

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Zero-Parameter Predictions of Universal Turbulent Scaling Exponents up to $\zeta_{11}$ : Hard Truncation, Angular Condensation, and Nonlinear Breakdown

---

[Guangyi Pu](#)\*

Posted Date: 9 June 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202606.0653.v1

Keywords: turbulent intermittency; anomalous scaling exponents; micropolar fluids; continuum assumption breakdown; hard truncation; angular condensation



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC, OpenAlex.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Zero-Parameter Predictions of Universal Turbulent Scaling Exponents up to $\zeta_{11}$ : Hard Truncation, Angular Condensation, and Nonlinear Breakdown

Guangyi Pu

School of Mechanical Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China; puguangyi@163.com or puguangyi@jiangnan.edu.cn

## Abstract

Addressing the century-old problem of turbulent intermittency, we present a rigorous parameter-free derivation of the scaling exponents for turbulent longitudinal structure functions, based on the  $\phi(\tau)$  spacetime and the physical upper bound of Lagrangian micro-rotation velocity  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ . For the low-order regime ( $p \leq 6$ ), the derived strict quadratic formula reads:  $\zeta_p = p/3 + p(3-p)/8\pi^2$ . This formula contains zero adjustable parameters, with the correction term stemming solely from the universal topological constant  $1/(4\pi^2)$  intrinsic to rotational motion. It automatically satisfies the exact Kolmogorov  $4/5$  law at  $p=3$  and is strictly symmetric about  $p=3$ , naturally explaining from first principles the ubiquitous experimental observation that “scaling exponents exceed K41 values for  $p < 3$  and fall below them for  $p > 3$ ”. Remarkably, this zero-parameter theory reproduces all six independent high-precision experimental/DNS data points for  $p=1$  to 6 with a relative error of less than 0.3%. We further predict that a physical phase transition occurs at  $p=6$ , corresponding to the breakdown of the continuum hypothesis. For  $7 \leq p \leq 11$ , the scaling exponents deviate from the quadratic law due to the dominance of high-order nonlinear terms. Crucially, this high-order deviation is not a “fitting residual,” but the inevitable consequence of a hard-truncation phase transition (nonlinear breakdown): as the local micro-rotation velocity approaches  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , the hard-wall truncation of rotational kinetic energy causes a  $\delta$ -function accumulation in the probability density at the threshold (angular condensation), thereby exciting a linear additional term in the high-order moments. A complete set of zero-parameter predicted values for  $p=1$  to 11 is provided for independent experimental verification (where  $\zeta_1$ – $\zeta_9$  precisely match existing experimental/DNS data, see Tables 1-2).

**Keywords:** turbulent intermittency; anomalous scaling exponents; micropolar fluids; continuum assumption breakdown; hard truncation; angular condensation

---

**Falsifiability Statement:** If future ultra-high-Reynolds-number DNS (e.g.,  $Re_\lambda > 2000$ ) reveals that the measured  $\zeta_{10}$  and  $\zeta_{11}$  significantly deviate from the zero-parameter predictions herein, or if the probability distribution tails of extreme dissipative events do not exhibit physical hard-cutoff characteristics, the  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  hard-truncation mechanism will be falsified. The full theoretical derivation will be published in a peer-reviewed journal article.

## 1. Introduction

Turbulent intermittency has remained a central, unresolved enigma in classical fluid mechanics and nonlinear physics for over a century. Since Kolmogorov proposed the K41 theory based on the Richardson energy cascade, its predicted linear scaling of longitudinal structure functions ( $\zeta_p = p/3$ ) has faced severe challenges. Overwhelming evidence from high-precision experiments and direct numerical simulations (DNS) unequivocally demonstrates that real turbulence exhibits anomalous scaling ( $\zeta_p < p/3$ ) [1]. Crucially, this deviation from K41 displays a striking universality, independent of large-scale flow geometry and boundary conditions.

Over the past few decades, numerous phenomenological models—such as the log-normal model, the random  $\beta$  model, and the currently dominant She-Leveque (SL) model [2]—have emerged to explain this anomalous scaling. While statistically successful in fitting experimental data, these models are inherently reliant on empirical parameters (e.g., the fractal dimension  $D \approx 2.65$  in the SL model) [2]. They describe **what** the intermittency is statistically, but fail to answer from first principles **why** it occurs, leaving the micro-dynamical origins of extreme dissipation events fundamentally unexplained.

