

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Ethnic, National and Historical Origins in the African Continent: Colonial Legacies and Contemporary Development

[Richard Murdoch Montgomery](#)*

Posted Date: 24 March 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202503.1617.v1

Keywords: Historical; African Continent; Colonial Legacies; Contemporary Development



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Article

Ethnic, National and Historical Origins in the African Continent: Colonial Legacies and Contemporary Development

Richard Murdoch Montgomery

Universidade do Porto, Researcher, Portugal; Contact: montgomery@alumni.usp.br

Abstract: This article examines the multifaceted relationships between pre-colonial ethnic institutions, colonial interventions, and historical traumas on contemporary African development trajectories. Drawing upon the seminal research of Bolt and Bezemer (2009), Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2013), and Nunn and Wantchekon (2011), this analysis elucidates how historical forces have distinctively shaped developmental outcomes across African regions. The article establishes a theoretical framework integrating institutional persistence, human capital formation, and social capital theories to examine these relationships. It critically evaluates methodological approaches whilst acknowledging regional variations in how these historical processes manifested. The analysis extends to Brazil-Africa connections, providing comparative insights into how similar historical forces produce divergent outcomes in different contexts. The findings suggest significant implications for development policies that acknowledge historical legacies whilst addressing contemporary challenges.

Keywords: Historical; African Continent; Colonial Legacies; Contemporary Development

Introduction

The contemporary economic, political, and social landscape of Africa cannot be properly understood without careful consideration of its complex historical trajectories. Recent scholarship has increasingly emphasised the importance of historical factors in explaining current developmental outcomes across the continent (Acemoglu et al., 2001; Nunn, 2008). This article examines three critical historical dimensions that continue to shape African development: pre-colonial ethnic institutions, colonial systems, and the slave trade's enduring impact on social capital.

The artificial borders imposed during the colonial period often disregarded pre-existing ethnic boundaries, creating states that encompassed numerous distinct ethnic groups with their own institutional frameworks (Alesina et al., 2011). Understanding how these pre-colonial ethnic institutions continue to influence contemporary development provides crucial insights into governance challenges and opportunities across Africa. Similarly, the differential approaches to colonial rule—with varying emphases on extractive institutions versus educational investment—have left lasting imprints on post-colonial development trajectories (Bolt & Bezemer, 2009).

This article also examines Brazil's historical connections to Africa, particularly through the slave trade, and explores how these connections have shaped cultural, linguistic, and religious developments in both regions. The case of Brazil offers a valuable comparative perspective on how historical African influences manifest in different post-colonial contexts.

Theoretical Framework

This analysis integrates three theoretical perspectives that collectively illuminate how historical processes shape contemporary development outcomes. Firstly, institutional persistence theory, as articulated by Acemoglu and Robinson (2012), posits that institutions—both formal and informal—

demonstrate remarkable historical continuity despite external shocks. This perspective helps explain how pre-colonial ethnic institutions continue to influence governance patterns in post-colonial African states.

Secondly, human capital theory emphasises the crucial role of knowledge, skills, and capabilities in economic development (Becker, 1993). This framework illuminates how colonial educational investments created enduring disparities in human capital formation across African regions, with consequences extending well beyond independence.

Thirdly, social capital theory, as developed by Putnam (1993) and others, examines how social networks, trust, and reciprocity norms facilitate collective action and economic exchange. This perspective is particularly valuable for understanding how historical traumas like the slave trade eroded trust networks with persistent consequences for contemporary development.

These theories intersect in complex ways across African contexts. For instance, pre-colonial institutions (institutional persistence) often influenced colonial educational investments (human capital formation), which in turn affected post-colonial social trust (social capital). This integrated theoretical approach facilitates analysis of how historical processes continue to shape development trajectories through multiple, interacting causal pathways.

Pre-Colonial Ethnic Institutions and Contemporary Development

Recent scholarship has convincingly demonstrated that pre-colonial ethnic institutions continue to exert significant influence on contemporary African development outcomes. Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2013) provide compelling evidence for this persistence through their innovative methodology of examining satellite light density at night as a proxy for economic development. Their groundbreaking research employs a spatial regression discontinuity design that compares economic activity across ethnic boundaries within the same country, effectively controlling for national institutional factors.

