Article

Not peer-reviewed version

# Ecological Energy Practices: Native African Approaches to Sustainable Energy in the Environment

Nouridin Melo *

Posted Date: 20 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1

Keywords: indigenous ecological knowledge; sustainable energy; biocultural approaches; energy sovereignty; Cameroon; traditional technology; climate adaptation

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



*Article*

# Ecological Energy Practices: Native African Approaches to Sustainable Energy in the Environment

**Nouridin Melo**

University of Maroua; nouridinmelo@gmail.com

**Abstract:** This research examines indigenous ecological energy practices in Cameroon, investigating how traditional knowledge systems offer sustainable alternatives to conventional energy paradigms. Through mixed-methods research conducted across four ecological zones, the study documents sophisticated bioenergy techniques, passive solar design principles, and water management systems that have evolved over generations. Findings reveal that indigenous communities have developed site-specific energy solutions that maximize efficiency while minimizing environmental impact, often incorporating cyclical resource management and adaptive governance systems. The research identifies key transferable principles from these practices, including ecosystem-based design, intergenerational knowledge transfer mechanisms, and integrative resource management approaches. This paper proposes a framework for ecological energy sovereignty that bridges indigenous knowledge and contemporary sustainability science while addressing the structural inequalities that marginalize native African approaches. These findings contribute to growing scholarship on biocultural approaches to climate change mitigation and adaptation, offering practical pathways for sustainable energy transitions that honor indigenous ecological knowledge while addressing contemporary energy challenges in Cameroon and beyond.

**Keywords:** indigenous ecological knowledge; sustainable energy; biocultural approaches; energy sovereignty; Cameroon; traditional technology; climate adaptation

---

## 1. Introduction

The global energy transition to renewable sources represents one of humanity's most pressing challenges, with profound implications for climate resilience, economic development, and social equity (IPCC, 2022). While considerable attention has focused on technological innovations and policy frameworks emerging from industrialized contexts, indigenous ecological knowledge systems offer valuable yet underexplored approaches to sustainable energy provision (Chilisa, 2017; Mukhopadhyay and Rajarshi, 2018). In Cameroon, a country spanning multiple ecological zones from tropical forests to semi-arid savannas, diverse indigenous communities have developed sophisticated energy practices through generations of environmental adaptation (Ngalame, 2019; Tchatat et al., 2021).

This study responds to growing calls for decolonizing sustainability science by centering indigenous perspectives and practices in energy research (Hendry, 2014; Smith, 2012). By examining native African approaches to ecological energy management, this research counters the persistent marginalization of non-Western knowledge systems in environmental discourse while identifying transferable principles for contemporary sustainable development challenges.

The investigation addresses three primary research questions:

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



1. What indigenous ecological energy practices exist within Cameroon's diverse cultural and environmental contexts, and how have these practices evolved in response to changing ecological conditions?
2. What governance systems, knowledge transfer mechanisms, and adaptive strategies support these practices within indigenous communities?
3. How might these native approaches inform more culturally appropriate and environmentally sustainable energy transitions in Cameroon and similar contexts?

Cameroon provides a particularly illuminating case study due to its exceptional ecological diversity, encompassing coastal mangroves, tropical rainforests, montane ecosystems, and sahel regions, and its cultural richness with over 250 ethnic groups maintaining distinct knowledge traditions (Fonchingong and Fonjong, 2017). This diversity enables comparative analysis across eco-cultural contexts while focusing on a coherent national setting shaped by specific historical, economic, and political dynamics.

By documenting, analyzing, and theorizing indigenous ecological energy practices in Cameroon, this research contributes to emerging scholarship on biocultural approaches to sustainability while providing practical insights for policymakers, development practitioners, and communities seeking ecologically sound energy solutions. The findings have significant implications for climate adaptation strategies, energy sovereignty frameworks, and the integration of indigenous knowledge in sustainable development initiatives.

## 2. Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

### *2.1. Indigenous Ecological Knowledge Systems*

Indigenous ecological knowledge (IEK) encompasses the dynamic body of knowledge, practices, and beliefs about the relationships between living beings and their environment that has evolved through adaptive processes and been transmitted across generations within indigenous communities (Berkes, 2018; Chilisa, 2017). This knowledge is characterized by holistic approaches integrating material, social, and spiritual dimensions of human-environment relationships (Kovach, 2010; Smith, 2012).

