

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# The Psychological and Systemic Burden on Therapists Working in Sex Offending Treatment: A Framework for Institutional Support and Policy Reform

---

[Marie-Louise Psarra](#)\* and [Athanasios Douzenis](#)

Posted Date: 5 May 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202605.0240.v1

Keywords: sex offending treatment; therapists; vicarious trauma; secondary traumatic stress; supervision; self-care



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC, OpenAlex.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# The Psychological and Systemic Burden on Therapists Working in Sex Offending Treatment: A Framework for Institutional Support and Policy Reform

Marie-Louise Psarra \* and Athanasios Douzenis

2nd Department of Psychiatry, Medical School, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

\* Correspondence: mlpsarra@yahoo.gr; Tel.: +306994129100

## Abstract

**Background:** Clinicians involved in the treatment of individuals with a sex offence history are at a heightened risk for psychological distress and burnout. This vulnerability stems from persistent exposure to explicit, graphic traumatic narratives and the unique ethical complexities inherent in the field. **Objective:** This article analyzes the multifaceted emotional, cognitive, and systemic burdens placed on these therapists while proposing evidence-based individual and institutional reforms to mitigate these impacts. **Findings:** Practitioners frequently suffer from vicarious traumatization (VT) and secondary traumatic stress (STS), leading to fundamental shifts in worldview, chronic hypervigilance, and professional isolation. Current research underscores that individual resilience is heavily contingent upon organizational factors, specifically the availability of specialized supervision and robust legal safeguards. **Conclusion:** Addressing and mitigating therapist burden necessitates a pivot from a reliance on individual self-care to the institutionalization of trauma-informed support frameworks. Implementing mandatory clinical supervision and standardized operating protocols are essential to protect the well-being of the provider and, by extension, ensure public safety.

**Keywords:** sex offending treatment; therapists; vicarious trauma; secondary traumatic stress; supervision; self-care

---

## 1. Introduction

Working with sexual offense perpetrators is a complex role that presents both significant professional difficulties and limited if absent meaningful personal rewards. By helping clients enhance their social and emotional capabilities, improve their quality of life, and develop better sexual self-regulation, therapists perform a vital service that increases overall community safety. Nevertheless, engaging in closely with this specific client population can also result in various negative psychological effects for the practitioner (Raymond et al., 2023). When implementing therapy, clinicians find themselves listening to the offenders' graphic and often detailed accounts of violent and abusive thoughts, fantasies, and behaviors, to engage empathically with them, trying to help them work through their cognitive distortions and make them aware of their manipulative behaviors and remorselessness, that they may experience a variety of adverse effects (Baum & Moyal, 2020), an impact that historically has received less academic attention than the profiles of victims or perpetrators. Literature consistently portrays work with individuals that have been adjudicated for a sex offence, as mentally, physically and emotionally draining due to frequent exposure to vivid, graphic descriptions of sexual violence and human cruelty, a "grim reality" that can trigger profound psychological distress and keeps stress responses on high alert (Chandler et al., 2017).

The cost of caring has been studied under a variety of rubrics, most commonly: burnout, compassion fatigue (CF), vicarious traumatization (VT), and secondary traumatic stress (STS) (Baum

& Moyal, 2020). Unlike general clinical practice, sex offending treatment often results in Vicarious Traumatization (VT), a cumulative process where a therapist's worldview and beliefs regarding safety and trust are negatively transformed (Merhav et al., 2018). Clinicians may also experience Secondary Traumatic Stress (STS), characterized by PTSD-like symptoms such as intrusive imagery and emotional numbing (Frost & Scott, 2020). Being exposed to detailed descriptions of human cruelty can affect the personal and professional attributes and ethical behavior of the therapist (Barros et al., 2020). These burdens often permeate the therapist's personal life, manifesting as a pervasive loss of trust in others and an intensified perception of the world as an inherently unsafe place (Frost & Scott, 2022). Qualitative surveys identified changes in how sex offending therapists view themselves, others and the therapeutic relationship, in both positive and negative ways, as well as intrusive thinking and feeling overly responsible for the outcome of treatment (Dean & Barnett, 2020). Therapists often experience increased hypervigilance regarding family safety (Elias & Haj-Yahia, 2016; 2019), withdrawal from social circles, and even a diminished interest in their own sexual lives (Moulden & Firestone, 2007; Way et al., 2004).

