

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Association Between Dietary Polyphenol Intake and Semen Quality: Insights from the FERTINUTS Study

[Hamza Mostafa](#)*, [Javier Mateu-Fabregat](#), [Asmae Benchohra](#), [Nil Novau-Ferré](#), [Laura Panisello](#), [Mònica Bulló](#)*

Posted Date: 6 August 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202508.0401.v1

Keywords: male infertility; polyphenol; nutrition; semen quality



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Association Between Dietary Polyphenol Intake and Semen Quality: Insights from the FERTINUTS Study

Hamza Mostafa ^{1,2,3,*}, Javier Mateu-Fabregat ^{1,2,3}, Asmae Benchohra ^{1,2,3}, Nil Novau-Ferré ^{1,2,3}, Laura Panisello ^{1,2,3} and Mònica Bulló ^{1,2,3,4,*}

¹ Nutrition and Metabolic Health Research Group, Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Rovira i Virgili University (URV); Reus, Spain

² Institute of Health Pere Virgili (IISPV); Reus and Spain

³ Center of Environmental, Food and Toxicological Technology (TecnATox), Rovira i Virgili University, Reus, Spain

⁴ CIBER Physiology of Obesity and Nutrition (CIBEROBN), Carlos III Health Institute, 28029, Madrid, Spain

* Correspondence: monica.bullo@urv.cat (MB); hamza.mohamedamin@urv.cat (HM)

Abstract

Background/Objectives: Low semen quality and male infertility are critical global health issues. Emerging research highlights that nutritional factors could play a significant role in determining reproductive outcomes. Understanding and optimizing these dietary influences, including the role of polyphenols, is crucial for developing targeted strategies to improve male fertility. We aimed to explore the relationship between the intake of different classes of polyphenols and semen quality indicators in a cohort of healthy young males. **Methods:** This is a secondary analysis involving 106 male individuals, aged 18–35 years from the FERTINUTS trial. Dietary intake was assessed using 3-day dietary records, and semen quality parameters were analysed. Multivariable linear regression analysis was employed to evaluate the associations between dietary polyphenol consumption and semen quality indicators. **Results:** Our findings revealed both positive and negative associations between polyphenol consumption and sperm morphology parameters. A higher intake of total polyphenols was associated with a lower percentage of abnormalities in sperm heads but a higher rate of abnormalities in the principal piece. Similar results were observed for lignan and flavonoid intake. Additionally, a higher intake of flavonoids was also associated with a greater percentage of normal sperm forms. In contrast, a higher dietary intake of stilbenes was associated with a higher percentage of combined abnormalities. **Conclusions:** While these results suggest that higher intake of polyphenols, particularly flavonoids and lignans may protect sperm head integrity by supporting DNA and acrosome function, we also observed an increase in tail abnormalities, without significant effects on motility or vitality. As fertility relies on multiple factors, such morphological changes could influence reproductive success. These findings highlight the need to consider both type and dosage of polyphenols to better understand its implications for reproductive health.

Keywords: male infertility; polyphenol; nutrition; semen quality

1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), infertility is defined as the inability to achieve pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular, unprotected sexual intercourse [1]. Male infertility contributes to approximately 30% of infertility cases, with global prevalence estimates ranging from 9% to 15% [2]. Regional data indicate that the Eastern Mediterranean has one of the lowest lifetime prevalence rates, around 10% [1].

While genetic and endocrine disorders play a known role in male fertility, lifestyle factors, including diet, are increasingly recognized as potential modifiable determinants [3]. Adherence to healthy dietary patterns such as the Mediterranean and DASH diets has been linked to improved

semen quality in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 1,244 subjects across six studies [4]. Additionally, cross-sectional studies among young men in Greek and Italian populations have associated the Mediterranean diet with better sperm quality [5,6].

Polyphenols, naturally occurring compounds in plant-based foods like fruits and vegetables, and particularly abundant in the Mediterranean diet, are classified into several classes based on the number of phenolic rings and functional groups, such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, stilbenes and lignans, which helps in understanding their bioavailability and health benefits [7,8]. Polyphenols have attracted attention due to their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. While they are best known for their benefits in cardiovascular health, neurodegenerative diseases, and reducing all-cause mortality [9–11], they have also emerged as potential modulators of reproductive function [12]. Evidence from preclinical studies suggests that these compounds may influence sperm quality by reducing oxidative stress, enhancing mitochondrial function, preserving DNA integrity, and increasing motility [13]. However, findings from human studies remain limited and inconsistent, with differences likely depending on polyphenol type, dose, and individual biological responses [14–16]. Despite growing interest, most research has focused on broad dietary patterns or single polyphenol compounds, often overlooking class-specific effects on sperm morphology and function. Since different polyphenol classes may have distinct antioxidant properties and biological activities, more detailed investigation is needed. In this context, we conducted a cross-sectional analysis within the FERTINUTS trial to explore associations between the intake of different polyphenol classes and detailed semen quality parameters in healthy men, aiming to clarify how these compounds may differentially affect male reproductive health.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

Within the FERTINUTS trial, the current study was conducted as a cross-sectional secondary analysis using baseline data. The FERTINUTS trial was a randomized, controlled 14-week trial conducted on healthy men aged between 18 and 35 years, who followed a Western-style diet assessed through a dietary questionnaire [17]. The primary aim of the FERTINUTS trial was to evaluate the effect of consuming 60g of mixed nuts daily on semen quality. Detailed information about the FERTINUTS trial can be found elsewhere [17].

