

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Legal and Ethical Implications of Verbal Abuse in the Workplace: Upholding Dignity and Respect for All

[Raj Kumar Yadav](#) *

Posted Date: 20 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1470.v1

Keywords: workplace harassment; verbal abuse; shouting; dignity; anti-bullying laws; sexual harassment; occupational safety; human rights



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Legal and Ethical Implications of Verbal Abuse in the Workplace: Upholding Dignity and Respect for All

Raj Kumar Yadav

Department of Law, School of Legal Studies, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda — 151 401, India;
rajkumar.yadav@cup.edu.in

Abstract: This research paper examines the legal and ethical ramifications of shouting at a colleague or any individual, including marginalized groups, in a workplace setting. It explores various legal frameworks, including anti-bullying, harassment, and occupational safety laws across jurisdictions like the United States, Canada, Europe, Australia, the Czech Republic, and India, with a focus on the Indian Penal Code and the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace Act, 2013. The paper highlights the moral right to live with dignity, emphasizing that no one has the right to shout at another person, whether a colleague or a ragpicker, and that individuals, such as ragpickers, have the right to assert, "I am doing my job; what is your right to shout at me?" Jurisdictional differences, workplace policies, and the psychological and social impacts of verbal abuse are analyzed, alongside the victim's right to a safe and respectful environment. The study underscores the need for robust legal protections, effective organizational policies, and cultural shifts to prevent verbal abuse and promote workplace dignity.

Keywords: workplace harassment; verbal abuse; shouting; dignity; anti-bullying laws; sexual harassment; occupational safety; human rights

Introduction

Workplace interactions are a critical component of organizational culture, and inappropriate behavior, such as shouting at a colleague, can have significant legal implications. This response explores the legal implications of such behavior, including the types of laws involved, jurisdictional differences, workplace policies, and potential consequences. The analysis draws on research from multiple contexts to provide a comprehensive understanding of the issue.

Types of Laws Involved

Shouting at a colleague can fall under various legal frameworks, depending on the jurisdiction and the nature of the behavior. The primary legal areas involved include:

1. *Anti-Bullying and Anti-Mobbing Laws*

- Many countries have specific laws addressing workplace bullying and mobbing. For instance, in Ukraine, recent legislative amendments have introduced provisions to combat mobbing, which includes systematic psychological pressure or humiliation (Kukhar, 2024) (Kikinchuk et al., 2024).
- In the United States, while there is no federal law specifically addressing workplace bullying, some states have introduced legislation such as the Healthy Workplace Bill, which seeks to provide legal recourse for targets of bullying (Weisel, 2016) (Harthill, 2009).

2. *Harassment Laws*

- Harassment laws are another key area of legal concern. In the United States, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits harassment based on protected characteristics such as race, gender,

and religion. However, shouting at a colleague may not always fall under this statute unless it is based on a protected characteristic (Weisel, 2016) (Pastorek et al., 2015).

- In Canada, workplace harassment is addressed under occupational health and safety laws, which require employers to provide a safe work environment (Cai, 2024) (Carr, 2014).

3. *Occupational Safety and Health Laws*

- Occupational safety and health laws, such as the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) in the United States, can also be relevant. These laws require employers to provide a safe work environment, and psychological hazards such as bullying and harassment are increasingly being recognized as workplace safety issues (Harthill, 2009) (Duffy, 2009).

4. *Criminal Laws*

- In some jurisdictions, shouting at a colleague could potentially lead to criminal charges if it constitutes assault, intimidation, or other forms of workplace violence. For example, in Ukraine, systematic harassment may fall under administrative or criminal liability (Serpak, 2024) (Kikinchuk et al., 2024).

Jurisdictional Differences

The legal implications of shouting at a colleague can vary significantly depending on the jurisdiction. Below are some key differences:

1. *United States*

- In the United States, there is no federal law specifically addressing workplace bullying. However, some states have introduced legislation such as the Healthy Workplace Bill, which seeks to provide legal recourse for targets of bullying (Weisel, 2016) (Harthill, 2009).
- Harassment laws in the U.S. are primarily focused on protected characteristics under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Shouting at a colleague may not always fall under this statute unless it is based on a protected characteristic (Weisel, 2016) (Pastorek et al., 2015).

