

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Pelvic Organ Prolapse -Health-Promoting Attitudes According to Sociodemographic Factors

Aleksandra Zaborowska , [Katarzyna Tomczyk](#) * , [Małgorzata Kampioni](#) , [Paweł Rzymki](#)

Posted Date: 1 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.0019.v1

Keywords: pelvic organ prolapse; prevention; urine incontinence



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Pelvic Organ Prolapse-Health-Promoting Attitudes According to Sociodemographic Factors

Aleksandra Zaborowska ¹, Katarzyna Tomczyk ^{2,*}, Małgorzata Kampioni ³ and Paweł Rzymiski ⁴

¹ Department of Mother's and Child's Health and Minimally Invasive Gynecology, Gynecologic and Obstetrical University Hospital, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

² Department of Maternal and Child Health, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

³ Department Gynecology and Obstetrics, Minimally Invasive Operative Gynecology, Subdepartment IA and IB, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, 61-758 Poznan

⁴ Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Department of Mother's and Child's Health, 60-355 Poznan, Poland

* Correspondence: katarzyna.zielin@gmail.com

Abstract

Objectives: Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) disorders are a significant problem with a society-wide dimension, affecting the quality of life of many women around the world. The purpose of this study is to assess the influence of sociodemographic factors on health-promoting behaviors in relation to pelvic organ prolapse in women of reproductive age. **Method:** The survey was conducted using a questionnaire made available electronically and a paper questionnaire distributed to female patients of the Gynecology and Obstetrics Clinical Hospital of the Karol Marcinkowski Medical University in Poznan. 160 women at the age of 15-49 years voluntarily participated in the study. The distribution of variables was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal Wallis ANOVA test were used for comparisons between groups. Comparisons between assessment scores and self-assessment of knowledge were made using Wilcoxon's paired rank order test and the Chi2 NW (highest reliability) test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. **Results:** The level of knowledge about POP prevention and conservative treatment methods is low. The group with a higher level of knowledge was characterized by: younger age, higher education and living in areas with a large population. **Conclusions:** The results suggest only a partial understanding of the topic of pelvic organ prolapse, while lacking full awareness of prevention.

Keywords: pelvic organ prolapse; prevention; urine incontinence

1. Introduction

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) disorders are a significant problem with a society-wide dimension, affecting the quality of life of many women around the world. Due to their prevalence and physical as well as psychological consequences, they have a significant impact on public health, as well as on economic and social aspects. Despite the huge number of women struggling with this aspect, public awareness still remains quite low. The increase in life expectancy and the quest for an improved quality of life are both contributing to an increase in the prevalence of POP, as well as an increase in the number of women seeking treatment and solutions to their symptoms. Currently available demographic data are not precise enough to accurately estimate the true prevalence of POP in the population. However, ongoing efforts by international associations such as IUGA (*International Urogynecological Association*) and ICS (*International Catheter Solutions*) to standardize the diagnosis and treatment of POP may greatly improve our ability to estimate the true incidence and prevalence of this condition in the coming years.

The purpose of this study is to assess the influence of sociodemographic factors on health-promoting behaviors in relation to pelvic organ prolapse in women of reproductive age.

The prevalence of POP is relatively difficult to determine. In the literature, figures range from 3 - 50%. According to the latest data, POP currently affects between 1 - 65% of women who have given

birth in the past. As many as 20% of them require surgical intervention performed before the age of 80. Most surgeries are performed on women aged 60 to 69. Less than 58% of surgeries are performed in women under 60, and the recurrence rate after surgical interventions is as high as 13%. The problem in particular becomes apparent during menopause, when a woman's hormonal status is disrupted, as well as during some gravity sports [1-4].

The primary theory explaining the etiology of this problem is the so-called integral theory. Its general premise is based on the flaccidity of the ligaments, muscles and connective tissue of the vagina [5,6].

According to its assumptions, there are three main levels of organ decline:

- anterior vaginal wall / cystocele - this is the most common form of prolapse of the reproductive organs. It refers to the lowering of the urinary bladder. This defect is associated with the highest rate of recurrence, despite the surgical treatment applied.

