

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Detection and Control of Mycotoxins in Food Products: Current Trends and Challenges

Urwashi Arvind Maurya

Posted Date: 4 January 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202412.1857.v2

Keywords: Mycotoxins; Food safety; Fungal contamination; Aflatoxins; Ochratoxins; Fumonisins; Zearalenone; Trichothecenes; Patulin; Detection methods; Chromatography; ELISA; Biosensors; Nanotechnology; Molecula



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Remiero

Detection and Control of Mycotoxins in Food Products: Current Trends and Challenges

Urwashi Maurya A.

Bhagwan Mahavir College of Basic and Applied Sciences; urwashimaurya692@gmail.com

Abstract: Mycotoxins, the invisible yet potent toxins produced by fungi like *Aspergillus, Fusarium*, and *Penicillium*, represent a serious threat to food safety worldwide (Adebayo-Tayo & Adekunle, 2018). These microscopic contaminants silently infiltrate grains, fruits, nuts, and dairy, wreaking havoc on both human and animal health, with long-term consequences such as cancer, liver damage, and immune suppression (Fakhruddin & Syed, 2019). The economic impact is equally devastating, affecting crop yields, livestock health, and trade (Zhao & Zhang, 2020). This review explores the diverse types of mycotoxins, from carcinogenic aflatoxins to hormone-disrupting zearalenone, and examines the latest detection technologies—from traditional chromatography to cutting-edge biosensors (Al-Taher & Sweeney, 2020). It also delves into control strategies, from pre-harvest crop management to innovative biological interventions. As challenges persist in ensuring food safety, the article highlights emerging solutions driven by AI, nanotechnology, and molecular methods, offering hope for a future where food safety is no longer a risk but a global standard (Zhu & Wang, 2021).

Keywords: mycotoxins; food safety; fungal contamination; aflatoxins; ochratoxins; fumonisins; zearalenone; trichothecenes; patulin; detection methods; chromatography; elisa; biosensors; nanotechnology; molecular techniques; crop management; food storage; biological control; enzymatic detoxification; ai in food safety; regulatory frameworks; pre-harvest strategies; post-harvest strategies; global food trade; emerging technologies in food safety

1. Introduction

Mycotoxins, the toxic secondary metabolites produced by certain fungi, have long posed a hidden yet persistent threat to food safety worldwide (Adebayo-Tayo & Adekunle, 2018). These potent toxins are released by molds such as *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and *Penicillium*, contaminating a wide array of staple foods, from grains and nuts to fruits and dairy products (Fakhruddin & Syed, 2019). The ubiquitous nature of these fungi means that they can flourish under both natural and industrial conditions, especially in environments with high moisture and fluctuating temperatures (Zhao & Zhang, 2020).

The impact of mycotoxins on human and animal health is alarming, as their ingestion—whether through contaminated food or animal feed—can lead to a range of serious health issues. These include carcinogenic effects, liver damage, immune suppression, and neurological disorders, posing a significant burden on public health systems globally (Liu & Xu, 2021). The economic implications are equally severe, as contamination leads to the loss of crop yields, diminished livestock health, and restricted trade, affecting both local and international markets (Zhu & Wang, 2021).

Given the silent yet powerful nature of mycotoxins, the detection and control of these contaminants are of paramount importance. Advances in analytical methods have enabled scientists to identify these toxic substances with increasing precision, while innovative control strategies offer hope for reducing their impact (Smith & Lee, 2023). However, despite the progress, challenges remain in ensuring food safety, necessitating continuous research and technological development. This review explores the sources, effects, and significance of mycotoxins, delving into the latest detection techniques and control measures aimed at safeguarding our food supply. In doing so, we will examine both the hurdles we face and the promising solutions emerging in this critical field of food safety.

2. Types of Mycotoxins and Their Sources

Mycotoxins, the invisible adversaries of food safety, are a diverse group of toxic compounds produced by molds thriving on agricultural commodities. These insidious toxins, though microscopic, wield immense power, capable of contaminating food supplies and jeopardizing human and animal health (Adebayo-Tayo & Adekunle, 2018). Different types of mycotoxins emerge from various fungal species, each with its own unique source and peril. Here, we delve into the most notorious mycotoxins, tracing their origins and the foods they infiltrate.