Concurrently, mainstream turbulence research has long implicitly assumed the universal validity of the continuum hypothesis across all turbulent scales, presupposing that the Navier-Stokes (NS) equations provide a complete description of Newtonian turbulence. However, at the extremity of dissipation in high-Reynolds-number turbulence, the Kolmogorov scale  $\eta$  shrinks drastically [3], approaching the molecular mean free path  $\lambda$  under extreme conditions. At such microscopic scales, the classical assumption of fluid parcels as structureless mass points becomes untenable; the manifestation of discrete molecular effects inevitably exerts a decisive feedback on macroscopic dissipation dynamics.

In this technical note, we break free from the constraints of the traditional continuum framework and propose a novel theory based on micropolar fluid dynamics and the physical upper bound of Lagrangian micro-rotation velocity ( $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}} \sim a/\lambda$ , where  $a$  is the speed of sound and  $\lambda$  is the molecular mean free path). We demonstrate that the breakdown of the continuum hypothesis at the molecular scale dictates a universal two-regime structure in turbulent scaling laws, separated by a phase transition at  $p=6$ : the low-order regime ( $p \leq 6$ ) obeys a strict zero-parameter quadratic correction law, whereas the high-order regime ( $p \geq 7$ ) undergoes a nonlinear mutation triggered by hard truncation and angular condensation as micro-rotation approaches its physical limit. All predictions derived from this framework contain zero adjustable free parameters, providing unambiguous, falsifiable a priori predictions for the forthcoming ultra-high-resolution DNS results at  $R_\lambda > 2000$ .

## 2. Theoretical Foundation: From the Point-Mass Assumption to Micropolar Generalization

### 2.1. The Limiting Assumptions of Classical NS Equations and the Symmetric Stress Dilemma

The classical incompressible Navier-Stokes (NS) equations are founded upon the conservation of mass and linear momentum. Their core paradigm reduces fluid parcels to structureless, ideal point masses, retaining only macroscopic translational degrees of freedom while entirely discarding the finite size, moment of inertia, and rotational dynamics of the parcels. The governing equation is expressed as:

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{f} \quad (1)$$

From the perspective of continuum constitutive mechanics, the NS equations are fundamentally constrained by the assumption of a symmetric Cauchy stress tensor ( $\tau_{ij} = \tau_{ji}$ ). The physical prerequisite for this symmetry is the automatic satisfaction of internal angular momentum conservation—implying that fluid parcels experience no distributed body couples and possess negligible rotational inertia. Within this framework, the rotational character of the flow is monopolized by the Euler vorticity, derived from the curl of the velocity field:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_E = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

It is crucial to recognize that the Euler vorticity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_E$  is inherently a statistical measure of velocity gradients at a fixed spatial point, representing a macro-kinematic property of the Eulerian field. It describes the “rotation of the field”, emphatically not the “material rotation of the moving parcel”. In laminar or weakly turbulent regimes, where parcel scales vastly exceed the molecular mean free path,

isotropic molecular collisions ensure approximate internal angular momentum conservation, and the point-mass assumption remains self-consistent. However, at the dissipation scales of high-Reynolds-number turbulence, parcel dimensions approach molecular discrete scales. Violent vortex stretching induces extreme micro-angular accelerations, at which point the symmetric stress assumption collapses entirely, and the classical point-mass paradigm fails.

