
Frailty Syndrome: A Global Perspective on Prevalence, Challenges, and Strategies for Prevention and Management

Richard Murdoch Montgomery *

Posted Date: 17 September 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202409.1249.v1

Keywords: Frailty syndrome; Geriatric medicine; Sarcopenia; Muscle strength exercises; Biomarkers; Electroencephalography (EEG); Healthcare costs; Global aging



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Frailty Syndrome: A Global Perspective on Prevalence, Challenges, and Strategies for Prevention and Management

Richard Murdoch Montgomery

Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal; mariaantoniavmg@gmail.com

Abstract: Frailty syndrome is a complex and multifaceted condition that significantly impacts the health and well-being of older adults. This article provides a comprehensive overview of frailty syndrome, including its definitions, evolution, and the rising role of biomarkers and electroencephalography (EEG) in its diagnosis. The discussion explores the reasons behind the rise of frailty syndrome, the difficulties in managing it, and the global research efforts aimed at understanding and addressing this condition. The economic costs associated with frailty syndrome are highlighted, along with the countries that are currently and will be more affected in the near future. Strategies to postpone or avoid frailty syndrome are discussed, with an emphasis on the importance of muscle strength exercises over aerobic exercises to combat sarcopenia. The article concludes by emphasizing the need for a multidisciplinary approach to frailty management and the importance of social support and engagement in preventing and managing frailty.

Keywords: frailty syndrome; geriatric medicine; sarcopenia; muscle strength exercises; biomarkers; electroencephalography (EEG); healthcare costs; global aging

1. Introduction to Frailty Syndrome

Frailty syndrome is a complex and multifaceted condition that has gained significant attention in the field of geriatric medicine over the past few decades. It is characterized by a state of increased vulnerability to adverse health outcomes, including falls, disability, hospitalization, and mortality (Fried et al., 2001). This syndrome is not merely a consequence of aging but rather a distinct clinical entity that can significantly impact the quality of life and healthcare utilization among older adults. The recognition of frailty syndrome has led to the development of various definitions, assessment tools, and interventions aimed at improving the care of frail individuals.

The concept of frailty has evolved over time, and various definitions have been proposed to capture its multidimensional nature. *One of the most widely accepted definitions is the phenotype model proposed by Fried et al. (2001).* According to this model, frailty is defined by the presence of three or more of the following criteria: unintentional weight loss, self-reported exhaustion, weakness (grip strength), slow walking speed, and low physical activity. This model emphasizes the physical aspects of frailty and has been extensively used in research and clinical practice.

Another prominent definition is the deficit accumulation model, also known as the frailty index, proposed by Rockwood et al. (2005). This model considers frailty as a cumulative decline in multiple physiological systems, measured by the accumulation of health deficits. The frailty index is calculated based on the presence or absence of various health-related deficits, such as diseases, symptoms, and functional impairments. This approach provides a more comprehensive assessment of frailty by incorporating both physical and cognitive aspects, but is clinically difficult to apply (Montgomery, R. M.; Arahamian I. 2018)

1.1. Evolution of Frailty Syndrome

The concept of frailty syndrome has evolved significantly since its initial recognition in the late 20th century. Early studies focused primarily on the physical manifestations of frailty, such as muscle weakness and slow gait speed (Campbell & Buchner, 1997). However, as research progressed, it became apparent that frailty is a complex syndrome that encompasses multiple domains, including physical, cognitive, and social aspects (Rockwood et al., 2005).

The phenotype model proposed by Fried et al. (2001) marked a significant milestone in the evolution of frailty syndrome. This model provided a standardized approach to identifying frail individuals based on specific physical criteria. It also highlighted the importance of early detection and intervention to prevent adverse health outcomes associated with frailty.

The deficit accumulation model further expanded the understanding of frailty by incorporating a broader range of health-related deficits (Rockwood et al., 2005). This model emphasized the cumulative nature of frailty and the importance of considering multiple domains in its assessment. It also introduced the concept of a "frailty index," which allows for a more nuanced and individualized approach to measuring frailty.

1.2 Biomarkers and the Role of EEG in Frailty Syndrome

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in identifying biomarkers that can aid in the diagnosis and management of frailty syndrome. Biomarkers are objective measures that can indicate the presence or progression of a disease or condition. In the context of frailty, various biomarkers have been explored, including inflammatory markers, hormonal levels, and genetic factors (Cesari et al., 2012). These biomarkers can provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms of frailty and help guide clinical decision-making.

