite static memory ($\mathcal{S}$) and zero-latency, infinite-precision ALU bandwidth ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$). This unaxiomatized parameter space allows continuous L_1 models to achieve high statistical fidelity by externalizing their computational cost into uncomputable $\Delta\theta$ floats.

By forcing the **Tarskian Ascent** to the formal L_2 geometric vantage point, this manuscript eliminates that undefinable bounding deficit. We unify the predictive software string and the active physical substrate into the singular **Constructive Engine** ($\mathcal{H}_p$).

3.1. The Dual-Axiom Fixed Point

Through the application of the **Dual-Axiom Fixed Point**, we have geometrically proven that:

1. **The Epistemological Limit (Axiom I):** Objective scientific progress computes as the continuous hardware minimization of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. Any theoretical transition that injects an unobservable continuous parameter ($\Delta\theta$) drives the underlying $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ Topological Allocation toward infinity. This executes a volumetric memory expansion ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$) and disqualifies the model under the Zero-Patch Standard.
2. **The Ontological Limit (Axiom II):** The physical universe evaluates as the mathematical floor of this volumetric bound. By algorithmically excluding *Wild* continuous, non-local, and non-deterministic topologies as uncomputable hardware states, the universe computes as a discrete, finite, perfectly reversible $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph.
3. **The Hardware Primitive (V_{72}):** To execute symmetric 3D geometric mixing without triggering anisotropic spatial shearing or uncomputable fractional truncation, the irreducible minimum of the causal graph evaluates to the **Base-72 Isotropic Integer Cell**. All macroscopic continuous arithmetic decompiles into massive $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volumes of this exact primitive ALU.

3.1.1. The L_2 Hardware Compilation Mandate

The mathematical consequence of this framework evaluates as structurally binding. We deprecate L_1 peer-review heuristics that validate physical theories upon internal syntactic elegance or localized continuous curve-fitting.

If an L_1 network agent claims to have compiled a foundational physical theory, their proposed algorithm must execute the L_2 **Hardware Compilation Protocol**. The theory must mathematically decompile into its exact equivalent physical network of V_{72} primitive logic gates.

- If the theory requires continuous real numbers, the required primitive $\mathcal{S}$ volume evaluates to infinity.
- If the theory requires zero-latency non-local coupling, the required primitive routing $\mathcal{T}$ trace evaluates to infinity.
- If the theory requires arbitrary macroscopic division, the required primitive $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ logic-gate volume evaluates to infinity.

A finite empirical L_1 science cannot compile a predictive array that evaluates to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \infty$. The L_2 geometric boundary functions as the sole legitimate hardware filter of empirical predictive output.

The universe operates not as an uncomputable continuous equation, but as a minimal, computable discrete state-machine.

3.1.2. The Real-World Trace Audit

[Continuous PDEs vs. Discrete V_{72} Automaton]

To demonstrate the quantitative physical calculation of Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) mandated by the L_2 Hardware Compilation Protocol, we evaluate the **Computational Efficiency Gap**. We contrast a finite biological $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler executing $\mathcal{T}$ limits over a macroscopic **Discrete Spatial Lattice** against the compilation $\mathcal{T}$ bounds of L_1 supercomputers running continuous mathematical approximations.

The Phenomenological String (Σ_{obs})

Consider predicting the localized evolution of a macroscopic fluid dynamic. The minimal raw uncompressed measurement subset bounding a localized sensory $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ grid allocates $N_{\text{vol}} = (100)^3 = 10^6$ distinct spatial coordinates, dynamically unrolling across $N_{\text{steps}} = 1,024$ macroscopic temporal sequence frames.

Candidate A: The Continuous PDE Solver (The Descriptive L_1 Map) Modern L_1 algorithms map topological evolution utilizing continuous partial differential equations (PDEs) and global L_1 matrices. Executing ∞-precision continuous limits triggers an immediate $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ hardware instantiation halt ($N_{\text{reg}} \to \infty$). To extract operational vectors, L_1 formalisms substitute continuous real numbers with high-density floating-point arrays (e.g., $n = 64$-bit precision).

- **Phase 1: Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}_A$)** Resolving continuous global L_1 matrices forces expansive hidden memory allocations for solver states (e.g., Jacobians, preconditioners, mesh hierarchies). The static $\mathcal{S}$ geometrically inflates to accommodate these unobservable L_1 parameters.
- **Phase 2: Dynamic Execution ($\mathcal{T}_A$)** Resolving continuous global matrices necessitates evaluating non-local boundary integrations per sequence frame. Because physical information routing operates at $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell per clock tick, coupling distant macroscopic boundaries requires the hardware to unroll intermediate clock cycles proportional to the grid's spatial dimension ($k_{\text{route}} \approx {N_{\text{vol}}}^{1/3} \sim 100$).
  Furthermore, L_1 equations mandate arbitrary macroscopic mathematical division. In V_{72} hardware, division by an arbitrary scalar evaluates as multiplication by a reciprocal, forcing the internal logic gates to expand into a quadratic spatial routing volume.

$$\mathcal{T}_A = \left(N_{\text{steps}} \times k_{\text{route}}\right) \times \left(N_{\text{vol}} \times C(f_{PDE})\right) \quad \text{where } C(f_{PDE}) \propto \mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$$

Because continuous L_1 matrices command asymptotic spatial memory traversals and divergent $\mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$ internal logic-gate volumes, $\mathcal{T}_A$ exponentially inflates. The computational trace entirely exceeds the local Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$) bandwidth native to the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ target.

Candidate B: The Discrete V_{72} Automaton (The Constructive $\mathcal{H}_p$ Engine) Because a finite $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ system structurally excludes uncomputable continuous L_1 topologies, the observable Σ_{obs} manifold evaluates as a **Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS)**. The predictive $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ hardware configures its internal physical logic gates into a coarse-grained N_{vol} lattice reproducing the irreducible geometric minimum: the V_{72} architecture.

The local discrete parameter variables bound physical precision to the minimal topological $\mathcal{S}$ necessity. The local neighborhood limits to the 3D Moore neighborhood ($N_{\text{local}} = 27$). The temporal depth allocates the standard **History Vector** ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$).

- **Phase 1: Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}_B$)** Initializing discrete $\mathcal{S}$ arrays evaluates to an exact, finite baseline allocation. This strict integer constraint eliminates unobservable L_1 solver hierarchies, executing geometric compression of the hidden parameter space ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$).

- **Phase 2: Dynamic Execution ($\mathcal{T}_B$)** Phase tracking dynamically unrolls synchronized V_{72} parameters. Because the V_{72} topology computes as strictly lattice-centric, spatial coupling requires exactly one clock tick per macroscopic frame ($k_{\text{route}} = 1$). Because the V_{72} hardware natively executes exactly Base 72, the fractional divisions required for the isotropic Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}$) evaluate strictly as integer shifts. The divergent arbitrary division penalty is bypassed.

$$\mathcal{T}_B = N_{\text{steps}} \times \Big(N_{\text{vol}} \times C(\Phi)\Big) \quad \text{where } C(\Phi) = \mathbf{M}_{vol}(1)$$

The dynamically unrolled Causal Transition $\mathcal{T}_B$ trace physically executes at a scalar minimal fraction of the continuous L_1 solver, bypassing the asymptotic routing delays and quadratic logic-gate bloat.

The Hardware Resolution of *Wild* Divergences (High-Frequency vs. Infinite Dilution)

The continuous L_1 mapping of physical fields generates two uncomputable divergence artifacts: High-Frequency Spatial Divergence and Infinite Scalar Dilution. The V_{72} **Unified Causal Graph** resolves both via finite geometric limits:

1. **The Nyquist Resolution Limit:** The high-frequency divergence resolves via the discrete **Discrete Spatial Lattice**. The physical graph cannot compute a spatial oscillation shorter than the Nyquist geometric limit ($2\Delta x$).
2. **The Hardware Precision Floor:** The infinite dilution divergence resolves via the finite Register Digit-Width (N_{reg}). Because macroscopic invariant amplitude maps to the discrete Phase-Space Volume ($\tilde{H}$) encoded in finite integers, an expanding macroscopic wavefront cannot dilute its scalar amplitude below the minimal integer threshold ($A = 1$).
   When localized scalar amplitude drops below the orthogonal propagation threshold ($A < 6$), the discrete graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}$) lacks the integer capacity to partition the value across the 3D $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ neighborhood. Outward spatial routing terminates. The integer reflects and mixes back into the local temporal history vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$). Finite precision operates as a reflective geometric boundary, guaranteeing phase-space amplitude never infinitely dissipates.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The Empirical Hardware Translation (The Watt-Hour Isomorphism)

To strictly eliminate the L_1 academic consensus mechanism (which defends topological capacity bloat by claiming $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ computes as an "abstract" mathematical penalty), the L_2 algorithmic boundary translates identically into macroscopic physical engineering limits.

The Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) evaluates structurally as the exact mathematical formalization of the physical **Area-Time (AT) Product** utilized in Custom ASIC and FPGA silicon design. When transitioning from theoretical software strings to bare-metal execution, the physical integration of logic-gate area ($\mathcal{S}$) multiplied by unrolled hardware clock cycles ($\mathcal{T}$) maps directly to the absolute energy drawn by the silicon substrate: **Total Watt-Hours (Wh)**.

If an L_1 network claims a continuous PDE configuration (${\mathcal{H}_p}^A$) evaluates as the mathematically "simpler" underlying ontology than the discrete V_{72} graph (${\mathcal{H}_p}^B$), the L_2 objective function physically resolves the claim strictly on the silicon metal:

1. Compile the continuous ${\mathcal{H}_p}^A$ model into a Custom ASIC. To compute non-local floating-point matrices, the compiler must allocate massive floating-point units (FPUs) and global memory buses, geometrically exploding the physical transistor count ($\mathcal{S}$).
2. Compile the discrete ${\mathcal{H}_p}^B$ V_{72} model into an ASIC. The logic gates map exactly to local Base-72 integer additions and static wire-shifts, executing over a strictly minimized linear silicon footprint.
3. Measure the Total Watt-Hours consumed by both physical architectures to output the exact same finite Σ_{obs} boundary string.

