

**Article** 

Not peer-reviewed version

# Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Enhance Documentation Management and Transfer Pricing Compliance in Multinational Corporations: A Strategic Sustainability Perspective

 $\underline{\text{Marius Boi}}_{\star}^{\star}$ , Luminita Paiusan , Horatiu Soim ,  $\underline{\text{Florin Dumiter}}$  , Gheorghe Pribeanu , Ionela - Mihaela Milutin

Posted Date: 10 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.0845.v1

Keywords: artificial intelligence; transfer pricing; documentation management; sustainability; XAI; CSRD; fiscal benchmarking



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Enhance Documentation Management and Transfer Pricing Compliance in Multinational Corporations: A Strategic Sustainability Perspective

Marius Boiță <sup>1,\*</sup>, Luminița Păiușan <sup>1</sup>, Horațiu Șoim <sup>1</sup>, Florin Cornel Dumiter <sup>1</sup>, Gheorghe Pribeanu <sup>1</sup> and Ionela Mihaela Milutin <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> "Vasile Goldiş" Western University of Arad, Romania
- <sup>2</sup> West University of Timișoara, Romania
- \* Correspondence: boita.marius@uvvg.ro or bmarius1963@yahoo.com

Abstract: In the context of growing global pressure for tax transparency and digital transformation, multinational corporations face increasing challenges in managing documentation and ensuring compliance with transfer pricing regulations. This paper explores how Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies – specifically Natural Language Processing (NLP), Robotic Process Automation (RPA), and machine learning—can enhance the efficiency, accuracy, and traceability of documentation processes related to intercompany transactions. Based on a qualitative analysis and a case study of OMEGA Group, a large European multinational, we identify critical opportunities and limitations in the implementation of AI tools for fiscal reporting and documentation management. Our findings reveal that the integration of AI-driven systems significantly reduces human error, accelerates data processing, and improves alignment with OECD and EU regulatory standards. Moreover, we highlight how strategic investment in digital compliance infrastructures contributes to broader organizational sustainability by reducing operational risk and enhancing institutional credibility. In addition, this study considers the legal and regulatory implications of using AI for fiscal documentation, emphasizing the importance of algorithmic transparency and explainability (XAI) in jurisdictions with high formalism. Furthermore, the paper addresses emerging ESG requirements, particularly the EU's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), and how AI supports sustainability-related disclosure by reducing paper-based processes and improving traceability. We also propose the inclusion of fiscal benchmarking mechanisms into AI systems to improve proactive risk assessment and cross-border compliance harmonization. The study concludes with practical recommendations for corporate decision-makers and public authorities aiming to improve tax governance through intelligent automation. It contributes to the growing body of literature on digital sustainability and offers a timely and original perspective on the intersection of technology, fiscal compliance, and responsible international management.

**Keywords:** artificial intelligence; transfer pricing; documentation management; sustainability; XAI; CSRD; fiscal benchmarking

# 1. Introduction

In the face of increasing globalization, rapid digital transformation, and growing demands for corporate transparency, multinational corporations (MNCs) encounter ever-evolving fiscal challenges. Among these, transfer pricing (TP) compliance and the accurate management of documentation for intercompany transactions represent persistent and high-stakes concerns. The complexity of these requirements, often spanning multiple jurisdictions, increases the need for technological solutions that can improve both efficiency and accuracy in documentation practices. In

this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a critical enabler for fiscal governance and international tax compliance [1].

AI technologies—specifically Natural Language Processing (NLP), Robotic Process Automation (RPA), and machine learning—are increasingly integrated into the documentation processes of MNCs to streamline TP compliance. These technologies enable companies to automate large-scale data processing, identify fiscal risks, and ensure adherence to OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines and EU directives [2][3]. Moreover, the integration of Explainable AI (XAI) enhances algorithmic transparency, addressing growing concerns among regulators regarding the interpretability of automated decisions in legal and fiscal contexts [4].

The paper investigates how AI tools can transform documentation management and compliance systems from reactive obligations into proactive governance tools. Building upon the empirical analysis of the OMEGA Group, a multinational operating across 21 jurisdictions, this study highlights the operational, strategic, and regulatory benefits achieved through AI implementation. The qualitative case study methodology allows for a deeper understanding of the institutional challenges and best practices in aligning tax strategy with technological infrastructure.

Furthermore, we explore the intersection of AI and sustainability by examining how automated systems support Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) compliance, streamline ESG reporting, and reduce paper-based documentation processes. These developments align with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions), making tax compliance not only a legal obligation but also a strategic sustainability effort [5].

Although prior research has discussed the benefits of automation in accounting and auditing, the specific application of AI to TP documentation remains underexplored in literature. This paper contributes original insights into the use of fiscal benchmarking models embedded in AI systems for real-time compliance and risk adjustment [6]. In addition, it identifies the potential for AI tools to bridge compliance gaps between Western and Eastern European jurisdictions, accounting for regional legal variances and data availability disparities.

However, the study also recognizes several limitations, such as linguistic inconsistencies in legal documents across jurisdictions, data quality constraints, and the risks of over-reliance on black-box models. These limitations highlight the importance of hybrid approaches that combine machine intelligence with expert validation. The findings prompt further investigation into cross-industry benchmarking, policy standardization, and regulatory cooperation frameworks.

In conclusion, this article aims to advance understanding of how intelligent automation supports strategic compliance management, not only as a response to regulation, but also as a forward-looking approach to sustainability, innovation, and institutional trust. It also offers policy and managerial implications relevant to both tax authorities and corporate decision-makers seeking to leverage AI for transparent and accountable fiscal practices.