The findings reveal a striking pattern: regions that had more centralised pre-colonial ethnic institutions—characterised by hierarchical political organisation beyond the local community level—demonstrate significantly higher levels of contemporary economic development. As Michalopoulos and Papaioannou (2013, p.115) observe, “the positive effect of pre-colonial political centralization on regional development is robust to controlling for various geographical, ecological, and other historical traits that may independently affect development.”

However, this methodological approach warrants critical examination. The use of night-light density as a proxy for economic development may inadequately capture informal economic activities prevalent in rural Africa (Jerven, 2013). Moreover, the categorisation of pre-colonial political centralisation derives from colonial ethnographic classifications that potentially reified fluid identities, as scholars like Ranger (1983) and Mamdani (1996) have compellingly argued. These colonial ethnographies often artificially bounded ethnic groups that had previously existed in more dynamic and overlapping relationships.

Regional variations further complicate this picture. The persistence of pre-colonial institutions manifests differently across Eastern, Western, Central, and Southern Africa, reflecting distinct pre-colonial political organisations and varied colonial experiences. For instance, the Buganda Kingdom in Uganda maintained considerable institutional continuity through indirect rule, whilst societies in areas of settler colonialism experienced more profound institutional disruption (Herbst, 2000).

Colonial Systems: Institutions Versus Education

The debate regarding which aspects of colonial rule most significantly shaped post-colonial development trajectories has been considerably enriched by Bolt and Bezemer's (2009) nuanced analysis. While influential earlier work by Acemoglu et al. (2001) emphasised the role of extractive colonial institutions in determining long-run economic outcomes, Bolt and Bezemer (2009) present

compelling evidence for colonial education as a more significant factor in explaining African growth patterns.

Their methodical analysis employs a range of specifications and controls to disentangle the relative contributions of colonial education and institutions to post-independence GDP growth. As they note, “when both education and institutions enter the growth regression, only education is significant both in OLS and in instrumental variable estimation” (Bolt & Bezemer, 2009, p.41). This finding challenges conventional wisdom about institutional primacy and suggests a more complex historical legacy.

However, certain methodological limitations merit consideration. The reliance on cross-country regressions with limited observations raises concerns about statistical power and omitted variable bias. Furthermore, the analysis aggregates educational experiences at the national level, potentially obscuring significant sub-national variations in educational provision and quality (Frankema, 2012).

These educational legacies varied substantially across colonial powers and regions. British West Africa, particularly the Gold Coast (Ghana) and Nigeria, experienced relatively higher educational investments than French West Africa. Similarly, missionary activity—a crucial vector for colonial education—concentrated in particular regions based on geographical accessibility, pre-existing religious patterns, and colonial policies regarding missionary activity (Woodberry, 2012). These regional variations created educational disparities that persist in contemporary human capital distributions across the continent.

Rather than viewing colonial institutions and education as competing explanations, a more nuanced interpretation might consider their interactive effects. Educational investments often responded to and reinforced institutional arrangements, with extraction-oriented colonies typically receiving less educational investment than settler colonies or those designated for strategic purposes (Austin, 2008).

Historical Trauma and Social Capital: The Slave Trade’s Legacy

Perhaps no historical experience has left as profound an imprint on African societies as the transatlantic slave trade. Beyond its devastating demographic impact, recent research by Nunn and Wantchekon (2011) has illuminated the slave trade’s persistent effects on social capital and interpersonal trust—crucial elements for economic development and effective governance.

Nunn and Wantchekon’s (2011) methodologically rigorous analysis links historical slave trade exposure to contemporary survey data on trust attitudes across Africa. Their findings reveal that individuals whose ancestors were more heavily targeted by the slave trade exhibit significantly lower levels of trust today—not only in institutions but also in neighbours, relatives, and other community members. As they observe, “individuals’ reported trust in relatives, neighbours, the local council, and the local police is lower if their ethnic group experienced more slave exports in the past” (Nunn & Wantchekon, 2011, p.3238).

Nevertheless, several methodological considerations warrant attention. Trust surveys present challenges regarding cross-cultural comparability, with concepts of trust potentially carrying different connotations across linguistic and cultural contexts. Additionally, preference falsification—respondents providing socially desirable rather than truthful responses—may affect survey reliability, particularly regarding sensitive topics like interethnic trust (Kuran, 1997).

