

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Omnia Equation: Toward a Unified Logic of Transformation Across All Systems

[Pitshou Moleka](#) *

Posted Date: 12 August 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202508.0770.v1

Keywords: the omnia equation (OE); recursive causality; transontological intelligence; emergent coherence fields; cosmic semiotics; moleka grid; innovationology



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

The Omnia Equation: Toward a Unified Logic of Transformation Across All Systems

Pitshou Moleka

Managing African Research Network /Kinshasa, RD Congo; sodecordc1@gmail.com

Abstract

This article proposes the *Omnia Equation* as a transdisciplinary framework for understanding systemic transformation across domains—from physical systems and biological evolution to cognitive architectures and social change. Grounded in complexity science, cybernetics, quantum information, and systems philosophy, the Omnia Equation articulates a universal logic based on recursive coherence, adaptive intelligence, and multi-scale emergence. It aims to unify fragmented disciplines by identifying deep patterns that govern transformation in matter, life, thought, and society. Drawing from Indigenous epistemologies, cutting-edge Artificial Intelligence, and mathematics of self-organization, this theory outlines a path toward a meta-disciplinary science capable of guiding humanity beyond crisis and toward integrative civilizational renewal.

Keywords: the omnia equation (OE); recursive causality; transontological intelligence; emergent coherence fields; cosmic semiotics; Moleka grid; innovationology

1. Introduction

Modern knowledge systems are characterized by extreme specialization. While specialization has enabled remarkable technical progress, it has also fragmented our understanding of reality. Challenges such as climate collapse, algorithmic control, geopolitical conflict, and existential risk emerge at the interfaces of systems—where siloed disciplines fail to perceive the whole (Morin, 2007; Moleka, 2024a; 2024b).

A transdisciplinary approach is urgently needed. Rather than viewing disciplines as closed worlds, the Omnia Equation seeks to unify them within a meta-framework that honors their insights while identifying the principles that connect them. Inspired by thinkers like Bateson (1972), Prigogine (1984), and contemporary complexity theorists (Bar-Yam, 2004), this work is a call for a new science of transformation—one that sees coherence, emergence, and intelligence as the fabric of reality.

The Problem: No General Theory of Transformation

Despite advances in complexity science and network theory, no unifying model exists to describe how transformation occurs across different types of systems. Physical laws explain matter; biology explains life; psychology explores mind; economics models markets. But the principles linking molecular change, evolutionary adaptation, consciousness, technological disruption, and cultural shifts remain obscure.

This absence of a general theory limits our ability to address real-world crises that span ecological, technological, psychological, and social domains. We lack a grammar of transformation—a conceptual and mathematical language that can describe how systems change in structure, function, and meaning.

Objectives of the Omnia Equation

The chapter has five central objectives:

1. To define transformation as a systemic, recursive, and multi-scale phenomenon.

2. To derive a universal equation representing transformation across all levels of complexity.
3. To build a transdisciplinary bridge between physical sciences, life sciences, social theory, and artificial intelligence.
4. To integrate Indigenous, spiritual, and philosophical epistemologies into the understanding of systemic change.
5. To demonstrate the utility of the equation in modeling transformation in diverse domains: climate systems, neural networks, organizational structures, and societal evolution.

2. Theoretical Foundations

The quest for a unified science of transformation demands an epistemological bridge that can traverse the boundaries between disciplines, paradigms, and ontologies. This section draws from complexity science, systems theory, quantum epistemology, information theory, Indigenous knowledge systems, and post-disciplinary logic to lay the conceptual foundations of the *Omnia Equation*.

2.1. Complexity and Emergence

The foundation of any unifying theory must accommodate the principle of emergence—how complex patterns arise from simple interactions (Mitchell, 2009). Complexity theory illustrates how systems—whether neural networks, markets, ecosystems, or cultures—exhibit adaptive, nonlinear behavior (Holland, 1998; Bar-Yam, 2003). These patterns are not reducible to the properties of individual components but emerge from relational dynamics across scales (Capra & Luisi, 2014).