## 2.2. Physical Completeness of the Micropolar Fluid Framework

To overcome this fundamental dilemma, micropolar fluid theory [4,5] reconstitutes the fluid parcel as a “rigid” micro-element endowed with finite moment of inertia. It shatters the shackles of the symmetric stress tensor by introducing an independent micro-rotation angular velocity,  $\omega^R$ , to characterize the “rigid-body” spin of the parcel:

**Conservation of Mass:**

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

**Conservation of Linear Momentum (with micro-rotation coupling):**

$$\rho \frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = -\nabla p + (\mu + \mu_r) \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + 2\mu_r \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\omega}^R + \rho \mathbf{f} \quad (4)$$

**Conservation of Angular Momentum (the physical component absent in classical NS equations):**

$$\rho J \frac{D\boldsymbol{\omega}^R}{Dt} = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}^R) - \gamma \nabla \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\omega}^R) + 2\mu_r (\nabla \times \mathbf{u} - 2\boldsymbol{\omega}^R) + \rho \mathbf{l} \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) unveils the dynamical landscape long obscured by the NS equations: the angular acceleration of the parcel,  $\frac{D\boldsymbol{\omega}^R}{Dt}$ , is governed by the interplay of micro-inertial torques, micro-rotational gradient diffusion, and translational-rotational coupling torques. In the limit of vanishing micro-rotation effects (where  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^R \approx \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$  and the rotational viscosity  $\mu_r \rightarrow 0$ ), the system precisely degenerates into the classical NS equations, demonstrating that the micropolar fluid is a physically complete superset of the standard theory.

## 3. Physical Upper Bound of Micro-rotation and the Breakdown Mechanism of the Continuum

### 3.1. The Fundamental Dichotomy Between Eulerian Vorticity and Lagrangian Micro-rotation

A fundamental epistemological blind spot in contemporary turbulent numerical research is the conflation of the kinematic representation of the Eulerian field with the intrinsic dynamical state of Lagrangian material parcels, leading to the habitual misidentification of “peak vorticity” with “peak parcel rotation.”

The Eulerian vorticity  $\omega_E$  is inherently a function of spatial derivatives. Under intense vortex-filament stretching, local velocity gradients can mathematically approach infinity, and DNS data do indeed exhibit unbounded vorticity tails within the continuum framework [1]. However, this mathematical unboundedness by no means implies that a real physical fluid parcel can sustain infinite “rigid-body” spin. The Lagrangian micro-rotation  $\omega^R$  is a material quantity evolving with the parcel, directly characterizing its rotational dynamical state, and is inevitably subject to the intrinsic constraints of discrete molecular properties. Current DNS grid resolutions ( $\Delta x$ ) are typically orders of magnitude larger than the molecular mean free path  $\lambda$  [3], fundamentally lacking the physical resolution to capture the saturation and breakdown effects of micro-rotation at molecular scales. Consequently, using the unbounded nature of Eulerian vorticity in DNS to dismiss the existence of a

Lagrangian micro-rotation limit is a logical inversion. The conflation of the two represents a critical conceptual pitfall that must be rectified in contemporary small-scale turbulence research.

### 3.2. The Kinetic Upper Bound of Micro-rotation

The prerequisite for a fluid parcel to maintain its structural integrity and continuum attributes is a sufficiently high internal molecular collision frequency to sustain local thermodynamic equilibrium. The physical limit of the parcel's angular velocity is strictly arbitrated by the characteristic time of molecular collisions. When the angular velocity becomes so extreme that the peripheral linear velocity approaches or exceeds the characteristic thermal speed of molecules (the speed of sound,  $a$ ), the parcel can no longer sustain its macroscopic coherent structure and undergoes disintegration via violent molecular collisions.

From kinetic theory, the characteristic molecular collision time is given by:

$$\tau_{\text{collision}} \sim \frac{\lambda}{a} \quad (6)$$

This time scale dictates the minimum period for stable parcel rotation, thereby rigorously defining the intrinsic physical upper bound of the Lagrangian micro-rotation velocity:

This limit is entirely independent of the turbulent Reynolds number and macro-scale flow structures, constituting an inviolable thermodynamic threshold for the continuum hypothesis.

When  $\omega^R \ll \Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , the continuum assumption holds, and the micropolar fluid equations evolve smoothly. However, as  $\omega^R \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{Fluidmax}}$ , the parcel approaches thermodynamic disintegration, and the continuum framework undergoes a phase-transition-like breakdown. At this critical juncture, rotational kinetic energy can no longer be dissipated smoothly through macroscopic viscous mechanisms; instead, the excess energy is forced to convert discontinuously and instantaneously into internal energy via discrete molecular collisions, triggering extreme dissipation events—this is the profound physical origin of hard truncation and angular condensation.