2. *Canada*

- In Canada, workplace harassment is addressed under occupational health and safety laws, which require employers to provide a safe work environment. Canadian laws are more tailored to address workplace bullying and harassment compared to the United States (Cai, 2024) (Carr, 2014).

3. *Europe and the United Kingdom*

- European countries and the United Kingdom have more comprehensive laws addressing workplace bullying and harassment. For example, the Dutch Working Conditions Act and the Protection from Harassment Act in the UK provide explicit protections against such behavior (Akella, 2020) (Okubote, 2013).

4. *Australia*

- In Australia, there is no comprehensive federal law addressing workplace bullying. However, the Fair Work Act 2009 includes provisions to address bullying at work, focusing on stopping

the behavior rather than providing compensation to the target (Ballard & Eastal, 2014) (Chan-Mok et al., 2014).

5. Czech Republic

- In the Czech Republic, workplace bullying is not explicitly prohibited by law. However, general provisions of the Anti-Discrimination Act, the Civil Code, and the Labour Code may provide some protection for employees (Cakirpaloglu et al., 2016).

Workplace Policies

Workplace policies play a crucial role in addressing the issue of shouting at a colleague. Below are some key considerations:

1. Anti-Bullying and Harassment Policies

- Organizations should have clear anti-bullying and harassment policies that define unacceptable behavior, including shouting, and outline the consequences for such behavior (Duffy, 2009) (Pastorek et al., 2015).
- These policies should also provide a mechanism for reporting incidents and ensure that all complaints are investigated promptly and fairly (Duffy, 2009) (Pastorek et al., 2015).

2. Training and Education

- Regular training and education programs can help employees understand what constitutes inappropriate behavior and the potential consequences of such behavior (Duffy, 2009) (Cobb, 2017).
- Training should also focus on promoting a positive workplace culture and encouraging respect and civility among colleagues (Duffy, 2009) (Cobb, 2017).

3. Leadership and Accountability

- Leaders and managers should model appropriate behavior and take all complaints of shouting or other forms of bullying seriously (Duffy, 2009) (Einarsen & Ågotnes, 2023).
- Organizations should hold all employees accountable for their behavior, regardless of their position or status within the organization (Duffy, 2009) (Einarsen & Ågotnes, 2023).

Potential Consequences

The potential consequences of shouting at a colleague can be severe, both for the individual and the organization. Below are some key consequences:

1. Legal Consequences

- Shouting at a colleague can lead to legal consequences, including lawsuits for harassment, bullying, or creating a hostile work environment (Weisel, 2016) (Harthill, 2009).
- In some jurisdictions, shouting at a colleague could potentially lead to criminal charges if it constitutes assault, intimidation, or other forms of workplace violence (Serpak, 2024) (Kikinchuk et al., 2024).

2. Organizational Consequences

- Shouting at a colleague can create a hostile work environment, leading to decreased morale, increased stress, and higher turnover rates (Einarsen & Ågotnes, 2023) (Cakirpaloglu et al., 2016).

- Organizations that fail to address such behavior may face reputational damage and legal liability (Einarsen & Ågotnes, 2023) (Cakirpaloglu et al., 2016).

3. Individual Consequences

- The individual who shouts at a colleague may face disciplinary action, including warnings, suspension, or termination of employment (Duffy, 2009) (Pastorek et al., 2015).
- The behavior may also damage the individual's reputation and relationships with colleagues, leading to social isolation and decreased job satisfaction (Einarsen & Ågotnes, 2023) (Cakirpaloglu et al., 2016).

Table: International Comparison of Workplace Bullying Laws.