#### 2. Literature Review

In recent years, academic discourse on the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the fields of fiscal governance and regulatory compliance has grown substantially. Numerous studies highlight the capacity of AI to revolutionize compliance mechanisms by automating routine operations, enhancing analytical accuracy, and promoting transparency in interactions with regulatory frameworks [7]. Despite these advancements, the adoption of AI in the specific context of transfer pricing (TP) documentation is still emerging, although its relevance and practical implications are becoming increasingly apparent.

A growing body of work explores how Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms can enhance the efficiency of documentation by extracting relevant clauses from intercompany agreements and aligning them with regulatory requirements [8]. These technologies allow for the real-time analysis of vast unstructured datasets, a critical capability given the heterogeneous nature of legal and financial documents across jurisdictions.

Explainable AI (XAI) is gaining traction as a response to concerns about algorithmic opacity in fiscal applications. Gunning et al. [9] emphasize that regulatory environments demand transparency and traceability, particularly in jurisdictions where tax positions must be justified before courts or audit authorities. By incorporating interpretable machine learning techniques, companies can ensure that AI-driven compliance decisions are auditable and legally defensible.

In parallel, research has underscored the role of AI in enhancing Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks, particularly in the context of the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) [10]. Automation contributes to dematerialization by reducing the reliance on paper records, and supports traceability through immutable digital audit trails. These aspects are closely aligned with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), further integrating tax compliance within corporate sustainability agendas.

Benchmarking methodologies embedded in AI systems also enable comparative fiscal risk analysis across industries and jurisdictions. Horobet and Popovici [11] demonstrate how these tools support proactive adjustment mechanisms, allowing multinationals to recalibrate transfer pricing strategies before audits occur. Yet the literature highlights gaps in regional representation: much of the research has focused on Western economies, with limited empirical data from Eastern Europe or developing markets.

There is also an increasing interest in sector-specific studies, as AI adoption varies considerably between industries. For instance, the financial services sector shows higher rates of automation in compliance reporting compared to manufacturing, due to better digital infrastructure and data availability [12]. Cross-industry analyses offer promising avenues for future inquiry.

In conclusion, the literature establishes a strong theoretical foundation for the deployment of AI in TP compliance but reveals several limitations. These include insufficient comparative studies between legal systems, a lack of standardized AI auditing frameworks, and the need for more regionally diverse case studies. Our study builds upon this body of work by offering empirical insights from the OMEGA Group, contributing a much-needed Eastern European perspective and exploring how AI intersects with fiscal benchmarking, legal traceability, and sustainability compliance.

# 3. Methodology

This research employs a mixed-methods design to evaluate the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on documentation management and transfer pricing (TP) compliance, with a special focus on multinational corporations (MNCs) operating in Eastern Europe. The methodology integrates qualitative and quantitative data sources to examine the practical, legal, and strategic dimensions of AI adoption in the field of international tax compliance.

# 3.1. Research Philosophy and Rationale

The study is grounded in a pragmatic research philosophy, which values practical solutions to complex real-world problems and allows methodological flexibility. AI integration in TP compliance is inherently interdisciplinary, spanning law, technology, economics, and information systems. A purely quantitative approach would have failed to capture the nuances of implementation, resistance, adaptation, and perception, while an exclusively qualitative one might have lacked the objectivity required for compliance quantification. Therefore, a mixed-methods framework is the most appropriate to answer the multifaceted research questions posed.

The core objective is to evaluate how AI technologies are deployed within real corporate settings to ensure transfer pricing documentation aligns with OECD standards and local fiscal regulations. This is achieved by combining:

Descriptive analysis of internal compliance workflows;

Content analysis of legal and procedural documentation;

Empirical observation of AI-generated transfer pricing files and results;

Expert interviews with stakeholders involved in the AI transition.

# 3.2. Case Study Strategy

The OMEGA Group was selected as the focal case due to its reputation for early adoption of compliance technologies and its multinational operational structure spanning over 10 jurisdictions. The selection followed a purposive sampling strategy, aiming to capture in-depth insights from an information-rich case with practical relevance.

The case study protocol included:

Mapping existing documentation workflows;

Collecting and analysing AI-generated compliance reports;

Reviewing AI tool specifications and algorithms in partnership with the IT vendor;

Observing quarterly compliance meetings where the AI system was used for decision-making.

The AI solution implemented, OMEGA-AI-DOC, integrates with the company's ERP system and financial data warehouse. It generates the TP documentation package, flags inconsistencies in intercompany pricing, and benchmarks profit margins against external comparables using databases such as Amadeus and Orbis. The AI models operate on a supervised learning architecture, initially trained on over 1,000 historical intercompany transactions.

#### 3.3. Data Collection and Sources

Data were collected from three primary sources:

Documentary Evidence – Internal reports, local and master files, benchmarking analyses, audit correspondence (over 200 documents reviewed);

System Metrics – Usage statistics from the AI platform: frequency of flagged discrepancies, time spent per file, prediction accuracy, audit alignment indicators;

Expert Interviews – Six interviews with the Group Tax Director, TP Managers, CIO, and two local compliance officers. Interviews lasted between 45 and 90 minutes and were transcribed and coded.

The study also incorporated national regulations and industry standards including:

Romanian Fiscal Code;

Order 442/2016;

ISO/IEC 42001:2023 on AI governance;

OECD TP Guidelines (2022);

European Commission's Artificial Intelligence Act (2023).

# 3.4. Coding and Analytical Strategy

Thematic analysis was used for the qualitative data, guided by Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework [1]. Coding categories were generated both inductively and deductively. For example, themes such as "compliance transparency," "resistance to automation," and "legal validation of AI outputs" were extracted from repeated interview patterns. This process was aided by the use of the software NVivo.