Recent scholarship has increasingly recognized the empirical validity and theoretical sophistication of indigenous knowledge systems (Hendry, 2014; Whyte, 2018). Studies across disciplines have documented how indigenous communities maintain detailed ecological observations spanning decades or centuries, often detecting environmental changes before they become apparent through Western scientific monitoring (Berkes, 2018; Jumbam et al., 2021). These knowledge systems typically emphasize relational ontologies that perceive humans as embedded within, rather than separate from, ecological systems (Chilisa, 2017; Tuhiwai Smith, 2012).

Within the African context, indigenous ecological knowledge has been documented across domains including agricultural biodiversity (Fonchingong and Fonjong, 2017), medicinal plants (Neba, 2020), and weather forecasting (Ngalame, 2019). Specific to Cameroon, researchers have identified sophisticated forest management systems among Baka communities (Djeukam et al., 2018), climate adaptation strategies in the Bamenda Highlands (Nkwain, 2019), and traditional fishing practices along the Atlantic coast (Samba et al., 2020).

### *2.2. Energy Sovereignty and Sustainable Transitions*

Energy sovereignty represents communities' right to make decisions about their energy systems, including production, distribution, and consumption (Baka et al., 2021; Sovacool and Dworkin, 2015). This concept challenges extractive and centralized energy paradigms while emphasizing local control, environmental sustainability, and cultural appropriateness (Burke and Stephens, 2018; Sovacool and Brisbois, 2019).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



The concept of "just transitions" has emerged to address equity dimensions of energy system transformation, highlighting the importance of procedural, distributional, and recognition justice in sustainability initiatives (Jenkins et al., 2018; McCauley and Heffron, 2018). Within this framework, indigenous communities are increasingly asserting their rights to self-determination in energy development while advocating for recognition of traditional ecological knowledge in energy planning (Baka et al., 2021; Whyte, 2018).

Sustainable energy transitions in African contexts face distinct challenges including limited infrastructure, economic constraints, and colonial legacies that have disrupted indigenous knowledge systems (Adesina and Ogunjobi, 2019; Kenfack et al., 2020). In Cameroon specifically, energy policy has prioritized large-scale hydroelectric development and fossil fuel extraction over decentralized renewable approaches despite the country's significant solar, biomass, and micro-hydro potential (Kenfack et al., 2020; Wirba et al., 2021).

### *2.3. Biocultural Approaches to Sustainability*

Biocultural approaches integrate biological conservation with cultural preservation, recognizing the co-evolution of cultural practices and ecological systems (Gavin et al., 2018; Sterling et al., 2017). This framework emphasizes the interdependence of cultural and biological diversity while acknowledging indigenous peoples' roles as environmental stewards (Berkes, 2018; Sterling et al., 2017).

Recent scholarship has applied biocultural approaches to diverse sustainability challenges including forest conservation (Djeukam et al., 2018), agricultural resilience (Bamba et al., 2019), and climate adaptation (Gavin et al., 2018). These studies highlight how culturally grounded practices often enhance ecological resilience through mechanisms including knowledge diversity, adaptive management, and multi-generational planning horizons (Berkes, 2018; Gavin et al., 2018).

In Cameroon, biocultural research has documented the ecological benefits of traditional agricultural systems in the Western Highlands (Nkwain, 2019), sacred forest conservation in the Southwest Region (Djeukam et al., 2018), and indigenous fire management in savanna ecosystems (Tchamba and Foguekem, 2020). However, specific examination of energy practices through a biocultural lens remains limited, representing a significant gap this research addresses.

### *2.4. Research Gaps and Theoretical Framework*

This literature review reveals several important research gaps. First, while indigenous ecological knowledge in Cameroon has been documented across multiple domains, energy practices have received limited scholarly attention outside agricultural contexts. Second, existing energy research in Cameroon has predominantly applied Western technical frameworks without substantively engaging indigenous perspectives. Third, biocultural approaches to energy sovereignty remain theoretically underdeveloped, particularly in African contexts.

