

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Interplay of Self-Regulation and Achievement in Education

[S. Abdul Jabbar](#)*

Posted Date: 15 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.1155.v1

Keywords: academic success; self-regulated learning & challenges in schooling self-regulation implementation



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

The Interplay of Self-Regulation and Achievement in Education

S. Abdul Jabbar

Department of Education and Training, MANUU, Hyderabad, dr.abduljabbar@manuu.edu.in

Abstract

Self-regulation is a crucial aspect of effective learning as well as closely tied to student academic achievement because it helps students manage their own learning processes especially when setting goals, monitoring their progress, and modifying strategies. Thus, the paper has extended the concept of self-regulated learning and the outcomes for academic success in different educational contexts; moreover, through this investigation, we can see how SRL fosters not only cognitive resilience, but also emotional resilience in learners when we observe different models of SRL and use them in the current learning environment. The paper ends with a discussion on practical strategies educators may apply to develop self-regulation skills and ultimately increase students' academic performance, motivation, and abilities as self-directed, lifelong learners.

Keywords: academic success; self-regulated learning & challenges in schooling self-regulation implementation

Introduction

Education, therefore, must evolve in an ever-shifting, information-rich world, bringing out other skills in learners in addition to rote memorization. As education continues to develop in both contemporary and dynamic realities, there is a shift in learning needs towards self-regulated learning that is acquiring skills to appropriately regulate one's learning, and facilitates improved academic performance. SRL essentially involves the metacognition, motivation, and behaviour that learners use to meet their academic goals. In essence, the core of SRL is that students are self-regulated participants who can control and reflect on the learning process, determine how to adapt their learning, and adjust accordingly. Thus, the following discussion regarding theories, practical implications and strategies to enact SRL in educational contexts covers how SRL relates to academic success.

Defining Self-Regulated Learning (SRL)

Self-regulated learning is a framework through which a student involves all forms of action in their learning process. This includes clear goals set, the monitoring, and modification of strategies to assure proper achievement of the set goals. The cycle includes planning, performance, and reflection. Self-regulated learning is a process that empowers students to be self-directed learners and well adaptable in changing learning strategies to execute varied tasks and contexts.

Zimmerman's SRL MODEL: Zimmerman's model divides SRL into three key stages; they are Forethought (planning and goal-setting), Performance (monitoring and utilization of strategies), and Self-Reflection (examining results and adapting strategies). This is a process that helps to make improvements continually. (Gorbunova, Lange, Savelyev, Adamovich, & Costley, 2024)

Paul pintrich's MODEL: Paul Pintrich expanded on Zimmerman's and specifically he focused on how the elements of motivation and self-efficacy influence SRL. He maintains that, students would not attempt to seek the accomplishment of SRL activities unless they possessed strong self-efficacy and goal orientation.

The Link Between SRL and Academic Success

Students who are self-regulated tend to achieve better academic success. Self-regulated students typically show more resilience, have better problem-solving abilities, and are more motivated than their counterparts who are externally guided. This link has several reasons:

Self-regulated students have high motivation to learn because they control their achievement in school. It also calls for setting of goals, therefore setting a clear direction. The self-regulated students are likely to be persistent in the face of challenges. (Morrison, Cameron, & McClelland, 2010).

Developed Cognitive and Metacognitive Competencies: SRL promotes critical thinking skills, especially the analytical, synthetic, and evaluative capacities of learners. These students become more effective in performing metacognitive activities, for example, observing themselves regarding what they learn, through which they improve their academic performance.

Higher Flexibility and Resilience Levels: The self-regulated learner will establish adaptive learning strategies that ensure easy management of several problems encountered during academics. Learners will regard failure as a venue for developing them, therefore, significantly reducing the possibility of anxiety or frustration. (Jabbar, 2021).

Factors Influencing Self-Regulated Learning

A number of factors affect SRL; from the inner factors that are the motivation and self-efficacy to the outer factors like teacher support and the environment. (Tomas & Poroto, 2023).

Motivation and Goal Orientation: Intrinsic motivation in students will critically determine their ability to exhibit SRL. Students who adopt mastery-oriented goals are more likely to use SRL strategies than students who adopt performance-oriented goals.

Self-Efficacy and Confidence: Self-efficacy or the belief that a person can accomplish something is another dimension often related with SRL. Students who possess high self-efficacy undertake challenging tasks because they believe they have the capability to alter their strategies to produce a desired level of performance.

Teacher Influence and Classroom Environment: Teachers who foster a supportive yet open learning environment will foster SRL in their students. Teachers can demonstrate SRL by demonstrating self-regulated practices, offering constructive feedback, and providing opportunities for reflective practice. (Jabbar & Barkati, 2024)

Developing Self-Regulation in Students

There are a number of ways in which educational institutions and teachers can foster SRL skills in their children. Students can develop a gradually-enlarging library of effective learning techniques by including SRL in the curriculum.

Setting and Planning Activities: Teachers can encourage students to establish reasonable, achievable, and measurable goals. They can also offer a plan of action that the student can undertake in order to achieve this goal. Goal setting is important for students because they focus on the learning path and the learning intention. . (Suan, 2023).

Reflection and Self-Assessment Activities: Self-assessment regularly enables learners to reflect on their progress, what they do well, and what they need to work on. Writing journals, portfolios, and learning logs may be helpful practices for encouraging reflection.

Time Management and Organizational Skills: Proper SRL requires considerable time management and organizational skill. Teachers can enable students to plan how and when to resource study time, manage schedules, and pay attention to the utility of organizational tools.