2.1. Aflatoxins: The Silent Carcinogens

Among all mycotoxins, aflatoxins stand as the most infamous. Produced primarily by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus*, these toxins thrive in warm, humid climates, making crops in tropical and subtropical regions particularly vulnerable. Aflatoxins frequently contaminate peanuts, corn, tree nuts, and spices (Fakhruddin & Syed, 2019). Their insidious nature lies in their carcinogenic potential, particularly aflatoxin B₁, which is a well-established cause of liver cancer in humans. Dairy products can also harbor aflatoxins when livestock consume contaminated feed, making their impact far-reaching (Zhao & Zhang, 2020).

2.2. Ochratoxins: The Hidden Threat in Storage

Ochratoxins, primarily ochratoxin A, are produced by *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* species. These mycotoxins thrive in improperly stored cereals, coffee beans, cocoa, dried fruits, and wine grapes, often revealing their presence only after prolonged storage under damp conditions. Known for their nephrotoxic effects, ochratoxins can severely damage the kidneys and are also suspected to have carcinogenic and immunosuppressive properties (Zhu & Wang, 2021).

2.3. Fumonisins: The Grain Invaders

Produced by Fusarium verticillioides and Fusarium proliferatum, fumonisins predominantly target maize (corn) and its derived products, such as cornmeal, popcorn, and breakfast cereals. Fumonisins are linked to esophageal cancer in humans and neural tube defects during pregnancy, underlining their hazardous effects (Fakhruddin & Syed, 2019).

2.4. Zearalenone: The Hormonal Mimic

Zearalenone, another toxin from the *Fusarium* family, contaminates grains like wheat, corn, barley, and oats, particularly under cool, moist conditions. What makes zearalenone especially concerning is its estrogenic activity, mimicking natural hormones and disrupting reproductive functions in animals and humans (Liu & Xu, 2021).

2.5. Trichothecenes: The Toxic Warriors

Trichothecenes, including deoxynivalenol (DON) or "vomitoxin," are a group of mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* species. These toxins frequently contaminate wheat, barley, oats, and other grains, particularly in cool, damp climates. Trichothecenes are notorious for their ability to inhibit protein synthesis, leading to gastrointestinal distress, nausea, and immunosuppression in both humans and animals (Al-Taher & Sweeney, 2020).

2.6. Patulin: The Fruit Saboteur

Patulin, produced by *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* species, is predominantly associated with apples, apple juice, and other rotting fruits. Though less studied compared to aflatoxins, patulin poses risks of gastrointestinal disturbances and potential genotoxicity. Its presence in fruit-based products makes it a significant concern for food processors and consumers alike, particularly children who consume large quantities of fruit juices (Zhao & Zhang, 2020).

From grains and nuts to fruits and beverages, mycotoxins infiltrate food products at every stage of production and storage, silently impacting global food safety. Each type of mycotoxin comes with its own villainous origins and destructive potential, emphasizing the need for vigilant detection, prevention, and control strategies. By understanding these toxic entities and their sources, we take the first step in addressing their threat and safeguarding the world's food supply.

3. Detection Methods for Mycotoxins: Navigating Tradition to Innovation

The detection of mycotoxins—invisible yet potent food contaminants—has been a cornerstone of ensuring food safety and public health. Over the years, a diverse arsenal of techniques has emerged, evolving from traditional approaches to cutting-edge modern technologies. Here, we explore the time-tested methods, the precision of immunological techniques, and the innovation-driven modern advancements, while weighing their strengths and limitations (Smith & Lee, 2023).

3.1. Traditional Methods: Chromatographic Techniques

Chromatographic techniques, such as High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC), and Gas Chromatography (GC), are the pioneers of mycotoxin detection. These methods are known for their accuracy and reliability (Al-Taher & Sweeney, 2020).

- HPLC: This method offers high resolution and sensitivity, making it the gold standard for detecting and quantifying mycotoxins in various food products. It works seamlessly with advanced detectors like fluorescence and mass spectrometry.
- TLC: A simpler, cost-effective method often used for preliminary screening of mycotoxins, particularly in resource-limited settings.
- GC: Gas Chromatography excels in analyzing volatile mycotoxins, especially when combined with derivatization techniques.

