

**Article** 

Not peer-reviewed version

# Assessing Stress Levels, Predictors and Management Strategies of Inmates at Ankaful Prison Complex in the Central Region, Ghana

Edward W. Ansah\*, Jennifer Addai, John Elvis Hagan Jr., Michael Afari-Baidoo

Posted Date: 20 January 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202301.0369.v1

Keywords: Ankaful Prison Complex; depression; inmates; management strategies; stress levels



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Assessing Stress Levels, Predictors and Management Strategies of Inmates at Ankaful Prison Complex in the Central Region, Ghana

Edward W. Ansah 1, Jennifer Addai 2, John E. Hagan, Jr. 3 and Michael Afari-Baidoo 4

- <sup>1,2</sup> Department of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, University of Cape Coast, Ghana; ; addaejennifer78@gmail.com
- Neurocognition and Action-Biomechanics-Research Group, Faculty of Psychology and Sport Sciences, Bielefeld University, Postfach 10 01 31, 33501, Bielefeld-Germany; elvis.hagan@uni-bielefeld.de
- Department of Health Administration and Education, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana; baidoo.afari@yahoo.com
- \* Correspondence: edward.ansah@ucc.edu.gh; Tel.: +233-24-770-3379

Abstract: Background: Stress among prison inmates is a neglected psychological health issues, but this phenomenon seems worse in Ghana's prisons. This study examined the stress levels, predictors and management strategies utilized among inmates at Ankaful Prison Complex. Method: This survey sampled 1,160 inmates using the census approach at the Ankaful Prison Complex with a self-developed questionnaire for the data collection. Frequency counts, one-way ANOVA, and multiple regression analysis were applied to the data. Results: The findings indicate that more than half of the inmates were moderately or highly stressed. Specifically, inmates at the Maximum-Security Prison were the most stressed, followed by Annex Prison, Communicable Disease Prison, and the least, Main Camp Prison inmates. Inmate engagement in exercises, sporting activities, visit and chat with colleagues, and family connectedness outside the prison were stress management strategies. Inmates' self-reported stress levels were influenced by the prison of custody and state of depression. Conclusion: The moderate to high stress levels identified among inmates of Ankaful Prison Complex are influenced by person-environment factors. Management of Ankaful Prisons Complex is encouraged to initiate health screening services for inmates on common mental health challenges like stress and promote functional stress reduction interventions to improve prisoners' mental health and overall wellbeing.

Keywords: Ankaful Prison Complex; depression; inmates; management strategies; stress levels

# 1. Introduction

Imprisonment, like other forms of incarceration, is a major stressful experience in an individual's life [1,2]. As a result of imprisonment and its associated challenges, inmates usually experience substantial modifications in their physical, psychological, and social functioning [3,4,]. Despite inmates' capacity to manage and adapt as humans, hurtful happenings may alter their biopsychosocial balance [2,5]. Imprisonment related difficulties differ across a range of psychological disorders not limited to anxiety, depression, self-harming or other aggressive behavior, obsessions, and psychoactive substance abuse [6–8]. Several authors [9–11] have argued that, beyond the physical and social conditions of prison establishments, psychological factors are important stressors that affect the health and well-being of inmates.

Epidemiological evidence so far has documented high prevalence of mental health issues or psychiatric morbidity among inmates in several countries, with a reported estimation higher than in the general population [12–14]. Available statistics show that nearly one in nine prison inmates suffer from common mental health challenges such as stress, depression and anxiety [13]. Thus, the prison environment is threatening and poses a high physical health and safety risk to even prison wardens. For some inmates, incarceration is emotionally painful to the extent that it creates a form of severe traumatic stress that causes post-traumatic stress reactions even after acquittal [15]. For instance,

Haney [16] reported that at any particular point in time, a great amount of people with mental health problems are confined in the prison. Therefore, prisoners have higher physical and mental health needs above that of the general population [20]. Unfortunately, the occurrence of mental disorders particularly stress in prisons is great, but right of entry by health personnel to treat inmates is very little [18,19].

