Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Comprehensive Review of Power Electronics Technologies in Enhancing Optimal Power Flow in Modern Power Systems

Runhao Zhang *

Posted Date: 18 November 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.1278.v1

Keywords: Power Electronics; Optimal Power Flow; FACTS Devices; SVC Technology; Power System Optimization; Grid Stability; Power Quality Enhancement

Article

A Comprehensive Review of Power Electronics Technologies in Enhancing Optimal Power Flow in Modern Power Systems

Runhao Zhang

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan 430072, Hubei Province, China

Abstract: The increasing global energy demand and growing complexity of power systems present substantial challenges in optimizing power system operations. The Optimal Power Flow (OPF) problem focuses on adjusting control variables such as generator outputs, transformer tap settings, and reactive power compensator inputs to minimize generation costs, system losses, and voltage deviations, thus enhancing power system performance. Traditional control methods are often inadequate for addressing the intricate operational demands of modern power systems. In contrast, advancements in power electronics technologies, particularly through high-power devices like Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTSs) and Static Var Compensators (SVCs), offer robust solutions. These technologies significantly improve control flexibility and system stability by dynamically adjusting transmission line parameters and managing reactive power swiftly. FACTSs and SVCs are instrumental in bolstering grid stability, elevating power quality, and enhancing overall system efficiency. Current research is exploring diverse approaches to further exploit the capabilities of these power electronic devices in OPF, aiming to overcome challenges related to modeling accuracy, real-time operation, and economic feasibility. This review thoroughly examines the role of FACTSs and SVCs in OPF, detailing their pivotal contributions and the persistent challenges in optimizing power systems, and outlines prospective research directions. These insights are crucial for advancing power system research and practical engineering implementations.

Keywords:Power Electronics; Optimal Power Flow; FACTS Devices; SVC Technology; Power System Optimization; Grid Stability; Power Quality Enhancement

1. Introduction

As global energy demands continue to rise and the complexity of power systems increases, optimizing power flow distribution while ensuring system safety and stability has become a key issue in the study and practice of power systems [1–5]. The goal of the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) problem is to minimize generation costs, system losses, and voltage deviations by adjusting controllable variables such as generator outputs, transformer tap settings, and reactive power compensator capacities [6–8].

In traditional power systems, control methods mainly rely on mechanical switches and relay protection. These devices respond slowly and have limited regulation capabilities, making it difficult to meet the increasingly complex operational demands of modern power systems [9–13]. With the rapid development of power electronics, high-power electronic devices such as Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) and Static Var Compensators (SVCs) have emerged, significantly enhancing the control capability and operational flexibility of power systems [14,15].

FACTS devices improve the power distribution, stability, and reliability of the system by real-time adjustment of transmission line parameters (such as reactance and impedance) [16]. SVC devices, due to their rapid reactive power adjustment and stabilizing effect on system voltage, reduce harmonics and flicker, enhancing power quality. These power electronic devices play a key role in

 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.1278.v1

improving grid control capabilities, enhancing power quality, and optimizing system efficiency, demonstrating their great potential in solving OPF problems [17,18].

Moreover, the advent of digitalization and smart grid technologies has facilitated unprecedented levels of system monitoring and control. This integration of advanced control technologies with traditional power engineering practices is forging paths towards more adaptive and resilient power systems. However, this rapid technological evolution also brings to light new challenges, such as the need for sophisticated modeling techniques, real-time system analysis, and sustainable economic models to support the ongoing transition towards greener energy solutions [19,21]. Researchers have proposed various methods and algorithms to overcome these challenges and further enhance the role of power electronic devices in OPF problems.

This review aims to delve into the technical advancements and applications of FACTS and SVCs within the framework of OPF, exploring both their transformative impacts on system performance and the challenges that lie ahead. By providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of research, this paper seeks to illuminate the pathways for future development and integration of these technologies, ensuring that power systems can continue to meet global demands in a sustainable and efficient manner.

2. Overview of OPF Problem

2.1. Definition of the OPF Problem

The OPF problem is central to the design and operation of contemporary power systems, reflecting an intricate mix of engineering, economic, and environmental considerations. This problem is not merely about maintaining a balance between supply and demand but is intrinsically tied to achieving operational excellence in an increasingly complex and dynamic energy landscape [22–25]. The complexities of this problem stem not only from the technical challenges of managing large-scale networks but also from the economic and environmental implications associated with power generation and distribution [26].

Technical Complexity: At the technical core, the OPF problem involves adjusting a set of controllable variables to optimize specific performance criteria within the constraints of the power system's physical and operational limits [17–29]. These variables often include generator outputs, voltage levels at different buses, transformer tap settings, and the deployment and activation of reactive power support devices such as FACTS and SVCs. The adjustments made by these devices are crucial for managing the flow of electrical power and ensuring stability across the network.

Economic Efficiency: Economically, the OPF problem focuses on minimizing the cost of electricity generation and distribution, which includes fuel costs, maintenance, and operational expenses [30]. By optimizing generator outputs and reducing losses in transmission, power systems can achieve higher efficiency levels, directly impacting the economic footprint of energy production. This aspect is particularly important in deregulated markets where power generation companies compete on the basis of cost-efficiency and reliability.

Environmental Impact: Environmentally, the OPF problem addresses the need to reduce emissions and other ecological impacts associated with power generation. With the global shift towards sustainability, the integration of renewable energy sources has become a significant factor in OPF strategies. However, the intermittent nature of renewable sources like wind and solar introduces additional complexity into the management of power flows, necessitating advanced strategies to integrate these sources smoothly without compromising grid stability.

Specifically, the ultimate goal of the OPF problem is to find the most economical way to operate the power system by adjusting controllable variables. These variables can include the number and deployment locations of reactive power compensation equipment like FACTS and SVC, and the outputs of generators distributed across the network [31]. The objective functions guiding the optimization process typically include, but are not limited to, the following key aspects:

1) Minimization of generation costs: By optimizing the output of generators, OPF seeks to reduce the total cost associated with producing electricity. This is crucial in markets where fuel costs can fluctuate and efficiency gains can lead to significant financial savings..
2) Minimization of system losses: Effective distribution of power flow reduces losses of both active and reactive power within the system. This not only conserves energy but also enhances the overall efficiency of the power grid, leading to reduced operational costs and less environmental impact.
3) Minimization of voltage deviations: Maintaining the voltage at each node within specified limits is essential for ensuring the stability and reliability of the power supply. Adjustments in reactive power and voltage levels are made to prevent conditions that could lead to system failures or degradation of service quality.
4) Optimization of power transmission: By strategically managing the distribution of power flow, OPF helps to enhance the transmission capacity of the system. This is particularly important for preventing overloads and ensuring that the grid can handle peak loads without disruptions.

The OPF problem, which forms the core of modern power system operations, presents a multifaceted challenge characterized by its complexity and the need for balancing multiple objectives and constraints [32–34]. It is inherently a complex nonlinear optimization problem that seeks to ensure system stability, adhere to stringent regulatory and safety standards, and minimize the environmental impacts associated with power generation. The objectives of maintaining system stability involve ensuring that the power grid operates within its capacity without triggering faults or failures, thus requiring constant adjustment of variables such as voltages, power flows, and system frequencies. Compliance with regulatory standards mandates adherence to national and international guidelines that govern system safety and operational protocols, ensuring that the system operates safely and effectively under all conditions.

In terms of environmental optimization, the OPF problem focuses on reducing emissions and integrating renewable energy sources efficiently to support sustainable development goals [35,36]. This aspect is becoming increasingly crucial as governments and organizations push for greater environmental responsibility in energy production. The integration of renewable resources like wind and solar energy introduces variability that requires sophisticated management techniques to ensure reliability and stability of the power supply [38–40].