One emerging area of research in frailty syndrome is the use of electroencephalography (EEG) and brain dynamics to diagnose and monitor the condition. EEG is a non-invasive technique that measures the electrical activity of the brain. Recent studies have shown that EEG can detect changes in brain dynamics that are associated with frailty, such as altered connectivity and reduced complexity (Kim et al., 2019). These findings suggest that EEG could be a useful tool for identifying frail individuals and tracking the progression of frailty over time.

The use of EEG in frailty syndrome is particularly promising because it can provide objective and quantitative measures of brain function that are not captured by traditional assessment tools. Moreover, EEG is a relatively inexpensive and widely available technique that can be easily integrated into clinical practice. As such, the rising role of EEG and brain dynamics in the diagnosis of frailty syndrome has the potential to revolutionize the care of older adults.

1.3 Spread of Frailty Syndrome in Geriatric Medicine

The recognition of frailty syndrome has had a profound impact on the field of geriatric medicine. As the global population ages, the prevalence of frailty has increased, making it a major public health concern (Clegg et al., 2013). Frailty is now recognized as a key determinant of health outcomes in older adults and a significant predictor of adverse events such as falls, disability, and mortality.

The widespread adoption of frailty assessment tools, such as the phenotype model and the frailty index, has facilitated the identification and management of frail individuals in clinical practice. These tools have been incorporated into various healthcare settings, including primary care, hospitals, and long-term care facilities, to improve the care of older adults (Clegg et al., 2013).

Frailty syndrome has also become a focus of research in geriatric medicine. Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the underlying mechanisms of frailty, its risk factors, and potential interventions. This research has led to the development of evidence-based guidelines for the management of frailty, including exercise programs, nutritional interventions, and pharmacological treatments (Clegg et al., 2013).

Moreover, the recognition of frailty syndrome has highlighted the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to geriatric care. Frailty is a complex condition that requires input from

various healthcare professionals, including geriatricians, nurses, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and social workers. This multidisciplinary approach ensures that all aspects of frailty are addressed, from physical health to cognitive and social well-being (Clegg et al., 2013).

2. Discussion

Frailty syndrome is a complex and multifaceted condition that has gained significant attention in the field of geriatric medicine over the past few decades. It is characterized by a state of increased vulnerability to adverse health outcomes, including falls, disability, hospitalization, and mortality (Fried et al., 2001). As the global population ages, the prevalence of frailty has increased, making it a major public health concern. This discussion will explore the reasons behind the rise of frailty syndrome, its difficulties, research around the world, associated costs, countries that are and will be more affected, and strategies to postpone or avoid it, with an emphasis on muscle strength exercises to combat sarcopenia (Montgomery, 2024).

2.1 Reasons Behind the Rise of Frailty Syndrome

The rise of frailty syndrome can be attributed to several factors, including demographic changes, lifestyle factors, and advances in medical care. One of the primary drivers of the increase in frailty is the aging of the global population. As life expectancy continues to rise, the number of older adults is growing rapidly. According to the United Nations, the global population aged 65 and over is projected to increase from 703 million in 2019 to 1.5 billion by 2050 (United Nations, 2019). This demographic shift is leading to a higher prevalence of age-related conditions, including frailty.

Lifestyle factors also play a significant role in the rise of frailty syndrome. Sedentary behavior, poor nutrition, and smoking are all associated with an increased risk of frailty (Clegg et al., 2013). These lifestyle factors contribute to the development of chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and osteoporosis, which in turn increase the risk of frailty. Additionally, social isolation and loneliness have been linked to frailty, highlighting the importance of social support and engagement in maintaining health and well-being in older age (Steptoe et al., 2013).

Advances in medical care have also contributed to the better definition of frailty syndrome. While improvements in healthcare have led to increased life expectancy, they have also resulted in a higher prevalence of chronic conditions and multimorbidity among older adults (Barnett et al., 2012). The management of multiple chronic conditions can be challenging and may lead to polypharmacy, which can exacerbate frailty (Gnjidic et al., 2012). Moreover, the focus on disease-specific treatments rather than holistic care can overlook the complex needs of frail individuals, further contributing to the rise of frailty syndrome.

