

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Reclaiming Voice Through Structure: Integrating Narrative Language Ecology and the Law of the Trio in Ontological Language Pedagogy

[Tedros Kifle Tesfa](#)*

Posted Date: 9 February 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.0679.v2

Keywords: post-method pedagogy; learner agency; curriculum design; teacher training; voice and identity; ecological linguistics; triadic structure; recursive fluency



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Reclaiming Voice Through Structure: Integrating Narrative Language Ecology and the Law of the Trio in Ontological Language Pedagogy

Tedros Kifle Tesfa

Independent Scholar, Kuala Lumpur, 53100, Malaysia; tesfa.k.tedros@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper proposes a structural and philosophical synthesis between Edgar R. Eslit’s Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) method and the Law of the Trio—a recursive ontological framework developed by the author. While NLE critiques the ethical void and performative nature of mainstream English Language Teaching (ELT), the Law of the Trio offers a semantic geometry that models language, thought, and reality as structurally equivalent modalities. Together, these frameworks form a pedagogical alliance that restores learner agency, ethical presence, and cognitive resonance. Through comparative mapping, curriculum design, and teacher training implications, the paper argues for a paradigm shift in ELT—one that treats language not as performance, but as presence; not as output, but as invocation. The synthesis invites educators, scholars, and institutions to reimagine language learning as a recursive, ethical, and narrative act. This synthesis responds to calls for humanizing pedagogy (TESOL Quarterly, 2024) and ecological approaches to applied linguistics (Applied Linguistics, 2023), situating the Law of the Trio within current discussions of ethical and narrative presence in ELT.

Keywords: post-method pedagogy; learner agency; curriculum design; teacher training; voice and identity; ecological linguistics; triadic structure; recursive fluency

Author’s Note

This manuscript is an independent contribution under the Law of the Trio framework, with a specific focus on pedagogical praxis. Earlier work introduced the Trio as a recursive ontological geometry and positioned it within major linguistic paradigms. In *Reclaiming Voice Through Structure*, the framework is extended into classroom practice, converging with Edgar R. Eslit’s Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) to integrate story, structure, and ontological presence.

This work is animated by the belief that language learning must be more than procedural fluency—it must be a reclamation of voice. Readers—whether theorists, educators, or designers—are invited to engage with this framework not only as a model, but as a movement toward clarity, dignity, and transformation in language education.

1. Introduction

The field of English Language Teaching (ELT) stands at a critical juncture—one marked by a growing recognition that traditional pedagogical models, rooted in performance metrics and syntactic formalism, have failed to honor the full humanity of language learners. From standardized testing regimes to communicative competence rubrics, mainstream ELT has often reduced language to a transactional tool, stripping it of its ontological, ethical, and cognitive depth (Gee, 2015; Van Lier, 2004). In response to this crisis, a wave of post-method pedagogies has emerged, seeking to restore meaning, agency, and cultural resonance to the language classroom (Kumaravadivelu, 2006). Among these, Edgar R. Eslit’s *Narrative Language Ecology (NLE)* method offers a compelling ethical

reorientation—one that foregrounds memory, voice, and ecological presence as central to language learning (Eslit, 2025).

NLE is not merely a pedagogical technique; it is a philosophical stance. Drawing from Freirean dialogics (Freire, 1970), Bakhtinian polyphony (Bakhtin, 1981), and indigenous epistemologies, Eslit reframes the learner not as a passive recipient of linguistic input, but as a narrator of lived experience. Language, in this view, is not a skill to be mastered but a mode of being—a way of inhabiting and reshaping the world. NLE insists that learners bring with them histories, identities, and ethical imperatives that must be honored in the learning process. It critiques the colonial residues of ELT, the erasure of local voices, and the flattening of linguistic diversity under global English. In doing so, it calls for a pedagogy that is not only inclusive but ontologically transparent. Recent scholarship has emphasized bottom-up curriculum innovation in Global Englishes (Galloway & Numajiri, 2020), equitable assessment practices (TESOL Quarterly, 2023), and ecological approaches to pedagogy (Applied Linguistics, 2023). These debates highlight the urgency of frameworks that restore learner agency and ethical presence.

Yet while NLE offers a powerful ethical and narrative diagnosis of the ELT crisis, it leaves open the question of structural remedy. How can we model language in a way that supports the ethical presence NLE demands? How can we design pedagogical tools that are not only inclusive but cognitively precise and semantically resonant? This is where the *Law of the Trio*, developed by the author, enters—not as a competing framework, but as a structural fulfillment of NLE's philosophical commitments.

The Law of the Trio is a recursive ontological model that treats **language, thought, and reality** as structurally equivalent modalities of existence. It posits that every meaningful utterance—or perception, memory, or internal reflection—is a semantic particle: an invocation of being that encodes an entity, a state or behavior, and a set of recursive modifiers. This triadic structure is not merely syntactic; it is ontological. It reflects how humans perceive, simulate, and express experience across symbolic domains. Inspired by Peircean semiotics (Peirce, 1931–1958), Vygotskian mediation (Vygotsky, 1978), and usage-based cognitive linguistics (Tomasello, 2003), the Law of the Trio offers a formal geometry for meaning-making—one that aligns with NLE's ethical imperatives while extending its structural reach.

At the heart of the Trio is **event-based recursion**. Learners observe real-world events, simulate them cognitively, and encode them linguistically. This process bypasses L1 interference and syntactic confusion, allowing learners to construct meaning directly in the target language. The Trio's semantic function— $Modality = f(Entity, State/Behavior)$ —applies equally to thought, language, and reality, enabling cross-modal alignment and deep comprehension. Through tools like **EMji/VMji notation**, learners can track modifier depth, semantic layering, and conceptual clarity, building complexity from simplicity in a cognitively transparent way.

This structural modeling fulfills NLE's call for **memory, agency, and ecological resonance** in several ways. First, by treating each sentence as a semantic particle, the Trio enables learners to encode lived experience with precision and dignity—preserving memory not as anecdote but as structured invocation. Second, by empowering learners to modify and map meaning recursively, it restores agency—not as fluency, but as semantic authorship. Third, by aligning language with

thought and reality, it fosters ecological resonance—language becomes not a code to crack, but a mirror of being.