Furthermore, practitioners may grapple with "gender shame" or social alienation due to the guilt or stigma-by association (Parkes et al., 2023), often directed at those who treat feared and despised populations. The concept of "dirty work" (DiCiro et al., 2024) describes employment that is socially marginalized, such as the treatment of sexual offenders who are often viewed as outcasts. Clinicians in this field frequently face external stigma and social malignment for assisting a population that society loathes, despite the work being a public policy requirement. This environment creates a high risk for moral injury (Čartolovni et al., 2021; Dean et al., 2019), an occupational hazard distinct from burnout that involves painful psychological dissonance. This injury occurs when a clinician's actions transgress their deeply held ethical beliefs or professional values of non-maleficence, and may predict higher rates of secondary trauma, burnout and lower compassion satisfaction (Morris, 2022; Williamson et al., 2018). Unlike burnout, moral injury is a natural consequence of "double bind" situations where societal demands conflict with professional values, such as providing empathy to offenders while holding personal beliefs about protecting victims (DiCiro, 2024). Their role is also fraught with legal anxiety, as clinicians work under the constant pressure of risk assessment, self-blaming for clients' lack of progress and doubt for their therapeutic abilities (Willis et al., 2018) as well as fear of being held personally responsible if a client recidivates (Raymond et al., 2023), especially pertinent in cases of high publicity which most of these are.

Therapists in this field operate at a high-stakes intersection of clinical rehabilitation and public safety, often serving as the primary barrier against future sexual violence. Bach and Demuth (2018) highlight the significant strain caused by the dual responsibility therapists hold toward both their patients' wellbeing and the safety of the public. This often leaves clinicians caught in a conflict between encouraging a patient to be transparent about sexual issues and fulfilling their legal obligation to report confirmed or potential abuse, which can erode trust and the therapeutic alliance.

Special attention is given in regards with the impact on therapists of possible recidivism during treatment. Raymond et al. (2013) explored the cognitive and emotional reactions of therapists in Canada, following a current client's re-offense and found that the most prevalent emotions were sadness for the victim, an ongoing fear of the patient's future recidivism as well as the judicial consequences for the patient and their family members. Fear of the therapist being labelled as an accomplice of the recidivist or as a useless agent was not pertinent in Canada but is very common in European and Mediterranean countries where the public attitudes towards sex offenders are more punitive ("lock them up and throw away the key").

The impact affects all professionals in the sex offending field, such as police officers working with sex offense material (Parkes et al., 2018), post release supervisors of convicted sex offenders (Severson & Pettus-Davies, 2011) or defense attorneys (Shechory Bitton & Mashiach, 2021). The findings of research with these populations suggest complex effects and implications, revealing a wide range of feelings in the face of exposure to sex offenses, such as anger, disgust, wariness, loss of

trust, emotional detachment, revulsion at the offenses, along with feelings of pity and empathy for the offender. However, the burden for sex offending therapists is cumulative, due to the repetitive and sentimental aspect of the therapeutic work, and it is much deeper for therapists who are sex offense survivors themselves, as a “wall of silence” is built regarding providers’ own trauma histories due to fears of professional stigmatization (Love, 2019).

Furthermore, because treatment for people with sex offense histories is frequently court-mandated, therapists often question whether the patient’s consent and participation are truly genuine. This environment of high stakes and forced involvement can lead to several negative outcomes for clinicians, such as “emotional hardening”, difficulties to establish a functional therapeutic bond with their clients, diminished hope regarding a patient’s ability to recover or change and abandonment of a supportive approach in favor of a more confrontational intervention style, all of which negatively impacts the quality of clinical work (Raymond et al., 2023).

In contrast, besides “emotional hardening,” negative shifts in perspective, traumatic stress, and an overall draining impact on professionals, there is growing evidence suggesting that many therapists simultaneously describe their experiences as generally positive, rewarding and professionally exciting (Scheela, 2001; Bach & Dumuth, 2018). For example, a 2011 study, although revealing many challenges for therapists, these were found to be counterbalanced against rewards, affording a high degree of professional fulfilment from working within this field (Slater & Lambie, 2011). Many therapists derive satisfaction from helping others, experience a sense of achievement in an intellectually challenging specialized field and feel a deep sense of pride when reducing future victimization (Walker, 2018). In addition, beyond typical countertransference reactions like anger or sadness, recent research uniquely highlighted compassion and Compassion Satisfaction (CS) as a salient reaction in this field (Limon, 2015; Nas, 2021).

In many studies findings are contradictory, ranging from disconnection from general society; intrusive thoughts of traumatic material; and increased suspicion of others, to feelings of increased competence, closeness, and support from coworkers and supervisors; belief in a mission or responsibility for safety (Dreier, & Wright, 2011) but more recent studies (e.g., Almond, 2014) suggests that positive effects far outweighed negative impact.

