Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Decentralizing Stability: How Blockchain and Renewable Energy Can Address Security Challenges in Benue State, Nigeria

Chukwunonso Simon Ugwu *

Posted Date: 30 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

Keywords: blockchain; renewable energy; electoral transparency; benue state; nigeria; digital governance; decentralization; conflict resolution; energy access; trust engineering; policy innovation; smart contracts; digital identity; community resilience; peacebuilding technology

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

Article

Decentralizing Stability: How Blockchain and Renewable Energy Can Address Security Challenges in Benue State, Nigeria

Chukwunonso Simon Ugwu

Independent Researcher – Blockchain Policy, Digital Democracy, Energy and Electoral Innovation in Sub-Saharan Africa; chukwunonso.ugwu@studio.unibo.it

Abstract

In certain regions like Benue State, Nigeria, years of violence, poor leadership, corruption, and lack of access to reliable electricity have left many people feeling forgotten. Public trust in government and institutions has worn thin, especially in rural and conflict-affected areas where promises rarely turn into progress. This article looks at a new ways that combines the power of blockchain technology with community-based renewable energy systems to rebuild transparency, stability, and local confidence. By using blockchain's secure and tamper-proof design alongside solar microgrids managed at the community level, this approach offers practical tools to make elections more credible, aid distribution more transparent, and land records more trustworthy. This probably will definitely encounter certain obstacles, which includes; political pushback to gaps in digital literacy and basic infrastructure, real barriers exist. That's why the paper outlines a policy roadmap tailored to local realities — one that prioritizes inclusion, education, and ethical implementation. The study reviewed some global pilot programs and focusing on what works in Nigeria's unique context, this article argues that technology alone isn't the solution, but when paired with thoughtful policy and people-centered design, it can help restore trust and resilience in fragile democratic systems.

Keywords: blockchain; renewable energy; electoral transparency; benue state; nigeria; digital governance; decentralization; conflict resolution; energy access; trust engineering; policy innovation; smart contracts; digital identity; community resilience; peacebuilding technology

UNDERSTANDING THE SECURITY LANDSCAPE IN BENUE STATE

Benue State is located in the North Central region of Nigeria. It is warmly called the "Food Basket of the Nation." It is known for it's agricultural abundance due to its fertile soil and wide farmlands, which have supported different families through growing crops and raising livestock. But behind this agricultural abundance, a different reality has taken hold.

Over the past ten years, Benue has sadly become one of the most fragile and insecure places in Nigeria. The once-thriving fields are now overshadowed by deep-seated conflicts—mainly between herders and farmers—fuelled by disputes over land use. As herders search for grazing land and farmers defend their crops, tensions flare up, worsened by climate change, increasing population, and weak policy enforcement (International Crisis Group, 2018; Kwaja & Aiyede, 2019; Okoli & Atelhe, 2014).

These tensions have turned deadly. Entire villages and communities have been attacked, lives lost, and families displaced. Over 1.5 million people across Benue and nearby states have been forced to flee their homes (UNHCR, 2023). The Internally Displaced Persons (IDP) camps, meant to be safe

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

havens, are facing their own struggles—many lack even the most basic needs like electricity, clean water, and proper security.

What's even more heartbreaking is the rising feeling of being forgotten. Old ways of settling conflicts are fading, and many people have lost faith in the government's ability or willingness to step in and help. Most official responses have Centered on military force and short-term aid. While these efforts matter, they rarely address the deeper, more complex roots of the violence: land pressure, weak institutions, and a lack of infrastructure (Adebayo, 2017; Human Rights Watch, 2020).

At the heart of it all is a deeper issue: communities lack the tools and systems to manage their resources fairly and safely. Without steady electricity, verifiable identity systems, or ways to monitor what's happening on the ground, people remain not just at risk of violence—but at risk of being forgotten. But what if there's another way? What if, instead of waiting for top-down solutions, communities could build their own resilience from the ground up?

This is where decentralized solutions like blockchain technology and renewable energy come in. Usually talked about on their own, when combined they create something truly powerful: a way to rebuild trust, bring light—both in homes and hope—to overlooked communities, and help nurture lasting peace in places like Benue.

THE ROLE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY IN STABILIZING CONFLICT ZONES

In many corners of Benue State, insecurity isn't only about gunfire or conflicts—it's also about darkness. Not just the nightfall kind, but the kind that comes from living without electricity. Without power, schools can't run properly, health clinics shut down, security posts sit empty, and small businesses never even get off the ground. It's a quiet crisis, but one that leaves communities vulnerable, cut off, and hard to protect (IRENA, 2021; Ojodomo & Atelhe, 2022).