- posterior wall - mainly refers to rectocele (hernia of the rectum).

- top defect - involves uterine prolapse, cervix, as well as the vaginal stump after a previous hysterectomy. In addition, it can involve the small intestine, colon and bladder [3]

The complexity of this problem is also due to the vast number of possible causes and risk factors. We distinguish among these causes:

- functional - disorders that prevent normal functioning;

- Anatomical - abnormal anatomical structure;

- Neurogenic - a disorder in the normal functioning of the nervous system, which directs the work of internal organs;

- iatrogenic [7]

A number of factors affecting the incidence of POP have been described in the literature. However, a key role is attributed to weakness of the muscles and connective tissue surrounding the pelvic organs. The correct interaction between these two factors ensures optimal support of the internal organs. When the pelvic floor muscles are dysfunctional, the strength of the vaginal walls is weakened in response to changing pressure, thus causing abnormal tension on the ligamentous attachments to the lateral pelvic walls [6,8].

There are many factors that can be responsible for the weakening of the muscular apparatus. Among them, intense physical exertion and overexertion are often mentioned. Other possible mechanism include the abdominal muscle complex dyssynergy with PFM (Pelvic Floor Muscles) [4,6]. Women who have given birth by natural childbirth are at a higher risk of such complications. Compared to non-births, the risk increases 2 to 8 times. The risk of POP is 4 times higher after the first natural childbirth, 8 times after the second and 9 times after the third. Childbirth requires an intense effort from the muscles, which are constantly working during labor, contracting and then stretching again. The fetus's head as it descends in the birth canal causes tremendous pressure and friction on the surrounding tissues. The risk of damage is 9 - times higher with a natural childbirth, compared to a cesarean section. The most common damage, associated with natural childbirth is observed in the pubococcygeal part of the anal lever muscle. Visualization of the course of labor shows that during the second period of labor, the anal lever muscle can be stretched by as much as 200% compared to its original length. In 55% of women with prolapse of the reproductive organ, damage is found to this very muscle. This is associated with an excessive increase in pressure and strong pushing of the parturient [8,9]

Other pre- and perinatal factors that increase the risk of POP are:

- baby's birth weight > 4,000 g;

- mother's age at birth > 35;

- maternal BMI > 25 kg/ m²;

- operative deliveries: using forceps, or vacuum aspiration;

- prolonged labor;

- episiotomy, especially central and mid-lateral incision < 60 ° [9]

Studies show the positive impact of introducing physiotherapy in a structured manner early in pregnancy. Such practices can influence a dramatic decrease in the incidence of incontinence by as much as 62% during pregnancy and by 29% in the 3 - 6 month postpartum period. However, despite studies, there is still insufficient data on the effectiveness of such exercises in the postpartum period.

With women's life expectancy steadily increasing, the incidence of POP is expected to increase in direct proportion in the coming years. It is believed that in 2050, the number of women with POP in the US will increase by about 46% [5,8,10]

As we know, genetic predisposition plays an important role in susceptibility to various diseases, affecting the risk of developing them. It has been proven that genetic predisposition can increase the risk of POP by up to 2, 5 - times compared to the general population. Reduced collagen content in tissues is associated not only with menopause, but also with obesity, or vascular diseases. Particular attention is paid to the occurrence of varicose veins, embolism, rheumatic diseases, not only in a particular patient, but also in her first- and second-degree relatives. Genetic predisposition is also responsible for the type and "quality" of collagen produced. [5,11]

2. Material and Methods:

The survey was conducted using a questionnaire made available electronically and a paper questionnaire distributed to female patients of the Heliodor Świącicki Gynecology and Obstetrics Clinical Hospital of the Karol Marcinkowski Medical University in Poznan. Participation in the study was voluntary, the respondents were informed of the anonymity of the survey. 160 women participated in the study. An additional qualifying condition was the age of the women, from 15 - 49 years old. The patients were recruited between 10.2024 and 12.2024.