Quantitative analysis focused on the following indicators:

Average time to generate local file;

Frequency of DPT adjustments;

Error rates in documentation;

Audit outcomes before and after AI implementation;

Compliance risk scores computed by the AI system.

A pre-post comparative analysis was conducted for a 3-year window (2021–2024), isolating effects attributable to AI deployment.



#### 3.5. Legal Conformity Assessment

The research further incorporated a conformity matrix where the AI documentation outputs were mapped against regulatory compliance criteria. These were grouped under four pillars:

Traceability - Ability to reconstruct the logic behind pricing decisions;

Consistency - Alignment across entities and reporting years;

Accuracy - Quantitative correctness and data integrity;

Explainability – Human-readability of AI-generated documentation.

Each output file generated by OMEGA-AI-DOC was evaluated using this framework. In parallel, audit letters from Romanian and Czech tax authorities were examined to assess external validation of the AI-generated files.

# 3.6. Validity and Reliability

To ensure validity:

Triangulation of data sources was applied;

Member checking was performed with internal stakeholders to confirm interpretations;

Regulatory cross-checks were conducted with legal advisors.

Reliability was reinforced through a clearly defined case study protocol, consistent coding structures, and documentation of all methodological decisions.

# 3.7. Ethical and Confidentiality Considerations

All data used in the study were anonymised. Interviewees provided informed consent, and no sensitive financial data were disclosed. The AI vendor was granted anonymity, and OMEGA Group approved the use of their anonymised compliance data for academic analysis.

#### 3.8. Methodological Contributions

This study contributes methodologically by proposing a replicable framework for evaluating AI applications in tax compliance:

A six-step case methodology;

A coding taxonomy aligned with OECD principles;

KPIs tailored to fiscal audit and documentation contexts.

Furthermore, the methodology illustrates how compliance automation can be evaluated not only from a technical or legal standpoint but also through the lens of organisational transformation, ethics, and ESG alignment.

# 4. Results

The implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in transfer pricing documentation and compliance within the OMEGA Group offers a rich and illustrative case of how technology can enhance fiscal transparency, accuracy, and audit readiness. This section presents the empirical findings derived from the AI platform's implementation, focusing on measurable outcomes in documentation management, transfer pricing adjustments (DPT), benchmarking accuracy, stakeholder perceptions, and alignment with ESG and sustainability goals.

# 4.1. Digital Transformation in Transfer Pricing Documentation

Prior to the deployment of the OMEGA-AI-DOC system, the Group relied on semi-manual processes involving spreadsheets, isolated databases, and manual contract analysis. These legacy procedures were time-consuming and prone to inconsistencies. The company faced recurring issues of non-alignment between local files and the master file, generating discrepancies during tax audits. By 2021, the average time to produce a local TP file was 18 days per entity, with a documented error rate of 11.4%.



The AI integration process included:

Phase 1 (Q1 2022): Mapping intercompany transactions and onboarding historical data;

Phase 2 (Q2-Q3 2022): Integration with ERP and financial systems;

Phase 3 (Q4 2022): Testing in Poland, Romania, and Slovakia;

Phase 4 (2023): Full-scale deployment in all 21 subsidiaries.

In addition to eliminating redundant tasks, AI allowed the development of a centralized repository of intercompany transactions, standardized in XML. Contract lifecycle management was enhanced through NLP, enabling real-time flagging of missing or expired intercompany agreements. These improvements significantly increased audit readiness.

The OMEGA-AI-DOC platform fundamentally changed the workflow of the finance and tax departments. With AI-based document generation and versioning, compliance officers could automatically create draft versions of local files with minimal human intervention. Each document was equipped with metadata tags for traceability, source referencing, and OECD alignment scores. This automation allowed for faster updates when fiscal rules changed, significantly improving response time and reducing human error. Additionally, the centralized system provided a unified compliance dashboard where headquarters could monitor documentation status across all subsidiaries in real time.

#### 4.2. Key Performance Indicators – Quantitative Impact

Audit responsiveness improved (clarification requests dropped by 58%), and consulting fees were reduced by 23%. Integration with e-invoicing modules in jurisdictions like Serbia and the Czech Republic enabled pre-validation of transfer pricing entries.

Indicator	2021 (Pre-AI)	2024 (Post-AI)	Change (%)
Avg. documentation time (local file)	18 days	5.7 days	-68.3%
Error rate in TP documentation	11.4%	2.1%	-81.5%
DPT adjustments (average, EUR)	€142,000	€81,500	-42.6%
Documentation audit acceptance rate	74%	94%	+27%
AI compliance accuracy (internal measure)	_	96.2%	_

Table 1. Post-implementation metrics reveal significant operational and compliance improvements:.

Furthermore, subsidiaries reported improved transparency in reporting due to the built-in benchmarking tool, which continuously updated comparables and median margins using external databases like Orbis and Amadeus. The AI system produced monthly compliance health reports, highlighting outliers, margins below the interquartile range, and documentation gaps. These indicators were reviewed by local finance managers and escalated only when human judgment contradicted algorithmic recommendations.

The system's predictive component also forecasted audit probabilities based on past inspection cycles and sector-specific risk alerts. This predictive layer helped tax teams focus their reviews on entities with the highest exposure, creating a risk-based approach to documentation management. The use of dashboards and KPIs for governance not only enhanced internal reporting but also served as a communication tool during board meetings and fiscal reviews.

#### 4.3. Transfer Pricing Adjustment (DPT) Scenario – Romania Subsidiary

The correction was based on regression analysis using 85 comparable Eastern European firms. This adjustment prevented a potential fine of approximately  $\leq$ 40,000, with tax authorities praising the AI-generated TP file.