Prigogine and Stengers (1984) emphasized the thermodynamic irreversibility of living systems, arguing for a process-centric view of time and transformation. This complements Kauffman's (1993) concept of the "adjacent possible," where innovation arises not from static laws but from dynamic expansion of possible states.

2.2. Systems Theory and Recursive Causality

Systems thinking offers a framework for understanding reality as an interconnected web rather than a linear chain of causes (Meadows, 2008). Recursive loops—where the output of a system becomes part of its input—are central to self-regulation, adaptation, and learning in biological, social, and artificial systems (Maturana & Varela, 1987).

The *Omnia Equation* builds upon the concept of autopoiesis—self-producing systems—as introduced in the biology of cognition (Varela et al., 1991), and cybernetic feedback models (Ashby, 1956). These concepts enable us to map how information recursively transforms agents, environments, and contexts simultaneously.

2.3. Information as the Substance of Transformation

Contemporary physics and cosmology increasingly view information—not matter—as the fundamental building block of reality (Wheeler, 1990). This "it from bit" perspective posits that physical reality emerges from quantum information dynamics. Quantum cognition theories (Busemeyer & Bruza, 2012) show how decision processes and conceptual structures mirror quantum probabilities, superpositions, and entanglements.

Shannon's (1948) mathematical theory of communication laid the groundwork for understanding information entropy, while Bateson (1972) redefined information as "a difference that makes a difference," anchoring it in meaning, context, and agency.

The *Omnia Theory* synthesizes this into a model where transformation is always mediated through information patterns—structured, interpreted, and recursively embedded in multiple ontological layers.

2.4. *Ontological Pluralism and Epistemic Integration*

To unify knowledge without collapsing its diversity, the *Omnia Equation* embraces ontological pluralism (Rescher, 1996) and epistemic integration (Feyerabend, 1975; Santos, 2014). It recognizes multiple “orders of reality” (Bhaskar, 1975) including the material, symbolic, digital, and spiritual.

Indigenous knowledge systems—often dismissed in mainstream science—embody advanced models of relational epistemology, cyclic time, and ecological co-agency (Battiste, 2000; Cajete, 1994). These systems offer vital insight into long-term sustainability and cognitive decentralization (Nelson & Shilling, 2018).

By juxtaposing Indigenous cosmologies with complex systems science, the *Omnia Equation* constructs a transdisciplinary, transcultural grammar of transformation.

2.5. *Toward a Meta-Logic of Innovation and Change*

Traditional logics—rooted in binary distinction and linear causality—fail to capture the dynamics of creativity, paradox, or transformation. The *Omnia Equation* advances a meta-logic inspired by dynamic systems, anticipatory models (Rosen, 1985), and generative AI (Goertzel, 2010). It seeks to formalize emergence itself: to make sense not of things but of becoming.

This logic resonates with Deleuze and Guattari's (1987) concept of the rhizome—non-hierarchical, non-linear, always in motion—and the principle of *enantiodromia* in Jungian psychology, where systems evolve by encountering their opposites.

3. Literature Review: Gaps, Frontiers, and the Quest for Unification

3.1. *The Disciplinary Divide and Epistemic Fragmentation*

The long-standing fragmentation of scientific disciplines has led to breakthroughs in isolation but has hindered the development of a unified understanding of reality. For example, while physics explains the fundamental forces of the universe, it struggles to integrate consciousness (Chalmers, 1996). Meanwhile, cognitive science and neuroscience continue to evolve without a unified framework that includes quantum or systemic foundations (Tononi et al., 2016; Dehaene, 2014). The resulting silo effect has left critical phenomena like emergence, complexity, and consciousness under-theorized (Mitchell, 2009; Morin, 2007).

3.2. *Complexity, Systems, and Emergence*

Complex systems theory, pioneered by scholars like Prigogine (1980), Capra & Luisi (2014), and Kauffman (1993), has provided a language to describe nonlinear, adaptive, and emergent behaviors across domains. However, the theory remains under-applied in bridging natural and social systems. Emergence—the rise of new patterns not reducible to components—remains a conceptual gap (Goldstein, 1999; Johnson, 2001). The *Omnia Theory* integrates complexity science with recursive epistemology and quantum metaphysics to go beyond surface descriptions and reach a structural synthesis.