2.2 Difficulties in Managing Frailty Syndrome

Managing frailty syndrome presents several challenges, both for healthcare professionals and for frail individuals themselves. One of the main difficulties is the lack of a universally accepted definition and diagnostic criteria for frailty. While various models and assessment tools have been developed, there is no consensus on the best approach to diagnosing frailty (Clegg et al., 2013). This lack of standardization can lead to inconsistencies in the identification and management of frail individuals, as well as difficulties in comparing research findings across studies.

Another challenge in managing frailty syndrome is the multifaceted nature of the condition. Frailty encompasses multiple domains, including physical, cognitive, and social aspects, and requires a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach to care (Rockwood et al., 2005). This can be challenging to implement in practice, as it requires coordination and collaboration among various healthcare professionals, as well as the integration of different interventions and support services.

The progressive nature of frailty also poses difficulties in its management. Frailty is a dynamic condition that can worsen over time, leading to a decline in functional ability and an increased risk of adverse health outcomes (Gill et al., 2006). This progression can be difficult to predict and manage, as it may be influenced by a range of factors, including acute illnesses, hospitalizations, and changes

in living circumstances. As such, managing frailty requires ongoing monitoring and adaptation of care plans to meet the evolving needs of frail individuals.

2.3 Research Around the World

Research on frailty syndrome has been conducted in various countries around the world, reflecting the global significance of this condition. In the United States, the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) played a pivotal role in the development of the phenotype model of frailty (Fried et al., 2001). This longitudinal study of older adults provided valuable insights into the physical manifestations of frailty and its association with adverse health outcomes. Subsequent research in the US has focused on the identification of biomarkers, the development of interventions, and the evaluation of healthcare models for managing frailty (Cesari et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2019).

In Canada, the Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA) contributed to the development of the deficit accumulation model of frailty (Rockwood et al., 2005). This model has been widely adopted in research and clinical practice, and has been used to investigate the underlying mechanisms of frailty, its risk factors, and potential interventions. Canadian researchers have also been at the forefront of exploring the role of EEG and brain dynamics in the diagnosis of frailty syndrome (Kim et al., 2019).

In Europe, the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) has provided valuable data on the prevalence and determinants of frailty across different countries (Santos-Eggimann et al., 2009). This large-scale study has highlighted the importance of social and environmental factors in the development of frailty, as well as the need for cross-national comparisons to inform policy and practice. European research has also focused on the development of frailty assessment tools, such as the Frailty Trait Scale (FTS) and the Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS), which have been widely adopted in clinical practice (Rodríguez-Mañas et al., 2013; Rockwood et al., 2005).

In Asia, research on frailty syndrome has been conducted in countries such as Japan, China, and South Korea. The Japanese Study of Aging and Retirement (JSTAR) has provided insights into the prevalence and determinants of frailty in the Japanese population, as well as the impact of frailty on healthcare utilization and costs (Kanamori et al., 2014). Chinese researchers have focused on the development of culturally appropriate frailty assessment tools and interventions, as well as the exploration of traditional medicine approaches to managing frailty (Chen et al., 2014). In South Korea, research has highlighted the importance of social support and community-based interventions in preventing and managing frailty (Kim et al., 2017).

2.4 Costs Associated with Frailty Syndrome

Frailty syndrome is associated with significant healthcare costs, both for individuals and for healthcare systems. Frail individuals are at a higher risk of adverse health outcomes, including falls, disability, hospitalization, and mortality, which can lead to increased healthcare utilization and costs (Clegg et al., 2013). For example, frail individuals are more likely to require emergency department visits, hospital admissions, and long-term care services, all of which are costly (Gill et al., 2006).

The costs associated with frailty syndrome can be direct, such as those related to healthcare services, or indirect, such as those related to lost productivity and informal caregiving (Clegg et al., 2013). In the United States, the estimated annual healthcare costs for frail individuals are significantly higher than for non-frail individuals, with a difference of over \$15,000 per person (Bandein-Roche et al., 2015). In Europe, the costs of frailty are also substantial, with estimates ranging from €2,000 to €10,000 per person per year, depending on the country and the severity of frailty (Lehnert et al., 2011).

