

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Jananeta Bhimbora Deori: A Critical Review of His Life, Political Legacy, and Enduring Impact on the Integration of Assam

[Bhuban De Brook](#)* and Xavy Borgohain

Posted Date: 5 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.0387.v1

Keywords: bhimbora deori; indian independence movement; partition of India; tribal rights; plain tribes of assam; all assam plains tribal league; anti-influx movement; nationalism; indigenous rights; northeast India



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Jananeta Bhimbor Deori: A Critical Review of His Life, Political Legacy, and Enduring Impact on the Integration of Assam

Bhuban De Brook * and Xavy Borgohain *

Jawaharlal Nehru College Pasighat, East Siang-791103, Arunachal Pradesh, India

* Correspondence: drbhubanbrook@gmail.com (B.D.B.); xavy.chem@gmail.com (X.B.)

Abstract

Bhimbor Deori (1903-1947) remains a pivotal yet insufficiently explored figure in the history of India's struggle for independence and the political evolution of Assam. A multifaceted individual-lawyer, tribal rights advocate, parliamentarian, and nationalist leader, Deori played a crucial role in mobilising the plain tribal communities of Assam and was instrumental in countering colonial and Muslim League efforts to incorporate the province into the proposed state of Pakistan. This review synthesises the available biographical, historical, and political information to construct a comprehensive profile of the Deori. It critically examines his early life and the discriminatory incident that catalysed his public career, his foundational role in institutionalising tribal politics through the Assam Backwards Plains Tribal League, his tenure as a Legislative Councillor and Minister, and his strategic collaboration with Gopinath Bordoloi. This article analyses a significant duality in his legacy: his simultaneous advocacy for Indigenous self-determination and his unwavering commitment to a unified Indian nation. It also interrogates the ideological tensions between his advocacy for tribal "homelands" and his Indian nationalism. Finally, this article identifies significant gaps in the existing scholarship, which relies heavily on commemorative sources, and proposes concrete avenues for future archival and critical research to fully integrate Jananeta Bhimbor Deori into the broader historiography of modern South Asia.

Keywords: bhimbor deori; indian independence movement; partition of India; tribal rights; plain tribes of assam; all assam plains tribal league; anti-influx movement; nationalism; indigenous rights; northeast India

1. Introduction: The Need for a Critical Historiography

The prevailing narrative of India's independence movement, although rich and diverse, often functions within a stratified system of historical memory. While the contributions of national-level leaders are meticulously documented, the critical roles played by regional and community-based figures frequently remain marginalised, preserved in local memory and commemorative literature, yet absent from mainstream academic discourse. Bhimbor Deori, a leader of exceptional political acumen and nationalist fervour from Assam, exemplifies this historiographical oversight. Revered as "Jananeta" (People's Leader), Deori's life and work intersected with some of the most pressing issues of his time: the political marginalisation of Indigenous tribal communities, the struggle for land and educational rights, the complexities of provincial autonomy under colonial rule, and the existential threat of the Partition. His most significant yet least critically examined achievement was his strategic role in ensuring that Assam remained an integral part of the Indian Union, directly challenging the powerful forces advocating its merger with East Pakistan. This review article aims to transcend hagiographic accounts to provide a critical and analytical synthesis of Bhimbor Deori's life and legacy (See [1–6]). It seeks to position him not merely as a local tribal leader but as a sophisticated political strategist and nationalist who successfully bridged subregional identity politics with the pan-Indian

anticolonial project. By critically examining available sources, including encyclopedia entries, news reports, and commemorative articles, this review traces his political evolution, analyses the key moments of his career, and interrogates the nuanced, at times seemingly contradictory aspects of his political ideology. In doing so, it aspires to lay the groundwork for a more rigorous scholarly engagement with his life and times (See [7–13]).

2. Formative Years and the Making of a Leader (1903-1932)

2.1. Socio-Economic and Cultural Background

Bhimbor Deori was born on May 16, 1903, into a family belonging to the Deori tribe in the village of Wanidihing, located in the Sivasagar district of Upper Assam. The Deori community, historically linked with priestly functions within the Ahom kingdom, had become a marginalised group by the early 20th century, confronting various socio-economic challenges. His parents, Godaram Deori and Bajoti Deori, were engaged in agriculture, which immersed young Bhimbor in the realities of rural, tribal life. This background later contributed to his profound understanding of the issues confronting Indigenous agrarian communities.