2.2. Nutritional Data

To estimate the participants' dietary intake, food consumption was recorded using 3-day dietary records, including two weekdays and one weekend day. Total energy, macronutrient, and micronutrient intake were estimated using the Spanish composition tables [18]. Polyphenol intake in mg/day was estimated using “Phenol Explorer version 3.6”, with polyphenol values expressed in mg/100 ml for liquids and mg/100 g for solid foods. For each class of polyphenols, total intake was calculated by multiplying the polyphenol content per 100 ml or 100 g of each food by the average amount consumed over the 3-day period, then dividing by 100. Total polyphenol intake was calculated as the sum of all classes of polyphenols including flavonoids, phenolic acids, lignans, stilbenes, and other minor polyphenols.

2.3. Semen Quality Assessment

Semen samples were obtained after three days of sexual abstinence. Analyses of the freshly collected samples were performed within a maximum timeframe of 60 minutes post-collection as previously described [17]. The standard semen parameters, volume, pH, sperm motility, vitality, and morphology, were evaluated on fresh samples. The total sperm count, and concentration were quantified using a 100 μ m-deep hemocytometer chamber (Neubauer chamber), employing bright-field optics at $\times 400$ magnification. Sperm motility was analysed under a light microscope at $\times 400$ magnification, categorizing sperm into three classifications: 1) progressive motility, 2)

nonprogressive motility, or 3) immobility. The motility was then represented as a percentage of total motility (comprising both progressive and nonprogressive motility). Sperm vitality was assessed by examining the integrity of the sperm plasma membrane utilizing eosin-nigrosine at $\times 1000$ magnification. Sperm morphology was evaluated on semen smears stained with Hemacolor (Millipore) at $\times 1000$ magnification, distinguishing normal sperm from defects in the head, midpiece, or principal piece (individually or combined). Morphology was reported as a percentage of normal forms. These parameters were evaluated following the guidelines set by the 2010 WHO [19].

2.4. Blood Analysis and Anthropometric Measurements

Weight (TANITA TBF-300, Tanita), height, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, blood pressure (Omron HEM-705CP), general medical, and reproductive data were recorded [17]. Blood samples were collected during the visit after a twelve-hour fast. Fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, insulin, C-reactive protein and folate were measured using standardized enzymatic automated systems.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The characteristics of the study participants are presented as mean (SD) or as median [P25-P75] depending on the distribution of each variable. Polyphenol levels and sperm quality parameters were log-transformed to approximate normal distributions. Missing values for covariates were imputed using median values. Multivariable linear regression analyses were conducted to assess the cross-sectional associations between total dietary polyphenols intake and its specific subtypes and semen quality parameters. Participants were also categorized into tertiles based on their total polyphenols and subtype-specific polyphenol consumption. To assess trends across tertiles, the median values of each tertile were modelled as a continuous variable in the multivariable models. Results are reported as β coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), using the lowest tertile as the reference category. The models were adjusted for potential confounders, including age, BMI, plasma cholesterol level, total energy intake, and the intake of protein, fibre, carbohydrates, alcohol and fatty acids (monounsaturated, polyunsaturated, and saturated). All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.2.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

3. Results

Of the 244 participants assessed for eligibility, 106 met the inclusion criteria for participating in the study and had complete data available for analysis. The participants had a mean age of 24.6 ± 4.7 years, a mean BMI of 23.8 ± 3.13 kg/m², and an average total energy intake of $2,495.08 \pm 613.61$ kcal/day. The median of total polyphenol intake was 404.7 mg/day [296.0 - 529.2] (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the included participants.

Variables	n=106
General characteristics	
Age (years)	24.7 (4.7)
Weight (kg)	75.0 (10.7)
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.8 (3.1)
Waist circumference (cm)	81.4 (8.1)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	127.7 (11.6)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	72.4 (8.1)
Dietary polyphenol intake	
Total polyphenols intake (mg/ day)	404.7 [296.0 - 529.2]
Flavonoids (mg/day)	244.6 [149.2 – 356.0]