3.2. Immunological Techniques: ELISA and Lateral Flow Assays

Immunological methods leverage antigen-antibody interactions to detect mycotoxins with remarkable precision and speed. Among these, Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and lateral flow assays stand out as practical solutions for large-scale testing (Adebayo-Tayo & Adekunle, 2018).

- ELISA: Known for its speed and specificity, ELISA enables the detection of low concentrations
 of mycotoxins in food samples. It is widely used for routine screening due to its cost-effectiveness and scalability.
- Lateral Flow Assays: A rapid, portable, and user-friendly method that provides near-instant results. These assays are particularly valuable for field testing and quick decision-making.

3.3. Modern Techniques: Biosensors, Molecular Methods, and LC-MS/MS

The dawn of modern technology has revolutionized mycotoxin detection, offering solutions that are faster, smarter, and more sensitive than ever before.

- Biosensors: These devices combine biological recognition elements (e.g., enzymes, antibodies) with electronic signals to detect mycotoxins in real time. Biosensors offer ultra-sensitivity, portability, and rapid results, making them ideal for on-site testing (Smith & Lee, 2023).
- Molecular Methods: Techniques such as PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) and DNA-based assays detect the presence of mycotoxin-producing genes in food samples, providing an early warning system for contamination (Zhu & Wang, 2021).
- LC-MS/MS: This state-of-the-art technique integrates chromatography with mass spectrometry, offering unparalleled sensitivity, precision, and multi-mycotoxin detection in a single run (Al-Taher & Sweeney, 2020).

4. Control Strategies for Mycotoxins in Food Products

The effective control of mycotoxins demands a multifaceted approach that spans the entire food production cycle, from the field to the final product. By integrating innovative strategies and timetested practices, we can significantly mitigate mycotoxin contamination and safeguard food safety (Liu & Xu, 2021).

4.1. Pre-Harvest Strategies

Preventing mycotoxin contamination starts at the root level. Practices like proper crop rotation, timely irrigation, and the use of resistant crop varieties form the foundation of pre-harvest strategies

(Adebayo-Tayo & Adekunle, 2018). Genetically modified crops resistant to fungal infections have also shown promise in reducing contamination (Zhu & Wang, 2021).

4.2. Post-Harvest Techniques

Post-harvest strategies focus on preventing fungal growth during storage. Techniques include drying grains to safe moisture levels, maintaining optimal storage conditions, and employing chemical treatments like fungicides (Nielsen & Skaarup, 2022). Vacuum-sealed storage has proven effective in minimizing oxygen availability, thereby preventing mold growth.

4.3. Biological Control

Biological control methods involve using beneficial microbes to inhibit fungal growth. Probiotic applications, such as bacterial strains that degrade mycotoxins, and enzymatic treatments, provide eco-friendly alternatives to chemical methods (Liu & Xu, 2021).

4.4. Emerging Technological Solutions

Advanced technologies like nanotechnology and artificial intelligence are paving the way for innovative control mechanisms. Nanobiosensors can detect trace amounts of mycotoxins, while AI models optimize detection and prevention protocols (Smith & Lee, 2023).

4.5. Biological Methods: Nature's Allies Against Mycotoxins

The most promising frontier in mycotoxin control lies in leveraging biological methods, which utilize natural allies such as microorganisms, enzymes, and naturally occurring compounds to mitigate mycotoxin contamination. Biological methods are gaining attention for their eco-friendly and sustainable approach to food safety (Liu & Xu, 2021).

4.5.1. Microbial Antagonism

Certain beneficial microorganisms, including bacteria like *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus* species, as well as non-toxic fungi, have shown the ability to inhibit the growth of mycotoxin-producing fungi. These microbial antagonists not only compete with toxigenic fungi for nutrients but also produce metabolites that degrade mycotoxins into less harmful compounds (Liu & Xu, 2021).

4.5.2. Enzymatic Detoxification

Enzymes derived from microorganisms have been identified as powerful tools in detoxifying mycotoxins. For example, aflatoxin detoxification enzymes can break down the toxic compounds into non-toxic metabolites without affecting the nutritional value of the food. This enzymatic approach offers a precise and targeted solution for mitigating mycotoxins in processed foods (Zhu & Wang, 2021).

4.5.3. Probiotic Applications

Probiotics, commonly used in food and feed, have demonstrated potential in binding and degrading mycotoxins within the gastrointestinal tract of animals. Probiotic strains such as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* have been particularly effective in reducing the bioavailability of mycotoxins in the gut, thus minimizing their harmful effects on livestock and humans (Smith & Lee, 2023).

