

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

An Asymptotic Behavior Property of High-Order Nonlinear Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Yuan Yuan and [Qinghua Ma](#)*

Posted Date: 10 February 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202502.0704.v1

Keywords: Gronwall-Bihari type dynamic inequality; high-order dynamic equations; on time scales; asymptotic behavior



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Article

An Asymptotic Behavior Property of High-Order Nonlinear Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

Yuan Yuan and Qinghua Ma *

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Guangzhou 510420, China; yuanliuyuan79@163.com

* Correspondence: mqh@gdufs.edu.cn or gdqhma@21cn.com

Abstract: In this work, by using one dynamic Gronwall-Bihari type integral inequality on time scales, an interesting asymptotic behavior property of high-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales was obtained, which also generalized two classical results belong to Máté and Neval's and Agarwal and Bohner's respectively.

Keywords: Gronwall-Bihari type dynamic inequality; high-order dynamic equations; on time scales; asymptotic behavior

MSC: 2010: 34N05, 34E05, 34E10

1. Introduction

Since Stefan Hilger has introduced the theory of time scales which unify continuous and discrete analysis and extend the continuous and discrete theories to the case "in between", in the last few decades, the theories have gained considerable importance and attention due to their numerous applications to literally all branches of science such as statistics, biology, economics, finance, engineering, physics, and operations research have been given. The literature on such dynamic differential equations and their applications is vast; see the monographs of Martin Bohner and Alian Peterson [2, 3], Martin Bohner and Svetlin G. Georgiev [4] and the references given therein.

It is well known that Gronwall-type integral inequalities and their discrete analogues play a dominant role in the study of quantitative properties of solutions of differential, integral and difference equations. During the last few years, some Gronwall-type integral inequalities on time scales and their applications have been investigated by many authors. For example, we refer readers to [5–13]. In this paper, motivated by the paper [5,16], we using a Gronwall-Bihari type dynamic inequality to have established an interesting asymptotic behavior property of high-order dynamic equations on time scales. For all the detailed definitions, notation and theorems on time scales, we refer the readers to the excellent monographs [2,3] and references given therein. We also present some preliminary results that are needed in the remainder of this paper as useful lemmas for the discussion of our proof. In what follows, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$; $C(M, S)$ denotes the class of all continuous functions defined on set M with range in set S , T is an arbitrary time scale, and C_{rd} denotes the set of rd-continuous functions. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $t_0 \in T$, $T_0 = [t_0, +\infty) \cap T$.

2. Some Lemmas and Main Result

Lemma 2.1 ([11]). *Let T be an unbounded time scale $t, t_0 \in T$; and $u(t), a(t), b(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions defined for $t \in T$. Assume that $a(t)$ is nondecreasing for $t \in T$ and $0 < r \leq 1$. If for $t \in T$ we have*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(s)u^r(s)\Delta s, \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$u(t) \leq \begin{cases} a(t)e_b(t, t_0), & r = 1, \\ a(t) \left[1 + (1-r) \int_{t_0}^t b(s) \Delta s \right]^{\frac{1}{1-r}}, & 0 < r < 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where $e_b(t, t_0) = \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \xi_{\mu(s)}(b(s)) \Delta s \right\}$, and the cylinder transformation $\xi_h : C_h \rightarrow Z_h$ defined by

$$\xi_h(z) = \frac{1}{h} \text{Log}(1 + zh),$$

where Log is the principal logarithm function.

Lemma 2.2. For any rd-continuous nonnegative function $b(t)$, we have inequality

$$e_b(t, t_0) \leq \exp \int_{t_0}^t b(s) \Delta s. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. By the representation [2, (2.15)], we have

$$e_b(t, t_0) = \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \xi_{\mu(s)}(b(s)) \Delta s \right\}.$$

If $\mu(s) = 0$, it flows that

$$\xi_{\mu(s)}(b(s)) = b(s);$$

If $\mu(s) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mu(s)}(b(s)) &= \frac{\text{Log}(1 + \mu(s)b(s))}{\mu(s)} = \frac{\log(1 + \mu(s)b(s))}{\mu(s)} \\ &= b(s) - \frac{\mu(s)b(s) - \log(1 + \mu(s)b(s))}{\mu(s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Setting $f(x) = x - \log(1 + x)$ for $x > -1$, from (2.4) we obtain that

$$\xi_{\mu(s)}(b(s)) = b(s) - \frac{f(\mu(s)b(s))}{\mu(s)} \leq b(s),$$

since $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x > -1$. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $I = [1, \infty)$, $T_1 = T \cap I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, rd-continuous functions $p_i : T_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If for $t \in T_1$, $r_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ are constants with $0 < r_i \leq 1$, a function y is n times differentiable on T_1^n and assume that

$$|y^{\Delta^n}(t)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i(t) |y^{\Delta^i}(t)|^{r_i} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\int_1^{+\infty} s^{(n-i-1)r_i} p_i(s) \Delta s < +\infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Then there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

(i) $|y^{\Delta^k}(t)| = O(\gamma t^{n-k-1})$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$;
and

(ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)$ exists.

