Article

Not peer-reviewed version

On the Possibility of Probing the Speed of Quantum Collapse

José I. Orlicki *

Posted Date: 11 April 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v2

Keywords: quantum entanglement; wavefunction collapse; speed of collapse; quantum nonlocality; experimental proposal; realism; locality; no-signaling theorem; Bell's theorem; Cirq simulation; quantum foundations

Article

On the Possibility of Probing the Speed of Quantum Collapse

José I. Orlicki

Independent Researcher; josepreprints@gmail.com

Abstract: The instantaneous nature of quantum entanglement remains one of the most intriguing aspects of quantum mechanics. While the no-signaling theorem prohibits faster-than-light communication, the concept of a finite speed for the collapse of the quantum wavefunction has been a subject of philosophical debate. In this paper, we propose an experimental setup designed to test the speed of wavefunction collapse using entangled photon pairs over a distance of 10 km. By systematically varying the time delay between measurements and analyzing the resulting correlations, we aim to outline a method that could place bounds on the collapse speed without contradicting the no-signaling theorem. We clarify here what is meant by "collapse" in our context, namely the idea that if wavefunction collapse is a physical process (rather than a purely epistemic one), it might propagate at a finite speed. To verify such a collapse, we would look for a drop-off in quantum correlations if measurements are performed faster than the collapse can "travel" between entangled partners; otherwise, the correlations would appear as classical random outcomes. Detecting a finite, possibly superluminal, speed of collapse could allow for maintaining realism and locality through high-speed remote synchronization, eliminating the need for hidden variables. We provide a detailed experimental design, including an algorithm and a Python implementation using the `Cirq` library to simulate the proposed experiment. Our goal is to motivate experimentalists to undertake this test, which could have profound implications for our understanding of quantum mechanics and the nature of reality.

Keywords: quantum entanglement; wavefunction collapse; speed of collapse; quantum nonlocality; experimental proposal; realism; locality; no-signaling theorem; Bell's theorem; Cirq simulation; quantum foundations

---

1. Introduction

Quantum mechanics, since its inception in the early 20th century, has fundamentally challenged our classical understanding of the physical world. One of the most perplexing and fascinating features of quantum mechanics is the phenomenon of *entanglement*, where particles become linked such that the state of one appears to instantaneously influence the state of another, regardless of the distance separating them [1,2].

A key puzzle arising from entanglement is often referred to as *quantum collapse*: when a measurement is made on one member of an entangled pair, the other member's state is apparently "determined" instantaneously. Different interpretations of quantum mechanics offer divergent views on what "collapse" means—from it being a mere update in our knowledge of the system (epistemic interpretation) to an objective physical event (objective-collapse or dynamical-reduction theories). In standard quantum theory as typically taught, collapse is usually treated as *instantaneous* and not a physical process with a measurable speed.

However, there have been suggestions that, if wavefunction collapse is a *physical* process, it might propagate at a finite (potentially superluminal) speed [3–5]. Detecting a finite speed would mean that if measurements on entangled partners were performed *within* some time window shorter than the "collapse transit time," no true quantum correlations would be observed. Instead, the observed outcomes would appear as uncorrelated classical noise, since the hypothesized collapse "signal" would

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 11 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v2

not yet have reached the distant detector. In this paper, we adopt this idea and propose an experiment designed to determine whether entanglement correlations transition from "quantum" to effectively "classical" when the measurement timing is tuned to be below the hypothetical collapse-propagation limit.

Our approach involves:

1. **Exponential Backoff**: Starting with a time delay equal to the light travel time between detectors, we systematically reduce the measurement delay (halving at each step).
2. **Binary Search Refinement**: Once we detect a significant change in correlation, we perform a binary search to pinpoint the threshold time more precisely.
3. **Statistical Analysis**: We conduct a large number of measurements to ensure statistical significance in determining whether there is any transition from quantum to classical correlations.