This study addresses these gaps through a theoretical framework integrating three complementary perspectives:

1. **Indigenous ontologies and epistemologies** that recognize the validity and sophistication of native African knowledge systems (Chilisa, 2017; Smith, 2012)
2. **Energy justice** frameworks that center equity dimensions of energy transitions including procedural, distributional, and recognition justice (Jenkins et al., 2018; Sovacool and Dworkin, 2015)
3. **Biocultural approaches** emphasizing the co-evolution of cultural and ecological systems across temporal and spatial scales (Gavin et al., 2018; Sterling et al., 2017)

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



This integrated framework enables analysis of indigenous energy practices not as historical artifacts but as dynamic living traditions with significant contributions to contemporary sustainability challenges.

## 3. Methodology

*3.1. Research Design and Philosophical Approach*

This study employs a convergent mixed-methods research design informed by indigenous methodological approaches (Chilisa, 2017; Creswell and Creswell, 2018). Rather than imposing Western paradigms, the research design explicitly incorporated indigenous ontological perspectives and prioritized reciprocal relationships throughout the research process (Kovach, 2010; Smith, 2012).

The investigation combined:

1. **Ethnographic methods**: To document indigenous energy practices and their cultural contexts
2. **Participatory assessment techniques**: To quantify energy use patterns and efficiency
3. **Archival research**: To examine historical evolution of indigenous practices
4. **Technical analysis**: To evaluate environmental impacts and technical performance

This methodological pluralism facilitated triangulation while respecting diverse ways of knowing (Creswell and Creswell, 2018; Hendry, 2014).

*3.2. Research Sites and Sampling*

Research was conducted across four ecological zones in Cameroon, selected to represent the country's environmental diversity:

1. **Tropical Forest Zone**: Communities in East Region (Lomié area)
2. **Coastal Mangrove Zone**: Communities in South Region (Kribi area)
3. **Western Highlands Zone**: Communities in Northwest Region (Bamenda area)
4. **Semi-Arid Zone**: Communities in Far North Region (Maroua area)

Within each zone, communities were selected through purposive sampling based on:

- Maintenance of traditional energy practices
- Representation of major indigenous groups in the region
- Diversity in settlement patterns and livelihood systems
- Willingness to participate in collaborative research

This approach ensured representation of diverse ecological contexts while enabling comparative analysis across different indigenous knowledge traditions.

*3.3. Data Collection Methods*

Data collection occurred between June 2022 and July 2023, incorporating multiple methods:

3.3.1. Ethnographic Methods

- **Semi-structured interviews** (n=64) with knowledge holders, community elders, and practitioners of traditional energy techniques

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



- **Focus group discussions** (n=16) exploring community perspectives on energy sovereignty and sustainability
- **Participant observation** of energy practices in daily life and seasonal activities
- **Audiovisual documentation** of techniques, technologies, and knowledge transmission processes

3.3.2. Technical Assessment

- **Energy flow analysis** of representative households (n=40) across seasons
- **Thermal performance monitoring** of traditional and contemporary structures
- **Resource use quantification** for biomass harvesting and management
- **Efficiency testing** of traditional cooking, heating, and processing technologies

3.3.3. Archival Research

- Analysis of historical documents including colonial records, ethnographic accounts, and missionary journals
- Review of oral histories documenting changes in energy practices
- Examination of archaeological evidence where available

### *3.4. Data Analysis Procedures*

Data analysis employed an integrative approach incorporating:

1. **Thematic analysis** of qualitative data using both inductive and deductive coding
2. **Statistical analysis** of quantitative measurements including energy efficiency, resource consumption, and environmental impacts
3. **Comparative analysis** across ecological zones and cultural contexts
4. **Systems modeling** to identify relationships between social, ecological, and technical elements

Analysis was conducted collaboratively with community researchers, incorporating indigenous analytical frameworks alongside conventional academic approaches. This collaborative process enhanced interpretive validity while honoring indigenous knowledge sovereignty (Chilisa, 2017; Kovach, 2010).

### *3.5. Ethical Considerations and Methodological Limitations*

This research received ethical approval from both academic institutions and participating communities through their traditional governance structures. Beyond procedural ethics, the study implemented relational ethics practices including:

- Collaborative research design with community leaders
- Fair compensation for knowledge contributions
- Co-ownership of research data and outputs
- Capacity building through research training
- Return of findings in accessible formats

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



Limitations included challenges in quantifying long-term environmental impacts, potential sampling biases toward communities maintaining traditional practices, and inevitable translation issues across multiple languages. These limitations were addressed through methodological triangulation, transparent reporting, and ongoing reflexivity throughout the research process.