The questionnaire used in this study consisted of 30 questions. The questionnaire used included: Questions about age, residence, education, weight, height, presence of concomitant diseases, use of hormone therapy, and obstetric history. In the next section questions focused on the presence or absence of complaints of pelvic organ prolapse, urinary incontinence; and on knowledge of methods of prevention and treatment of genital static disorders.

To analyze the collected data, Statistica (Cloud Software Group, Inc. (2023), Data Science Workbench, version 14) and Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office (2019), version 2205). The distribution of variables was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal Wallis ANOVA test were used for comparisons between groups. Comparisons between assessment scores and self-assessment of knowledge were made using Wilcoxon's paired rank order test. Using the Chi² NW (highest reliability) test, an examination of the relationship between variables was conducted. The confidence level used in all calculations was $p < 0.05$.

3. Results:

The characteristics of the study group are shown in the Tables 1 and 2.

Significantly less frequent use of a visit to a gynecologist was observed in women struggling with reproductive organs prolapse ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3).

The analysis shows that urogynecological physiotherapist use is more frequent among patients with higher education and women living in larger cities (> 50,000 residents). In addition, a correlation between POP and greater interest in urogynecological physiotherapy was observed (Table 4).

Table 5 illustrates the statistical analysis, concerning the performance of exercises to strengthen the muscles of the lower pelvic floor, depending on the following variables: age, education, place of residence, having undergone natural childbirth, POP and urine incontinence.

As many as 60% of female respondents declare having knowledge of the characteristics of the work of a urogynecological physiotherapist. The remainder of the group - 40% - has no knowledge of this subject (Table 6).

Significant differences were observed in the answers posed depending on the age of the patients, education and place of residence. Younger respondents, i.e., <35 years of age, significantly more often

declared greater knowledge of the characteristics of the work of a urogynecological physiotherapist (71.23%), compared to women > 35 years of age, among whom the percentage was 50.57%. Drastic discrepancies are also observed between respondents with a university education (in this group, as many as 84.09% of respondents declared knowledge of the subject matter) and women with education other than university (the percentage was 30.65%). A similar relationship applies to place of residence. Those living in localities with a population of > 50,000 confirmed their familiarity with the subject under study at 78.75%, while women living in smaller localities only at 41.25%.

In Table 7, greater knowledge in the topic of preventive methods for reproductive organs prolapse is observed among women with higher education and among women living in towns with a population of >50,000. For both relationships, the confidence level was less than 0.001.

The vast majority of patients, 77.50% (n=124), deny knowledge of the therapeutic method, which is pessotherapy. Only 22.50% (n=36) have knowledge of it - Table 8.

Table 1. Characteristic of the study group.

Respondents characteristic		Percentage [%]
Level of education	Higher education	55
	Other	45
Location residence	<50 000 citizens	50,
	>50 000 citizens	50
Natural childbirth	At all	39
	One	23
	More than one	38
Pelvic organ prolapse	Yes	32
	No	68
Urogynecological physiotherapy frequency	Never	79
	One time	8
	Several times	13
Frequency of pelvic floor muscle exercises	At all	60
	At least 2 times a week	11
	At least several times a month	29

Table 2. Anthropometric measurements in the study group of women.

	N	M ±SD	Min-Max	Me [Q1-Q3].
Age	160	36,4 ±9,85	16-49	36 [29–46]
Growth	160	166,5 ±5,98	149-182	166 [5,163–170]
Body weight	160	71,12 ±14,31	45-115	69,5 [60–81]
BMI	160	25,68 ±5,22	16,94-40,51	24,9 [7–29]

Table 3. Influence of demographic factors on the timing of the last visit to a gynecologist.