Phenolic Acids (mg/day)	93.0 [69.1 - 140.4]
Stilbenes (mg/day)	0.02 [0.0 - 0.2]
Lignans (mg/day)	0.3 [0.2 - 0.6]
Other Polyphenols (mg/ day)	47.0 [25.0 - 75.5]
Biochemical variables	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)	86 [82.3 - 92.8]
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	168 [150.0 - 187.8]
HDL-c (mg/dL)	56 [50.0 - 64.8]
LDL-c (mg/dL)	95 [77.0 – 106.0]
VLDL-c (mg/dL)	13 [11.0 – 18.0]
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	66 [55.3 - 89.5]
Fasting plasma insulin (mcUI/mL)	5.5 [2.9 - 7.7]
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	0.2 [0.2 - 0.2]
Sperm parameters	
pH	8 [8.0 - 8.5]
Volume (ml)	3 [2.0 - 4.5]
Total sperm (×10 ⁶)	72.1 [27.2 – 122.5]
Sperm concentration (×10 ⁶ /mL)	24.1 [10.9 – 42.0]
Vitality (%)	79.3 [72.70 - 84.7]
Total motility (%)	66.5 [48.2 - 74.8]
Progressive motility (%)	47.3 [29.2 - 57.5]
Non-progressive motility (%)	11.8 [8.2 - 15.5]
Immotility (%)	33.6 [25.2 - 50.9]
Normal form (%)	6.4 [5.2 - 7.9]
Abnormality in the sperm head (%)	53.8 [42.3 - 66.3]
Abnormality in the mid-piece (%)	11.4 [8.1 – 15.0]
Abnormality in the principal piece (%)	12.7 [5.0 -29.1]
Combined abnormality (%)	8.2 [6.5 - 13.39]
Nutrients intake	
Total energy intake (kcal/d)	2,495.1 (613.6)
Carbohydrate intake (% E)	43.6 (6.5)
Protein intake (% E)	17.2 (3.4)
Fat intake (% E)	36.9 (6.2)
Monounsaturated fatty acids (% FE)	39.6 (6.8)
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (% FE)	12.2 (4.0)
Saturated fatty acids (% FE)	31.5 (6.2)
Dietary fibre intake (g/d)	21.5 (9.4)
Alcohol intake (g/d)	9.5 (15.1)

Data are presented as mean (SD) and as median [P25-P75]. BMI: body mass index, E: energy, FE: fat energy, HDL-c: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-c: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, VLDL-c: very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Table 2 and supplementary Table S1 show the associations between the intake of different polyphenol classes and semen quality parameters. No associations were observed between total polyphenols intake and pH, volume, sperm concentration, vitality or motility. However, sperm morphology showed statistically significant associations with polyphenol intake. Specifically, higher total polyphenol intake was associated with a lower percentage of sperm head abnormalities (β : -0.159; 95% CI: -0.290, -0.027; P-value = 0.02) but a higher rate of abnormalities in the principal piece (β : 0.651; 95% CI: 0.170, 1.132; P-value = 0.01). Regarding polyphenol subclasses, similar trends across tertiles were observed for lignan and flavonoid intake, both of which were inversely associated with sperm head abnormalities (lignan: β : -0.075; 95% CI: -0.147, -0.003; P-trend = 0.04; flavonoid: β : -0.078; 95% CI: -0.142, -0.014; P-trend = 0.01) and positively associated with abnormalities in the principal piece (lignan: β : 0.277; 95% CI: 0.011, 0.543; P-trend = 0.04; flavonoid: β : 0.305; 95% CI: 0.069, 0.540; P-trend = 0.01). Additionally, higher flavonoid intake was associated with a greater percentage of normal sperm forms (β : 0.088; 95% CI: 0.0, 0.175; P-trend = 0.049). In contrast, individuals within the highest intake of stilbenes had an increased percentage of combined sperm abnormalities compared to those in the lowest tertile (β : 0.175; 95% CI: 0.018, 0.322; P-trend = 0.01).

Table 2. Associations between total and subclass-specific polyphenol intake and semen quality parameters.