Country/Jurisdiction	Key Legal Provisions	Citation
United States	No federal law specifically addressing workplace bullying; some states have introduced legislation such as the Healthy Workplace Bill	(Weisel, 2016) (Harthill, 2009)
Canada	Occupational health and safety laws require employers to provide a safe work environment	(Cai, 2024) (Carr, 2014)
Country/Jurisdiction	Key Legal Provisions	Citation
Europe and the United Kingdom	Comprehensive laws such as the Dutch Working Conditions Act and the Protection from Harassment Act	(Akella, 2020) (Okubote, 2013)

Australia	Fair Work Act 2009 includes provisions to address bullying at work	(Ballard & Easteal, 2014) (Chan-Mok et al., 2014)
Czech Republic	No explicit prohibition of workplace bullying; general provisions of the Anti-Discrimination Act and the Labour Code may provide some protection	(Cakirpaloglu et al., 2016)

In India

Verbal harassment, such as shouting, in a workplace setting in India can have significant legal consequences under the Indian Penal Code (IPC) and the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013. These legal frameworks aim to protect individuals, particularly women, from various forms of harassment, including verbal abuse, which can create a hostile work environment. The IPC and the Sexual Harassment Act provide mechanisms for addressing such behavior, ensuring that victims have avenues for redress and that offenders face appropriate penalties.

Indian Penal Code (IPC) Provisions

- **Section 354A:** This section of the IPC defines sexual harassment and includes verbal harassment as a punishable offense. It prescribes penalties for making sexually colored remarks, which can include shouting or verbal abuse, with imprisonment for up to three years, a fine, or both (“Sexual Harassment at Workplace: A Study on the Policies and Preventive Measures,” 2023).
- **Section 509:** This section addresses acts intended to insult the modesty of a woman, which can include verbal harassment. It prescribes a penalty of simple imprisonment for up to one year, a fine, or both (Taneja, 2005).

Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace Act, 2013

- **Definition and Scope:** The Act defines sexual harassment to include verbal conduct that creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment. This includes shouting or making derogatory comments (Singh & Singh, 2024) (Nath, 2023).
- **Redressal Mechanism:** The Act mandates the establishment of Internal Complaints Committees (ICCs) in workplaces to address complaints of sexual harassment. These committees have the authority to investigate complaints and recommend actions against offenders (Wajahat et al., 2022).
- **Penalties and Remedies:** The Act allows for various remedies, including written apologies, warnings, reprimands, and termination of employment. It also provides for compensation to the victim (Hazra & Khandelwal, n.d.).

Impact and Challenges

- **Effectiveness:** While the legal framework is robust, its effectiveness is often questioned due to issues in implementation. Many victims do not report harassment due to fear of retaliation or lack of awareness about their rights (Dey, 2019).
- **Judicial Interpretation:** The judiciary in India has played a crucial role in interpreting these laws to ensure justice for victims. However, there are calls for reforms to make the process more victim-friendly and less intimidating (Imtiyaz, n.d.).

Despite the legal provisions, challenges remain in effectively addressing verbal harassment in the workplace. Cultural factors, such as male dominance and societal norms, often hinder the reporting and redressal of such incidents. Additionally, the focus of the Sexual Harassment Act on women means that men facing similar issues may not have the same legal protections, highlighting a gap in the current legal framework (Nath, 2023).

Moral Right of person to live with dignity

The moral right to live with dignity plays a significant role in protecting employees from verbal abuse in the workplace, as it is closely tied to the concept of human dignity and the legal frameworks that support it. This right is enshrined in various national and international legal instruments, which aim to safeguard employees from psychological harm and ensure a respectful work environment. However, the extent of protection varies depending on the legal and organizational context, as well as the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms.

Legal Frameworks and Human Dignity

- **Constitutional and Legal Protections:** Many countries have constitutional provisions that protect human dignity, which serve as a foundation for laws against workplace harassment. For instance, the Brazilian Constitution emphasizes the inviolability of human dignity, providing a basis for legal actions against moral harassment in the workplace (Castro, 2016).
- **International Agreements:** International conventions and agreements, such as those from the United Nations, provide a framework for protecting workers' dignity globally. These agreements, although not always directly addressing workplace harassment, offer a basis for legal recourse against violations of dignity (Silva & Gurgel, 2013).