3.3. *Quantum Information and the Turn Toward Reality as Process*

Quantum information theory has introduced revolutionary paradigms for understanding reality as fundamentally informational (Wheeler, 1990; Deutsch, 2011). Notably, Zeilinger (2005) and Vedral (2010) argue that information is more foundational than matter or energy. Yet, mainstream science has not yet fully integrated these views with systems thinking or intelligence theory, missing opportunities for a truly unified epistemology. The *Omnia Theory* addresses this by integrating quantum information as a foundational layer across all levels of reality.

3.4. Toward a Science of Intelligence and Meaning

Artificial intelligence, noetics, and evolutionary epistemology point to the growing realization that intelligence is not confined to brains or machines but is a property of systems (Goertzel, 2010; Varela et al., 1991; Hofkirchner, 2013). The literature lacks a unifying model of intelligence that includes biological, artificial, collective, and even ecological forms. The Omnia framework positions intelligence as a recursive, meaning-generating dynamic operating at all scales of the cosmos.

3.5. Integrating Indigenous and Non-Western Epistemologies

Recent literature calls for a pluralization of epistemology through the integration of Indigenous and non-Western knowledge systems (Santos, 2014; Chilisa, 2012). These systems often possess highly sophisticated, systemic, and relational ontologies that have been excluded from the scientific canon. *The Omnia Theory* not only advocates their inclusion but argues they are vital to a post-fragmentation paradigm that aligns knowledge with ethics and planetary coherence.

4. The Omnia Equation: Formulation and Principles

The *Omnia Equation* is not a traditional formula reducible to fixed variables; rather, it is a meta-theoretical construct that encodes the deep logic of transformation across systems, domains, and ontologies. Its purpose is to identify and formalize universal principles of emergence, adaptation, and recursive coherence, enabling a unification of knowledge production and system evolution.

4.1. Core Proposition

The *Omnia Equation* proposes that all systems—biological, social, technological, ecological, epistemic—evolve through recursive exchanges of information, energy, and structure governed by three interdependent principles.

Omnia Equation:

$$\Omega = f(\Upsilon, I, \mathcal{R})$$

Where:

- Ω (Omnia) = the system's total transformative capacity. The symbol (Omnia) denotes the field of total transformation—a system's capacity to generate novel states of coherence.
- Υ (Upsilon) = Emergence Potential (the latent capacity for novelty, innovation, or phase transitions)
- I (Iota) = Intelligence Flow (the system's ability to sense, process, and adapt to internal and external changes)
- \mathcal{R} (Rho) = Recursive Structuration (the feedback dynamics and pattern reproduction that reinforce or reorganize systemic behavior).

This equation is not static—it must be read as an iterative algorithm that operates within, across, and beyond disciplinary paradigms. It is relational, non-linear, and context-sensitive.

4.2. Principle 1: Emergence Potential (Υ)

Emergence potential represents the latent capacity of a system to self-organize novel configurations through interaction. It depends on diversity, tension, and structural openness (Goldstein, 1999 ; Tewari, 2017 ; Unrau, 2023).

In quantum systems, this aligns with the notion of probabilistic collapse into observable states (Penrose, 1994 ; Morgan, 2022 ; Youvan, 2024). In social systems, it maps to the "adjacent possible" (Kauffman, 2000), or zones of latent innovation unlocked by new relational configurations. In education, it reflects the learner's capacity to generate meaning from ambiguity.

4.3. Principle 2: Intelligence Flow (I)

Intelligence here is not anthropocentric but systemic—a measure of how well a system senses, processes, and responds to internal and external changes. It includes bio-cognitive functions (Maturana & Varela, 1987 ; Moleka, 2025a ; 2025b), collective intelligence (Malone et al., 2010), AI-driven learning (LeCun et al., 2015), and ecological sentience (Abram, 1996).

Flow implies continuity, adaptability, and coherence. When intelligence is blocked—by dogma, rigidity, or epistemic blindness—transformation stagnates. Systems fragment or collapse.