Give feedback and scaffold: Effective feedback helps students change their learning strategy. Structured support towards learning, gradually giving responsibility to the learner is called scaffolding. (Zimmerman & Schunk, 1989).

Challenges in Schooling Self-Regulation Implementation:

Strengths are manifest, but the real challenge is its implementation:

Different Students: Each student has different capacities or urges for SRL. Instructors need to be sensitive to and responsive to differences in learning needs and provide support according to differences.

Limited Time in the Classroom: Teaching self-regulation takes an hour, and curriculum demands usually determine how much time can be spent in this activity.

Lack of Awareness and Training: Many teachers are not formally trained in SRL strategies as part of the curriculum and may therefore be a limitation to their involvement in helping the students learn to use them. Professional development in SRL may be an important investment for schools. (Zimmerman & Schunk, 2001)

Case Studies and Examples of SRL in Action:

This chapter discusses several case studies regarding the implementation of SRL in school environments. Each of these shows how SRL can have positive effects on the learning experiences and processes for students:

Case Study 1. In a middle school classroom setting, the teachers engaged students in SRL by encouraging them to set weekly learning goals and to reflect at a weekly basis on their progress. This improved the engagement and accountability of students in their own learning.

Case Study 2: A mathematics program in a high school encouraged self-regulated practice sessions where the students reviewed their solutions, detected errors, and planned what to do next. The students demonstrated improved problem-solving skills and mathematics confidence.

Case Study 3: Students of a university course maintained reflective journals that helped them make sense of the different steps taken throughout their learning process and adapt strategies as they faced different academic challenges.

Conclusion

Self-regulated learning is an exciting paradigm for facilitating core competencies in learner's academic success and independence. The paper has revealed SRL to be correlated heavily with academic success, therefore improving students' grades as well as the positive motivation, persistence, and lifelong learning skills associated with students. With this as an academic base, educators facilitate SRL through the establishment of a learning environment that includes opportunities for goal setting, self-assessment, and reflection. The introduction of SRL may be experienced with some unique variances around time constraints and other varied capacities from the learner. By addressing these idiosyncrasies, educational establishments can improve generations of learners that are independent, flexible, and appropriately able to meet 21st century demands. All in all, the incorporation of SRL in education will ultimately be advantageous to students through increased achievement and personal development, thus making it a critical aspect of contemporary education.

References

- Ablard, K. E., & Lipschultz, R. E. (1998). Self-regulated learning in high-achieving students: Relations to advanced reasoning, achievement goals, and gender. *Journal of Educational Psychology*
- Boekaerts, M., Pintrich, P. R., & Zeidner, M. (Eds.). (2000). *Handbook of self-regulation*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Gorbunova, A., Lange, C., Savelyev, A., Adamovich, K., & Costley, J. (2024). *The interplay of self-regulated learning, cognitive load, and performance in learner-controlled environments*. *Education Sciences*, 14(8), 860. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14080860>
- iang, Y., Rosenzweig, E. Q., & Gaspard, H. (2020). Motivational profiles and learning outcomes: A person-centered approach. *Learning and Instruction*, 69, 101356.
- Jabbar, A., & Barkati, M. G. (2024). Education: Ethical Concerns and Brain Drains. *Ethical Frameworks in Special Education: A Guide for Researchers (Volume 2nd)*, 39.

- Jabbar, A., & Barkati, M. G. (2024). The Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP): Shaping the Future of Education. *International Journal of research in Educational Sciences.*, 7(4), 345-353.
- Jabbar, D. A., & Barkati, M. G. (2024). The flipped classroom: Planning and strategies for enhancing the teaching-learning process communication. *Future Education in Teaching and Learning*, 23.
- Jabbar, Dr. S. Abdul, Guidance and Counselling (January 06, 2021). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5359064> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5359064>
- Jabbar, S. A. (2020). Teaching innovation, right now: technology delivers a new education and teaching imperative. *Advance and Innovative Research*, 73.
- Jabbar, S. A. (2021). PHILOSOPHIES FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD CARE AND EDUCATION. *Ilkogretim Online*, 20(1), 7199-7203.
- Jabbar, S. A., Barkati, M. G., & Tabish, M. (2025). The Gender-Sensitive Pedagogy: Tools for Promoting Inclusivity in the Classroom. *Diversitas Journal*, 10(2).
- Jang, H., Reeve, J., & Deci, E. L. (2010). Engaging students in learning activities: It is not autonomy support or structure but autonomy support and structure. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(3), 588–600.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379.
- Jovanović, V., & Gavrilov-Jerković, V. (2013). The good, the bad (and the ugly): The role of self-regulation strategies in achievement. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 26, 151–155.
- Justice, L. M., Mashburn, A., Hamre, B., & Pianta, R. (2008). Quality of language and literacy instruction in preschool classrooms serving at-risk pupils. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 23(1), 51–68.
- Morrison, F. J., Cameron, C. E., & McClelland, M. M. (2010). *Self-regulation and academic achievement in the transition to school*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/12059-011>
- Self-regulation of Learning and Performance: Issues and Educational Applications. (2023). United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis.
- Suan, A. F. (2023). *Self-regulation as an antecedent of academic achievement: A mixed method study*. *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, 4(4), 20-43. <https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.0246>
- Tomas, N., & Poroto, A. (2023). *The interplay between self-regulation, learning flow, academic stress, and learning engagement as predictors for academic performance in a blended learning environment: A cross-sectional survey*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21321>
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (Eds.). (1989). *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice*. Springer.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (Eds.). (2001). *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theoretical perspectives* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.