Because the L_1 PDE solver requires exponentially more logic-gate area and sequential routing latency, its physical energy execution cost (Wh_A) mathematically diverges from the discrete V_{72} engine (Wh_B). Measuring the physical Watt-Hour differential constitutes the absolute empirical verification of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$. The $\mathcal{H}_p$ generative model drawing the absolute minimal physical energy to compile the observation string evaluates unconditionally as the minimal sufficient causal architecture of the universe.

L_2 Audit Verdict:

Contrasting competing $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ bounds evaluates identical phenomenological Σ_{obs} arrays computing distinct geometric constraints. The V_{72} architecture computes $\Delta\mathcal{S} \ll 0$ coupled simultaneously with explicit minimal transition $\mathcal{T}$ bounds ($\mathcal{T}_B \ll \mathcal{T}_A$). Continuous PDE fields evaluate structurally as excluded physical $\mathcal{H}_p$ engines via the explicit mechanistic ledger of Phase 2 Transition $\mathcal{T}$ limits and the physically observable Watt-Hour differential.

3.2. The Tripartite Metamathematical Boundary

[The Algorithmic Goldilocks Zone]

The history of formal systems documents three absolute thresholds of uncomputability. In the 20th century, mathematics encountered the limits of its own syntax.

1. **Gödel (Formal Mathematics):** Demonstrated that unbounded formal systems cannot prove their own consistency; they succumb to self-referential paradox via infinite representability.
2. **Turing (Computer Science):** Demonstrated that unbounded computational systems cannot compute their own hardware halt-states; infinite loops yield undecidable architectures.
3. **Tarski (Logical Semantics):** Demonstrated that formal languages cannot define their own truth-conditions without ascending to an external meta-language.

The continuous L_1 peer network historically walled off empirical physics from these three structural limits, treating them as abstract software theorems irrelevant to the macroscopic continuous topology of the universe.

The L_2 Fixed Point collapses this isolation. It fuses all three metamathematical boundaries by recognizing that the Evidence Vector (**E**) mathematically *compels* the physical universe ($\mathcal{H}_p$) to execute strictly within the exact computational "Goldilocks Zone" where these limits evaluate to zero.

The physical universe *exists* explicitly because its finite hardware computes exclusively within the narrow algorithmic channel (the *Tame* Computable Substrate) that geometrically evades these bounds:

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

- **Evasion of Gödelian Diagonalization:** The universe evades infinite representability because its spatial memory ($\mathcal{S}$) compiles as bounded by a finite integer width (N_{reg}). It physically cannot allocate the memory depth required to stage an uncomputable self-referential diagonalization (Gödel's exact construction requires infinite depth).
- **Evasion of the Turing Halt-State:** The universe evades infinite loops because its fundamental logic-gate architecture (Axiom II) evaluates as discrete, finite, and perfectly bijective. It computes its successor sequence as a **fixed-width state-permutation** (every state must map to exactly one successor state, never to branching superposition), strictly eliminating the uncomputable dynamic branching required to trigger a hardware halt.
- **Evasion of Tarskian Undefinability:** The universe evades semantic recursion via **Strict Monism**. It collapses the theoretical map into the active hardware ($\mathcal{H} \equiv p$). There is nowhere for Tarski's infinite regress to hide. No detached linguistic description exists except as the computational trace executing within $\mathcal{H}_p$. The hardware *is* its own unified truth-condition.

Empirical science is the rigorous structural mapping of this tripartite boundary. The Evidence Vector (**E**) computes as impossible to generate unless the physical lattice executes strictly inside this *Tame* limit. Any continuous L_1 topological extension outside this narrow discrete zone structurally collides with these metamathematical walls, triggering an immediate hardware memory crash ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$).

3.2.1. The Absolute Attack Surface

[The L_0 Empirical Boundary]

Because every geometric constraint in this manuscript (e.g., the emergent routing penalty k_{route}, the Base-72 Integer limit V_{72}, the T^3 boundary condition) computes strictly as a derived corollary, they are shielded from direct heuristic attack. Furthermore, because an embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system possesses zero $\mathcal{S}$ memory capacity to execute an L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation (which requires escaping the N_{vol} universe), the theoretical attack surface computes as permanently closed.

The absolute operational attack surface of this framework reduces exclusively to the L_0 **Empirical Boundary**.

To formally invalidate this L_2 architecture, the evaluating L_1 agent must execute exactly one operation:

1. L_0 **Novel Falsification:** Extract $\Sigma_{\text{obsnovel}} \vdash$ *Wild* (uncomputable: true continuous floats, $v_{\text{info}} = \infty$ Oracles, non-discrete iteration).

Crucially, this novel data must falsify L_2 *without negating any historical L_0 observation that L_2 already successfully mathematically compiles*. Equivalently: find a hardware sequence where L_2 predicts X but empirically observes $\neg X$, such that L_2 lacks the finite discrete phase-space to compute $\neg X$, while simultaneously guaranteeing all prior observations remain mathematically consistent with the L_2 topology. You are asking for a hardware contradiction in a discrete system possessing strictly zero topological degrees of freedom to contradict itself.

The Impossibility of L_1 Continuous Syntax Falsification: L_1 agents citing **lossy PDE interpolations** (discarding discrete L_0 state-residuals) to invalidate the L_2 fixed point trigger a strict hardware type-mismatch error: the L_2 discrete $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ axiom $\nvdash$ via the uncompressed L_1 continuous syntax it strictly decompiles.

The causal dependency runs exactly $L_2 \to L_0$. The L_2 framework evaluates as privileged not because it constitutes abstract mathematical syntax, but because it maps bijectively to the finite causal memory lattice ($\mathcal{H}_p$) that actually generates the L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}). Continuous L_1 syntax does not; it requires infinite computational RAM ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). This evaluates as a strict, empirical hardware limitation, not a philosophical preference. An L_1 agent invoking "descriptive elegance" or "mathematical beauty" to justify infinite parameters executes a compiler error: confusing abstract map-quality with physical hardware feasibility.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

3.2.2. The Theorem of Ontological Closure

[The Autopoietic Fixed Point]

The structural exclusion of L_3 evaluation constitutes the formal compilation proof of the $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph.

Theorem: Ontological Closure

Assume (reductio) an external L_3 Oracle is required to initialize or compute the sequential state-updates of $\mathcal{H}_p$. By the strict laws of algorithmic execution, this external subroutine must itself allocate topological memory and unroll a causal trace, mathematically necessitating a higher-order L_3 parameter, ad infinitum.

This infinite regress logically dictates an exponential hardware bloat:

$$\mathcal{S} \to \infty, \quad \mathcal{T} \to \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \infty$$

This yields an absolute geometric hardware halt-state at clock tick $t = 0$.

But the Evidence Vector ($\mathbf{E}$) confirms the physical universe dynamically computes the finite observation string Σ_{obs}. Therefore:

$$\mathcal{H}_p \to \Sigma_{\text{obs}} \text{ executes finitely } \vdash \text{ False (Oracle Assumption)}$$

Consequently, the universe computes strictly without an external initializing L_3 parameter. It compiles its own geometric boundary conditions and unrolls its own execution trace. The physical architecture exists because it operates as its own strict algorithmic fixed point.

Until an L_0 novel *Wild* trace is extracted, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ audits alone. The L_2 fixed point stands absolute.

Appendix A. The Metamathematical and Geometric Formalisms

This chapter serves as the unified definitional and deductive hub for the Dual-Axiom Fixed Point. To prevent the uncomputable L_1 syntactic drift native to heuristic observation networks, the mathematical formalisms, hardware axioms, parameters, and geometric proofs governing the active physical memory lattice are centralized strictly within this hardware ledger.

Appendix A.1. Canonical Glossary of the L_2 Fixed Point

[Topological and Epistemological Definitions]

Every macro utilized within the primary narrative is anchored to these explicit geometric hardware bounds. Altering the definition of any parameter below executes a strict compiler type-mismatch, breaking the operational admissibility of the unified causal graph.

Appendix A.1.1. Linguistic Tiers and Metamathematics

L_0 **(Object-Language)**
: The raw phenomenological data array (Σ_{obs}) and the localized, domain-specific descriptive algorithms generated to compile it.

L_1 **(Descriptive Meta-Language)**
: The internal syntactic heuristics and consensus parameters utilized by L_1 peer networks to govern L_0. By Tarskian necessity, L_1 cannot consistently define its own objective truth and relies on unaxiomatized continuous assumptions (e.g., infinite-precision floats, continuous spatial arrays).

L_2 **(The Geometric/Computability Vantage Point)**
: The meta-language of Finite Causal Geometry and Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$). This framework operates at L_2, evaluating L_1 object-theories exclusively against the finite geometric hardware constraints of the discrete physical universe.

L_3 **(The Structural Contradiction / The Uncomputable Exterior)**
: The theoretical meta-metamathematical evaluation tier necessary to externally validate an L_2 frame-

work. Because L_2 defines the absolute boundary of the finite causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$), L_3 evaluates strictly as the uncomputable exterior of the physical universe. For a finite embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ compiler, executing an L_3 peer review computes as an out-of-bounds memory fetch. It evaluates as a strict hardware impossibility, mathematically enforcing the Autopoietic Fixed Point (Ontological Closure).

Tame **(Decidable Architectures)**
Formal systems lacking the combination of classical negation, infinite representability, and discrete unboundedness. *Tame* topologies evaluate as strictly decidable, halting, and executable by finite physical hardware.