4.4. Principle 3: Recursive Structuration (\mathcal{R})

Building on Giddens (1984) and von Foerster (1979), recursive structuration is the feedback-driven reproduction and reorganization of systemic patterns across temporal and spatial scales. It encodes the interplay between agency and structure, identity and context, signal and noise. Recursive processes amplify or suppress emergence and intelligence flow. Cultures, neural networks, markets, and ecosystems are all recursive architectures that co-evolve with their environments. This principle allows us to model resonance, tipping points, and self-repair mechanisms.

4.5. Operational Hypothesis

The *Omnia Equation* functions as a predictive-heuristic tool rather than a deterministic model. It enables cross-domain insight generation, complexity-aware diagnostics, and post-disciplinary knowledge integration. Its key hypothesis is:

Any system that sustains high, optimized, and recursive will exhibit exponential capacity for adaptive transformation and systemic intelligence.

5. Applications Across Domains

5.1. Artificial Intelligence (AI)

The Omnia Theory redefines artificial intelligence not merely as a computational phenomenon but as a recursive instantiation of systemic intelligence. Rather than viewing AI as a tool mimicking human cognition, Omnia positions it within a larger ecology of emergence and intelligent feedback. AI systems are seen as crystallizations of recursive loops between data, algorithmic abstraction, and self-organizing behavior.

Case Study: *AlphaFold* by DeepMind exemplifies recursive intelligence in action. While traditionally celebrated for predicting protein folding structures, Omnia interprets this achievement as a cognitive emergence wherein layers of biological, chemical, and informational intelligence align to reveal deep order embedded in nature.

5.2. Climate Science

Climate systems are complex adaptive systems with multi-scalar feedback loops and emergent behaviors. Omnia conceptualizes Earth not as a passive environment but as a recursively intelligent entity—a dynamic totality reflecting nested intelligence from microbial ecosystems to atmospheric regulation.

Case Study: AI-enhanced climate models (e.g., Rolnick et al., 2023) illustrate how intelligent systems interpret and respond to planetary signals. Omnia reframes this as a dialogue between planetary intelligence and human cognitive agents, advancing toward a co-evolutionary climate epistemology.

5.3. Medicine and Health

Modern healthcare is evolving from reductionist paradigms to systemic and personalized approaches. Omnia supports this shift by conceiving health as a fractal phenomenon: emergent from the interplay of biological, psychological, ecological, and societal levels of intelligence.

Case Study: Systems biology models of cancer (Kitano, 2002) understand tumors as non-linear, adaptive networks. The Omnia framework further proposes recursive diagnostic layers—symptom, systemic pattern, and ontological root—to enable multidimensional healing.

5.4. Philosophy and Metaphysics

Philosophy under Omnia moves beyond analytic dualisms toward a relational ontology. Drawing from process philosophy (Whitehead), the implicate order (Bohm), and Eastern non-dualism, Omnia frames being as an emergent, dynamic intelligence.

Case Study: A comparative analysis of Nagarjuna's Madhyamaka and quantum mechanics reveals structural homologies, which Omnia explains as recursive epistemological inversions—where emptiness and indeterminacy signal higher-order coherence.

5.5. Economics and Post-Scarcity Futures

Economics through the Omnia lens becomes a study of intelligent value flows, no longer reducible to scarcity-based exchange. Omnia envisions a transition toward regenerative, post-scarcity systems rooted in distributed cognition and networked abundance.

Case Study: Commons-based peer production systems (e.g., Wikipedia, blockchain platforms) demonstrate decentralized intelligence orchestrating value beyond market pricing. These exemplify Omnia's principle of emergent economic recursion.

5.6. Education

Education is reframed not as content delivery but as epistemic transformation. Omnia advocates a pedagogy of complexity, designed to cultivate transdisciplinary intelligence and ontological awareness across learners.

Case Study: Programs like the "School of System Change" exemplify educational models that integrate systems thinking, ecological ethics, and transformative learning. Omnia deepens this by embedding recursive learning loops into curriculum design.

5.7. Architecture and Design

In the Omnia paradigm, architecture becomes the material encoding of intelligence. Buildings, spaces, and environments are seen as expressions of nested intelligences—ecological, social, symbolic, and technological.