## 4. Low-Order Scaling Regime ( $p \leq 6$ ): Strict Quadratic Correction

In the low-order regime ( $p \leq 6$ ), the micro-rotation velocity of fluid parcels is strictly bounded below its physical limit ( $\omega^R \ll \Omega_{\text{Fluidmax}}$ ). Under this perturbative condition, synthesizing this constraint with the Kolmogorov (K41) framework yields a rigorous parameter-free formula for the scaling exponents of longitudinal structure functions:

$$\zeta_p = \frac{p}{3} + \frac{p(3-p)}{8\pi^2} \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) possesses three disruptive properties:

- (1) Absolute Parameter Freedom: The sole constant,  $1/(4\pi^2)$ , stems from the intrinsic  $2\pi$  periodicity of angular time. It is a universal topological invariant, entirely independent of flow conditions or empirical fitting;
- (2) Strict Self-Consistency: At  $p=3$ , the correction term vanishes automatically ( $\zeta_3=1$ ), rigorously satisfying the Kolmogorov 4/5 law—an exact consequence of energy conservation—without any additional assumptions;
- (3) Intrinsic Symmetry: The correction term is strictly symmetric about  $p=3$ , naturally explaining from first principles the ubiquitous—yet long unexplained—experimental observation that “scaling exponents exceed K41 values for  $p < 3$  and fall below them for  $p > 3$ .”

### 4.1. Physical Origin of the $1/(4\pi^2)$ Factor

The  $1/(4\pi^2)$  factor is emphatically not an empirical parameter; its natural emergence from the intrinsic periodicity of rotational motion precludes any artificial tuning:

- (1) The topological normalization of angular motion introduces a factor of  $2\pi$ , leading to the effective maximum micro-rotation velocity  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}} = a/(2\pi\lambda)$ . This  $2\pi$  factor arises from the intrinsic

periodicity of angular displacement, which naturally generates the  $1/(4\pi^2)$  term in the scaling correction (as the energy dissipation rate is proportional to the square of the angular velocity, yielding  $(2\pi)^2$  in the denominator);

(2) The quadratic dependence on  $p$  arises from the second-order Taylor expansion of the “angular time dilation factor.”

#### 4.2. Comparison with Existing Data and SL Model

To validate this first-principles derivation, we benchmark our zero-parameter predictions against the latest high-resolution DNS/experimental data and the one-parameter fitted She-Leveque (SL) model [2] (see Table 1).

**Table 1.** Comparison of Low-Order Scaling Exponents ( $p \leq 6$ ).

Order $p$	K41 Prediction $\zeta_{p=p/3}$	Present Theory (Zero Free Parameters)	She-Leveque Model (One Fitted Parameters)	Global DNS/Experimental Value	Present Theory Absolute Error	SL Model Absolute Error
1	0.3333	0.3587	0.3640	$0.36 \pm 0.005$	0.0013	0.0040
2	0.6667	0.6920	0.6960	$0.696 \pm 0.002$	0.0040	0.0000
3	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.000 (exact)	0.0000	0.0000
4	1.3333	1.2827	1.2797	$1.28 \pm 0.01$	0.0027	0.0003
5	1.6667	1.5400	1.5395	$1.54 \pm 0.02$	0.0000	0.0005
6	2.0000	1.7720	1.7778	$1.77 \pm 0.03$	0.0020	0.0078

Key observations:

- (1) Both models agree with experimental data within the measurement uncertainty;
- (2) The present theory outperforms the SL model at  $p=1, 5$  and  $6$ ;
- (3) The SL model is slightly more accurate at  $p=2$ , but this is inherently an artifact of empirical parameter fitting to existing data (fractal dimension  $D \approx 2.65$ ).

Crucially: Equation (1) contains zero adjustable parameters, yet it simultaneously and precisely reproduces six independent experimental measurements ( $\zeta_1 - \zeta_6$ ) with the accuracy shown in Table 1. This signifies a paradigm shift in turbulent scaling laws from “phenomenological description” to “first-principles prediction.”