If the collapse has a finite speed, then at sufficiently small measurement delays (below the time it takes collapse "information" to propagate), the two detectors would not be properly synchronized by collapse, and the outcome statistics would appear as though the photons were classical random variables. Detecting such a transition could have profound implications for reconciling quantum mechanics with realism and locality [6].

In the following sections, we first discuss the philosophical interpretations of quantum mechanics relevant to our experiment, emphasizing the difference between standard instantaneous collapse and an alternative finite-speed mechanism. We then present the details of the experimental proposal, including the setup, algorithm, and timing control. Afterward, we provide a Python simulation code (using `Cirq`) to illustrate how data might be analyzed in a real experiment. We close by discussing potential outcomes, challenges, and implications for our understanding of quantum reality.

1.1. Organization of the Paper

The paper is organized as follows:

- **Section 2**: Discusses the philosophical interpretations of quantum mechanics relevant to our experiment, emphasizing the importance of objectivity, realism, and how we define "collapse."
- **Section 3**: Presents our experimental proposal, including the setup, procedure, and algorithm specification for detecting finite-speed collapse.
- **Section 4**: Provides a sample Python simulation code using `Cirq`, explaining how we artificially emulate a finite-collapse-speed effect for demonstration.
- **Section 5**: Discusses how one might interpret experimental data to confirm or refute the existence of finite-speed collapse, along with challenges and open questions.
- **Section 6**: Concludes by summarizing the main points and potential significance for quantum theory and relativity.

2. Philosophical Context

2.1. What We Mean by "Quantum Collapse"

In standard quantum mechanics, "collapse of the wavefunction" is introduced as part of the measurement postulate: once a measurement is made, the wavefunction of the system is projected into an eigenstate of the observable. This concept is powerful for predictions but philosophically contentious because it implies a special, seemingly nonlocal role for measurement.

In *objective-collapse* or *dynamical-reduction* theories, collapse is treated as a real physical event—something that happens *to* the system rather than a statement of our knowledge. If this collapse is genuinely physical, it would be subject to dynamical laws and potentially propagate at a finite speed. Our proposal asks: "If wavefunction collapse travels at a finite speed, can we observe a transition from quantum to classical-like correlations when we measure faster than that collapse can traverse the distance between entangled particles?"

2.2. Detecting Collapse vs. Observing Classical Randomness

A natural question is: *How do we tell if a collapse has occurred?* In the usual quantum framework, one cannot see the collapse itself but only its statistical aftermath—the measurement outcomes. If measurements on two distant detectors happen "too quickly" relative to a hypothetical finite speed of collapse, any correlation that depends on that collapse mechanism "arriving" would not form. Hence, measurement outcomes would appear largely uncorrelated or consistent with classical random variables.

Thus, *verifying quantum collapse* in this paper effectively means looking for a *drop-off in quantum correlations* if the two detectors measure the entangled photons within a time window shorter than the collapse transit time. If no such drop-off is ever found, then standard quantum theory—with effectively instantaneous entanglement correlations—is upheld.

2.3. Locality, Causality, and Finite Collapse Speed

Quantum entanglement seems to violate locality by allowing instantaneous correlations between distant particles. However, the *no-signaling theorem* states that these correlations cannot be used to transmit classical, controllable information faster than light. Our experiment is designed to respect that principle: even if collapse moves faster than c, the inherently random nature of measurement outcomes prevents faster-than-light communication.

By focusing on statistical correlation changes under varying measurement delays, we aim to test whether there is a physical limit to how quickly entanglement correlations can manifest. If we find no limit, that supports the conventional idea of effectively instantaneous collapse. If we do find a threshold, it suggests a superluminal but *finite* speed that plays a role in synchronizing the outcomes of entangled pairs.

2.4. Interplay with Relativity

The notion of a finite collapse speed is not part of standard quantum theory or special relativity. Reconciling quantum nonlocal correlations with relativistic causality remains an open question [7,8]. A finite-speed collapse could, in principle, be consistent with relativity if it does not allow superluminal *signaling*, though some interpretations worry about preferred reference frames [9]. Nonetheless, the experiment proposed here does not claim to resolve all such foundational issues; rather, it aims to provide empirical data that might constrain or support finite-speed-collapse models.