Organizational and Managerial Practices

- **Role of Management:** Effective management practices are crucial in upholding dignity at work. Organizations that prioritize human dignity in their policies and practices are better equipped to prevent and address verbal abuse. This involves creating a supportive work environment and implementing clear policies against harassment (Hodson, 2001) (Teixeira et al., 2013).
- **Preventive Measures:** Companies with strong human resources policies that focus on employee well-being and dignity tend to have lower incidences of workplace harassment. These policies often include training programs, clear reporting mechanisms, and a culture of respect (Teixeira et al., 2013).

Psychological and Social Implications

- **Impact on Mental Health:** Verbal abuse in the workplace can lead to significant psychological harm, affecting employees' mental health and overall well-being. The recognition of this impact has led to increased emphasis on maintaining a healthy work environment as part of upholding human dignity (Vercesi, 2009).
- **Social Responsibility:** There is a growing recognition of the social responsibility of organizations to protect employees from verbal abuse. This involves not only legal compliance but also fostering a culture that values and respects human dignity (Gilbert, 2018).

While the moral right to live with dignity provides a strong foundation for protecting employees from verbal abuse, challenges remain in its implementation and enforcement. The effectiveness of this protection largely depends on the commitment of organizations to uphold these principles and the robustness of legal frameworks in place. Additionally, the global nature of workplace harassment requires a concerted effort from international bodies, governments, and organizations to address this issue comprehensively.

Victim's Right

The right of victims to seek justice and protection from workplace harassment is increasingly recognized, emphasizing the need for robust legal frameworks and organizational accountability to uphold dignity in the workplace (Chakravorty & Nageswaran, 2022). This recognition is essential for creating a supportive environment where victims feel empowered to report incidents without fear of retaliation. This empowerment is crucial for fostering a culture of safety and respect in the workplace, ultimately contributing to the reduction of harassment incidents and promoting overall employee well-being. Shouting at someone can significantly violate the victim's right to a safe and respectful environment by constituting a form of verbal abuse that can lead to emotional distress, diminished mental health, and a hostile atmosphere. Verbal abuse, including shouting, is recognized as a prevalent form of workplace violence and is often more common than physical violence. It can have severe implications for the victim's well-being and their ability to function effectively in their environment. This form of abuse is not limited to workplaces but can occur in various settings, impacting diverse groups, including healthcare workers, LGBTQ+ individuals, and women. The following sections explore how shouting and verbal abuse infringe upon the right to a safe and respectful environment.

Impact on Mental Health and Well-being

- Verbal abuse, such as shouting, can lead to significant mental health issues, including stress, anxiety, and depression. Victims often experience emotional distress, which can impede their ability to perform daily tasks and maintain healthy relationships (Gerberich, 2019) (Zuraedah et al., 2024).
- In the healthcare sector, verbal abuse is particularly prevalent, with home care aides frequently reporting incidents of shouting and other forms of verbal aggression from clients and their families. This abuse can lead to burnout and decreased job satisfaction among healthcare workers (Gerberich, 2019).

Violation of Dignity and Respect

- Shouting at someone can be perceived as demeaning, disrespectful, and humiliating, violating the individual's right to dignity and respect. This is especially true when the shouting includes derogatory remarks or is intended to intimidate or control the victim (Howells-Johnson, n.d.).
- Verbal abuse rooted in rigid stereotypes, such as those against LGBTQ+ individuals, further exacerbates the violation of dignity by reinforcing harmful societal norms and discrimination (Zuraedah et al., 2024).

Creation of a Hostile Environment

- Shouting contributes to a hostile environment by fostering fear and insecurity among victims. This can lead to a decrease in productivity and morale, as individuals may feel unsafe and unsupported in their environment (Bernstein, 2016).
- In workplaces, verbal abuse can create a discriminatory and hostile work environment, as seen in cases where gender-based verbal aggression is prevalent. This not only affects the targeted individuals but also undermines the overall workplace culture (Epstein, 1996).