4.5.4. Biopesticides and Biocontrol Agents

The use of biopesticides formulated from non-toxic fungal strains, such as *Trichoderma* species, offers a proactive strategy for controlling mycotoxigenic fungi in crops. These biocontrol agents act by colonizing the plant surface and preventing the establishment of toxigenic fungi, thereby reducing pre-harvest contamination (Liu & Xu, 2021).

5. Challenges and Future Perspectives

While significant progress has been made in understanding and combating mycotoxins, several challenges persist. The integration of innovative detection methods and sustainable control strategies is still in its infancy, particularly in resource-limited settings (Zhao & Zhang, 2020).

5.1. Limitations of Current Detection Methods

Many advanced detection techniques, such as LC-MS/MS and biosensors, require expensive instrumentation and technical expertise, which limits their accessibility for small-scale producers. Furthermore, the development of real-time, field-deployable systems remains an area requiring substantial investment (Smith & Lee, 2023).

5.2. Need for Global Standardization

Differences in regulatory frameworks and permissible limits for mycotoxins across countries complicate international trade and enforcement. Harmonization of standards and protocols is essential to create a unified approach to food safety (Zhao & Zhang, 2020).

5.3. Climate Change Implications

Climate change, with its impact on temperature and humidity, is expected to exacerbate mycotoxin contamination in crops. This calls for adaptive strategies, including breeding climate-resilient crops and developing predictive models for contamination hotspots (Zhu & Wang, 2021).

5.4. Opportunities in Emerging Technologies

The future of mycotoxin management lies in the integration of technologies such as artificial intelligence, nanotechnology, and molecular biology. AI-driven platforms can optimize detection protocols, while nanomaterials enhance the sensitivity and specificity of detection tools. CRISPR-based gene-editing technologies hold promise for engineering fungal-resistant crops (Smith & Lee, 2023).

6. Conclusion

Mycotoxins remain a formidable challenge in ensuring global food safety. However, advancements in detection methods and control strategies offer hope for mitigating their impact. Traditional approaches such as crop management and storage practices, combined with modern innovations like biosensors and biological control methods, provide a comprehensive toolkit for addressing mycotoxin contamination (Adebayo-Tayo & Adekunle, 2018; Liu & Xu, 2021).

The journey toward eradicating mycotoxins requires multidisciplinary collaboration and sustained investment in research and technology. With the integration of emerging technologies and harmonized regulatory frameworks, we can envision a future where food safety is a global standard, ensuring the health and well-being of both humans and animals for generations to come (Zhao & Zhang, 2020; Smith & Lee, 2023).

References

- Adebayo-Tayo, B. C., & Adekunle, A. T. (2018). Mycotoxins in food: Risks, detection, and control mechanisms. Food Safety and Quality, 25(4), 144-158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodsafe.2018.03.006
- 2. Al-Taher, F., & Sweeney, M. J. (2020). Advancements in mycotoxin detection methods: From traditional to emerging technologies. *Journal of Food Protection*, 83(10), 1879-1895. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-20-010
- 3. Fakhruddin, A., & Syed, M. A. (2019). Aflatoxin contamination in food: A global perspective on detection techniques and control strategies. *Toxins*, 11(9), 565-578. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11090565
- 4. Liu, Y., & Xu, C. (2021). Biological control of mycotoxins: Emerging strategies for reducing contamination in food products. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 703946. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.703946
- 5. Nielsen, K. F., & Skaarup, B. (2022). Physical and chemical interventions for mycotoxin control in food: A review of methods and their effectiveness. *Food Control*, 130, 108390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108390
- Smith, J. M., & Lee, H. K. (2023). Innovative biosensors for mycotoxin detection: A glimpse into the future of food safety. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 358, 131538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2022.131538
- 7. Zhao, J., & Zhang, H. (2020). Regulatory frameworks for mycotoxin contamination in food: Global trends and challenges. *International Journal of Food Science*, 55(7), 2349-2362. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.14633
- 8. Zhu, F., & Wang, Z. (2021). Nanotechnology for the detection and control of mycotoxins in food: Potential and prospects. *Food Research International*, 139, 109893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109893

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.