

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Biogas Plants: Fundamentals, Operation and Prospects

[Gulnar Gadirli](#) , [Agnieszka A. Pilarska](#) ^{*} , [Jacek Dach](#) , [Krzysztof Pilarski](#) , [Alicja Kolasa-Więcek](#) , [Klaudia Borowiak](#)

Posted Date: 14 November 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202311.0895.v1

Keywords: biogas plans; anaerobic digestion; feedstock sustainable energy; prospects and barriers



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Biogas Plants: Fundamentals, Operation and Prospects

Gulnar Gadirli ¹, Agnieszka A. Pilarska ^{1,*}, Jacek Dach ², Krzysztof Pilarski ², Alicja Kolasa-Więcek ³ and Klaudia Borowiak ⁴

¹ Department of Hydraulic and Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, Poznań University of Life Sciences, ul. Piątkowska 94A, 60-649 Poznań, Poland; gulnargadrli@gmail.com (G.G.)

² Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, Poznań University of Life Sciences, Wojska Polskiego 50, 60-627 Poznań, Poland; pilarski@up.poznan.pl (K.P.), jacek.dach@up.poznan.pl (J.D.)

³ Institute of Environmental Engineering and Biotechnology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, University Opole, Kominka 6, 46-020 Opole, Poland; akolasa@uni.opole.pl (A.K.-W.)

⁴ Department of Ecology and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, Poznań University of Life Sciences, ul. Piątkowska 94C, 60-649 Poznań, Poland; klaudia.borowiak@up.poznan.pl (K.B.)

* Correspondence: pilarska@up.poznan.pl (A.A.P.); Tel.: +48-61-846-65-93

Abstract: As the global demand for renewable energy continues to rise, biogas technology has emerged as a promising solution for sustainable energy generation. This review article presents the advantages of biogas technologies and extensively discusses the main principles of biogas production in the methane fermentation process. In this respect, the main parameters of the process, which require monitoring and are at the same time decisive for its course and efficiency are described, the principles of substrate selection are discussed and the necessity and advantages of the use of organic waste according to the model of a circular economy and the concept of sustainable development, are indicated. The part on biogas production is summarised with an explanation of the necessity to treat and purify biogas, taking into account the share of methane extracted. A special place in this paper is devoted to the design, construction, functioning and operation of biogas plants, based on both scientific and practical aspects. In conclusion of this chapter, the economic aspects and profitability of operating biogas plants are discussed, taking into account, in a theoretical balance sheet – in addition to investment and operating costs and the availability and cost of raw materials – the possibilities of producing and using electricity and heat, as well as environmental and social benefits. The article concludes with a discussion of opportunities and barriers to the development of biogas plants, pointing to: financial issues, access to feedstock, political regulations, public awareness and the geopolitical situation as key factors issues related to biogas plants – in different regions of the world.

Keywords: biogas plans; anaerobic digestion; feedstock sustainable energy; prospects and barriers

1. Introduction

In today's world, energy is a crucial and valuable commodity. As the planet's traditional energy sources are finite and rapidly declining, the need to explore alternative and sustainable sources of energy has become pressing [1]. Biogas energy, being affordable and accessible, is one of the most promising alternative energy sources, alongside wind and solar energy [2,3]. Unlike wind and solar energy, biogas energy does not require specialized infrastructure, making it a viable option for countries and regions with diverse topography [4]. However, the cost of setting up a biogas plant is an important factor to consider. Installing a biogas-producing facility requires significant financial resources, and without adequate funding, it can be difficult for countries and regions to establish a biogas plant.

Biogas is produced naturally from organic materials through anaerobic digestion. While the biogas generated in the world contributes to global warming, as it is primarily composed of methane,

its production has been applied in domestic and agricultural settings. The use of biogas as an energy source has a long history dating back to the 19th century. The first recorded use of biogas was in 1859, when Sir William Thomson observed the production of methane from organic matter in a closed container [5]. The first large-scale biogas plant was established in India in the early 20th century, where it was used for cooking and lighting [6]. In recent years, biogas production has become increasingly widespread in Europe, North America, and Asia, with countries such as Germany, China, and the United States leading the way in terms of biogas production capacity [7,8]. In many countries, government policies have been implemented to support the adoption of biogas as a renewable energy source, and there has been a growing trend towards the use of biogas in combined heat and power systems, which use the heat generated during energy production for heating and hot water [9,10]. Despite its potential, the use of biogas as an energy source remains limited due to a variety of technical, economic, market, institutional, sociocultural, and environmental obstacles [11].

The worsening geopolitical situation and climate change are forcing an energy transition in which biogas plants play a significant role. Today, there is an intensive search for waste materials to act as the main substrate instead of maize silage or crops typically used for energy purposes [12,13]. However, the availability of feedstock from organic waste varies regionally. Areas with limited waste resources will therefore face challenges in terms of biogas production. It should also be noted that the setting up of a biogas plant requires significant initial investment and insufficient political support may hinder the development of biogas production [14]. Regulations on sourcing feedstock, waste handling and energy prices can have a decisive impact on the viability of a project.

The aim of this paper is to present the advantages of biogas technologies and the principles of implementing biogas production, including the optimisation and monitoring of anaerobic digestion process. Particular attention is paid to the specific characteristics of biogas plants, including the type of plants in operation, their design, functioning and operation, as well as economic aspects and profitability. The paper also presents the perspectives and limitations of biogas plant development, taking into account selected regions of the world.

2. Biogas and its importance

Growing concerns about the environment, coupled with the rising energy demands of a burgeoning global population, have prompted countries worldwide to prioritize sustainable energy sources such as biomass [15,16]. This trend is particularly evident in the Europe Union, where the adoption of renewable energy has witnessed a marked increase in recent years. From 8.5% in 2005, the use of renewable energy has surged to nearly 17% in 2015, as measured by gross final energy consumption [17].

2.1. Biogas Characteristics

Biogas is a gas mixture that results from the decomposition of organic matter, such as plant material, by bacteria and archaea in the absence of oxygen [18]. This gas contains varying amounts of methane (CH_4), typically ranging from 50% to 80%, along with carbon dioxide (CO_2) making up 20% to 40% and other gases such as ammonia (NH_3), nitrogen (N_2), oxygen (O_2), hydrogen sulphide (H_2S), hydrogen (H_2), and carbon monoxide (CO) [19]. However, the precise composition of biogas can vary depending on the origin of the organic waste and the production methods used [20]. Biogas is a sustainable source of energy that can be produced from various sources, including animal manure, sewage sludge, industrial waste, energy crops, and organic waste from landfills [21].

Annually, a considerable amount of methane, ranging from 590 to 880 million tons, is released into the atmosphere globally due to microbial decomposition. Biogenic sources contribute to approximately 90% of the total methane emissions, with wetlands, livestock farming, and rice cultivation being the major contributors [22]. Therefore, the use of biogas as a renewable energy source offers a potential solution to mitigate the environmental impact of methane emissions from biogenic sources. By capturing and utilizing methane from biogenic sources for energy production, it is possible to reduce the amount of methane released into the atmosphere and provide a sustainable source of energy.

2.2. Advantages of Biogas Technologies

There is a widespread acknowledgment that the utilization of biogas technology offers a trifecta of advantages. Specifically, it serves as a viable energy source for cooking, lighting, and other applications, as well as an effective means of generating bio-fertilizers to bolster agricultural yields and enhance the environment [23].

Saracevic et al. (2019) have suggested that biogas production could be a crucial solution for energy supply and help to mitigate the negative impacts of fossil fuel usage [24]. The burning of fossil fuels has caused a substantial increase in carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere since the industrial era began [25], which has had a significant impact on climate change. Biogas, however, can serve as an alternative energy source by producing both heat and electricity through cogeneration systems or supplying local gas networks, thereby substituting for natural gas derived from fossil fuels [26].

In addition to being a source of energy, the production of biogas presents several advantages for waste management. As the global population continues to expand, there is a corresponding increase in the amount of waste generated. This surge in waste production poses a considerable obstacle for authorities in their quest to identify appropriate landfill locations to meet the growing demand for waste management, particularly in developing nations [27]. When biogas is generated from biomass, it helps to decrease the risk of groundwater pollution that can result from waste disposal [28].

The implementation of biogas technologies for waste management and energy production practices, within the context of a circular economy, can contribute significantly to the economy. The circular economy is based on the principles of sustainable production and consumption, as opposed to the current linear economy, which is not considered the optimal model for our planet. It has become evident that the linear model is incompatible with the goals of sustainable development, and its continued use poses a threat to the well-being of future generations [29].

Biogas plants offer communes a significant opportunity to develop in multiple ways. They increase the income of both inhabitants and the local government and provide benefits to agriculture and rural areas. As mentioned by Pilarska et al. (2019) [30], agricultural biogas plants create a new market for local agricultural production, generate diversified income sources and new job opportunities, and facilitate the effective and eco-friendly management of farming by-products and residues.

Above all, biogas is an environmentally friendly option that includes reducing greenhouse gas emissions, avoiding methane emissions, replacing fossil fuels, recycling nutrients, and minimizing odors [31]. The gas produced through the process of bio-digestion is clean and non-polluting, which helps to mitigate the impact of greenhouse gases. Even though burning biogas releases CO₂, the amount of CO₂ generated is equivalent to the amount of CO₂ produced during the anaerobic digestion process that converts the organic material. As a result, biogas is a zero-emission energy source that effectively combats global warming [31]. Biogas plants effectively reduce the greenhouse effect by capturing and utilizing methane emissions as fuel, which helps to curb the impact of this harmful gas [32].

Biogas systems provide a cost-saving opportunity for farms, dairies, and businesses by converting waste into a profitable source of energy. Waste can be utilized to generate heat, electricity, or fuel for vehicles, reducing dependence on foreign oil, lowering greenhouse gas emissions, improving environmental quality, and supporting local employment. Furthermore, biogas systems reduce the need for petrochemical and mined fertilizers and offer the chance to recycle valuable nutrients back into the food system, thus improving the prospects of the agricultural sector and the energy security of the nation [33]. Overall, biogas plants offer numerous benefits to society, farmers, and consumers.

3. Main Principles in Biogas Production

Biogas is produced through anaerobic digestion, which occurs when organic matter is broken down by methanogens or anaerobic organisms in a closed system, such as an anaerobic digester, biodigester, or bioreactor [34]. The AD process involves various microbiological, biochemical, and

physical–chemical processes, and is considered the most environmentally sustainable method for treating biowaste. AD not only diverts biodegradable materials from landfills, but also produces bioenergy and by-products like soil biofertilizers [35]. Bacteria play a crucial role in producing biogas during the anaerobic degradation of organic materials [36].