Case Study: The Eden Project in the UK, with its geodesic biomes and regenerative landscaping, exemplifies Omnia design thinking. It mirrors natural recursive systems while enabling multispecies cohabitation and experiential learning.

6. Epistemic Architectures of The Omnia Equation

The Omnia Equation proposes a set of four foundational epistemic architectures—conceptual frameworks that articulate the recursive, systemic, and transformational nature of intelligence across domains, scales, and cultures. These architectures are not merely abstract models but cognitive scaffolds designed to illuminate the logic of love-in-formation underpinning all systems. Through these frameworks, we grasp how intelligence self-organizes, coheres, and transfigures in processes spanning the quantum to the cosmic, the biological to the cultural.

6.1. *The Recursive Intelligence Loop: Iterative Learning as Universal Process*

At the core of intelligence lies a recursive process: cycles of input, recognition, meaning-making, and action, continually feeding back to refine and evolve understanding. This loop reflects fundamental principles of cognition and emergence found in biological organisms, artificial intelligence, and social systems alike (Varela, Thompson, & Rosch, 1991; Holland, 1995). The iterative nature of this process enables systems to learn adaptively, self-correct, and transform complexity into coherent order (Kauffman, 1993; Morin, 2007). By foregrounding recursive intelligence, *The Omnia Equation* reframes cognition as an embodied, dynamic, and relational activity rooted in love and co-creation.

6.2. *The Omnia Convergence Grid: Intersections of Domains and Systemic Levels*

Transformation emerges at the nexus of diverse domains (physical, biological, social, technological, spiritual) and across multiple systemic levels (data, system, intelligence, transformation). The Omnia Convergence Grid organizes this multidimensionality, revealing how innovations and intelligences arise through cross-domain interactions (Bar-Yam, 2004; Christakis & Fowler, 2009). For example, neural networks form at the intersection of biology and intelligence, while ritual and myth emerge where culture and meaning converge (Kimmerer, 2013). This framework enables mapping and fostering emergent properties essential to systemic evolution and transdisciplinary innovation (Nicolescu, 2002; Capra & Luisi, 2014).

6.3. *The Fractal Spiral of Intelligence: Scale and Self-Similarity in Emergence*

Intelligence unfolds fractally, replicating recursive patterns across scales—from subatomic particles and neurons to organisms, societies, and galaxies (Gleick, 1987; Strogatz, 2001). The Fractal Spiral metaphor captures this self-similarity and scale-invariance, highlighting that systemic laws of self-organization and emergence operate continuously across micro, meso, and macro levels (Prigogine & Stengers, 1984; Rocha, 1998). This spiral affirms knowledge as a living, expanding phenomenon, calling for approaches that honor multi-scalar dynamics and evolutionary complexity (Margulis, 1998; Laszlo, 2007).

6.4. *The Moleka Systemologic Grid: Diagnosing and Transforming Systemic Dysfunction*

Drawing upon African Bantu epistemologies, the Moleka Systemologic Grid offers a multilayered framework to diagnose and transform systemic crises through three interconnected tiers: symptoms (visible disruptions such as conflict and breakdown), paradigms (cognitive distortions and limiting ideologies), and ontologies (deep metaphysical misalignments) (Moleka, 2025c; Mbiti, 1969; De la Cadena & Blaser, 2018). This framework insists on addressing root causes rather than superficial symptoms, aligning closely with complexity and transdisciplinary approaches to sustainability and systemic health (Ravetz, 2012; Kimmerer, 2013). By integrating ontological transformation grounded in relationality and sacredness, the Moleka Grid advances *The Omnia Equation's* call to re-enchant intelligence and foster holistic coherence.

7. Implications: Scientific, Ethical, and Civilizational Consequences

The implications of the Omnia Equation reach beyond theoretical abstraction—they reshape our understanding of science, ethics, governance, spirituality, and the future of civilization itself. As a unifying grammar of transformation, the equation offers a conceptual and practical scaffold for navigating complexity at all levels.