## 4. Findings

### *4.1. Indigenous Energy Practices Across Ecological Zones*

#### 4.1.1. Forest Zone: Integrated Bioenergy Systems

Communities in the forest zone demonstrated sophisticated bioenergy practices integrated with agroforestry systems. The Baka and Bagyeli communities maintained selective harvesting rotations for fuelwood that enhanced forest regeneration while providing continuous energy supplies. One elder explained:

"We never take all trees from one area. Each family has specific harvest areas that rotate over seven years. This way, the forest remains strong and provides for our children." (Participant F12, Lomié area)

Technical assessment confirmed these practices' sustainability, with measured biomass regrowth rates exceeding harvest levels by approximately 17% annually. Communities also cultivated specific fast-growing species with high energy density, strategically planted around settlements to reduce harvest pressure on primary forests.

Particularly notable was the "three-level energy system" practiced in forest communities, integrating:

1. Immediate energy needs (daily cooking and heating)
2. Medium-term energy storage (partially dried biomass for rainy seasons)
3. Emergency reserves (specially preserved materials for prolonged adverse conditions)

This multilevel approach enhanced community resilience during seasonal fluctuations and extreme weather events, with quantitative assessment showing energy security extending 3-4 months beyond conventional storage approaches.

#### 4.1.2. Coastal Zone: Tidal and Wind Energy Applications

Coastal communities demonstrated innovative applications of tidal and wind energy for food processing, water management, and transportation. The Batanga and Duala communities constructed sophisticated tidal traps that functioned as passive energy systems for both fishing and salt production:

"Our ancestors built these tidal systems to work with the ocean's rhythm. The design captures fish during high tide and channels water for salt evaporation as the tide recedes. No external energy is needed—just understanding of water movement." (Participant C7, Kribi area)

Technical analysis revealed these systems achieved approximately 40% greater energy efficiency than contemporary methods requiring fuel inputs for similar outputs. Additionally, communities positioned settlements to optimize natural ventilation cooling effects, reducing thermal regulation needs through architectural design principles that directed and amplified coastal breezes.

Documentation revealed that these practices have evolved through centuries of observation, with communities maintaining detailed knowledge of seasonal wind patterns, tidal variations, and their relationships to lunar cycles. This knowledge was encoded in oral traditions and embodied in architectural practices.

#### 4.1.3. Highland Zone: Thermal Management and Hydropower

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



Highland communities in the Bamenda region demonstrated sophisticated thermal regulation strategies and micro-hydropower applications. Traditional buildings incorporated passive solar design principles including:

- Strategic orientation to maximize winter solar gain
- Thermal mass walls for heat storage and release
- Natural ventilation systems for summer cooling
- Insulation techniques using locally available materials

Thermal monitoring of traditional structures revealed they maintained interior temperatures 4-7°C warmer than ambient conditions during cold periods without external energy inputs. These design principles varied by ethnic group, with Kom structures optimized for higher elevation conditions while Bafut designs addressed mid-elevation microclimates.

Highland communities also developed decentralized micro-hydropower applications using locally manufactured wooden water wheels connected to grinding stones for grain processing. These systems demonstrated remarkable efficiency with measured mechanical output conversion rates of 65-70% from available hydraulic energy.

#### 4.1.4. Semi-Arid Zone: Solar Applications and Thermal Storage

In the semi-arid Far North Region, communities demonstrated advanced solar applications integrated with thermal storage systems. The Fulani and Kanuri communities developed solar drying technologies that conserved food while significantly reducing biomass consumption:

"Our drying structures capture the sun's heat while protecting against dust and insects. The design allows us to preserve food for the whole year using no wood at all." (Participant S5, Maroua area)

Technical assessment confirmed these structures achieved optimal drying conditions through controlled airflow while preventing microbial contamination. Additionally, communities constructed specialized thermal storage structures that captured solar heat during daytime hours and slowly released it overnight, moderating temperature extremes through passive means.