Stress as a psychological construct has become a global phenomenon to all people but heightened among prison inmates. According to Zimmer, Wu and Domes [20], stress is seen as a specific association between individuals and their surroundings, considered by the individual as beyond available resources, and this inability threatens the person's health and well-being. Due to stress sensation characterized by being burdened, wound-up, anxious, and apprehensive, prison inmates usually face these characteristics while in custody because the conditions that exist are often alien to them (prisoners) and adapting to this environment becomes extremely burdensome [21]. O'Donovan, Doody and Lyons [8] found several types of stress such as acute, episodic acute, and chronic stress among inmates. They further argued that acute stress has been the most identified form, the sources of which are the demands and pressures of the current, past as well as the anticipated demands and pressures of the future such as spending time incarceration. This type of stress is stirring and exciting in minor measures, but it becomes exhaustive when it is in excess or extended. However, with episodic type of stress, the victims take on too much and have too many challenges to deal with. This situation, typically occurs in the long prison sentences. Individuals affected by stress are unable to consolidate the demands and pressures of stress and seem perpetually in the clutches of acute stress [22]. On the other hand, chronic stress is the crushing stress that drains people and negatively impact the body, minds, and lives of the individual.

Ankaful prisons is one of the local prisons, the largest, in Ghana supposed to be responsible for the safe custody and welfare of inmates [23]. The prison complex has almost all the categories of inmates, however, its squalid conditions, poor food and overcrowding in the prisons, [24], could have devastating effect on the physical health and mental wellbeing of these inmates. Despite the established linkage of stress and global burden of diseases, research generally on mental health of inmates across prison establishments, particularly in low-and middle- income countries like Ghana is sparse. Additionally, the prison situation in Ghana has been described as cruel, inhuman and degrading [25]. In Ghana, there seems to be no accurate account of inmates' mental health-related challenges and associated health conditions. Therefore, understanding inmates' inherent stressful experiences, health conditions and possible coping mechanisms are crucial toward designing appropriate mental healthcare programmes or interventions for this extremely vulnerable population. This phenomenon seems to be an unexplored research area till date in Ghana's penal system. This study examined the predictors of stress and management strategies among inmates at Ankaful Prisons Complex.

# 2. Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional survey took place at the Ankaful Prisons Complex, located in the Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abrem (KEEA) Municipal Assembly in the Central Region of Ghana. The prison complex houses persons with mental health challenges, short sentenced prisoners, convicts, prisoners on trial, remand prisoners, communicable disease prisoners and long sentenced prisoners, most aggressive prisoners, hardened as well as high profile prisoners [23]. The complex has prisoner population of 1,491, of whom 84% were literate while 16% have no formal education. The complex houses: Maximum Security Prison (N = 649), Main Camp Prison (N = 236 prisoners), Ankaful Prison Annex (N = 541 prisoners) and Communicable Disease Prison (N = 64 prisoners), as of 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2019 [23].

This study targeted all (1,491) the prisoners in the complex using a census sampling approach, however, 1,160 (approximately 78%) inmates completed the instrument. Specifically, we collected 497 data points from Maximum-Security Prison, 382 from Ankaful Prison Annex, 221 from Main Camp Prison, and 60 from Communicable Disease Prison. The outcome of the sample was extrapolated to reflect the stress levels of all prisoners in the Ankaful Prisons Complex. The unit of analysis was the

individual prisoner or inmate. By using this sampling approach, potential sampling errors were dealt with since there was no need to select sample from the prisoners.

A questionnaire, developed from pre-existing instruments, was used for data collection. We measured stress from Perceived Stress Scale [26], health status from the Short Form Health Survey [27] and depression from Hamilton's Depression Rating Scale [28]. The questionnaire also solicited demographic variables of the inmates such as age, education, religion, number of years in prison, number of years sentenced, number of children, prison history and number of visitors they receive.

A 10-item perceived stress scale [26] was used to measure the stress levels of the inmates. In scoring, items, 4, 5, 7, and 8 were reversed; 0 = 4, 1 = 3, 2 = 2, 3 = 1 and 4 = 0. The stress levels of the inmates are categorized as low (1-17), moderate (18-33) and high (34-50), using the aggregated scores. Respondents rated the frequency of their feelings related to events and situations that occurred in the prison in the last month. The ratings were on five-point Likert-type scale (1 = never to 5 = very often). A higher score means greater stress, and to produce such scores, the four positive items were reverse-scored, after which all the items were summed up to produce scores from 0 to 40. Moreover, the scale recorded a reliability value of 0.83 [29]. Some of the items are "in the last month, how often have you been upset because of something that happened unexpectedly?" and "in the last month, how often have you felt that you were unable to control the important things in your life?"