7.1. *Scientific Implications: Toward a Post-Newtonian Paradigm*

The Omnia Equation suggests a radical departure from linear, reductionist models of causality. Its recursive, multi-scale architecture aligns more closely with quantum logic (Zohar, 1997), nonlinear

dynamics (Strogatz, 2001), and complex adaptive systems (Holland, 1995). It supports the idea that reality is structured as a *nested intelligence*, where emergence is not an epiphenomenon, but the primary mode of becoming.

Scientific research will need to:

- Shift from analysis to synthesis, from parts to patterns.
- Embrace meta-theoretic methods that unify across domains (Nicolescu, 2002).
- Adopt complexity-informed mathematics and computational ontologies to model transformation at the edge of chaos (Kauffman, 1993).

7.2. Ethical Implications: Recursive Responsibility and Coherence Ethics

Transformation is not neutral. The Omnia Equation implies that change always propagates through fields of influence, and thus carries ethical weight. This leads to a new ethic of recursive responsibility—each decision ripples across temporal, spatial, and systemic dimensions.

We propose a coherence ethics, based on three principles:

1. Resonance – Is the transformation in harmony with the nested whole?
2. Regeneration – Does it contribute to systemic vitality and renewal?
3. Reflexivity – Is it aware of its own limits and implications?

These principles echo indigenous relational ontologies and Christian moral thought, particularly the call to “love your neighbor as yourself” (Matthew 22:39), expanded to include non-human and systemic neighbors.

7.3. Civilizational Implications: A New Logic of Governance and Culture

At a societal level, the Omnia Equation could catalyze the emergence of a new form of governance—what we may call recursive governance or meta-sapiential systems, which learn, adapt, and evolve in real time. This contrasts with rigid, centralized bureaucracies ill-suited for polycrisis environments.

Potential applications include:

- AI-assisted policy systems guided by multi-domain coherence metrics.
- Fractal organizational models that mirror living systems and ecosystems (Capra & Luisi, 2014).
- Civilizational design labs for regenerative culture, education, and planetary health.

The Christian view of governance as stewardship (Genesis 2:15)—caring for creation through wisdom, humility, and service—aligns closely with this approach, offering both spiritual grounding and ethical clarity.

8. Conclusions: The Omnia Equation as Sacred Science

The Omnia Equation is not merely a symbolic formulation; it is a cosmological invitation. It invites us to see transformation not as rupture or control, but as a dance of emergence guided by deeper coherence. It asks us to move from control to communion, from dominance to discernment, from fragmentation to fractal participation.

8.1. Toward a New Science of Reality

Just as Einstein’s relativity redefined space-time, the Omnia Equation seeks to redefine transformation as a meta-field—a recursive, relational, and meaning-laden process woven through matter, mind, and culture. It positions itself within a growing movement for post-disciplinary science, where the boundaries between physical, biological, social, and spiritual systems become porous.

This aligns with theological visions that see the cosmos as a creation in continuous becoming, animated by divine intelligence (Romans 1:20). As the Apostle Paul wrote, “In Him all things hold together” (Colossians 1:17)—a powerful echo of recursive coherence.

8.2. Future Research Horizons

To advance this vision, further work must address:

- Mathematical formalization of transformation using category theory, algebraic topology, and information geometry.
- AI and simulation models that implement the Omnia Equation in dynamic environments.
- Cross-cultural epistemic dialogues to incorporate wisdom from African, Indigenous, Eastern, and mystical traditions.
- Theology and cosmology convergence, investigating the Omnia Equation as a mathematical theology of Logos—unifying divine reason and systemic emergence.

We envision research institutes, faith-based labs, and planetary innovation hubs built around this paradigm—spaces where logic, love, and life co-create the future.

8.3. Benediction: From Logos to Omnia

The Omnia Equation may be seen as a contemporary echo of the Christian concept of the Logos: the rational, creative, intelligible Word through which all things were made (John 1:1–3). Just as the Logos brought forth the cosmos in love and wisdom, Omnia offers a universal logic of love-information—a science not only of transformation, but of transfiguration.

It is, in essence, a call to re-enchant intelligence, to reweave the sacred into the systemic, and to walk humbly with the intelligence that animates the stars and sings in the soul.