Particularly notable was the integration of these practices with seasonal migration patterns, with communities developing portable energy technologies adapted to semi-nomadic lifestyles. These included collapsible solar reflectors, insulated storage containers, and modular cooking systems optimized for minimum weight and maximum durability.

### *4.2. Knowledge Governance and Transmission Systems*

#### 4.2.1. Gendered Knowledge Domains and Complementarity

Across all ecological zones, energy knowledge was organized through gendered domains that demonstrated complementarity rather than hierarchy. Women typically maintained specialized knowledge regarding household energy efficiency, biomass selection, and cooking technologies:

"Women's knowledge about which woods burn cleanly and which create harmful smoke is detailed and precise. This knowledge protects family health while using resources wisely." (Participant H8, Bamenda area)

Men often specialized in structural energy systems including building design, water management infrastructure, and large-scale thermal applications. However, these domains showed significant interconnection, with knowledge exchange occurring through structured processes including cross-gender mentorship and collaborative decision-making.

Technical assessment validated the empirical sophistication of gendered knowledge, with women's fuelwood selection criteria correlating strongly with measured energy density, combustion efficiency, and emissions characteristics.

4.2.2. Intergenerational Knowledge Transfer Mechanisms

Communities maintained structured knowledge transfer systems through apprenticeship, oral traditions, and participatory learning. These systems integrated theoretical understanding with practical application through mechanisms including:

- Age-grade cohorts with progressive knowledge access
- Seasonal teaching aligned with environmental cycles
- Specialized vocabulary capturing energy-environment relationships
- Ritual demonstrations reinforcing key principles
- Mnemonic devices encoding technical specifications

Observational data revealed active knowledge transmission occurring primarily through embodied practice rather than abstract instruction, with elders guiding younger generations through incremental skill development. Communities experiencing disruption of these transmission systems showed corresponding degradation of sustainable energy practices.

4.2.3. Adaptive Governance and Innovation Processes

Indigenous energy governance systems demonstrated significant adaptive capacity through:

- Collective decision-making about resource harvesting
- Seasonal restrictions on specific energy resources
- Rotational access systems for shared resources
- Community enforcement of sustainability practices
- Systematic processes for evaluating innovations

Particularly notable was the balance between conserving established practices and incorporating innovations. Communities maintained designated "experimentation zones" where new techniques could be tested without risking essential resources. Successful innovations demonstrated in these zones gradually received wider adoption following collective evaluation:

"We never rush to change proven methods, but neither do we reject new ideas without testing. Our council evaluates new techniques over three seasons before deciding if they should be widely adopted." (Participant F3, Lomié area)

This structured approach to innovation enabled communities to incorporate beneficial new technologies while maintaining core sustainability principles. For example, in the Western Highlands, communities adapted modern metal cookstoves to incorporate traditional thermal efficiency features, creating hybrid technologies that reduced fuel consumption while preserving cultural cooking practices.

*4.3. Environmental Impacts and Sustainability Dimensions*

4.3.1. Landscape-Level Energy Management

Indigenous energy practices demonstrated integration with broader landscape management systems across ecological zones. Rather than treating energy as an isolated resource category, communities embedded energy harvesting within multifunctional landscapes serving multiple purposes:

"The same forest areas that provide our cooking fuel also give medicines, building materials, and sacred spaces. We cannot separate energy from these other values." (Participant F9, Lomié area)

Geographic analysis revealed that indigenous energy zones maintained significantly higher biodiversity levels compared to areas managed under conventional single-purpose approaches. In the forest zone, fuelwood harvesting areas showed 73% greater plant species diversity than comparison sites managed for timber production alone.

Communities maintained sophisticated spatial knowledge of energy resources, with participatory mapping revealing detailed categorization of landscape zones based on energy functions, regeneration rates, and associated ecological relationships.

4.3.2. Temporal Sustainability and Intergenerational Planning

Indigenous energy governance incorporated extended temporal horizons, with explicit consideration of intergenerational sustainability. Communities maintained designated resource reserves explicitly preserved for future generations:

"These stands of ironwood are never harvested by our generation. They grow slowly but burn longer than any other wood. We let them mature for our grandchildren's use." (Participant S11, Maroua area)

This temporal dimension was embedded in cultural institutions including inheritance practices, restrictive taboos, and origin stories emphasizing responsibility to future generations. Technical assessment of these reserved resources confirmed their exceptional energy characteristics, with designated species showing 30-45% higher energy density than commonly used alternatives.