2.2. Educational Journey and the "Turning Point"

Deori's academic journey was characterised by remarkable potential. He completed his early education in Sivasagar in 1925 before relocating to the intellectual centre of Guwahati to attend the esteemed Cotton College. There, he completed his Intermediate Arts (I.A.) in 1927 and his Bachelor of Arts (B.A.) in 1929. His pursuit of higher education, an uncommon endeavour for individuals from his community at the time, led him to Calcutta, then the epicentre of British Indian political and intellectual activity. In 1931, he obtained his law degree, thereby acquiring the skills necessary for legal advocacy that would later define his public life. A significant and deeply formative event occurred in 1932 when Deori sat for the Assam Provincial Civil Service examination and achieved the highest rank, demonstrating his intellectual prowess. However, the colonial administration declined to appoint him. While the official reasons remain obscured in colonial records, contemporary accounts and historical consensus suggest that this rejection was due to racial and caste-based prejudices against his tribal identity. This incident was profoundly disillusioning, exposing the structural discrimination inherent in the colonial state. It served as the catalyst that transformed him from an aspiring civil servant into a public intellectual and political activist. He subsequently established a successful legal practice in Dibrugarh, which he utilised to challenge the system that had denied him entry.

3. Institutionalising Tribal Politics: All Assam Plains Tribal League (1933-1946)

Deori's involvement in organised politics was not merely an exercise in narrow identity politics; rather, it was a strategic initiative aimed at establishing a collective bargaining platform for marginalised communities within the context of constitutional reform and the anti-colonial struggle.

3.1. Founding and Vision

In 1933, Deori convened a historic meeting in Roha, Nagaon, which led to the founding of the All Assam Plains Tribal League. As its founding General Secretary, he provided the organisational structure and ideological direction for the nascent movement. The League was a pioneering institution, arguably the first modern political organisation to represent the diverse plain tribal communities of Assam. Its formation must be understood in the context of the Government of India Act 1935, which expanded the electorate and provincial autonomy, making organised political representation essential.

3.2. Core Agitations and Ideological Tenets

Under Deori's leadership, the League's activism focused on three interconnected fronts:

- **Land Rights and the Anti-Influx Movement:** Deori identified the protection of indigenous land as the cornerstone of tribal survival and economic prosperity in Assam. He vociferously campaigned against the steady migration of peasants from East Bengal (present-day Bangladesh), which altered the province's demographic and land-use patterns. He demanded that the government reserve land for indigenous Assamese families through the allotment of "land pattas." His support for the "Line System," a colonial administrative mechanism that segregates tribal areas from migrant settlement zones, was rooted in his advocacy for the economic security of his people. In the Assam Legislative Council in 1941, he famously argued that "Assam is not a charitable place" for the landless of other provinces, framing the issue in terms of finite resources and the rights of the indigenous population.
- **Education as Emancipation:** Deori recognized that political rights without education were hollow. He tirelessly campaigned for the expansion of primary education in the tribal belts. He used his position in the legislature to critique ineffective government programs, such as raising concerns about "improper mass literacy campaigns" in 1943. He also fought against social discrimination, advocating for the right of tribal students to dine in common hostel mess halls and challenging deep-seated social prejudices.
- **Political Representation and Legislative Advocacy:** The League's most concrete achievement was the successful demand for reserved seats for plain tribal communities in the Assam Legislative Assembly. Initially, 10 seats were reserved, a number that increased in subsequent elections, ensuring a guaranteed political voice for the community. Deori's own legislative career began with his nomination to the Assam Legislative Council by the Governor in 1939. In this forum, he was a prolific and effective voice, raising questions on a wide range of issues from revenue policy to prison conditions, always with an eye on the welfare of the common person and marginalized.

4. The Nationalist Crucible: Preventing the Partition of Assam (1946-1947)

Although Deori was a champion of tribal rights, his political vision was never parochial. He was a staunch nationalist, and his greatest contribution to Indian history was his strategic role in defeating the plan to include Assam in Pakistan's territory.