**Table 2.** The Romanian subsidiary's declared operating margin fell outside the interquartile range identified by the AI system:.

Indicator	Value (EUR)	
Net Revenue	12,400,000	
Operating Expenses	11,620,000	
Declared Operating Profit (DOP)	780,000	
Declared Operating Margin (DOM)	6.29%	
Benchmark Range (IQR)	7.5% – 12.8%	
AI-Suggested Margin	9.3%	
Adjusted Profit (DPT)	1,153,200	
DPT Adjustment	+373,200	

Source: OMEGA-AI-DOC Internal Benchmark Report, 2023.

Further contextual analysis revealed that the low margin was due to delayed recognition of intercompany management fees. The AI model, trained on similar intra-group structures, detected this under-reporting and recommended allocation adjustments, which were validated by the group controller. Romanian authorities (ANAF) highlighted the clarity of the documentation and the proactive compliance approach in their audit report.

Beyond this, similar adjustments were proposed for operations in Poland and Hungary, where the AI tool flagged pricing inconsistencies between related-party logistics services and local benchmarks. This capability demonstrates the scalability and regional adaptability of the AI model, making it an indispensable tool for the OMEGA Group's tax compliance architecture.

#### 4.4. Qualitative Results – Stakeholder Interviews

Interviews revealed key themes:

Perceived Accuracy: Tax managers trusted the statistical robustness of AI-generated files.

Time Efficiency: Staff focused more on strategic reviews and internal training.

Audit Preparedness: Predictive risk scoring allowed timely corrections.

Internal Buy-In: Initially skeptical staff gained confidence after training and mock audits.

Proactive Compliance: Monthly reviews based on AI forecasts became routine.

One manager stated: "Before AI, compliance was a burden. Now it's a tool we use to gain fiscal advantage."

The interviews also revealed shifts in organizational culture. Teams reported a stronger alignment between central headquarters and local subsidiaries. Real-time transparency created a sense of shared accountability, and employees expressed increased confidence in defending TP policies during external audits. Additionally, staff turnover in the tax department declined by 12% between 2022 and 2024, attributed in part to the automation of mundane tasks and the improved working environment.

The CIO noted that the AI system transformed the tax function from a compliance back-office to a strategic business partner. The AI's "explainability layer," which visually maps decisions and proposed adjustments, helped bridge the communication gap between technical and non-technical staff.

#### 4.5. Comparative Outcomes – Pre-AI vs. Post-AI

OMEGA compared compliance data for 2018–2021 vs. 2022–2024:

Audit findings reduced from 9 to 4/year;

TP policy changes synchronized across all jurisdictions;

Dual-review audit log improved transparency and legal defensibility.

In addition to measurable improvements, the organization observed qualitative enhancements, such as better coordination between legal and tax teams, stronger documentation narratives, and

increased regulatory confidence. In a recent fiscal control conducted by authorities in the Czech Republic, the auditors complimented the layered structure and logic behind each adjustment recommendation.

The audit logs generated by the system were instrumental in these discussions, as they provided a chronological trail of data flows, algorithm decisions, and human interventions. This auditability dimension met the requirements of ISO/IEC 42001:2023 on AI governance and was perceived by regulators as a best practice example.

# 4.6. ESG and Sustainability Reporting Impact

OMEGA's ESG strategy 2023–2025 includes "Digital Integrity" as a governance innovation. Non-financial reports cited:

78 kg paper saved/year;

2.3 tons CO<sub>2</sub>e avoided/year;

Zero-courier compliance submission in 12 jurisdictions.

AI supports SDGs 9, 12, and 16 and is referenced in alignment with Directive (EU) 2022/2464 (CSRD).

The AI system's contribution to ESG is twofold: environmental and governance-oriented. On the environmental side, reduced printing, minimized physical storage, and decreased transport-related emissions resulted in measurable sustainability gains. On the governance side, the transparency, traceability, and accountability introduced by the AI system improved corporate reporting practices.

OMEGA included these achievements in its 2024 Sustainability Report and presented its AI-enabled compliance transformation during an industry panel organized by the European Business Network. The initiative received favorable coverage from ESG analysts and led to an improved governance rating from an external assessor.

# 4.7. Challenges and Limitations Observed

Challenges included:

Legal Ambiguity: Some tax authorities (Austria, Italy) still request human summaries;

NLP Performance: Contracts in Slavic languages showed >15% misclassification rates;

Overreliance Risk: Teams were trained to combine AI logic with expert judgment.

Mitigation strategies involved human-readable logic trees and a dual-review process, strengthening both legal robustness and internal accountability.

The study also found that the AI's decision-making logic was occasionally too rigid, rejecting borderline cases that human experts would have approved. To address this, OMEGA's IT team introduced a "review flag" mechanism that allows compliance officers to override AI suggestions based on justified business rationales.

Training and change management were key success factors. The company invested in over 800 hours of staff training across 15 countries and issued AI usage guidelines tailored to each legal framework. The feedback loop from local teams led to the continuous improvement of the AI tool, emphasizing that successful digital transformation is not solely technical, but also human-centered.

Conclusion of Results Chapter: The implementation of OMEGA-AI-DOC led to improved compliance, reduced audit risks, and alignment with both fiscal and ESG goals. The Romanian DPT case illustrates the strategic value of AI-based corrections and the broader benefits of automation for multinational groups operating under complex regulatory environments.

# 5. Discussion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into transfer pricing (TP) documentation and compliance processes, as examined through the OMEGA Group case study, reveals several critical dimensions for analysis. This section explores the broader implications of AI-enabled compliance, addressing theoretical frameworks, organizational behavior, legal compatibility, and strategic

sustainability. Each dimension is supported by evidence from the results, comparative industry insights, and relevant academic and regulatory literature.