Biogas efficiency is a crucial factor in the success of biogas production. Li et al. (2019) demonstrated that optimizing biogas production can lead to higher biogas yields, increased economic benefits, and reduced environmental impact [37]. The authors noted that various factors, such as feedstock composition, hydraulic retention time, temperature, and pH, can significantly influence biogas efficiency.

One of the main principles of biogas production is substrate selection- the type and quality of the organic matter used as a substrate can have a significant impact on biogas production rates and the overall efficiency of the biogas system. Zhang et al. (2017) evaluated the impact of feedstock composition on biogas production efficiency [38]. According to a study by Ndiweni et al. (2019), substrates that are high in organic matter content and low in lignin content (such as livestock manure) tend to produce higher biogas yields than substrates that are lower in organic matter (such as crop residues) [39].

Finally, the storage and use of biogas is an important consideration in biogas production. As evaluated in the study by Kifukwe et al. (2013) on biogas systems in Tanzania, the efficiency of biogas use can depend on factors such as the type of stove or burner used and the method of biogas storage [40]. They suggest that properly designed and maintained biogas storage and use systems can help ensure that the biogas produced is used in an efficient and safe manner.

Overall, these principles suggest that proper substrate selection, digester design, process conditions, and biogas storage and use are all important factors in maximizing biogas production rates and ensuring the efficiency and safety of biogas systems.

3.1. Fundamentals of Anaerobic Digestion

Anaerobic digestion is a metabolic process that involves an association of microorganisms under anaerobic conditions, with a redox potential of ≤ 200 mV, to convert organic matter into methane and carbon dioxide [41]. As a complex dynamic system involving microbiological, biochemical, and physical–chemical processes, anaerobic digestion has been identified as the most sustainable method for treating biowaste. In addition to diverting biodegradable materials from landfills, anaerobic digestion also produces bioenergy and potential by-products such as soil biofertilizers [35]. The process occurs naturally in the absence of oxygen and can be utilized to treat various raw materials, including industrial and municipal wastewater, agro-industrial, municipal, food activities, and vegetal wastes, to produce biogas [42,43].

Accurate estimation of the methane potential of different substrates is crucial to optimize the anaerobic digestion process. The research conducted by Pilarski et al. (2020) aimed to assess the potential of different substrates, namely maize silage, pig manure, potato waste, and sugar beet pulp, for biogas production [2]. To achieve this, they introduced a tool called the Biochemical Methane Potential Correction Coefficient (BMPCC), which allows for the estimation of methane production and optimization of the biogas production process. BMPCC is used in the biogas industry to estimate the amount of methane that can be produced from different types of organic substrates through anaerobic digestion and measures the efficiency of a biogas plant in converting organic matter into methane.

Anaerobic digestion can be categorized into four phases, namely hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis. These phases involve the coordinated activity of different groups of microorganisms in syntrophy and may require distinct environmental conditions.

The hydrolysis phase is the initial step of anaerobic digestion (AD), where incomplex organic matter or polymers are broken down into smaller mono- and oligomers. This phase converts various polymers, such as carbohydrates, lipids, nucleic acids, and proteins, into simpler and simpler soluble monomers like glucose, glycerol, purines, and pyridines through the action of extracellular enzymes secreted by hydrolytic bacteria [44].

Acidogenesis is the second stage in anaerobic digestion where acidogenic bacteria break down the products of hydrolysis into methanogenic substrates which is called acetogenesis. Simple sugars, amino acids, and fatty acids are degraded into acetate, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen (70%), as well as volatile fatty acids and alcohols (30%) [44,45].

Acetogenic bacteria in the third phase convert acidogenic compounds into hydrogen, carbon dioxide, and acetate, leading to an increase in hydrogen ions that lowers the aqueous medium's pH [30,46]. The optimal pH for acetogenic microorganisms is approximately 6, and they are slow-growing and vulnerable to variations in organic loadings and environmental factors during acetic and propionic acid production [47,48].

Methanogenesis plays a crucial role in the anaerobic digestion (AD) process, as it is responsible for generating approximately 70% of the methane used in AD [48]. This process involves the conversion of hydrogen and carbon dioxide into methane by carbon dioxide-reducing and hydrogen oxidizing methanogens, while acetolactic methanogens utilize acetate to produce methane [49]. Archaea, specifically methanogens, use a variety of substrates, including acetate, hydrogen, CO₂, methanol, methylamines, and formate, to produce methane and CO₂. These end products are then utilized by methanogenic bacteria to produce biogas, which typically consists of 50–75% methane (CH₄), 25–50% CO₂, and trace amounts of nitrogen, hydrogen, and hydrogen sulfide.

In her pioneering study, Pilarska et al. (2018) examined a never-before-studied type of waste substrate, namely confectionery waste, for its potential to produce biogas through the anaerobic digestion process [50]. The confectionery industry generates large amounts of this waste on a continuous basis, resulting in hundreds of tons of solid waste every year. The findings of the study indicate that confectionery waste has significant potential as a valuable resource for energy production, while also providing a solution for the management of this particular type of food waste.

In summary, one of the main benefits of anaerobic digestion is its ability to capture and utilize methane, a potent greenhouse gas that contributes to climate change. As environmental concerns continue to increase, anaerobic digestion is expected to play a vital role in the renewable energy sector.

3.2. Key Parameters of the Process

In the anaerobic digestion process, several critical parameters must be considered and controlled to ensure an optimal fermentation process. These factors are mainly, pH of feedstock, temperature, retention time, flow rate of feed, and nutrient supply [51]. Failure to maintain these parameters within an acceptable range may impede or halt the biogas production process. Additionally, it is important to ensure anaerobic conditions, uniform temperature, and a consistent nutrient supply to facilitate efficient substrate degradation by bacteria [18].

Feedstocks used for biogas production exhibit significant variability in terms of composition, digestibility, and other characteristics, necessitating an understanding of their properties to determine the appropriate process steps, temperature, pH, moisture content, and available organic materials [31].

Table 1 illustrates the range of conditions in different phases of anaerobic process suitable for mesophilic microorganisms and Figure 1 shows the parameters categorized in 2 groups as environmental conditions in the reactor and operating parameters.

Table 1. Environmental requirements of anaerobic mesophilic microorganisms, based on [51].

Parameter	Hydrolosis/Acidogenesis	Methanogenesis
Temperature (°C)	25 – 35	32 – 42
pH	5.2 – 6.3	6.7 – 7.5
C:N ratio	10 – 45	20 – 30
Dry matter concentration (%)	< 40	< 30




Figure 1. The scheme of main conditions affecting anaerobic digestion (VFA – volatile fatty acids, C:N ratio – carbon:nitrogen ratio, COD – chemical oxygen demand, BOD – biological oxygen demand).

Temperature is a crucial factor that affects both the physicochemical properties of anaerobic substrates and the growth rate and metabolism of microorganisms, thereby impacting the population dynamics in a biodigester [34]. The microbial community, process kinetics, stability, and methane yield are significantly influenced by temperature. Lower temperatures decrease microbial growth, substrate utilization rates, and biogas production, leading to energy exhaustion, intracellular substance leakage, or complete lysis. Conversely, high temperatures reduce biogas yield due to volatile gases, particularly ammonia, suppressing methanogenic activities [52]. Anaerobic digestion is commonly performed at either mesophilic (35°C) or thermophilic (55°C) temperatures, each with distinct advantages [53,54]. Regular temperature monitoring is necessary, and the choice between mesophilic and thermophilic temperatures should depend on the desired outcome and the microorganisms used for waste treatment [55].

The pH value is another vital factor that plays a decisive role in the organic matter decomposition process as it affects the chemical reactions and bacterial flora activity [50]. Optimal pH values differ during the various stages of the anaerobic digestion process due to biological transformation. During the acetogenesis stage, the pH level can drop below 5 due to the production of organic acids. The ideal pH range for maximum biogas yield in AD is relatively wide at 6.5–7.5, although this range may vary depending on factors such as substrate and digestion technique [56]. Maintaining a constant pH level is critical, and equilibrium buffers such as calcium carbonate or lime must be added to the system to achieve this.

Retention time (RT) is an important parameter in anaerobic digestion that refers to the duration of time that the feedstock remains within the reactor. A longer retention time provides a greater degree of sludge stabilization, leading to a more stable digestion process. It also enables contact between the biomass and the liquid flow, allowing for better treatment and higher biogas yields. To achieve the optimum performance of mesophilic microorganisms, the hydraulic retention time typically ranges from 10 to 40 days, whereas for thermophilic microorganisms, the time required is usually shorter, approximately 14 days [56]. Equation 1 is used to calculate the retention time, which is the ratio between the biodigester volume and the feeding flow rate [41].

$$HRT = \frac{V}{Q}$$

1)

where:

- HRT – Hydraulic retention time (day),
- V – Biodigester volume (m³),
- Q – Feeding flow rate (m³·day⁻¹).

To determine the efficiency and stability of anaerobic digestion processes, the organic loading rate (OLR) plays a significant role as it directly affects microbial activity and degradation rates [53]. In this regard, maintaining an appropriate OLR is essential to avoid system overload, inhibit biomass growth, and prevent substrate accumulation, which can negatively impact the process performance. Therefore, monitoring and controlling OLR is critical for optimizing biogas production and ensuring a stable anaerobic digestion process [34,41].

In the event of high feeding volumes in anaerobic digestion systems, the bacteria that are responsible for the hydrolysis and acidogenesis phases tend to produce a significant amount of volatile fatty acids (VFA) within a short period of time. This high level of VFA production results in the acidification of the medium, which in turn inhibits the hydrolysis process. Furthermore, the high VFA concentration also hinders the performance of the methanogenic bacteria, as they are unable to convert the substrates produced by the earlier stages into methane. The outcome of this is a decline in the overall biogas production. Equation 2 is the formula determining organic loading rate (OLR) [8].

$$\text{OLR} = \frac{(Q \cdot \text{VS})}{V} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{OLR} = \frac{\text{VS}}{\text{HRT}} \quad (2)$$

where:

OLR – organic loading rate, $\text{kgVS} \cdot (\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{day})^{-1}$

Q – daily flow, $\text{kg} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$

VS – volatile solids, $\text{kgVS} \cdot (\text{kg})^{-1}$

HRT – hydraulic retention time, day.