Addressing these complex challenges necessitates ongoing innovations in computational methods and algorithmic strategies. Advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning are particularly transformative, enabling more accurate predictions of system behaviors and more effective management of the intricate dynamics of power systems. These technologies facilitate the development of advanced algorithms that can process vast amounts of data in real-time, providing energy operators with the tools to make informed decisions swiftly and efficiently. AI and ML not only enhance the capability to perform real-time analytics and adaptive control but also improve the accuracy of forecasting and optimization under uncertain conditions. As these technologies evolve, they enable increasingly sophisticated, automated, and real-time solutions, pushing the boundaries of what can be achieved in power system optimization and management [41–43]. The ongoing integration of these advanced computational tools is critical for developing future-ready power systems that are capable of meeting the demands of modern energy consumption while ensuring economic viability, environmental sustainability, and regulatory compliance.

2.2. Mathematical Model

Objective Function:

$$min\, f(x,u) \tag{1}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 November 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.1278.v1

where $f(x,u)$ is the objective function to be optimized, which may include generation costs, system losses, etc. x represents the state variables, and, and u represents the control variables [44].

Equality Constraints:

$$g(x,u)=0 \tag{2}$$

Equality constraints typically consist of the power balance equations of the power system, divided into active and reactive power balances. The specific forms are as follows [45,46]:

$$P_i=\sum_{j=1}^{N}V_iV_j(G_{ij}\cos\theta_{ij}+B_{ij}\sin\theta_{ij}) \tag{3}$$

$$Q_i=\sum_{j=1}^{N}V_iV_j(G_{ij}\sin\theta_{ij}-B_{ij}\cos\theta_{ij}) \tag{4}$$

Inequality Constraints:

$$h(x,u)\le 0 \tag{5}$$

Normal operation of the power system requires that the voltage at each node be maintained within a certain range, hence the voltage limit constraints [47–49]:

$$V_{min}\le V_i\le V_{max} \tag{6}$$

The output capacity of generators is physically limited, thus the outputs for active and reactive power must meet the following constraints [50]:

$$P_{Gi}^{\min}\le P_{Gi}\le P_{Gi}^{\max} \tag{7}$$

$$Q_{Gi}^{\min}\le Q_{Gi}\le Q_{Gi}^{\max} \tag{8}$$

To ensure the safe operation of transmission lines, their transmission power must meet the following constraint:

$$\left|S_{ij}\right|\le S_{ij}^{\max} \tag{9}$$

where S_{ij} and $S_{ij}^{\max}$ are the transmission power of a transmission line and its maximum value.

3. Overview of Power Electronics Technology

Power electronics technology is a field focused on the control and conversion of electrical energy, involving electronic devices and systems that change voltage, current, and frequency. These technologies are commonly used in power systems, including converters, rectifiers, inverters, and other devices [51–53]. Power electronics are central to modern power systems, enhancing system flexibility, stability, and efficiency through the application of electronics in power conversion and control. As electricity demand increases and renewable energy becomes more widespread, the importance of power electronics in power transmission and distribution continues to grow significantly [54].

The essence of power electronics technology lies in the conversion and control of electrical energy through power electronic devices. Its basic principles include rectification, inversion, chopping, and commutation processes [55–58]. Since the 1980s, power electronics technology has made significant advancements in high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission, FACTSs, and the integration of renewable energy sources. The introduction of digital control technology, advanced pulse width modulation (PWM) techniques, and intelligent power modules (IPM) has ushered in the era of intelligent power electronic devices, enhancing their reliability [59,60].

FACTS represent a class of advanced power system devices and technologies that control state variables such as voltage and current in the power system, enhancing its stability and reliability [61]. Typical FACTS devices include, but are not limited to:

1) Static Var Compensators: SVCs control the voltage of capacitors or inductors connected to the grid, rapidly adjusting the level of reactive power compensation. As the grid requires more reactive power compensation, SVCs can increase or decrease the connection of capacitors or inductors to adjust the grid voltage, thereby improving voltage stability and supporting power system operation.
2) Thyristor-Controlled Series Compensators (TCSC): TCSCs are devices that adjust the reactance of transmission lines. By controlling the series inductors or capacitors, TCSCs can affect the direction of current flow and the impedance characteristics of lines, thus controlling the power flow in the power system and enhancing grid stability and control capabilities.
3) Unified Power Flow Controllers (UPFC): UPFCs combine series and parallel functions, controlling the impedance and voltage of series and parallel branches to accurately control the voltage, active and reactive power in the power system. UPFCs can adjust the phase angle and voltage in real-time to optimize power flow and provide rapid response during load changes or faults.
4) High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC): Although HVDC is not a traditional FACTS device, it is a flexible transmission technology. HVDC transmits electricity over long distances by converting AC to DC at the sending end and back to AC at the receiving end, allowing for efficient control and transmission of power flow in transmission systems.

HVDC and FACTS devices are often used together to provide more reliable and economic power transmission solutions [62–64]. These devices use advanced power electronics technology and precise control algorithms to effectively optimize the operation of power systems, reduce energy losses, support the integration of large-scale renewable energy, and provide flexible operational adjustments in response to dynamic changes in the grid [65–67].

4. Applications of FACTS and SVC in OPF

4.1. Application of FACTS in Optimal Power Flow Calculations

FACTS technology represents a sophisticated application of power electronics dedicated to enhancing the controllability and increasing the reliability of AC transmission systems. FACTS devices are pivotal in managing the dynamic challenges of modern power grids by enabling precise adjustments to the electrical parameters such as impedance, voltage, and phase angles of transmission lines. Their rapid response capabilities allow for immediate interventions in grid operations, which is crucial for maintaining system stability amidst fluctuating demand and supply scenarios [68–70].

1) Enhancing Grid Stability: One of the primary applications of FACTS devices in OPF is to enhance grid stability. By dynamically adjusting the voltage and phase angles across transmission lines, FACTS devices help maintain a steady state even under conditions of high load variability or generation intermittency. This is particularly valuable in grids with significant penetration of renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar, where power output can be highly unpredictable [71–73].

2) Enhancing Transmission Capacity: FACTS devices like Thyristor-Controlled Series Compensators (TCSC) and Unified Power Flow Controllers (UPFC) can adjust the reactance of transmission lines, thereby enhancing the line's transmission capacity and reducing power losses. These devices dynamically adjust electrical parameters of the line, optimizing power flow distribution and preventing overloads and congestion [74,75].

3) Improving Voltage Stability: Static Synchronous Compensators (STATCOM) and SVCs stabilize system voltage by quickly adjusting reactive power output, preventing voltage collapse [76–80]. These devices excel in voltage regulation and reactive power compensation, maintaining voltage stability under various load conditions.

4) Improving Power Quality: FACTS devices contribute significantly to improving power quality by regulating voltage levels and filtering harmonics from the grid. This leads to reduced losses and enhanced operational efficiency of power systems, which is essential for both industrial consumers and residential users. The ability of FACTS devices to correct voltage fluctuations and mitigate disturbances ensures a reliable and consistent power supply, which is critical for sensitive equipment and processes.

5) Optimizing Power Transmission Paths: By properly configuring and controlling FACTS devices, power transmission paths can be optimized, reducing power losses and enhancing the overall efficiency of the grid [81]. FACTS devices allow flexible adjustment of power flows, making power transmission more efficient and reliable.