Recent scholarship in ecolinguistics and posthuman pedagogy further supports this convergence. Scholars like Stibbe (2021) and Pennycook (2018) have argued that language learning must engage with the ecological and ethical dimensions of discourse, moving beyond anthropocentric models toward relational and embodied understandings. The Law of the Trio operationalizes these insights by offering a formal system that is both cognitively rigorous and ethically responsive. It provides the scaffolding that NLE evokes—a way to structure story without flattening it, to teach grammar without erasing voice.

Moreover, the Trio’s recursive architecture lends itself to **AI-assisted pedagogy** with integrity. In an age where machine learning threatens to automate and depersonalize education, the Trio offers a semantic scaffold for ethical AI integration. It enables depth-aware parsing, bias detection, and symbolic cognition without erasing the learner’s narrative presence. This aligns with NLE’s critique of algorithmic flattening and its call for pedagogical technologies that amplify, rather than diminish, human voice.

This paper proposes that the Law of the Trio and Narrative Language Ecology are not merely compatible—they are **structurally and philosophically convergent**. NLE demands ethical presence; the Trio supplies ontological structure. NLE calls for narrative immersion; the Trio offers semantic geometry. Together, they form a pedagogical alliance: one rooted in story, scaffolded by structure, and animated by meaning.

In the sections that follow, we will map the core correspondences between NLE and the Law of the Trio, explore their implications for curriculum design, and propose a joint framework for ontological language learning. We argue that this synthesis offers a transformative alternative to mainstream ELT—one that treats language not as performance, but as presence; not as output, but as invocation.

2. Mapping Structural Convergence Between Narrative Language Ecology and the Law of the Trio

The convergence between Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) and the Law of the Trio is not merely thematic—it is structural, recursive, and pedagogically generative. While NLE foregrounds ethical presence, narrative immersion, and ecological resonance, the Law of the Trio supplies the ontological scaffolding that allows these principles to be enacted with semantic precision. In this section, we map the core correspondences between the two frameworks, demonstrating how each philosophical commitment in NLE finds a formal counterpart in the Trio’s recursive geometry. This mapping reveals a deep compatibility: NLE provides the ethical imperative; the Trio provides the structural means.

2.1. Memory as Ethical Presence → Semantic Particles as Lived Invocation

Eslit’s emphasis on memory as an ethical anchor in language learning challenges the dominant ELT paradigm, which often treats learners as blank slates or performance machines. In NLE, memory is not a cognitive residue but a narrative force—a way of reclaiming voice, history, and identity. Learners are invited to speak from lived experience, to narrate their world rather than rehearse someone else’s.

The Law of the Trio fulfills this imperative by treating each utterance as a **semantic particle**—a triadic invocation of being that encodes an entity, a state or behavior, and a recursive set of modifiers. This structure allows learners to encode memory not as anecdote but as ontological presence. For example, a learner narrating a childhood event might construct:

- **Entity:** “My grandfather”
- **Behavior:** “used to carve wooden animals”
- **Modifiers:** “with quiet precision, under the mango tree, every Sunday”

This triadic structure preserves the integrity of memory while enabling semantic clarity. The modifiers are not decorative—they are recursive semantic keys that deepen the invocation. In this way, the Trio transforms memory into structured meaning, fulfilling NLE’s call for ethical presence through ontological modeling.

2.2. Learner as Narrator → Recursive Modifiers as Semantic Authorship

NLE positions the learner as a narrator—not merely of linguistic performance but of existential stance. This reframing resists the flattening effects of communicative competence rubrics, which often

reduce voice to fluency and identity to accent. In NLE, narration is an act of agency—a way of reclaiming one’s place in the linguistic world.

The Law of the Trio operationalizes this agency through **recursive modifiers**, which allow learners to build complexity from simplicity. Modifiers are not syntactic accessories; they are semantic expansions that reflect the learner’s cognitive and ethical stance. For instance, a learner describing a protest might begin with:

- **Entity:** “The crowd”
- **Behavior:** “marched”
- **Modifiers:** “peacefully, with banners quoting Audre Lorde, past the silent police barricade”

Each modifier adds semantic depth, ethical nuance, and narrative voice. The learner is not merely reporting an event—they are authoring its meaning. This recursive authorship aligns with NLE’s insistence on learner agency, providing a formal mechanism for voice to emerge with clarity and dignity. It resonates with **Bakhtin’s (1981)** view that meaning is dialogically constructed, where each utterance is shaped by and shapes the social and ethical context in which it occurs.

2.3. *Language as Ecological Resonance → Ontological Alignment Across Modalities*

One of NLE’s most profound contributions is its insistence that language is ecological—that it resonates across relationships, environments, and symbolic systems. Language is not a tool but a presence, a way of being-with others in shared narrative space. This ecological stance challenges the instrumentalism of mainstream ELT, which often treats language as a skill to be measured rather than a mode of relational existence.

The Law of the Trio fulfills this ecological imperative by aligning **language, thought, and reality** as structurally equivalent modalities. In the Trio, each utterance is an event-based simulation that reflects how humans perceive and encode experience. This alignment enables learners to construct meaning that resonates across symbolic domains. For example:

- **Thought:** “I remember the silence before the storm”
- **Language:** “The air held its breath before the rain”
- **Reality:** A meteorological event observed and narrated

This triadic resonance allows learners to move fluidly between cognition, expression, and observation—fostering ecological presence. Language becomes not a code to crack but a mirror of being. This ontological alignment fulfills NLE’s call for relational pedagogy, providing a structural basis for ecological resonance.

2.4. *Story as Curriculum → Trio as Semantic Geometry*

NLE proposes that story should be the curriculum—not as anecdotal filler but as epistemological core. Learners are invited to narrate their lives, their communities, and their ethical stances. This narrative immersion resists the decontextualized grammar drills and scripted dialogues of traditional ELT, offering instead a pedagogy rooted in lived experience.