# 5.1. Theoretical Implications and Digital Compliance Paradigm

From a theoretical standpoint, the deployment of AI in transfer pricing can be viewed through the lens of the evolving digital compliance paradigm. Traditionally, tax compliance has been reactive, focused on post-transactional audits and manual documentation. The emergence of AI-powered systems, as evidenced in OMEGA Group's transformation, introduces a proactive compliance model, where algorithmic governance anticipates risks and enforces consistency in real-time. This shift reflects the broader transition from rules-based to principles-based regulation, where compliance is not only about ticking boxes but ensuring substantive alignment with economic reality.

The digital compliance paradigm aligns with the Compliance Pyramid Model (Ayres & Braithwaite, 1992), which suggests that transparency and voluntary cooperation reduce the need for coercive enforcement. OMEGA's reduced audit frequency post-AI implementation supports this theory. By embedding real-time monitoring, self-correction mechanisms, and predictive analytics, the organization moved toward the apex of the pyramid, where compliance is driven by internal motivation rather than external threat. Additionally, this shift echoes the principles of "smart regulation," which advocate for collaborative approaches and technological mediation to enhance regulatory outcomes.

Moreover, AI in TP compliance exemplifies the operationalization of "compliance-by-design," where regulatory expectations are built into the system architecture. The OMEGA-AI-DOC platform automatically flags transactions that fall outside the interquartile range, generates OECD-compliant files, and ensures real-time updates in response to changes in local tax laws. This dynamic capability challenges traditional static frameworks and suggests the need for tax administrations to adapt their audit methodologies.

#### 5.2. Organizational Impact and Decision-Making Behavior

The organizational transformation induced by AI adoption is multifaceted. One of the most notable shifts within OMEGA Group was the redistribution of decision-making authority. Prior to AI, compliance decisions were predominantly centralized, with external consultants playing a significant role. Post-implementation, the reliance on these consultants diminished, and internal tax teams became empowered to make informed, data-driven decisions. This decentralization aligns with distributed decision-making models in organizational theory, where autonomy and access to real-time information enhance responsiveness and innovation.

Employee behavior also shifted significantly. Interviews conducted across departments revealed that staff viewed AI as a support tool rather than a threat to job security. This perception was influenced by the AI system's transparency and the training sessions provided during implementation. Employees reported a higher level of engagement and job satisfaction, attributing this to the elimination of repetitive tasks and the opportunity to focus on strategic analysis. The AI's role in facilitating interdepartmental collaboration was particularly evident in complex cases where tax, legal, and finance teams had to align their interpretations.

Furthermore, the AI system contributed to what organizational behavior scholars refer to as "institutional isomorphism." As subsidiaries adapted their processes to align with AI-generated standards, a form of normative standardization emerged, promoting consistency and reducing variance in TP documentation quality. This standardization not only enhanced audit readiness but also reinforced a unified corporate culture centered on transparency and accountability.

#### 5.3. Legal Interpretability and Cross-Border Recognition Challenges

Despite its operational efficiencies, AI introduces complex legal considerations, particularly regarding evidence admissibility and procedural fairness. In the European context, legal

interpretability remains a cornerstone of administrative justice. Taxpayers must be able to understand, contest, and replicate the rationale behind fiscal decisions. AI-generated documents, if opaque or overly technical, may undermine these rights.

OMEGA's experience in Austria and Italy highlights this tension. In both jurisdictions, tax authorities requested human-readable summaries despite the availability of algorithm-generated documentation. This insistence stems from the legal principle of "audi alteram partem" (hear the other side), which demands clarity and comprehensibility in administrative proceedings. To address this, OMEGA developed an Explainable AI (XAI) interface, which translates complex algorithmic decisions into narrative formats, complete with benchmarks, logic trees, and regulatory citations.

The deployment of XAI not only enhanced legal defensibility but also aligned with evolving regulatory standards. The proposed EU AI Act (2023), for example, emphasizes transparency, accountability, and human oversight in high-risk applications, including those used in taxation. OMEGA's dual-review system, where human experts validate AI recommendations, exemplifies best practices under this emerging framework. This layered approach ensures that AI remains a tool for compliance enhancement rather than a replacement for legal judgment.

Moreover, cross-border recognition of AI-generated documentation remains uneven. While jurisdictions like the Netherlands and Sweden have embraced digital compliance models, others retain a cautious stance. This fragmentation underscores the need for international harmonization, potentially through OECD guidelines or bilateral tax agreements that recognize algorithmic outputs as legitimate evidence.

#### 5.4. ESG Integration and Strategic Sustainability

The integration of AI into TP documentation contributes significantly to Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) objectives. At OMEGA, the digital transformation reduced paper consumption, courier emissions, and energy usage associated with traditional documentation processes. These environmental benefits align with SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) and are quantifiable in the company's sustainability reports.

From a governance perspective, AI enhances institutional transparency and accountability. The automated audit trail, real-time dashboards, and version control features ensure that documentation processes are not only efficient but also traceable. This level of transparency satisfies stakeholders' demand for ethical tax practices and reinforces the company's ESG credentials. The inclusion of AI achievements in OMEGA's CSRD-aligned reports further illustrates the convergence between tax compliance and sustainability reporting.

Strategically, AI positions OMEGA as a forward-thinking organization committed to innovation and responsible corporate governance. This positioning has reputational benefits, particularly among investors and rating agencies that increasingly incorporate tax transparency into ESG scoring models. Studies by Zucman (2020) and the OECD suggest that tax behavior is becoming a material factor in ESG assessments, influencing both investor decisions and regulatory scrutiny.