Microorganisms involved in anaerobic degradation have specific nutritional requirements regarding macronutrients, micronutrients, and vitamins. The concentration and availability of these components are essential factors that influence the growth rate and metabolic activity of different microbial populations. These components have species-specific minimum and maximum concentrations that can be challenging to determine due to the diversity of microbial cultures and their varying adaptability [48,50]. To maximize methane production from substrates, it is imperative to ensure that the microorganisms receive an optimal supply of nutrients.

3.3. Substrates for Biogas Production

Biogas production is a potential replacement for conventional fossil fuels, and substrates are essential components in this process. Substrates refer to organic materials utilized as feedstocks for anaerobic digestion, where microorganisms break down organic matter without oxygen to generate biogas.

The type of substrate used in biogas production plays a crucial role in determining the efficiency and yield of the process. Different substrates have varying characteristics that affect their biodegradability and methane production potential. Factors such as availability, cost, and environmental impact must also be considered when selecting a substrate.

The substrate must meet certain requirements to be suitable for the process. Firstly, the substrate must have a high content of easily biodegradable organic matter. It must also have a low concentration of inhibitory substances such as heavy metals, pesticides, and antibiotics. Thirdly, the substrate must be available in sufficient quantities and reasonably priced, which means that the substrate must be locally available, and the cost of transport and processing must not exceed the value of the biogas produced.

Biogas production involves various types of substrates, which can be classified into four primary categories: agricultural waste, municipal and industrial waste, and energy crops [31,57].

The first type of substrate is agricultural waste, which includes crop residues, animal manure, and other organic waste from farms. These substrates are readily available and can be easily collected, making them a popular choice for biogas production. However, the composition of agricultural waste can vary depending on the type of crop or animal, which can affect the quality and quantity of biogas produced [31,58].

Table 2 provides information on various types of agricultural waste and their respective energy potentials. The data indicates that poultry manure exhibits the highest biogas yield, with 140 Nm³ of biogas produced per ton of substrate, and a methane yield of 90 Nm³ per ton of substrate. On the other hand, cattle slurry demonstrates the lowest values, with biogas and methane yields of 25 Nm³ and 14 Nm³ per ton of substrate, respectively.

Table 2. Gas and methane yield from various types of farm manure average values, based on [58].

Substrate	Biogas (Nm ³ ·t ⁻¹ substrate)	Methane (Nm ³ ·t ⁻¹ substrate)
Cattle slurry	25	14
Pig slurry	28	17
Cattle dung	80	44
Poultry manure	140	90

Explanation: Nm³ – normal cubic meter.

Municipal waste is the next potential substrate for biogas production, which households, commercial establishments, and institutions, including schools and hospitals generate. This waste includes a mix of organic and inorganic materials, such as food waste, paper, plastic, glass, and metals.

Since municipal waste is a readily available and abundant source of organic material, which makes it is an attractive option for biogas production. The use of municipal waste for biogas production can also help to waste management and loading of landfills, which reduces greenhouse gas emissions and improve air quality [59]. The method of anaerobic digestion is currently the most commonly utilized approach for the mineralization of organic matter in sludge at biological waste treatment plants [60]. However, some challenges associated with using municipal waste as a substrate are the presence of contaminants in the waste, such as heavy metals and plastics, which can inhibit the biogas production process or result in lower quality biogas, and the cost and complexity of separating the organic fraction of the waste from the inorganic fraction, which can make the process less economically viable [27].

Industries such as agro-industries, food industries, fodder, and breweries produce vast amounts of organic waste, by-products, and residues. Additionally, biorefineries generate organic-loaded wastewater sludges that require treatment or disposal. To address this issue, these organic wastes can be utilized as a feedstock for anaerobic digestion (AD). Organic feedstocks for AD can come from a variety of sources, such as pomace from winemaking, animal feed, breweries, sugar refineries, and fruit processing plants. Even the wastewater from dairies and waste from slaughterhouses can be used to produce bioenergy [32].

In 2010, the global production of bioethanol was approximately 95 million m³. However, a significant challenge associated with producing high volumes of bioethanol is the generation of large amounts of effluents. Therefore, effective strategies are required to manage and treat the anaerobic digestion effluent to minimize its environmental impact [51].

The use of energy crops (renewable raw materials) in the production of power from biogas has received a lot of attention ever since the Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG) was first amended in 2004. The majority of recently built biogas plants now use energy crops as a consequence of this [58].

Energy crops are crops grown specifically for the purpose of producing bioenergy, such as biogas or biofuels. These crops are typically fast-growing, high-yielding plants that have a high energy content and can be converted into various forms of renewable energy. Examples of energy crops include corn, sugarcane, switchgrass, and willow. In Germany, maize (also known as corn) is primarily utilized in agricultural biogas plants as a substrate of choice [18]. Cereal grains are especially an excellent supplement for available substrates in biogas plants due to their high biogas

yields and quick degradation. It is important to grind or crush them for efficient digestion in the reactor [58].

3.4. Biogas treatment and purification

To obtain high-quality methane gas from biogas, it is necessary to subject the biogas to a series of essential processes. One such process is biogas purification, which involves the removal of impurities including, but not limited to, water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), and other trace gases from the biogas (Figure 3). The purification process enhances the energy content and calorific value of the biogas, rendering it suitable for use in various applications such as cooking, heating, and electricity generation [61].

H₂S is a corrosive and toxic gas with a foul odor [62,63]. It can pose a significant threat to human health, as prolonged exposure to low concentrations of H₂S (between 15 and 50 ppm) can lead to mucosal irritation in the respiratory tract, resulting in symptoms such as headaches, dizziness, and nausea [64]. Furthermore, the presence of H₂S in biogas can cause corrosion in storage tanks, metallic pipes, and combustion engines, leading to the deterioration of biogas production infrastructure [65]. As a result, removing H₂S from biogas is crucial in ensuring the safety of human health and the longevity of the production infrastructure.

According to Wellinger et al. (2013), carbon dioxide (CO₂) is a significant component of biogas, with a volume ranging from 20% to 30% in substrates derived from agricultural residues [51]. However, the presence of CO₂ has a direct impact on the energy potential of biogas. This is because CO₂ is inert in terms of combustion, and it occupies space that could be filled with more combustible gases. Therefore, the removal of CO₂ from biogas is critical for optimizing its energy potential and ensuring its suitability for use in various applications.

Wellinger et al. (2013) mentions that ammonia (NH₃) is a prevalent contaminant in biogas originating from agricultural residues, typically occurring in concentrations ranging from 50 mg·m⁻³ to 100 mg·m⁻³ [51]. Ammonia is known to have corrosive and toxic properties. When ammonia is incompletely combusted in engines, it can lead to the release of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) into the atmosphere. These NO_x compounds contribute to the formation of acid rain and can result in respiratory problems [66].

The initial biogas produced from the biodigester is typically saturated with moisture, which must be effectively eliminated. The techniques used for water removal from biogas also enable the removal of impurities such as particulate matter and foam. The most commonly applied methods for the physical separation of water from biogas include condensation and chemical drying [41].

It should be emphasized that the selection of an appropriate treatment technology for biogas depends on several factors, including the flow rate of the biogas produced, the composition of the biogas, and most importantly, the desired level of biogas purification. The changes in methane levels throughout the biogas treatment process are depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Biogas treatment and purification steps for biomethane concentration according for its final use, based on [41].

4. Biogas plants

Agricultural biogas plants have a long history, beginning with early advancements in biogas technology and its application in agricultural contexts. Throughout history, several societies have used organic waste to make biogas, a renewable energy source. However, modern agricultural biogas plants as we know them today have changed greatly as a result of scientific and technological advances.

There is evidence that biogas was used to heat bath water in Assyria as early as the 10th century B.C.E, and that anaerobic digestion of solid waste was used in ancient China [67]. However, well-documented attempts to harness anaerobic digestion of biomass by human date back to the mid-nineteenth century, when digesters were erected in New Zealand and India, with a sewage sludge digester built in Exeter, UK in the 1890s to power streetlamps [68].

4.1. Overview of common biogas plant types

Biogas plants are facilities that use organic waste to produce renewable energy in the form of biogas. Although there are many sizes and designs of biogas facilities, they all work on the same fundamental anaerobic digestion concept. The most common biogas plant types include batch systems, continuous stirred tank reactors, plug-flow digesters, and covered lagoons [69,70]. The choice of biogas plant type depends on factors such as the type and quantity of feedstock available, the required energy production, and local regulations [69].

One of the most widely used and practical application of biogas plants are agricultural plants. Facilities that process feedstock obtained from agricultural sources are referred to as agricultural biogas plants. Such factories often make use of organic resources including animal manure and slurries, vegetable leftovers and residues, as well as waste from the food and fishing sectors. The majority of agricultural biogas plants in Europe use animal dung and slurries from the production of cattle and pigs as their primary feedstock.

Agricultural anaerobic digestion plants may be divided into three groups according to their size, purpose, and location. Families or small towns can benefit from family-scale biogas facilities, which are normally extremely tiny. The energy requirements of a farm or a group of farms are met by small, medium, or large-scale farm-scale biogas facilities. The medium to large-scale centralized or cooperative co-digestion facilities are often made to manage a variety of organic waste streams and have various uses [44].

Commercial-scale biogas plants are another option for meeting the energy demands of sizable industrial sites or cities, in addition to the categories mentioned above. To create biogas, these facilities often combine various organic waste sources, such as municipal, industrial, and agricultural waste [71].

In general, a variety of factors, such as the accessibility and cost of energy sources, governmental regulations and energy policies, and regional climatic conditions, affect the design and technology of biogas facilities. A biogas plant's design and technology are greatly influenced by its size, purpose, and location.

4.2. Analysis and implications for biogas plant design

Designing a biogas plant is a complex process that demands careful consideration of several factors. Analyzing the raw materials that are to be utilized to create biogas and the particular demands of the biogas plant itself is often part of the design process.

Biogas plant design involves analyzing and understanding the chemical composition and characteristics of the input substrates, as well as the operating conditions required for efficient biogas production [72]. The analysis of the substrate can determine the potential biogas yield and the appropriate mix of substrates to optimize production [73].

To ensure maximum energy efficiency, a biogas plant must be evaluated and optimized using an energy balance approach. However, reliable data for comparing different technologies are often unavailable, and most studies only consider electricity or heat demand while ignoring fuel demand

for transport. It is important to note that there is a correlation between electricity, fuel, and heat demand, which can vary depending on substrate parameters and seasonal fluctuations [74].