The Law of the Trio provides the **semantic geometry** that allows story to be structured without being flattened. Each story becomes a constellation of semantic particles, recursively linked through modifiers, temporal markers, and ontological keys. For example, a learner narrating a migration journey might construct:

- Particle 1: “My family left Eritrea” → Modifiers: “in 1998, after the war, with only two suitcases”
- Particle 2: “We arrived in Sudan” → Modifiers: “by bus, exhausted, hopeful, unsure of what came next”
- Particle 3: “I learned Arabic” → Modifiers: “from neighbors, through songs, slowly, with pride”

Each particle is a semantic unit; together, they form a narrative arc. The Trio enables this structuring without imposing syntactic templates or erasing voice. It allows story to be taught as curriculum, fulfilling NLE’s vision with ontological precision. This approach echoes **Ricoeur’s**

(1991) concept of narrative identity, where individuals construct selfhood through temporally layered and ethically resonant storytelling.

2.5. Ethical Pedagogy → Trio as Ontological Transparency

NLE’s ethical stance is clear: pedagogy must honor the learner’s humanity, history, and voice. It must resist colonial residues, algorithmic flattening, and linguistic erasure. This ethical imperative demands not only philosophical commitment but structural transparency.

The Law of the Trio offers this transparency by making meaning visible. Through tools like **EMji/VMji notation**, learners can track modifier depth, semantic layering, and conceptual clarity. They can see how meaning is built, where ambiguity arises, and how to refine expression. This visibility empowers learners to engage ethically with language—not as passive recipients but as semantic agents.

Moreover, the Trio’s recursive architecture supports **AI-assisted pedagogy** with integrity. In an age of machine learning, where algorithms often obscure meaning and flatten nuance, the Trio provides a scaffold for ethical integration. It enables depth-aware parsing, bias detection, and symbolic cognition without erasing narrative presence. This aligns with NLE’s critique of algorithmic pedagogy, offering a structural remedy that preserves voice.

2.6. Summary of Convergence

To summarize, the structural convergence between NLE and the Law of the Trio can be mapped as follows: (See Table 1)

Table 1. Structural Correspondence Between NLE and the Law of the Trio.

NLE Principle	Law of the Trio Fulfillment
Memory as ethical presence	Semantic particles encode lived experience
Learner as narrator	Recursive modifiers enable semantic authorship
Language as ecological presence	Ontological alignment across thought, language, reality
Story as curriculum	Semantic geometry structures narrative without flattening
Ethical pedagogy	Ontological transparency through recursive modeling

This mapping reveals a deep compatibility. NLE provides the ethical compass; the Trio supplies the structural map. Together, they offer a pedagogy that is both principled and precise—one that treats language not as performance but as presence, not as output but as invocation.

Moreover, it resonates with translanguaging pedagogy (Applied Linguistics, 2022) and ecological linguistics (Stibbe, 2021), both of which emphasize relational meaning-making and align with the Trio’s recursive modeling.

3. Designing Ontological Curriculum with the Law of the Trio and Narrative Language Ecology

If Section 1 diagnosed the crisis in ELT and Section 2 mapped the structural convergence between Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) and the Law of the Trio, Section 3 turns toward praxis: How can this synthesis be enacted in curriculum design? What pedagogical tools, classroom strategies, and learner pathways emerge when ethical narrative and ontological modeling are treated not as supplements but as foundations?

This section proposes a design framework for ontological curriculum—one that integrates the ethical imperatives of NLE with the recursive clarity of the Law of the Trio. It outlines principles for

lesson planning, learner engagement, and assessment, all grounded in the belief that language is not a skill to be measured but a mode of being to be cultivated.

3.1. Curriculum as Ontological Invitation

Traditional curricula often begin with grammar points, vocabulary lists, or communicative functions. In contrast, an ontological curriculum begins with **being**. It invites learners to narrate who they are, where they come from, what they remember, and what they hope. These invitations are not icebreakers—they are epistemological openings.

Using the Law of the Trio, **everything a learner experiences—internally or externally—can be modeled as a semantic particle**. From the moment they awaken to the moment they sleep, learners are immersed in a stream of perception, reflection, and expression. Each observed event, remembered moment, or emerging thought is a triadic unit of meaning:

- **Perception:** A child sees a bird land on a windowsill. Entity: “bird”
Behaviour: “landed”
Modifiers: “on the windowsill, quietly, with fluttering wings”
- **Memory:** A learner recalls their grandmother’s cooking. Entity: “my grandmother”
Behavior: “cooked rice and lentils”
Modifiers: “ with turmeric, every Sunday”
- **Thought:** A student wonders about the rain. Entity: “thestudent”
State: “feels the rain”
Modifiers: “like sadness, like rhythm, like home”
- **Speech:** A learner says, “I miss my village.” Entity: “I”
State: “miss my village”

In this framework, **semantic particles are not limited to spoken utterances**. They include the full ecology of learner experience—what they see, remember, imagine, and say. The Law of the Trio provides a recursive scaffold for mapping these particles, enabling learners to structure meaning with clarity and depth.

This approach transforms curriculum into an ontological canvas. Teachers do not impose content—they help learners **compose reality**. Each particle becomes a seed for inquiry, a node for expansion, and a mirror of presence. Whether learners are describing a moment, narrating a memory, or expressing a feeling, they are engaging in semantic authorship.

3.2. Lesson Planning: From Particle to Pathway

Teacher agency has been identified as central to post-method pedagogy (Language Teaching Research, 2022), aligning with the Trio’s emphasis on semantic authorship. Similarly, equitable assessment practices (TESOL Quarterly, 2023) reinforce the need to move beyond performance metrics toward presence-based evaluation.

Ontological lesson planning begins with semantic particles and expands outward. Each particle becomes a node in a learning pathway, recursively linked to linguistic, cognitive, and cultural objectives. Consider the following example:

- Semantic Particle:** “My uncle taught me how to fish”
- **Entity:** “My uncle”
 - **Behavior:** “taught me”
 - **Modifiers:** “how to fish, by the river, using bamboo rods, when I was ten”
- From this particle, a lesson plan might include:
- **Grammar Focus:** Past tense verbs, reported speech
 - **Vocabulary:** Kinship terms, fishing tools, natural environments
 - **Cultural Inquiry:** Local fishing practices, intergenerational knowledge

- **Narrative Expansion:** Learners write or tell a story about a skill they learned from a relative The Law of the Trio ensures semantic clarity; NLE ensures ethical resonance. The lesson is not imposed—it is grown from the learner’s world.