Now, imagine what a bit of sunlight could do, not just as a metaphor, but in a real, everyday way. Solar microgrids could be the real game-changer. They don't depend on huge government plans or long waits. They're fast to install, community-friendly, and best of all, they can be owned and managed by the people who use them. In IDP camps and rural corners of Benue, even basic solar lighting could make nights safer, especially for women and girls. It could cut down gender-based violence and let kids keep learning, even after dark (UNDP Nigeria, 2020; Obiora et al., 2023).

But solar energy is more than just lighting up homes. It's about bringing back dignity. A solar-powered borehole means people can access clean water without having to walk for miles. A solar borehole means people get clean water without walking miles. A dependable microgrid means clinics can safely store vaccines. And with steady power, young people can start businesses, charge their phones, and log into online classes—all of which create a sense of purpose and reduce the desperation that often leads to violence.

What's even more encouraging is that these systems don't have to come from above. Communities can lead the charge. By forming energy cooperatives, they can decide together how to run and share electricity. This kind of local control builds ownership and trust—especially in areas where people have long felt abandoned by the state (Ayenigbara & Ajayi, 2021).

In a state like Benue, where conflict has destroyed both infrastructure and hope, renewable energy isn't just an environmental fix—it's a tool for rebuilding lives. It brings sparks of stability, safety, and self-reliance. And slowly, those sparks can grow into something brighter: a future built on resilience and real hope.

HOW BLOCKCHAIN CAN IMPROVE TRUST AND TRANSPARENCY

In conflict-affected regions like Benue, one of the biggest casualties—beyond human lives and livelihoods—is trust. Many communities no longer believe that relief materials will reach them, that

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

land rights will be honored, or that aid funds won't be diverted along the way. Here's where blockchain technology steps in—not just as a financial tool, but as a trust engine.

Blockchain, by design, is decentralized, immutable, and transparent. Every transaction recorded on a blockchain ledger is time-stamped and verifiable, making it nearly impossible to tamper with data without detection (Zyskind et al., 2015; Swan, 2015). This can be revolutionary for how relief aid, land ownership, voting, and community spending are managed in volatile environments like Benue.

For example, imagine a system where aid distribution is recorded on a blockchain ledger, visible to both donors and recipients. Every bag of rice, every solar panel, every naira—traceable from source to destination. This not only reduces corruption but restores confidence in humanitarian systems (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016; World Food Programme, 2019).

Blockchain can also play a role in digitizing land titles, helping to prevent land disputes, which are at the heart of many of Benue's conflicts. Using a secure and community-accessible ledger to record ownership can reduce tensions, as claims are auditable and less likely to be forged (Deininger & Byerlee, 2012; Lemieux, 2016).

Moreover, decentralized voting and feedback systems could be implemented at the community level, giving displaced persons or rural populations a secure, anonymous platform to voice needs or report issues—without fear of retaliation.

However, it's not just about the tech—it's about rebuilding trust in broken systems. Blockchain doesn't replace institutions; it enhances them when embedded properly in legal frameworks and supported by public education.

If Benue is to move from crisis to coordination, transparency must become non-negotiable. Blockchain offers a practical tool to help get there—especially when paired with inclusive policies and grassroots engagement.

RISKS, BARRIERS, AND POLITICAL RESISTANCE

Blockchain and renewable energy bring exciting hope for places like Benue. They could help rebuild trust, improve services, and give communities more say in shaping their futures. But let's be real—the path to making this vision come true won't be easy. Like any new technology, there are real hurdles to overcome, and some of them run deep.

One of the biggest barriers is the digital divide. In many rural areas of Benue, electricity and internet access are patchy, if not completely absent. If people can't reliably charge their phones or connect online, it's almost impossible for them to use blockchain-based tools—no matter how secure or efficient those tools may be (World Bank, 2021; Ajayi, 2020). On top of that, many residents—especially older adults or those displaced by conflict—aren't familiar with digital platforms or mobile apps. Without patient, community-based training and support, these innovations might end up helping only a few, while leaving others behind (Ayoade, 2022).

There's also the challenge of cybersecurity. Even though blockchain is known for being secure, it's not perfect. Smart contracts can have glitches, smaller blockchain networks can still be hacked, and scammers are always coming up with new tricks to fool people online. In fragile regions where regulation is weak, one security breach could quickly undo hard-earned trust (Conti et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020).

But maybe the toughest obstacle isn't about tech at all—it's political. In places where corruption, election fraud, or patronage have long held sway, transparency can feel like a threat. Blockchain makes transactions traceable. Renewable energy can bypass centralized control. For some in power, that kind of openness can be uncomfortable—and they may respond with resistance, delays, or even active sabotage (Cheeseman & Klaas, 2018; Onapajo, 2014).

Then there's the legal side of things. Nigeria's laws haven't quite caught up with these technologies yet. Blockchain-based land records or smart contracts for public spending don't

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

currently have official recognition. So even if the tech is ready, it can't go far without legal backing and strong institutions to support it (Okonkwo, 2020).