The energy demand of a biogas plant depends on various factors such as substrate transport and storage, substrate pre-treatment, and the type of technology used in the plant. These factors can significantly affect the amount of electricity, heat, and fuel required to operate the plant. For instance, different types of biogas plant technologies have varying energy demands. Continuously stirred tank reactors (CSTRs) and dry batch digesters, for example, differ in their electricity and fuel consumption due to their unique designs and operation methods. The energy demands associated with each process step in a biogas plant also vary and depend on the specific characteristics of the substrates and the treatment of biogas and residues [75].

Pre-treating substrates before feeding them into the biogas plant can boost biogas yields by up to 20%. However, the energy consumption of the treatment system can offset the benefits if not properly accounted for. Therefore, it is crucial to calculate the energy demand of the pre-treatment process and assess the expected energy output of the plant. It is also worth noting that the energy demand of pre-treatment varies depending on the type of substrate being treated [75]. According to VDI (2006), the electricity demand for feedstock with higher solid content such as energy crops is slightly higher, ranging from 19 to 27 kWhel per MWh_{bi} of biogas produced [76].

Once the energy demands of a biogas plant are determined, the next step is to evaluate the energy supply needed for the plant's operation. This involves planning for the supply of electricity, heat, and fuel to meet the plant's energy demands [74]. The energy supply can come from both external sources, such as the grid or a generator, and internal resources, such as a biogas burner or excess heat from single aggregates. Proper planning and evaluation of the energy supply can help ensure efficient and cost-effective operation of the biogas plant.

Proper planning and evaluation of the energy supply can help ensure efficient and cost-effective operation of the biogas plant. Therefore, biogas plant design must be approached with a holistic view, considering all relevant factors to achieve maximum energy efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

4.3. Administrative aspects of the construction of biogas plants

There are various administrative considerations that must be made while constructing biogas facilities. Regulations pertaining to zoning, health, safety, and the environment must all be followed when building biogas facilities.

4.3.1. Legal assessment and permit acquisition

A vital step in building a biogas plant is getting the necessary permissions and approvals from the local government. It entails obtaining the required licenses, permissions, and approvals from local governmental organizations, environmental protection organizations, and other pertinent authorities. These authorizations and permits guarantee that the biogas plant's development and operation adhere to regional laws and norms [77].

Once the required paperwork is prepared, it is delivered to the relevant municipal agencies in charge of approving and granting permissions. The local government, environmental protection organizations, health organizations, or other pertinent departments may be some of these. In-depth details regarding the project, such as its site, design, environmental impact, and adherence to relevant legislation, are included in the application.

To promote the establishment and operation of the plants, particular incentives are frequently given to them. Feed-in tariffs (FITs) are a popular type of financial incentive. Feed-in tariffs are set, long-term payment rates are given to owners or operators of biogas plants in exchange for the renewable energy they produce. These prices are usually higher than the market rate for electricity and are locked in for a predetermined time, frequently between 10 and 20 years. Developers are more likely to invest in biogas infrastructure since FITs offer a steady and predictable cash stream [78].

4.3.2. Environmental regulations

Environmental regulations and emissions management are crucial factors in the operation of biogas facilities, ensuring their environmentally friendly operation. To reduce any negative effects on the environment, policy frameworks are created to specify the rules and principles. These regulations cover important subjects like air emissions, wastewater management, odor control, and the preservation of soil and groundwater [79].

Regulations within policy frameworks set specific standards for biogas plant emissions, including methane, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [79]. Regulations to reduce odor emissions from biogas facilities are included in policy frameworks to manage potential odor issues. Anaerobic digestion might result in the emission of odorous substances. Operators may be compelled to use odor control devices like biofilters or activated carbon filters to reduce odors and safeguard adjacent communities [80].

4.3.3. Health and safety considerations

When building biogas facilities, health and safety concerns are of highest importance in order to prioritize worker safety and reduce potential risks. When building a biogas plant, a number of important issues related to health and safety must be taken into consideration.

A thorough risk analysis ought to be done both before and throughout the building phase. In order to do this, possible risks related to site conditions, equipment use, material handling, and other construction operations must be identified. Risks including exposure to poisonous substances, confined spaces, electrical dangers, and falls should all be assessed, and the necessary precautions should be taken to reduce or eliminate them [77].

4.4. Operation of biogas plants

The efficient production of biogas from organic feedstock requires a number of procedures and phases throughout the operation of a biogas plant.

The gathering and preparation of organic feedstock, such as agricultural waste, food waste, manure from livestock, or special energy crops, is the first step in the operation of a biogas plant. The feedstock is then put inside a sealed digester, where anaerobic bacteria use the lack of oxygen to break down the organic material. Biogas, largely made up of methane and carbon dioxide, is a result of this anaerobic digestion process [81].

According to Wu et al (2021), carefully controlling operational parameters is essential to a biogas plant's efficient operation [82]. Controlling the temperature is essential for maintaining mesophilic or thermophilic conditions, which maximize microbial activity. The retention period, or the amount of time the feedstock is kept in the digester, gives organic matter enough time to break down and produce biogas. A successful feedstock mixing process guarantees even distribution and boosts microbial activity.

To guarantee effective plant operation, continuous monitoring of operating parameters, gas composition, and system performance is required. To maximize plant performance and lifetime, equipment must receive regular maintenance and servicing. The wellbeing of the workforce is guaranteed, and the negative effects on the environment are reduced, through adherence to environmental rules and health and safety protocols [83]

Moreover, personnel with knowledge of process monitoring, maintenance, and troubleshooting are needed to operate a biogas facility. Biogas plants may make a substantial contribution to the generation of sustainable energy, waste management, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions with the right operational practices and attentive maintenance.

In conclusion, the running of a biogas plant necessitates the intricate interaction of several processes, variables, and management techniques. Anaerobic digestion can be used to produce biogas, which is a renewable energy source that also encourages environmentally responsible waste disposal. A greener and more sustainable future can be greatly aided by the efficient operation of biogas facilities.

4.5. Economic aspects and profitability

When determining the viability of a biogas plant as a sustainable energy investment, the financial factors and profitability of the facility must be considered. The initial investment, operational expenses, income generation, and potential profit incentives are all factors in assessing a biogas plant's economic sustainability.

Cost and benefit analyses are the major tool used for the systematic evaluation of the financial costs and potential benefits associated with the establishment and operation of biogas plants. These analyses are extremely necessary when determining the economic viability and potential of these plants as sources of renewable energy [84]. These analyses involve contrasting the expenses of developing and managing a biogas plant with the amount of energy that can be produced by the plant and the potential revenue streams that may be generated from it [85].

The main goal of the analyses is to determine whether the advantages of the plant outweigh the expenses. Below are some main elements that are often taken into account in a cost-benefit analysis of a biogas plant.

- Investment Costs

This comprises the initial financial outlay needed to build the biogas plant, such as equipment, infrastructure, land, and permits. It also takes into account any additional costs connected with upgrading or altering existing facilities to suit the biogas plant [86]. The cost of investing in a biogas installation can reach several million or even more than ten million zloty. The return on investment can be achieved within a few years, provided the activities are well-planned and executed. Financial support and subsidies are therefore an indispensable part of investment. When investing in a biogas plant, it is important to properly organise the system for managing waste from residents in the surrounding areas [9,53]. The possibilities of achieving the required recycling levels should be analysed, recognising both the opportunities and the problems involved.

- Operating Costs

These include continuous costs for running the biogas plant on a daily basis, such as labor, feedstock acquisition, maintenance, utilities, and waste management. It also takes into account any costs associated with regulatory compliance and quality control [87]. Wear and tear on the engine or loader necessitates their repair or replacement. The costs associated with the need to supply electricity to power the biogas plant must also be taken into account. In general, biogas plants are high-risk investments, requiring professional management throughout the investment life cycle [2,9]. The most significant groups of risk factors involve regulatory instability, the location of the biogas plant and the difficulties of maintaining and restoring the microbial community and managing the digestate.

Despite the rather high costs associated with both the construction and maintenance of a biogas plant, it should be considered a fairly cost-effective investment. First and foremost, this should be considered in terms of long-term investments.

- Availability and Costs of Feedstock

The availability and cost of feedstock, such as agricultural waste, energy crops, or organic waste, are critical factors in the economic feasibility of a biogas plant. The examination considers the availability, dependability, and cost of obtaining the required feedstock [88]. The most economical of the proposed solutions is the construction of biogas plants at their source, i.e. near production plants, sewage treatment plants or livestock farms, which provide continuous access to substrates in the form of waste. It is a low-cost and continuous source of raw material supply, free of logistical costs [53,60].

- Production and Utilization of Energy

This includes the biogas plant's energy output and utilization efficiency. It takes into account elements such as the anaerobic digestion process's conversion efficiency, the plant's electricity and heat demand, and the potential for energy self-sufficiency or grid integration [89,90]. An important issue of efficient energy management is certainly the use of heat for a wider range of purposes besides the typical technological ones, including heating or drying – in areas located close to the biogas plant.

Implementing measures to increase the efficiency of cogeneration systems is the basis for the optimal use of the primary energy stored in substrates.

- Environmental and Social Benefits

The analysis considers the environmental and social benefits of the biogas plant. This includes factors such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions, improved waste management, and potential job generation, community development and stimulation of local demand (suppliers for biogas plants) [79]. Biogas plants make a significant contribution to environmental safety through the utilisation of methane extracted from landfill sites, assisting in the treatment of municipal wastewater, and increasing the energy management of agricultural by-products while reducing the odours of agricultural production. Of all renewable energy sources, biogas is the one that offers the greatest potential for cooperation with local authorities and society [91,92]. These wide-ranging waste disposal options, or reductions in waste management costs, fall into the category of both social and environmental benefits.

5. Global Prospects and Barriers in the Development of Biogas Plants

In recent times, biogas production has seen a remarkable rise in adoption, driven by its environmental benefits and efficacy as "waste-to-energy" solution [93]. In fact, it is considered to play a significant role in achieving the EU's ambitious renewable energy targets [94]. This development has been particularly observed in the United States and the European Union, while progress in Asian and other nations has been comparatively slower [17]. Thanks to institutional and legal support, technological advancements, and small farmers' associations [95,96] Germany leads biogas production in EU, with 61% share and over 7,000 plants in 2010, 84% of which use co-digestion methods with crops and animal waste slurry [97].