### *1.1. Factors Influencing the Impact of Working in Sex Offending Treatment*

Research indicates that the psychological toll on therapists who treat sexual offenders is determined by several specific variables, particularly their level of professional experience and the nature of their work environment, with organizational factors being far more directly related to negative impact (Almond, 2014). This finding is in alignment with previous research highlighting work-related factors as significantly predicting compassion fatigue, indicating their influence on psychological wellbeing (Hatcher & Noakes, 2010).

Findings on the link between years of service and vicarious trauma are mixed: some research (Way et al., 2004; Steed and Bicknell, 2001) suggests no significant correlation exists. However, other studies (Steed & Bicknell, 2001) identify two “high-risk” periods for developing trauma symptoms: those with less than two years of experience, likely due to a lack of familiarity, and those with 9–12 years of experience, probably due to the cumulative effects of long-term exposure.

In addition, the severity of the impact is often a direct result of how intense the exposure is, including the total number of patients and the amount of contact time spent with them (Woodhouse & Craven-Staines, 2021). Therapists stationed in high-security or correctional facilities typically report more severe symptoms of vicarious trauma compared to those in outpatient or community settings. These environments are characterized by higher levels of danger and a more stressful atmosphere, which contributes to increased clinician distress. Furthermore, incarcerated patients present greater challenges, as they are often described as more resistant to intervention, impulsive, manipulative, or dangerous and may only participate in therapy due to external pressure (e.g., court orders) rather than a genuine desire to change. However, a recent study (Mivshek & Schriver, 2024)

indicated that the specific type of crime treated, whether sex offense or other, did not impact burnout levels in either setting.

Literature historically suggested gender differences in vulnerability when working with persons adjudicated with a sex offense, mainly that female therapists are more prone to experiencing adverse emotional reactions than their male counterparts (e.g., Farrenkopf, 1992; 2008). Recent moderator analyses, however, highlight that while burnout levels and impact on spousal and parental relationships are consistent across genders, male therapists may endure significantly higher levels of vicarious traumatization than their female colleagues (Shrim & Baum, 2022). For example, a meta-analysis of 10 studies involving 1,754 therapists (Baum & Moyal, 2020) found that male therapists are somewhat more vulnerable to adverse effects and exhibit significantly higher levels of secondary trauma compared to female counterparts, though no gender differences were found regarding burnout.

For female counselors, however, pregnancy during sex offense related therapeutic work can introduce unique challenges, such as heightened physiological reactions to graphic stories, anguish regarding their unborn child's safety, fear for potential client fantasies, and a perceived increase in physical and emotional vulnerability. It was also found that pregnancy disrupts the traditional anonymous role of the therapist, requiring enhanced supervision to manage the complex overlap of personal and professional boundaries. (Cartwright, 2018).

While previous research focused solely on highly trained forensic psychologists and psychiatrists, more recent studies highlight that non-qualified forensic healthcare workers (e.g., healthcare assistants) also face significant burnout. They are a neglected professional population who may have the most direct contact hours with offenders but minimal training and their well-being is vital for the quality of care provided (Wheeler, 2024). This is the case with forensic psychology trainees, that also comprise a high-risk group, often meeting the threshold for psychological disorders due to the unique pressure of learning forensic psychology/psychiatry work while being evaluated (Robins et al., 2019). Interestingly, for the non-qualified healthcare workers group, holding a humanizing attitude toward offenders, predicted higher well-being, suggesting that empathy might be a protective factor for frontline staff rather than a source of enmeshment.

### 1.2. Individual Coping Mechanisms

Forensic experts, though comprising a professional group who face higher probability of psychological disorders, historically have had few evidence-based support options (Robins, 2019). Studies on therapist experiences have highlighted that some professionals may turn to avoidance behaviors, including alcohol abuse, to cope with the emotional toll of treating sex offense perpetrators. But, to address these profound burdens effectively, therapist must employ coping mechanisms to help them navigate sex offending treatment complexities. There is a strong consensus on the critical need for mental health support and personal psychotherapy to manage the emotional burden of their work. Contemporary research suggests a shift in rationale: while most studies mostly recommend therapy in general terms, more recent ones introduced more specific methods, such as Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT) skills training, which provides a structured, replicable intervention that significantly reduces exhaustion and cynicism by teaching mindfulness and distress tolerance directly to clinicians (Robins et al., 2019).

Effective coping is also typically achieved through a combination of emotional, problem-focused, and meaning-based strategies (Elias & Haj-Yahia, 2016). The first ones focus on managing the immediate emotional distress caused by the work and include social support and venting, often driven by a need for emotional release rather than professional or moral guidance. Practitioners also may employ internal defenses to protect themselves from psychological burden, by refusing to confront the distress or denying the existence of feelings toward violent clients, by mentally pushing away the impact of the work or emotionally distancing themselves from the subject matter and by breaking down the experience to avoid its full emotional weight.