Still, none of this means we should give up. These aren't dead ends—they're challenges that can be worked through. What's needed is a thoughtful, inclusive approach: talking to communities, offering digital education, and running small pilot projects that show real value. With the right blend of local leadership, tech support, government involvement, and community participation, Benue can make real progress in turning innovation into lasting change.

A POLICY ROADMAP FOR DECENTRALIZED ELECTORAL AND ENERGY SYSTEMS

If technologies like blockchain and renewable energy are to make a real difference in Benue State—or anywhere similar—they must be backed by strong, inclusive, and forward-looking policies. It's not enough to deploy solar panels or install a blockchain ledger; these systems need legal recognition, community ownership, and long-term institutional support.

1. ***Update Electoral and Energy Legislation:***

Start by updating Nigeria's legal frameworks. The Electoral Act must be amended to recognize digital ballots, smart contracts, and blockchain-based audit trails as legitimate electoral tools (UNDP, 2021). Simultaneously, energy policy should support community-managed renewable microgrids, allowing rural cooperatives to generate and distribute electricity legally and sustainably (IRENA, 2021).

2. ***Create a Multi-Stakeholder Taskforce***

A dedicated Blockchain and Renewable Innovation Taskforce should be formed. This taskforce must include INEC, Ministry of Power, civil society, academic researchers, blockchain developers, and representatives from conflict-prone communities. Their job? To pilot, assess, and regulate the deployment of decentralized technologies for public service delivery—especially in sensitive regions like Benue (OECD, 2020).

3. ***Prioritize Community-First Design***

Tech solutions must be localized and inclusive. Any digital voting or energy project should begin with community consultations, focus groups, and town hall engagements. Local buy-in doesn't just reduce resistance—it also increases system sustainability (Madise & Martens, 2006). Training programs for digital literacy, energy maintenance, and local governance must be baked into the rollout.

4. ***Strengthen Data Protection and Cybersecurity***

Every blockchain voting or energy-monitoring system will collect sensitive data. Nigeria's *Data Protection Act 2023* and global standards like the *NIST Blockchain Risk Management Framework* must guide all implementations (NIST, 2022). Protecting users from cyber threats is non-negotiable.

5. ***Learn from Global Pilots***

Lessons from *Estonia's X-Road system, Brazil's solar and blockchain trials,* and *India's Aadhaar-based governance model* should inform Nigeria's roadmap. These countries demonstrate that decentralized technology can scale—*if it's rooted in policy, not hype* (de Araujo et al., 2022; World Bank, 2023).

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

The intersection of blockchain technology and renewable energy offers more than just technical upgrades—it presents a chance to rethink how trust, transparency, and inclusion can be embedded into governance systems from the ground up. In fragile and conflict-affected areas like Benue State, these tools are not silver bullets, but they can become powerful enablers of community resilience, resource equity, and institutional accountability when paired with the right policies.

A community-powered solar grid ensures energy where the national grid fails. A blockchain-based voting or aid tracking system builds trust where state credibility is low. Together, these innovations offer a path forward—one that is decentralized, transparent, and rooted in the daily realities of people too often left out of progress.

Yet technology alone is not enough. The success of such systems depends on inclusive policy design, legal reform, civic education, and strong local leadership. Pilot programs must be co-created with the communities they serve. International partners must offer not just tools, but patience and cultural sensitivity.

Ultimately, engineering trust is not just a technical challenge—it's a moral and political commitment. For Benue and places like it, this commitment could mark the beginning of a new chapter: one where technology helps secure both peace and progress.