Legal and Social Implications

- Verbal abuse, including shouting, is recognized as a form of aggression that can have legal implications. It is considered a violation of individuals' rights and can lead to legal actions against perpetrators, especially in workplace settings where such behavior is prohibited (Graumann, 1998).
- Socially, shouting and verbal abuse perpetuate unjust hierarchies and discrimination, making it crucial to address these behaviors to promote equality and inclusivity (Bernstein, 2016).

While shouting and verbal abuse are widely recognized as harmful, there is an ongoing debate about the balance between freedom of speech and the need to protect individuals from verbal aggression. Some argue that restrictions on speech, even when intended to prevent harm, may infringe upon free expression rights. However, the consensus in the literature is that the right to a safe and respectful environment should take precedence, as verbal abuse can have profound negative effects on individuals and communities (Bernstein, 2016) (Epstein, 1996).

Conclusions

Shouting at colleagues or individuals, including those in marginalized roles, is a form of verbal abuse that violates the fundamental right to dignity and a safe work environment. Legal frameworks, such as anti-bullying laws, harassment statutes, and occupational safety regulations, provide mechanisms to address such behavior, but their effectiveness varies due to implementation challenges and cultural factors. In India, provisions under the Indian Penal Code and the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace Act, 2013, offer protections, particularly for women, but gaps remain for other groups. Organizations must implement clear anti-harassment policies, foster a culture of respect, and ensure accountability to mitigate the psychological, social, and organizational harms of verbal abuse. Future efforts should focus on strengthening legal protections, raising awareness, and promoting inclusive workplace cultures to uphold dignity for all. Clear policies and procedures are necessary to address such behavior, promote a positive workplace culture, and protect employees from the negative effects of workplace bullying and harassment.

References

1. Kukhar, K. O. (2024). Prevention and counteraction of mobbing in labor relations: regulatory and legal support in Ukraine. *Analitično-Porivnâl'ne Pravoiznavstvo*. <https://doi.org/10.24144/2788-6018.2024.01.54>
2. Kikinchuk, V. Yu., Riazantseva, I., & Leshchenko, D. (2024). Administrative and legal principles for preventing and combating mobbing: today's issue. *Haykovi Zanucku*. <https://doi.org/10.36550/2522-9230-2023-15-56-60>
3. Weisel, M. (2016). Bullying in the Workplace: Not Every Wrong Has a Legal Remedy. *Labor Law Journal*.
4. Harthill, S. (2009). The Need for a Revitalized Regulatory Scheme to Address Workplace Bullying in the United States: Harnessing the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act. *Social Science Research Network*.
5. Pastorek, S., Contacos-Sawyer, J., & Thomas, B. (2015). *Creating a No-Tolerance Policy for Workplace Bullying and Harassment*.
6. Cai, Z. (2024). Hostile Work Environment Rules: Comparative Analysis of U.S. and Canadian Laws. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/66/2024mu0045>
7. Carr, K. A. (2014). *Workplace Harassment: A Cross-Jurisdictional Comparative Analysis of Legislative Responses to this Workplace Phenomenon in Canada*.
8. Duffy, M. (2009). Preventing workplace mobbing and bullying with effective organizational consultation, policies, and legislation. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/A0016578>
9. Serpak, O. V. (2024). Legal qualification of the use of foul language at work: mobbing or petty hooliganism. *Naukovij Visnik Užgorods'kogo Natsional'nogo Universitetu*. <https://doi.org/10.24144/2307-3322.2023.80.1.46>