The 9-item Short Form Health Survey measures the health status of participants on a six-point scale (1= very poor to 6=excellent). A lower score means the presence and compromised health status of the inmates [27]. A sample item was "overall, how would you rate your health during the past 4 weeks? These items recorded reliable composite (0.92) and alpha (0.86) reliability [30]. Moreover, Hamilton's Depression Rating Scale [28], which has 17 items has scores ranging from 0 to 17 as a normal range, whereas, 20 or more indicates moderate to severity of depression state. These items related to characteristics such as depressed mood, and feelings of guilt. Participants responded by scoring items 0 - 4, where 0 means not depressed and 4 means highly depressed. A recent review indicated that the scale is reliable [31].

The ethical clearance was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cape Coast (ID: UCCIRB/CES/2019/03) for this study. Additionally, authorization or approval was sought from the headquarters of the Ghana Prison Service and the Commanders of the Ankaful Prisons Complex for the data collection. The questionnaire was administered to the prison inmates at the Maximum-Security Prison, Main Camp, Annex Prison and Communicable Disease Prisons. Furthermore, we assured the inmates of their anonymity, confidentiality and their voluntary participation, and that they could withdraw from the study at any point in time without a consequence. Moreover, each participant gave a verbal or written consent before taking part in the study. Prison peer educators were trained on the interpretation of the questionnaire. The peer educators who were also fluent in the predominantly spoken local dialect (e.g., Twi) assisted other inmates who could not read and write the English language. Data collection was carried out by the researchers aided by 30 peer educators of the various prison establishments. The questionnaire was administered to the inmates at the four prison establishments after mid-day ration, between 12 and 2 pm. Data collection took about two weeks. We paid no monetary incentives to any participant.

Responses to the questionnaire were edited, coded, scored and entered into SPSS version 20.0 software. Preliminary normality check using Levene test of homogeneity of variance was calculated, Levene St.=42.58, p=.001) which revealed that the date was normal. Thus, frequency and percentage count were applied to the data in determining the stress levels of the inmates. In addition, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was calculated to differentiate the levels of stress according to the four prison establishments holding these inmates. Besides, we applied Bonferroni post hoc test for multiple comparison of stress levels among the various prison establishments. In addition, multiple regression was used to test the extent to which depression, unit of prison holding the inmates, age, education, marital status, nationality, religion and migraine influence the stress levels of the inmates. The scores for health status, level of stress and depression were aggregated scores while stress coping strategies used the individual items because they are nominal. Initial check indicated that the predictors variables were not highly correlated, thus, enter method was applied in the analysis.

### 3. Results

The 1160 prison inmates in this study comprised 42.8% (497) prisoners from Maximum Security Prison, 32.9% (382) from the Annex, 19.1% (221) from Main Camp and 5.2% (60) Communicable Diseases Prison. About 33.6% (390) of the inmates were between the ages of 18 and 30, 33.5% (389) 31 and 40 years, 21.3% (247) 41 and 50 years, 7.7% (89) 51 and 60 years while 3.9% (45) above 60 years. Majority, 44.0% (510) had basic education or less, 27.2% (315) secondary education, 20.9% (242) nonformal education, and 8.0% (93) tertiary education. Moreover, 68.8% (798) were Christians, 25.9% (300) Muslims, whereas 5.3% (62) Traditionalists. Close to one third, 66.8% (774) of the inmates were serving prison sentence between one and 20 years, 13.4% (115) 20 and 50 years, 9.0% (104) less than 1 year, 5.2% (60) 51 and 100 years, 3.1% (36) were awaiting trial, 1.5% (17) 101 and 150 years, 0.8% (9) lifetime imprisonment, and 0.4% (5) 151 years and above. The rest of the characteristics are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Inmates of Ankaful Prison Complex .

Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Name of Prison		
Maximum Security	497	42.8
Ankaful Prisons Annex	382	32.9
Main Camp	221	19.1
Communicable Diseases Prison (CDP)	. 60	5.2
Age(yrs)		
18- 30	390	33.6
31-40	389	33.5
41- 50	247	21.3
51- 60	89	7.7
Above 60	45	3.9
Education		
Basic	496	42.8
Secondary	315	27.2
Non-formal	242	20.9
Tertiary	93	8.0
No formal education	14	1.2
Religion		
Christians	798	68.8
Muslims	300	25.9
Traditionalists	62	5.3
Years of sentence (years)		
Awaiting trial	36	3.1
Less than 1 year	104	9
1-20	774	66.8
20-50	115	13.4
51-100	60	5.2
101-150	17	1.5
151 years and above	5	0.4
Lifetime	9	0.8
Years spent in prison(years)		
Less than a year	268	23.1
1-5	440	37.9
6-10	232	20
11-15	123	10.6