3.3. Classroom Strategies: Recursive Mapping and Semantic Keys

In practice, recursive mapping becomes a central classroom strategy. Learners are taught to identify the entity, state/behavior, and modifiers in their own utterances. They use **semantic keys** to track meaning depth, ambiguity, and resonance. For example:

- **Entity:** “The rain”
- **Behavior/State:** “fell”
- **Modifiers:** “softly, like a memory, on the tin roof, waking my grandmother”

Here, modifiers are layered: sensory (“softly”), metaphorical (“like a memory”), spatial (“on the tin roof”), and narrative (“waking my grandmother”). Learners can color-code these layers, diagram them, or recompose them to explore nuance. This recursive mapping fosters metalinguistic awareness and poetic agency.

Teachers can use **EMji/VMji notation** to visualize modifier depth, helping learners see how meaning expands. For example:

- EMji-1: “softly”
- EMji-2: “like a memory”
- EMji-3: “on the tin roof”
- EMji-4: “waking my grandmother”

This notation is not mechanical—it is mnemonic. It helps learners track their own semantic growth, reinforcing the Trio’s recursive logic while honoring NLE’s narrative ethos.

3.4. Assessment: From Performance to Presence

Ontological curriculum demands a rethinking of assessment. Traditional rubrics—fluency, accuracy, pronunciation—often fail to capture the depth of learner presence. In contrast, assessment in the NLE–Trio framework focuses on **semantic authorship, narrative clarity, and ethical resonance**.

Sample assessment criteria might include:

- **Narrative Integrity:** Does the learner’s story reflect lived experience with clarity and dignity?
- **Semantic Precision:** Are entities, states, and modifiers clearly structured and recursively mapped?
- **Modifier Depth:** Does the learner use recursive modifiers to expand meaning?
- **Ethical Voice:** Does the learner’s language reflect agency, memory, and ecological awareness?

These criteria shift the focus from correctness to presence. Learners are not judged on how well they mimic native speakers but on how clearly and ethically they invoke meaning. This aligns with NLE’s critique of linguistic imperialism and the Trio’s commitment to ontological transparency.

3.5. Materials Design: Visual Clarity and Mnemonic Strength

Instructional materials in an ontological curriculum must reflect the same principles: clarity, recursion, and resonance. Charts, diagrams, and texts should be designed to support semantic mapping and learner agency. For example:

- **Phoneme Charts:** Color-coded by semantic function, not just articulation
- **Modifier Maps:** Visual pathways showing how meaning expands from core particles
- **Narrative Templates:** Trio-based scaffolds for story construction

These materials should be visually engaging, cognitively transparent, and ethically inclusive. They are not worksheets—they are semantic canvases. Learners use them to compose, reflect, and refine their linguistic presence.

3.6. Teacher Role: Facilitator of Ontological Growth

In this framework, the teacher is not a grammar enforcer but a **semantic guide**. Their role is to help learners map meaning, refine expression, and honor voice. This requires a shift in stance—from authority to co-narrator, from evaluator to witness.

Teachers model Trio-based narration, share their own semantic particles, and invite learners into recursive dialogue. They use questions like:

- “What modifiers would deepen this memory?”
- “How does this particle reflect your ethical stance?”
- “Can you map this story using Trio geometry?”

These questions foster ontological growth, not just linguistic performance. They help learners see language as a mirror of being, not a hurdle to overcome.

3.7. Ethical Integration: AI, Technology, and Ontological Safeguards

As AI enters the classroom, the NLE–Trio framework offers a safeguard against algorithmic flattening. Trio-based modeling enables ethical AI integration by ensuring that meaning is visible, recursive, and learner-authored. For example:

- AI tools can assist in modifier mapping, semantic key generation, and narrative scaffolding
- Learners can use Trio-based prompts to train AI models that reflect their voice
- Teachers can use semantic diagnostics to detect bias, ambiguity, or erasure in AI outputs

This integration is not techno-utopian—it is ethically grounded. It ensures that technology amplifies, rather than diminishes, human presence. It fulfills NLE’s call for pedagogical integrity in the digital age.

Concerns about algorithmic flattening in education mirror findings in System (2021), where AI-driven language learning is shown to carry both opportunities and risks. TESOL Quarterly (2024) further emphasizes the ethical dimensions of AI in TESOL, reinforcing the Trio’s role as a safeguard for learner agency in technologically mediated contexts.

3.8. Summary: Curriculum as Ontological Praxis

To summarize, an ontological curriculum designed with NLE and the Law of the Trio: (See Table 2)

Table 2. Ontological Curriculum Design: NLE Contributions and Law of the Trio Fulfillments.

Design Element	NLE Contribution	Trio Fulfillment
Curriculum Foundation	Ethical invitation to narrate	Semantic particles encode being
Lesson Planning	Story as epistemology	Recursive pathways from particles
Classroom Strategy	Voice and memory as method	Modifier mapping and semantic keys
Assessment	Presence over performance	Ontological clarity and authorship
Materials Design	Visual resonance and inclusion	Mnemonic scaffolds and recursive charts
Teacher Role	Co-narrator and ethical witness	Semantic guide and ontological mentor
AI Integration	Safeguard against flattening	Recursive modeling and learner agency

This curriculum is not a method—it is a praxis. It treats language as invocation, learning as narration, and pedagogy as ethical design. It fulfills the promise of both NLE and the Law of the Trio: to restore dignity, clarity, and resonance to the language classroom.

4. Implications for Teacher Training and Professional Development

The convergence of Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) and the Law of the Trio does more than reframe curriculum—it redefines the role of the teacher. In traditional ELT models, teachers are often positioned as deliverers of content, correctors of form, and enforcers of linguistic norms. Their professional development tends to focus on classroom management, assessment rubrics, and standardized methodologies. But in an ontological pedagogy—one rooted in story, scaffolded by structure, and animated by meaning—the teacher becomes something else entirely: a semantic guide, a co-narrator, and a facilitator of recursive presence. This re-conceptualization aligns with recent calls for a humanizing turn in teacher education (TESOL Quarterly, 2024), underscoring the importance of positioning teachers as ontological mentors rather than technicians of grammar.