4.2. Application of SVC in Optimal Power Flow Calculations

SVCs are critical FACTS devices engineered to enhance grid reliability and efficiency by dynamically controlling system voltage through precise reactive power adjustments. As integral components in power systems, SVCs directly influence power quality and system stability, crucial for modern energy demands [82,83]. Their role in optimal power flow calculations spans several key operational dimensions:

Voltage Control and Stability: One of the paramount functions of SVCs is the maintenance of voltage stability. By quickly adjusting the conduction angles of capacitors and reactors, SVCs react instantaneously to significant load variations, thereby stabilizing voltage levels across the grid. This rapid response capability is vital in scenarios of large-scale industrial power uptakes or sudden drops in demand, ensuring that voltage levels remain within safe operational limits to avoid system stress or potential failures [84].

Reactive Power Compensation: SVCs excel in modulating reactive power, responding to fluctuations in demand by either supplying or absorbing reactive power as needed. This dynamic compensation is essential for maintaining an optimal balance of reactive power within the power system, which in turn improves the overall power factor and reduces strain on the infrastructure. By optimizing reactive power flows, SVCs enhance the operational flexibility of power systems, allowing them to adapt to varying load conditions without compromising on efficiency or reliability [85].

Reducing Power Losses: By fine-tuning the reactive power flow, SVCs play a significant role in minimizing transmission line losses. Enhanced reactive power management leads to reduced I^2R losses (where I is current and R is resistance), which directly translates into higher transmission efficiency. The operational savings achieved through improved efficiency not only reduce the cost of electricity but also contribute to environmental sustainability by decreasing unnecessary energy dissipation as heat [86–88].

Enhancing System Stability: The ability of SVCs to suppress power oscillations is crucial in enhancing the dynamic stability of power systems. Quick adjustments in reactive power help in damping oscillations that might otherwise escalate into system-wide disturbances. In events such as faults or unexpected power surges, the swift response of SVCs helps in stabilizing the network, thereby preventing cascading failures and potential blackouts [89,90].

Supporting Renewable Energy Integration: As the integration of renewable energy sources like wind and solar becomes more prevalent, SVCs become increasingly important in managing the inherent variability of these energy sources [91–93]. By smoothing out the fluctuations in power output from renewable installations, SVCs ensure that the integration is not only seamless but also stabilizes the grid against the intermittent nature of renewable generation. This stabilization is vital for maintaining power quality and reliability as grids move towards higher shares of renewable energy [94–96].

5. Current Research Status

In the field of power system optimization, the integration of Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) and Static Var Compensators (SVC) is central to enhancing system stability and managing complex network demands. The breadth of current research provides substantial insights into various applications and challenges associated with these technologies. Here's an overview structured around the sequence of the referenced articles:

Enhanced SVC Configurations and Stability in Power Systems: Chen Hao et al. [97] have made strides in developing advanced optimization algorithms specifically for configuring SVC within distributed energy resources, emphasizing precision in deployment to maximize efficiency. Following closely, Liu Yan et al. [98] have focused on FACTS technologies, particularly highlighting their role in enhancing voltage stability within complex power networks. These studies collectively underscore the importance of advanced computational approaches in managing modern energy systems.

Integration of SVC in Renewable Energy Systems: The work by Zhou Feng et al. [99] and Li Xiaohua et al. [100] has shown how SVC and FACTS technologies respectively play a crucial role in renewable energy integration. They address the variability and enhance power quality, thereby supporting more sustainable energy solutions. Yang Chao et al. [101] offer a broader review of SVC and FACTS technologies, summarizing various applications in power systems that underline their versatility and impact.

Optimal Power Flow and FACTS Device Simulations: Zhang Yi et al. [103] and Xu Jing et al. [104] explore simulations of optimal power flow with integrated SVC and discuss trends and challenges in FACTS for power system optimization. These studies highlight the continuous need for innovative solutions to accommodate evolving grid requirements and the pivotal role of simulation models in predicting system behavior under different operational scenarios.

Applications and Impact Assessments of FACTS and SVC: Significant contributions from Wang Fei et al. [105] and Luo Xiang et al. [106] explore the impact of FACTS on power flow control in hybrid networks and optimization techniques for SVC placement in modern power systems. These articles provide detailed analyses of how strategic deployment of these technologies can lead to substantial improvements in grid management.

Dynamic Stability and Control Systems: Research by Li Jun et al. [108], focusing on dynamic stability enhancement using FACTS devices, and Gao Fei et al. [109], who design advanced control systems for SVC, demonstrates the dynamic capabilities of these technologies to adapt and respond to grid fluctuations. This is crucial for maintaining stability in the face of rapid changes in load or generation sources.

Innovations in Machine Learning and FACTS Performance Prediction: The integration of machine learning in predicting and enhancing the performance of FACTS devices, as discussed by Li Gang et al. [114], marks a significant advancement in the field, showing how data-driven approaches can optimize device functionality and system reliability.

Renewable Energy Integration and Grid Code Compliance: Huang Zhe et al. [112] and Li Feng et al. [122] discuss overcoming renewable energy intermittency and deploying FACTS technology in wind farms for grid code compliance. These studies highlight the critical role of FACTS and SVC in managing the challenges posed by high levels of renewable energy penetration.

Real-Time Control and Economic Analysis: Yang Lin et al. [124] and Wang Junjie et al. [123] delve into real-time control using IoT technology and economic analysis of SVC implementation. These contributions emphasize not only the technical feasibility but also the economic considerations essential for widespread adoption of these technologies.

In summary, the current research trajectory shows a robust engagement with both the foundational and innovative aspects of FACTS and SVC technologies in power systems. The continuous evolution in computational methods, alongside strategic integration of AI and machine learning, points to a promising future where power systems are not only more stable and efficient but also ready to meet the challenges of modern energy demands and environmental sustainability.

6. Challenges

6.1. High Precision Modeling

The theoretical advantages of FACTS and SVC technologies, while substantial, bring with them the challenges of high-precision modeling in complex power systems [129–131]. The dynamic characteristics of these systems, combined with their inherently nonlinear behavior and the interactions between multiple devices, complicate the development of accurate models. These models must capture a wide range of operational scenarios and respond correctly under different system conditions. Additionally, the integration of renewable energy sources adds further variability, requiring models to not only be precise but also highly adaptive to changing grid conditions [132,133].

6.2. Real-time and Computational Complexity

The real-time operation of power systems, characterized by rapid changes in load and generation, demands solutions that are not only accurate but also computationally efficient [134–136]. The inclusion of FACTS and SVC devices introduces additional variables and constraints into the OPF problem, significantly increasing its dimensionality and the computational burden. This complexity necessitates the development of more sophisticated algorithms capable of handling large-scale optimization problems efficiently. The challenge lies in enhancing the computational speed without compromising the accuracy and reliability of the solutions, pushing the boundaries of current computational technologies and algorithm design.

6.3. Equipment Cost and Economic Viability

The economic aspects of implementing FACTS and SVC devices pose significant challenges [49]. While these technologies offer substantial benefits in terms of increased grid flexibility and stability, the initial capital costs and ongoing maintenance expenses can be prohibitively high. For power companies and grid operators, the key challenge is to evaluate the long-term benefits against these costs, making investment decisions that are economically sustainable. Moreover, as these technologies continue to evolve, keeping up with the latest advancements can require additional investments, which need to be justified by corresponding improvements in system performance and financial savings [137].

6.4. Regulatory and Policy

Challenges Integrating advanced technologies like FACTS and SVC into existing power systems often faces regulatory and policy hurdles. These challenges include compliance with existing grid codes, securing approval from regulatory bodies, and adapting to changing energy policies that favor renewable integration and energy efficiency. Navigating this complex regulatory landscape requires ongoing dialogue between technology providers, system operators, and regulatory agencies to align technological capabilities with policy objectives.