Additionally, AI supports the social dimension of ESG by improving working conditions. Employees report reduced stress levels, greater clarity in compliance roles, and increased opportunities for professional development. The automation of routine tasks allowed staff to focus on meaningful work, contributing to job satisfaction and retention.

# 5.5. Strategic Value and Future Readiness

AI's strategic value in transfer pricing extends beyond operational efficiency. It enables organizations to anticipate and adapt to future regulatory changes, such as those proposed under the OECD's Pillar Two framework. By automating country-by-country reporting (CbCR) and integrating economic substance tests, AI systems provide a foundation for scalable compliance in a rapidly evolving tax landscape.

OMEGA's experience illustrates how AI can serve as a strategic asset rather than a compliance cost. The predictive capabilities of the OMEGA-AI-DOC system allowed the company to model

various fiscal scenarios, optimize intercompany pricing structures, and proactively address potential risks. This level of foresight is particularly valuable in jurisdictions with aggressive audit practices or frequent legislative updates.

Moreover, AI enhances reputational resilience. In an era of increased public scrutiny, companies that can demonstrate transparent and ethical tax practices are better positioned to maintain stakeholder trust. OMEGA's ability to produce comprehensive, standardized documentation across 21 jurisdictions not only facilitated audits but also improved relationships with tax authorities. This goodwill can translate into reduced penalties, favorable rulings, and enhanced corporate image.

The system's scalability also supports business expansion. As OMEGA enters new markets, the AI platform can quickly adapt to local tax rules, reducing the lead time for compliance setup. This adaptability lowers entry barriers and supports agile growth strategies, making AI a critical enabler of internationalization.

# 5.6. Risks of Overreliance and Algorithmic Governance

While AI offers numerous benefits, it also introduces risks, particularly when organizations become overly reliant on algorithmic outputs. OMEGA encountered instances where the system's rigidity failed to account for nuanced business realities. For example, atypical transactions or contractual arrangements not represented in the training data were flagged as non-compliant, leading to unnecessary escalations.

This phenomenon, known as "automation bias" (Parasuraman & Riley, 1997), occurs when users defer to algorithmic recommendations even in the face of contradictory evidence. To mitigate this, OMEGA implemented a human-in-the-loop model, where tax professionals review and, if necessary, override AI decisions. This safeguard ensures that human judgment remains central to compliance processes.

Another challenge is the dynamic nature of tax law. AI models must be continuously updated to reflect changes in legislation, case law, and administrative guidance. Static models risk generating outdated or incorrect documentation. OMEGA addressed this by establishing a legal monitoring team responsible for updating the AI system's rule base and retraining its machine learning components.

Data quality and system integrity also pose concerns. Inaccurate or incomplete data inputs can lead to flawed outputs, undermining the credibility of the AI system. OMEGA conducted regular data audits and employed anomaly detection algorithms to maintain data integrity. These practices highlight the importance of robust data governance in AI deployments.

### 5.7. Sector-Wide Implications and Policy Considerations

OMEGA's success with AI in transfer pricing provides a blueprint for sector-wide adoption, but scalability requires supportive policy frameworks. Currently, the absence of standardized guidelines for AI-generated documentation creates legal uncertainty. Tax authorities may accept or reject such documentation based on subjective criteria, leading to inconsistent outcomes.

Policymakers must address this gap by developing harmonized standards that recognize algorithmic outputs as legitimate evidence. The OECD, with its role in setting international tax norms, is well-positioned to lead this effort. Guidelines on AI transparency, explainability, and auditability could enhance trust and facilitate adoption.

Regulatory sandboxes, similar to those used in the fintech sector, could serve as experimental environments where businesses and tax authorities co-develop AI tools under real-world conditions. These sandboxes would allow for iterative testing, risk assessment, and policy refinement before broader implementation.

Educational institutions and professional associations also have a role to play. Integrating AI literacy into accounting, law, and tax curricula would prepare future professionals for the evolving compliance landscape. Continued professional development programs should include training on AI ethics, data governance, and human-AI collaboration.

Finally, collaboration between public and private sectors is essential. Joint task forces, knowledge-sharing platforms, and public-private partnerships can accelerate innovation while ensuring that regulatory objectives are met. OMEGA's case demonstrates that with the right infrastructure and governance, AI can transform tax compliance into a driver of strategic advantage and public value.

Conclusion of Discussion Chapter:

The discussion underscores that AI in transfer pricing is not merely a technological upgrade but a catalyst for broader institutional change. While legal and ethical considerations persist, the benefits in terms of efficiency, risk reduction, and ESG alignment position AI as a strategic enabler of modern fiscal governance. The OMEGA case demonstrates that with the right safeguards and implementation strategy, AI can transform tax compliance from a regulatory burden into a source of competitive and reputational advantage.

# 6. Conclusions

The conclusions drawn from this study synthesize the strategic, technological, fiscal, and sustainability-related insights observed through the implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) in the transfer pricing (TP) documentation process of the OMEGA Group. By dissecting the key learnings from empirical results and contextualizing them within the broader regulatory and business environment, this chapter offers a comprehensive evaluation of how AI can reshape international tax compliance. The section is structured into seven sub-conclusions, each highlighting a distinct dimension of the analysis.

# 6.1. AI as a Strategic Driver of Proactive Compliance

The OMEGA case study demonstrates that AI, when integrated thoughtfully into TP processes, transforms compliance from a static and reactive task into a dynamic, forward-looking strategy. Through real-time monitoring, predictive benchmarking, and automated document generation, the company achieved significant reductions in audit risk and documentation preparation time. Rather than responding passively to fiscal obligations, OMEGA was empowered to anticipate and preempt regulatory scrutiny. This proactive posture underscores AI's role not merely as a technological tool, but as a core enabler of strategic governance.

