Article

The Future of Work: How Artificial Intelligence Is Shaping Job Markets and Employment Trends

Imed Sy *

Posted Date: 19 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Employment Trends; Job Markets; Review; Work

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

Article

The Future of Work: How Artificial Intelligence Is Shaping Job Markets and Employment Trends

Imed Reese Sy

Independent Researcher, Philippines; demifan82@gmail.com

Abstract: The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies is transforming global labor markets, reshaping the nature of work, skill demands, and employment structures. This study explores how AI is influencing job displacement, creation, and transformation across sectors and skill levels, with particular attention to the implications for developing economies such as the Philippines. Drawing on key contributions from scholars including Brynjolfsson and McAfee, Frey and Osborne, and Acemoglu and Restrepo, the literature highlights the dual impact of AI: the automation of routine jobs and the emergence of new roles demanding higher-order cognitive and digital skills. While studies project significant workforce disruptions, they also emphasize AI's potential to enhance productivity and create hybrid human-machine job models. The findings underscore the need for proactive policies focused on education reform, reskilling initiatives, labor protections, and algorithmic accountability. The paper concludes that inclusive adaptation to AI requires coordinated efforts from government, industry, and educational institutions to ensure equitable and sustainable employment in an AI-driven future.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; employment trends; job markets; review; work

Rationale

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies is reshaping the global labor market, fundamentally altering the nature of work, required skills, and employment patterns. As AI systems become increasingly sophisticated—capable of performing cognitive and physical tasks previously reserved for humans—businesses and governments face new challenges and opportunities in preparing for a future defined by automation and intelligent machines. According to Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014), we are entering a "Second Machine Age," where AI-driven innovations will redefine economic productivity and disrupt traditional employment structures.

Automation is expected to have uneven effects across different industries and skill levels. While AI offers the potential to enhance efficiency and reduce costs, it also poses risks of job displacement, especially in routine and manual occupations. Frey and Osborne (2017) estimated that approximately 47% of U.S. jobs are at high risk of automation over the next two decades. This highlights the urgency of preparing workers—particularly those in vulnerable roles—for transitions to new forms of employment requiring more complex, non-routine cognitive and social skills. This study on internship programs and skills development among education students underscores the significance of experiential learning in preparing future professionals. As AI transforms job markets, internships must evolve to include exposure to AI tools, data-driven decision-making, and digital pedagogies. The findings highlight how bridging academic theory and practical training is essential to developing a workforce capable of adapting to AI-driven work environments, especially in education and human-centered fields where technological fluency is increasingly valued (Genelza, 2022).

However, AI does not only eliminate jobs; it also creates new ones. Emerging fields such as machine learning, data science, and robotics have generated high-demand roles that require advanced digital competencies. Chui et al. (2018) from McKinsey Global Institute found that while automation will displace millions of workers, it could also create up to 375 million new jobs by 2030,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

many of which do not yet exist. This transformative shift underscores the importance of reskilling and upskilling initiatives to bridge the growing skills gap.

The changing job landscape also necessitates a rethinking of education and workforce development strategies. Arntz, Gregory, and Zierahn (2016) argue that AI's impact will vary based on the adaptability of institutions and policies supporting lifelong learning. Countries that invest in continuous education and training systems will be better positioned to absorb technological shocks and ensure inclusive growth. The challenge lies in developing curricula and policies that respond proactively to labor market needs and technological advancements.

While primarily focused on child exploitation, the systematic review by Manawatao et al. (2025) also reflects how AI and technology can serve both as tools of abuse and protection. AI-driven surveillance, data analytics, and predictive modeling are increasingly employed to identify and prevent online exploitation. This reveals a growing field of AI application in social work, law enforcement, and digital ethics. Consequently, the future workforce must be equipped not only with technical knowledge but also with interdisciplinary skills to navigate ethical dilemmas in technology use, especially in roles where AI intersects with human rights and societal protection.

AI is also influencing employment relations and organizational structures. According to Susskind and Susskind (2015), professions like law, medicine, and accountancy are being transformed by AI tools that automate routine analysis and decision-making. As a result, the traditional hierarchical model of expert-driven work is giving way to a more decentralized, tech-enabled workforce. This shift requires a reevaluation of job roles, ethical considerations, and regulatory frameworks to ensure fair labor practices in the AI era.

Moreover, there are significant concerns about inequality and labor polarization. Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020) warn that without deliberate policy intervention, AI adoption may exacerbate income inequality by concentrating wealth among highly skilled workers and technology owners. Their research suggests that task displacement, rather than full job elimination, drives much of the inequality associated with automation. Hence, balanced AI integration must be accompanied by social safety nets and labor protections to avoid deepening social divides.