		Last visit to the gynecologist				χ^2	p
		<1 year (50%)	1-5 years (33,13%)	>5 years (9,38%)	I don't remember (7,5%)		
Age	<35 years	49 (67,12%)	24 (32,88%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	40,76	<0,001
	>35 years	31 (35,63%)	29 (33,33%)	15 (17,24%)	12 (13,79%)		
Education	Higher	51 (57,95%)	34 (38,64%)	2 (2,27%)	1 (1,14%)	27,6	<0,001
	Other	29 (40,28%)	19 (26,39%)	13 (18,06%)	11 (15,28%)		
Location residence	<50k.	34 (42,5%)	20 (25%)	14 (17,5%)	12 (15%)	35,11	<0,001
	>50,000.	46 (57,5%)	33 (41,25%)	1 (1,25%)	0 (0%)		
	At all	42 (66,67%)	20 (31,75%)	0 (0%)	1 (1,59%)		

Childbirth natural	One	17 (47,22%)	14 (38,89%)	4 (11,11%)	1 (2,78%)		
	Read more	21 (34,43%)	19 (31,15%)	11 (18,03%)	10 (16,39%)		
pelvic organ prolapse	Yes	14 (27,45%)	17 (33,33%)	9 (17,65%)	11 (21,57%)		
	Not	66 (60,55%)	36 (33,03%)	6 (5,5%)	1 (0,92%)	32,52	<0,001
incontinence urinary	Yes	27 (36,99%)	28 (38,36%)	11 (15,07%)	7 (9,59%)		
	Not	53 (60,92%)	25 (28,74%)	4 (4,6%)	5 (5,75%)	11,28	0,01

Table 4. Frequency of use of urogynecological physiotherapist according to demographic factors.

		Use of a urogynecological physiotherapist			χ^2	p
		Never	Once	Several times		
Age	<35 years	56 (76,71%)	6 (8,22%)	11 (15,07%)	0,83	0,66
	>35 years	71 (81,61%)	7 (8,05%)	9 (10,34%)		
Education	Higher	59 (67,05%)	10 (11,36%)	19 (21,59%)	22,8	<0,001
	Other	68 (94,44%)	3 (4,17%)	1 (1,39%)		
Location residence	<50k.	71 (88,75%)	3 (3,75%)	6 (7,5%)	9,04	0,01
	>50,000.	56 (70%)	10 (12,5%)	14 (17,5%)		
Childbirth natural	At all	54 (85,71%)	6 (9,52%)	3 (4,76%)	9,11	0,06
	One	28 (77,78%)	4 (11,11%)	4 (11,11%)		
	Read more	45 (73,77%)	3 (4,92%)	13 (21,31%)		
Pelvic organ prolapse	Yes	36 (70,59%)	3 (5,88%)	12 (23,53%)	7,9	0,02
	Not	91 (83,49%)	10 (9,17%)	8 (7,34%)		
Incontinence urinary	Yes	54 (73,97%)	5 (6,85%)	14 (19,18%)	5,62	0,06
	Not	73 (83,91%)	8 (9,2%)	6 (6,9%)		

Table 5. Performance of pelvic floor muscle exercises according to demographic factors; A. Not at all; B. Several times a year; C. Several times a month; D. 2/3 times a week; E. Every day.

		Performing exercises for the muscles of the lower pelvic floor					χ^2	P
		A	B	C	D	E		
Age	<35 years	47 (64,38%)	10 (13,7%)	8 (10,96%)	6 (8,22%)	2 (2,74%)	1,8	0,77
	>35 years	50 (57,47%)	17 (19,54%)	11 (12,64%)	8 (9,2%)	1 (1,15%)		
Education	Higher	41 (46,59%)	18 (20,45%)	15 (17,05%)	11 (12,5%)	3 (3,41%)	19,59	0,001
	Other	56 (77,78%)	9 (12,5%)	4 (5,56%)	3 (4,17%)	0 (0%)		
Location residence	<50k.	61 (76,25%)	11 (13,75%)	1 (1,25%)	6 (7,5%)	1 (1,25%)	26,58	<0,001
	>50,000.	36 (45%)	16 (20%)	18 (22,5%)	8 (10%)	2 (2,5%)		
Childbirth natural	At all	40 (63,49%)	14 (22,22%)	4 (6,35%)	4 (6,35%)	1 (1,59%)	15,56	0,049
	One	22 (61,11%)	2 (5,56%)	8 (22,22%)	2 (5,56%)	2 (5,56%)		
	Read more	35 (57,38%)	11 (18,03%)	7 (11,48%)	8 (13,11%)	0 (0%)		
Pelvic organ prolapse	Yes	31 (60,78%)	7 (13,73%)	5 (9,8%)	7 (13,73%)	1 (1,96%)	2,71	0,61
	Not	66 (60,55%)	20 (18,35%)	14 (12,84%)	7 (6,42%)	2 (1,83%)		
Incontinence urinary	Yes	41 (56,16%)	13 (17,81%)	9 (12,33%)	9 (12,33%)	1 (1,37%)	2,69	0,61
	Not	56 (64,37%)	14 (16,09%)	10 (11,49%)	5 (5,75%)	2 (2,3%)		