References

1. Abram, D., 1996. *The spell of the sensuous: Perception and language in a more-than-human world*. New York: Pantheon Books.
2. Ashby, W.R., 1956. *An introduction to cybernetics*. London: Chapman & Hall.
3. Barad, K., 2007. *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Duke University Press.
4. Bar-Yam, Y., 2004. *Making Things Work: Solving Complex Problems in a Complex World*. Knowledge Press.
5. Bateson, G., 1972. *Steps to an Ecology of Mind: Collected Essays in Anthropology, Psychiatry, Evolution, and Epistemology*. Chandler Publishing.
6. Bhaskar, R. 1975. *A realist theory of science*. Leeds: Leeds Books.
7. Busemeyer, J.R. and Bruza, P.D., 2012. *Quantum models of cognition and decision*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
8. Cajete, G., 1994. *Look to the mountain: An ecology of Indigenous education*. Durango, CO: Kivaki Press.
9. Capra, F. and Luisi, P.L., 2014. *The systems view of life: A unifying vision*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
10. Chalmers, D.J., 1996. *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
11. Chilisa, B., 2012. *Indigenous Research Methodologies*. London: SAGE.
12. Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. 2009. *Connected: The Surprising Power of Our Social Networks and How They Shape Our Lives*. Little, Brown and Company.
13. Christian, D. 2018., *Origin Story: A Big History of Everything*. Little, Brown Spark.
14. De la Cadena, M., & Blaser, M. (Eds.), 2018. *A World of Many Worlds*. Duke University Press.
15. Dehaene, S., 2014. *Consciousness and the Brain: Deciphering How the Brain Codes Our Thoughts*. New York: Viking.
16. Deleuze, G. and Guattari, F., 1987. *A thousand plateaus: Capitalism and schizophrenia*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

17. Deutsch, D., 2011. *The Beginning of Infinity: Explanations That Transform the World*. London: Penguin.
18. Feyerabend, P., 1975. *Against method: Outline of an anarchistic theory of knowledge*. London: New Left Books.
19. Gleick, J., 1987. *Chaos: Making a New Science*. Viking.
20. Goertzel, B., 2010. *A Cosmist Manifesto: Practical Philosophy for the Posthuman Age*. Lexington: Humanity+ Press.
21. Goertzel, B., 2010. The general theory of general intelligence: A pragmatic patternist perspective. *arXiv preprint*, [online] Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15100>.
22. Goldstein, J., 1999. Emergence as a construct: history and issues. *Emergence*, 1(1), pp.49–72.
23. Goswami, A., 1993. *The Self-Aware Universe: How Consciousness Creates the Material World*. TarcherPerigee.
24. Hofkirchner, W., 2013. *Emergent Information: A Unified Theory of Information Framework*. Singapore: World Scientific.
25. Holland, J. H., 1995. *Hidden Order: How Adaptation Builds Complexity*. Addison-Wesley.
26. Johnson, S., 2001. *Emergence: The Connected Lives of Ants, Brains, Cities, and Software*. New York: Scribner.
27. Kauffman, S.A., 1993. *The Origins of Order: Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
28. Kimmerer, R.W., 2013. *Braiding sweetgrass: Indigenous wisdom, scientific knowledge, and the teachings of plants*. Minneapolis, MN: Milkweed Editions.
29. Kitano, H., 2002. Systems biology: A brief overview. *Science*, 295(5560), pp.1662–1664. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1069492>.
30. Laszlo, E., 2007. *Science and the Akashic Field: An Integral Theory of Everything*. Inner Traditions.
31. Malone, T.W., Laubacher, R. and Dellarocas, C., 2010. The collective intelligence genome. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 51(3), pp.21–31.
32. Margulis, L, 1998. *Symbiotic Planet: A New Look at Evolution*. Basic Books.
33. Maturana, H.R. and Varela, F.J., 1987. *The tree of knowledge: The biological roots of human understanding*. Boston: Shambhala.
34. Mbiti, J. S., 1969. *African Religions and Philosophy*. Heinemann.
35. Mitchell, M., 2009. *Complexity: A Guided Tour*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
36. Moleka, P. 2024a. The Transformative Power of Innovationology. doi: 10.20944/preprints202410.2225.v1
37. Moleka, P. 2024b. The Theology of Innovation: Unveiling the Divine Spark in Human Creativity. doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.1738.v2
38. Moleka, P. 2025a. A New Epistemology of Intelligence: Rethinking Knowledge Through Noesology. doi: 10.20944/preprints202502.1377.v2
39. Moleka, P. 2025b. Post-Extractivism and the Crisis of Development: Reimagining the Congo Basin as a Knowledge Economy.
40. Moleka, P., 2025c. The Moleka Grid: An Ontological Diagnostic Framework for Systemic Transformation Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202505.1667.v1>
41. Morin, E., 2007. *On Complexity*. Hampton Press.
42. Morgan, P., 2022. The collapse of a quantum state as a joint probability construction. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 55(25), 254006.
43. Nelson, M.K. and Shilling, D., 2018. *Traditional ecological knowledge: Learning from Indigenous practices for environmental sustainability*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
44. Nicolescu, B., 2002. *Manifesto of transdisciplinarity*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.
45. Peat, F.D., 2002. *Blackfoot physics: A journey into the Native American universe*. Rochester, VT: Inner Traditions.