3. Experimental Proposal

3.1. Setup

We propose an experiment with the following components:

- **Entangled Photon Source**: Located at the midpoint between two detectors, generating entangled photon pairs via spontaneous parametric down-conversion [10].
- **Detectors A and B**: Positioned 10 km apart, each equipped with precise atomic clocks and single-photon detection apparatus [11].
- **Timing Control**: An ability to vary the time delay between measurements at the two detectors with picosecond precision.

3.2. Core Idea: Collapse Timing and Correlation Observables

Our central hypothesis is: *If collapse propagates at a finite speed, measuring entangled photons too "soon" relative to that speed will yield classical random correlations, whereas measuring at or beyond that time scale will yield the usual quantum correlations.* By systematically adjusting the delay between two distant measurement events and evaluating entanglement correlations, one can search for a transition that demarcates "unestablished entanglement" (classical-like noise) from "fully established entanglement" (quantum correlations).

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 11 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v2

3.3. Procedure

1. **Synchronization**: Use high-precision optical atomic clocks [12,13] to synchronize the detectors with better than picosecond accuracy.
2. **Baseline Measurement**:
   - Set the time delay T to be on the order of (or greater than) the light-travel time between detectors ($\approx 33.4\,\mu$s for 10 km).
   - Perform measurements on, say, 10,000 entangled photon pairs, recording correlation statistics (e.g., Bell-test outcomes).
3. **Iterative Reduction**:
   - Halve the time delay: $T \leftarrow T/2$.
   - Repeat the correlation measurement and check for any significant deviation from the baseline quantum correlation level.
   - Continue until a notable change is observed or until practical timing limits are reached.
4. **Binary Search**:
   - Once a significant correlation drop-off is detected between T_{high} and T_{low}, perform a binary search in that interval.
   - This narrows down the threshold time $T_{\text{threshold}}$ at which the correlation transitions from the quantum level to classical-like randomness.

3.4. Algorithm Specification (Pseudocode)

Listing 1: Pseudocode for Collapse Speed Estimation

```
def estimate_collapse_speed():
    T_initial = 33.4e-6   # Initial time delay (33.4 microsecs)
    T_min = 1e-11         # Minimum time delay (10 ps)
    num_pairs = 10000     # Number of photon pairs per measurement
    threshold = 0.001     # Threshold for correlation difference

    # Baseline correlation at T_initial
    baseline_corr = measure_correlation(T_initial, num_pairs)

    T = T_initial
    while T > T_min:
        T /= 2
        corr = measure_correlation(T, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            # Significant change detected
            T_limit = T
            break

    # Binary search to refine T_limit
    T_lower = T
    T_upper = 2 * T
    while (T_upper - T_lower) > desired_precision:
        T_mid = (T_lower + T_upper) / 2
        corr = measure_correlation(T_mid, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            T_upper = T_mid
        else:
            T_lower = T_mid
```

```
    collapse_speed = distance / T_upper
    return collapse_speed
```

This algorithm is intended to highlight the key steps in scanning for a potential transition from quantum to classical correlations. If no such transition appears down to the minimum time $T_{\min}$, one can place a lower bound on any hypothetical finite collapse speed.

4. Python Simulation Using `Cirq`

We present here a sample Python script that outlines how one might simulate this procedure. Note that **standard quantum mechanics does not include a finite-speed-collapse mechanism.** Thus, we artificially introduce a timing-dependent effect to emulate how the correlation might degrade if the collapse "signal" has not arrived.

4.1. Assumptions and Modifications

- **Instantaneous Collapse in Cirq**: Tools like `Cirq` assume instantaneous collapse, consistent with standard quantum theory.
- **Artificial Timing Dependence**: We introduce a made-up function in the code that reduces entanglement correlations as a function of the measurement delay T, just for demonstration.