Sperm parameters	Total polyphenols intake					
	Tertile 1 (n=35)	Tertile 2 (n=35)	Tertile 3 (n= 36)	P-trend	β coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
Total polyphenols intake (mg/day)	260.82 [186.61 - 292.48]	404.75 [356.19 - 449.33]	671.75 [535.86 - 783.21]			
pH	Ref.	-0.001 (-0.009, 0.006)	0.003 (-0.005, 0.012)	0.37	0.005 (-0.010, 0.022)	0.50
Volume (mL)	Ref.	-0.024 (-0.170, 0.122)	-0.065 (-0.224, 0.094)	0.41	-0.075 (-0.377, 0.226)	0.62
Total sperm (x10 ⁶)	Ref.	0.077 (-0.199, 0.354)	0.049 (-0.252, 0.351)	0.41	0.310 (-0.257, 0.877)	0.28
Sperm concentration (x10 ⁶ /mL)	Ref.	0.074 (-0.187, 0.336)	0.060 (-0.224, 0.345)	0.72	0.326 (-0.209, 0.863)	0.23
Vitality (%)	Ref.	0.035 (-0.013, 0.084)	0.025 (-0.027, 0.079)	0.42	0.043 (-0.057, 0.145)	0.40
Total motility (%)	Ref.	0.114 (-0.030, 0.258)	-0.040 (-0.197, 0.117)	0.45	0.002 (-0.302, 0.308)	0.99
Progressive motility (%)	Ref.	0.791 (-0.065, 1.647)	0.224 (-0.707, 1.156)	0.82	0.987 (-0.797, 2.772)	0.28
Non-progressive motility (%)	Ref.	-0.154 (-0.718, 0.408)	0.007 (-0.606, 0.620)	0.92	0.059 (-1.102, 1.221)	0.92
Immotility (%)	Ref.	-0.007 (-0.121 0.106)	0.075 (-0.047, 0.199)	0.19	0.069 (-0.166, 0.305)	0.56
Normal form (%)	Ref.	0.001 (-0.086, 0.088)	0.075 (-0.019, 0.171)	0.09	0.125 (-0.056, 0.306)	0.18
Abnormality in the head (%)	Ref.	-0.047 (-0.111, 0.016)	-0.084 (-0.153, -0.014)	0.02 *	-0.159 (-0.290, -0.027)	0.02 *
Abnormality in the mid-piece (%)	Ref.	0.024 (-0.090, 0.140)	0.054 (-0.070, 0.179)	0.39	0.032 (-0.205, 0.270)	0.788
Abnormality in the principal piece (%)	Ref.	0.147 (-0.084, 0.379)	0.375 (0.123, 0.627)	0.004 **	0.651 (0.170, 1.132)	0.01 **

Combined abnormality (%)	Ref.	-0.190 (-0.334, -0.047)	-0.088 (-0.244, 0.067)	0.44	-0.136 (-0.441, 0.168)	0.38
Sperm parameters		Lignans				
Tertiles	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	P-trend	β coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
	(n=37)	(n=34)	(n=35)			
Lignans intake (mg/day)	0.11 [0.09 - 0.16]	0.31 [0.23 - 0.40]	0.84 [0.61 - 1.12]			
pH	Ref	-0.001 (-0.008, 0.007)	0.003 (-0.005, 0.012)	0.36	0.001 (-0.005, 0.006)	0.80
Volume (ml)	Ref	-0.100 (-0.247 0.046)	-0.158 (-0.319, 0.003)	0.08	-0.056 (-0.161, 0.048)	0.29
Total sperm (×10 ⁶)	Ref	-0.123 (-0.406 0.159)	-0.082 (-0.392, 0.227)	0.77	-0.073 (-0.272, 0.125)	0.47
Sperm concentration (×10 ⁶ /mL)	Ref	0.038 (-0.228, 0.306)	0.111 (-0.182, 0.405)	0.44	0.004 (-0.183, 0.193)	0.96
Vitality (%)	Ref	0.017 (-0.033, 0.067)	0.010 (-0.044, 0.065)	0.44	-0.005 (-0.040, 0.030)	0.77
Total motility (%)	Ref	0.085 (-0.064, 0.236)	0.060 (-0.105, 0.225)	0.68	0.025 (-0.081, 0.132)	0.63
Progressive motility (%)	Ref	0.443 (-0.444, 1.330)	0.298 (-0.676, 1.272)	0.74	0.269 (-0.355, 0.894)	0.40
Non-progressive motility (%)	Ref	0.393 (-0.176, 0.963)	0.413 (-0.211, 1.039)	0.31	0.129 (-0.275, 0.534)	0.53
Immotility (%)	Ref	0.010 (-0.106, 0.128)	-0.019 (-0.148, 0.109)	0.68	0.003 (-0.078, 0.086)	0.93
Normal form (%)	Ref	0.058 (-0.031, 0.149)	0.025 (-0.073, 0.124)	0.91	-0.008 (-0.072, 0.056)	0.80
Abnormality in the head (%)	Ref	-0.030 (-0.096,0.035)	-0.075 (-0.147, -0.003)	0.04 *	-0.042 (-0.088, 0.004)	0.08
Abnormality in the mid-piece (%)	Ref	-0.054 (-0.172, 0.062)	-0.019 (-0.148, 0.109)	0.10	-0.063 (-0.145, 0.018)	0.13
Abnormality in the principal piece (%)	Ref	0.116 (-0.125, 0.359)	0.277 (0.011, 0.543)	0.04 *	0.162 (-0.008, 0.333)	0.06
Combined abnormality (%)	Ref	0.008 (-0.141, 0.159)	0.107 (-0.057, 0.272)	0.15	0.056 (-0.050, 0.162)	0.30
Sperm parameters		Stilbenes				
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	P-trend	β coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
	(n=36)	(n= 36)	(n=34)			
Stilbenes intake (mg/day)	0 [0 –]	0.02 [0 - 0.04]	0.95 [0.22 - 2.56]			
pH	Ref	-0.005 (-0.013, 0.001)	0 (-0.009, 0.008)	0.43	-0.001 (-0.001, 0)	0.18
Volume (ml)	Ref	0.189 (0.058, 0.321)	-0.004 (-0.156, 0.147)	0.12	0.007 (-0.007, 0.022)	0.30
Total sperm (×10 ⁶)	Ref	0.184 (-0.071, 0.440)	-0.100 (-0.397, 0.195)	0.12	0.003 (-0.024, 0.032)	0.79