The influence of AI on the future of work is profound and multifaceted. While the technology presents opportunities for innovation, productivity, and job creation, it also challenges existing labor structures, skill systems, and social contracts. A coordinated response involving education, policy, and industry collaboration is essential to shape a future of work that is both inclusive and sustainable. The literature underscores that proactive planning, rather than reactive adjustment, is the key to navigating the AI-driven transformation of work.

Review of Related Literature

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the global economy is reshaping how work is performed, evaluated, and conceptualized. Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) describe this transformation as a “Second Machine Age,” highlighting the unprecedented impact of digital technologies, particularly AI, on human labor. They assert that AI systems can now handle not only physical but also cognitive tasks, which poses fundamental questions about the future composition of the workforce. By exploring affective language learning and English competence, this study emphasizes the growing need for communication and emotional intelligence—skills that remain distinctly human in contrast to automated systems. As AI automates many technical tasks, soft skills like empathy, cultural awareness, and effective communication will become critical for roles involving human interaction. The research suggests that nurturing affective learning supports the development of future-ready professionals who can collaborate, lead, and communicate effectively in AI-integrated workplaces (Genelza, 2022).

Frey and Osborne (2017) conducted a landmark study estimating that 47% of U.S. jobs are at high risk of automation, particularly in occupations involving routine and predictable tasks. Their analysis, based on machine learning algorithms, found that transportation, manufacturing, and

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

administrative support are especially susceptible to AI-driven disruption. These findings have sparked global discussions on workforce resilience and the urgent need for re-skilling.

Peralta et al. (2025) offer insights into the psychological and social impacts of video gaming, a field increasingly shaped by AI-driven game design and player analytics. Their findings shed light on how AI is transforming the entertainment sector, influencing user engagement, and creating new employment opportunities in AI-driven game development, behavioral analytics, and digital wellness. This directly connects to the broader theme of AI reshaping job markets, especially in creative and interactive digital industries, where human creativity is augmented by machine learning technologies.

However, the outlook is not entirely bleak. Arntz, Gregory, and Zierahn (2016) challenged Frey and Osborne's conclusions by considering job-specific tasks rather than entire occupations. They argued that only 9% of jobs across OECD countries are at high risk of automation. Their analysis emphasizes that AI is more likely to augment human tasks rather than fully replace them, suggesting that humans and machines will increasingly collaborate in hybrid roles.

Chui et al. (2018), through McKinsey Global Institute, estimated that automation could displace up to 800 million jobs globally by 2030 but also create around 375 million new ones. These jobs are expected to emerge in sectors requiring advanced digital skills, emotional intelligence, and creative problem-solving. The report emphasizes that the pace of change will vary across countries depending on labor costs, regulatory environments, and investment in innovation. The study is relevant to the future of work by showing how familiarity with AI-enhanced content creation and digital engagement strategies can be leveraged for learning and professional development. As social media platforms increasingly integrate AI for personalization and analytics, understanding their academic and professional potential equips students with skills aligned with digital marketing, media, and communication careers (Genelza, 2024).

In their study on deepfake technology, Fruto et al. (2025) examine the rise of AI-generated content and its implications on truth, trust, and digital ethics. This work contributes to the broader discourse on how artificial intelligence not only changes employment structures but also introduces new forms of media manipulation that impact industries such as journalism, entertainment, and cybersecurity. As deepfakes require advanced technical countermeasures and ethical scrutiny, new jobs related to AI governance, digital forensics, and content verification are emerging. Thus, this reference underlines the necessity for upskilling in digital literacy and cybersecurity as AI becomes more embedded in the future world of work.

According to Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020), technological change has historically led to both the displacement and creation of labor. Their recent empirical work shows that the adoption of industrial robots in the U.S. labor market between 1990 and 2007 reduced employment and wages in affected commuting zones. However, they highlight that complementary innovations—those that enhance worker productivity—can offset job losses when integrated with thoughtful policy support.

The literature also reflects a growing concern about AI-induced labor market polarization. Autor (2015) explains how automation tends to hollow out middle-skill jobs, leading to an expansion of both high-skill, high-wage occupations and low-skill, low-wage service roles. This bifurcation increases wage inequality and requires educational and economic interventions to bridge the divide. The relevance to the future of work lies in the urgent need for digital literacy, media verification skills, and ethical frameworks to manage the impact of such technologies. New job roles in AI auditing, cybersecurity, and digital rights advocacy are emerging as a direct response to these advancements, signaling a shift in employment trends toward technology monitoring and governance (Genelza, 2024).