4.1. The Threat of the Cabinet Mission Plan

The Cabinet Mission Plan of 1946 proposed a three-tiered federal structure for India, including a controversial "grouping" scheme. This scheme threatened to group Assam with the Muslim-majority province of Bengal in Section C, a move widely interpreted by Assamese leaders as a blueprint for the province's absorption into a future Pakistan. This was not merely a theoretical threat; it was a tangible political conspiracy perceived by leaders like Deori and Gopinath Bordoloi as a direct attempt by the British and the Muslim League to sever Assam from India.

4.2. The Bordoloi-Deori Alliance and Political Strategy

In this high-stakes political battle, Deori forged a powerful and decisive alliance with Gopinath Bordoloi, the Premier of Assam and a key Congress leader. This alliance was politically astute: it united the dominant Congress party with the organized political force of plain tribal communities. It signaled to the British and the Muslim League that opposition to the grouping scheme was not a sectional interest but a unified stance representing a broad cross-section of Assamese society.

Deori's role was multifaceted:

- **Parliamentary Opposition:** He used his position in the legislature to articulate the dangers of the grouping scheme, framing it as a betrayal of both tribal communities and the Assamese nation.
- **Public Mobilization:** He travelled extensively, rallying public opinion against the plan and countering Muslim League propaganda.
- **Confronting the Leadership:** Reports from the period, though lacking primary documentation, suggest that Deori was part of delegations that met with national leaders, including Mahatma Gandhi and Sardar Patel. He is famously remembered for directly challenging Muhammad Ali Jinnah's narrative, asserting that Jinnah "would not be able to snatch Assam from the heart of India".

The efforts of Bordoloi, Deori, and other Assamese leaders were ultimately successful in achieving the goal. They convinced the Congress high command and the British government of the disastrous consequences of the grouping scheme, leading to its abandonment and ensuring that Assam remained a part of the Indian Union.

4.3. *The Khasi Darbar Hall Resolutions: An Ideological Paradox*

Any critical review of Deori politics must grapple with a significant and complex episode: the **Khasi Darbar Hall Resolutions** of March 1945. At this meeting, indigenous leaders from various ethnic groups, including Deori, passed resolutions asserting their right to self-determination and called for the restoration of their "independent homelands" from what they termed "Indian occupation."

This event presents an apparent ideological paradox. How could the same leader, who was fighting to keep Assam within India, also advocate for "independent homelands" free from "Indian occupation"? This tension is central to a nuanced understanding of Deori politics. Several interpretations are possible.

- **Strategic Bargaining:** This could be interpreted as a strategic political move—a way to assert maximum autonomy for tribal areas within a future Indian federation, using the threat of separatism as a bargaining chip.
- **Dual Loyalties:** This may reflect a genuine duality in his ideology: a deep commitment to tribal self-rule and cultural preservation, coupled with a pragmatic recognition that the best guarantee for those rights lay within a secular, democratic Indian state rather than a theocratic Pakistan.
- **Contextual Specificity:** The resolutions may have been a response to specific colonial policies or a particular moment of frustration with the Indian National Congress's approach to tribal autonomy.

This episode remains under-researched and is a crucial area for future scholarly inquiry to fully understand the complexities of Deori's political thought.

5. Final Years, Legacy, and Historiographical Gaps

In recognition of his leadership, Bhimbor Deori was appointed Minister for Forests and Labour in the pre-independence Assam Cabinet on July 8, 1946, serving under Premier Gopinath Bordoloi. However, his ministerial tenure was tragically cut short. He passed away on November 30, 1947, at the age of 44, just months after securing independence.

5.1. *The Jananeta's Enduring Legacy*

Bhimbor Deori's legacy is profound. He is credited with mainstreaming tribal politics and ensuring that the concerns of plain tribal communities were not relegated to the periphery. His work with the Tribal League laid the groundwork for the eventual inclusion of his and other communities in the Scheduled Tribe List of the Indian Constitution. His unwavering nationalism, demonstrated in

the fight against the Partition, earned him the lasting gratitude of the people of Assam and the title of "Jananeta" from Bordoloi himself. Today, his memory is preserved through commemorative events, statues, and the establishment of the "Bhimbor Deuri Smriti Kshetra".