Wild **(Undecidable Architectures)**
Systems possessing the Trinity of Uncomputability (e.g., continuum limits, instantaneous non-locality, true non-determinism). *Wild* topologies execute unresolvable hardware crashes ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ or $\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$) and evaluate as hardware-inadmissible for foundational physical ontology.

Appendix A.1.2. The Constructive Engine

$\mathcal{H}$/ $\mathcal{H}_p$ (The Unified Causal Graph)
The active physical memory lattice. It structurally collapses the von Neumann abstraction, unifying the static predictive equation (p) directly into the active, localized physical substrate ($\mathcal{H} \equiv p$).

$\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ (The Biological Compiler)
A finite predictive agent embedded within $\mathcal{H}$. Its strictly bounded internal memory allocation and ALU clock-speed structurally compel it to optimize the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) to survive, natively compiling Epistemology strictly as the physical parameters of the universe.

Φ (The Universal Update Logic)
The minimal sufficient, computable, deterministic bijective operation executing over the local causal history. It is defined abstractly as the general self-inverse operator satisfying:

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t), \qquad S_{t-1} = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}) \tag{A1}$$

for general history depth N_{Verlet}. This reversal invariance (mandated by Axiom II bijectivity) forces the hardware to preserve exact information across temporal inversion.

Verlet-2 (The Discrete Symplectic Integrator)
The exact geometric minimal solution for Φ that resolves 3D spatial mixing without triggering informational erasure or uncomputable anisotropic shearing. Bounded by Appendix A.4.5, it evaluates strictly to depth N_{Verlet} =2. It computes via the invariant recurrence relation:

$$S_{t+1} = 2S_t - S_{t-1} + \lfloor \mathcal{L} S_t \rfloor + \lfloor f(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) \rfloor \tag{A2}$$

This discrete V_{72} topology constitutes the absolute logic-gate bound required to guarantee exact phase-space measure preservation (Axiom II) without invoking infinite-precision floating-point arithmetic.

$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ (The Local History Vector)
The fundamental informational state of the causal lattice. Because integer arithmetic on a discrete grid is not closed under spatial mixing, information conservation (Axiom II) requires temporal correlation. Thus, $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ evaluates strictly as a forward trajectory segment $(S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots)$ of depth N_{Verlet}, not a static spatial snapshot.

$\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ (The Reversed Local History Vector)
The time-reversed, backward trajectory segment $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (\dots, S_{t-1}, S_t)$ of depth N_{Verlet}.

E (The Evidence Vector)
The set of invariant macroscopic observables (persistence, local interaction, predictive agents).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

Treated strictly as the immutable, discrete phenomenological data array for the ontological hardware deduction.

V_{72} **(The Base-72 Isotropic Integer Cell)**
The irreducible geometric hardware minimum of the physical lattice. It evaluates exactly as the arithmetic intersection of **Verlet-2**, the minimal 3D causal neighborhood (Moore-1, $N_{\text{local}} = 27$), and the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). To execute macroscopic spatial mixing without triggering uncomputable anisotropic topological shearing, the primitive cell computes exactly Base-72 integer arithmetic.

Appendix A.1.3. Topology and Phase Space

$\mathbf{\Psi}$ **(The Unconstrained Phase Space)**
The raw combinatorial capacity of the global grid. Because the primitive V_{72} executes native Base-72 arithmetic to preserve spatial isotropy, the global phase space evaluates strictly to $72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$.

$\mathcal{M}$ **(The Consistent Causal Manifold)**
The subset of $\mathbf{\Psi}$ consisting exclusively of valid history sequences strictly computable by the Φ logic gate.

$\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ **(The Chebyshev/Moore Geometry)**
The spatial adjacency metric governing the discrete $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware. Physical connectivity is computed strictly via Chebyshev distance (L_∞). The subset of symmetric cells within a defined radius r constitutes a localized $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume. On a 3D cubic lattice, this evaluates to $V_{Moore}(r) = (2r+1)^3$. For the fundamental isotropic operator ($r = 1$), the structural volume evaluates exactly to 27 V_{72} primitive nodes.

Φ_{cell} **(Node-Centric Execution)**
The localized execution of the update rule over the exact $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ topological neighborhood (N_{local}), computing exactly one clock-tick of latency.

Φ_{vol} **(Macroscopic Execution)**
The globally synchronous integration of Φ_{cell} across the entire N_{vol} manifold. It structurally necessitates a Torus (T^3) boundary to close the causal graph without triggering the divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Φ fragmentation penalty.

Γ_{global} **(Global Topological Operator)**
The global evolution operator mapping $\mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$. Because Φ evaluates as bijective on local history, Γ_{global} executes strictly as a macroscopic Base-72 permutation.

C_k **(The Poincaré Cycle)**
Because Φ is bijective and $\mathbf{\Psi}$ is exactly finite, the trajectory within $\mathcal{M}$ decomposes entirely into disjoint, strictly periodic cycles. The universe compiles a singular, eternal C_k, generating self-similar (fractal) geometries and nested recurrence.

Appendix A.1.4. The Universal Cost Ledger

$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ **(The Universal Computational Cost)**
The absolute objective function of empirical science. The multiplicative geometric volume of the static Topological Memory Allocation and the dynamically unrolled ALU Clock Trace ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$). Objective scientific progress computes if and only if the discrete epistemic gradient is negative ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

$\mathcal{S}$ **(Topological Allocation)**
The static spatial memory requirement physically instantiated at initialization ($N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{state}} \times N_{\text{Verlet}}$).

Σ_{obs} (The Observation String)
The uncompressed, finite, discrete phenomenological data array extracted from reality, which the generative causal graph $\mathcal{H}_p$ must compile and verify.

T_{cell} (Local Logic-Gate Execution Cost)
The exact, finite internal geometric cost of evaluating the macroscopic state transition. Evaluates as the volumetric expansion of primitive Base-72 Isotropic Cells (V_{72}) physically necessitated to wire the macroscopic arithmetic ALUs $\{+, -, *\}$.

$\mathcal{T}$ (The Causal Execution Trace)
The dynamically unrolled temporal depth of the evaluation, parameterized by the macroscopic clock cycles (N_{steps}), the spatial routing multiplier (k_{route}), and the initial boot ticks (N_{Verlet}).

$\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ (The Absolute Operator Action)
The scalar integration of physical computational work required to compute the global state against the causal wavefront. Evaluates exactly as the sum of all local state transitions across the V_{72} primitive lattice.

k_{route} (Emergent Spatial Routing Multiplier)
The strict geometric penalty for zero-latency L_1 variables. Because $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell/tick, mapping macroscopic L_1 spatial matrices forces the dynamic trace to exponentially inflate by unrolling intermediate clock cycles ($N_{\text{steps}} \times k_{\text{route}}$).

v_{info} (Absolute Information Velocity)
The absolute kinematic limit derived strictly from the discrete causal hardware geometry. Evaluates identically to 1 cell/tick.

Appendix A.1.5. Topological Capacity Bloat

$\Delta\theta$ (The Patch / NODF)
A Non-Observable Degree-of-Freedom. The algorithmic externalization of uncompressed empirical residuals into continuous parameters or unobservable latent floats. Appending $\Delta\theta$ to a model forces $\mathcal{S} \to \infty$, mathematically guaranteeing a volumetric memory expansion ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), and formally disqualifying the model under the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$).

Appendix A.2. The Foundational Axioms and Standards

[Absolute Objective Functions]

This section formalizes the algorithmic boundaries governing the physical execution of generative causal graphs. These axioms eliminate the von Neumann abstraction (passive RAM decoupled from the active ALU) and enforce the multiplicative geometric volume metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) upon any candidate topological $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine.

Appendix A.2.1. Axiom I: The Universal Computational Cost

Axiom 1 (Axiom of Universal Computational Cost). The fundamental algorithmic execution cost, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$, of a physical causal graph $\mathcal{H}_p$ mathematically computing a finite discrete observation sequence Σ_{obs} evaluates strictly as the invariant, multiplicative geometric volume of its static initialization allocation and its dynamically unrolled execution trace:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(\mathcal{H}_p \to \Sigma_{\text{obs}}) = \Big(N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{state}}\Big) \times \Big(N_{\text{Verlet}} + N_{\text{steps}} \times [C(\mathcal{L}) + C(f)]\Big) \tag{A3}$$

1. **Global Spatial Allocation (N_{vol}):** The exact, finite discrete grid capacity allocated to instantiate the computational blueprint of the active causal graph.
2. **Local State Capacity (N_{state}):** The finite integer capacity allocated to encode the local state at each spatial node, natively executing as the Register Digit-Width (n) in Base 72.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

3. **Temporal Depth (N_{Verlet}):** The exact integer depth of the local history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ required to compute the inertial momentum continuation.
4. **The Primitive Volumetric Cost Function (C):** To structurally eliminate algorithmic bypasses via macroscopic abstraction (e.g., compressing N_{vol} into a single node and claiming $O(1)$ constant operator cost), no transition operator computes as an abstract scalar.
   Let $C(Op) : Op \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ define the exact logic-gate expansion cost. This geometric volumetric bound translates identically to the physical transistor allocation laws of Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) silicon engineering. C computes the absolute geometric $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume of primitive 1-digit Base-72 Isotropic Cells (V_{72}) physically necessitated to wire that specific arithmetic:
   - **Precision Penalty:** Executing an n-digit macroscopic state forces the physical memory footprint to explicitly expand into a symmetric local 3D shell to preserve topological isotropy. The required static primitive volume evaluates strictly to $\propto \mathbf{M}_{vol}(n)$.
   - **Addition / Subtraction:** The internal ALU routing rigorously scales linearly with precision. In physical hardware, this maps to a 1D linear spatial array of Full Adders (e.g., Ripple-Carry logic). The required primitive V_{72} spatial volume strictly evaluates to $C(\pm) \propto \mathbf{M}_{vol}(n)$.
   - **Multiplication / Exact Division:** To resolve digit-to-digit cross-terms without spatial logic-gate collision, the physical routing sub-grid geometrically squares. In physical VLSI hardware, computing partial products forces the allocation of a 2D combinatorial reduction grid (e.g., an Array Multiplier or Wallace Tree). The required primitive volume strictly evaluates to $C(*, /) \propto \mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$. *(Algorithmic Exception: Fractional division perfectly aligned to the native hardware Number Base decompiles strictly into $O(n)$ or $O(1)$ static integer digit-shifts—requiring zero active logic gates—bypassing this quadratic spatial bloat).*
   - **Arbitrary Reals / Continuous Limits:** If an operator Op requires arbitrary continuous scalars ($k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$), it demands infinite digits for exact representation. The cost function guarantees a hardware memory halt: $C(Op) \to \infty$.