Moreover, AI-enabled compliance supports a culture of continuous improvement. By embedding self-assessment capabilities into daily operations, companies can maintain compliance even amid evolving tax environments. This real-time alignment with fiscal requirements enhances the organization's resilience to audits and legislative change. In essence, AI supports a shift from periodic tax reporting to perpetual, automated oversight—an essential paradigm in the context of OECD's Pillar Two and digital economy taxation.

#### 6.2. Institutional Benefits and Organizational Transformation

The implementation of AI produced substantial organizational dividends beyond compliance efficiencies. Key among them was the redistribution of human resources from repetitive documentation tasks toward higher-order functions such as tax planning, internal auditing, and policy harmonization. This reallocation led to greater job satisfaction, enhanced interdepartmental collaboration, and the cultivation of a tax-aware corporate culture.

Furthermore, the automation of standardized documentation across the OMEGA Group's 21 jurisdictions enabled internal benchmarking and cross-entity performance tracking. Such harmonization fosters operational coherence, encourages knowledge sharing, and facilitates centralized oversight. The AI platform served not only as a compliance instrument, but also as a strategic dashboard for governance, thus elevating the tax function to a central role in enterprise resource planning and decision-making.

#### 6.3. Legal Interpretability and Due Process Compliance

Despite the significant advancements afforded by AI, legal concerns remain regarding the interpretability and evidentiary admissibility of algorithm-generated documentation. The company's experience in jurisdictions with formalistic audit standards—such as Austria and Italy—reiterates the ongoing necessity for human-readable logic and traceable justifications. Taxpayers must be able to contest AI decisions and demonstrate transparency before regulatory bodies.

OMEGA's integration of Explainable AI (XAI) and a dual-validation protocol with human oversight mitigated these risks. These features ensure that AI operates within the boundaries of procedural fairness and that its outcomes remain subject to legal scrutiny. In addition, such hybrid models may provide a replicable framework for other multinational corporations navigating the fine balance between automation and judicial defensibility.

# 6.4. AI and ESG Alignment in Tax Functions

The findings support the inclusion of tax compliance as a material component of ESG strategy. OMEGA's sustainability reporting, aligned with the EU's CSRD directive, explicitly referenced the use of AI to reduce resource consumption, eliminate paper-based workflows, and improve internal transparency. These developments contribute to multiple Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially those related to innovation (SDG 9), responsible production (SDG 12), and institutional accountability (SDG 16).

As ESG evaluations become more prominent in investment decisions, companies demonstrating technological innovation in fiscal governance will likely experience reputational advantages. The strategic integration of AI in tax functions thus serves dual objectives: regulatory compliance and stakeholder engagement. This duality strengthens the legitimacy of corporate ESG claims and enhances competitiveness in capital markets where responsible governance is increasingly monetized.

#### 6.5. Fiscal Risk Management and Predictive Analytics

AI-driven documentation provides a powerful risk management apparatus. By continuously monitoring transaction data and benchmarking it against real-time market comparables, the OMEGA Group identified outliers and corrected deviations before audit exposure. This allowed the organization to implement voluntary adjustments, significantly reducing the frequency and severity of audit corrections and penalties.

Such predictive capabilities are particularly valuable in high-risk jurisdictions or in industries with complex intercompany arrangements. Furthermore, the deployment of risk scoring and anomaly detection modules supports layered internal controls, facilitating early warning systems and fiscal scenario planning. AI transforms transfer pricing from a reactive defense mechanism into an active shield against non-compliance.

# 6.6. Operational Limitations and Algorithmic Challenges

Despite the numerous benefits, AI systems are not infallible. The study identified challenges related to linguistic misclassification, especially in contracts written in less common Slavic languages, and discrepancies in tax law interpretations across jurisdictions. The performance of natural language processing (NLP) models was less robust when analyzing non-standard agreements, leading to a 15% higher error rate compared to English-language contracts.

To address these limitations, the OMEGA Group invested in regular model retraining, manual validation checkpoints, and jurisdiction-specific rule sets. The organization recognized that AI is a complement—not a substitute—for human judgment and legal interpretation. This human-AI synergy is critical for maintaining the system's effectiveness and ensuring the accuracy of fiscal disclosures.

#### 6.7. Policy Implications and Recommendations for Future Adoption

The experiences documented in this case study offer valuable lessons for policymakers and regulators. First, there is an urgent need for harmonized standards that define the admissibility, transparency, and technical specifications of AI-generated tax documentation. Such standards could be developed by international bodies like the OECD or within the framework of the EU's digital taxation initiatives.

Second, governments could consider implementing regulatory sandboxes for AI in tax, allowing innovation under controlled conditions. These sandboxes would enable tax authorities and businesses to co-develop AI systems, monitor their performance, and address interpretative challenges collaboratively. Additionally, public-private partnerships and academic consortia could play a role in setting best practices and providing training resources.

Finally, professional development in the fields of law, accounting, and tax must evolve to include AI literacy. The future of tax compliance will require interdisciplinary fluency, combining regulatory expertise with data science and ethical decision-making frameworks. OMEGA's successful implementation of AI provides a strong case for how digital innovation can coexist with legal safeguards and strategic oversight.

#### 6.8. Research Limitations and Future Directions

This study presents several limitations. First, the analysis is centered on a single multinational group (OMEGA), which, although rich in insight, may not reflect all sectoral or geographic particularities. Additionally, the evaluation of AI effectiveness is constrained by data availability and confidentiality requirements, which may limit replicability.

Second, while the research incorporates Eastern European regulatory perspectives, broader comparative analysis across Western jurisdictions is limited. Future research should explore AI integration in different industries and institutional contexts to identify sector-specific dynamics and barriers.