The slave trade’s impact varied substantially across African regions, creating distinct patterns of trust erosion. West and Central African societies experienced particularly intense extraction, with coastal regions often serving as intermediaries in the slave trade, creating complex patterns of involvement and victimisation. Inland societies sometimes participated in raiding, whilst others suffered severe demographic losses. These regional variations created distinct social capital legacies that interact differently with contemporary governance challenges (Putterman & Weil, 2010).

The erosion of trust has significant implications for contemporary development challenges. Low social trust complicates collective action problems, increases transaction costs in economic exchanges, and undermines the social consensus necessary for effective governance reforms. These trust deficits

interact with contemporary political institutions in ways that can exacerbate ethnic fragmentation and impede public goods provision (Easterly & Levine, 1997).

Brazil-Africa Connections: Historical Ties and Contemporary Implications

The historical connections between Brazil and Africa represent one of the most profound examples of transatlantic cultural and demographic exchange, predominantly shaped by the slave trade. Brazil received approximately 40% of all enslaved Africans transported across the Atlantic—more than any other destination—with an estimated 4.9 million individuals forcibly relocated to Brazilian shores between the 16th and 19th centuries (Klein & Luna, 2010).

This massive demographic transfer fundamentally shaped Brazilian society while creating enduring linkages to specific African regions. These connections were particularly strong with Portuguese Angola, the Bight of Benin (modern-day Nigeria, Benin, and Togo), and the Gold Coast (Ghana), though they varied significantly across time periods as slave trading patterns evolved (Reis, 2015).

The African influence on Brazilian development manifested through multiple channels. Culturally, African religious traditions blended with Catholic practices to create syncretic religions such as Candomblé and Umbanda, which continue to play significant roles in Brazilian spiritual life (Parés, 2013). Linguistically, African languages—particularly those from the Bantu and Yoruba language families—contributed substantially to Brazilian Portuguese vocabulary and phonology (Castro, 2001).

These connections were not unidirectional. The “Agudas” or “Brasileiro” communities in present-day Benin, Togo, and Nigeria exemplify this bilateral influence, comprising descendants of returned former slaves and merchants who maintained cultural and commercial ties with Brazil (Castillo, 2016). This community developed distinctive architectural styles, cultural practices, and trading networks that reflected their transnational identities.

The contemporary relationship between Brazil and specific African regions reveals how similar historical processes produce divergent outcomes in different contexts. Brazil and Angola share Portuguese colonial legacies and substantial Atlantic slave trade involvement, yet followed markedly different post-colonial trajectories. Brazil’s earlier independence (1822) versus Angola’s late independence (1975) created different relationships to colonial institutions. Similarly, Brazil’s unique racial dynamics produced a system of social stratification distinct from those in African post-colonial states, despite shared histories of exploitation (Alencastro, 2018).

The Brazil-Africa comparison illuminates how colonial legacies interact with post-independence political economies to produce divergent development outcomes. Brazil’s relatively successful educational expansion in recent decades offers potential insights for African educational development, whilst its persistent racial inequalities demonstrate the challenges of addressing historical injustices even in more economically advanced contexts.

Conclusion: Policy Implications and Future Directions

This examination of ethnic, national, and historical origins in the African continent reveals the profound ways in which historical processes continue to shape contemporary development trajectories. These findings suggest several concrete policy implications for development interventions that acknowledge historical legacies whilst addressing contemporary challenges.

Firstly, governance reforms should recognise the persistent influence of pre-colonial institutions rather than imposing standardised models. Practically, this might entail incorporating traditional authorities into local governance structures, as Ghana has done with considerable success through its Houses of Chiefs system. Development interventions should map and engage with these pre-existing governance structures rather than creating parallel institutions that may lack local legitimacy.

Secondly, educational investments should address colonial legacies whilst building human capital. This requires curriculum reforms that validate indigenous knowledge systems alongside global educational content. South Africa's post-apartheid curriculum reforms offer instructive examples of attempting to decolonise education whilst maintaining rigorous academic standards. Moreover, educational investments should target historically underserved regions to address persistent human capital disparities stemming from colonial educational patterns.