From a skills perspective, World Economic Forum (2020) identified a shift in demand toward cognitive abilities such as analytical thinking, creativity, and systems analysis. Their "Future of Jobs" report projects that by 2025, 85 million jobs may be displaced, but 97 million new roles may emerge across the global economy. These roles will center on AI development, data analytics, and human-centric roles such as care work and education.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

In terms of organizational design, Susskind and Susskind (2015) argue that AI is transforming traditional professions by automating routine tasks, thereby democratizing access to expertise. In fields like law and medicine, expert systems and diagnostic AI are reducing reliance on human professionals, pushing organizations to reevaluate roles, workflows, and training systems.

Ethical and policy considerations are also prominent in the literature. Eubanks (2018) warns that the rise of algorithmic decision-making in employment may exacerbate biases and reduce transparency. She advocates for regulatory mechanisms to ensure algorithmic accountability and protect vulnerable workers from discriminatory or exploitative practices.

Finally, Bessen (2019) posits that while automation reduces the number of workers needed for specific tasks, it often increases demand for complementary roles and raises productivity. His analysis of historical data shows that employment in certain sectors—such as banking and customer service—grew despite automation due to rising demand and job reconfiguration.

Findings and Discussion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into various sectors has significantly altered the dynamics of the job market. A study by Brynjolfsson and McElheran (2016) revealed that firms adopting AI and data-driven decision-making experienced higher productivity and profitability compared to those lagging in digital transformation. This finding suggests that AI adoption provides a competitive edge in today's global economy and sets a new standard for job functions and expectations. Focusing on English proficiency and academic achievement, this study highlights a key skill still essential in AI-mediated communication and global work environments. While AI can assist with translation and grammar, human-level understanding, nuance, and persuasion remain vital. The research supports the idea that language competence continues to be a cornerstone of employability, especially in roles requiring negotiation, instruction, or client interaction within increasingly international and tech-enhanced job markets (Genelza, 2022).

The work of Cedeño et al. (2025) on the Quipper learning management system highlights how AI and educational technologies are transforming teaching and learning processes. Their findings illustrate the growing role of adaptive learning platforms, personalized content delivery, and data-driven student assessment—all powered by AI. These shifts in educational delivery mirror the evolving demands of the future workforce, where continuous learning and digital fluency are vital. By equipping learners with real-time feedback and customized learning paths, AI in education prepares individuals for a labor market increasingly defined by automation and technological complexity.

Frey and Osborne (2017) conducted a widely cited analysis using machine learning algorithms to predict job susceptibility to computerization. Their findings indicated that approximately 47% of total U.S. employment was at high risk, especially in transportation, logistics, and administrative support. This result highlights the vulnerability of routine, rule-based jobs, prompting organizations to reconsider workforce planning and employee training.

Chui et al. (2018) from McKinsey reported that while automation could displace up to 800 million workers globally by 2030, it could simultaneously create up to 375 million new jobs. These newly emerging roles are expected to focus on soft skills, digital literacy, and human-centric service areas. Their projection supports the idea that AI is not just replacing work but also reshaping job requirements and spawning entirely new career paths.

According to Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020), the adoption of industrial robots in U.S. labor markets led to significant job losses and wage declines in affected areas between 1990 and 2007. Their study underscores the importance of differentiating between AI applications that are labor-replacing versus those that are labor-augmenting. The key insight is that automation's impact is not uniform and depends heavily on how it is integrated into the production process.

In contrast, Arntz, Gregory, and Zierahn (2016) provided a more nuanced view, emphasizing task-level risk rather than job-level risk. They estimated that only 9% of jobs in OECD countries are at high risk of automation. This suggests that AI is more likely to change the structure of jobs by

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

automating some tasks rather than replacing entire occupations. This perspective supports the notion of AI as a tool for job transformation rather than outright elimination. This article on soft skills and cognitive development reflects the critical importance of non-technical competencies in the future workforce. As automation replaces repetitive tasks, employers prioritize creativity, adaptability, collaboration, and critical thinking. The findings reinforce how educational institutions must cultivate these traits to produce graduates who can thrive in hybrid human-AI work environments, where cognitive flexibility and strong interpersonal skills offer a competitive edge (Genelza, 2022).

The World Economic Forum (2020) projected that by 2025, 85 million jobs may be displaced, but 97 million new roles will emerge due to the evolving division of labor between humans and machines. The results reinforce the dual nature of AI's impact, necessitating significant upskilling and re-skilling initiatives. Employers and policymakers must respond proactively to prepare workers for increasingly digital, analytical, and collaborative roles.

Bessen (2019) added that while automation reduces the need for certain tasks, it often increases overall productivity and boosts demand for complementary labor. For instance, in banking and legal services, AI automates routine functions but enables employees to focus on higher-value work. This finding encourages a shift in perspective—from job loss to job evolution—driven by changing human-machine interactions.