16-20	66	5.7
21-25	15	1.3
26-30	8	0.7
30 years and above	8	0.7
Prison History of inmate		
First time	948	81.7
Imprisoned before	212	18.3
Visitors		
Received visitors	723	62.3
No visitors received	437	37.7
Coping Strategies		
Exercise and Sporting activities	511	44.1
Religious activities	285	24.5
Vising and chatting with colleagues	130	11.2
Calling the family	74	6.4
Sleeping	50	4.3
Using hard drugs	31	2.7
Isolating oneself from others	27	2.3
Singing	24	2.1
Eating a lot	19	1.6
Refusal to eat	9	0.8

Frequency results indicate that out of 1160 inmates, 3.4% (n=39) recorded low level of stress, 90.3% (n=1048) moderately stressed, while 6.3% (n=73) high level of stressed. Hence, the majority of the inmates at Ankaful Prison Complex are either moderately or highly stressed, a situation that is expected. Many of the inmates also use physical exercise (44.1%), religious activities (24.5%), interacting with other inmates (11.2%) as coping strategies. Unfortunately, a few other also engaged in unhealthy coping behaviors like over sleeping (4.3%), use of hard drugs (2.7%), self-isolation (2.1%), bulimia (1.6%), and hunger strike (0.8%).

ANOVA results revealed a statistically significant difference among the inmates from the various units, F (3, 1156), = 29.86, p = .001. In testing the effect size, Bonferroni post hoc analysis revealed that inmates at the Maximum-Security Prison (M = 27.41, SD = 4.57) were highly stressed compared with those at the Main Camp (M = 26.45, SD = 5.23), while inmates at the Annex reported higher level of stress than their counterparts at the Main Camp (M = 26.45, SD = 5.23). Again, inmates at the Communicable Disease Unit were highly stressed compared with those at Main Camp (M = 26.45, SD = 5.23) (see Table 2). Therefore, the stress levels of inmates at the Ankaful Prison Complex are different and is dependent on the unit of prison that holds them.

Table 2. Differences in Inmates Stress Level by their Units of Prison.

Prison Unit	N	M	SD	Df	F	Sig.
			3	3, 1156	29.8	6.001
MSP	497	<b>27.4</b> 1	14.57			
Ankaful PA	382	26.88	32.91			
Main Cam F	221	26.45	55.23			
Comm. DP	60	26.57	75.49			

Key: MSP- Maximum Security Prison, Ankaful PA- Ankaful Prisons Annex, Main Cam P- Main Camp Prison, Comm. DP- Communicable Diseases Prison.

Multiple regression model revealed statistically significant in determining the stress levels of the inmates at the Ankaful Prison Complex, F (8, 706), = 50.34, t = 23.24, p =.001, accounting for about 20% of change in the stress levels (see Table 3). However, only depression, t (8, 706), = 17.76, p = .001, and units of prison holding the inmates, t (8, 706), 2.16, p = .001, were significant in predicting their stress

levels. Therefore, the stress levels of inmates at Ankaful Prison Complex are influenced by their level of depression and the unit of prison establishment holding them.

**Table 3.** Effects of Depression, Migraine, Prison Unit, Demographics of Inmates on their Stress Levels.

Variable	В	Beta	$R R^2$	t	Sig.Tol.VIF
Constant	19.87	7	.61.372	23.24	4.001
Depression	.589	.584		17.76	5.001.9621.04
Prison Unit	929	173		-5.29	9.001.8471.18
Age	.263	.061		1.73	.084.7401.35
Education	.085	.022		.73	.466.9931.01
Marital Status	s .016	.003		.09	.922.8271.21
Nationality	.238	.034		1.07	.286.9161.01
Religion	368	048		-1.54	1.124.9291.08
Migraine	.030	077		56	.577.9341.07

df (8, 706), F (50.34).