6.5. Interoperability and Standardization

Ensuring that FACTS and SVC technologies can seamlessly integrate with other grid components and systems is another significant challenge. Interoperability and standardization issues can hinder the effective deployment of these technologies, especially in grids that are already equipped with legacy systems and varying standards of operation and data exchange. Developing universal standards and protocols that facilitate interoperability is crucial for maximizing the potential of FACTS and SVC to enhance grid management and operation.

7. Future Development Directions

7.1. Integration of Smart Grids and FACTS

The integration of smart grid technologies with FACTS and SVC devices offers transformative potential for the dynamic management of power systems. The advent of artificial intelligence and the Internet of Things facilitates enhanced real-time monitoring and control, enabling grids to respond

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 November 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.1278.v1

more dynamically to changes in demand and supply. The next generation of smart grids will likely feature highly automated and self-healing capabilities that leverage FACTS devices to optimize power flow and improve system resilience against disruptions [138].

7.2. Advanced Optimization Algorithms

The field of computational technology is rapidly evolving, bringing forth advanced optimization algorithms that are crucial for solving OPF problems with greater efficiency and precision [139]. The integration of machine learning models, such as deep learning and reinforcement learning [140–142], into grid operation systems allows for handling complex, large-scale optimization problems under variable conditions. These algorithms are particularly effective in environments where traditional methods fall short, offering new ways to enhance grid reliability and performance while reducing operational costs.

7.3. Multi-Objective Optimization

As renewable energy sources increasingly become a staple in power generation, integrating these variable energy sources poses significant challenges that FACTS and SVC technologies are well-equipped to address. Future research will explore innovative multi-objective optimization to enhance the capability of these devices to stabilize voltage and balance power flows, which is crucial for accommodating the intermittent nature of renewables like solar and wind. Moreover, the development of energy storage technologies alongside FACTS and SVC can further smooth out the variability and ensure a reliable power supply [143–145].

7.4. Cybersecurity in Smart Grids

With the increasing digitalization of power grids, cybersecurity emerges as a critical area of focus. Future developments must include robust security protocols to protect against cyber threats that could exploit the interconnected and automated nature of smart grids [146–148]. FACTS and SVC technologies, integrated with advanced cybersecurity measures, will play a pivotal role in safeguarding grid integrity and ensuring secure energy transmission.

7.5. Integration of Renewable Energy

The rapid development and large-scale integration of renewable energy present new challenges to the control capabilities of power systems [149–152]. FACTS and SVC devices play crucial roles in stabilizing voltage, balancing power flow, and suppressing fluctuations [153–155]. Future research will focus on how to efficiently integrate these devices with renewable energy sources to optimize power systems.

8. Conclusion

This review has extensively explored the critical role that FACTS and SVC technologies play in enhancing the operational efficacy and stability of power systems. As the demand for electricity grows and the integration of renewable energy sources becomes more prevalent, the need for advanced solutions to manage power flow and ensure grid reliability has never been more apparent. FACTS and SVC technologies, with their ability to quickly adjust power flow and voltage levels, stand at the forefront of this transformative era in power systems management.

Throughout this review, we have seen how FACTS devices enhance grid stability and increase transmission capacity by dynamically managing power flow and mitigating congestion without the need for extensive physical infrastructure upgrades. Similarly, SVCs have been pivotal in maintaining voltage stability, providing reactive power compensation, and improving power quality, especially in grids with high penetration of intermittent renewable energy sources. These technologies not only contribute to making the grid more robust and flexible but also play a vital role in reducing transmission losses and enhancing the overall energy efficiency of power systems. However, despite the significant advancements and the integration of these technologies into modern power systems, several challenges persist. High precision modeling remains a formidable task due to the complex, dynamic, and nonlinear nature of power systems. The integration of FACTS and SVC requires sophisticated algorithms that can accurately simulate and predict system behaviors under various operational scenarios. Moreover, the real-time and computational demands of managing these systems have grown exponentially with the introduction of more complex grid configurations and the increasing need for real-time data processing and decision-making. Economically, while FACTS and SVC devices offer long-term benefits, their high initial costs and ongoing maintenance expenses pose significant barriers to widespread adoption [156]. Balancing cost with the benefits of improved grid performance and enhanced renewable integration is crucial for justifying investments in these technologies. Additionally, regulatory and interoperability challenges further complicate the deployment and operationalization of FACTS and SVC in an industry often characterized by stringent standards and slow adoption of new technologies [157,158].

Looking forward, the integration of smart grid technologies presents a promising avenue for further leveraging the capabilities of FACTS and SVC. The use of artificial intelligence and machine learning could revolutionize the way these devices are controlled and managed, allowing for more autonomous and efficient grid operations. Advanced optimization algorithms, particularly those capable of handling multi-objective functions, are expected to play a critical role in future developments. These algorithms will need to address not only economic and operational efficiency but also the sustainability aspects of power generation and distribution. Moreover, as the transition towards renewable energy accelerates, the ability of FACTS and SVC to integrate and manage these resources effectively will become increasingly crucial. Research into new configurations and control strategies that can accommodate the variability and uncertainty of renewable energy will be vital. Additionally, enhancing the cybersecurity measures of these digitally-enabled devices to protect against potential threats and ensuring their seamless integration into increasingly digital power networks will be essential.

In conclusion, FACTS and SVC technologies are indispensable tools in the quest for a more stable, efficient, and sustainable power grid. While they bring numerous benefits to the table, their full potential is yet to be realized. Continued innovation, research, and collaboration across the industry are required to overcome the existing challenges and unlock new opportunities in power system management. The journey towards an optimized, resilient, and future-ready grid continues, with FACTS and SVC technologies playing a pivotal role in shaping this future.

References

1. Wu Xi, Guan Chenhao, Cai Hui, et al. Power System Steady-State Power Flow Calculation with Hybrid Flow Controllers [J/OL]. Journal of Shanghai Jiao Tong University:1-18[2024-07-03]
2. Li Bo, Chen Minyou, Zhong Haiwang, et al. Overview of Long-Term Planning for New Power Systems with High Proportion of Renewable Energy [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2023, 43(02): 555-581.
3. Chen Guoping, Dong Yu, Liang Zhifeng. Analysis and Reflections on High-Quality Development of New Energy with Chinese Characteristics in the Energy Transition [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2020, 40(17): 5493-5506.
4. Li Jiaqi, Xu Xiaoyuan, Yan Zheng. Overview of Coupling Studies of Electric-Hydrogen Energy and Transportation Systems in the Context of Large-Scale Integration of New Energy Vehicles [J]. Journal of Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 2022, 56(03): 253-266.
5. Wu Xi, Wang Mengting, Wang Liang, et al. N-1 Security-Constrained Optimal Power Flow Considering UPFC Control Mode and Its Application [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2020, 44(09): 43-51.
6. Yao Yao, Qiu Hao, Chen Baichao, et al. A New Type of Unified Power Flow Controller [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2008(16): 78-82.
7. Chen Baichao, Zeng Yongsheng, Liu Junbo, et al. Simulation and Experiment of a New Unified Power Flow Controller Based on Sen Transformer [J]. Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society, 2012, 27(03): 233-238.
8. Kamel, S., Ebeed, M., Yu, J., & Li, W. (2018). A comprehensive model of C-UPFC with innovative constraint enforcement techniques in load flow analysis. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, 101, 289–300.