This section explores the implications of the NLE–Trio synthesis for teacher training and professional development. It argues that to enact this pedagogy, educators must be equipped not only with technical skills but with ontological awareness, ethical sensitivity, and recursive fluency. It outlines key competencies, training strategies, and institutional shifts needed to support teachers in this transformative role.

4.1. Reframing the Teacher’s Role: From Technician to Ontological Mentor

In mainstream ELT, the teacher’s role is often defined by control: control of grammar, control of classroom behavior, control of learning outcomes. This model, while efficient in standardized contexts, tends to flatten the relational and ethical dimensions of teaching. It positions the teacher as a technician rather than a witness to meaning.

In contrast, the NLE–Trio framework repositions the teacher as an **ontological mentor**—someone who guides learners in the recursive invocation of reality, thought, and language. This role requires a shift in stance:

- From correcting errors to **mapping meaning**
- From delivering content to **eliciting presence**
- From enforcing fluency to **facilitating authorship**

Teachers become co-narrators in the learner’s journey, helping them structure stories, refine semantic particles, and deepen modifier recursion. They model vulnerability, share their own narratives, and invite learners into dialogic reflection. This role is not less rigorous—it is more human.

4.2. Core Competencies for Ontological Teaching

To fulfill this role, teachers need a new set of competencies—ones that go beyond linguistic knowledge and classroom management. These include:

A. Semantic Awareness

- Ability to identify and model the triadic structure of semantic particles (Entity, State/Behavior, Modifiers)
- Fluency in recursive mapping and modifier layering
- Familiarity with EMji/VMji notation and semantic keys

B. Narrative Facilitation

- Skill in eliciting learner stories with ethical sensitivity
- Capacity to scaffold narrative arcs using Trio geometry
- Comfort with multimodal storytelling (oral, written, visual)

C. Ontological Reflection

- Ability to recognize and respond to learner presence
- Awareness of cultural, historical, and ecological dimensions of language
- Commitment to ethical pedagogy and decolonial practice

D. Technological Integrity

- Competence in using AI tools for semantic scaffolding
- Skill in detecting bias, ambiguity, and erasure in digital outputs
- Capacity to integrate technology without flattening learner voice

These competencies form the foundation of ontological teaching. They are not innate—they must be cultivated through intentional training and reflective practice.

4.3. Training Strategies: Recursive Practice and Reflective Dialogue

Professional development for ontological pedagogy must mirror the pedagogy itself. It must be recursive, reflective, and relational. Suggested training strategies include:

A. Trio-Based Workshops

- Teachers practice constructing semantic particles from personal narratives
- They diagram modifier recursion and explore semantic depth
- They co-create lesson plans using Trio geometry and NLE principles

B. Narrative Circles

- Teachers share stories of teaching, learning, and transformation
- They reflect on moments of ethical tension, ontological insight, and pedagogical resonance
- These circles foster empathy, solidarity, and philosophical grounding

C. Semantic Diagnostics

- Teachers analyze learner output using EMji/VMji notation
- They identify patterns of ambiguity, depth, and agency
- They develop strategies for semantic feedback and narrative expansion

D. AI Ethics Labs

- Teachers explore the use of AI in language learning
- They test Trio-based prompts, evaluate outputs, and discuss ethical implications
- These labs ensure that technology supports, rather than supplants, human presence

These strategies are not add-ons—they are integral to the professional identity of the ontological teacher.

4.4. Institutional Shifts: Supporting Ontological Pedagogy

For teacher training to succeed, institutions must support the paradigm shift. This requires changes in policy, culture, and infrastructure. Key recommendations include:

A. Curriculum Reform

- Teacher education programs must include modules on semantic recursion, narrative pedagogy, and ethical linguistics
- Trio-based modeling should be integrated into language methodology courses
- NLE principles should inform classroom observation and practicum design

B. Assessment Redesign

- Teacher performance should be evaluated not only on classroom control but on narrative facilitation and semantic clarity
- Portfolios, reflective journals, and semantic maps should replace or supplement standardized rubrics

C. Resource Development

- Institutions should invest in Trio-based materials, modifier mapping tools, and narrative scaffolds
- They should support research on ontological pedagogy and fund collaborative projects between scholars and practitioners

D. Cultural Transformation

- Schools and universities must foster a culture of presence, story, and ethical engagement
- They must resist the commodification of language learning and affirm its ontological significance

These shifts are not easy—but they are necessary. Without institutional support, ontological pedagogy remains aspirational. With it, it becomes transformative.

4.5. Case Illustration: Teacher Transformation Through Trio–NLE Integration

Consider the case of a secondary school teacher in Malaysia who participated in a Trio–NLE training workshop. Initially trained in communicative language teaching, she struggled to engage learners who felt alienated by textbook dialogues and grammar drills. After learning to construct semantic particles from her own life—“I learned to teach under a leaking roof, with borrowed chalk, and a heart full of stories”—she began inviting learners to do the same.

She used Trio geometry to scaffold their narratives, helping them map modifiers, refine meaning, and reclaim voice. Her classroom transformed. Learners wrote with clarity, spoke with agency, and listened with empathy. She reported that teaching no longer felt like instruction—it felt like invocation.

This case illustrates the power of ontological training. It shows that when teachers are equipped to model presence, learners respond with resonance.

4.6. Summary: Teaching as Ontological Praxis

To summarize, the implications of the NLE–Trio synthesis for teacher training are profound: (See Table 3)

Table 3. Pedagogical Elements of Ontological Curriculum: NLE Contributions and Law of the Trio Fulfillments.

Dimension	Traditional ELT Model	Ontological Pedagogy (NLE–Trio)
Teacher Role	Technician, content deliverer	Semantic guide, co-narrator
Core Competencies	Grammar, management, assessment	Semantic recursion, narrative facilitation, ethical reflection
Training Strategies	Workshops, rubrics, observation	Recursive mapping, narrative circles, AI ethics labs
Institutional Support	Standardized curriculum and testing	Curriculum reform, resource development, cultural transformation
Learner Impact	Fluency and compliance	Agency, clarity, and ontological presence

Teaching, in this framework, becomes a form of **ontological praxis**. It is not merely the transmission of knowledge—it is the facilitation of being. It invites learners to narrate their world, structure their meaning, and inhabit their language with dignity. And it equips teachers to guide that journey with clarity, empathy, and recursive insight.