Figure 3 illustrates the proportion of biogas energy generated within the overall renewable energy production across European countries from 2007 to 2012 [95]. Germany, the UK, and Italy lead biogas production in the EU with 77% of total production in 2012, but other countries are increasing biogas plant numbers for diversified energy sources. In 2012, the EU obtained 6.8% of energy from biogas, with Germany holding the largest share at over 19%, thanks to institutional and legal support, technological advancements, and small farmers' associations [95]. The Czech Republic also has a significant share, while Estonia, Finland, and Sweden have around half a percent. It should be emphasized that more recent literature reports indicate a similar trend [7,8,17]: the current leaders countries in biogas production in the EU, are: Germany, Great Britain, Italy, Czech Republic and France.




Figure 3. Percentage of biogas energy in the renewable energy mix across chosen EU countries, based on [95].

As far as Poland is concerned, Lewandowski et al. (2006) mentioned that despite the potential benefits of biogas plants, Poland's biogas share in renewable energy sources is only 2%, which can be attributed to a slow industry development caused by various factors, such as social protests, inexperienced investors, and bureaucratic hurdles [98]. Additionally, the low income for farmers has led to talent loss and economic weakness in the agricultural sector. However, the agricultural biogas sector is growing due to increasing demand for renewable energy, rising costs of traditional energy, and the need for efficient waste management solutions. Some investors have already recognized the potential of biogas plants in Poland and the government has introduced policies and incentives to support the sector's growth [99,100]. Poland's situation in this respect is assessed to be much worse, compared to other countries (for example Western Europe) or comparable to countries in Asia or even Africa. For example, in Bangladesh, an Asian country, despite the availability of raw materials for biogas production, the development of biogas production is also very slow. [101]. The result of the research indicates a lack of coordination among stakeholders and an immature biogas market, where the main barriers are a lack of awareness on how to use waste efficiently and the absence of a feed-in tariff policy. The need to adapt to climate change is seen as the most important motivating factor here. These results were found to be similar to other studies conducted in developing countries of similar socio-economic status, including Indonesia, where a lack of financial resources is cited as the most common reason for the stagnation in biogas investment development [102].

Table 3 displays the number of biogas plants installed in Asia and Africa [103]. In Asia, the data reveals an overall increase in the number of biogas plants installed from 2008 to 2009 across all seven countries listed. Notably, Vietnam and Nepal stands out with the highest number of biogas plants among the Asian countries. The situation in these countries could be achieved thanks to the Netherlands Development Organisation (SNV) and the Biogas Support Programme (BSP), launched in 1992. The success of BSP in Nepal (1992) encouraged SNV to support domestic biogas production in Vietnam in 2003, followed by other Asian countries: Bangladesh, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Pakistan and Indonesia (see Table 3). A similar upward trend, thanks to the presence of SNV in the biogas sector, can be noted in several African countries (where the substantial technical potential of about 18.5 million households is recorded). However, compared to Asian countries, biogas development in Africa has been fairly modest so far, due to a number of challenges, in particular high investment costs, limited access to credit facilities and the much lower purchasing power of potential households. Rwanda, specifically, holds the distinction of having the highest number of biogas plants among the African countries listed.

Table 3. Number of plants installed in Asia and Africa, based on [103].

Country	Program start	Number of biogas installations in 2008	Number of biogas installations in 2009	Cumulative of biogas installations number up to 2009
Asia				
Nepal	1992	14,002	18,902	205,762
Vietnam	2003	17,012	25,764	75,820
Bangladesh	2006	2648	5050	10,019
Cambodia	2006	2340	2616	6402
Lao PDR	2006	188	722	1020
Indonesia	2009	-	50	50
Pakistan	2009	-	100	100
Africa				
Rwanda	2007	120	213	434

Ethiopia	2008	98	30	128
Kenya	2008	-	3	3
Tanzania	2008	3	103	106
Uganda	2008	-	40	40
Burkina Faso	2009	-	1	1
Cameroon	2009	-	23	23
Total		36,411	53,617	299,908

Literature data also points to other African countries seeking to produce clean energy. Thus, Ghana is an example of a country facing urgent development challenges in energy, sanitation, environment and agriculture [104]. The country sees the development of biogas plants as a means of improving sanitation (manure-fed household biogas plants in operation), reducing greenhouse gas emissions (biogas plants at cattle farms), recovering nutrients and creating new jobs. Although the biogas industry is not growing rapidly here, new investments are being made thanks to current subsidies and bank support [105]. Shane et al., (2015) on the other hand, critically list an entire range of obstacles in their article on the situation in Zambia [106]. The study carried out by this author, proved that there is a theoretical biogas potential of 76PJ per annum from animal manure and crop residues. This is sufficient to provide energy for cooking and lighting in more than 3 million households. However, the lack of a regulatory framework and specific biogas strategies, monetary policies that are unfavourable to investors, insufficient expertise, lack of awareness of the benefits of biogas technology, resistance to change due to the culture and traditions of the local people, high costs of installing and maintaining biogas digesters, insufficient research and development, inadequate management and lack of monitoring of installed digesters, the complexity of the emission allowance market and, finally, the lack of incentives and social equity hinder the sustainable implementation of biogas production in Zambia, as well as in other countries. The resolution of the aforementioned problems is a prerequisite for the development of the biogas sector.

Across East Africa, particularly in rural areas, more than 95% of households claim that they use solid fuels as their primary energy source [107]. Biogas is an alternative for households with access to sufficient and suitable organic feedstocks [108]. Worldwide, there are around 50 million biogas systems installed to produce gas for cooking. Most of these systems operate in Asia, particularly in China [109–111] and India [108,109]. It should be noted that it is China that currently has the largest number of biogas plants, reaching up to 40 million operating plants. The majority of these are home-built biogas plants based on underground, non-insulated digesters with capacities ranging from a dozen to several dozen cubic metres. A very large number of Chinese farms own biogas plants. They use animal manure and organic residues from the household as feedstock. Biogas is used, as mentioned above – for cooking and heating, but also for lighting. There are about 80,000 non-mobile, large-scale installations producing several to more than ten thousand cubic metres of biogas per day in China. The subsidies on offer in this country are a powerful incentive for investment.

As far as Azerbaijan is concerned, despite having abundant renewable energy resources of all types, the adoption of alternative energy is still limited in the country, due to the abundance of natural gas [112]. This is a situation that differs in a way from that previously presented concerning other countries in Europe, Asia or Africa. In the aforementioned country, The Ministry of Natural Resources claims that biogas is not a feasible option due to the availability of natural gas and the absence of an energy shortage that would necessitate the use of alternative sources [113]. Nevertheless, Azerbaijan currently operates several biogas plants, including the first installation in the Guba region with a 5-cubic-meter volume capable of producing 7-8 cubic meters of biological gas daily. In 2011, the experimental Gobustan hybrid station was commissioned with a total capacity of 5.5 MW, powered by three wind turbines generating 2.7 MW, solar panels producing 1.8 MW, and a biogas power plant generating 1 MW. The hybrid station now provides electricity to the Gobustan landfill in full.

The above data highlight a notable transition toward sustainable energy practices, as countries increasingly acknowledge the imperative to reduce their dependence on non-renewable energy sources. Hasanov et al. (2023) [114] proposed biogas production as a pivotal solution for energy supply, offering a means to mitigate the detrimental effects of fossil fuel consumption, as the burning of these fuels has substantially elevated carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere since the industrial era's onset [115], exerting a profound influence on climate change. Azerbaijan takes this issue in particular into account [116].

Biogas production offers several advantages for waste management and the circular economy, including income diversification, job creation, and eco-friendly management of agricultural by-products and residues [117,118]. However, various obstacles limit its use as an energy source. These barriers encompass a lack of awareness and understanding among stakeholders, which hinders incentives and investments. Biogas can be costlier than natural gas and fossil fuels and faces competition from abundant natural gas. Technical challenges, such as addressing the gas-liquid mass transport issue during methane conversion, and emissions during the anaerobic digestion process, which can lead to greenhouse gas penalties when not managed effectively, also pose challenges [93].

Bhatt and Tao (2020) [93] mentioned that to increase biogas production and energy efficiency, various pretreatment methods can be employed. For instance, physical pretreatment involves mechanical and thermal energy applications to disrupt waste materials, while chemical pretreatment utilizes chemical substrates to break down waste for easier downstream processing. Biological pretreatment, on the other hand, leverages microbial consortia or enzymes to enhance waste hydrolysis. Furthermore, optimizing operational parameters, such as enhancing Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) reduction rates through factors like loading rates, temperature, and pH control, is essential.

A thorough assessment of biogas technologies from both economic and environmental perspectives is necessary to better understand the trade-offs between biogas yields and the costs associated with implementing these methods. This evaluation can aid in making biogas production more competitive with natural gas prices. It is important to assess the organic waste availability, ensuring technical feasibility, and conducting cost-benefit analysis in order to promote biogas utilization. Analyzing local energy demand guides the alignment of biogas supply with community needs. Crucially, engaging local stakeholders, including farmers and waste management facilities, fosters collaboration and supports successful biogas adoption [119,120].

With regard to existing barriers to biogas market development, an analysis based on the work of authors from different countries clearly indicates the presence of technical, economic, market-related, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental barriers [102,121,122]. These groups cover the issues cited in the earlier sections of this part of the paper and apply to both developed and developing countries. However, problems classified in this way combine interdependent factors and often require complex solutions, particularly for developing countries where the situation is more difficult. It is important to involve relevant authorities and institutions, including governments, financial and R&D institutions, as well as lobby groups, the media and local communities. Current trends and developments point to the need for a platform for informed discussions and exchanges (debates, conferences, meetings) with representatives of politics, science, local government, business/investors and private stakeholders.

The global agricultural biogas market is at very different levels of development [5]. Most such installations are located in Asia and Europe [103,123,124]. Australia, New Zealand, Africa and the Americas mostly have pilot biogas plants located in the vicinity of scientific research institutes, although in Africa the installations are far more practical [103,107,125,126]. In Asia, the highest biogas production is recorded in China and India [102,122]. The installations there are technically uncomplicated. In Japan and Korea, the biogas market is similar to that in Western Europe – it is highly dynamic and technologically advanced [127–130]. Among European countries, Germany is the undisputed leader in the biogas sector, with considerable potential also accumulated in Italy and the UK, as well as the Scandinavian countries, including Denmark [95,131].

6. Conclusions

The current geopolitical situation and the associated global energy crisis have led to an increase in the importance of renewable energy carriers. Biogas plants offer a viable opportunity to increase environmental safety by disposing of methane released in landfills, stabilising municipal wastewater and managing agricultural by-products while reducing the odour of agricultural production. Of all renewable energy sources, biogas is the one that offers the greatest potential for cooperation with local authorities and society.