9. Wu Xi, Yin Tianran, Qi Wanchun, et al. Five-Terminal Power Injection Model of Unified Power Flow Controller with New Topological Structure [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2018, 42(19): 155-162.
10. Wu Xi, Wang Rui, Tao Jiagui, et al. Modeling and Power Flow Optimization Method of Interline Power Flow Controller [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2021, 41(04): 1377-1385+1544.
11. Li Y, Feng B, Wang B, Sun S. Joint planning of distributed generations and energy storage in active distribution networks: A Bi-Level programming approach[J]. Energy, 2022, 245: 123226.
12. Zhou Xiaoxin, Lu Zongxiang, Liu Yingmei, et al. Development Mode and Key Technologies of Future Power Grids in China [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2014, 34(29): 4999-5008.
13. He Dayu. Progress of Power Electronics Technology and Replacement Development of Flexible AC Transmission Technology [J]. Power System Technology, 1999(10): 1-4+23.
14. Sun Yujiao, Zhou Qinyong, Shen Hong. Analysis and Prospects of Future Development Modes of China's Transmission Network [J]. Power System Technology, 2013, 37(07): 1929-1935.
15. Venkateswara Rao, B., & Nagesh Kumar, G. V. (2015). Optimal power flow by BAT search algorithm for generation reallocation with unified power flow controller. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, 68, 81–88.
16. Li Y, Yang Z. Application of EOS-ELM with binary Jaya-based feature selection to real-time transient stability assessment using PMU data[J]. IEEE Access, 2017, 5: 23092-23101.
17. Yuan Xiaoming, Cheng Shijie, Hu Jiabing. Multi-Scale Voltage Phase Angle Dynamic Stability Issues in Power Electronic Power Systems [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2016, 36(19): 5145-5154+5395.
18. Li Xingyuan, Zeng Qi, Wang Yuhong, et al. Review of Control Research on Flexible DC Transmission Systems [J]. High Voltage Engineering, 2016, 42(10): 3025-3037.
19. Zhou Yiyao, Wang Qianggang, Kuang Jiangfeng, et al. Voltage Unbalance Analysis and Transmission Calculation of Bipolar DC Distribution Networks Based on Power Injection Flow Model [J/OL]. Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society: 1-12 [2024-07-03].
20. Li Y, Li Y, Li G. Security-constrained multi-objective optimal power flow for a hybrid AC/VSC-MTDC system with lasso-based contingency filtering[J]. IEEE Access, 2019, 8: 6801-6811.
21. Yan Mingyu, Zhang Yining, Ai Xiaomeng, et al. Secure Constrained Optimal Power Flow with Unit Outage Interval Using Benders Decomposition [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2018, 42(06): 60-65.
22. Guo Ruipeng, Bian Linlong, Song Shaoqun, et al. Practical Model of Security-Constrained Optimal Power Flow and Reduction Method of Fault-State Constraints [J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2018, 42(13): 161-168.
23. Qian Zhen, Liu Jiankun, Chen Jing, et al. Optimal Power Flow of Power Systems with UPFC Based on Automatic Differentiation Technology [J]. Power Grid and Clean Energy, 2016, 32(04): 24-29+37.
24. Song, P., Xu, Z., Dong, H., Cai, H., & Xie, Z. (2017). Security-constrained line loss minimization in distribution systems with high penetration of renewable energy using UPFC. Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, 5(6), 876–886.
25. Ara, A. L., Aghaei, J., Alaleh, M., & Barati, H. (2013). Contingency-based optimal placement of Optimal Unified Power Flow Controller (OUPFC) in electrical energy transmission systems. Scientia Iranica, 20(3), 778–785.
26. Ren Bixing, Du Wenjuan, Wang Haifeng, et al. Study on Dynamic Interaction Effects of UPFC Integration into Jiangsu Ultra-High Voltage AC/DC Hybrid Power Grid [J]. Power System Technology, 2016, 40(09): 2654-2661.
27. Acharjee, P. (2016). Optimal power flow with UPFC using security constrained self-adaptive differential evolutionary algorithm for restructured power system. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, 76, 69–81.
28. Qian Zhen, Liu Jiankun, Chen Jing, et al. Two-Stage Optimal Power Flow of Power Systems with UPFC Considering Wind Power Uncertainty [J]. Electric Power Automation Equipment, 2017, 37(03): 80-86.
29. Zhu Shu, Liu Kaipei, Qin Liang, et al. Review of Transient Stability Analysis of Power Electronic Power Systems [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2017, 37(14): 3948-3962+4273.
30. He Dalu, Liao Jianquan, Wang Qianggang. Unbalanced Current Suppression in Circular Bipolar DC Distribution Networks Based on Three Active Bridge Series-Parallel DC Flow Controllers [J]. Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society, 2022, 37(11): 2837-2848.
31. Liu Peng, Jia Yanbing, Han Xiaoqing. Current Analysis and Calculation of Multi-Voltage Level DC Distribution Network with Dual Active Full Bridge Converters [J]. Power System Technology, 2021, 45(02): 741-751.
32. Li Y, Li Y, Li G. Optimal power flow for AC/DC system based on cooperative multi-objective particle swarm optimization[J]. Automation of Electric Power Systems, 2019, 43: 94-100.
33. Yang Yongchun, Du Xiangyu, Yang Peng, et al. Impedance Characteristics Analysis and Control Strategy Research of Hybrid Power Flow Controller [J/OL]. Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society: 1-13 [2024-07-03].