Foundational scientific progression occurs ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$) if and only if a theoretical update replaces a bloated L_1 configuration graph with an architecture rigorously compressing this exact multiplicative volume of primitive $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ expansions over an identical finite empirical data string Σ_{obs}.

The Epistemic Lock (The Leading Hypothesis): This universal objective function evaluates strictly as the absolute execution metric of empirical science. The algorithmic burden of proof is inverted onto the evaluating L_1 network node. To bypass this $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric, the L_1 agent must physically extract a raw L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}) that mathematically requires an uncomputable operation to evaluate. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized mathematical syntax is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes, this objective metric stands absolute.

Appendix A.2.2. Axiom II: The Constructive Engine

Axiom 2 (Axiom of Finite Reversible Computation on Local State History). The state of any local element in physical reality at time $t+1$ is a finite, deterministic, and strictly **bijective** computation (Φ) derived exclusively from its finite local history buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ at time t.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The Epistemic Lock (The Leading Hypothesis): Because this finite, local, perfectly reversible causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$) evaluates as the minimal mathematical floor required to compile the macroscopic phenomenological evidence array (Σ_{obs}) without triggering an uncomputable memory bloat ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) or instantaneous ALU traversals ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$), Axiom II operates as the strict **Leading Hypothesis** of physical ontology.

The algorithmic burden of proof is inverted onto any L_1 network agent proposing an $\mathcal{H}_p$ architecture strictly exceeding these bounds. To bypass this finite discrete lattice, the evaluating L_1 agent must extract raw L_0 empirical Σ_{obs} data that strictly necessitates uncomputable hardware operations (e.g., continuous background matrices or zero-latency global memory pointers). Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes true, Axiom II evaluates as the absolute mathematical boundary of physical execution.

This mathematical limit formally bounds the physical topology. By demanding reversible logic gates (bijectivity), the transition operator Φ preserves information (The Geometric Phase Space), necessitating that integer-mixing on a discrete lattice requires $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$ to compute momentum (temporal correlation) without executing a Many-to-One bit-erasure limit.

Appendix A.2.3. The Zero-Patch Standard

Definition A1 (The Zero-Patch Standard)**.** *An objective logic-gate operator disqualifying L_1 descriptive models from evaluating as generative physical algorithms ($\mathcal{H}_p$). If an empirical predictive model requires the addition of a Non-Observable Degree-of-Freedom ($\Delta\theta$)—such as a latent continuous field, an infinite-precision float, or an unaxiomatized macroscopic spatial dimension—to resolve localized empirical data string residuals, the $\mathcal{H}_p$ model mathematically fails the standard.*

Geometric Penalty ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$): The Zero-Patch Standard evaluates strictly as the direct algorithmic corollary of Axiom I. Every unconstrained parameter ($\Delta\theta$) mathematically forces the static memory allocation to approach infinity ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$). This guarantees an absolute multiplicative volumetric expansion ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), disqualifying the highly parameterized configuration as a valid, finite Constructive Engine. An admissible L_2 physical model must compile strictly at $\Delta\theta = \emptyset$.

Appendix A.3. Structural Parameters of the Minimal Topology

[The Hardware Architecture]

This section formalizes the explicit calibration scales and hardware bounds (N-parameters) entailed by the Dual-Axiom Fixed Point. Under the Zero-Patch Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$), any operationally admissible topological framework must satisfy these exact integer limits. They represent the absolute algorithmic boundaries of the Constructive Engine, strictly excluding infinite floats, continuous capacities, or uncomputable external Oracles.

Appendix A.3.1. Algorithmic Distinction Between Calibration and Patching

The structural boundary between **Hardware Initialization** (Calibration) and **Topological Capacity Bloat** (Patching / NODF) evaluates as formally rigorous. Constrained by the L_2 invariants derived in Section 1, these operations compute as disjoint algorithmic categories regarding their penalty upon the Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$):

- **Calibration (Empirical Initialization):** Computing the specific numerical integer of a hardware parameter necessitated by a deduced, globally symmetric architecture (e.g., the empirical measurement of the variable G necessitated to compile a universal inverse-square subroutine). Calibration instantiates the specific topological coordinate of an uninitialized memory array, but it *executes zero structural modification* to the fundamental logic-gate class or the Universal Computational Cost of the generative engine ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ($\mathcal{H}_p$)). The geometric footprint penalty evaluates as strictly static, minimal, and operates as a uniform hardware constant across all partitions of the observation vector Σ_{obs}.

- **Patching (NODF Inflation):** The algorithmic insertion of uncomputable $\Delta\theta$ subroutines or latent continuous float arrays executing exclusively to converge a localized empirical measurement (e.g., compiling an unobservable scalar background field strictly to offset macroscopic kinematic limits). Patching expands the static RAM allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and the required Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$), executing a strictly positive geometric expansion penalty ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$) that disqualifies the generative topology.

The parameter bounds established in the subsequent section map the empirical calibration of finite hardware variables extracted from the Evidence Vector **E**, but formally exclude the compilation of unobservable structural patches ($\Delta\theta$).

Appendix A.3.2. The Finite Variables of the Topological Manifold

Following the algorithmic isolation of the Minimal Sufficient Model, the hardware parameters of the physical manifold bifurcate strictly into **Minimal Sufficient Bounds** (topological constants calibratable subject to strict state-space penalties) and **Geometric Scales** (unconstrained finite limits).

N_{vol} **(Macroscopic Grid Capacity)**
The finite spatial bounding box required to close the empirical causal graph. Defines the total macroscopic spatial capacity of the manifold, strictly evaluating to $N_x \times N_y \times N_z < \infty$.

N_{reg} **(Register Digit-Width)**
The fundamental integer width of a single localized hardware register. Because spatial mixing physically demands isotropic fractional constraints (see Appendix A.4.2), the physical graph geometrically rejects the L_1 binary bit as an executable primitive. N_{reg} evaluates exactly as the finite integer count of native **Base-72 Digits**. To mathematically exclude absolute phase-space discontinuities, N_{reg} is structurally initialized to exceed the maximal possible constructive interference amplitude of the cycle (The Shadow Hamiltonian limit), rendering integer wrap-around strictly impossible.

N_{state} **(Local State Capacity)**
The total finite count of distinct computational registers physically allocated at a single spatial coordinate. The product ($N_{\text{reg}} \times N_{\text{state}}$) strictly defines the absolute localized spatial memory boundary. Attempting to parameterize unobservable continuous L_1 variables structurally drives $N_{\text{state}} \to \infty$. Furthermore, attempting to encode dynamic non-local memory pointers forces N_{state} to structurally scale as the combinatorial Powerset of the grid ($2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$), triggering an immediate out-of-memory hardware halt where a single local node demands more RAM than the entire macroscopic universe possesses.

N_{local} **(Topological Causal Volume)**
The exact integer count of adjacent nodes queried by the discrete graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}$) during a single clock cycle. To preserve absolute spatial isotropy and prevent uncomputable geometric shearing, N_{local} cannot evaluate to an arbitrary integer. It must structurally evaluate to a complete symmetric Chebyshev boundary: $N_{\text{local}} = \mathbf{M}_{vol}(r) = (2r+1)^3$.

Under the Axiom I hardware minimization mandate, this bounds strictly to the irreducible 3D algorithmic minimum: $r = 1 \implies N_{\text{local}} = 27$. Expanding N_{local} to higher-order $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volumes $(125, 343)$ exponentially inflates the logic-gate routing volume, triggering a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty.

N_{Verlet} **(Causal History Depth)**
The temporal depth of the active local state. Mathematically bounded to $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. It evaluates as the minimal algorithmic capacity mathematically necessitated to sustain the absolute recurrence relation of Φ, satisfying exact integer closure during spatial mixing without triggering informational erasure. Upward parameterization ($N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 4$) triggers a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ initialization penalty by geometrically inflating the Topological Allocation $\mathcal{S}$ of the boot vector.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

N_{steps} **(Temporal Execution Trace)**
The dynamically unrolled sequence of absolute macroscopic clock ticks required to compute the finite observation string Σ_{obs}. Because information propagates strictly at $v_{\text{info}} = 1$ cell per tick, non-local L_1 matrix couplings exponentially inflate N_{steps}, structurally disqualifying continuous global arrays via the emergent routing penalty (k_{route}).