4.2. Code Implementation

Listing 2: Simulation Code for Collapse Speed Estimation

```
import cirq
import numpy as np

def create_entangled_pair():
    q0, q1 = cirq.LineQubit.range(2)
    circuit = cirq.Circuit(
        cirq.H(q0),
        cirq.CNOT(q0, q1)
    )
    return q0, q1, circuit

def measure_correlation(circuit, repetitions=10000):
    simulator = cirq.Simulator()
    result = simulator.run(circuit, repetitions=repetitions)
    measurements = result.measurements['m']
    # Calculate the correlation
    correlation = np.mean([m[0] == m[1] for m in measurements])
    return correlation

def add_timing_control(circuit, wait_time):
    """
    Introduce a hypothetical timing control to the measurement.
    This is a placeholder for the timing-dependent effect.
    """
    q0, q1 = circuit.all_qubits()
    # Hypothetical effect: Rotate qubits based on wait_time
    # to degrade entanglement artificially.
    angle = np.pi * np.exp(-wait_time / 1e-9)  # Decay over ~1 ns
    timing_circuit = cirq.Circuit(
```

```
        cirq.rz(angle).on_each(q0, q1)
    )
    circuit += timing_circuit
    return circuit

def estimate_collapse_speed():
    T_initial = 33.4e-6  # 33.4 microseconds
    T_min = 1e-11        # 10 ps
    num_pairs = 10000
    threshold = 0.001

    # Baseline correlation
    q0, q1, circuit = create_entangled_pair()
    circuit.append(cirq.measure(q0, q1, key='m'))
    baseline_corr = measure_correlation(circuit, num_pairs)

    T = T_initial
    T_limit = None
    while T > T_min:
        T /= 2
        # Reset and modify circuit with timing control
        q0, q1, circuit = create_entangled_pair()
        circuit = add_timing_control(circuit, T)
        circuit.append(cirq.measure(q0, q1, key='m'))
        corr = measure_correlation(circuit, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            T_limit = T
            break

    if T_limit is None:
        print("No␣significant␣change␣detected␣down␣to␣T_min.")
        return None

    # Binary search refinement
    T_lower = T_limit
    T_upper = 2 * T_limit
    desired_precision = 1e-12  # 1 ps
    while (T_upper - T_lower) > desired_precision:
        T_mid = (T_lower + T_upper) / 2
        q0, q1, circuit = create_entangled_pair()
        circuit = add_timing_control(circuit, T_mid)
        circuit.append(cirq.measure(q0, q1, key='m'))
        corr = measure_correlation(circuit, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            T_upper = T_mid
        else:
            T_lower = T_mid

    # Distance in meters / time in seconds
    collapse_speed = (10e3) / T_upper
```

 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v2


```
    print(f"Estimated collapse speed: {collapse_speed} m/s")
    return collapse_speed

if __name__ == "__main__":
    estimate_collapse_speed()
```

4.3. Purpose and Limitations

This code serves as a *specification* of how one might collect and analyze data for detecting finite-speed collapse. In real experiments, the actual correlation changes would be governed by the underlying physics—which, in standard quantum theory, predicts no timing-based drop-off. If an experiment were to see a genuine transition from quantum to classical correlations, it would be evidence for a novel physical effect beyond standard theory.

5. Discussion

5.1. How This Addresses "Verifying Collapse"

In this finite-speed-collapse scenario, direct measurement of any "collapse wave" is not possible. Instead, the verification revolves around *statistical outcomes* of many entangled photon pairs under varying measurement delays. If we observe a sudden drop from Bell-inequality-violating correlations to classical-like correlations at some critical subluminal time, that would suggest the wavefunction collapse is unable to "synchronize" the outcomes quickly enough.

On the other hand, if standard quantum correlations persist for arbitrarily small measurement delays, then we have no evidence for a finite-speed mechanism, and the conventional picture of instantaneous entanglement remains robust—up to the experimental limits of timing resolution.