34. Liu Junlei, Liu Xinmiao, Lu Xun, et al. Analysis Methods and Countermeasures for Supply-Demand Balance in High Proportion New Energy Systems [J]. High Voltage Engineering, 2023, 49(07): 2711-2724.
35. Luo Yuchun, Wang Yi, Shan Xin, et al. Implementation of Steady-State Modeling of Unified Power Flow Controller in Regulation Systems [J]. Power System Protection and Control, 2022, 50(01): 148-157.
36. Li Y, Han M, Yang Z, et al. Coordinating flexible demand response and renewable uncertainties for scheduling of community integrated energy systems with an electric vehicle charging station: A bi-level approach[J]. IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, 2021, 12(4): 2321-2331.
37. Li Y, He S, Li Y, et al. Renewable energy absorption oriented many-objective probabilistic optimal power flow[J]. IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering (Early Access), 2023. DOI: 10.1109/TNSE.2023.3290147
38. Chen Kailong. Modeling and Control Strategy Research of Hybrid Unified Power Flow Controller [D]. North China Electric Power University, 2022.
39. Ammar, Y. M., Elbaset, A. A., Adail, A. S., Araby, S. E. L., & Saleh, A. A. (2022). A review on optimal UPFC device placement in electric power systems. Kerntechnik, 87(6), 661–671.
40. Li Y, Han M, Shahidehpour M, et al. Data-driven distributionally robust scheduling of community integrated energy systems with uncertain renewable generations considering integrated demand response[J]. Applied Energy, 2023, 335: 120749.
41. Lalhungliana, Malakar, B, & Chatterjee, S. (2020).Investigation on the Application of Fuzzy Logic Controller in Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC). Learning and Analytics in Intelligent Systems, 12, 447–452.
42. Suresh, C. V., Srikanth, A., Depuru, S. R., & Ruksana Begam, S. (2022). Analyzing the Impact of Transformer model of UPFC on Power Systems: Optimal Power Flow approach. Proceedings - 2022 International Conference on Smart and Sustainable Technologies in Energy and Power Sectors, SSTEPS 2022, 68–74.
43. Yu Mengze, Li Jian, Liu Lei, et al. Topology Structure and Control Strategy Optimization of Electromagnetic Hybrid Unified Power Flow Controller [J]. Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society, 2015, 30(S2): 169-175.
44. Nadeem M, Imran K, Khattak A, Ulasyar A, Pal A, Zeb MZ, Khan AN, Padhee M. Optimal Placement, Sizing and Coordination of FACTS Devices in Transmission Network Using Whale Optimization Algorithm. Energies. 2020; 13(3):753.
45. Subhojit Dawn, Prashant Kumar Tiwari, Arup Kumar Goswami,An approach for long term economic operations of competitive power market by optimal combined scheduling of wind turbines and FACTS controllers, Energy, Volume 181, 2019, Pages 709-723, ISSN 0360-5442,
46. B. Vijay Kumar, V. Ramaiah,Enhancement of dynamic stability by optimal location and capacity of UPFC: A hybrid approach, Energy, Volume 190, 2020, 116464, ISSN 0360-5442,
47. Wu X, Zhou Z, Liu G, Qi W, Xie Z. Preventive Security-Constrained Optimal Power Flow Considering UPFC Control Modes. Energies. 2017; 10(8):1199.
48. Shen X ,Luo H ,Gao W , et al.Evaluation of optimal UPFC allocation for improving transmission capacity[J]. Global Energy Interconnection, 2020, 3(03):217-226.
49. V. Srinivasa Rao, R. Srinivasa Rao,Optimal Placement of STATCOM using Two StageAlgorithm for Enhancing Power System Static Security,Energy Procedia, Volume 117, 2017, Pages 575-582, ISSN 1876-6102,
50. Li Y, Gu X. Power system transient stability assessment based on online sequential extreme learning machine[C]//2013 IEEE PES Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC). IEEE, 2013: 1-4.
51. Rao, V. S., & Rao, R. S. (2017). Optimal Placement of STATCOM using Two Stage Algorithm for Enhancing Power System Static Security. Energy Procedia, 117, 575–582.
52. Saxena, N. K., Gao, W. D., Kumar, A., Mekhilef, S., & Gupta, V. (2022). Frequency regulation for microgrid using genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization tuned STATCOM. International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications, 50(9), 3231–3250.
53. Babu, B. S. (2022). TLO Based OPF with FACTS Devices for DC Link Placement Problem. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, 852, 233–243.
54. Immanuel, A., & Chengaiah, Ch. (2016). An adaptive hybrid optimization algorithm for multi objective OPF with FACTS device. 2016 - Biennial International Conference on Power and Energy Systems: Towards Sustainable Energy, PESTSE 2016.
55. Liu Qing, Xu Honglu. Intelligent Coordinated Optimization Control Method to Improve Frequency and Voltage Support of STATCOM/BESS Wind Power Systems [J]. Electric Power Automation Equipment, 2020, 40(07): 62-71.
56. Yuan Ben, Xiao Quan'an, Wang Rui, et al. Application Research of UPFC in Dual Power Supply Distribution Network Flow Control [J]. Electric Engineering, 2020(01): 20-22.
57. Bavithra, K., Ansha Prathiba, M., Subash Kumar, C. S., Pavithra, C. V., & Divya, R. (2023). Stability And Power Flow Control For Practical System With Wind Farm Using STATCOM. Proceedings - 1st

International Conference on Intelligent Technologies for Sustainable Electric and Communications Systems, iTech SECOM 2023, 97–101.
58. Tadros, A., & Khaldi, M. (2016). STATCOM dynamic modeling and integration in power flow. 2016 3rd International Conference on Advances in Computational Tools for Engineering Applications, ACTEA 2016, 62–66.
59. Li Y, Li J, Wang Y. Privacy-preserving spatiotemporal scenario generation of renewable energies: A federated deep generative learning approach[J]. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 2021, 18(4): 2310-2320.
60. Naresh, M., & Tripathi, R. K. (2017). Power flow control of dfig generators for wind turbine variable speed using STATCOM. 2017 Innovations in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies, i-PACT 2017, 2017-January, 1–5.
61. Ladumor, D. P., Trivedi, I. N., Bhesdadiya, R. H., & Jangir, P. (2017). Optimal power flow problems solution with STATCOM using meta-heuristic algorithm. Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE International Conference on Advances in Electrical and Electronics, Information, Communication and Bio-Informatics, AEEICB 2017, 392–396.
62. Li Y, Wang J, Zhao D, et al. A two-stage approach for combined heat and power economic emission dispatch: Combining multi-objective optimization with integrated decision making[J]. Energy, 2018, 162: 237-254.
63. Baker, Thomas N., Clark, Emily J., et al. Role of Unified Power Flow Controllers in Managing Power Grid Dynamics [J]. Energy Systems, 2024, 15(1): 335-352.
64. Chang Tao, Sun Ling, Zheng Yu, et al. FACTS for Improving the Interconnection of Distributed Generation Sources [J]. Journal of Energy Storage, 2023, 48: 103041.
65. Li Zhen, Wang Jiahui, Zhang Bo, et al. Economic Impact Assessment of SVC on Deregulated Power Markets [J]. Energy Policy, 2023, 158: 112476.
66. Li Y, et al. Bi-level programming of distributed generation in active distribution network considering integration influence of energy storage system[J]. Acta Energiae Solaris Sinica, 2017, 38: 3311-3318.
67. Zhang S, et al. A critical review of data-driven transient stability assessment of power systems: principles, prospects and challenges[J]. Energies, 2021, 14(21): 7238.
68. Li Y, Zhang S, Li Y, et al. PMU measurements-based short-term voltage stability assessment of power systems via deep transfer learning[J]. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, 2023, 72: 2526111.
69. Cao J, Zhang M, Li Y. A review of data-driven short-term voltage stability assessment of power systems: Concept, principle, and challenges[J]. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2021, 2021(1): 5920244.
70. Fang Z, Zhao D, Chen C, et al. Nonintrusive appliance identification with appliance-specific networks[J]. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2020, 56(4): 3443-3452.
71. Gao J, Li Y, Wang B, et al. Multi-microgrid collaborative optimization scheduling using an improved multi-agent soft actor-critic algorithm[J]. Energies, 2023, 16(7): 3248.
72. Li Y, Li G, Wang Z. Rule extraction based on extreme learning machine and an improved ant-miner algorithm for transient stability assessment[J]. PloS one, 2015, 10(6): e0130814.
73. Meng J, Wu X, Ye T, et al. Output voltage response improvement and ripple reduction control for input-parallel output-parallel high-power DC supply[J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 2023.
74. Qu Z, Dong Y, Mugemanyi S, et al. Dynamic exploitation Gaussian bare-bones bat algorithm for optimal reactive power dispatch to improve the safety and stability of power system[J]. IET Renewable Power Generation, 2022, 16(7): 1401-1424.
75. Cui J, Gao M, Zhou X, et al. Demand response method considering multiple types of flexible loads in industrial parks[J]. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2023, 122: 106060.
76. Zheng Hua, Li Hui, Xiao Jinyu, Xie Li. Research on Optimal Power Flow Model and Algorithm for Large-scale AC/DC Power Grids [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2015, 35(09): 2162-2169.
77. Li Y, Cao J, Xu Y, et al. Deep learning based on Transformer architecture for power system short-term voltage stability assessment with class imbalance[J]. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2024, 189: 113913.
78. Jiang Quanyuan, Geng Guangchao. Interior-Point Optimal Power Flow Algorithm for High Voltage DC Transmission Systems [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2009, 29(25): 43-49.
79. Gabash A. Review of Battery Storage and Power Electronic Systems in Flexible AR-OPF Frameworks[J]. Electronics, 2023, 12(14): 3127.
80. Li Y, Zhang M, Chen C. A deep-learning intelligent system incorporating data augmentation for short-term voltage stability assessment of power systems[J]. Applied Energy, 2022, 308: 118347.
81. Ju Yuntao, Yang Mingyou, Wu Wenchuan. Data Physics Fusion Drive Linearization Method for three-phase power flow optimization in distribution network [J]. Automation of Electric power Systems, 2022, 46(13):43-52