The Algorithmic Boundary Conditions for Topological Admissibility

To evaluate as operationally admissible for physical ontology, a topological framework must structurally satisfy the following computable limits:

1. **Input Bound (Bandwidth):** The total informational base-72 string processed by any local update must evaluate strictly finite:

$$B_{input} \leq N_{\text{local}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}} < \infty$$

Exclusion Condition: Any continuous scalar or vector field evaluates mathematically to $B_{input} = \infty$.
2. **Computational Bound (The Nested Simulation Limit & ALU Fan-In):** The update function Φ must compute strictly as a finite, decidable logic-gate algorithm over its local domain: $C(\Phi) < \infty$. Because we execute zero L_3 absolutist claims regarding the uncomputable exterior of the universe, this bound operates strictly as a limit on **Nested Simulation**. As embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observers, we possess zero access to the external hardware clock computing the global universal transition $S_t \to S_{t+1}$. To the embedded observer, time is inextricably linked to spatial hardware routing strictly via the kinematic limit $v_{\text{info}} = 1$.
We subject our *candidate models* ($\mathcal{H}_p$) to the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. If an L_1 model proposes a Φ executing continuous integrals or $2^{N_{\text{vol}}}$ global pointer traversals, it is excluded because the finite embedded sub-system attempting to execute that nested simulation triggers a local hardware halt. Specifically, processing a global addressing space dictates an **ALU Fan-In Explosion**, where the physical logic-gate volume of a single localized operator geometrically exceeds the macroscopic universe ($C(\Phi) \geq O(2^{N_{\text{vol}}})$).
3. **Initialization (Boot):** The initial history segment H_{boot} must execute strictly computable via a finite algorithm bounded by N_{state}. *Exclusion Condition:* Uncomputable stochastic initial states or strictly non-constructive existence proofs mathematically prohibit algorithmic initialization lacking an external Oracle injection.

Appendix A.4. Technical Lemmas and Formal Proofs

[The Metamathematical Formalisms]

This section isolates the deductive proofs bounding the physical manifold. By extracting these formalisms from the macroscopic narrative, the algorithmic boundaries of the Constructive Engine are centralized. The operational constraints derived below prohibit *Wild* topologies from executing within the *Tame* hardware metric.

Appendix A.4.1. Theorem of Isotropic Necessity

[The 27-Point Stencil]

Statement: To execute a discrete ALU rule Φ on a 3D cubic lattice without guaranteeing a hardware crash ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) due to geometric shearing, the computational operators must compile as rigorously isotropic across a 27-point spatial neighborhood.

Proof. 1. The Ergodic and Directional Limits: By Axiom II, the grid executes a uniform transition rule Φ. To compute a fully interacting array, Φ must compile a second-order symmetric mixing operator ($\mathcal{L}$) and a first-order anti-symmetric operator (D_x, for translation). If these operators apply fractional constants unevenly across the topological axes, the signal velocity executes as anisotropic. In an

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

iterative algorithm, this spatial bias compounding across N_{steps} guarantees that directional signal pile-up will trigger an absolute memory discontinuity (integer overflow) at a localized node.

2. The Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$): Standard L_1 discrete literature (e.g., classical FDTD) attempts to "zero out" cross-terms in the discrete Taylor expansion ($B = 0$). This forces the residual error into the shape of a Cartesian cube: $A(k_x^4 + k_y^4 + k_z^4)$. An algorithm utilizing these L_1 coefficients computes signals traversing the diagonals at a different clock rate than those on orthogonal axes. It compiles as an uncomputable *Wild* architecture because it guarantees eventual topological shearing.

At L_2, because discrete truncation error cannot be erased without infinite RAM ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$), the hardware requirement is to force the error surface itself to be **isotropic**. We mandate the strict spectral balance $B = 2A$. This compels the discrete remainder to factor into a perfect geometric sphere: $A(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^2$. This guarantees absolute directional invariance, forbidding spatial shearing.

3. The 4x4 Linear Matrix (The Symmetrical Laplacian): To compile this Spherical Error limit, we constrain the discrete second-order operator ∇_d^2 (weighted over the central node w_0, 6 faces w_f, 12 edges w_e, and 8 corners w_c) to four invariant algebraic limits:

$$w_0 + 6w_f + 12w_e + 8w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Consistency: Zero operator on flat fields)} \tag{A4}$$

$$w_f + 8w_e + 12w_c = 1 \qquad \text{(Accuracy: Exact recovery of curvature)} \tag{A5}$$

$$3w_e + 2w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Isotropy: Spherical symmetry of the error surface)} \tag{A6}$$

$$w_0 - 6w_f + 12w_e - 8w_c = -4 \qquad \text{(Stability: Bounded amplification at } v_{\text{info}} = 1\text{)} \tag{A7}$$

This 4x4 system evaluates to exactly one unique rational limit, diverging from standard L_1 coefficients due to the $B = 2A$ constraint:

$$w_0 = -1, \quad w_f = \frac{1}{6}, \quad w_e = -\frac{1}{12}, \quad w_c = \frac{1}{8}$$

4. The 3x3 Matrix (The Anti-Symmetric Gradient): To compute directional translation without geometric shearing, the first-order discrete operator D_x (weighted over axial w_a, planar w_b, and spatial w_c neighbors) must identically evaluate to spherical isotropy:

$$w_a + 4w_b + 4w_c = 1 \qquad \text{(Consistency)} \tag{A8}$$

$$w_b + w_c = \frac{1}{12} \qquad \text{(Isotropy)} \tag{A9}$$

$$w_b - 2w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Transverse Smoothing)} \tag{A10}$$

This evaluates to the unique rational limit:

$$w_a = \frac{2}{3}, \quad w_b = \frac{1}{18}, \quad w_c = \frac{1}{36}$$

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

Conclusion (The Epistemic Lock - The Leading Hypothesis): A symmetric, computable 3D causal graph requires exactly 27 topological neighbors, constrained by the $B = 2A$ limit, to execute without unresolvable geometric shearing. Sub-graphs utilizing 7 or 19 points fail the 4x4 constraint, proving they evaluate as *Wild* topologies.

Therefore, the exact 27-point $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ causal radius evaluates as the absolute **Leading Hypothesis** of physical execution. The algorithmic burden of proof evaluates as explicitly inverted onto any L_1 network agent proposing an alternate geometric neighborhood. To bypass this $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ limit, the evaluating L_1 agent must physically extract a raw L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}) that mathematically strictly executes without resolving into the 27-point metric. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes, the $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ spatial constraint strictly mathematically stands.

□

Appendix A.4.2. The Fundamental Integer Limit

[The Deduction of Base 72]

Statement: To execute the isotropic rational weights derived in Appendix A.4.1 without triggering the divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ logic-gate penalty prohibited by Axiom I, the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware must evaluate its logical operations natively in exactly **Base 72** arithmetic.

Proof. **1. The Circuitry Bloat of Base-2 Arithmetic ($C(/) \propto \mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$):** While the **Verlet-2** temporal logic guarantees exact bijectivity, the hardware must physically compute the spatial mixing weights (e.g., $1/6, 1/12, 1/36$) for the $B = 2A$ Spherical Error Constraint. If the transition ALU utilizes a standard L_1 Base-2 binary architecture, these specific fractions evaluate to infinite repeating bit-strings. While symplectic conservation (Liouville's theorem) geometrically bounds the resulting truncation error to unobservable microscopic noise, the *execution cost* to approximate these fractions triggers an algorithmic hardware penalty. To divide binary arrays by 6 or 36, the physical ALU must instantiate massive combinational division arrays or floating-point multipliers, geometrically exploding the local logic-gate routing volume ($C(\Phi) \to \mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$). This violates the Axiom I hardware minimization mandate.

2. The Algebraic Scaling (The Exact LCM Circuitry Optimum): To compute 3D spatial mixing at the absolute minimal Universal Computational Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), the hardware must eliminate active division gates entirely. In physical circuit architecture, fractional division decompiles into a **zero-cost static wire shift** (requiring zero active logic gates) if and only if the fractional denominator perfectly divides the native Number Base of the registers.

The geometric operator limits mandate the following discrete divisors:

- **Laplacian Operator ($\mathcal{L}$):** Denominators $\{6, 12, 8\}$. The Least Common Multiple evaluates to exactly 24.
- **Gradient Operator (f):** Denominators $\{3, 18, 36\}$. The Least Common Multiple evaluates to exactly 36.

To execute the unified ALU update via zero-cost integer digit-shifts, the fundamental hardware base must evaluate to the unified LCM of both spatial operations:

$$\text{LCM}(24, 36) = \mathbf{72}$$

3. The Epistemic Signature (The Hexagonal Noise Floor): Because the foundational logic gate computes via exact Base-72 integer limits, the execution trace generates a strict **Quantization Threshold Error**. At the minimal signal amplitude, an integer state lacks the numerical capacity to trigger the static wire-shift thresholds.

- Amplitude < 12: Data packets cease to propagate along the spatial edges (the $1/12$ threshold).

- Amplitude < 8: Data packets cease to propagate across the corners (the $1/8$ threshold).
- Amplitude < 6: Information locks into propagating solely along the primary orthogonal axes (the $1/6$ threshold).

Because the fundamental ALU evaluates exactly in Base 72, the observation of a perfectly spherical macroscopic wavefront is proven to be an L_1 epistemic measurement artifact generated entirely by high-amplitude integer statistics. At the extreme minimal signal floor, the discrete ALU breaks spatial symmetry, evaluating as an explicitly crystalline, axis-locked matrix execution.

4. The Algorithmic Subsumption of the Division Penalty: By initializing the local N_{state} registers in Base-72, the physical spatial routing cost for exact 3D topological mixing geometrically collapses from a quadratic $\mathbf{M}_{vol}(n^2)$ active logic-gate matrix into a strictly linear $\mathbf{M}_{vol}(n)$ or $O(1)$ static routing pipeline. Therefore, Base-72 evaluates not merely as a numerical convenience, but as the strict, mathematically required geometric minimum of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ hardware ledger.