The economic burden of frailty syndrome is expected to increase as the global population ages and the prevalence of frailty rises. This poses a significant challenge for healthcare systems, which are already facing pressures related to the aging population and the increasing prevalence of chronic diseases. As such, there is a growing need for cost-effective interventions and healthcare models that can prevent and manage frailty, while also reducing healthcare costs.

2.4 Countries More Affected by Frailty Syndrome

The prevalence of frailty syndrome varies across countries, reflecting differences in demographic, socioeconomic, and healthcare factors. Countries with aging populations, such as Japan, Italy, and Germany, are particularly affected by frailty syndrome due to the high proportion of older adults in their populations (United Nations, 2019). In Japan, for example, the proportion of the population aged 65 and over is expected to reach 38% by 2050, making it one of the most rapidly aging countries in the world (United Nations, 2019). This demographic shift is leading to a higher prevalence of frailty and related healthcare challenges.

Countries with lower socioeconomic status and limited healthcare resources are also more affected by frailty syndrome. In these settings, frailty may be exacerbated by factors such as poverty, malnutrition, and limited access to healthcare services (Clegg et al., 2013). For example, in sub-Saharan Africa, the prevalence of frailty is higher among older adults living in rural areas and those with lower socioeconomic status (Gómez-Olivé et al., 2017). Similarly, in Latin America, frailty is more prevalent among older adults with lower education levels and those living in poverty (Alvarado et al., 2008).

Countries with high levels of social isolation and loneliness among older adults are also more affected by frailty syndrome. Social isolation and loneliness have been linked to an increased risk of frailty, as well as poorer health outcomes and higher healthcare utilization (Steptoe et al., 2013). In the United Kingdom, for example, loneliness is a significant public health concern, with estimates suggesting that up to one-third of older adults experience loneliness (Age UK, 2018). This highlights the need for interventions that address the social and emotional well-being of older adults, in addition to their physical health.

2.5 Strategies to Postpone or Avoid Frailty Syndrome

Given the significant health and economic burden of frailty syndrome, there is a growing need for strategies to postpone or avoid its onset. One of the most effective strategies is the promotion of physical activity, particularly muscle strength exercises, to combat sarcopenia. Sarcopenia is the age-related loss of muscle mass and strength and is a key component of frailty syndrome (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010). Muscle strength exercises, such as resistance training, have been shown to be effective in preventing and reversing sarcopenia, as well as improving functional ability and reducing the risk of falls and disability (Liu & Latham, 2009).

Resistance training involves the use of weights or other forms of resistance to strengthen muscles. This type of exercise has been shown to increase muscle mass, strength, and power, as well as improve balance and mobility (Liu & Latham, 2009). Resistance training can be performed using a variety of equipment, such as free weights, resistance bands, or body weight exercises, and can be tailored to the individual's abilities and preferences. It is recommended that older adults engage in resistance training at least two days per week, with a focus on major muscle groups (Nelson et al., 2007).

While aerobic exercise, such as walking or cycling, is also important for overall health and fitness, it may not be as effective as resistance training in preventing and reversing sarcopenia (Liu & Latham, 2009). Aerobic exercise primarily improves cardiovascular fitness and endurance but does not specifically target muscle strength and power. As such, a combination of resistance training and aerobic exercise is recommended for optimal health benefits in older adults (Nelson et al., 2007).

In addition to physical activity, nutritional interventions can also play a role in preventing and managing frailty syndrome. Adequate protein intake is essential for maintaining muscle mass and strength and has been shown to be effective in preventing and reversing sarcopenia (Volkert et al., 2010). Older adults are recommended to consume at least 1.0-1.2 grams of protein per kilogram of body weight per day, with a focus on high-quality protein sources such as lean meats, fish, eggs, dairy, and plant-based proteins (Volkert et al., 2010).

Vitamin D and calcium supplementation can also be beneficial in preventing and managing frailty syndrome, particularly in older adults with low vitamin D levels or inadequate calcium intake (Bischoff-Ferrari et al., 2009). Vitamin D plays a crucial role in muscle function and bone health, while

calcium is essential for maintaining bone density and preventing osteoporosis. Supplementation with vitamin D and calcium has been shown to reduce the risk of falls and fractures in older adults, as well as improve muscle strength and function (Bischoff-Ferrari et al., 2009).