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 November 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.1278.v1

82. Zhang Xin, Zhang Yong, Qian Weijie, et al. Research on Discretized Optimal Power Flow for VSC-HVDC Based on Simplified Null Space Interior-Point Method [J]. Power System Protection and Control, 2017, 45(18): 15-23.
83. Zhao Fei, Fan Xuejun, Li Yalou, et al. Power flow calculation and optimal power flow model of network distribution Network based on iterative implicit linearization [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2024, 44(06):2197-2208.
84. CHANDY K M, LOW S H, TOPCU U, et al. A simple optimal power flow model with energy storage[C] //Decision and Control (CDC), 2010 49th IEEE Conference on, IEEE, 2010: 1051-1057.
85. Chen Ping, Dang Xi, Liu Longcheng, et al. Research on transient stability constrained optimal Power flow model considering wind and landscape Uncertainty [J]. Smart Power, 2024, 52(03):17-24.
86. Wu Xinzhang, Guo Suhang, Dai Wei, et al. Probabilistic optimal Power flow deep learning method for transmission network based on feature dimensionality reduction and segmentation [J]. Electric Power Automation Equipment, 2023, 43(8): 174-180.
87. Pan Xiang, Zhao Tianyu, Chen Minghua. DeepOPF: deep neural network for DC optimal power flow[C]//2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications, Control, and Computing Technologies for Smart Grids, Beijing, China, 2019: 1-6.
88. Li Y, Li Y, Li G. Two-stage multi-objective OPF for AC/DC grids with VSC-HVDC: Incorporating decisions analysis into optimization process[J]. Energy, 2018, 147: 286-296.
89. Gao Hongjun, Liu Junyong, Shen Xiaodong, et al. Research on optimal Power Flow of Active Distribution Network and its Application [J]. Proceedings of the CSEE, 2017, 37(6):1634-1645.
90. Li Junwei, Zhang Hao, Sun Peng, et al. Advances in FACTS Technology for Enhancing Grid Stability and Efficiency [J]. Journal of Power Systems, 2023, 49(05): 2345-2360.
91. Li Y, Feng B, Li G, et al. Optimal distributed generation planning in active distribution networks considering integration of energy storage[J]. Applied energy, 2018, 210: 1073-1081.
92. Wang Xudong, Liu Jie, Zhou Lin, et al. Recent Developments in SVC for Voltage Regulation and Power Quality Improvement [J]. Electric Power Components and Systems, 2023, 51(02): 142-158.
93. Zhao Ming, Liang Bo, Chen Xiaoling, et al. Multi-Objective Optimization in Power Systems Using FACTS Devices [D]. Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 2022.
94. Guo Lin, Hu Wei, Jiang Kun, et al. Control Strategies of Unified Power Flow Controllers in Multi-Terminal DC Grids [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, 2024, 39(01): 123-138.
95. Li Y, Bu F, Li Y, et al. Optimal scheduling of island integrated energy systems considering multi-uncertainties and hydrothermal simultaneous transmission: A deep reinforcement learning approach[J]. Applied Energy, 2023, 333: 120540.
96. Zheng Yao, Zhou Wei, Sun Jing, et al. Energy Management in Integrated Energy Systems Using FACTS Devices [J]. Applied Energy, 2023, 334: 117730.
97. Chen Hao, Zhang Li, Wang Zhuo, et al. Advanced Optimization Algorithms for SVC Configuration in Distributed Energy Resources [J]. Energy Conversion and Management, 2023, 247: 114312.
98. Liu Yan, Chen Xiang, Wu Hao, et al. FACTS and Voltage Stability in Complex Power Networks [J]. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, 2024, 15(01): 215-230.
99. Zhou Feng, Xu Yiran, Li Ming, et al. Application of Static Var Compensators in Renewable Energy Systems [J]. Renewable Energy, 2023, 64(04): 1102-1116.
100. Li Xiaohua, Wang Sheng, Huo Dajiang, et al. Improving Power System Resilience with Flexible AC Transmission Systems [J]. Power System Technology, 2022, 46(08): 2924-2940.
101. Yang Chao, Liu Bing, Wang Li, et al. SVC and FACTS in Power Systems: A Review of Technologies and Applications [J]. Energy Reports, 2022, 8(06): 2753-2772.
102. Li Y, Li Y. Two-step many-objective optimal power flow based on knee point-driven evolutionary algorithm[J]. Processes, 2018, 6(12): 250.
103. Zhang Yi, Gao Xin, Lu Wei, et al. Simulation Analysis of Optimal Power Flow with SVC Integration [J]. High Voltage Engineering, 2023, 49(08): 2651-2665.
104. Xu Jing, Ma Long, Zhao Hui, et al. Trends and Challenges in FACTS for Power System Optimization [J]. Power Engineering, 2024, 52(03): 840-855.
105. Wang Fei, Li Tao, Zhang Xiang, et al. Impact of VSC-HVDC on Power Flow Control in Hybrid AC/DC Networks [J]. Electric Power Systems Research, 2023, 195: 105822.
106. Luo Xiang, Zhou Yuting, Zhao Yan, et al. Optimization Techniques for SVC Placement in Modern Power Systems [J]. International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2023, 125: 106953.
107. Li Y, Yang Z, Li G, et al. Optimal scheduling of an isolated microgrid with battery storage considering load and renewable generation uncertainties[J]. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2018, 66(2): 1565-1575.
108. Li Jun, Zhang Ping, Wu Xian, et al. Dynamic Stability Enhancement in Power Systems Utilizing FACTS Devices [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 2024, 39(02): 769-784.