Beyond immediate support systems, therapists maintain their well-being by actively acquiring specialized knowledge and professional expertise, which grants them a much-needed sense of control when managing the complexities of treating people that have sexually offended. This intellectual preparation is often paired with intentional personal self-care (Jeglic et al., 2021; Evans & Ward, 2019), either engaging in private therapy or physical activities like sports, which serve as an effective exhaust for their own mental health. To the same extent, Parsonson & Alquicira (2019) identified that sustainable well-being in sex offender treatment relies on an individual's "goodness of fit" and a self-care framework that evolves as therapists gain experience. They emphasized that while significant barriers to self-care exist at the personal, professional, and organizational levels, fostering strong interpersonal connections and integrating self-awareness into training are essential for maintaining professional longevity.

Meaning-oriented coping strategies involve finding positive meaning or growth even while experiencing significant stress. For example, research by Walker et al. (2018) found that despite the graphic nature of the sex offending work, the therapeutic element was satisfying and led to positive personal changes and professional fulfillment. It is of importance that therapists may experience positive emotions alongside the negative ones, which helps them sustain their motivation and allow for the development of professional "maturity" and therapeutic strength. Ultimately, by successfully navigating professional rigors, many clinicians can consolidate a mature professional persona, transforming the inherent challenges of the field into opportunities for resilience that foster a deep sense of pride and personal achievement.

Mulligan (2013) suggested that maintaining a balance between protective detachment (as a coping strategy) and harmful desensitization is quite struggling for therapists, who need tailored training and support systems to maintain this delicate equilibrium without sacrificing emotional sensitivity.

Individual coping mechanisms within sex offender therapy prioritize the therapeutic alliance, which research suggests is twice as influential on treatment outcomes as specific clinical techniques (Youssef C., 2017). Practitioners often utilize "human-to-human" engagement and "small talk" to build safety, viewing empathy not as a liability, but as a vital tool for obtaining accurate information (Chawke et al., 2020). This humanizing approach serves as a protective factor; for instance, workers who balance humanizing offenders (Wheeler, 2024) with a realistic perception of their risk report higher levels of personal well-being. Furthermore, while maintaining a supportive and safe environmental climate is essential for rehabilitation Blagden et al. (2016), clinicians must carefully calibrate their personal resilience and humor to ensure these traits do not evolve into professional rigidity or insensitivity (Chandler et al., 2017).

### 1.3. Organizational Provisions

Research from the previous decade (e.g., DiCiro et al. 2024; Bach & Demuth, 2018; Elias & Haj-Yahia, 2016; 2017) emphasizes a shift from individual self-care toward a trauma-informed organizational culture.

Meaningful and effective support within forensic settings is anchored by the implementation of mandatory, specialized clinical supervision, which serves as the most critical protective factor for practitioner well-being. Within this culture, institutions must implement gender-specific interventions and proactively prepare all clinicians for the unique psychological demands of forensic work. Especially for female therapists it is imperative to address stereotypical gender scripts and biases that question their professional capability and navigate issues such as "de-feminization," countertransference, and vicarious traumatization, essential for their long-term resilience and professional longevity in the field (Ermsar & Meier, 2014).

Furthermore, while recognizing the necessity of case management and accountability, high-quality supervision should be practitioner-led and facilitated by a manager experienced in sexual offense work (Almond, 2014). This process must transcend mere administrative oversight to provide a dedicated space for countertransference monitoring, allowing clinicians to safely process intense

emotional reactions, such as revulsion or anger, without fear of professional judgment. To ensure its efficacy, such support should be trusted, confidential, and distinct from organizational performance appraisals, with external clinical supervision often serving as a helpful additional resource. Ultimately, consistent supervisory support that prioritizes the processing of negative feelings and the building of self-confidence is significantly predictive of higher professional longevity and a measurable reduction in trauma-related distress.

Beyond individual supervision, the literature highlights the necessity of reducing professional isolation through structured peer support and collaborative networks. These forums allow clinicians to normalize their experiences of “social shame,” while data indicates that practitioners with access to dedicated venues for discussing the personal impact of their work exhibit significantly lower scores on measures of vicarious trauma. Strengthening these interdisciplinary networks also helps distribute the heavy sense of responsibility for public safety, which often weighs exclusively on the individual therapist. Social support serves as a critical buffer against the physical and mental health risks associated with “dirty work” in forensic settings (Sippel et al., 2015). For clinicians treating people with sex offense histories, professional and personal isolation significantly heightens the risk of moral injury. Structured peer discussions are essential for normalizing emotional reactions to graphic material, alleviating the sense of social exclusion, and providing an objective lens to navigate ethical dilemmas. By validating ethically sound actions and offering course correction when professional boundaries blur, these collaborative networks help maintain a balanced worldview that might otherwise be eclipsed by constant exposure to traumatizing content (DiCiro et al., 2024).