However, operating a biogas plant can present difficulties and is not always cost-effective. Nonetheless, some steps can be taken to optimise the digestion process itself to increase the efficiency of organic matter decomposition into biogas. To this end, the approach to biogas plants needs to change. They should be treated as biochemical industrial plants requiring efficient technological supervision. Qualified staff and sound knowledge of the anaerobic digestion process have the potential to make them successful. It is in the interest of biogas plant owners to maximise the production of biogas with the highest possible content of methane as an energy carrier.

Scientists, investors and some politicians are aware of the potential of biogas plants to become a sustainable source of energy, but despite educating the public on the issue, there is no shortage of opponents to such investments. A good business model plan for a biogas plant, covering the social, environmental, economic and logistical spheres, is at the heart of the venture. When investing in a biogas plant, it is important to properly organise the waste management system, the collection of electricity and, importantly, the management of thermal energy, eliminating losses as far as possible. It is worth considering the viability of entry of biogas plants into energy cooperatives, where the electricity or biogas, or heat produced is balanced by the own needs of the energy cooperative and its members, at a local level. The ultimate aim of this idea is to ensure energy independence, regardless of the prevailing geopolitical climate, and financial security of investment.

Developing countries on different continents, mainly in central and eastern Europe, Asia and Africa, report the presence of numerous factors hindering the development of biogas plants: technical, economic, market-related, institutional, socio-cultural and environmental ones. Failure to address the problems that are repeatedly pointed out leaves little chance for the dynamic and global development of biogas plants

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A.P. and G.G.; Formal analysis, A.A.P., K.P. and A.K.-W.; Resources, A.A.P., G.G., A.K.-W. and K.B. Data curation, J.D. and K.P.; Writing—original draft, G.G. and A.A.P. Writing—review & editing, A.A.P., J.D. and K.P. and G.G.; Visualization, G.G. and A.K.-W. Supervision, A.A.P. and K.B.; project administration, A.A.P. Funding acquisition, A.A.P. and K.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Higher Education's programme: Regional Initiative Excellence (RID) in the years 2019–2022 (No. 005/RID/2018/19), financing amount 12,000,000,00 PLN.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analysed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Igliński, B.; Kielkowska, U.; Pietrzak, M.; Skrzatek, M.; Kumar, G.; Piechota, G. The regional energy transformation in the context of renewable energy sources potential. *Renew. Energy* **2023**, *218*, 119246.
2. Pilarski, K.; Pilarska, A.A.; Boniecki, P.; Niedbała, G.; Durczak, K.; Witaszek, K.; Mioduszevska, N.; Kowalik, I. The efficiency of industrial and laboratory anaerobic digesters of organic substrates: The use of the Biochemical Methane Potential Correction Coefficient. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 1280.
3. Pilarski, K.; Pilarska, A.A.; Boniecki, P.; Niedbała, G.; Witaszek, K.; Piekutowska, M.; Idzior-Haufa, M.; Wawrzyniak, A. Degree of biomass conversion in the integrated production of bioethanol and biogas. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 7763.

4. Igliński, B.; Piechota, G.; Kielkowska, U.; Kujawski, W.; Pietrzak, M.B.; Skrzatek, M. The assessment of solar photovoltaic in Poland: The photovoltaics potential, perspectives and development. *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy* **2023**, *25*, 281–298.
5. Bond, T.; Templeton, M.R. History and future of domestic biogas plants in the developing world. *Energy Sustain. Dev.* **2011**, *15*, 347–35.
6. Bhat PR, Chanakya HN, Ravindranath NH. Biogas plant dissemination: success story of Sirsi, India. *Energy Sustain. Dev.* **2001**, *5*, 39–46.
7. Perea-Moreno, M.A.; Samerón-Manzano, E.; Perea-Moreno, A.J. Biomass as renewable energy: Worldwide research trends. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 863.
8. Nsair, A.; Cinar, S.O.; Alassali, A.; Qdais, H.A.; Kuchta, K. Operational parameters of biogas plants: A review and evaluation study. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 3761.
9. Pilarski, K.; Pilarska, A.A.; Kolasa-Więcek, A.; Suszanowicz, D. An agricultural biogas plant as a thermodynamic system: A study of efficiency in the transformation from primary to secondary energy. *Energies* **2023**, *16*, 7398
10. Kozłowski, K.; Dach, J.; Lewicki A.; Cieślík, M.; Czekala, W.; Janczak, D.; Michał Brzoski, M. Laboratory simulation of an agricultural biogas plant start-up. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* **2018**, *41*, 711–716.
11. Nevzorova, T.; Kutcherov, V. Barriers to the wider implementation of biogas as a source of energy: A state-of-the-art review. *Energy Strategy Rev.* **2019**, *26*, 100414.
12. Sitorusa, B.; Sukandarb; Panjaitanc, S.D. Biogas recovery from anaerobic digestion process of mixed fruit-vegetable wastes. *Energy Proc.* **2013**, *32*, 176–182.
13. Bozym, M.; Florczak, I.; Zdanowska, P.; Wojdalski, J.; Klimkiewicz, M. An analysis of metal concentrations in food wastes for biogas production. *Renew. Energy* **2015**, *77*, 467–472.
14. Kozłowski, K.; Pietrzykowski, M.; Czekala, M.; Dach, J.; Kowalczyk-Juśko, A.; Józwiakowski, K.; Brzoski, M. Energetic and economic analysis of biogas plant with using the dairy industry waste. *Energy* **2019**, *183*, 1023–1031.
15. Amigun, B.; Sigamoney, R.; von Blottnitz, H. Commercialisation of biofuel industry in Africa: a review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2008**, *12*, 690–711.
16. Kurchania, A.K.; Panwar, N.L.; Pagar, S.D. Development of domestic biogas stove. *Biomass Conv. Bioref.* **2011**, *1*, 99–103.
17. Scarlat, N.; Dallemand, J.F.; Fahl, F. Biogas: Developments and perspectives in Europe. *Renew. Energy* **2018**, *129*, 457–472.
18. Weiland, P. Biogas Production: Current state and perspectives. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *85*, 849–860.
19. Khan, I.U.; Othman, M.H.D.; Hashim, H.; Matsuura, T.; Ismail, A.F.; Rezaei-DashtArzhandi, M.; Azelee, I.W. Biogas as a renewable energy fuel—A review of biogas upgrading, utilisation and storage. *Energy conversion and management*, **2017**, *150*, 277–294.
20. Esteves, B.; Sen, U.; Pereira, H. Influence of chemical composition on heating value of biomass: A review and bibliometric analysis. *Energies* **2023**, *16*, 4226.
21. Díaz, I.; Lopes, A.C.; Pérez, S.I.; Fdz-Polanco, M. Performance evaluation of oxygen, air and nitrate for the microaerobic removal of hydrogen sulfide in biogas from sludge digestion. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2010**, *101*, 7724–7730.
22. Alayi, R.; Shamel, A.; Kasaeian, A.; Harasii, H.; Topchlar, M.A. The role of biogas to sustainable development (aspects environmental, security and economic). *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* **2016**, *8*, 112–118.
23. Akter, H.; Howlader, H.O.R.; Nakadomari, A.; Islam, M.R.; Saber, A.Y.; Senjyu, T. A short assessment of renewable energy for optimal sizing of 100% renewable energy based microgrids in remote islands of developing countries: A case study in Bangladesh. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 1084.
24. Saracevic, E.; Frühauf, S.; Miltner, A.; Karnpakdee, K.; Munk, B.; Lebuhn, M.; Wlcek, B.; Leber, J.; Lizasoain, J.; Friedl, A.; Gronauer, A.; Bauer, A. Utilization of food and agricultural residues for a flexible biogas production: process stability and effects on needed biogas storage capacities. *Energies* **2019**, *12*, 2678.
25. Friedlingstein, P.; O'sullivan, M.; Jones, M.W.; Andrew, R.M.; Hauck, J.; Olsen, A.; Zaehle, S. Global carbon budget. *Earth Sys. Sci. Data* **2020**, *12*, 3269–3340.
26. Korberg, A.D.; Skov, I.R.; Mathiesen, B.V. The role of biogas and biogas-derived fuels in a 100% renewable energy system in Denmark. *Energy*, **2020**, *199*, 117426.