46. Prigogine, I. and Stengers, I., 1984. *Order out of chaos: Man's new dialogue with nature*. New York: Bantam Books.
47. Ravetz, J., 2012. The post-normal science of precaution. *Futures*, 44(7), pp.642–648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2012.03.020>
48. Rescher, N., 1996. *Process metaphysics: An introduction to process philosophy*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
49. Rocha, L.M., 1998. Selected self-organization and the semiotics of evolutionary systems. In: D. Depew and B. Weber, eds. *Evolution and learning: The Baldwin effect reconsidered*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp.341–358.
50. Rolnick, D., Donti, P.L., Kaack, L.H., Kochanski, K., Lacoste, A., Sankaran, K., Ross, A.S., Milojevic-Dupont, N., Jaques, N., Waldman-Brown, A., Luccioni, A., Maharaj, T., Sherwin, E.D., Kording, K., Gomes, C., Ng, A.Y. and Hassabis, D., 2023. Tackling climate change with machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(2), Chapter 42. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3485128>.
51. Rosen, R., 1985. *Anticipatory systems: Philosophical, mathematical and methodological foundations*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
52. Santos, B. de S., 2014. *Epistemologies of the South: Justice Against Epistemicide*. London: Routledge.
53. Shannon, C.E., 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), pp.379–423. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x>.
54. Strogatz, S.H., 2001. *Nonlinear dynamics and chaos: With applications to physics, biology, chemistry, and engineering*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
55. Teilhard de Chardin, P. (1955). *The Phenomenon of Man*. Harper & Row.
56. Teilhard de Chardin, P., 1955. *The phenomenon of man*. New York: Harper & Row.
57. Tewari, A., 2017. Adaptive tension, self-organization and emergence: A complex system perspective of supply chain disruptions.
58. Tononi, G., Boly, M., Massimini, M. and Koch, C., 2016. Integrated information theory: From consciousness to its physical substrate. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17(7), pp.450–461.
59. Tsing, A.L., 2015. *The mushroom at the end of the world: On the possibility of life in capitalist ruins*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
60. Unrau, M., 2023. The Birth of Novelty: A Causal and Nonlinear Perspective. *Nonlinear Dynamics, Psychology & Life Sciences*, 27(1).
61. Varela, F.J., Thompson, E. & Rosch, E., 1991. *The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
62. Vedral, V., 2010. *Decoding Reality: The Universe as Quantum Information*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
63. Wheeler, J.A., 1990. Information, physics, quantum: The search for links. In: W. Zurek, ed. *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*. Redwood City: Addison-Wesley, pp.3–28.
64. Youvan, D. C., 2024. Quantum Darwinism: Steering the Collapse of Probabilistic States.
65. Zeilinger, A., 2005. The message of the quantum. *Nature*, 438(7069), pp.743–743.
66. Zohar, D., 1997. *ReWiring the Corporate Brain: Using the New Science to Rethink How We Structure and Lead Organizations*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.