Sperm concentration (x10 ⁶ /mL)	Ref	-0.022 (-0.270, 0.225)	-0.092 (-0.378, 0.194)	0.52	-0.004 (-0.031, 0.022)	0.74
Vitality (%)	Ref	-0.022 (-0.270, 0.225)	-0.092 (-0.378, 0.194)	0.13	-0.002 (-0.007, 0.002)	0.36
Total motility (%)	Ref	-0.036 (-0.175, 0.102)	-0.108 (-0.269, 0.052)	0.21	-0.007 (-0.022, 0.007)	0.31
Progressive motility (%)	Ref	-0.537 (-1.351, 0.276)	-0.717 (-1.659, 0.223)	0.32	-0.072 (-0.159, 0.014)	0.10
Non-progressive motility (%)	Ref	-0.311 (-0.833, 0.209)	0.283 (-0.319, 0.886)	0.08	-0.003 (-0.060, 0.054)	0.91
Immotility (%)	Ref	-0.015(-0.123, 0.093)	0.045 (-0.079, 0.170)	0.32	0.002 (-0.009, 0.013)	0.72
Normal form (%)	Ref	-0.044 (-0.128, 0.039)	-0.053 (-0.150, 0.042)	0.50	-0.006 (-0.015, 0.002)	0.17
Abnormality in the head (%)	Ref	-0.008 -0.070, 0.053)	-0.038 (-0.109, 0.033)	0.28	-0.003 (-0.010, 0.003)	0.31
Abnormality in the mid-piece (%)	Ref	0.004 (-0.103, 0.112)	0.086 (-0.037, 0.211)	0.12	0.004 (-0.010, 0.003)	0.50
Abnormality in the principal piece (%)	Ref	-0.019 (-0.248, 0.208)	0.102 (-0.161, 0.366)	0.32	0.008 (-0.010, 0.003)	0.50
Combined abnormality (%)	Ref	-0.010 (-0.146, 0.124)	0.175 (0.018, 0.332)	0.01 **	0.011 (-0.003, 0.026)	0.14
Sperm parameters		Flavonoids				
Flavonoids intake (mg/day)	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	P-trend	β coefficient (95% CI)	P-value
	1 (n=35)	2 (n=35)	3 (n=36)			
	124.56 [86.94 - 147.83]	244.10 [213.67 - 283.93]	412.56 [355.98 - 570.56]			
pH	Ref	-0.001 (-0.008, 0.007)	0.003 (-0.005, 0.011)	0.37	0.002 (-0.008, 0.013)	0.69
Volume (ml)	Ref	0.018 (-0.122, 0.158)	-0.003 (-0.145, 0.150)	0.10	-0.079 (-0.277, 0.117)	0.42
Total sperm (x10 ⁶)	Ref	0.201 (-0.059, 0.463)	0.160 (-0.115, 0.436)	0.32	0.189 (-0.182, 0.561)	0.32
Sperm concentration (x10 ⁶)	Ref	0.087 (-0.161, 0.337)	0.084 (-0.179, 0.347)	0.56	0.211 (-0.140, 0.562)	0.24
Vitality (%)	Ref	0.023 (-0.023, 0.070)	0.008 (-0.040, 0.058)	0.82	0.003 (-0.063, 0.070)	0.92
Total Motility (%)	Ref	0.040 (-0.099, 0.181)	-0.044 (-0.192, 0.103)	0.47	-0.062 (-0.261, 0.137)	0.54
Progressive motility (%)	Ref	0.619 (-0.204, 1.442)	0.365 (-0.502, 1.233)	0.50	0.516 (-0.655, 1.688)	0.38
Non-progressive motility (%)	Ref	-0.175 (-0.711, 0.361)	0.058 (-0.506, 0.624)	0.75	0 (-0.760, 0.761)	0.10
Immotility (%)	Ref	-0.001 (-0.109, 0.106)	0.084 (-0.029, 0.198)	0.11	0.082 (-0.071, 0.236)	0.29
Normal form (%)	Ref	0.043 (-0.039, 0.126)	0.088 (0.0, 0.175)	0.049 *	0.091 (-0.027, 0.210)	0.13
Abnormality in the head (%)	Ref	-0.025(-0.086, 0.034)	-0.078 (-0.142, -0.014)	0.01 *	- 0.086 (-0.173, 0.001)	0.05

Abnormality in the mid-piece (%)	Ref	-0.031 (-0.139, 0.077)	0.053 (-0.061, 0.168)	0.28	0.007 (-0.147, 0.163)	0.92
Abnormality in the principal piece (%)	Ref	0.098 (-0.124, 0.321)	0.305 (0.069, 0.540)	0.01 **	0.371 (0.053, 0.689)	0.02 *
Combined abnormality (%)	Ref	-0.124 (-0.264, 0.014)	-0.085 (-0.232, 0.062)	0.34	-0.129 (-0.328, 0.069)	0.20

Total and subclass-specific polyphenol intake are shown as medians [P25 - P75]. The results are shown as β coefficients and their 95% of confidence interval (CI). Both the exposure and outcome were log-transformed. Coefficients represent the percent change in the outcome associated with 1% change in the exposure. Linear regression models were adjusted for age, alcohol intake, body mass index, total energy intake, protein intake, fibre intake, carbohydrate intake, cholesterol intake, and fatty acids intake (monounsaturated, polyunsaturated, and saturated).