From an organizational standpoint, policy reforms must be implemented to manage workloads and prevent cumulative burnout. Institutions are encouraged to diversify caseloads, alternating high-intensity forensic cases with lower-intensity work to mitigate over-exposure to traumatic material. Systemic legal shields and clear indemnity protocols are essential to alleviate the procedural ambiguity and legal anxiety that many clinicians face during high-stakes risk assessments (Willis et al., 2018).

Providing effective support for the clinicians requires a balanced perspective that acknowledges the rewards of the work alongside its difficulties. Current research has disproportionately focused on the negative psychological toll, often overlooking the positive aspects. Moreover, attempting to isolate the “good” from the “bad” may be counterproductive, as these experiences are often deeply intertwined; for example, the very complexity and difficulty of the cases are frequently what practitioners find most professionally engaging and rewarding (Bach & Demuth, 2018).

Organizational policies should favor tailored interventions specifically designed for the unique pressures of the correctional or forensic environment over generic stress management. The resilience of the workforce depends on specialized, trauma-informed training models that utilize adult learning principles to build both legal literacy and emotional intelligence. A key component of this type of training involves teaching clinicians to identify early cognitive shifts associated with vicarious traumatization. By encouraging active coping strategies, such as problem-solving and psychological accommodation, over avoidant strategies like emotional numbing, institutions can help therapists maintain the clinical empathy and professional boundaries necessary for effective treatment (Elias & Haj-Yahia, 2016). By reframing the work’s value as victim prevention, they can foster compassion satisfaction, allowing therapists to find professional meaning as guardians of public safety (Blagden et al., 2016).

Moreover, new research goes further than just supervision and points to a failure in senior management support. Mitigation now includes advocating for management-level awareness, as clinicians are often reluctant to use standard Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs) for fear of professional repercussions. Contemporary literature underscores the need for supportive prison or forensic settings’ climate, characterized by safety and constructive relationships. Such an environment is vital for fostering treatment readiness and successful rehabilitation for sex offense perpetrators, which in turn leads to augmented job satisfaction for mental health professionals, and mitigates negative effects of working with such population.

## 2. Conclusions

Working with sexual offense perpetrators occupies the high-stakes intersection of clinical rehabilitation and public safety. Therapists perform a crucial societal service, facing unique challenges. Literature establishes a complex spectrum of emotional responses, ranging from the rewarding to the deeply damaging. A central theme across almost all related articles reviewed is that working in sex offending treatment significantly impacts the clinician's personal and professional life, eroding the professional's well-being through different mechanisms, such as Vicarious Trauma, Burnout and Moral Injury.

Vicarious Trauma focuses on the cumulative cognitive shift in the therapist, proposing that extensive exposure to trauma causes the clinician to change their worldview, leading to increased suspicion, cynicism, and a pervasive loss of trust in others. Burnout is usually characterized by emotional exhaustion and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment, often linked to high caseloads and the toxic material of forensic work, while Moral Injury is distinct from the others and refers to painful dissonance experienced when a clinician's actions or the requirements of their institution transgress their deeply held moral beliefs. Examples include providing mandated treatments like chemical castration that might be more harmful than helpful or feeling that one is "abetting an enemy".

In contrast, researchers also focus on Compassion Satisfaction (CS), the positive counterpart to the hazards of this line of work. It involves the pleasure derived from being able to help others and the sense of professional accomplishment in a challenging field. Therapists often find the work exciting because it is a new, specialized, and intellectually challenging field, and even in high-security settings, clinicians feel a sense of success when they help reduce recidivism and extent of future victimization. To that extent, overall literature highlights a need for more qualitative and longitudinal research to move beyond just measuring symptomology of therapists.

A clinician's ability to maintain resilience when treating sexual offenders depends on the interaction between personal traits, professional support, and the broader institutional framework. A therapist's internal processing of stress is fundamentally shaped by their level of experience, which influences how they manage professional boundaries and emotional reactions to clients. Personal history can be a double-edged sword, serving as a source of empathy or increasing vulnerability to secondary trauma.