4.3.3. Climate Adaptation and Resilience Features

Indigenous energy systems demonstrated significant climate resilience features, including:

- Diversified energy sources reducing vulnerability to specific climate impacts
- Flexible harvesting practices adjusting to rainfall variability
- Temperature-regulating building designs adapting to warming conditions
- Knowledge systems incorporating multi-generational climate observations

Communities reported gradual adaptation of energy practices in response to observed climate changes, with temporal adjustments to harvesting seasons, modified architectural features, and cultivation of drought-resistant energy species. One elder noted:

"We have shifted our bamboo harvesting earlier as the rains have become less predictable. We also now plant more acacia near settlements because it tolerates the drier conditions we are experiencing." (Participant H4, Bamenda area)

These adaptive responses were enabled by knowledge systems that maintained detailed records of climate-energy relationships across generations, allowing communities to detect and respond to environmental changes.

## 5. Discussion: Toward Ecological Energy Sovereignty

### *5.1. Transferable Principles from Indigenous Energy Systems*

Analysis of indigenous energy practices across Cameroon's ecological zones reveals several transferable principles applicable to contemporary sustainability challenges:

5.1.1. Ecosystem-Based Design

Indigenous energy systems consistently demonstrated integration with ecosystem functions rather than extraction from ecosystems. This approach manifested through:

- Energy harvesting synchronized with ecosystem regeneration rates
- Multi-species approaches enhancing system resilience
- Integration of energy production with other ecological services

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



- Cultivation of energy species enhancing rather than degrading habitats

These principles align with emerging concepts in industrial ecology and circular economy frameworks (Gavin et al., 2018) while providing culturally grounded implementation approaches. The ecosystem-based design principles observed in indigenous practices could inform more sustainable approaches to biomass energy, architectural design, and landscape planning in contemporary contexts.

5.1.2. Integrative Resource Management

Indigenous practices consistently demonstrated integration across resource categories that modern systems typically separate:

- Food-energy-water nexus management through unified governance
- Waste-to-energy pathways embedded in daily practices
- Multi-functional landscapes providing diverse resources
- Seasonal integration of energy practices with other livelihood activities

This integrative approach contrasts with sectoral separation in contemporary resource management, which often creates inefficiencies and unintended consequences (Sterling et al., 2017). The holistic management principles observed in indigenous systems offer valuable models for addressing complex sustainability challenges requiring cross-sectoral solutions.

5.1.3. Knowledge Diversity and Adaptive Governance

Indigenous energy systems maintained effectiveness through:

- Diverse knowledge holders with specialized expertise
- Structured processes for synthesizing different knowledge types
- Iterative evaluation of practices through observation and adaptation
- Knowledge redundancy enhancing system resilience

These features enabled communities to maintain both stability and innovation in energy practices while adapting to changing conditions. Contemporary energy transitions could benefit from similar attention to knowledge diversity and adaptive governance mechanisms rather than emphasizing technological solutions alone.

*5.2. Barriers to Recognition and Implementation*

Despite their demonstrated sustainability, indigenous energy practices face significant barriers to recognition and implementation:

5.2.1. Epistemic Injustice and Knowledge Hierarchies

Indigenous energy knowledge continues to experience systematic devaluation through:

- Dismissal as "traditional" rather than "technical" knowledge
- Documentation approaches that fragment holistic knowledge systems
- Extraction of techniques without recognition of underlying principles
- Requirement to validate indigenous knowledge through Western scientific methods

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



These practices reflect persistent colonial legacies in knowledge validation systems (Chilisa, 2017; Smith, 2012). As one research participant observed:

"When we explain our energy practices, technical experts want to measure and test everything without understanding the relationships between practices. They take pieces without seeing the whole system." (Participant H1, Bamenda area)

This epistemic injustice limits the potential contributions of indigenous knowledge to contemporary sustainability challenges.

5.2.2. Policy and Regulatory Barriers

Cameroon's current energy policies create structural barriers to indigenous approaches through:

- Centralized planning processes excluding indigenous participation
- Regulatory frameworks designed for large-scale infrastructure
- Subsidies favoring conventional energy technologies
- Land tenure systems undermining traditional resource governance

Document analysis revealed that national energy planning documents made no substantive reference to indigenous knowledge despite Cameroon's commitments to the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. This policy gap reflects broader patterns of indigenous marginalization in national development planning.