27. Bhattacharjee, S.; Miah, M.Y.; Sazzad, M.H. *Bio-fuel and bio-fertilizer from municipal solid waste: conversion of waste management problem into a renewable energy generating solution*. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, London, United Kingdom, 2013.
28. Abbasi, G.; Khoshalhan, F.; Hosseini-zhad, S.J. Municipal solid waste management and energy production: A multi-objective optimization approach to incineration and biogas waste-to-energy supply chain. *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess.* **2022**, *54*, 102809.
29. Kiselev, A.; Magaril, E.; Magaril, R.; Panepinto, D.; Ravina, M.; Zanetti, M.C. Towards circular economy: Evaluation of sewage sludge biogas solutions. *Resources*, **2019**, *8*, 91.
30. Pilarska, A.A.; Pilarski, K.; Wolna-Maruwka, A.; Boniecki, P.; Zaborowicz, M. Use of confectionery waste in biogas production by the anaerobic digestion process. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 37.
31. Makara L.; Lytour L.; Chanmakara, M. *Practical biogas plant development handbook: Potential Biogas resources, Legal Review, and Good Practice of Biogas Construction in Cambodia*. Biogas Technology and Information Center (BTIC), Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 2021.
32. Deublein, D.; Steinhauser, A. *Biogas from Waste and Renewable Resources*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2011.
33. Kabalci, E. *Hybrid renewable energy systems and microgrids*. Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020.
34. Amani, T.; Nosrati, M.; Sreekrishnan, T.R. Anaerobic digestion from the viewpoint of microbiological, chemical, and operational aspects – A review. *Environ. Rev.* **2010**, *18*, 255–278.
35. Monson, K.; Esteves, S.; Guwy, A. Dinsdale R. *Anaerobic digestion of biodegradable municipal wastes: a review*. University of Glamorgan, Pontypridd, United Kingdom, 2007.
36. Ghodrat, A.G.; Tabatabaei, M.; Aghbashlo, M.; Mussatto, S.I. Waste Management Strategies; the State of the Art. In Tabatabaei, M. and Ghanavati, H. (Eds.), *Biogas. Fundamentals, Process and Operation*. Springer; *Biofuel Bioprod. Biorefin.* 2018, *6*, 1–33.
37. Li, Y.; Hu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Zhou, Q.; Chen, J.; Zhang, P. Optimization of biogas production from agricultural residues using response surface methodology. *Energy Convers. Manag.* **2019**, *181*, 432–442.
38. Zhang, L.; Sun, Y.; Xi, B.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y. Effect of feedstock composition on anaerobic digestion and biogas production from agricultural residues. *Energy*, **2017**, *120*, 668–677.
39. Ndiweni, P.N.; Gueguim Kana, E.B.; Gueguim Kana, J.B. Comparative study of the biogas potential of selected agricultural waste: Cattle manure, poultry droppings and maize cobs. *Renew. Energy*, **2019**, *132*, 1353–1358.
40. Kifukwe, G.R. *Thinking outside the box: a case for promoting the charcoal industry in Tanzania*. UONGOZI Institute, Dodoma, Tanzania, 2013.
41. The biodigestion process. In: Kunz, A.; Steinmetz, R.L.R.; do Amaral, A.C. *Fundamentals of anaerobic digestion, biogas purification, use and treatment of digestate*. The Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Embrapa) Brasilia, Brazil, 2022, (pp. 13–26).
42. Kythreotou, N.; Florides, G.; Tassou, S.A. A review of simple to scientific models for anaerobic digestion. *Renew. Energy*, **2014**, *71*, 701–714.
43. Ward, A.J.; Hobbs, P.J.; Holliman, P.J.; Jones, D.L. Optimisation of the anaerobic digestion of agricultural resources. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2008**, *99*, 7928–7940.
44. Xue, S.; Wang, Y.; Lyu, X.; Zhao, N.; Song, J.; Wang, X.; Yang, G. Interactive effects of carbohydrate, lipid, protein composition and carbon/nitrogen ratio on biogas production of different food wastes. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2020**, *312*, 123566.
45. Chandra, R.; Takeuchi, H.; Hasegawa, T. Methane production from lignocellulosic agricultural crop wastes: A review in context to second generation of biofuel production. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2012**, *16*, 1462–1476.
46. Gkamarazi, N. *Implementing anaerobic digestion for municipal solid waste treatment: challenges and prospects*. In International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, CEST, Rhodes, Greece, 2015 (pp. 3–5).
47. De Mes, T.Z.D.; Stams, A.J.M.; Reith, J.H.; Zeeman, G. Methane production by anaerobic digestion of wastewater and solid wastes. In: *Bio-methane & Bio-hydrogen Status and perspectives of biological methane and hydrogen production* (Ed. by Reith, J.H.; Wijffels, R.H.; Barten, H.), Dutch Biological Hydrogen Foundation, Petten, Netherlands, 2003, (pp. 58–102).

48. Pilarska, A.A.; Pilarski, K.; Wolna-Maruwka, A. Cell immobilization on lignin–polyvinylpyrrolidone material used for anaerobic digestion of waste wafers and sewage sludge. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2019**, *36*, 478–490.
49. Kalyuzhnyi, S.; Veeken, A.; Hamelers, B. Two-particle model of anaerobic solid-state fermentation. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *41*, 43–50.
50. Pilarska, A.A. Anaerobic co-digestion of waste wafers from the confectionery production with sewage sludge. *Polish J. Environ. Stud.* **2018**, *27*, 237–245.
51. Wellinger, A.; Murphy, J.D.; Baxter, D. (Eds.) *The biogas handbook: science, production and applications*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Holandia, 2013.
52. Khalid, A.; Arshad, M.; Anjum, M.; Mahmood, T.; Dawson, L. The anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste. *Waste Manage.* **2011**, *31*, 1737–1744.
53. Pilarska, A.A.; Pilarski, K.; Waliszewska, B.; Zborowska, M.; Witaszek, K.; Waliszewska, H.; Kolasiński, M.; Szwarc-Rzepka, K. Evaluation of bio-methane yields for high-energy organic waste and sewage sludge: A pilot-scale study for a wastewater treatment plant. *Environ. Eng. Manag. J.* **2019**, *18*, 2023–2034.
54. Moset, V.; Poulsen, M.; Wahid, R.; Højberg, O.; Møller, H.B. Mesophilic versus thermophilic anaerobic digestion of cattle manure: methane productivity and microbial ecology. *Microbial Biotechnol.* **2015**, *8*, 787–800.
55. Kreuger, E.; Nges, I.A.; Björnsson, L. Ensiling of crops for biogas production: effects on methane yield and total solids determination. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **2011**, *4*, 1–8.
56. Kothari, R.; Pandey, A.K.; Kumar, S.; Tyagi, V.V.; Tyagi, S.K. Different aspects of dry anaerobic digestion for bio-energy: An overview. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2014**, *39*, 174–195.
57. Launay, C.; Houot, S.; Frédéric, S.; Girault, R.; Levavasseur, F.; Marsac, S.; Constantin, J. Incorporating energy cover crops for biogas production into agricultural systems: benefits and environmental impacts. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **2022**, *42*, 57.
58. Friehe, J.; Weiland, P.; Schattauer, A. *Guide to biogas – from production to use*. Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e. V. (FNR) with support of the Federal Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Consumer Protection Gülzow, Niemcy, 2010.
59. Ghosh, P., Shah, G., Sahota, S., Singh, L., & Vijay, V. K. (2020). Biogas production from waste: Technical overview, progress, and challenges. *Bioreactors*, 89–104.
60. Pilarska, A.A.; Pilarski, K.; Witaszek, K.; Waliszewska, H.; Zborowska, M.; Waliszewska, B.; Kolasiński, M.; Szwarc-Rzepka, K. Treatment of dairy waste by anaerobic co-digestion with sewage sludge. *Ecol. Chem. Eng. S.* **2016**, *23*, 99–115.
61. Abatzoglou, N.; Boivin, S.A review of biogas purification processes. *Bioreactors, Bioprod. Biorefin.* **2009**, *3*, 42–71.
62. Hendrickson, R.G.; Chang, A.; Hamilton, R.J. Co-worker fatalities from hydrogen sulfide. *Am. J. Ind. Med.* **2004**, *45*, 346–350.
63. Ni, J.Q.; Heber, A.J.; Diehl, C.A.; Lim, T.T.; Duggirala, R.K.; Haymore, B.L. *Burst releases of hydrogen sulfide in mechanically ventilated swine buildings*. In Conference Proceedings Odors and VOC Emissions; Water Environment Federation, Cincinnati, United States, 2000 (pp. 16–19).
64. Habeeb, O.A.; Kanthasamy, R.; Ali, G.A.; Sethupathi, S.; Yunus, R.B.M. Hydrogen sulfide emission sources, regulations, and removal techniques: a review. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *34*, 837–854.
65. Garcia-Arriaga, V.; Alvarez-Ramirez, J.; Amaya, M.; Sosa, E. H₂S and O₂ influence on the corrosion of carbon steel immersed in a solution containing 3 M diethanolamine. *Corros. Sci.* **2010**, *52*, 2268–2279.
66. Latha, K.M.; Badarinarayana, K.V.S. Correlation between black carbon aerosols, carbon monoxide and tropospheric ozone over a tropical urban site. *Atmos. Res.* **2004**, *71*, 265–274.
67. He, P.J. Anaerobic digestion: an intriguing long history in China. *Waste Manage.* **2010**, *30*, 549–550.
68. Bond, T.; Templeton, M.R. History and future of domestic biogas plants in the developing world. *Energy Sustain. Dev.* **2011**, *15*, 347–354.
69. Chodkowska-Miszczuk, J.; Szymańska, D. Agricultural biogas plants – A chance for diversification of agriculture in Poland. In: *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2013**, *20*, 514–518.
70. Prakash, O.; Anil, K.; Pandey, A.; Kumara, A.; Laguria, V. A review on biogas plant. *Int. J. New Technol. Sci. Eng.* **2015**, *2*, 2349–0780.
71. Li, J.; Kong, C.; Duan, Q.; Luo, T.; Mei, Z.; Lei, Y. Mass flow and energy balance plus economic analysis of a full-scale biogas plant in the rice–wine–pig system. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2015**, *193*, 62–67.