4. Discussion

The findings of this cross-sectional analysis contribute to the emerging literature on dietary polyphenol intake and male reproductive health by investigating their association with semen quality parameters in healthy men. Our findings suggest that while total and subclass-specific polyphenol intake such as lignans and flavonoids were not significantly associated with general semen parameters including pH, volume, concentration, vitality, or motility, they were associated with sperm morphology. Specifically, higher intake of total polyphenol, lignans and flavonoids were associated with fewer head abnormalities, possibly indicating protection of DNA integrity and acrosome function, yet higher tail abnormalities, which didn't appear to impair sperm mobility.

These results offer partial support to existing biological hypotheses and experimental evidence. Preclinical studies have consistently demonstrated the protective effects of polyphenols on sperm quality through antioxidant and anti-inflammatory mechanisms. For instance, in vitro experiments on human sperm have shown protective effects of polyphenol-rich extracts against oxidative stress, thereby could be used for fertility treatments and sperm storage [13]. However, the results are not universally consistent; as another in vitro study on stallion semen found no significant benefits, indicating that effects may vary depending on species, compound type, or concentration used [20]. Animal models generally report beneficial outcomes from dietary polyphenols, including improved sperm count, motility, and morphology [21,22]. Translating these benefits into human populations, however, remains complex. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis including four studies with 875 participants found that nut consumption, rich in polyphenols, improved certain sperm parameters like motility, vitality, and morphology, but not concentration, possibly by enhancing antioxidant capacity and modulating glucose metabolism through enzyme inhibition [14]. Similarly, a pilot trial using a resveratrol-based supplement, a natural stilbene, in 20 infertile men observed improvements in concentration and motility after several months, potentially due to enhanced mitochondrial function [23]. Nonetheless, Ferramosca et al. noted that the effects of polyphenols may be dose-dependent [15]. This dual behavior is exemplified by quercetin, a flavonoid that acts as an antioxidant at low doses but may exert pro-oxidant effects at higher concentrations or in oxidative environments [16]. Additionally, a large cross-sectional study in China investigated the relationship between nine phytoestrogens; plant-derived polyphenols with estrogen-like activity, found that higher semen levels of one lignan, secoisolariciresinol, and one isoflavone, genistein, were associated with lower sperm concentration, total sperm count, and motility [24]. In contrast, the level of naringenin, a flavanone, was positively associated with progressive and total motility [24].

Although our study population had relatively low stilbene intake, a statistically significant association was observed with higher percentage of sperm combined abnormalities. Current literature suggests that resveratrol, a natural stilbene, may support male fertility by improving semen parameters and protecting sperm from oxidative damage, particularly at low concentrations (~6–15 μ M), while higher doses (~100 μ M or more) may exert cytotoxic effects on sperm function [25]. A

recent systematic review found that in vivo evidence on resveratrol's effectiveness in improving semen parameters remains limited and inconsistent, underscoring the need for further well-designed clinical studies to clarify its role in male infertility [26].

Taken together, these findings highlight the complexity of establishing a clear, causal relationship between dietary polyphenol intake and male fertility. Factors such as individual variability in absorption and metabolism, differences in gut microbiota, environmental exposures, and measurement inconsistencies across studies likely contribute to the observed heterogeneity.

This study presents some limitations. Dietary polyphenols are often poorly absorbed in their native form, and their bioavailability is influenced by structural characteristics, individual microbiota composition, and metabolic conversion into numerous phenolic metabolites with diverse effects. As such, dietary intake assessments may not reflect true physiological exposure. A polyphenol-specific, validated food frequency questionnaire and biomarker-based approaches are needed in future studies. Additionally, the relatively small sample size and cross-sectional design limit the generalizability and causal interpretation of the results.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings highlight a complex relationship between total dietary polyphenol intake, flavonoids and lignans, and sperm morphology, particularly with reduced sperm head abnormalities, but increased abnormalities in the sperm tail. Despite these associations, no significant relationship with overall sperm motility or vitality was observed, possibly due to compensatory mechanisms or the mild nature of tail abnormalities that do not impair functional performance under standard assessments. This dual pattern emphasizes the complex role of polyphenols in male reproductive health and emphasizes the importance of considering both compound type and dosage, as well as employing sensitive functional evaluations. Future longitudinal studies and clinical trials incorporating fertilization outcomes are essential to clarify the mechanisms by which polyphenol-rich diets and specific polyphenol classes can influence sperm quality and reproductive potential, ultimately guiding nutritional strategies to support

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org.