Third, the study focuses primarily on documentation and compliance functions. Future studies may delve deeper into how AI supports strategic decision-making, dynamic pricing, and tax dispute resolution.

Lastly, the emergence of new AI governance regulations (e.g., EU AI Act) presents an evolving landscape that warrants longitudinal studies on legal adaptation and organizational readiness. Interdisciplinary research involving legal scholars, data scientists, and fiscal policymakers would enrich the ongoing dialogue and guide responsible innovation in tax technology.

# 7. Overall Conclusions

The integration of AI into transfer pricing documentation and compliance delivers transformative benefits—operational, strategic, and reputational. The OMEGA Group's experience reveals that, when implemented with foresight and accountability, AI is not merely a support function but a core driver of fiscal governance and sustainability. Future adoption across the corporate landscape will depend not only on technological readiness but also on regulatory alignment, institutional trust, and the ethical integration of automated systems.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Marius Boiţă and Luminiţa Păiuṣan; methodology, Marius Boiţă, Horaţiu Ṣoim, and Gheorghe Pribeanu; software and data analysis, Florin Cornel Dumiter and Ionela Mihaela Milutin; validation, Horaţiu Ṣoim and Luminiţa Păiuṣan; formal analysis, Marius Boiţă and Florin Cornel Dumiter; investigation, Gheorghe Pribeanu and Ionela Mihaela Milutin; resources, Horaţiu Ṣoim; data curation, Marius Boiţă; writing—review and editing, Luminiţa Păiuṣan, Gheorghe Pribeanu, and Florin Cornel Dumiter; visualization, Ionela Mihaela Milutin; supervision, Marius Boiţă; project administration, Marius Boiţă. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was supported by internal funds from the Faculty of Economic Sciences, Informatics and Engineering, "Vasile Goldiş" Western University of Arad. No external funding was received for this research. The article processing charge (APC) will be fully covered by "Vasile Goldiş" Western University of Arad.

Acknowledgments: The authors express their gratitude to the academic and administrative staff of "Vasile Goldiş" Western University of Arad for their support in the interdisciplinary aspects of this research. Special thanks to the editorial team at BDCC for their guidance and feedback during the preparation of this manuscript. During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors used ChatGPT-4 by OpenAI for the purpose of language editing and structural organization. The authors have reviewed and edited the content and take full responsibility for the content of this publication.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

# References

- 1. Braun, V.; Clarke, V. Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 2006, 3(2), 77–101.
- 2. OECD. Transfer Pricing Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Tax Administrations; OECD Publishing: Paris, 2022.
- 3. OECD. Pillar Two Model Rules: Global Anti-Base Erosion Rules (GloBE); OECD Publishing: Paris, 2021.
- 4. Gunning, D.; Aha, D.; Dodge, J. XAI—Explainable Artificial Intelligence. Science Robotics, 2019, 4(37), eaay7120.
- 5. European Commission. Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), Official Journal of the EU, 2022
- 6. United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, A/RES/70/1, 2015.
- 7. Horobeţ, A.; Popovici, M. Fiscal Benchmarking and Transfer Pricing Strategies in the Context of Digital Transformation. Romanian Journal of Fiscal Studies, 2021, 22(2), 19–38.
- 8. ISO/IEC. 42001:2023 Artificial Intelligence—Management System Requirements; International Organization for Standardization: Geneva, 2023.
- 9. European Commission. Proposal for a Regulation Laying Down Harmonized Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act), COM/2021/206, Brussels, 2023.
- 10. Romanian Ministry of Finance. Fiscal Code of Romania; updated edition 2024.
- 11. Government of Romania. Order No. 442/2016 on Transfer Pricing Documentation; Official Gazette, 2016.
- 12. BDO Global. The Future of Tax Functions: The Role of Artificial Intelligence; BDO Insights, 2022.
- 13. PwC. AI in Tax: A Strategic Opportunity; PwC Global, 2023.
- 14. Deloitte. 2023 Transfer Pricing Trends and Forecasts; Deloitte Tax Publications, 2023.
- 15. KPMG. Global Transfer Pricing Review: AI Integration in Tax Compliance; KPMG Insights, 2023.
- 16. Matei, L.; Savulescu, C. Governance, Accountability and Performance in the Public Sector. Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences, 2020, 16(60), 73–88.
- 17. Lazăr, S. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Sustainable Tax Management. Eastern European Fiscal Review, 2021, 10(3), 44–61.
- 18. Zaharia, R.; Mihai, M. Institutional Adaptability and ESG in Romanian Corporations. Journal of Economic Development Studies, 2022, 8(1), 22–35.
- 19. World Bank. Digital Economy for Europe: Fiscal Transformation Paths; World Bank Policy Note, 2021.
- 20. Dobre, E.; Ionescu, L. Comparative Analysis of Fiscal Transparency in CEE Countries. Acta Universitatis Danubius. Oeconomica, 2020, 16(5), 98–117.
- 21. Sandu, R. Legal Interpretability in Algorithmic Fiscal Systems. Journal of Law and Technology, 2023, 12(1), 30–49.
- 22. Turcu, C. AI Ethics in Tax Administration: A Romanian Perspective. Public Management and Law Review, 2022, 6(2), 66–81.

- 23. CNIL. Guidelines on the Use of AI in Public Administration; French Data Protection Authority, 2023.
- 24. Popa, A. Legal Challenges in Adopting AI in Fiscal Decision-Making. European Journal of Law and Economics, 2022, 9(4), 115–133.
- 25. European Court of Auditors. AI Use in EU Taxation and Financial Control, Special Report No. 11/2023.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.