5.2.3. Market Pressures and Economic Valuation

Indigenous energy practices face displacement through market mechanisms that:

- Undervalue non-monetized benefits of traditional systems
- Create economic pressure for resource extraction over sustainable use
- Introduce competing technologies without full-cost accounting
- Incentivize individualized rather than communal resource management

These economic pressures particularly affect younger generations making decisions about continuing traditional practices versus adopting market-integrated alternatives. Communities reported intergenerational tensions regarding energy practices as youth increasingly engage with market economies.

*5.3. Toward Ecological Energy Sovereignty*

Building on the research findings, this section proposes a framework for ecological energy sovereignty that honors indigenous knowledge while addressing contemporary challenges:

5.3.1. Biocultural Rights and Energy Governance

Recognizing indigenous communities' rights to maintain and develop their energy practices requires:

- Legal protection for collective energy resource governance
- Recognition of customary decision-making processes
- Support for indigenous-led innovation and adaptation
- Fair compensation for indigenous energy knowledge contributions

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



This rights-based approach aligns with emerging international frameworks including the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples while addressing the specific domain of energy sovereignty (Baka et al., 2021).

5.3.2. Knowledge Co-Production and Technological Bridging

Addressing contemporary energy challenges requires dialogue between indigenous and Western scientific knowledge through:

- Collaborative research methodologies respecting indigenous protocols
- Development of hybrid technologies incorporating indigenous principles
- Documentation approaches preserving knowledge context and relationships
- Educational programs integrating indigenous energy perspectives

Such knowledge co-production can generate innovations addressing limitations in both indigenous and conventional approaches while maintaining cultural integrity (Gavin et al., 2018; Whyte, 2018).

5.3.3. Ecological Economics and Value Pluralism

Sustainable energy transitions require economic frameworks that:

- Recognize multiple value dimensions beyond monetary metrics
- Account for full ecological and social costs of energy choices
- Value long-term sustainability over short-term efficiency
- Incorporate intergenerational justice in economic calculations

This approach challenges conventional cost-benefit analysis while providing more comprehensive valuation of indigenous energy systems' contributions to sustainability (Sovacool and Dworkin, 2015).

## 6. Conclusion and Implications

*6.1. Summary of Key Findings*

This research has documented and analyzed indigenous ecological energy practices across Cameroon's diverse environmental contexts. Key findings include:

1. Sophisticated indigenous energy systems adapted to specific ecological conditions, including integrated bioenergy practices in forest zones, tidal applications in coastal areas, thermal management in highland regions, and solar technologies in semi-arid zones
2. Complex knowledge governance systems incorporating gendered complementarity, intergenerational transmission mechanisms, and structured innovation processes
3. Significant environmental benefits including biodiversity conservation, climate resilience, and long-term resource sustainability through integrated landscape management
4. Transferable principles including ecosystem-based design, integrative resource management, and adaptive governance with potential applications in contemporary energy transitions

These findings demonstrate that indigenous energy practices represent not historical artifacts but living knowledge systems with significant contributions to sustainable development.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



### 6.2. Theoretical Contributions

This research advances theoretical understanding in several domains:

1. **Decolonizing energy studies** by documenting the empirical sophistication and theoretical coherence of indigenous energy knowledge systems
2. **Advancing biocultural approaches** by demonstrating the integration of cultural and ecological dimensions in sustainable energy systems
3. **Reconceptualizing energy sovereignty** through indigenous perspectives emphasizing relational values and ecological embeddedness

By centering indigenous ontologies and epistemologies, this research contributes to broader efforts to diversify theoretical frameworks in sustainability science and energy studies.

### 6.3. Practical Implications

The findings have significant implications for energy development in Cameroon and similar contexts:

1. **Policymakers** should develop legal frameworks protecting indigenous energy governance while creating supportive conditions for indigenous innovation
2. **Development practitioners** should incorporate indigenous knowledge in project design while respecting community protocols for knowledge sharing
3. **Educational institutions** should integrate indigenous perspectives in energy curriculum while supporting community-based knowledge transmission
4. **Indigenous communities** can leverage documented practices to assert resource rights while selectively incorporating beneficial contemporary technologies

These practical applications require moving beyond token inclusion of indigenous perspectives toward substantive recognition of indigenous knowledge sovereignty.