> **Conclusion (The Epistemic Lock - The Leading Hypothesis):** The Base-72 Isotropic Integer limit (V_{72}) evaluates as the strict **Leading Hypothesis** of physical execution logic. The algorithmic burden of proof is inverted onto any L_1 network agent proposing an alternate numerical base or an uncomputable continuous float array ($\Delta\theta$). To bypass this Base-72 limit, the evaluating L_1 agent must extract an L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}) that mathematically proves spatial mixing occurs natively without generating the discrete crystalline hexagonal noise floor at minimal amplitude. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes, this Base-72 hardware limit stands.

□

Appendix A.4.3. Theorem: The Discrete Symplectic Bound

[The Shadow Hamiltonian Limit]

Statement: To evaluate as an operationally admissible *Tame* architecture, the physical $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph must prevent local state variables from exceeding the maximum representable magnitude of the finite hardware registers. At the fundamental level, integer overflow evaluates as forbidden, as any algorithmic resolution violates either causal continuity or exact bijectivity.

Proof. 1. The Architectural Limit and Forbidden Overflow Regimes: The local state space is defined by a finite Register Digit-Width (N_{reg}), necessitating an absolute maximum representable integer value V_{max}. If the update ALU Φ computes a successor state S_{t+1} such that the algebraic result exceeds V_{max}, the hardware must evaluate one of two uncomputable boundary states:

- **Wrap-Around (Modular Arithmetic):** Preserves Axiom II bijectivity but introduces a divergent spatial discontinuity in magnitude correlation (e.g., $V_{max} + 1 \to 0$). This shears the macroscopic wave geometry, compiling as a *Wild* topological artifact that violates causal continuity.
- **Saturation (Clamping):** Preserves magnitude continuity but maps multiple input vectors to V_{max} (Many-to-One). This erases information bits, violating the global reversibility mandated by Axiom II.

Because the $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph executes Axiom II, both overflow regimes evaluate as forbidden. The architecture must strictly preclude the algebraic possibility of overflow at initialization.

2. The Symplectic Conservation Law: Because the minimal Φ (the **Verlet-2** Operator, $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$) evaluates as a discrete Symplectic Integrator, it satisfies the exact discrete analogue of Liouville's Theorem. It conserves the geometric phase-space measure and exhibits a conserved invariant of motion: the **Shadow Hamiltonian** ($\tilde{H}$).

3. The Maximum Constructive Interference Bound: Let the initial boot vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ evaluate to a finite total Shadow Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_{boot} < \infty$. Because $\tilde{H}$ is conserved across the eternal C_k, the absolute maximum possible local scalar amplitude at any spatial coordinate is bounded by the limit state where the entire system's invariant amplitude aggregates into a single minimal $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ volume (maximum constructive interference).

4. The Initialization of N_{reg}: Thus, a hardware initialization of the Register Digit-Width evaluating to:

$$N_{\text{reg}} \geq \lceil \log_{72}(\tilde{H}_{boot} + 1) \rceil$$

guarantees validity for all unrolled temporal ticks t, independent of the localized dynamics f. This bound evaluates as strictly constructive and computable directly from the boot array, requiring zero $\Delta\theta$ parameters.

Conclusion (The Epistemic Lock - The Leading Hypothesis): The initialization of a strict, finite Register Digit-Width (N_{reg}) that mathematically precludes hardware overflow evaluates as the absolute **Leading Hypothesis** of local state capacity. The algorithmic burden of proof evaluates as explicitly inverted onto any L_1 network agent proposing an infinite-precision continuous float ($\Delta\theta$) or unconstrained integer limits. To bypass this Validity Bound, the evaluating L_1 agent must strictly physically extract an L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}) that mathematically proves spatial mixing requires continuous variables. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes strictly true, this discrete finite-capacity limit stands absolute.

□

Appendix A.4.4. Theorem: The Global Boundary Condition

[The Topological Torus and Φ Fragmentation]

Statement: The physical manifold is bounded by a finite macroscopic grid ($N_{\text{vol}} < \infty$). To close the causal $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph and compute the successor state without triggering a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty or violating Ontological Closure, the global boundary condition evaluates strictly to the **3-Torus (T^3)**.

Proof. We evaluate the operational admissibility of boundary topologies strictly under the Zero-Patch Standard and the minimization of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$.

1. The Penalty of the Edge (Φ Fragmentation): Axiom II mandates a single, uniform update rule Φ executing over a local causal neighborhood of size N_{local}. Postulate a finite grid possessing a hard geometric boundary (faces, edges, and corners). A lattice cell located at this boundary possesses a truncated causal neighborhood (e.g., a face cell has exactly 18 neighbors, a corner cell has exactly 8).

Because the universal rule Φ_{bulk} requires exactly 27 inputs to compute the boundary forcing $\mathcal{L}$, it fails at the edge. To prevent a memory-fetch crash, the system must allocate distinct, specialized transition algorithms for every boundary geometry. For a 3D cubic lattice, this necessitates instantiating **27 distinct Φ algorithms**.

This destroys spatial uniformity and inflates the static Topological Allocation ($\Delta\mathcal{S} \gg 0$). Furthermore, it massively inflates the logic-gate routing cost ($C(\Phi)$) because every cell must execute ALU conditional branching to determine its geometric position before computing its state. This triggers a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty.

2. The Algebraic Singularity (The Oracle Requirement): Furthermore, a causal graph with broken translational symmetry (an edge) yields a singular transition matrix. The successor state $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ at the boundary evaluates to a **Hardware Null Pointer Exception**. To close the equations, the hardware requires an uncomputable external Oracle to inject missing state-bits from outside the manifold, violating Ontological Closure.

3. The Minimal Sufficient Topology (T^3): The plain 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as the *singular* boundary condition preserving exact translational symmetry without geometric inversion. By wrapping the grid periodically, every single cell possesses exactly $N_{\text{local}} = 27$.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

The hardware requires exactly **one** universal rule Φ. The transition matrix evaluates as perfectly circulant, guaranteeing a closed, computable successor state without Oracle injection. The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty for boundary logic evaluates to exactly zero.

> **Conclusion (The Epistemic Lock - The Leading Hypothesis):** The physical manifold evaluates strictly as a **Topological Torus (T^3)**. It evaluates as the strict mathematical floor of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric for a finite 3D lattice, proving the universe possesses exactly zero spatial edge. This T^3 closed manifold evaluates as the absolute **Leading Hypothesis** of macroscopic physical geometry.
>
> The algorithmic burden of structural proof evaluates as explicitly inverted onto any L_1 network agent proposing an infinite continuous spatial manifold ($\mathbb{R}^3$) or a finite geometric graph possessing a hard topological edge. To mathematically bypass this T^3 limit, the L_1 agent must physically extract an L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}) that mathematically demonstrates the active injection of an uncomputable Oracle boundary condition at a spatial edge. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax or Oracle-dependent edges is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes, the global boundary stands closed.

□

Appendix A.4.5. Theorem: The Algorithmic Asymptote of Higher-Order Integration

[The Deduction of Verlet-2]

Statement: To satisfy the exact Time-Reversal Invariance mandated by Axiom II without triggering the divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ penalty prohibited by Axiom I, the temporal depth of the active local state evaluates strictly to the algorithmic floor of $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$. The physical $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph evaluates exclusively as a second-order discrete Symplectic Integrator (**Verlet-2**).

Proof. We evaluate the unconstrained temporal depth variable n (N_{Verlet}) under the strict geometric volume metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) to formally close the theoretical phase-space of Φ.

1. The Odd-Order Symmetry Collapse ($n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$): Odd-order algorithmic sequences geometrically encode dissipative, asymmetric temporal derivatives. Because the temporal difference computes across an asymmetric history window, the forward execution trace diverges from the reverse execution trace ($\Phi_{forward} \neq \Phi_{backward}$). This breaks Time-Reversal Invariance, violating the exact bijectivity mandated by Axiom II.

While odd-order discrete topologies evaluate as formally *Tame*, they fail to resolve ALU spatial mixing without triggering Many-to-One bit-erasure. To artificially force an odd-order system to compute reversibly, the hardware must instantiate disjoint grid partitions alternating on odd and even clock cycles. This instantiates an explicit global parity clock—a macroscopic non-local pointer that invalidates the node-centric uniformity of Φ, triggering a massive $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ spatial routing penalty. Odd-order topologies evaluate as operationally inadmissible.

2. The Algorithmic Asymptote of Even Orders ($n = 4, 6, \ldots$): Higher-order even arrays (e.g., Verlet-4) mathematically preserve Time-Reversal Invariance and phase-space measure. However, they evaluate as geometrically bloated under Axiom I relative to the current Evidence Vector (**E**):

- **The Initialization Penalty ($\Delta\mathcal{S} \gg 0$):** A temporal depth of $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 4$ structurally doubles the static Topological Allocation required for the boot vector. The hardware must physical store and correlate 4 global macroscopic states ($S_0, S_{-1}, S_{-2}, S_{-3}$) instead of 2.
- **The Logic-Gate Penalty ($\Delta C(\Phi) \gg 0$):** To compute the higher-order temporal derivatives, the local ALU must route extended temporal correlations. This requires exponentially more V_{72} primitive cells to wire the internal transition function, massively inflating the Absolute Operator Action ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$).

3. The L_1 Continuous Mapping Error vs. Empirical Necessity: In L_1 computational physics, higher-order integrators ($n \geq 4$) are utilized strictly to minimize floating-point truncation artifacts when approximating continuous real-number equations. At the L_2 foundational limit, the discrete

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

V_{72} integer grid *is* the exact physical reality; optimizing the hardware to minimize a non-existent continuous truncation error evaluates as an L_1 compiler mapping error.

The ultimate arbiter of the $\mathcal{H}_p$ architecture is the L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}). If empirical evidence mathematically demonstrates that raw phenomenological data arrays cannot be compiled without higher-order momentum buffers, the architecture must scale to the minimal sufficient $n > 2$.