Table 6. Knowledge of the issue of urogynecological physiotherapy according to demographic characteristics of respondents.

		Urogynecological physiotherapist		χ^2	P
		Yes	Not		
Age	<35 years	52 (71,23%)	21 (28,77%)	7,16	0,01
	>35 years	44 (50,57%)	43 (49,43%)		
Education	Higher	74 (84,09%)	14 (15,91%)	49,62	<0,001
	Other	22 (30,56%)	50 (69,44%)		
Location residence	<50k.	33 (41,25%)	47 (58,75%)	24,16	<0,001
	>50,000.	63 (78,75%)	17 (21,25%)		
Childbirth natural	At all	42 (66,67%)	21 (33,33%)	2,63	0,27
	One	22 (61,11%)	14 (38,89%)		
	Read more	32 (52,46%)	29 (47,54%)		
Pelvic organ prolapse	Yes	25 (49,02%)	26 (50,98%)	3,73	0,054
	Not	71 (65,14%)	38 (34,86%)		
Incontinence urinary	Yes	39 (53,42%)	34 (46,58%)	2,42	0,12
	Not	57 (65,52%)	30 (34,48%)		

Table 7. Knowledge of preventive methods of POP according to demographic characteristics of respondents.

		Prevention of POP		χ^2	p
		Yes	Not		
Age	<35 years	30 (41,1%)	43 (58,9%)	0,23	0,63
	>35 years	39 (44,83%)	48 (55,17%)		
Education	Higher	56 (63,64%)	32 (36,36%)	35,41	<0,001
	Other	13 (18,06%)	59 (81,94%)		
Location residence	<50k.	18 (22,5%)	62 (77,5%)	28,69	<0,001
	>50,000.	51 (63,75%)	29 (36,25%)		
Childbirth natural	At all	25 (39,68%)	38 (60,32%)	0,82	0,66
	One	15 (41,67%)	21 (58,33%)		
	Read more	29 (47,54%)	32 (52,46%)		
Pelvic organ prolapse	Yes	23 (45,1%)	28 (54,9%)	0,12	0,73
	Not	46 (42,2%)	63 (57,8%)		
Incontinence urinary	Yes	29 (39,73%)	44 (60,27%)	0,63	0,43
	Not	40 (45,98%)	47 (54,02%)		

Table 8. Influence of demographic factors on knowledge of pessarotheapy among respondents.

		Pessarotheapy		χ^2	P
		Yes	Not		
Age	<35 years	19 (26,03%)	54 (73,97%)	0,95	0,33
	>35 years	17 (19,54%)	70 (80,46%)		
Education	Higher	31 (35,23%)	57 (64,77%)	20,1	<0,001
	Other	5 (6,94%)	67 (93,06%)		
Location residence	<50k.	8 (10%)	72 (90%)	15,01	<0,001
	>50,000.	28 (35%)	52 (65%)		
Childbirth natural	At all	18 (28,57%)	45 (71,43%)	2,19	0,33
	One	7 (19,44%)	29 (80,56%)		
	Read more	11 (18,03%)	50 (81,97%)		
Pelvic organ	Yes	8 (15,69%)	43 (84,31%)	<u>2,09</u>	<u>0,15</u>

prolapse	Not	28 (25,69%)	81 (74,31%)		
Incontinence	Yes	10 (13,7%)	63 (86,3%)		
urinary	Not	26 (29,89%)	61 (70,11%)	6,17	0,01

4. Conclusions

1. The level of knowledge about POP prevention and conservative treatment methods is low.
2. Differences in the level of knowledge held depend primarily on factors such as age, place of residence, type of education held.