### 4. Discussion

This study assessed stress levels, predictors and management strategies in a sample of prison inmates in the Central Region of Ghana. Generally, over 96% of the inmates at Ankaful Prisons Complex were either moderately or highly stressed. This finding could be attributed to the historical reasons (and continuous implementation of such) for the establishment of prisons and correctional centers, where prison facilities were initially created to punish individuals for their wrongdoings in the society [10]. In addition, prison sentence places limitation and restrictions on inmates, coupled with the "criminal" tag society associates with every prisoner. Unfortunately, prison set ups in Ghana are purposely built to make inmates stressed to deter them from criminal acts to save the society. Therefore, the prison atmosphere is likely to be highly stressful to the inmates. For instance, Pollock [32] contends that atmospheres within several prison facilities are toxic and this situation affects prisoners' material possessions and freedom. Accordingly, over half of inmates can earn little or no income while incarcerated, many lose their job or livelihood, spend their life savings, and have their total lifetime earning capacity affected. Moreover, the stress levels become heightened when inmates have to be under the control of the security guards always. These experiences could be classified as the worst form of punishment ever created by the society, making extremely stressful.

The poor conditions of Ghana's prison establishments, including the long sentences and harassment can also create high level of stress to inmates. According to Hall et al. [33], stress varies with the analysis of a situation that a person is experiencing, suggesting that stressful situations depend upon an individual's experience life. The result suggests that individual's interactions with the various environmental conditions (P-E fit) surrounding them affect their life and well-being [34]. Moreover, observation indicated that environmental conditions like prison do threaten individuals' status, beliefs, self-esteem among others (35). Therefore, inmate who is exposed to poor living, which hitherto was not so, is likely to be under increased stress. Furthermore, Machator [36] observed that Ghanaian prisoners go through serious stress during incarceration due to unfavorable conditions, similar to Nigerian prisons [37], where poor conditions within the establishments have strong associations for personal-harm and adaptation difficulties for the inmates [38,39].

Though these inmates reported high levels of stress, it is also dependent on prison establishment holding them. Perhaps, the holding unit is assigned according to the crime committed and period of sentence given. For instance, Maximum Security Prison holds in incarceration high-profile cases like murder and armed robbery perpetrators resulting in long period of imprisonment, a situation which could make such inmates more stressful than others. It was also observed that some inmates are serving prison terms between 50 and 150 years, and the more they stayed in there, the more they experience the prison's negative conditions with the associated stress. The results further suggest that inmates in the Annex Prisons are more stressed than their colleagues in the Main Camp

and Communicable Disease Prisons. Inmates in Annex Prisons are either on remand or on trial, that is, they are yet to be convicted. Therefore, they are not certain about their future and are always in suspense regarding their status. In addition, such inmates are always moving between the prison and the courts, where they interact with lawyers, judges and others connected to their cases. The continuous holding of such inmates in prison custody places psychological burden on them (i.e., heightened stress level) which compromises their health condition. Evidence indicated that remand prison environment poses high stress because such inmates are uncertain about their future [40]. This means that such inmates would need improved psychological therapy to protect their health.

Interestingly, the stress level among inmates in the Maximum-Security Prison, Annex Prisons, and Communicable Disease Prison were higher than that of inmates at Main Camp Prison. Perhaps, inmates at the Main Camp have more interactions with the outside society. Furthermore, Main Camp Prisoners have a fewer number of periods (about six months) left to serve their prison terms, and get free from imprisonment. In other words, such inmates have short time to end their prison terms, and may be feeling a bit happy about being freed. Moreover, the provision of extension services (offering of labour outside the prison), by Main Camp Prison inmates, to some extent, de-stress and make them feel "whole" again. The feeling that they are about leaving the confines of the prison could also provide a source of joy and relief [41]. These inmates are seen jovial, the net effect is that their level of stress is significantly lower compared with those who have more years to serve, and others who do not know their fate. This confirms Wayne's [41] thoughts that inmates who have regular interactions with the society may have very little stress or burdens, reduced perceived social segregation, and less a feeling to compete to fit into the society.

It was further observed that depression but not anxiety, had a significant influence on the level of stress of inmates. Sentence to prison is among the most stressful and depressive events in a prisoner's life. Imprisonment is a traumatic experience for a person, as it restricts one's liberty of movement. Thus, inmates are under high level of stress mentally and physically, leading to psychological changes that lead to depression [42]. Incarceration can largely affect the thinking and behavior of an inmate and cause austere depression [43]. However, the psychological effect of depression on each inmate differs with respect to duration of sentence, condition, and place held [42]. Thomas also indicated that depression is more likely to occur in an inmate subjected to pain, fear, and loneliness. Therefore, the ability of the inmates to adopt effective management and coping strategies in reducing their stress and depression levels could be valuable. Thus, inmates need healthy coping strategies to reduce their level of depression and stress.