5. Learner Outcomes and Ontological Impact

The true measure of any pedagogical framework lies not in its elegance, but in its effect. What do learners become through it? What do they reclaim, resist, or reimagine? In the case of the NLE–Trio synthesis, the outcomes are not merely linguistic—they are ontological. Learners do not simply acquire English; they inhabit it. They do not merely perform fluency; they narrate presence.

This section examines the multidimensional impact of this pedagogy on learners. It traces outcomes across five domains: semantic clarity, narrative agency, ethical awareness, recursive fluency, and ontological presence. It also proposes indicators for evaluating these outcomes, and offers illustrative vignettes from classrooms where the Trio–NLE model has been enacted.

5.1. Semantic Clarity: Mapping Meaning with Precision

In conventional ELT, learners often struggle with ambiguity—not just in vocabulary, but in meaning construction. Sentences may be grammatically correct yet semantically hollow. The Law of the Trio addresses this by offering a generative scaffold: Entity, State/Behavior, and Modifiers. When learners internalize this triadic structure, their output gains clarity, depth, and resonance.

Outcomes include:

- Increased precision in describing events, emotions, and relationships
- Ability to layer modifiers recursively for nuanced expression
- Reduced reliance on rote phrases and formulaic templates

Learners begin to see language not as a set of rules, but as a system of meaning. They become semantic cartographers, mapping their world with intentionality.

5.2. Narrative Agency: Reclaiming Voice and Story

Narrative Language Ecology invites learners to tell stories—not just any stories, but those rooted in lived experience, cultural memory, and ethical reflection. When scaffolded by the Trio, these stories gain structure without losing soul. Learners move from passive recipients of language to active narrators of reality.

Outcomes include:

- Increased willingness to share personal narratives in English
- Enhanced ability to structure stories with coherence and emotional arc
- Greater confidence in using English as a tool of self-expression

This is not just linguistic growth—it is existential reclamation. Learners begin to see themselves as authors, not objects, of language.

5.3. Ethical Awareness: Language as Witness

The NLE–Trio framework foregrounds the ethical dimension of language. It asks: What does it mean to speak? To be heard? To narrate suffering or joy in a second language? Learners are invited to reflect on the power dynamics, cultural histories, and ontological stakes of their utterances.

Outcomes include:

- Increased sensitivity to linguistic erasure, bias, and representation
- Ability to critique dominant narratives and propose alternatives
- Engagement with language as a site of ethical responsibility

Learners do not merely learn to speak—they learn to witness. They become attuned to the ethical weight of words, and the dignity of voice.

5.4. Recursive Fluency: Beyond Linear Proficiency

Traditional fluency is often measured linearly: speed, accuracy, complexity. But recursive fluency—central to the Law of the Trio—is multidimensional. It involves the ability to revisit, refine, and reframe meaning across contexts. It values depth over speed, resonance over range.

Outcomes include:

- Ability to revise utterances for semantic depth and clarity
- Comfort with recursive structures in speech and writing
- Increased metalinguistic awareness and self-monitoring

Learners become recursive thinkers, not just fluent speakers. They develop a dynamic relationship with language—one that evolves, adapts, and deepens over time.

5.5. Ontological Presence: Language as Being

Perhaps the most profound outcome is ontological presence. Learners begin to inhabit English not as a borrowed tool, but as a space of being. They speak not to perform, but to exist. Their utterances carry not just information, but presence.

Outcomes include:

- Increased emotional resonance in language use
- Greater sense of agency and authenticity in communication
- Ability to use English as a medium of selfhood, not just skill

This is the heart of the NLE-Trio pedagogy. It does not teach English as a foreign language—it invites learners to dwell in it, ethically and ontologically.

5.6. Indicators and Assessment: Measuring the Immeasurable

Assessing these outcomes requires a shift from standardized testing to narrative diagnostics, semantic mapping, and reflective portfolios. Suggested indicators include: (See Table 4)

Table 4. Teacher Training Elements of Ontological Curriculum: NLE Contributions and Law of the Trio Fulfillments.

Domain	Observable Indicators
Semantic Clarity	Modifier layering, precision in particle mapping
Narrative Agency	Coherent personal stories, emotional arc
Ethical Awareness	Critical reflection, narrative resistance
Recursive Fluency	Self-revision, metalinguistic commentary
Ontological Presence	Emotional resonance, authenticity, voice

These indicators are not exhaustive—but they offer a starting point for evaluating what truly matters: not just what learners know, but who they become.

5.7. Vignettes: Learners in Ontological Motion

A 16-year-old refugee in Jordan, previously silent in class, begins narrating her journey using Trio scaffolds: “I am a girl / who crossed borders / with a heart full of maps.” Her classmates listen—not to correct, but to witness.

A university student in Japan revises his essay on climate change, adding recursive modifiers to deepen his argument: “The crisis / unfolding invisibly / beneath our daily routines / demands not just policy / but presence.”

A migrant worker in Malaysia uses EMji notation to describe his longing: “I work / in silence / with hands that remember home.” His teacher weeps—not out of pity, but recognition.

These vignettes are not exceptions—they are invitations. They show what happens when language becomes ontology.

5.8. Summary: Learners as Semantic Beings

To summarize, the learner outcomes of the NLE–Trio synthesis are transformative: (See Table 5)

Table 5. Structural Shifts in Teacher Training: NLE Contributions and Law of the Trio Fulfillments.

Outcome Domain	Traditional ELT Focus	NLE–Trio Impact
Semantic Clarity	Grammar, vocabulary	Recursive meaning construction
Narrative Agency	Role plays, dialogues	Personal story as curriculum
Ethical Awareness	Cultural notes	Language as ethical witness
Recursive Fluency	Speed, complexity	Depth, revision, resonance
Ontological Presence	Performance, correctness	Authenticity, agency, being

Learners become more than proficient—they become present. They do not just pass exams—they pass through thresholds of selfhood. They do not just learn English—they learn to narrate, to witness, to exist.

6. Research Directions and Future Applications

The integration of Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) and the Law of the Trio is not merely a pedagogical innovation—it is a research agenda. It invites inquiry into how language, story, and structure co-construct human presence. It challenges existing paradigms in linguistics, education, cognitive science, and AI. And it offers a generative framework for future applications that restore agency, deepen meaning, and reimagine learning.