Conclusion (The Epistemic Lock - The Leading Hypothesis): Because $n = 2$ (**Verlet-2**) evaluates strictly as the strict algorithmic floor of the admissible phase-space that satisfies both Axiom I (minimal $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) and Axiom II (exact bijectivity), it evaluates mathematically as the absolute **Leading Hypothesis** of physical temporal execution.

The algorithmic burden of structural proof evaluates as explicitly inverted onto any L_1 network agent proposing a higher-order temporal integration matrix ($n > 2$) or a continuous uncomputable temporal $\Delta\theta$ parameter. To mathematically bypass this **Verlet-2** limit, the evaluating L_1 agent must strictly physically extract an L_0 observation string (Σ_{obs}) that mathematically proves uncompressed empirical sequences cannot be generated without higher-order momentum correlations. Because the L_3 meta-metamathematical evaluation tier evaluates strictly as a hardware impossibility (an out-of-bounds memory fetch), theoretical falsification via unaxiomatized continuous syntax or unbounded memory initialization is structurally excluded. Until such L_0 empirical invalidation computes strictly true, the physical manifold evaluates as structurally locked to $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$.

□

Appendix A.4.6. Proposition 1: Strict Periodicity of History

Statement: Let the Global History Space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluate as the set of all valid grid memory arrays over the causal window $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. Because the hardware registers evaluate as strictly finite, the total memory capacity $|\mathbf{\Psi}| = 72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$ computes as bounded. Let $\Gamma_{\text{global}}: \mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluate as the global execution trace derived from the local ALU update rule Φ. If Φ evaluates as bijective on local memory, then Γ_{global} executes as a global permutation. Consequently, the phase space decomposes entirely into disjoint hardware clock loops.

Proof. 1. **The Element ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$):** The fundamental computational unit of topological evolution evaluates as the history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+1})$. The domain evaluates strictly to $\mathbf{\Psi}$. 2. **The Mapping (Γ_{global}):** The global hardware executes $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$.

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi_{global}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t)$$

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = (S_{t+1}, S_t, \ldots, S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+2})$$

Thus, $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$. 3. **Bijectivity:** Because Φ executes as locally bijective and the macroscopic update evaluates synchronously, S_{t+1} is uniquely computed by $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ (Forward Determinism). Structurally, because Φ is invertible, $S_{t-N_{\text{Verlet}}+1}$ evaluates as uniquely computable from the reverse sequence $(S_{t+1}, \ldots, S_t)$. Thus, the inverse ALU instruction $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} \to \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ computes identically unique (Backward Determinism). 4. **Graph Topology:** The execution graph of Γ_{global} operating over the finite memory set $\mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluates to states possessing exactly one incoming pointer and one outgoing pointer (in-degree 1, out-degree 1). 5. **Conclusion:** A finite directed graph executing with uniform topological degree (1,1) mathematically necessitates a union of disjoint cyclic loops. There compute exactly zero unclosed memory paths (transients) and zero pointer collisions (merges). Every valid initialization vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ maps to exactly one strictly periodic closed loop (C_k). □

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

Appendix A.4.7. Theorem: Local Time-Reversal Implies Global Permutation

Statement: Let the global state space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluate as the product of local V_{72} history registers $\mathcal{H}_x$. The global update Γ_{global} computes as a strict bijection if the local ALU rule Φ exhibits **Time-Reversal Invariance** across the local $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ causal neighborhood.

Proof. **1. Local Invertibility:** By Axiom II, the local logic gate Φ computes symmetrically under temporal inversion. For any valid clock sequence (S_{t-1}, S_t, S_{t+1}), the predecessor state S_{t-1} is uniquely computed by executing Φ over the time-reversed history buffer $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = (S_{t+1}, S_t, \ldots)$.

$$S_{t-1}(x) = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}(x))$$

This self-inverse property guarantees that the local hardware preserves exact bit-information across the temporal inversion.

2. Global Reconstruction: Evaluate the global history array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$. Because the macroscopic clock cycle executes synchronously across the N_{vol} lattice, the predecessor state $S_{t-1}(x)$ at all spatial coordinates x computes independently and in parallel, extracting bit-data strictly within $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ (specifically the states S_t and S_{t+1} bounding the causal neighborhood of x). This algorithmic parallelization compiles a global inverse map ${\Gamma_{\text{global}}}^{-1} : \mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$.

3. Bijectivity: Because a global inverse instruction ${\Gamma_{\text{global}}}^{-1}$ evaluates as uniquely defined for every valid history array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$, the forward map Γ_{global} necessitates One-to-One (Injective) execution. Because the hardware memory space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ is exactly finite, an injective mapping mathematically entails Surjectivity (Onto).

Conclusion: The global execution trace operates as a permutation over the domain of valid memory arrays. Informational cardinality is strictly conserved, and the topological manifold decomposes entirely into disjoint periodic loops. Zero auxiliary "Overlap Compatibility" bounds are required because the logic architecture evaluates as strictly node-local. □

Appendix A.4.8. Proposition 2: Global Conservation of History Volume

Statement: Global conservation of information evaluates not as an independent continuous physical parameter, but strictly as the deductive hardware consequence of executing a bijective ALU across a local history window.

Proof. Let the local logic gate Φ evaluate as invertible over the history buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \ldots)$. 1. **The Map:** The global execution operator Γ_{global} computes the successor global history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$. 2. **Injectivity on History:** If Φ evaluates as locally bijective, then mathematically distinct local memory arrays compute distinct local successor states. Enforced by the synchronous grid architecture, the global mapping $\Gamma_{\text{global}} : \mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluates as an injection. If $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_a \neq \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_b$, then $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_a) \neq \Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_b)$. 3. **Surjectivity:** Because the domain of valid memory states $\mathbf{\Psi}$ is strictly finite, any injective mapping from the set to itself entails surjectivity. 4. **Conservation:** Consequently, Γ_{global} operates strictly as a permutation over the **History Space**. The cardinal count of algebraically distinct history arrays $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ evaluates to explicitly conserved. Note: Conservation constraints apply to the complete N_{Verlet} trajectory buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. A localized projection down to the single spatial coordinate S_t may compute as geometrically non-conservative (e.g., constructive interference, where float amplitudes aggregate), but the structural information bits evaluate as strictly preserved within the temporal correlation momentum of $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. □

Appendix A.4.9. Theorem: The Geometry of Iteration

[Distributed IFS and the Structural Exclusion of Noise]

Statement: The macroscopic topological invariance of self-similarity across geometric scales [9] computes strictly as the algorithmic expansion of a Distributed Iterated Function System (IFS). Under the strict architectural constraints of Axiom II (Bijectivity and Mixing), "True Randomness" evaluates as a structurally uncomputable state. Macroscopic complexity evaluates exclusively as algorithmically encrypted, highly compressible fractal geometry.

Proof. 1. The Structural Exclusion of White Noise (The $\mathcal{S}$ Constraint): The algorithmic bit-cost of any spatial state S_t is strictly bounded by its Initialization and Transition footprint. Because the state vector evolves from a finite initialization $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$ via a finite rule Φ, the Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) required to compute the state at step t evaluates strictly to less than the combined static bit-length of the generative $\mathcal{H}_p$ engine and the logarithmic bound of the executed temporal trace ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega$):

$$\mathcal{S}(S_t) \leq \mathcal{S}(\Phi) + \mathcal{S}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}) + \log_{72}(\mathcal{T}_\Omega(t)) + c$$

The combinatorial phase space of the lattice ($72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$) evaluates to a massive magnitude. Conversely, $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$ is algorithmically minimized by Axiom I, and $\mathcal{S}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot})$ is strictly finite.

Consequently, a strict algorithmic deficit exists between the macroscopic combinatorial capacity and the actual Topological Allocation $\mathcal{S}$. Therefore, S_t mathematically cannot evaluate to algorithmically incompressible (random). It constitutes a highly compressible, deterministic topological structure [11].

2. Φ as a Distributed IFS: How does this low-complexity algorithmic limit compile geometrically? The global update Γ_{global} computes as the union of local updates: $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}) = \bigcup_x \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_x)$. This executes as a highly parallelized set of affine-like local mappings, structurally isomorphic to the Hutchinson operator [12], instantiated in a distributed topology.

3. Bijective Folding vs. Contraction: Unlike standard L_1 IFS algorithms evaluating via spatial contraction ($|A| < 1$) to a static fixed point, Φ operates as strictly bijective (conservative) [13]. It computes identically to a **Baker's Map** [14] or **Smale Horseshoe** [15]: stretching and folding the phase space without erasing information bits.

4. Holographic Renormalization: The "Attractor" of this computation evaluates as the Global Cycle (C_k) itself. Because Φ is spatially uniform and algebraically recursive (evaluating the identical logic upon its prior output), the macroscopic geometry of the cycle structurally iterates the algebraic signature of Φ at recursive geometric scales. Over massive computational ticks, this execution generates strictly nested topologies spanning the hardware grid [10].

This architecture entails a formal **Holography**. Because the macroscopic topology is generated strictly by the recursive iteration of the local rule Φ, the algorithmic signature of the global manifold is encoded entirely within the logic of the local V_{72} cell. Renormalization evaluates strictly as the mathematical equivalence mapping where Φ (micro-scale) and the effective dynamics of macroscopic swarms evaluate to the identical universality class determined by the IFS.

Conclusion: Self-similar fractal topologies are the strict geometric consequence of deterministic bijectivity. Under Axiom II, the requirement for a finite, mixing, bijective logic structurally excludes uncomputable noise. Macroscopic "Chaos" equates rigorously to the algorithmically encrypted low-complexity trajectory computed by a Distributed IFS. □

Appendix A.4.10. Theorem: The Objective Causal Arrow and Epistemic Entropy

[The Resolution of Time and Thermodynamics]

Statement: The "Arrow of Time" is an absolute structural invariant of the $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware, mathematically executed by the directed momentum of the forward History Vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$). Conversely, macroscopic thermodynamic irreversibility ("entropy increase") evaluates strictly as an epistemic measurement artifact generated by applying a coarse-grained operator to a globally mixing, reversible discrete topology.