A number of coping strategies including bulimia, self-isolation, use of hard drugs, over sleeping and refusal to eat reported by the inmates are unhealthy, and maladaptive behaviours that are likely to have debilitating effects on their health and well-being. Perhaps, the inmates may not know if the strategy for coping with stress is doing more harm than good to oneself. This means that inmates may be dealing with stress in a way that could have long-term negative effects on their health. Unfortunately, many of them engage in exercises/sporting activities, religious activities, chatting with colleagues, singing, calls home and receive visits from families which could have positive influence their health and well-being [44,45]. Exercises/sporting activities help improve psychological states like mood, anxiety and physical health of inmates or isolated individuals. Moreover, visitation from family and friends, and chatting with colleagues eliminate the feelings of isolation or abandonment and provide some assurance of belongingness to the inmates. Thus, such activities need to be encouraged.

Further finding revealed that stress levels of the inmates did not influence their health status. We believe that this is a methodological issue because depression and stress were entered into the regression model together. Depression is a more severe form of stress [46], hence, depression can suppress the effect of stress levels of inmates on their health status. So, it may not be methodologically appropriate to measure and test depression and stress level of participants in combination. In which case, the inmates would have graduated from stress to depression and that testing the two constructs in one model rendered the lower one (stress level) non – significant. This is, supported by Shrestha

et al. [43], and Ahmad and Mazlan [6], that episodes of depression are typically identified among inmates after prolonged stressful experiences.

This study has some limitations; hence, findings identified should be interpreted with caution. The cross-sectional design used limits the causal linkage between stress levels, health status and the identified factors. Further, data gathered were self-reported based on past histories or experiences by the inmates which are subject to recall biases. For example, inmates are more likely to exaggerate their stressful experiences, hence may have overestimated the phenomenon. Future research should consider a multi-site study to provide more information on the level of stress and other mental disorders like depression and associated factors not captured in the present study across different prisoner populations in Ghana. Such studies could facilitate comparative analyses for appropriate multi-site-specific interventions.

### 5. Conclusions

The inmates at Ankaful Prisons Complex are exposed to high level of stress due to the various stressors. However, the inmates have ways of handling their stress. In addition to the activities organized by the management of Ankaful Prisons Complex, many of the inmates also engage in physical activities, visit friends and chat with them, singing, and some religious activities as ways to reduce their stress levels. Unfortunately, others become isolated, use hard drugs and overeating to limit stress to address their stressful situations. Moreover, inmates' stress level depends on the prison of custody, the longer the sentence term, the higher the stress level. Generally, though stress usually leads to depression, stress levels of the inmates did not influence their health status, but depression was markedly affecting their stress levels and health status. The needed psychological health interventions should be structured to minimize or eliminate the stress levels of the inmates according to the prison establishment holding them. Such interventions should be situation-specific to prison establishment.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, JA and EWA; methodlogy, EWA and JEH; data curation, JA; formal analysis, JA, EWA and JEH, writing—original draft preparation, JA, EWA and MAB; writing—review and editing, EWA, JEH and MAB. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The study received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cape Coast (ID: UCCIRB/CES/2019/03), in May 2019.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. Participants and the prison authorities were duly informed and they gave consent and approval that results and findings from this study would be published. .

Data Availability Statement: The data is deposited and available here osf.io/b49ta.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank the authorities of Ghana Prison Service, Prison Officers and Inmates of Ankaful Prisons Complex.

Conflicts of Interest: Authors declare that they have no competing interest.

### References

- 1. Birmingham, L. Mental disorder and prisons. *Psychiatr Bull.* 2004, 28, 393-7.
- 2. Dadi AF.; Dachew B.A.; Kisi T.; Yigzaw N, Azale, T. Anxiety and associated factors among prisoners in North West of Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. *BMC Psychi*. 2016, 16, 1-6. DOI 10.1186/s12888-016-0792-y