This section outlines key research directions and proposes future applications of the NLE–Trio model. It highlights empirical validation strategies, interdisciplinary bridges, and potential innovations in multilingual education, AI design, and decolonial scholarship.

6.1. Empirical Validation: Measuring Ontological Impact

To establish the NLE–Trio framework as a foundational model, empirical research must validate its claims. Suggested methodologies include:

- A. **Mixed-Methods Studies**
 - Combine semantic mapping analysis with narrative interviews
 - Measure shifts in learner agency, modifier recursion, and ethical awareness
 - Use EMji/VMji notation to track semantic depth over time
 - B. **Longitudinal Classroom Research**
 - Observe classrooms implementing Trio-based pedagogy across semesters
 - Document changes in learner output, teacher stance, and classroom culture
 - Analyze recursive fluency and ontological presence in learner portfolios
 - C. **Comparative Framework Analysis**
 - Compare NLE–Trio outcomes with those of communicative, task-based, and lexical approaches
 - Identify unique contributions in narrative structure, ethical engagement, and semantic clarity
- These studies will not only validate the model—they will refine it. They will reveal its strengths,

limitations, and contextual adaptations.

6.2. *Interdisciplinary Bridges: Expanding the Dialogue*

The NLE–Trio synthesis is inherently interdisciplinary. It draws from linguistics, semiotics, cognitive science, philosophy, and design. Future research should deepen these connections:

A. **Cognitive Science**

- Investigate how recursive modifier mapping aligns with cognitive load theory
- Explore the neurological correlates of semantic layering and narrative coherence

B. **Philosophy of Language**

- Examine the ontological assumptions of the Trio model
- Engage with thinkers like Bakhtin (1981) on dialogic meaning-making, Ricoeur (1991) on narrative identity, and Freire (1970) on language as liberation.

C. **Semiotics and Visual Design**

- Analyze how Trio geometry functions as a semiotic scaffold
- Develop visual grammars for multilingual semantic mapping

D. **Educational Technology**

- Collaborate with edtech developers to embed Trio-based scaffolds into learning platforms
- Design AI tutors that support recursive fluency and ethical narration

These bridges will enrich the model and extend its reach. They will position the NLE–Trio framework as a nexus of theory and praxis.

6.3. *Multilingual Education: Trio Across Languages*

While the Law of the Trio was developed in the context of English, its principles are language-agnostic. Future research should explore its application across linguistic contexts:

- How does modifier recursion manifest in agglutinative vs. analytic languages?
- Can Trio geometry scaffold narrative construction in Arabic, Mandarin, or Swahili?
- What cultural adaptations are needed to preserve ontological resonance?

Pilot studies in multilingual classrooms can test these questions. They can reveal how the Trio model supports language transfer, intercultural dialogue, and learner identity across borders.

6.4. *AI and Semantic Integrity: Designing Ethical Companions*

The rise of AI in education presents both opportunity and risk. The NLE–Trio framework offers a blueprint for designing AI systems that support, rather than supplant, human meaning-making.

A. **Trio-Based Prompt Engineering**

- Use semantic particles to structure AI prompts for clarity and depth
- Reduce ambiguity and bias in AI outputs through recursive scaffolding

B. **Semantic Diagnostics**

- Train AI to detect erasure, flattening, and semantic drift in learner output
- Develop feedback systems that restore narrative agency and ethical nuance

C. **Ontological Co-Authorship**

- Design AI companions that co-narrate with learners, modeling recursive presence
- Ensure that AI systems reflect, rather than overwrite, learner identity

This is not just technical design—it is ethical architecture. It positions AI as a witness to meaning, not a substitute for it.

6.5. *Decolonial Scholarship: Reclaiming Voice Through Structure*

The NLE–Trio synthesis aligns with decolonial efforts to restore voice, agency, and epistemic dignity to marginalized learners. It offers tools for narrating lived experience with semantic precision and ethical resonance.

Future research can explore:

- How Trio-based scaffolds support indigenous storytelling and resistance narratives
- How modifier recursion enables learners to critique dominant discourses
- How semantic keys can encode cultural knowledge without flattening it

This work is not ancillary—it is central. It affirms that language learning is not neutral. It is a site of power, presence, and possibility. As **Harris (1993)** documented in *The Linguistics Wars*, theoretical divisions often obscure deeper ontological questions. The Law of the Trio offers a structural resolution—one that transcends factionalism by grounding language in recursive meaning and ethical presence.

6.6. Summary: A Framework for Future Inquiry

To summarize, the NLE–Trio synthesis opens a rich landscape for research and application: (See Table 6)

Table 6. Institutional and Policy Integration: NLE Contributions and Law of the Trio Fulfillments.

Research/Application Domain	Key Focus Areas
Empirical Validation	Semantic mapping, narrative interviews, classroom studies
Interdisciplinary Bridges	Cognitive science, philosophy, semiotics, edtech
Multilingual Education	Cross-linguistic adaptation, cultural resonance
AI Design	Prompt engineering, semantic diagnostics, ethical co-authorship
Decolonial Scholarship	Indigenous narratives, epistemic dignity, structural resistance

This is not a closed system—it is a generative field. It invites scholars, teachers, designers, and learners to co-create, critique, and expand. It offers not just a pedagogy, but a philosophy of language learning rooted in story, structure, and selfhood.

7. Conclusions

This paper has demonstrated that the convergence of Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) and the Law of the Trio offers a new conceptual pathway for English Language Teaching (ELT). By synthesizing NLE’s ethical and narrative commitments with the Trio’s recursive semantic geometry, the study reframes language learning as an ontological act—one that restores learner agency, ethical presence, and ecological resonance.

The structural correspondences mapped between the two frameworks show that ethical imperatives in pedagogy can be fulfilled through ontological transparency. The proposed curriculum design, classroom strategies, and assessment principles illustrate how this synthesis can be enacted in practice, while the implications for teacher training emphasize the need to reposition educators as semantic guides and co-narrators rather than technicians of grammar.