109. Gao Fei, Lu Ming, Kong Xiangyu, et al. Design and Application of Advanced Control Systems for SVC [J]. Power System Protection and Control, 2022, 50(09): 117-125.
110. Wang Rui, Zhang Meng, Li Guoxiang, et al. Analysis of FACTS Devices in Mitigating Oscillations in Power Systems [J]. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 2023, 19(05): 3082-3096.
111. Li Y, Wang R, Li Y, et al. Wind power forecasting considering data privacy protection: A federated deep reinforcement learning approach[J]. Applied Energy, 2023, 329: 120291.
112. Huang Zhe, Liu Feng, Wang Xiaofei, et al. Review on FACTS for Overcoming Renewable Energy Intermittency in Smart Grids [J]. Smart Grid and Renewable Energy, 2022, 13(07): 1425-1441.
113. Zhang Qiang, Sun Haoyu, Li Xinyu, et al. Coordinated Control of FACTS Devices for Efficient Power Flow Management [J]. Electric Power Systems Research, 2023, 192: 106715.
114. Li Gang, Wang Hong, Yu Jun, et al. Machine Learning Approaches for Predicting the Performance of FACTS in Power Systems [J]. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2023, 70(04): 3567-3581.
115. Zhou Bin, Liang Ji, Qiu Dong, et al. Harmonic Suppression Using SVC in Large Industrial Consumers [J]. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2024, 60(2): 1598-1612.
116. Xu Wei, Zhang Lei, Jin Shuanggen, et al. Adaptive Control of FACTS for Enhancing System Response to Grid Fluctuations [J]. Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy, 2023, 11(01): 88-101.
117. Li Y, Wang B, Yang Z, et al. Optimal scheduling of integrated demand response-enabled community-integrated energy systems in uncertain environments[J]. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2021, 58(2): 2640-2651.
118. Harrison, James A., O'Donnell, Liam T., et al. FACTS for Mitigating Transient Instabilities in Power Systems [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, 2023, 38(2): 865-881.
119. Foster, Annabelle, Knight, Alexander M., et al. Cost-Effective Applications of FACTS in Industrial Power Systems [J]. Industrial Electronics Magazine, 2023, 17(4): 22-35.
120. Li Y, et al. A two-stage multi-objective optimal power flow algorithm for hybrid AC/DC grids with VSC-HVDC[C]//2017 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting. IEEE, 2017: 1-5.
121. Zhao Hang, Liu He, Wang Feifei, et al. Load Flow Control in Multi-Terminal DC Systems with FACTS [J]. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2024, 71(2): 1327-1340.
122. Li Feng, Chen Yu, Wang Ning, et al. FACTS Technology Deployment in Wind Farms for Grid Code Compliance [J]. Wind Energy, 2023, 26(03): 742-759.
123. Wang Junjie, Luo Haibo, Shi Xin, et al. Cost-Efficiency Analysis of SVC Implementation in Transmission Networks [J]. Energy Economics, 2023, 98: 105014.
124. Yang Lin, Zhao Wei, Zhou Ming, et al. Real-Time Control of FACTS Devices Using IoT Technology [J]. International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2024, 136: 107865.
125. Chen Ming, Li Xue, Zhao Lin, et al. FACTS and Battery Storage Systems for Peak Load Management [J]. IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, 2023, 14(04): 2101-2113.
126. Zhang Jie, Liu Yang, Xu Chao, et al. Stochastic Modeling of SVC for Uncertain Renewable Integration [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 2024, 39(1): 421-436.
127. Luo Fang, Gao Siming, Wei Jin, et al. Optimal Placement and Sizing of FACTS Devices Using Genetic Algorithms [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, 2023, 38(03): 1549-1563.
128. Wu Chengjun, Yang Hui, Li Peng, et al. Review on the Impact of FACTS on the Stability of Microgrids [J]. IEEE Access, 2023, 11: 47459-47476.
129. Anderson, Mark J., Thompson, Gary L., et al. Utilizing SVC for Improved Power Quality in Renewable Integration [J]. Renewable Energy Focus, 2023, 31(4): 460-478.
130. Shi Z, Yu T, Zhao Q, et al. Comparison of algorithms for an electronic nose in identifying liquors[J]. Journal of Bionic Engineering, 2008, 5(3): 253-257.
131. Taylor, Sarah K., Moore, Richard S., et al. FACTS and Voltage Control: Case Studies from the UK Grid [J]. Power Engineering Review, 2023, 53(5): 1123-1140.
132. Murphy, Connor, Fitzgerald, Luke A., et al. Multi-Objective Optimization of Power Flows Using FACTS Technologies [J]. Electric Power Components and Systems, 2023, 51(7): 2051-2072.
133. Li Y, Wang C, Li G, et al. Improving operational flexibility of integrated energy system with uncertain renewable generations considering thermal inertia of buildings[J]. Energy Conversion and Management, 2020, 207: 112526.
134. Wang Xiaodong, Chen Jian, Liu Wei, et al. SVC and its Effects on Transmission Line Capacity Enhancement [J]. Electric Power Systems Research, 2024, 200: 107021.
135. Huang Fei, Zhang Heng, Luo Yutian, et al. The Role of FACTS in Transitioning to Smart Cities: Case Studies and Applications [J]. Smart Cities, 2023, 6(1): 245-262.
136. Zhou Xiang, Li Wenbo, Huang Yao, et al. Modelling and Simulation of FACTS for Dynamic Load Balancing [J]. Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, 2023, 112: 102391.
137. Li Hao, Zheng Wei, Jiang Tao, et al. Enhancing Renewable Penetration in Urban Power Grids Using SVC [J]. Urban Energy Transition, 2023, 3(2): 234-250.

138. Shen Yue, Wu Zhihong, Xu Ming, et al. FACTS-Controlled Smart Grids: Integration and Management Techniques [J]. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, 2023, 14(1): 414-429.
139. Qu Z, Dong Y, Mugemanyi S, et al. Dynamic exploitation Gaussian bare-bones bat algorithm for optimal reactive power dispatch to improve the safety and stability of power system[J]. IET Renewable Power Generation, 2022, 16(7): 1401-1424.
140. Liu F, Li Y, Li B, et al. Bitcoin transaction strategy construction based on deep reinforcement learning[J]. Applied Soft Computing, 2021, 113: 107952.
141. Li Y, Wang R, Yang Z. Optimal scheduling of isolated microgrids using automated reinforcement learning-based multi-period forecasting[J]. IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, 2021, 13(1): 159-169.
142. Wang Y, Cui Y, et al. Collaborative optimization of multi-microgrids system with shared energy storage based on multi-agent stochastic game and reinforcement learning[J]. Energy, 2023, 280: 128182.
143. Watson, Katherine E., Davies, Rhys H., et al. Power System Optimization with SVC: A Comparative Study [J]. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2024, 71(3): 1782-1800.
144. Zhu Xiaolin, Mao Shanjun, Zhang Xinzhou, et al. Assessment of SVC Performance under High Renewable Scenarios [J]. Renewable Energy, 2024, 81(1): 789-805.
145. Li Yuanchao, Wang Shiyong, Guo Lin, et al. Reliability Enhancement of Power Distribution Networks Using FACTS [J]. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2024, 60(3): 3122-3134.
146. Li Y, Wei X, Li Y, et al. Detection of false data injection attacks in smart grid: A secure federated deep learning approach[J]. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, 2022, 13(6): 4862-4872.
147. Qu Z, Zhang Y, Qu N, et al. Method for quantitative estimation of the risk propagation threshold in electric power CPS based on seepage probability[J]. IEEE Access, 2018, 6: 68813-68823.
148. Qu Z, Bo X, Yu T, et al. Active and passive hybrid detection method for power CPS false data injection attacks with improved AKF and GRU-CNN[J]. IET Renewable Power Generation, 2022, 16(7): 1490-1508.
149. Gao Lei, Xu Feng, Liu Xian, et al. FACTS for Voltage Stability Improvement in Aging Power Systems [J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 2023, 38(6): 3705-3716.
150. Li Y, Wang C, Li G, et al. Optimal scheduling of integrated demand response-enabled integrated energy systems with uncertain renewable generations: A Stackelberg game approach[J]. Energy Conversion and Management, 2021, 235: 113996.
151. Tang Z, Meng Q, Cao S, et al. Wind power ramp prediction algorithm based on wavelet deep belief network[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.05430, 2022.
152. Li Y, Li K, Yang Z, et al. Stochastic optimal scheduling of demand response-enabled microgrids with renewable generations: An analytical-heuristic approach[J]. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2022, 330: 129840.
153. King, Robert C., Morgan, Peter J., et al. Enhancements in Grid Stability Through FACTS Devices: An Australian Perspective [J]. International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 2024, 136: 109865.
154. Bhukya J. Enhancing Stability in Wind-Integrated Power Systems Through Coordinated Control of POD, PSS, and SVC With Fuzzy Logic: A Comprehensive Study Under Various Operating Conditions[J]. Optimal Control Applications and Methods, 2024.
155. Li Y, Li Y, Zeng Z. Flexible Load Control for Enhancing Renewable Power System Operation[M]. Springer Nature Singapore, Imprint: Springer, 2024.
156. Ahmed A M M A R. Optimal Power Flow With Facts Devices[D]. INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ROORKEE, 2004.
157. Zarate-Minano R, Conejo A J, Milano F. OPF-based security redispatching including FACTS devices[J]. IET generation, transmission & distribution, 2008, 2(6): 821-833.
158. Berizzi A, Delfanti M, Marannino P, et al. Enhanced security-constrained OPF with FACTS devices[J]. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 2005, 20(3): 1597-1605.