- 3. Dachew B.A.; Fekadu A.; Kisi T.; Yigzaw N.; Bisetegn T.A. Psychological distress and associated factors among prisoners in North West Ethiopia: Cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Ment. Health Systems* 2015, 9, 1-6. DOI 10.1186/s13033-015-0033-7
- 4. Ibrahim A.; Esena R.K.; Aikins, M.; O'Keefe A.M.; McKay M.M. Assessment of mental distress among prison inmates in Ghana's correctional system: A cross-sectional study using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale. *Int J Mental Health Syst.* 2015, 9, 17.
- 5. Drapalski A.L.; Youman K.; Stuewig J.; Tangney J. Gender differences in jail inmates' symptoms of mental illness, treatment history and treatment seeking. *Crim Behav Ment Health*, 2009, 19, 193-206.
- 6. Ahmad A.; Mazlan N.H. Stress and depression: A comparison study between men and women inmates in Peninsular Malaysia. *Int. J. Humanities and Social Science* 2014, 4, 153-160.
- 7. Fotiadou M.; Livaditis M.; Manou I.; Kaniotou E.; Xenitidis K. Prevalence of mental disorders and deliberate self-harm in Greek male prisoners. *Int J Law Psychiatry* 2006, 29, 68-73.
- 8. Fatoye F.O.; Fatoye G.K; Oyebanji A.O.; Ogunro A.S. Psychological characteristics as correlates of emotional burden in incarcerated offenders in Nigeria. *East Afr Med J.*, 2006, 83, 545-52.
- 9. O'Donovan R.; Doody O.; Lyons R. The effect of stress on health and its implications for nursing. *British J. Nursing* 2013, 22, 969-973.
- 10. Tomar S. The psychological effects of incarceration on inmates: Can we promote positive emotion in inmates. *Delhi Psych Journal* 2013, 16, 66-72.
- 11. Portman M.E. Generalized anxiety disorder across the lifespan: An integrative approach. 2009, New York: Springer.
- 12. Blaauw E.; Roesch R.; Kerkhof A. Mental disorders in European prison systems. Arrangements for mentally disordered prisoners in the prison systems of 13 European countries. *Int J Law Psychi.* 2000, 23, 649–663.
- 13. WHO. Background Paper for Trencín Statement on Prisons and Mental Health. 2008, Slovakia: WHO Publication.
- 14. Wilper A.P.; Woolhandler S.; Boyd J.W.; Lasser K.E.; McCormick D.; Bor D.H., Himmelstein D.U. (2009). The health and health care of US prisoners: Results of a nationwide survey. *Am. J. Public Health* 2009; 99, 666-672.
- 15. Iqbal M.; Anwar A. Societal adjustment of probationers in Bahawalpur, Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Criminology* 2016, *8*, 28.
- 16. Haney C. "Madness" and penal confinement: Some observations on mental illness and prison pain. *Punishment & Society* 2017, 19, 310-326.
- 17. Stoliker B.E.; Varanese J. (2017). Spending the golden years behind bars: Predictors of mental health issues among geriatric prisoners. *Victims & Offenders* 2017, 12, 718-740.
- 18. Fazel S.; Hayes A.J.; Bartellas K.; Clerici M.; Trestman R. Mental health of prisoners: Prevalence, adverse outcomes, and interventions. *The Lancet Psyc* 2016, *3*, 871-881.