Author Contributions: HM and AB drafted the manuscript. AB, J.M-F, N.N-F, and L.P contributed to the statistical analyses and data interpretation. HM, J.M-F and MB conceived and designed the study. MB provided intellectual and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: J.M-F received a pre-doctoral fellowship [grant number 2023 FISDU 00387] supported by Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament de Recerca i Universitats de la Generalitat de Catalunya i del Fons Social Europeu Plus. NN-F received a pre-doctoral fellowship from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) [grant number FI23/00268]. L.P holds a Predoctoral Research Staff in Training (PIPF) grant by Rovira i Virgili University [grant number 2024PMF-PIPF-01]. M.B received the ICREA Academy 2022 Distinction from the Autonomous Government of Catalunya. The authors are grateful for the support of the Departament de Recerca i Universitats de la Generalitat de Catalunya to the Nutrition and Metabolic Health Research Group (2021 SGR 00213). The clinical trial was supported by the International Nut and Dried Fruit Council (INC) via Grant No. 2015 INC Research Grant (PV15110S). INC is a non-profit entity registered at the Register of Foundations of Catalonia, Spain. INC was not involved in the design, implementation, analysis, interpretation of the data, writing or review process of the study.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for Medical Research involving Human Subjects and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Universitari Sant Joan in Reus in 2015 (Ref. CEIC: 15-1029/10aclaassN1) and the study was registered at the ISRCTN registry (ISRCTN12857940).

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data supporting the findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We thank all the volunteers for their participation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

WHO	World Health Organization
BMI	Body Mass Index
IQR	interquartile range
LDL	Low-Density Lipoprotein
VLDL	Very Low-Density Lipoprotein
HDL	High-Density Lipoprotein
E	Energy
FE	Fat Energy
TPI	Total Polyphenols Intake
PA	Phenolic Acids
OPP	Other Polyphenols

References

1. World Health Organization *Infertility Prevalence Estimates*; 2021; ISBN 9789240068315.
2. Caroppo, E.; Colpi, G.M. Male Infertility: A Review of Key Papers Appearing in the Reproductive Medicine and Andrology Section of the Journal of Clinical Medicine. *J. Clin. Med.* **2023**, *12*, 12–14, doi:10.3390/jcm12062366.
3. Balawender, K.; Orkisz, S. The Impact of Selected Modifiable Lifestyle Factors on Male Fertility in the Modern World. *Cent. Eur. J. Urol.* **2020**, *73*, 1–6, doi:10.5173/cej.2020.1975.
4. Cao, L.L.; Chang, J.J.; Wang, S.J.; Li, Y.H.; Yuan, M.Y.; Wang, G.F.; Su, P.Y. The Effect of Healthy Dietary Patterns on Male Semen Quality: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Asian J. Androl.* **2022**, *24*, 549–557, doi:10.4103/aja202252.
5. Karayiannis, D.; Kontogianni, M.D.; Mendorou, C.; Douka, L.; Mastrominas, M.; Yiannakouris, N. Association between Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet and Semen Quality Parameters in Male Partners of Couples Attempting Fertility. *Hum. Reprod.* **2017**, *32*, 215–222, doi:10.1093/humrep/dew288.
6. Petre, G.C.; Francini-pesenti, F.; Nisio, A. Di; Toni, L. De; Grande, G.; Mingardi, A.; Cusmano, A.; Spinella, P.; Ferlin, A.; Garolla, A. Observational Cross-Sectional Study on Mediterranean Diet and Sperm Parameters. **2023**.
7. Zhang, L.; Han, Z.; Granato, D. *Polyphenols in Foods: Classification, Methods of Identification, and Nutritional Aspects in Human Health*; 1st ed.; Elsevier Inc., 2021; Vol. 98; ISBN 9780128225066.
8. Kiani, A.K.; Medori, M.C.; Bonetti, G.; Aquilanti, B.; Velluti, V.; Matera, G.; Iaconelli, A.; Stuppia, L.; Connelly, S.T.; Herbst, K.L.; et al. Modern Vision of the Mediterranean Diet. *J. Prev. Med. Hyg.* **2022**, *63*, E36–E43, doi:10.15167/2421-4248/jpmh2022.63.2S3.2745.
9. Kiyimba, T.; Yiga, P.; Bamuwanye, M.; Ogwok, P.; Van der Schueren, B.; Matthys, C. Efficacy of Dietary Polyphenols from Whole Foods and Purified Food Polyphenol Extracts in Optimizing Cardiometabolic Health: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Adv. Nutr.* **2023**, *14*, 270–282, doi:10.1016/j.advnut.2023.01.002.
10. Zupo, R.; Castellana, F.; Lisco, G.; Corbo, F.; Crupi, P.; Sardone, R.; Panza, F.; Lozupone, M.; Rondanelli, M.; Clodoveo, M.L. Dietary Intake of Polyphenols and All-Cause Mortality: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Metabolites* **2024**, *14*, doi:10.3390/metabo14080404.
11. Poti, F.; Santi, D.; Spaggiari, G.; Zimetti, F.; Zanotti, I. Polyphenol Health Effects on Cardiovascular and Neurodegenerative Disorders: A Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 1–26, doi:10.3390/ijms20020351.
12. Zubair, M.; Partyka, A.; Martyniuk, C.J. Polyphenols as a Strategy for Improving Male Reproductive System. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2025**, *52*, 132, doi:10.1007/s11033-025-10240-9.
13. Biagi, M.; Noto, D.; Corsini, M.; Baini, G.; Cerretani, D.; Cappellucci, G.; Moretti, E. Antioxidant Effect of the Castanea Sativa Mill. Leaf Extract on Oxidative Stress Induced upon Human Spermatozoa. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2019**, 2019:89260, doi:10.1155/2019/8926075.