References

1. Ajayi, A. A. (2020). Bridging the digital divide in Nigeria: An assessment of rural internet access and literacy. Nigerian Journal of Communication, 17(2), 55–70.
2. Afolabi, M. O., & Akinola, A. O. (2022). Biometric voter registration and electoral accountability in Nigeria. African Journal of Democracy and Governance, 9(1), 88–104.
3. Ayenigbara, G. O., & Ajayi, A. F. (2021). Community-led governance and renewable energy deployment in Nigeria. Journal of Sustainable Development, 14(5), 112–123.
4. Ayoade, O. M. (2022). Digital literacy as a tool for inclusive development in Nigeria. International Journal of Digital Learning, 5(1), 44–61.
5. Benaloh, J. (2006). Simple verifiable elections. In Proceedings of the USENIX/Accurate Electronic Voting Technology Workshop, 1–5.
6. Bonneau, J., Miller, A., Clark, J., Narayanan, A., Kroll, J. A., & Felten, E. W. (2015). SoK: Research perspectives and challenges for Bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. In 2015 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 104–121.
7. Cheeseman, N., & Klaas, B. (2018). How to rig an election. Yale University Press.
8. Conti, M., Kumar, S., Lal, C., & Ruj, S. (2018). A survey on security and privacy issues of blockchain technology. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 21(2), 1–25.
9. De Araujo, J. C., Gonçalves, L. L., & Silva, F. T. (2022). Blockchain and solar energy in Brazil: Lessons from pilot programs. Journal of Energy Systems, 6(1), 49–62.
10. Deininger, K., & Byerlee, D. (2012). The role of land policies in agricultural transformation: Lessons from Sub-Saharan Africa. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 6309.
11. Gibson, J., Krimmer, R., & Teague, V. (2019). A review of end-to-end verifiable voting systems. ACM Computing Surveys, 52(1), 1–37.
12. GSMA. (2023). The Mobile Economy Sub-Saharan Africa 2023. https://www.gsma.com
13. Hajnal, Z., Lajevardi, N., & Nielson, D. (2017). Voter identification laws and the suppression of minority votes. The Journal of Politics, 79(2), 363–379.
14. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2021). Policy frameworks for renewable energy mini-grids in Sub-Saharan Africa. https://www.irena.org
15. Kshetri, N., & Voas, J. (2018). Blockchain-enabled e-voting. IEEE Software, 35(4), 95–99.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.2535.v1

16. Krimmer, R., Volkamer, M., & Grimm, R. (2016). Developing systems that support end-to-end verifiability in elections. In Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 9990, 1–14.
17. Lemieux, V. L. (2016). Trusting records: Is blockchain technology the answer? Records Management Journal, 26(2), 110–139.
18. Madise, Ü., & Martens, T. (2006). E-voting in Estonia 2005: The first practice of country-wide binding internet voting in the world. Electronic Voting, 86(1), 15–26.
19. Makarychev, A., & Yatsishina, E. (2021). Blockchain technologies in US and EU elections: Comparative perspective. Journal of Political Technology, 8(3), 45–59.
20. Miers, I., Garman, C., Green, M., & Rubin, A. D. (2013). Zerocoin: Anonymous distributed e-cash from Bitcoin. In 2013 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 397–411.
21. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). (2022). Blockchain and related technologies risk management framework. U.S. Department of Commerce.
22. Nguyen, Q. K., Tran, D. H., & Huynh, D. T. (2018). Blockchain for secure e-voting: A review and roadmap. In 2018 3rd International Conference on System Reliability and Science (ICSRS), 254–258.
23. Noizat, P. (2015). Blockchain electronic vote. In Handbook of Digital Currency (pp. 453–461). Elsevier.
24. Obiora, K. A., Eze, R. N., & Udo, I. I. (2023). Energy access and rural security in Nigeria's Middle Belt: Linking solar electrification to peacebuilding. African Journal of Energy Policy, 5(2), 85–102.
25. OECD. (2020). The role of blockchain in strengthening trust in government. OECD Working Paper No. 12.
26. Ojodomo, D., & Atelhe, G. A. (2022). Rural electrification and conflict recovery in Benue State. Benue Social Science Review, 10(1), 42–59.
27. Okonkwo, R. I. (2020). Blockchain and property law in Nigeria: Prospects and legal challenges. African Journal of Legal Studies, 13(1), 77–94.
28. Onapajo, H. (2014). Violence and votes in Nigeria: Exploring the electoral process in the 2011 general elections. Journal of African Elections, 13(1), 96–115.
29. Sun, Y., Hahn, C., & Liu, H. (2020). Security and privacy in the blockchain paradigm. IEEE Network, 34(4), 68–74.
30. Swan, M. (2015). Blockchain: Blueprint for a new economy. O'Reilly Media.
31. Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind Bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world. Penguin.
32. UNDP. (2021). Digital democracy: Blockchain for electoral integrity in West Africa. United Nations Development Programme.
33. UNDP Nigeria. (2020). Sustainable energy for IDP resilience: A pilot study in North-Central Nigeria. https://www.ng.undp.org
34. World Bank. (2021). Bridging Nigeria's digital infrastructure gap: A pathway to inclusive growth. https://www.worldbank.org
35. World Bank. (2023). Digital identity and governance in South Asia and Africa: Progress and challenges. https://www.worldbank.org
36. World Food Programme. (2019). Building Blocks: Blockchain for humanitarian aid. https://innovation.wfp.org/project/building-blocks
37. Xu, X., Weber, I., & Staples, M. (2019). Architecture for blockchain applications. Springer.
38. Yavuz, E. A., & Koç, C. K. (2018). A survey on blockchain-based e-voting systems. Electronics, 7(8), 146.
39. Zyskind, G., Nathan, O., & Pentland, A. (2015). Decentralizing privacy: Using blockchain to protect personal data. IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops, 180–184.