- 19. Mariner J.; Schleifer R. The right to health in prison. *Advancing the Human Right to Health*, 2013, 291-304.
- 20. Zimmer P.; Wu C.C.; Domes G. Same but different? Replicating the real surroundings in a virtual trier social stress test (TSST-VR) does not enhance presence or the psychophysiological stress response. *Physiology & Behavior* 2019, 212, 112690.
- 21. Australian Psychological Society. *APS peer consultation network guidelines Australian Psychological Society*. 2.012 Retrieved from <a href="http://www.psychology.org">http://www.psychology.org</a>. au/Assets/ Files/PeerConsultNetwork.pdf
- 22. Segerstrom S.C.; O'Connor D.B. Stress, health and illness: four challenges for the future. *Psychology Health* 2012, 27, 128-140.
- 23. Ghana Prisons Service. Annual report 2019. 2019, Accra: Prisons Headquarters.
- 24. Baffour, F.D. Factors contributing to recidivism among inmates in selected Ghana prisons (Doctoral dissertation, James Cook University). 2020.
- 25. United Nations. *Report of the special rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.* 2014, New York: United Nations.
- 26. Cohen S.; Kamarck T.; Mermelstein R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *J. Health and Social Behavior* 1983, 24, 386-396.
- 27. Ware J.E.; Kosinski M.; Dewey J.E.; Grandek B. How to score and interpret single-item health status measures: A manual for users of the SF-8 Health Survey. 2001, Lincoln: Quality Metric Inc.
- 28. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry* 1960, 23, 56-62.
- 29. Reis R.S.; Hino A.F.; Añez C.R.R.R. Perceived Stress Scale: Reliability and validity study in Brazil. *J Health Psychol.* 2010, 15, 107.
- 30. **Ansah EW.**; Mintah **J.K.**; Ogah **J.K**. Psychosocial safety climate predicts health and safety status of Ghanaian fuel attendants. *Universal J. Public Health* 2018, 6, 63-72. <a href="https://doi:10.13189/ujph.2018.060205">https://doi:10.13189/ujph.2018.060205</a>
- 31. Carrozzino D.; Patierno C.; Fava G.A.; Guidi J. The Hamilton Rating Scales for Depression: A critical review of clinimetric properties of different versions. Psychother Psychosom. 2020, 89, 133–150.
- 32. Pollock J.M. *Prisons and prison life*: Costs and consequences. 2013, Oxford University Press.
- 33. Hall K.S.; Beauregard J.L.; Rentmeester S.T.; Livingston M.; Harris K.M. Adverse life experiences and risk of unintended pregnancy in adolescence and early adulthood: Implications for toxic stress and reproductive health. *SSM-Population Health* 2019, *7*, 100344.
- 34. Wang K.; Wang Y. Person-environment fit and employee creativity: The moderating role of multicultural experience. *Frontiers in Psychology 2018*, *9*, 1-9.
- 35. Debowska A.; Boduszek, D.; Sherretts, N. (2017). Self-esteem in adult prison population: The Development and Validation of the Self-Esteem Measure for Prisoners (SEM-P). *Deviant Behavior* 2017, 38, 1240-1251, Doi: 10.1080/01639625.2016.1246034

- 36. Machator D. (April 13). Within Ghana's prison walls: The myth, the truth and all. 2018, Retrieved from <a href="https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/features/Within-Ghana-s-prison-walls-the-myth-the-truthand-all-642977">https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/features/Within-Ghana-s-prison-walls-the-myth-the-truthand-all-642977</a>
- 37. Picken J. *The coping strategies, adjustment and well-being of male inmates in the prison environment*. 2012, Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a8ba/4e2b9bf027e969f7702cd7aebf433834763.pdf
- 38. Kaba F.; Lewis A.; Glowa-Kollisch S.; Hadler J.; Lee D.; Alper H.; Venters H. Solitary confinement and risk of self-harm among jail inmates. *Am. J. Public Health* 2014, 104, 442-447.
- 39. Olley M.C.; Nicholls T.L. Brink J. Mentally ill individuals in limbo: Obstacles and opportunities for providing psychiatric services to corrections inmates with mental illness. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* 2009, 27, 811–831.
- 40. Smith P.S. *Remand imprisonment: A stressful phase of transition*. In: When the innocent are punished. Palgrave studies in Prisons and Penology. 2014, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 41. Wayne J. *Are inmates ever happy in prison*? 2016, Retrieved from <a href="https://www.quora.com/are-inmates-ever-happy-in-prison">https://www.quora.com/are-inmates-ever-happy-in-prison</a>
- 42. Thomas L. *Prisoner depression and low mood.* 2018 Retrieved from <a href="https://www.news-medical.net/health/Prisoner-Depression-and-Low-Mood.asp">https://www.news-medical.net/health/Prisoner-Depression-and-Low-Mood.asp</a>
- 43. Shrestha G.; Yadav D.K.; Sapkota N.; Baral D.; Yaday B.K.; Chakravartty A.; Pokharel P.K. Depression among inmates in a regional prison of eastern Nepal: A cross- sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry* 2017, 17, 1-9.
- 44. Gallant D.; Sherry E.; Nicholson M. Recreation or rehabilitation? Managing sport for development programs with prison populations. *Sport Management Review* 2015, *18*(1), 45-56.
- 45. Mukiza F. *Physical activity and prisoner's health: A qualitative systematic review* (Masters thesis, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway). 2014, Retrieved from <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/10037/6398">https://hdl.handle.net/10037/6398</a>.
- 46. Shin Y.C.; Lee D.; Seol J.; Lim S.W. What kind of stress is associated with depression, anxiety and suicidal ideation in Korean employees? *J. Korean Medical Science* 2017, 32, 843-849.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.