Proof. From (2.5), for any $t \geq t_0 \geq 1$ we have

$$|y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) - y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t_0)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_0}^t p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s, \quad (2.7)$$

which follows that for $t_0 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)| &\leq |y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(1)| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_1^t p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s \\ &= [|y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(1)| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \int_1^t p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s] + \int_1^t p_{n-1}(s) |y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(s)|^{r_{n-1}} \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $|y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(1)| \geq 1$, from the last inequality and by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 we obtain that

$$|y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)| \leq \left[|y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(1)| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \int_1^t p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s \right] G_1(t), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$G_1(t) = \begin{cases} \exp \int_1^t p_{n-1}(s) \Delta s, & r_{n-1} = 1, \\ \left[1 + (1 - r_{n-1}) \int_1^t p_{n-1}(s) \Delta s \right]^{\frac{1}{1-r_{n-1}}}, & 0 < r_{n-1} < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.8), (2.9) and condition (2.6), we have

$$|y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)| \leq K_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \int_1^t M_1 p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$K_1 = |y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(1)| M_1, \quad M_1 = G_1(+\infty).$$

Integrating (2.10) from 1 to $t, t \geq 1$ and using the change of order integration formula [17, Lemma 2.1], we obtain that

$$|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(t)| \leq |y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(1)| + K_1 t + t \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \int_1^t M_1 p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s, \quad (2.11)$$

which follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(t)|}{t} &\leq (|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(1)| + K_1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \int_1^t M_1 p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s + \\ &\quad + \int_1^t M_1 s^{r_{n-2}} p_{n-2}(s) \left(\frac{|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(s)|}{s} \right)^{r_{n-2}} \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 to the last inequality again, we have

$$\frac{|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(t)|}{t} \leq \left[|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(1)| + K_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \int_1^t M_1 p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s \right] G_2(t), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$G_2(t) = \begin{cases} \exp \int_1^t M_1 s^{r_{n-2}} p_{n-2}(s) \Delta s, & r_{n-2} = 1, \\ \left[1 + (1 - r_{n-2}) \int_1^t M_1 s^{r_{n-2}} p_{n-2}(s) \Delta s \right]^{\frac{1}{1-r_{n-2}}}, & 0 < r_{n-2} < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

(2.12) implies that

$$|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(t)| \leq K_2 t + \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} t \int_1^t M_2 p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$K_2 = (|y^{\Delta^{n-2}}(1)| + K_1) G_2(+\infty), M_2 = M_1 G_2(+\infty).$$

By mathematical induction, we will derive that

$$|y^{\Delta^{n-j}}(t)| \leq K_j t^{j-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-j-1} t^{j-1} \int_1^t M_j p_i(s) |y^{\Delta^i}(s)|^{r_i} \Delta s, \quad (2.15)$$

where K_j and M_j are constants, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Especially, for $j = n-1$, we have

$$|y^\Delta(t)| \leq K_{n-1} t^{n-2} + t^{n-2} \int_1^t M_{n-1} p_0(s) |y(s)|^{r_0} \Delta s, \quad (2.16)$$

Integrating (2.16) from 1 to $t, t \geq 1$ and using the change of order integration formula again, we can obtain that

$$|y(t)| \leq K_0 t^{n-1} + t^{n-1} \int_1^t M_{n-1} p_0(s) |y(s)|^{r_0} \Delta s$$

where K_0 is a suitable constant. (2.16) can be re-written as

$$\frac{|y(t)|}{t^{n-1}} \leq K_0 + \int_1^t M_{n-1} p_0(s) s^{(n-1)r_0} \left(\frac{|y(s)|}{s^{n-1}} \right)^{r_0} \Delta s$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 to the last inequality, we have

$$|y(t)| \leq M_0 t^{n-1}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$M_0 = \begin{cases} K_0 \exp \int_1^{+\infty} M_1 s^{(n-1)r_0} p_0(s) \Delta s, & r_0 = 1, \\ K_0 \left[1 + (1 - r_0) \int_1^{+\infty} M_1 s^{(n-1)r_0} p_0(s) \Delta s \right]^{\frac{1}{1-r_0}}, & 0 < r_0 < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.15)-(2.18) we can derive that

$$|y^{\Delta^k}(t)| \leq a_k t^{n-k-1}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.19)$$

where $a_k, (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ are some constants.