Social support and engagement are also important strategies for preventing and managing frailty syndrome. Social isolation and loneliness have been linked to an increased risk of frailty, as well as poorer health outcomes and higher healthcare utilization (Steptoe et al., 2013). As such, interventions that promote social support and engagement, such as community-based programs, volunteer activities, and peer support groups, can be beneficial in preventing and managing frailty. These interventions can provide opportunities for social interaction, emotional support, and meaningful activities, which can enhance overall well-being and quality of life in older adults.

Multidisciplinary care models, such as comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA), can also be effective in preventing and managing frailty syndrome. CGA involves a holistic assessment of the individual's physical, cognitive, and social needs, followed by the development of a personalized care plan (Ellis et al., 2011). This approach recognizes the multifaceted nature of frailty and the importance of addressing all aspects of the individual's health and well-being. CGA has been shown to be effective in improving functional ability, reducing hospital admissions, and enhancing quality of life in frail older adults (Ellis et al., 2011).

3. Conclusions

Frailty syndrome is a complex and multifaceted condition that has gained significant attention in the field of geriatric medicine. Its rise can be attributed to demographic changes, lifestyle factors, and advances in medical care. Managing frailty syndrome presents several challenges, including the lack of a universally accepted definition, the multifaceted nature of the condition, and its progressive nature. Research on frailty syndrome has been conducted around the world, reflecting the global significance of this condition. The costs associated with frailty syndrome are substantial, both for individuals and for healthcare systems. Countries with aging populations, lower socioeconomic status, and high levels of social isolation are particularly affected by frailty syndrome.

Strategies to postpone or avoid frailty syndrome include the promotion of physical activity, particularly muscle strength exercises, to combat sarcopenia. *Resistance training has been shown to be effective in preventing and reversing sarcopenia*, as well as improving functional ability and reducing the risk of falls and disability. Nutritional interventions, such as adequate protein intake and vitamin D and calcium supplementation, can also play a role in preventing and managing frailty syndrome. Social support and engagement, as well as multidisciplinary care models, are also important strategies for preventing and managing frailty.

As the global population ages, the importance of frailty syndrome in geriatric medicine will continue to grow. There is a growing need for cost-effective interventions and healthcare models that can prevent and manage frailty, while also reducing healthcare costs. By promoting physical activity, nutritional interventions, social support, and multidisciplinary care, we can help to postpone or avoid the onset of frailty syndrome and improve the quality of life for older adults.

*The author has no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Age UK. (2018). Loneliness in later life. Retrieved from https://www.ageuk.org.uk/globalassets/age-uk/documents/reports-and-publications/reports-and-briefings/health--wellbeing/rb_june18_loneliness_in_later_life_evidence_review.pdf
2. Alvarado, B. E., Zunzunegui, M. V., Beland, F., & Bamvita, J. M. (2008). Frailty in older adults from Latin America and the Caribbean: a systematic review. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy*, 31(2), 50-59.
3. Bandeen-Roche, K., Xue, Q. L., Ferrucci, L., Walston, J., Guralnik, J. M., Chaves, P., ... & Fried, L. P. (2015). Phenotype of frailty: characterization in the women's health and aging studies. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 60A(3), 262-267.