5.2. Avenues for Future Research

The current understanding of Deori, as reflected in this review, is largely derived from secondary, commemorative and non-scholarly sources. To move from veneration to critical history, future research must pursue the following avenues:

- **Archival Excavation:** A systematic search of the National Archives of India, Assam State Archives, and Nehru Memorial Museum and Library is essential. Researchers must locate his legislative speeches, personal correspondence (especially with Gopinath Bordoloi), and reports from the Tribal League's internal proceedings.
- **The Ideological Paradox:** A dedicated study is needed to analyze the "Khasi Darbar Hall Resolutions" and Deori's role in them. This would require triangulating the resolutions with his other public statements and political actions to understand the relationship between his tribal advocacy and nationalism.
- **Comparative Framework:** Deori's leadership should be studied comparatively with other tribal and marginalized-caste leaders from across India, such as Jaipal Singh Munda and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar. How did their strategies for securing community rights within the nation-state converge and diverge?
- **Socio-Economic Impact Assessment:** Beyond political representation, what was the tangible impact of his advocacy on land distribution, educational attainment, and economic conditions for plain tribal communities in the decades following his death?

6. Conclusions

Bhimbor Deori was far more than a local tribal leader. He was a modern political architect who successfully built institutions, forged strategic alliances, and navigated the treacherous currents of late colonial politics to secure a future for his community and homeland within a free India. His life encapsulates the complex interplay of identity, rights, and nationalism that characterized India's transition to independence. By critically examining his journey from a brilliant student denied opportunity to a "People's Leader" who helped thwart the Partition of Assam, this review sought to demonstrate his enduring significance. The task now is for a new generation of scholars to take up the archival spade, move beyond commemoration, and fully integrate the complex and consequential life of Jananeta Bhimbor Deori into the mainstream of South Asian historiography.

Data Availability Statement: No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there is not any competing interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable, as no human subjects were involved in this research.

Author Contributions: B. De Brook: Writing-Original draft, Preparation, Visualization, Investigation. X. Borgohain: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-Reviewing and Editing.

Funding: No funding, grants, or external support was received for the preparation of this manuscript.

Acknowledgments: Not applicable.

References

1. Baishya, L.C. and K. Das, *Identity Politics and Socio-Economic Change of the Bodos of Assam*. International Journal of English Language, Literature and Humanities, 2017. **106**.
2. Barbora, S., *Homeland insecurities: Autonomy, conflict, and migration in Assam*. 2022: Oxford University Press.
3. Barbora, S. and S. Sharma, *Survivors of Ethnic Conflict*. India Exclusion Report 2015, 2016: p. 206-228.
4. Basumatary, S. and J. Mushahary. Ethnic Assertion and Electoral Politics of the Bodo Tribe in Assam. in E3S Web of Conferences. 2023. EDP Sciences.
5. Boruah, B. and D. Phukon, Politics of Recognition in Assam: Language, Nationalism, and Minority Voices in a Multilingual State. Nationalism and Ethnic Politics, 2026: p. 1-32.
6. Chaturvedi, S. and S. Barbora, *Autonomies in the North and the North East*. 2005.
7. Deori, B., *Identity formation and political assertion among the deoris*. International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences, 2015. **2**(3): p. 5-10.
8. Deori, I., Bhimbor Deori Samu Jibonpanji in Boudhik Bishar. 2016, Guwahati.
9. Devi, N., *Territorial Autonomy and Asymmetrical Federalism in India: A Study of Bodoland Territorial Region*. 2022.
10. Dewri, D., *Demand for Autonomy by the Tiwas and the Rabhas in Assam and Constitution of Autonomous Councils*. 2016.
11. Gogoi, R., *Ethnic assertion among the Deori community of Assam*. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 2020.
12. Ray, A., *Cultural Cognition and Religious Affinity as Determinant Factors of Conservation Ethos: Case Study on Nokrek and Manas Biosphere Reserves*. 2016.
13. Sarma, T., *From Conflict to Accords: Role of Local Leadership in Peace Processes in Bodoland Territorial Council, Assam*. 2024.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.