5. Discussion

The problem that is pelvic organ prolapse - POP is affecting an increasing number of women around the world. Epidemiological forecasts in recent medical studies clearly predict an increase in the number of women experiencing this problem in the future. This is largely due to the increasing average age of the population, the lifestyle we lead, as well as other environmental factors[5]. In view of this, it seems crucial to spread knowledge regarding both the prevention and treatment of female pelvic organ prolapse.

The results of published studies clearly indicate a low level of knowledge in the general female population, regarding pelvic floor muscle dysfunction, risk factors, as well as treatment options [5,7]

The results of the present study suggest only a partial understanding of the topic of pelvic organ prolapse, while lacking full awareness of prevention. Similar correlations can be observed in other scientific publications. As many as 81% of women participating in a survey conducted by the Brazilian Society of Gynecologists and Obstetricians declared that they had never received information about the possibility of this type of disorder. As in our study, the group with a higher level of knowledge was characterized by: higher education and living in areas with a large population [12]

Demographic variables prove to have a huge impact on the state of awareness and knowledge of the women surveyed. The difference in the level of knowledge between respondents with higher education and women with lower education was almost 32%. A similar observation was noted in a pilot study conducted by the International Urogynecology Journal in 2022. Younger women and those with higher education had a higher percentage of correct answers in the questionnaire on general knowledge about female genitalia and prolapse [13]. The same trend is observed in knowledge regarding risk factors. Those living in larger cities show greater knowledge regarding medical factors such as menopause, congenital spinal defects, and obesity. The most easily recognized factor was natural childbirth. Knowledge of risk factors greatly influences patients' lifestyles and the use of health-promoting behaviors. A noticeable problem is the presence of myths and misconceptions among patients. Ethnicity among African-Americans, limited access to information, low levels of education, and low socioeconomic status are cited as major risk factors correlating with low knowledge in other articles. In contrast to my analysis, a study conducted by the Brazilian Society of Gynecologists and Obstetricians observed greater knowledge of POP pathophysiology among women suffering from the disorder [12]. The data we obtained show a higher frequency of this group of women identifying inappropriate behaviors as preventive methods.

The subject of genital static disorders is still a taboo subject. Conducting conversations on this topic requires respecting women's privacy, maintaining discretion, and building trust between the person imparting knowledge and the patient. Despite public respect towards doctors, patients are relatively often not satisfied with their services. It has been shown in the literature that women often experience belittling of the problem, ignorance and a dismissive approach to the complaints they report [14]. A small percentage of women consult gynecological problems with health professionals. On average, 50% of the female population suffering from genital static disorders consult a health care professional. As identified reasons for such behavior are considered: shame, lack of knowledge, belittling the problem [12]. Many of them suffer also from sexual disorders [15,16]. A dismissive

attitude towards the patient results in him giving up trying to seek help. This, in turn, leads to an exacerbation of symptoms and, ultimately, a reduction in the woman's quality of life.