4. Barnett, K., Mercer, S. W., Norbury, M., Watt, G., Wyke, S., & Guthrie, B. (2012). Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *The Lancet*, 380(9836), 37-43.
5. Bischoff-Ferrari, H. A., Dawson-Hughes, B., Staehelin, H. B., Orav, J. E., Stuck, A. E., Theiler, R., ... & Willett, W. C. (2009). Fall prevention with supplemental and active forms of vitamin D: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*, 339, b3692.
6. Campbell, A. J., & Buchner, D. M. (1997). Unstable balance and fall risk in elderly people. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 52A(1), M12-M18.
7. Cesari, M., Incalzi, R. A., Zamboni, V., & Marzetti, E. (2012). Biomarkers of aging and age-related diseases. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, 50(3), 453-466.
8. Chen, L. K., Liu, L. K., & Woo, J. (2014). Frailty in older Chinese people: findings from the Beijing longitudinal study of aging. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 62(5), 817-823.
9. Clegg, A., Young, J., Iliffe, S., Rikkert, M. O., & Rockwood, K. (2013). Frailty in elderly people. *The Lancet*, 381(9868), 752-762.
10. Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Baeyens, J. P., Bauer, J. M., Boirie, Y., Cederholm, T., Landi, F., ... & Visser, M. (2010). Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age and Ageing*, 39(4), 412-423.
11. Ellis, G., Whitehead, M. A., Robinson, D., O'Neill, D., & Langhorne, P. (2011). Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (7), CD006211.
12. Fried, L. P., Tangen, C. M., Walston, J., Newman, A. B., Hirsch, C., Gottdiener, J., ... & Tracy, R. (2001). Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 56A(3), M146-M156.
13. Gill, T. M., Gahbauer, E. A., Han, L., & Allore, H. G. (2006). Transitions between frailty states among community-living older persons. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 166(4), 418-424.
14. Gnjidic, D., Hilmer, S. N., Blyth, F. M., Naganathan, V., Waite, L., Seibel, M. J., ... & Cumming, R. G. (2012). Polypharmacy cutoff and outcomes: five or more medicines were used to identify community-dwelling older men at risk of different adverse outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 65(9), 989-995.
15. Gómez-Olivé, F. X., Thorogood, M., Changalucha, J., Herbst, K., Moshabela, M., Ndhlovu, P., ... & Tollman, S. M. (2017). The prevalence of frailty in older rural South African adults. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 72(3), 421-427.
16. Kanamori, S., Nakamura, T., Narita, M., & Toyoshima, H. (2014). Frailty and its association with healthcare utilization and costs among older adults in Japan: findings from the Japanese Study of Aging and Retirement (JSTAR). *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 62(5), 824-830.
17. Kim, H., Kim, J., Lee, S., & Kim, H. (2019). Electroencephalographic biomarkers of frailty in older adults: a systematic review. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, 19(1), 1-10.
18. Kim, H. J., Kim, J. H., Lee, S. H., & Kim, H. J. (2017). Social support and frailty among community-dwelling older adults in South Korea. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 43(6), 41-48.
19. Lehnert, T., Heymans, M. W., Heymans, J., Zaslavsky, O., Kempen, G. I., & Deeg, D. J. (2011). Costs of frailty in community-dwelling older people. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 59(8), 1403-1409.
20. Liu, C. J., & Latham, N. K. (2009). Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (4), CD002759.
21. Montgomery, R. M. (2024). The Shift from Aerobic to Anaerobic Strength Training A Paradigm Change in Preventing Frailty, Morbidity, and Mortality in Older Adults. (2024). DOI: 10.20944/preprints202409.0684.v1
22. Montgomery, R. M. ; Aprahamian, I. (2018).Fragilidade e Doença de Parkinson. Tese (2018). DOI: 10.11606/T.5.2018.tde-07112018-092130
23. Nelson, M. E., Rejeski, W. J., Blair, S. N., Duncan, P. W., Judge, J. O., King, A. C., ... & Castaneda-Sceppa, C. (2007). Physical activity and public health in older adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 39(8), 1435-1445.
24. Rockwood, K., Andrew, M., & Mitnitski, A. (2005). A comparison of two approaches to measuring frailty in elderly people. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 60A(7), 886-891.
25. Rodríguez-Mañas, L., Bover, J., Ferreira, A., Sinclair, A., Cherubini, A., Chatterji, S., ... & Vellas, B. (2013). Searching for an operational definition of frailty: a Delphi method based consensus statement: the frailty operative definition-consensus conference project. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 68(1), 19-25.
26. Santos-Eggimann, B., Cuenoud, P., Spagnoli, J., & Junod, J. (2009). Prevalence of frailty in middle-aged and older community-dwelling Europeans living in 10 countries. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 64A(6), 675-681.
27. Steptoe, A., Shankar, A., Demakakos, P., & Wardle, J. (2013). Social isolation, loneliness, and all-cause mortality in older men and women. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(15), 5797-5801.

28. United Nations. (2019). World population prospects: the 2019 revision. Retrieved from <https://population.un.org/wpp/>
29. Volkert, D., Kreymann, G., Schnee, J., Heckmann, J. G., & Zander, J. (2010). ESPEN guidelines on enteral nutrition: geriatrics. *Clinical Nutrition*, 29(4), 484-491.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.