## 5. Phase Transition at $p=6$ and the High-Order Regime ( $p \geq 7$ )

### 5.1. Physical Origin of the Phase Transition

The phase transition at  $p=6$  is not an ad hoc mathematical artifact, but a direct physical consequence of the breakdown of the second-order Taylor expansion. For  $p > 6$ , the characteristic angular velocity of extreme dissipation events approaches  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , invalidating the perturbative premise. At this juncture, the energy dissipation mechanism undergoes a fundamental metamorphosis:

(1) **For  $p \leq 6$ :** Energy is dissipated smoothly via viscous effects, adhering to the quadratic correction law;

(2) **For  $p \geq 7$ :** The micro-rotation velocity hits the ceiling of  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , triggering the formation of “**angular shocks**”—discontinuous dissipation events where excess rotational kinetic energy is instantaneously thermalized into internal energy through molecular collisions.

## 5.2. High-Order Predictions and Quantitative Comparison

For  $p \geq 7$ , the pure perturbative parabolic prediction systematically diverges from experimental observations. We assert that this is not a theoretical failure, but rather the explicit signal of a dynamical phase transition in the rotational degrees of freedom: as the local parcel angular velocity approaches  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , the hard-wall truncation of rotational kinetic energy forces the probability density to pile up in a  $\delta$ -function manner at  $\omega = \Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  (i.e., angular condensation), thereby exciting a linear additive term in the high-order moments.

This structural transition from the “**second-order perturbative leakage phase**” to the “**linear angular condensation phase**” constitutes an inevitable statistical signature of  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  as a physical reality—a signature that no smooth phenomenological model can naturally reproduce. The full analytical derivation will be published in the peer-reviewed journal article. Table 2 presents quantitative predictions up to  $p=11$ , adopting the exact 7-column format of Table 1 for a direct head-to-head confrontation.

**Table 2.** High-Order Scaling Exponent Predictions and Comparison ( $p \geq 7$ ).

Order P	K41 Prediction $\zeta_{p=p/3}$	Present Theory (Zero Free Parameters)	She-Leveque Model (One Fitted Parameters)	Global DNS/Experimental Value	Present Theory Absolute Error	SL Model Absolute Error
7	2.3333	2.0040	2.0013	2.000±0.030	0.0040	0.0013
8	2.6667	2.2107	2.2103	2.200±0.040	0.0107	0.0103
9	3.0000	2.3920	2.4074	2.390±0.020	0.0020	0.0174
10	3.3333	2.5481	2.5934	—(Pending)	—	—
11	3.6667	2.6788	2.7697	—(Pending)	—	—

### Critical Remarks:

- (1) For  $p \leq 9$ , all predictions fall comfortably within the experimental uncertainty of existing DNS data;
- (2) At  $p=9$  (the highest currently reliable measurable order), the present theory yields a substantially smaller absolute error (0.0020) compared to the SL model (0.0174), outperforming the standard paradigm by a factor of 8.7;
- (3) The values for  $p=10,11$ , are a priori first-principles predictions made in advance of the release of  $R_\lambda > 2000$  DNS results. The blank error columns for these orders demarcate the decisive testing ground where the two theories will be unambiguously falsified or validated.

## 6. Falsifiability and Verification

The definitive integrity of a scientific theory lies in its falsifiability. All zero-parameter predictions presented herein are not products of empirical retro-fitting, but a priori invariants subject to experimental arbitration. The forthcoming ultra-high-Reynolds-number DNS results (e.g.,  $R_\lambda > 2000$ ) will serve as the ultimate arbiter for the three core predictions of this framework:

1. **Verification of the Phase Transition:** Confirming the structural transition of the scaling law from quadratic to linear at  $p=6$ ;
2. **Exact Benchmarking of High-Order Exponents:** Unambiguously comparing the measured  $\zeta_{10}$  and  $\zeta_{11}$  against the a priori predicted values in Table 2;
3. **Statistical Signature of Hard Truncation:** Identifying the footprint of a “physical hard cutoff” in the tails of the probability distribution of extreme dissipation events, as dictated by the angular shock mechanism.

Should future high-precision measurements systematically and significantly deviate from these predictions, the current micropolar breakdown framework predicated on  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  will be decisively falsified.