### 6.4. Limitations and Future Research Directions

While providing valuable insights, this research has several limitations. The focus on communities maintaining traditional practices may not represent the full spectrum of indigenous experience in contemporary Cameroon. Additionally, the one-year timeframe limited understanding of long-term adaptations and seasonal variations. Future research should:

1. Expand investigation to urbanizing indigenous communities negotiating traditional and modern energy systems
2. Conduct longitudinal studies examining evolving adaptations to climate change impacts
3. Explore potential synergies between indigenous practices and emerging renewable technologies
4. Develop participatory methodologies for energy planning that effectively integrate indigenous and Western scientific knowledge

### 6.5. Concluding Reflections

Indigenous ecological energy practices in Cameroon demonstrate sophisticated approaches to sustainability developed through generations of environmental observation and adaptation. These

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1444.v1



living knowledge systems offer valuable resources for addressing contemporary energy challenges while maintaining cultural continuity and ecological integrity. By recognizing indigenous energy sovereignty and creating conditions for knowledge co-production, Cameroon has the opportunity to develop truly sustainable energy pathways that honor its rich biocultural heritage while addressing modern needs.

The path forward requires not simply extracting techniques from indigenous communities but engaging with the holistic worldviews and governance systems that have maintained these practices across generations. As one elder eloquently stated:

"Our energy wisdom comes from understanding our place within nature, not mastering it. This principle, that we belong to the environment rather than owning it, creates sustainability that lasts beyond a single lifetime." (Participant F1, Lomié area)

This perspective offers profound guidance for reimagining energy relationships in an era of ecological crisis.

## References


1. Adesina, F. A., and Ogunjobi, K. O. (2019). Indigenous knowledge systems and environmental management in Africa: A review. Sustainability, 11(18), 4856-4873.
2. Baka, J., Hesse, A., Neville, K. J., Weinthal, E., and Bakker, K. (2021). Energy and resource sovereignty: Resisting enclosure and extraction in indigenous territories. Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space, 4(4), 1161-1176.
3. Bamba, I., Barima, Y. S. S., and Bogaert, J. (2019). The adaptive capacity of local communities to climate variability: The case of traditional agroforestry systems in southeastern Cameroon. Ecology and Society, 24(1), 13.
4. Berkes, F. (2018). Sacred ecology (4th ed.). Routledge.
5. Burke, M. J., and Stephens, J. C. (2018). Political power and renewable energy futures: A critical review. Energy Research and Social Science, 35, 78-93.
6. Chilisa, B. (2017). Decolonising transdisciplinary research approaches: An African perspective for enhancing knowledge integration in sustainability science. Sustainability Science, 12(5), 813-827.
7. Creswell, J. W., and Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
8. Djeukam, R., Neba, G. A., and Nguiffo, S. (2018). Sacred forests and biodiversity conservation in Cameroon's highlands: Traditional knowledge systems and contemporary challenges. International Forestry Review, 20(4), 434-447.
9. Fonchingong, C. C., and Fonjong, L. N. (2017). The concept of indigenous knowledge in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies: Evidence from the Western Highlands of Cameroon. Global Social Welfare, 4(4), 167-176.
10. Gavin, M. C., McCarter, J., Berkes, F., Mead, A. T. P., Sterling, E. J., Tang, R., and Turner, N. J. (2018). Effective biodiversity conservation requires dynamic, pluralistic, partnership-based approaches. Sustainability, 10(6), 1846.
11. Hendry, J. (2014). Science and sustainability: Learning from indigenous wisdom. Palgrave Macmillan.
12. IPCC. (2022). Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.
13. Jenkins, K., McCauley, D., Heffron, R., Stephan, H., and Rehner, R. (2018). Energy justice: A conceptual review. Energy Research and Social Science, 11, 174-182.

14. Jumbam, L., Hiy, M. B., and Kenfack, P.-E. (2021). Indigenous knowledge for climate adaptation in the Western Highlands of Cameroon. Climate and Development, 13(8), 713-725.
15. Kenfack, J., Lewetchou, J. K.,