14. Cardoso, B.R.; Fratezzi, I.; Kellow, N.J. Nut Consumption and Fertility: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Adv. Nutr.* **2024**, *15*, 100153, doi:10.1016/j.advnut.2023.100153.
15. Ferramosca, A.; Zara, V. Diet and Male Fertility: The Impact of Nutrients and Antioxidants on Sperm Energetic Metabolism. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, doi:10.3390/ijms23052542.
16. Ranawat, P.; Pathak, C.M.; Khanduja, K.L. A New Perspective on the Quercetin Paradox in Male Reproductive Dysfunction. *Phytother. Res.* **2013**, *27*, 802–810, doi:10.1002/ptr.4799.
17. Salas-Huetos, A.; Moraleda, R.; Giardina, S.; Anton, E.; Blanco, J.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Bulló, M. Effect of Nut Consumption on Semen Quality and Functionality in Healthy Men Consuming a Western-Style Diet: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2018**, *108*, 953–962, doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqy181.
18. Mataix, J. Tabla de Composición de Alimentos 4ª Edición Corregida y Aumentada. Ed. 4ª Publisher Editor. Univ. Granada Editor Mataix J ISBN 84-338-3050-3 **2003**.
19. WHO World Health Organization WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination and Processing of Human Semen FIFTH EDITION; 2024;
20. Bucci, D.; Spinaci, M.; Mislei, B.; Gadani, B.; Rizzato, G.; Love, C.C.; Tamanini, C.; Galeati, G.; Mari, G. Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate (EGCG) and Green Tea Polyphenols Do Not Improve Stallion Semen Parameters during Cooling at 4°C. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* **2017**, *52*, 270–277, doi:10.1111/rda.12891.
21. Akomolafe, S.F.; Oyeleye, S.I.; Oboh, G. Effect of Cashew (*Anacardium Occidentale* L.) Nut-Supplemented Diet on Steroidogenic Enzymes, Hormonal and Oxidative Imbalances, and Sperm Parameters in Cisplatin-Induced Reproductive Toxicity in Male Rats. *J. Food Biochem.* **2022**, *46*, e14100, doi:10.1111/jfbc.14100.
22. Vizzari, F.; Massányi, M.; Knížatová, N.; Corino, C.; Rossi, R.; Ondruška, L.; Tirpák, F.; Halo, M.; Massányi, P. Effects of Dietary Plant Polyphenols and Seaweed Extract Mixture on Male-Rabbit Semen: Quality Traits and Antioxidant Markers. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* **2021**, *28*, 1017–1025, doi:10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.11.043.
23. Illiano, E.; Trama, F.; Zucchi, A.; Iannitti, R.G.; Fioretti, B.; Costantini, E. Resveratrol-Based Multivitamin Supplement Increases Sperm Concentration and Motility in Idiopathic Male Infertility: A Pilot Clinical Study. *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, doi:10.3390/jcm9124017.
24. Yuan, G.; Liu, Y.; Liu, G.; Wei, L.; Wen, Y.; Huang, S.; Guo, Y.; Zou, F.; Cheng, J. Associations between Semen Phytoestrogens Concentrations and Semen Quality in Chinese Men. *Environ. Int.* **2019**, *129*, 136–144, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2019.04.076.
25. Mongioi, L.M.; Perelli, S.; Condorelli, R.A.; Barbagallo, F.; Crafa, A.; Cannarella, R.; La Vignera, S.; Calogero, A.E. The Role of Resveratrol in Human Male Fertility. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, doi:10.3390/molecules26092495.
26. Cannarella, R.; Mehta, P.; Garofalo, V.; Kaiyal, R.S.; Kuroda, S.; Calogero, A.E.; Rajender, S. Resveratrol and Male Infertility: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Minerva Endocrinol.* **2024**, doi:10.23736/S2724-6507.23.04099-X.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.