From an institutional point of view, the size and intensity of a clinician's caseload are primary drivers of burnout, with cumulative exhaustion occurring when there is a lack of case variety or sufficient breaks. Supervision, which is considered a crucial protective factor, depends on its quality. A strong supervisory alliance is the heart of therapist longevity, meaning that supervisors in forensic settings must balance professional behavior skills with the clinician's internal emotional experiences. There is now a strong alignment in research in regards with fostering psychological safety in organizations, normalizing the admission of mistakes, and providing transparent peer support to navigate ethical dilemmas. Even in cases of therapists that have had sexual traumatization experience themselves, addressing the "wall of silence" regarding a provider's own trauma history is vital; newer perspectives suggest that personal survivorship should be integrated into training and supervision as a clinical asset that fosters deeper empathy and understanding of agency rather than being viewed merely as a liability or a trigger for vicarious trauma. Besides that, the quality of the therapeutic bond is a primary driver for reducing recidivism; therefore, supporting the therapist's wellbeing is directly linked to public safety outcomes.

However, many forensic environments suffer from gaps where supervision is purely administrative rather than focused on processing traumatic material and are lacking in "legal shields" or clear indemnity protocols that can heighten therapists' feelings of anxiety about legal and moral repercussions. When an institution fails to provide legal protection, it forces the clinician into a "double bind" that facilitates psychological distress and heighten moral injury.

Another major hurdle is the lack of coordination between clinical therapists and the punitive mandates of the justice and probation systems. This fragmentation forces therapists to juggle

conflicting roles (rehabilitative healer versus public safety monitor) without a unified support framework. The resulting systemic pressure often leads to therapist attrition and a loss of professional agency.

### *Recommendations for Further Action*

To ensure the long-term viability of the forensic workforce, institutional management must undergo a fundamental shift from a traditional “discipline-focused” approach to a “trauma-informed” culture, effectively bridging the persistent gap between clinical requirements and administrative oversight. Central to this transformation is the implementation of caseload diversification, which mandates a strategic mix of high-intensity and low-intensity cases to mitigate over-exposure to traumatic material and prevent the cumulative “emotional hardening”.

Organizations must provide a unified support framework that includes clear legal indemnity protocols to alleviate the procedural ambiguity and legal anxiety inherent in high-stakes risk assessments.

The quality of clinical support must also be enhanced by contractually protecting supervision as a “non-evaluative” space dedicated to countertransference monitoring. This allows clinicians to safely process intense emotional reactions, such as revulsion or anger, without fear of professional judgment or repercussions during performance appraisals. Beyond supervision, institutions should incorporate structured, evidence-based wellness protocols tailored to the unique demands of sex offending treatment. For instance, implementing Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) skills training has been proven to significantly reduce exhaustion and cynicism, particularly among forensic trainees, by fostering mindfulness and psychological flexibility.

Ultimately, developing supportive prison or forensic environments characterized by physical safety and constructive relationships is essential for a dual purpose: it fosters treatment readiness for successful offender rehabilitation while simultaneously augmenting job satisfaction and mitigating the negative psychological effects on mental health professionals. By prioritizing specialized forensic wellness protocols, organizations can cultivate a resilient workforce capable of maintaining the clinical empathy and professional boundaries necessary for effective public safety.

The resilience of the forensic workforce is not merely an individual responsibility but a systemic requirement. By dismantling the “wall of silence” and replacing administrative oversight with trauma-informed, specialized support, the field can transform its greatest occupational hazards into a sustainable foundation for both clinician well-being and public safety.

## References

1. Almond, T. (2014). Working with children and young people with harmful sexual behaviors: exploring impact on practitioners and sources of support. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 20, 333–353.
2. Bach, M. H., & Demuth, C. (2018). Therapists’ experiences in their work with sex offenders and people with pedophilia: A literature review. *Europe’s Journal of Psychology*, 14(2), 498–514. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v14i2.1493>
3. Barros, A. J. S., Teche, S. P., Padoan, C., Laskoski, P., Hauck, S., & Eizirik, C. L. (2020). Countertransference, defense mechanisms, and vicarious trauma in work with sexual offenders. *The Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, 48(3), 302–314. <https://doi.org/10.29158/JAAPL.003925-20>
4. Baum, N., & Moyal, S. (2020). Impact on therapists working with sex offenders: A systematic review of gender findings. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 21(1), 193–205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838017743555>
5. Blagden, N., Winder, B., & Hames, C. (2016). “They treat us like human beings”—Experiencing a therapeutic sex offenders’ prison: Impact on prisoners and staff and implications for treatment. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 60(4), 371–396. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X14553227>
6. Čartolovni A, Stolt M, Scott PA, Suhonen R. Moral injury in healthcare professionals: A scoping review and discussion. *Nurs Ethics*. 2021 Aug;28(5):590-602. doi: 10.1177/0969733020966776. Epub 2021 Jan 11. PMID: 33427020; PMCID: PMC8366182.