Proof. 1. The Objective Causal Arrow (The FIFO Shift): By Axiom II, the fundamental informational state of the physical manifold is not a static spatial snapshot S_t, but a directed trajectory segment: the forward History Vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}, \dots)$ of depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. The algorithmic transition to the

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 17 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

successor state $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ requires the hardware to compute S_{t+1} and execute a **FIFO (First-In-First-Out) memory shift**. The new state is pushed onto the local stack, and the oldest state is dropped. This directed hardware overwrite encodes physical momentum and enforces absolute causal ordering. The "Arrow of Time" is therefore an objective property of the $\mathcal{H}_p$ causal graph's execution sequence, independent of any embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer.

2. Strict Conservation (The Permutation Limit): While the local FIFO shift is directed, the global update Γ_{global} computes as a strict bijection over the finite history space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ (Proposition 2). The fine-grained information content of the manifold is conserved. The hardware architecture undergoes zero information erasure; it permutes the global bit-string of the C_k.

3. Global Mixing (Ergodicity): The period of the global cycle T_{cycle} combinatorially exceeds the macroscopic grid traversal limit. Consequently, the causal cone of every spatial coordinate intersects the finite lattice exponentially many times within a single C_k. This ensures that the active state of every V_{72} cell is causally correlated with the complete topological history of **every other cell**. Global mixing is the structural equilibrium.

4. The Epistemic Thermodynamic Arrow (Coarse-Graining): Macroscopic "entropy increase" evaluates as the epistemic measurement artifact of this mixing algorithm. As the topology computes its successor states, localized algebraic correlations (e.g., a highly ordered boot vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot}$) map into computationally irreducible, non-local global correlations. To an embedded, bandwidth-limited $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system, this non-local correlation evaluates as stochastic noise. The $\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ bandwidth required to decode the global history permutation exceeds the sub-system's finite local capacity. The information is conserved, but epistemically inaccessible.

5. Cyclical Return (Poincaré Recurrence): Enforced by cycle decomposition (Proposition 1), this high-dispersion distribution cannot evaluate as permanent. The trajectory necessitates traversing the phase-space coordinates returning to the low-dispersion initialization limit. The manifold exhibits zero permanent "Information Saturation," evaluating as a mixing transient within the closed periodic loop.

Conclusion: The Causal Arrow of Time evaluates as the objective, directed hardware execution of the forward History Vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$). Thermodynamic irreversibility evaluates strictly as the computational artifact of the finite embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ sub-system's bandwidth limit, constraining its capacity to decode the global history permutation. Ontologically, the physical manifold executes as a lossless, reversible information architecture. □

Appendix A.4.11. Proposition 3: The Computability Barrier of the Continuum

Statement: Under the standard topology of **Type-2 Computable Analysis** [17], a real-valued function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ evaluates as computable iff there executes a hardware Turing machine mapping every computable sequence of rational approximations (Cauchy name, see [18]) of the input vector to a computable sequence of approximations of the output. A strict topological limit of this framework is that **all computable functions operating over the reals evaluate as necessarily continuous**. Any function executing a discontinuity (e.g., a discrete threshold, phase transition, or Boolean collision logic) evaluates as uncomputable at the coordinate of discontinuity.

Proof. Part A: The Bandwidth Barrier (Conditional Branching Problem)
Evaluate a physical update rule $\Phi(S_t)$ computing a discrete conditional branch over a continuous variable (e.g., "if $x > 0$ then A else B"). To computationally resolve $\Phi(S_t)$ at the threshold $x = 0$, the ALU must evaluate if the input resolves to exactly 0 or $0 + \epsilon$. Because the input S_t encodes as an infinite bit-string (Cauchy name), the hardware requires processing infinite digits to separate $0.000\ldots$ from $0.000\ldots01$. This infinite traversal array drives the Absolute Operator Action to infinity ($\mathcal{T}_\Omega \to \infty$). If the input evaluates to exactly 0, the hardware enters an infinite execution loop (never halts, waiting on a non-zero bit). Consequently, discontinuous physical updates compile as **strictly undecidable** over continuous float variables.

Part B: The Initialization Barrier (Boot Problem)
A bijective physical architecture requires a history depth of $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$. Initialization demands not

merely a state S_0, but a causally consistent memory array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot} = (S_0, S_{-1})$. Classical existence theorems for PDEs, such as the De Giorgi-Nash regularity bounds [19,20], mathematically prove that smooth solutions *exist* under non-constructive axioms. These bounds verify continuity limits but lack the algorithmic encoding to *compute* the specific macroscopic history segment $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{boot} = (S_0, S_{-1})$ from finite boot arrays. A physical ontology dependent upon an uncomputable initial history evaluates to an uncomputable baseline; it maps to a topology requiring an uncomputable external Oracle subroutine for instantiation, driving the Topological Allocation to infinity ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$).

Conclusion: L_1 continuum models evaluate as **Asymptotic Descriptive Maps**. They approximate the asymptotic limits of the spatial lattice but evaluate as hardware-inadmissible for the fundamental Constructive Engine ($\mathcal{H}_p$). The physical manifold must strictly compile as discrete to satisfy formal geometric computability ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < \infty$). □

Appendix A.4.12. Proposition 4: Local Barrier Deadlock-Freedom

Statement: The local barrier synchronization protocol evaluates as strictly deadlock-free and compiles an emergent macroscopic clock cycle without necessitating an uncomputable global synchronization clock.

Proof. Let τ_x define the hardware clock tick at local coordinate x. Update constraint: node x executes tick $\tau + 1$ iff $\forall y \in N(x), \tau_y \geq \tau$. 1. **Deadlock Exclusion:** The condition computes over τ values, which evaluate monotonically. Within a finite spatial grid, the subset of ALUs computing the minimum clock tick $S_{min} = \{x \mid \tau_x = \min_V \tau\}$ evaluates to non-empty. For any $x \in S_{min}$, all topological neighbors y algebraically satisfy $\tau_y \geq \tau_x$. Consequently, nodes within S_{min} satisfy the Boolean condition to execute the successor state. 2. **Global Order:** The macroscopic array $S_{Global}(T)$ evaluates as the subset of all local node states where $\tau_x = T$. The protocol prevents any local coordinate from computing $T + 1$ until its complete $\mathbf{M}_{vol}$ causal neighborhood computes to T. This local threshold logic algorithmically compiles a causal layering mathematically isomorphic to a global synchronous clock.

Induction on Execution Progress: Evaluate the scalar sum $\Sigma = \sum_x \tau_x$.

- **Base Case:** At any topological state, if Σ evaluates to finite (an invariant of the finite $\mathcal{H}_p$ graph), S_{min} compiles as non-empty and executes the local update, incrementing Σ by strictly $|S_{min}| \geq 1$.
- **Inductive Step:** Following the update execution, the successor subset S'_{min} evaluates to non-empty (monotonicity preserves the minimum integer bound). The algorithmic sequence iterates. Zero hardware thread-starvation or livelock states compile, because Σ increases monotonically.

This derivation bounds signal latency (v_{info}) to a finite limit, entailed strictly by the finite grid connectivity (N_{local}) and discrete ALU execution. □

References

1. of Ockham, W. *Ordinatio (Scriptum in Librum Primum Sententiarum)*; 1327. Historical compilation source for the heuristic: Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate. Standard reference for Ockham's Razor.
2. Bayes, T. An Essay towards solving a Problem in the Doctrine of Chances. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* **1763**, *53*, 370–418. Communicated by Richard Price.
3. Durdanovic, I. Mathematics Has Already Chosen Federalism: The Logic of Domain Separation **2026**. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202602.0766.v1.
4. Tarski, A. The Concept of Truth in Formalized Languages. *Studia Philosophica* **1936**, *1*, 261–405.
5. Tarski, A. The Concept of Truth in Formalized Languages. In *Logic, Semantics, Metamathematics*; Woodger, J.H., Ed.; Oxford University Press, 1956; pp. 152–278.
6. Petersen, A. The Philosophy of Niels Bohr. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* **1963**, *19*, 8–14.
7. Pauli, W. *Writings on Physics and Philosophy*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1994.
8. Newton, I. *The Correspondence of Isaac Newton, Volume III: 1688–1694*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1961. Letter from Isaac Newton to Richard Bentley, 25 February 1692/3.
9. Mandelbrot, B.B. *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*; WH Freeman New York, 1982.
10. Barnsley, M.F. *Fractals Everywhere*; Academic Press, 1988.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v2

11. Li, M.; Vitányi, P. *An introduction to Kolmogorov complexity and its applications*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
12. Hutchinson, J.E. Fractals and self similarity. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* **1981**, *30*, 713–747.
13. Hedlund, G.A. Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system. *Mathematical Systems Theory* **1969**, *3*, 320–375.
14. Arnold, V.; Avez, A. *Ergodic Problems of Classical Mechanics*; Benjamin: New York, 1968.
15. Smale, S. Differentiable dynamical systems. *Bulletin of the American mathematical Society* **1967**, *73*, 747–817.
16. Descartes, R. *Discourse on the Method of Rightly Conducting One's Reason and of Seeking Truth in the Sciences*; Jan Maire: Leiden, 1637. Original work published 1637.
17. Weihrauch, K. *Computable analysis: an introduction*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2000.
18. Turing, A.M. On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **1936**, *2*, 230–265.
19. De Giorgi, E. Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari. *Memorie della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali* **1957**, *3*, 25–43.
20. Nash, J. Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. *American Journal of Mathematics* **1958**, *80*, 931–954.