10. Akella, D. (2020). *Workplace Bullying Laws in Europe and the United Kingdom*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46168-3_10
11. Okubote, A. (2013). Bullying and Harassment in the Workplace – An International Perspective. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3159587>
12. Ballard, A., & Easteal, P. L. (2014). Mapping the legal remedy pathways for workplace bullying: A preliminary overview. *Alternative Law Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1037969X1403900206>
13. Chan-Mok, J., Caponecchia, C., & Winder, C. (2014). The Concept of Workplace Bullying: Implications from Australian Workplace Health and Safety Law. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2013.829399>
14. Cakirpaloglu, P., Šmahaj, J., Cakirpaloglu, S. D., & Coufalová, D. (2016). Workplace bullying and legal protection of employees in the Czech Republic. *Psychology and Its Contexts*.
15. Cobb, E. P. (2017). *Workplace Bullying and Harassment: New Developments in International Law*.
16. Einarsen, S., & Ågotnes, K. W. (2023). Bullying and Harassment in the Workplace. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.013.103>
17. Sexual harassment at workplace: a study on the policies and preventive measures. (2023). *Russian Law Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.52783/rlj.v11i2s.586>
18. Taneja, R. (2005). Legal Liability for Sexual Harassment in the Workplace: India. *International Journal of Discrimination and the Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/135822910500700411>
19. Singh, R., & Singh, A. (2024). Safe Environment for Working Women - A Critical Analysis. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19807>
20. Nath, U. (2023). #Me Too: Sexual Violence against Men with reference to Workplace. *International Journal of Legal Development and Allied Issues*. <https://doi.org/10.55662/ijldai.2023.9401>
21. Wajahat, J., Ali, R., & Jan, M. A. (2022). Sexual harassment in the workplace: a proportional examination of relevant legislation, with comparisons to two major asian countries: india and pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*. <https://doi.org/10.52567/pjsr.v4i03.681>
22. Hazra, A., & Khandelwal, R. (n.d.). *Sexual Harassment at Workplace-Interpretation, Consequences and Legal Control*. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2249-0035.2015.00030.3>
23. Dey, T. (2019). Sexual harassment in organizations: An investigation of the #Me Too movement in India. *International Journal for Advance Research and Development*.
24. Imtiyaz, S. (n.d.). *Sexual Harassment at Workplace*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3873900>
25. Castro, E. L. de. (2016). *Assédio Moral no Ambiente de Trabalho: Uma Análise à Luz da Dignidade da Pessoa Humana*. <https://doi.org/10.21902/2525-9857/2015.V1I2.358>
26. Silva, M. M. da, & Gurgel, F. F. (2013). *The moral harassment from the perspective of international agreements and conventions: an outrage to freedom and dignity of the worker*.
27. Hodson, R. (2001). *Dignity at Work*.
28. Teixeira, R. de F., Reis, M. C. dos, & Santos, L. M. L. dos. (2013). *Assédio moral no trabalho: um estudo sobre as práticas de prevenção e combate ao fenômeno em empresas do norte do Paraná*. <https://doi.org/10.5902/198346595913>
29. Vercesi, C. (2009). *Assédio moral no trabalho: implicações individuais, organizacionais e sociais*.
30. Gilabert, P. (2018). *Dignity at Work*.
31. Chakravorty, I., & Nageswaran, P. (2022). Dignity at Work Standards for the Healthservice. *Sushruta*. <https://doi.org/10.38192/dws22>
32. Gerberich, S. G. (2019). Verbal abuse against home care aides: another shot across the bow in violence against health care and other workers. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/OEMED-2019-105925>
33. Zuraedah, Z., Anggriani, J., & Marbun, R. (2024). Verbal Abuse Resulting from Rigid Stereotypes of Gender and Identity for LGBTQ+ People. *KnE Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i21.14706>
34. Howells-Johnson, J. (n.d.). *Verbal Abuse*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/175045890001001002>
35. Bernstein, A. (2016). Abuse and Harassment Diminish Free Speech. *Social Science Research Network*.
36. Epstein, D. (1996). Can a "Dumb Ass Woman" Achieve Equality in the Workplace? Running the Gauntlet of Hostile Environment Harassing Speech. *Social Science Research Network*.

37. Graumann, C. F. (1998). Verbal Discrimination: A Neglected Chapter in the Social Psychology Of Aggression. *Journal for The Theory of Social Behaviour*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5914.00062>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.