The subject of preventive measures in this area is developing rapidly. There are many methods, showing great effectiveness. Recent scientific studies even talk about the effectiveness of measures, which do not require much effort, i.e.: changing lifestyle, diet, quitting smoking, avoiding lifting heavy loads or doing physically demanding work [17]. The most popular preventive methods, and at the same time methods with demonstrated effectiveness in alleviating changes resulting from genital static disorders, are pelvic floor muscle exercises [4,6,18]. Despite the fact that more than half of the surveyed group agrees with the statement that preventive methods can have a positive effect on symptoms associated with pelvic organ prolapse, the percentage of patients using these methods is dramatically low. Improving women's awareness of implementable preventive measures, as well as familiarizing them with pelvic floor muscle exercises, could reduce the number of cases of POP or reduce the severity of current lesions. The data collected during the survey unequivocally shows that more than half of the surveyed group has little or no knowledge of POP prevention methods. 60% of female respondents declare knowledge of the characteristics of the work of a urogynecological physiotherapist. At the same time, a similar percentage of respondents - 63% - have never performed exercises to strengthen the pelvic floor muscles [4,6]. A higher frequency of performed exercises is observed only in women who are younger, have higher education and live in larger cities. The same relationship is observed in respondents who gave birth by natural forces. However, no significant differences are noted in the frequency of exercise in relation to the age of the patient, urine incontinence or pelvic organ prolapse. Similar findings are observed in medical articles, which say that women with higher education are more likely to exercise, and women with a history of consultation with medical personnel. Correlations of low significance, however, were observed between knowledge of the pelvic floor muscles and the age of the patient, as well as experience of natural childbirth [12,13]. The correlations presented in the given articles coincide with the conclusions gathered in the study. The question that arises at this point is: what changes need to be made to achieve improvements in this area? We need to extend assistance to women in each variability group. It would seem beneficial to introduce free educational classes on pelvic floor muscle exercises. Such classes could be held at hospitals, or clinics easily accessible to patients from both smaller and larger towns. Alarming data is noted on the issue of pessarotherapy, which is currently growing in popularity. Mainly due to its non-invasiveness, ease of use and the positive benefits of its use [19]. As many as 77% of women surveyed are not familiar with this method, which could reduce the discomfort they experience on a daily basis. Again, greater familiarity with preventive methods is observed among women who are better educated, live in larger cities, but also those who struggle with urine incontinence. Female respondents also show moderate knowledge of appropriate forms of physical activity in the context of preventive measures. Although pelvic floor muscle exercises, yoga and Pilates are recognized as beneficial, some women still choose intense forms of activity that can negatively affect the pelvic floor. It is recommended to promote moderate forms of exercise, such as leisurely cycling and swimming, which promote health by leveling excessive strain on pelvic structures.

The problem of pelvic organ prolapse significantly affects the daily activity of women. These changes limit their social life, social life, participation in sports and even family life. Less frequent visits to the gynecologist are observed in this group of women, which may be due to a sense of shame, embarrassment, among other reasons. POP has a significant impact on women's sex life. The resulting anatomical changes may be associated with discomfort during intercourse, and even with pain, which can result in a woman's isolation, a drastic drop in self-esteem, a decrease in sexual desire, as well as a weakening of the relationship with her partner due to concerns about pleasing her partner and a sense of shame. The sexual needs of female patients should not be forgotten. In the general female population, about 30-40% of them report sexual dysfunction due to POP. However, in women suffering from pelvic floor disorders, the percentage rises to as high as 50 - 83% [20]. Patients struggling with pelvic organ prolapse also experience changes related to decreased lubrication of the

vaginal tissues and loosening of the vaginal walls. It is also worth mentioning the less frequent achievement of orgasm in these patients. It is believed that the negative impact of POP on sexual life does not depend mainly on the resulting anatomical changes, but on psychological factors. In this group of women, there is a higher risk of depression, compared to the general population. Depression affects between 20 - 71% of women in this case [21]. Giving up intimacy is mainly associated with disturbed perception of one's own body.

The results directly indicate the need for increased educational efforts. Lack of basic knowledge, on the pathophysiology of the lesions arising in the reproductive organs, as well as the possible health consequences and complications is a huge problem. This problem, may be responsible for the delay in the diagnosis of POP, or the introduction of inappropriate treatment.