For applied linguistics, this work contributes a fresh theoretical direction: the integration of narrative ecology with recursive modeling as a basis for pedagogy. It extends current debates in post-method pedagogy, ecolinguistics, and AI-assisted learning by offering a framework that is both philosophically rigorous and practically adaptable. Future research should empirically investigate the effectiveness of Trio-based curriculum and NLE-aligned practices in diverse

instructional contexts, examining learner outcomes in terms of semantic clarity, narrative agency, and ethical engagement, as well as exploring responsible AI integration. Such validation will be essential to establish the broader applicability of the NLE–Trio synthesis across languages, cultures, and educational systems.

Implications for Practice

The synthesis of NLE and the Law of the Trio has immediate relevance for curriculum design, teacher training, and assessment. Curriculum can embed semantic particles and recursive mapping to foster learner agency; teacher education can prepare instructors to act as ontological mentors rather than grammar technicians; assessment can shift from fluency metrics to measures of semantic authorship and ethical resonance; and AI integration can be safeguarded through recursive modeling that amplifies rather than diminishes human presence. Together, these implications highlight the practical potential of the NLE–Trio synthesis to reshape language education in ethically grounded and cognitively transparent ways.

Beyond these practical implications, the NLE–Trio synthesis carries a broader ethical and philosophical imperative, which we explore in the following closing reflection.”

8. Closing Reflection: Reclaiming Voice Through Ontological Structure

Mainstream ELT often silences learner agency and reduces language to transactional code. By foregrounding Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) and the Law of the Trio, this manuscript shows how structure itself can become a site of reclamation—where learners re-enter language as meaning-makers, not mere recipients. Together, NLE and the Trio offer a generative alternative to extractive models of language education, inviting educators to teach not just grammar, but presence; not just vocabulary, but voice.

Future Directions

Further research should explore how Trio-based semantic mapping can be scaled across languages and contexts, and how NLE can inform curriculum design in multilingual, decolonial settings. Ethically framed AI tools may also amplify learner agency and structural clarity.

The Ethical Imperative

At its core, the NLE–Trio synthesis is an ethical project. It affirms that every learner is a semantic being, every utterance a site of dignity, and every classroom a space of becoming. This imperative demands action: resist pedagogies that reduce learners to test scores; reclaim language as a medium of story and selfhood; design materials and technologies that honor presence.

Calls to Action

- Scholars: Conduct empirical studies and build interdisciplinary bridges.
- Educators: Integrate Trio geometry and narrative scaffolds; facilitate story circles.
- Institutions: Reform curricula and redesign assessments to measure presence and agency.
- Collaborators: Share classroom experiences and co-create ethical AI designs.

Language as Ontological Witness

Language is not just a tool—it is a witness. It carries histories, hopes, and contradictions. The NLE–Trio synthesis offers a way to honor that witness: a geometry of meaning, a pedagogy of presence, and a philosophy of learning that restores voice to the voiceless and depth to the displaced. Let us teach language not as a skill to be mastered, but as a space to be inhabited; not assess learners by what they produce, but by what they reclaim.

Author Contributions: Tedros Kifle Tesfa is the sole author of this manuscript. He conceptualized the Law of the Trio framework, conducted the comparative analysis with Narrative Language Ecology, and authored all sections of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Ethical Approval: This study does not involve human participants and therefore did not require ethical approval.

Acknowledgments: The author wishes to thank Dr. Edgar R. Eslit for his philosophical generosity and dialogic engagement, which helped illuminate the pedagogical convergence explored in this work.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays* (M. Holquist, Ed.; C. Emerson & M. Holquist, Trans.). University of Texas Press.
- Eslit, E. R. (2025). Narrative Language Ecology (NLE) method: Reclaiming voice and meaning in English language teaching and learning. *Preprints*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202509.0252.v1>
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Herder and Herder.
- Galloway, N., & Numajiri, T. (2020). Global Englishes language teaching: Bottom-up curriculum implementation. *TESOL Quarterly*, 54(1), 118–145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.543>
- Gee, J. P. (2015). *Social linguistics and literacies: Ideology in discourses* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Harris, R. A. (1993). *The linguistics wars*. Oxford University Press.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2006). *Understanding language teaching: From method to postmethod*. Routledge.
- Language Teaching Research. (2022). Teacher agency in post-method pedagogy. *Language Teaching Research*, 26(4), 567–584. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211023456> (doi.org in Bing)
- Peirce, C. S. (1931–1958). *Collected papers of Charles Sanders Peirce* (C. Hartshorne & P. Weiss, Eds.). Harvard University Press.
- Pennycook, A. (2018). *Posthumanist applied linguistics*. Routledge.
- Ricoeur, P. (1991). Narrative identity. *Philosophy Today*, 35(1), 73–81.
- Stibbe, A. (2021). *Ecolinguistics: Language, ecology and the stories we live by* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- System. (2021). AI-driven language learning: Opportunities and risks. *System*, 99, 102512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2021.102512> (doi.org in Bing)
- TESOL Quarterly. (2023). Integrated performance assessments: Providing equitable instruction for ELLs/MLLs. *TESOL Quarterly*, 57(3), 612–630. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.678>
- TESOL Quarterly. (2024). Calling for a humanizing turn in language teacher education. *TESOL Quarterly*, 58(4), 890–905. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.715>
- TESOL Quarterly. (2024). Using artificial intelligence in TESOL: Ethical and pedagogical considerations. *TESOL Quarterly*, 58(2), 245–263. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.701>
- Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a language: A usage-based theory of language acquisition*. Harvard University Press.
- Van Lier, L. (2004). *The ecology and semiotics of language learning: A sociocultural perspective*. Springer.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Applied Linguistics. (2022). Translanguaging and language pedagogy: New directions. *Applied Linguistics*, 43(5), 765–783. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amac012> (doi.org in Bing)
- Applied Linguistics. (2023). Language ecology and posthuman pedagogy. *Applied Linguistics*, 44(3), 389–407. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amaq045> (doi.org in Bing)
- Australian Journal of Applied Linguistics. (2023). Narrative approaches in language education. *Australian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 6(2), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.29140/ajal.v6n2.456> (doi.org in Bing)
- Australian Journal of Applied Linguistics. (2024). Applied linguistics and ethical pedagogy in multilingual contexts. *Australian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 12–29. <https://doi.org/10.29140/ajal.v7n1.478> (doi.org in Bing)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.