5.2. Interpretational Nuances

- **No-Signaling Theorem**: Even if collapse is superluminal, no *useful* information is transmitted faster than light. Thus, causality remains protected, and relativity is not overtly contradicted.
- **Locality vs. Realism**: A finite-speed-collapse perspective might reconcile realism (physical states exist independently of observation) with a form of locality if one interprets the correlations as being "established" via a superluminal but finite mechanism that cannot be exploited for communication.
- **Experimental Feasibility**: Achieving the necessary timing precision and collecting enough entangled photon pairs to detect a transition is technologically challenging. Any drop-off in correlations must be distinguished from detector inefficiencies, dark counts, or other noise sources.

6. Conclusions

By "quantum collapse," we mean the hypothesized process by which an entangled state is forced into a definite outcome upon measurement. While standard quantum mechanics effectively assumes this happens instantaneously (or treats it as a mere update of knowledge), we explore the possibility that it is a physical process that might propagate at a finite speed.

In our proposed experiment, if measurements are performed rapidly enough (shorter than the transit time of this hypothetical collapse signal), one would see a breakdown of quantum correlations, replaced by classical-like statistics. If no breakdown is observed, one can place ever-higher lower bounds on the collapse speed or reinforce the default assumption that collapse is not a measurable, finite-speed process under standard quantum mechanics.

Such a result could strengthen the nonlocal yet instantaneous picture of standard quantum theory. Alternatively, observing a transition would motivate new theoretical frameworks where collapse is a superluminal but finite-speed phenomenon, potentially reconciling locality and realism through high-speed remote synchronization. We hope this work inspires experimental efforts to push the limits of timing precision in entanglement measurements, shedding light on the nature of collapse and the foundations of quantum mechanics.

Acknowledgments: We thank Adam Kay and his book *Escape From Shadow Physics: Quantum Theory, Quantum Reality and the Next Scientific Revolution* [14] that inspired this research.

References

1. Schrödinger, E. (1935). Discussion of probability relations between separated systems. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 31(4), 555-563.
2. Zeilinger, A. (2010). *Dance of the Photons: From Einstein to Quantum Teleportation*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
3. Ghirardi, G. C., Rimini, A., & Weber, T. (1986). Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Physical Review D*, 34(2), 470.
4. Salart, D., Baas, A., Branciard, C., Gisin, N., & Zbinden, H. (2008). Testing the speed of 'spooky action at a distance'. *Nature*, 454(7206), 861-864.
5. Cocciaro, B., Faetti, S., & Vinattieri, A. (2011). Upper bounds on the propagation speed of quantum correlations in an entangled system. *Physical Review A*, 83(5), 052112.
6. Hossenfelder, S., & Palmer, T. (2020). Rethinking superdeterminism. *Frontiers in Physics*, 8, 139.
7. Smolin, L. (2006). *The Trouble with Physics: The Rise of String Theory, the Fall of a Science, and What Comes Next*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
8. Weinberg, S. (1995). *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. 1: Foundations*. Cambridge University Press.
9. Hardy, L. (1992). Quantum mechanics, local realistic theories, and Lorentz-invariant realistic theories. *Physical Review Letters*, 68(20), 2981-2984.
10. Slussarenko, S., & Pryde, G. J. (2019). Photonic quantum information processing: A concise review. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 6(4), 041303.
11. Hadfield, R. H. (2009). Single-photon detectors for optical quantum information applications. *Nature Photonics*, 3(12), 696-705.
12. Poli, N., et al. (2013). Optical atomic clocks. *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 36(12), 555-624.
13. Predehl, K., et al. (2012). A 920-kilometer optical fiber link for frequency metrology at the 19th decimal place. *Science*, 336(6080), 441-444.
14. Kay, A. F. (2024). *Escape From Shadow Physics: Quantum Theory, Quantum Reality and the Next Scientific Revolution*. W&N Publishers, June 20, 2024. ISBN: 978-1399609586.