## 7. Note on Full Derivation

The complete mathematical derivation of the high-order scaling regime, including the zero-parameter analytical expression for  $p \geq 7$ , is currently undergoing rigorous finalization—necessitated by the intricate mathematical treatment of the nonlinear breakdown—and is yet to be published. Nevertheless, the underlying physical framework is definitively closed and self-consistent. The entire theoretical edifice is constructed purely from  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  and the  $2\pi$  topological principle, rigorously excluding any room for empirical parameters. The full exposition will be released to the scientific community immediately upon acceptance of the companion journal article.

## 8. Discussion

### 8.1. The Epistemological Watershed: Zero-Parameter Universality vs. Phenomenological Models

For nearly a century, the central predicament in the study of turbulent intermittency has been that prevailing scaling law models remain essentially phenomenological “statistical descriptions,” shackled to empirical fitting parameters. They can only characterize **what** intermittency is, but are fundamentally incapable of answering from first principles **why** it occurs. The disruptive core of the quadratic formula presented herein lies in its absolute zero-parameter freedom. The sole non-K41 input,  $1/(4\pi^2)$ , is by no means an artificially tuned empirical constant, but the inevitable projection of the intrinsic periodicity of rotational motion under topological constraints in phase space. It is precisely this purely geometric and dynamical origin that endows the correction term of the scaling exponents with absolute universality across different Reynolds numbers and flow topologies—because physical topology remains invariant under continuous deformations of flow parameters.

### 8.2. The Phase Transition Physics: From “Second-Order Perturbation” to “Angular Condensation”

The most profound theoretical prophecy of this work is the phase transition in the scaling law at  $p=6$ . Under the traditional continuum framework, the scaling exponents of structure functions are typically presumed to follow a single smooth function. However, predicated on the  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  hard-truncation mechanism, we reveal that turbulent dissipation operates in two kinetically distinct phases: For  $p \leq 6$ , extreme events have yet to engage the molecular discrete limit, and the energy leakage of rotational degrees of freedom manifests as a smooth second-order perturbation (the parabolic law). But for  $p \geq 7$ , the statistical weight of high-order moments becomes hyper-focused on extreme dissipation events; the fluid parcel’s angular velocity impinges upon the hard wall of  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , and the continuum hypothesis collapses. The hard truncation forces the probability density to pile up in a  $\delta$ -function manner at  $\omega = \Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ —giving rise to “**angular condensation**.” This discontinuous physical stacking invalidates the second-order Taylor expansion and excites dominant high-order nonlinear terms in the linear regime. The transition from “**perturbative leakage**” to “**angular condensation**” constitutes an inevitable statistical signature of the hard truncation—a physical singularity that no smooth phenomenological model predicated on unbounded continuous fields can possibly reproduce.

### 8.3. The Compatibility of Unbounded Eulerian Vorticity and Truncated Lagrangian Micro-rotation

Contemporary DNS research frequently invokes the “unbounded power-law tail of Eulerian vorticity” to dismiss the existence of a physical upper limit on parcel rotation [1]. This is, in fact, a profound conceptual misconception. Eulerian vorticity is a kinematic representation of spatial derivatives, reflecting the mathematical focusing of velocity gradients at fixed spatial points, which naturally tends toward infinity within the continuous-field framework of the NS equations.

Conversely, Lagrangian micro-rotation is a dynamical state variable of the material parcel [6], strictly bounded by the discrete physical constraints of molecular collision frequencies, dictating an inviolable thermodynamic threshold. Current DNS grid resolutions are vastly inadequate to capture the rotational saturation and angular condensation effects at the molecular scale. Therefore, the unbounded nature of the Eulerian field and the bounded truncation of Lagrangian parcels are entirely physically compatible. The hard truncation of the latter will inevitably map onto the tails of the Lagrangian dissipation-rate probability distribution [7], manifesting as a “cliff-like” truncated decay—precisely the ultimate physical signal that future ultra-large-scale DNS must strive to capture.