7. Cartwright, A. D., Stark, M. D., & Mountain, J. (2018). The countertransference experiences of pregnant counselors working with sex offenders. *Journal of Addictions & Offender Counseling*, 39(1), 31–45. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaoc.12039>
8. Chawke, G., Randall, P., & Duff, S. C. (2020). “You have to separate the sinner from the sin”: Clinician’s approaches to psychological assessments with men who have sexually offended. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 65(6-7), 844–862. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X20919714>
9. Dean W, Talbot S, Dean A. Reframing Clinician Distress: Moral Injury Not Burnout. *Fed Pract*. 2019 Sep;36(9):400-402. Erratum in: *Fed Pract*. 2019 Oct;36(10):447. PMID: 31571807; PMCID: PMC6752815.
10. Dean, C., & Barnett, G. (2011). The personal impact of delivering a one-to-one treatment programme with high-risk sexual offenders: Therapists’ experiences. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 17, 304–319.
11. Delgadillo J., Branson A., Kellett S., Myles-Hooton P., Hardy G.E. & Shafran R. (2020): Therapist personality traits as predictors of psychological treatment outcomes, *Psychotherapy Research*, DOI: 10.1080/10503307.2020.1731927
12. DiCiro, M., Sreenivasan, S., Weiss, E. L., & Azizian, A. (2023). Dirty work: Addressing moral injury in sex offender treatment providers. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice*, 24(5), 874–888. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24732850.2023.2285975>
13. Dreier, A.S., & Wright, S.L. (2011). Helping Society’s Outcasts: The Impact of Counseling Sex Offenders. *Journal of mental health counseling*, 33, 359-376.
14. Elias H, Haj-Yahia MM. (2016) Therapists’ Perceptions of Their Encounter with Sex Offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*. 2017 Aug;61(10):1151-1170. doi: 10.1177/0306624X16629972. Epub 2016 Feb 9. PMID: 26860824.
15. Elias, H., & Haj-Yahia, M. M. (2019). On the lived experience of sex offenders’ therapists: Their perceptions of intrapersonal and interpersonal consequences and patterns of coping. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 34(4), 848–872. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516641286>
16. Ermshar, A., & Meier, A.M. (2014). Forensic Psychology: Preparing Female Clinicians for Challenging Offenders. *Women & Therapy*, 37, 72 - 82.
17. Evans, C.T., & Ward, C. (2019). Counseling sex offenders and the importance of counselor self-care. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5.
18. Farrenkopf, T. (2008). What Happens to Therapists Who Work with Sex Offenders? *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 18(3–4), 217–224. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J076v18n03\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1300/J076v18n03_16)
19. Frost, L., & Scott, H. (2022). What is known about the secondary traumatization of staff working with offending populations? A review of the literature. *Traumatology*, 28(1), 56–73. <https://doi.org/10.1037/trm0000268>
20. Hatcher, R.M., & Noakes, S. (2010). Working with sex offenders: the impact on Australian treatment providers. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 16, 145 - 167.
21. Jeglic, E. L., Zulueta, I., & Katsman, K. (2021). The experience of working with individuals who sexually offend. *Sexual Abuse*, 34(6), 643–666. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10790632211051691>
22. Love H.A. (2019) Sexual Assault: The Therapist, Supervisor, and Self. *Journal of Feminist Family Therapy*, 31:2-3, 66-77, DOI: 10.1080/08952833.2019.1633839
23. Merhav, I., Lawental, M., & Peled-Avram, M. (2018). Vicarious Traumatization: Working with Clients of Probation Services. *British Journal of Social Work*, 48, 2215-2234.
24. Mivshek, M. E., & Schriver, J. L. (2023). Attitudes toward treatment among sex offender treatment providers affect burnout and empathy. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 29(2), 256–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2022.2070293>
25. Morris, D. J., Webb, E. L., & Devlin, P. (2022). Moral injury in secure mental healthcare part II: Experiences of potentially morally injurious events and their relationship to wellbeing in health professionals in secure services. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 33(5), 726–744. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14789949.2022.2111319>