Set $\gamma = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \{a_k\}$, from (2.19) we have proved (i);

By condition (2.6), combing with (2.7) and (2.19) we obtain that

$$\lim_{t, t_0 \rightarrow +\infty} |y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) - y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t_0)| = 0.$$

From the Cauchy criterion [4], it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)$ exist. \square

By Theorem 2.3, we can get the following corollary easily.

Corollary 2.4. Consider initial value problem

$$y^{\Delta^n} = f(t, y, y^{\Delta}, \dots, y^{\Delta^{n-1}}), \quad y^{\Delta^i}(1) = K_i \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad (2.20)$$

where $f : T \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is supposed to satisfy

$$|f(t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i(t) |u_i|^{r_i} \quad (2.21)$$

for all $t \in T_1$, $\{u_i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$; $p_i(t)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) are defined as in Theorem 2.3 and satisfy condition (2.6). Then there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for every solution y of (2.20) satisfies

(i)

$$|y^{\Delta^k}(t)| = O(\gamma t^{n-k-1}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \quad (2.22)$$

and (ii)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} y^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t) \text{ exists.}$$

Remark 1. When $T = \mathbb{R}$, $r_i = 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, from Theorem 2.3, we can get a main result of Máté and Neval's ([16], Lemma 2); when $T = \mathbb{Z}$, $r_i = 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ with some suitable conditions we can get one another main result of Máté and Neval's ([16], Lemma 6).

Remark 2. When $r_i = 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, from (2.22), we can get the similar result of Agarwal and Bohner's ([17], Theorem 7) under some simpler conditions on $p_i(t)$, ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$).

Author Contributions: Yuan Yuan: Writing—Original Draft Preparation and finished manuscript; Qinghua Ma: Writing—Review and Editing Draft. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: The research was partially supported by the teaching quality and reform project of the undergraduate universities of Guangdong Province, China 2022.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, Results Math. 18 (1990) 18-56.
2. M. Bohner, A. Peterson, Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2001.
3. M. Bohner, A. Peterson, Advanced Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2003.
4. M. Bohner, Svetlin G. Georgiev, Multivariable Dynamic Calculus on Time Scales, Springer, 2016.
5. M. Bohner, S. R. Grace, I. Jadlovská, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of forced third-order dynamic equations, Analysis, 39(1) (2019), 1-6.
6. E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner, F. Akin, Pachpatte inequalities on time scales, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (1) (2005) 23. Article 6 (electronic).
7. M. Bohner, S. Stević, Asymptotic behavior of second-order dynamic equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 188 (2007) 1503-1512.
8. D.R. Anderson, Dynamic double integral inequalities in two independent variables on time scales, J. Math. Inequal. 2 (2008) 163-184.

9. Rui A.C. Ferreira, Delfim F.M. Torres, Generalizations of Gronwall-Bihari inequalities on time scales, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 15 (6) (2009) 529-539.
10. W.N. Li, Some delay integral inequalities on time scales, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010) 1929-1936.
11. Q.H. Feng, F.W. Meng, B. Zhang, Gronwall-Bellman type nonlinear delay integral inequalities on time scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 382 (2) (2011) 772-784.
12. Q.H. Ma, J. Pečarić, The bounds on the solutions of certain two-dimensional delay dynamic systems on time scales, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61 (2011) 2158-2163.
13. Q. H. Ma, J. W. Wang, X. H. Ke, J. Pečarić, On the boundedness of a class of nonlinear dynamic equations of second order, *Appl. Math. Letter*, 26 (2013) 1099-1105.
14. V. Tsan, Y. Perestyuk, V. Mogylova, On the relation between bounded solutions of differential equations and dynamic equations on time scales, *J. of Math. Scis.*, 279(3)(2024), 414-437.
15. A. Máté, P. Nevai, Sublinear perturbations of the differential equation and of the analogous difference equation, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 53(1984) 234-257.
16. R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications, *Result. Math.*, 35(1999) 3-22.
17. B. Karpuz, Asymptotic behaviour of bounded solutions of a class of higher-order neutral dynamic equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 215(2009) 2174-2183.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.