References

1. Hamahata Y, Akagi K, Maeda T, Nemoto K, Koike J. Management of Pelvic Organ Prolapse (POP) and Rectal Prolapse. *J Anus Rectum Colon*. 27 Apr 2022;6[2]:83-91.
2. Carroll L, O' Sullivan C, Doody C, Perrotta C, Fullen B. Pelvic organ prolapse: the lived experience. *PLoS One*. Nov 2, 2022;17[11]:e0276788.
3. Chung SH, Kim WB. Various Approaches and Treatments for Pelvic Organ Prolapse in Women. *J Menopausal Med*. December 2018;24[3]:155-62.
4. Rzymiski P, Burzyński B, Knapik M, Kociszewski J, Wilczak M. How to balance the treatment of stress urinary incontinence among female athletes? *Archives of Medical Science* 2021; 2, 314-322
5. Weintraub AY, Gliner H, Marcus-Braun N. Narrative review of the epidemiology, diagnosis and pathophysiology of pelvic organ prolapse. *Int Braz J Urol*. 13 Jan 2020;46[1]:5-14.
6. Bartłomiej B, Tomasz J, Knapik M, Burzynski K, Rzymiski P, Rajwa P, Bryniarski P. Abdominal complex muscle in women with stress urinary incontinence - prospective case-control study. *Arch. Med. Sci.* 2023; 4, 1016-1021
7. BC, Neacșu A, Martiniuc AE, Dumitrescu D, Stănică CD, Roșu GA, Chivu LI, Ioan RG. Surgical Treatments for Women with Stress Urinary Incontinence: A Systematic Review. *Life (Basel)*. 2023 Jun 30;13(7):1480.
8. Iglesia CB, Smithling KR. Pelvic Organ Prolapse. 2017;96[3]:179-85.
9. Tunn R, Baessler K, Knüpfer S, Hampel C. Urinary Incontinence and Pelvic Organ Prolapse in Women. 2023;120[5]:71-80.
10. Romeikienė KE, Bartkevičienė D. Pelvic-Floor Dysfunction Prevention in Prepartum and Postpartum Periods. *Medicina*. 2021;57[4]:387.
11. Ward RM, Edwards DRV, Edwards T, Giri A, Jerome RN, Wu JM. Genetic epidemiology of pelvic organ prolapse: a systematic review. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 1 Oct 2014;211[4]:326-35.
12. Fante JF, Silva TD, Mateus-Vasconcelos ECL, Ferreira CHJ, Brito LGO. Do Women Have Adequate Knowledge about Pelvic Floor Dysfunctions? A Systematic Review. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet*. august 2019;41[8]:508-19.
13. El-Hamamsy D, Parmar C, Shoop-Worrall S, Reid FM. Public understanding of female genital anatomy and pelvic organ prolapse (POP); a questionnaire-based pilot study. *Int Urogynecol J*. Feb 1, 2022;33[2]:309-18.
14. Kane Low L, Tumbarello JA. Falling Out: Authoritative Knowledge and Women's Experiences with Pelvic Organ Prolapse. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2012;57(5):489-94.
15. Burzyński B, Gibała P, Sołtysiak-Gibała Z, Jurys T, Przymuszała P, Rzymiski P, Stojko R. How urinary incontinence affects sexual activity in Polish women: results from a cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022; 21, 1-11
16. Burzyński B, Kwiatkowska K, Sołtysiak-Gibała Z, Bryniarski P, Przymuszała P, Wlazlak E, Rzymiski P. Impact of stress urinary incontinence on female sexual activity. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2021, 25, 2, 643-653
17. Kuncharapu I, Majeroni BA, Johnson DW. Pelvic organ prolapse. *Am Fam Physician*. May 1, 2010;81[9]:1111-7.

18. Hoff Brækken I, Majida M, Engh ME, Bø K. Morphological Changes After Pelvic Floor Muscle Training Measured by 3-Dimensional Ultrasonography: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. february 2010;115[2 Part 1]:317.
19. van der Vaart LR, Vollebregt A, Milani AL, Lagro-Janssen AL, Duijnhoven RG, Roovers JPWR, et al. Effect of Pessary vs Surgery on Patient-Reported Improvement in Patients With Symptomatic Pelvic Organ Prolapse: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. Dec 20, 2022;328[23]:2312-23.
20. Cisak MZ, Zwierzchowska A, Barcz E, Horosz E. Sexual function in women with pelvic organ prolapse and surgical influence on their complaints. *Polish Gynecology*. 2023;94[11]:939-43.
21. Pizarro-Berdichevsky J, Hitschfeld MJ, Pattillo A, Blumel B, Gonzalez S, Arellano M, et al. Association between pelvic floor disorder symptoms and QoL scores with depressive symptoms among pelvic organ prolapse patients. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;56[4]:391-7.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.