27. Morris, D. J., Webb, E. L., Trundle, G., & Caetano, G. (2022). Moral injury in secure mental healthcare: Part I: Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis of the moral injury events scale. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 33(5), 708–725. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14789949.2022.2111318>
28. Moulden, H. M., & Firestone, P. (2007). Vicarious traumatization: The impact on therapists who work with sexual offenders. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 8(1), 67–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838006297316>
29. Mulligan, E.W. (2013). Working with sex offenders: An interpretative phenomenological analysis of therapists' experiences.
30. Nas, E. (2021). A current concept in positive psychology: Compassion satisfaction. *Psikiyatride Güncel Yaklaşımlar*, 13(4), 668–684. <https://doi.org/10.18863/pgy.852636>
31. Parkes J, Gray DD, McKeague DL. (2023). Protectors of Society: Understanding the Impact of Courtesy Stigma on the Experiences of Volunteers Working with Individuals Convicted of Sexual Offences. *Sex Abuse*. Oct;35(7):842-862. doi: 10.1177/10790632221146496. Epub 2022 Dec 23. PMID: 36562314; PMCID: PMC10475208.
32. Raymond, M., Proulx, J., Ruest, G., & Brouillette-Alarie, S. (2023). Sexual Recidivism During Treatment: Impact on Therapists. *Sexual Abuse*, 35(7), 787-811.
33. Chandler R.J., Newman A., Butler C., (2017) "Burnout in clinicians working with offenders with personality disorder", *Journal of Forensic Practice*, Vol. 19 Issue: 2, pp.139-150, <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFP-01-2016-0004>
34. Parsonson, K.L., & Alquicira, L. (2019). The Power of Being There for Each Other: The Importance of Self-Awareness, Identifying Stress and Burnout, and Proactive Self-Care Strategies for Sex-Offender Treatment Providers. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 63, 2018 - 2037.
35. Parkes, R., Graham-Kevan, N., & Bryce, J. (2018). You don't see the world through the same eyes anymore: The impact of sexual offending work on police staff. *The Police Journal: Theory, Practice and Principles*, 92, 316 - 338.
36. Robins, T. G., Roberts, R. M., & Sarris, A. (2019). The effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability of a dialectical behaviour therapy skills training group in reducing burnout and psychological distress in psychology trainees: A pilot study. *Australian Psychologist*, 54(4), 286–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ap.12389>
37. Severson M. & Pettus- Davis C. (2011). Parole Officers' Experiences of the Symptoms of Secondary Trauma in the Supervision of Sex Offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, Volume 57, Issue 1
38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X11422>
39. Shechory Bitton, M., & Mashiach, A. (2021). From Their Angle: A Look at the Emotional World of Defense Attorneys Who Represent Sex Offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 66, 1347 - 1365.
40. Shrim, E., & Baum, N. (2022). Treating sex offenders: Effects on male and female therapists. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 37(3-4), NP1733–NP1758. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260520935501>
41. Sippel, L. M., Pietrzak, R. H., Charney, D. S., Mayes, L. C., & Southwick, S. M. (2015). How does social support enhance resilience in the trauma-exposed individual? *Ecology and Society*, 20 (4), Article 10. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/26270277> <https://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol20/iss4/art10/>
42. Slater, C., & Lambie, I. (2011). The highs and lows of working with sexual offenders: A New Zealand perspective. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 17, 320 - 334.
43. Steed, L., & Bicknell, J. (2001). Trauma and the therapist: The experience of therapists working with the perpetrators of sexual abuse. *The Australasian Journal of Disaster and Trauma Studies*, 2001(1).
44. Williamson V, Stevelink SAM, Greenberg N. Occupational moral injury and mental health: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2018 Jun;212(6):339-346. doi: 10.1192/bjp.2018.55. PMID: 29786495.
45. Walker, E. J., Egan, H. H., Jackson, C. A., & Tonkin, M. (2018). Work–life and well-being in U.K. therapeutic prison officers: A thematic analysis. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X18778452>
46. Way, I., VanDeusen, K. M., Martin, G., Applegate, B., & Jandle, D. (2004). Vicarious trauma: A comparison of clinicians who treat survivors of sexual abuse and sexual offenders. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 19(1), 49–71

47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260503259048>
48. Wheeler, C. (2024). Forensic healthcare workers working with sex offenders: Treatment outcomes and staff well-being [Doctoral thesis, University of Birmingham]. University of Birmingham Research Archive.
49. Willis, G. M., Prescott, D. S., & Levenson, J. S. (2018). Promoting therapist longevity: Exploring sexual offending treatment providers' experiences of workplace support. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 24(3), 311–325.
50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2018.1528794>
51. Woodhouse A., & Craven-Staines S. (2021), "A systematic review exploring the role of gender in staff's experience of working with sexual offenders". *Journal of Criminological Research, Policy and Practice*, Vol. 7 No. 4 pp. 373–383, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCRPP-04-2021-0018>
52. Youssef C., (2017) "The importance of the therapeutic alliance when working with men who have committed a sexual offence", *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 7 Issue: 3, pp.206-220, <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCP-09-2016-0027>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.