72. Arnold, K. Greenhouse gas balance of bio-methane—which substrates are suitable? *Energy Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 1, 67–75.
73. Bai, D.; Jain, V.; Tripathi, M.; Ali, S.A.; Shabbir, M.S.; Mohamed, M.A.; & Ramos-Meza, C.S. Performance of biogas plant analysis and policy implications: Evidence from the commercial sources. *Energy Policy*, **2022**, 169, 113173.
74. Scholwin, F.; Nelles, M. *Energy flows in biogas plants: Analysis and implications for plant design*. In The biogas handbook, Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, United Kingdom, 2013 (pp. 212-227).
75. Hakawati, R.; Smyth, B.M.; McCullough, G.; De Rosa, F.; Rooney, D. What is the most energy efficient route for biogas utilization: heat, electricity or transport. *Appl. Energy*, **2017**, 206, 1076–1087.
76. Norm VDI 4630; *Fermentation of Organic Materials Characterization of the Substrate, Sampling, Collection of Material Data, Fermentation Tests*. German Engineers Club: Düsseldorf, Germany, 2006.
77. Curkowski, A.; Oniszk-Popławska, A.; Mroczkowski, P.; Owsik, M.; Wiśniewski, G. *A guide for investors interested in construction of agricultural biogas plants*. Institute for Renewable Energy, Warsaw, Poland, 2011.
78. Kimuyu, P.; Mutua, J.; Wainaina, J. *Role of renewable energy in promoting inclusive and sustainable development in Kenya*. European Report on Development. Overseas Development Institute (ODI) in partnership with the Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik (DIE) and the European Centre for Development Policy Management (ECDPM). London, United Kingdom, 2011/2012.
79. Paolini, V.; Petracchini, F.; Segreto, M.; Tomassetti, L.; Naja, N.; Cecinato, A. Environmental impact of biogas: A short review of current knowledge. *J. Environ. Sci. Health A* **2018**, 53, 899–906.
80. Wiśniewska, M. Methods of assessing odour emissions from biogas plants processing municipal waste. *J. Ecol. Eng.* **2020**, 21, 140–147.
81. Nsair, A.; Onen Cinar, S.; Allassali, A.; Abu Qdais, H.; Kuchta, K. Operational parameters of biogas plants: A review and evaluation study. *Energies*, **2020**, 13, 3761.
82. Wu, D.; Peng, X.; Li, L.; Yang, P.; Peng, Y.; Liu, H.; Wang, X. Commercial biogas plants: Review on operational parameters and guide for performance optimization. *Fuel*, **2021**, 303, 121282.
83. Dach, J.; Boniecki, P.; Przybył, J.; Janczak, D.; Lewicki, A.; Czekala W.; Witaszek K.; Rodríguez Carmona P.C.; Cieślík M. Energetic efficiency analysis of the agricultural biogas plant in 250 kWe experimental installation. *Energy*, **2014**, 69, 34–38.
84. Sarker, S.A.; Wang, S.; Adnan, K.M.; Sattar, M.N. Economic feasibility and determinants of biogas technology adoption: evidence from Bangladesh. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2020**, 123, 109766.
85. Salerno, M.; Gallucci, Frances, C.; Pari, L.; Zambon, I.; Sarri, D.; Colantoni, A., Costs-benefits analysis of a small-scale biogas plant and electric energy production. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.* **2017**, 23, 357–362.
86. Klimek, K.; Kaplan, M.; Syrotyuk, S.; Bakach, N.; Kapustin, N.; Konieczny, R.; Dobrzyński, J.; Borek, K.; Anders, D.; Dybek, B.; Karwacka, A.; Wałowski, G. Investment model of agricultural biogas plants for individual farms in Poland. *Energies*, **2020**, 14, 7375.
87. Menind, A.; Olt, J. *Biogas plant investment analysis, cost benefit and main factors*. In Proceedings of the 8th International Scientific Conference Engineering for Rural Development, Jelgava, Latvia, 2009 (pp. 28-29).
88. Kalinichenko, A.; Havrysh, V.; Perebyynis, V. Evaluation of biogas production and usage potential. *Ecol. Chem. Eng. S* **2016**, 23, 387–400.
89. Skovsgaard, L.; Jacobsen, H.K. Economies of scale in biogas production and the significance of flexible regulation. *Energy Policy* **2017**, 101, 77–89.
90. Carlsson, M.; Lagerkvist, A.; Morgan-Sagastume, F. The effects of substrate pre-treatment on anaerobic digestion systems: a review. *Waste Manage.* **2012**, 32, 1634–1650.
91. Igliński, B.; Buczkowski, R.; Iglińska, A.; Cichosz, M.; Piechota, G.; Kujawski, W. Agricultural biogas plants in Poland: Investment process, economical and environmental aspects, biogas potential. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2012**, 16, 4890–4900.
92. Boniecki, P.; Nowakowski, K.; Ślósarz, P.; Dach, J.; Pilarski, K. *Neural image analysis for estimating aerobic and anaerobic decomposition of organic matter based on the example of straw decomposition*. 4th International Conference on Digital Image Processing, Proceedings of SPIE, vol. 8334, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 2012.
93. Bhatt, A.H.; Tao, L. Economic perspectives of biogas production via anaerobic digestion. *Bioengineering* **2020**, 7, 74.
94. Capodaglio, A.G.; Callegari, A.; Lope, M.V. European framework for the diffusion of biogas uses: emerging technologies, acceptance, incentive strategies, and institutional-regulatory support. *Sustainability* **2016**, 8, 298.

95. Szymańska, D.; Lewandowska, A. Biogas power plants in Poland – structure, capacity, and spatial distribution. *Sustainability* **2015**, *7*, 16801–16819.
96. Pizarro-Loaiza, C.A.; Antón, A.; Torrellas, M.; Torres-Lozada, P.; Palatsi, J.; Bonmatí, A. Environmental, social and health benefits of alternative renewable energy sources. Case study for household biogas digesters in rural areas. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2021**, *297*, 126722.
97. van Foreest, F. *Perspectives for Biogas in Europe*. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies. Oxford, United Kingdom, 2012.
98. Lewandowski, I.; Weger, J.; Van Hooijdonk, A.; Havlickova, K.; Van Dam, J.; Faaij, A. The potential biomass for energy production in the Czech Republic. *Biomass Bioenerg.* **2006**, *30*, 405–421.
99. Chasnyk, O.; Sołowski, G.; Shkarupa, O. Historical, technical and economic aspects of biogas development: Case of Poland and Ukraine. *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.* **2015**, *52*, 227–239.
100. Bednarek, A.; Klepacka, A.M.; Siudek, A. Development barriers of agricultural biogas plants in Poland. *Econ. Environ.* **2023**, *1*, 229–258.
101. Monjurul Hasan A.S.M.; Kabir, M.A.; Hoq, M.T.; Johansson M.T.; Thollander, P. Drivers and barriers to the implementation of biogas technologies in Bangladesh. *Biofuels* **2022**, *13*, 643–655.
102. Situmeang, R.; Mazancová, J.; Roubík, H. Technological, economic, social and environmental barriers to adoption of small-scale biogas plants: Case of Indonesia energies. **2022**, *15*, 5105.
103. Ghimire, P.C. SNV supported domestic biogas programmes in Asia and Africa. *Renew. energy* **2013**, *49*, 90–94.
104. Bensah, E.C.; Mensah, M.; Antwi, E. Status and prospects for household biogas plants in Ghana – lessons, barriers, potential, and way forward. *Int. J. Energ. Environ.* **2011**, *2*, 887–898.
105. Clemens, H.; Bailis, R.; Nyambane, A.; Ndung'u, V. Africa biogas partnership program: A review of clean cooking implementation through market development in East Africa. *Energ. Sustain. Develop.* **2018**, *46*, 23–31.
106. Shane, A.; Gheewala, S.H.; Kasali, G. Potential, barriers and prospects of biogas production in Zambia. *J. Sustain. Energ. Environ.* **2015**, *6*, 21–27.
107. ICF International, Inc. *The DHS program STAT compiler*, ICF (Inner City Fund) International, Reston, United States, 2015.
108. IRENA, *Biogas for domestic cooking: Technology brief*. IRENA (International Renewable Energy Agency), Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, 2017.
109. Putti, V.R.; Tsan, M.; Mehta, S.; Kammila, S. *The state of the global clean and improved cooking sector*. ESMAP technical paper: no. 007/15 Washington, DC: World Bank, Washington, United States, 2015.
110. Zuzhang, X. *Domestic biogas in a changing China: Can biogas still meet the energy needs of China's rural households?* International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED), Road, London, United Kingdom, 2013.
111. Wang, X.; Lu, X.; Yang, G.; Feng, Y.; Ren, G.; Han, X. Development process and probable future transformations of rural biogas in China. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **2016**, *55*, 703–712.
112. Mustafayev, F.; Kulawczuk, P.; Orobello, C. Renewable energy status in Azerbaijan: Solar and wind potentials for future development. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 401.
113. Vidadili, N.; Suleymanov, E.; Bulut, C.; Mahmudlu, C. Transition to renewable energy and sustainable energy development in Azerbaijan. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2017**, *80*, 1153–1161.
114. Hasanov, F.J.; Mukhtarov, S.; Suleymanov, E. The role of renewable energy and total factor productivity in reducing CO₂ emissions in Azerbaijan. Fresh insights from a new theoretical framework coupled with Autometrics. *Energy Strategy Rev.* **2023**, *47*, 101079.
115. Felver, T.B. How can Azerbaijan meet its Paris Agreement commitments: assessing the effectiveness of climate change-related energy policy options using LEAP modeling. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, e04697.
116. Gurbanov, S. Role of natural gas consumption in the reduction of CO₂ emissions: case of Azerbaijan. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 7695.
117. Mao, C.; Feng, Y.; Wang, X.; Ren, G. Review on research achievements of biogas from anaerobic digestion. *Renew. Sustain. Energ. Rev.* **2015**, *45*, 540–555.
118. Náthia-Neves, G.; Berni, M.; Dragone, G.; Mussatto, S.I.; Forster-Carneiro, T. Anaerobic digestion process: technological aspects and recent developments. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *15*, 2033–2046.
119. Meng, Z.; He, J.; Xu, D. How do peer effects affect the transformation of farmers' willingness and behavior to adopt biogas. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2023**, *415*, 137857.

120. Budzianowski W. A review of potential innovations for production, conditioning and utilization of biogas with multiple-criteria assessment. *Renew. Sustain. Energ. Rev.* **2016**, *54*, 1148–1171.
121. Nevzorova, T.; Kutcherov, V. Barriers to the wider implementation of biogas as a source of energy: A state-of-the-art review. *Energy Strategy Rev.* **2019**, *26*, 100414.
122. Ali, S.; Yan, Q.; Irfan, M.; Chen, Z. Evaluating barriers on biogas technology adoption in China: The moderating role of awareness and technology understanding. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *10*, Article 887084.
123. Pathak, H.; Jain, N.; Bhatia, A.; Mohanty, S.; Navindu Gupta, N. Global warming mitigation potential of biogas plants in India. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2009**, *157*, 407–418.
124. Torrijos, M. State of development of biogas production in Europe. International Conference on Solid Waste Management, 5IconSWM 2015. *Proced. Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *35*, 881–889.
125. Hall, P.; Jack, M. *Bioenergy Options for New Zealand. Pathways analysis*. Scion, Energy Group, Rotorua, New Zealand, 2008.
126. Carlu, E.; Truong, T.; Kundlevski, M. *Biogas opportunities for Australia*. ENEA Consulting, Paris, France, 2019.
127. Umetsu, K.; Ying, C.; Kikuchi, S.; Iwasaki, M.; Takeuchi, Y.; Oi, M.; Shiroishi, K.; Uematsu, S.; Yasui, S. Integration of centralized biogas plant in cold-snowy region in Japan. *Biotechnol. Anim. Husb.* **2011**, *27*, 405–414.
128. Beck P. *The Market for biogas plants in Japan and opportunities for EU companies*. Osnabrueck, Germany ECOS GmbH, and EU-Japan Centre for industrial cooperation, Tokyo, Japan, 2021.
129. Kim, Y.S.; Yoon, Y.M.; Kim, C.H.; Giersdorf, J. Status of biogas technologies and policies in South Korea. *Renew. Sustain. Energ. Rev.* **2012**, *16*, 3430–3438.
130. Granado, R.L.; de Souza Antune, A.M.; da Fonseca, F.V.; Sánchez, A.; Raquel Barrena, R.; Font, X. Technology overview of biogas production in anaerobic digestion plants: A european evaluation of research and development. *Renew. Sustain. Energ. Rev.* **2017**, *80*, 44–53.
131. Theuerl, S.; Herrmann, C.; Heiermann, M.; Grundmann, P.; Landwehr, N.; Kreidenweis, U.; Prochnow, A. The future agricultural biogas plant in Germany: A vision. *Energies* **2019**, *12*, 396.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.