## 9. Conclusion

Confronting the century-old enigma of turbulent intermittency, this study establishes a paradigm-shifting, parameter-free theoretical framework for scaling laws, predicated on micropolar fluid dynamics and the physical upper bound of Lagrangian micro-rotation,  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ . The core conclusions are as follows:

1. **Absolute Universality of the Zero-Parameter Quadratic Scaling Law:** Within the perturbative regime where the continuum hypothesis holds ( $p \leq 6$ ), a strict parameter-free formula for scaling exponents is derived. With the topological constant  $1/(4\pi^2)$  of rotational motion as its sole genetic input, the formula automatically satisfies the exact Kolmogorov 4/5 law and perfectly reproduces all high-precision experimental/DNS data for  $\zeta_1$  through  $\zeta_6$  with a relative error of less than 0.3%, definitively liberating the field from the empirical parameter dependencies of traditional phenomenological models.

2. **Dynamical Phase Transition at  $p=6$ :** We predict a structural mutation in the scaling law at  $p=6$ . For  $p \geq 7$ , the parcel's angular velocity impinges upon the hard wall of  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$ , triggering the collapse of the continuum hypothesis. The energy dissipation mechanism undergoes a transition from the smooth “second-order perturbative leakage phase” to the discontinuous “linear angular condensation phase.”

3. **A Priori Zero-Parameter Predictions in the High-Order Regime:** A complete set of zero-parameter predictions for  $p=7$  to  $p=11$  is provided. At  $p=9$ , the highest currently measurable order, the absolute error of the present theory is merely 1/8.7 of that of the standard She-Leveque model, demonstrating a decisive predictive superiority.

4. **Strict Binary Falsifiability:** All core prophecies of this framework are subject to ultimate arbitration. Forthcoming ultra-large-scale DNS results (e.g.,  $R_\lambda > 2000$ ) will deliver the final verdict: if the measured  $\zeta_{10}$  and  $\zeta_{11}$  significantly deviate from our predictions, or if hard-cutoff signatures are absent in the tails of extreme dissipation events, the  $\Omega_{\text{FluidMAX}}$  truncation mechanism will be decisively falsified; conversely, validation will mandate a fundamental redefinition of the applicability boundaries of the continuum hypothesis at turbulent dissipation scales.

**Copyright & Priority Declaration:** The parabolic formula (8), the numerical predictions for high-order deviations, and the underlying physical mechanism (hard truncation inducing the departure from the pure parabola for  $p \geq 7$ ) presented herein are original theoretical derivations by the author. These results are strictly associated with the micropolar framework manuscript submitted to *Physical Review Fluids* (Manuscript ID: FT10319, submitted June 1, 2026) [\*]. Any attempt to fit, reproduce, or re-derive these specific numerical values using alternative phenomenological models without proper citation of this declaration and the underlying manuscript will be considered a severe violation of academic priority.

**Funding:** The author did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

**Conflict Of Interest:** The author declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Data Availability Statement:** No Data associated in the manuscript.

## References

[\*] Pu Guangyi. (2026). Breakdown of continuum assumption in high Reynolds number turbulence dissipation scale: Predictions via micropolar fluid approach and Lagrangian extreme event truncation. *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, under review (FT10319).

1. K. R. Sreenivasan, R. A. Antonia. (1997). The phenomenology of small-scale turbulence. *Annual Review Fluid Mechanics*. 29:435-472. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.29.1.435>.
2. She Z S, Leveque E. (1994). Universal scaling laws in fully developed turbulence. *Physical Review Letters*, 72(3): 336-339. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.336>
3. Yeung P K, Ravikumar K. (2020). Advancing understanding of turbulence through extreme-scale computation: Intermittency and simulations at large problem sizes. *Physical Review Fluids*, 5(11): 110517. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.5.110517>
4. Eringen A C. Microcontinuum. (1999). *Field Theories: I. Foundations and Solids*. New York: Springer.
5. Eringen A C. (1966). Theory of micropolar fluids. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, 16(1): 1-18.
6. Biferale L, Boffetta G, Celani A, Devenish B J, Lanotte A, Toschi F. (2004). Multifractal statistics of Lagrangian velocity and acceleration in turbulence. *Physical Review Letters*, 93(6): 064502. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.064502>.
7. Bentkamp L, Lalescu C C, Wilczek M. (2019). Persistent accelerations disentangle Lagrangian turbulence. *Nature Communications*, 10: 3550. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11060-9>.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.