Thirdly, initiatives explicitly designed to rebuild social trust merit consideration. Rwanda's post-genocide reconciliation processes, despite their limitations, demonstrate the potential for intentional trust-building following historical trauma. Community dialogue processes, transparent governance structures, and inclusive economic institutions can contribute to rebuilding trust networks damaged by historical exploitation.

Future research should examine how these historical dimensions interact with contemporary factors such as globalisation, technological change, and climate challenges. Particularly promising are mixed-methods approaches that combine quantitative analysis of historical data with qualitative investigation of how historical legacies are interpreted and mobilised in contemporary contexts. The growing availability of digitised colonial archives and geo-referenced historical data facilitates more nuanced analyses of how specific historical experiences continue to shape local development outcomes.

The comparison with Brazil suggests the value of broader comparative work examining how similar historical processes produce different outcomes across contexts. Such comparative analyses might identify institutional arrangements and policy interventions that have successfully addressed historical legacies in one context and might be adaptable to others.

In conclusion, understanding Africa's present and charting its future development path requires deep engagement with its complex past. The pre-colonial, colonial, and post-colonial experiences examined in this article continue to influence contemporary African realities in profound ways, highlighting both the persistent challenges created by historical trauma and the enduring resilience of African societies in navigating complex historical legacies.

Conflict of Interest: The Author claims there are no Conflict of Interest.

References

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation. *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1369-1401.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Crown Business.
- Alencastro, L. F. (2018). *The trade in the living: The formation of Brazil in the South Atlantic, sixteenth to seventeenth centuries*. SUNY Press.
- Alesina, A., Easterly, W., & Matuszeski, J. (2011). Artificial states. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 9(2), 246-277.
- Austin, G. (2008). Resources, techniques, and strategies south of the Sahara: Revising the factor endowments perspective on African economic development, 1500-2000. *Economic History Review*, 61(3), 587-624.
- Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education* (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Bolt, J., & Bezemer, D. (2009). Understanding long-run African growth: Colonial institutions or colonial education? *Journal of Development Studies*, 45(1), 24-54.
- Castillo, L. E. (2016). Mapping the nineteenth-century Brazilian returnee movement: Demographics, life stories and the question of slavery. *Atlantic Studies*, 13(1), 25-52.
- Castro, Y. P. (2001). *Falares africanos na Bahia: Um vocabulário afro-brasileiro*. Topbooks.
- Easterly, W., & Levine, R. (1997). Africa's growth tragedy: Policies and ethnic divisions. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112(4), 1203-1250.

- Frankema, E. (2012). The origins of formal education in sub-Saharan Africa: Was British rule more benign? *European Review of Economic History*, 16(4), 335-355.
- Herbst, J. (2000). *States and power in Africa: Comparative lessons in authority and control*. Princeton University Press.
- Jerven, M. (2013). *Poor numbers: How we are misled by African development statistics and what to do about it*. Cornell University Press.
- Klein, H. S., & Luna, F. V. (2010). *Slavery in Brazil*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kuran, T. (1997). *Private truths, public lies: The social consequences of preference falsification*. Harvard University Press.
- Mamdani, M. (1996). *Citizen and subject: Contemporary Africa and the legacy of late colonialism*. Princeton University Press.
- Michalopoulos, S., & Papaioannou, E. (2013). Pre-colonial ethnic institutions and contemporary African development. *Econometrica*, 81(1), 113-152.
- Nunn, N. (2008). The long-term effects of Africa's slave trades. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 123(1), 139-176.
- Nunn, N., & Wantchekon, L. (2011). The slave trade and the origins of mistrust in Africa. *American Economic Review*, 101(7), 3221-3252.
- Parés, L. N. (2013). *The formation of Candomblé: Vodun history and ritual in Brazil*. University of North Carolina Press.
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). *Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy*. Princeton University Press.
- Putterman, L., & Weil, D. N. (2010). Post-1500 population flows and the long-run determinants of economic growth and inequality. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 125(4), 1627-1682.
- Ranger, T. (1983). The invention of tradition in colonial Africa. In E. Hobsbawm & T. Ranger (Eds.), *The invention of tradition* (pp. 211-262). Cambridge University Press.
- Reis, J. J. (2015). *Slave rebellion in Brazil: The Muslim uprising of 1835 in Bahia*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Woodberry, R. D. (2012). The missionary roots of liberal democracy. *American Political Science Review*, 106(2), 244-274.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.