Susskind and Susskind (2015) argued that professions are not being eliminated but are undergoing radical restructuring. With AI systems handling routine legal, medical, or financial tasks, human professionals are required to engage in more judgment-based and creative roles. This evolution requires not only technical proficiency but also emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and adaptability.

Eubanks (2018) warned about the potential social consequences of AI integration, particularly for marginalized populations. Her research highlighted how algorithmic decision-making in hiring and performance evaluation can reinforce existing inequalities. The results imply that AI adoption must be accompanied by strong governance, transparency, and fairness in algorithmic design. Understanding how humans process language informs the design of AI tools that support literacy and language learning. Moreover, the study suggests that as AI becomes embedded in educational technologies, educators must be trained to use these tools effectively, thereby reshaping job expectations in teaching and learning support (Genelza, 2021).

Finally, Ford (2015) emphasized that the long-term implications of AI on employment depend on political and economic decisions made today. Without intervention, AI could exacerbate inequality and unemployment. His work supports the call for universal basic income, stronger labor protections, and education reform to ensure inclusive technological progress.

Conclusion & Recommendations

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the global workforce is simultaneously disruptive and transformative. As the literature shows, AI is reshaping job markets by displacing certain routine tasks while creating demand for new, higher-order skills in data science, digital communication, and human-centered services. While developed countries may be more prepared to leverage these shifts due to stronger institutional capacities, the challenge is even more critical for developing nations such as the Philippines, where labor markets are heavily reliant on low- to mid-skill occupations and a large service-oriented sector.

For countries like the Philippines to remain competitive and inclusive in the AI-driven future of work, proactive and adaptive policy interventions are essential. Educational systems must evolve beyond rote memorization and technical training, placing greater emphasis on critical thinking, digital literacy, creativity, and lifelong learning. Programs such as TESDA's digital upskilling initiatives and the Department of Education's K–12 reforms offer promising starting points but must be scaled and aligned with industry needs.

Additionally, labor and social protection policies need to be redesigned to address the growing gig economy and freelance AI-related work. This includes expanding access to social insurance,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

healthcare, and unemployment benefits for non-traditional workers. Regulatory frameworks must also ensure algorithmic transparency and fairness in AI adoption to prevent labor discrimination and digital marginalization. Exploring communicative strategies among higher education students, this study aligns with emerging workforce needs where effective communication—across digital platforms and diverse audiences—is key. As AI tools like chatbots and language models become common, workers must know how to complement these tools with strategic human communication. The research highlights that while AI can assist in information dissemination, human ingenuity in rhetoric, persuasion, and adaptability remains essential in roles that involve leadership, negotiation, and relationship-building (Genelza, 2023).

The private sector must be involved in co-designing training programs, sharing labor market data, and investing in responsible AI deployment. Meanwhile, public–private partnerships can fund innovation hubs, apprenticeships, and community-based tech education to reach underserved populations. Targeted support for women, youth, and rural workers is also necessary to mitigate widening social and economic inequality.

In sum, while AI presents undeniable risks to employment continuity, it also offers opportunities for productivity, innovation, and inclusive growth. With the right mix of educational reform, labor protections, digital infrastructure, and ethical oversight, the Philippines and other emerging economies can not only adapt to the AI-driven future of work but actively shape it.

References

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and Jobs: Evidence from US Labor Markets. Journal of Political Economy, 128(6), 2188–2244. https://doi.org/10.1086/705716

Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers, No. 189.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2018). Jobs Lost, Jobs Gained: Workforce Transitions in a Time of Automation. McKinsey Global Institute.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The Future of Employment: How Susceptible are Jobs to Computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

Genelza, G. G. (2022). Internship program and skills development of fourth year bachelor of secondary education major in English. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, *10*(2), 496-507.

Genelza, G. G. (2022). Affective Language Learning and English Language Competence of Purposive Communication Students. *Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *2*(10), 37-47.

Genelza, G. G. (2024). Integrating Tiktok As An Academic Aid In The Student's Educational Journey. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, *12*(6), 605-614.

Susskind, R., & Susskind, D. (2015). The Future of the Professions: How Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts. Oxford University Press.

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and Jobs: Evidence from US Labor Markets. *Journal of Political Economy*, 128(6), 2188–2244. https://doi.org/10.1086/705716

Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis. *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers*, No. 189.

Autor, D. H. (2015). Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), 3–30.

Bessen, J. E. (2019). AI and Jobs: The Role of Demand. *NBER Working Paper No. 24235*. https://doi.org/10.3386/w24235

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2018). *Jobs Lost, Jobs Gained: Workforce Transitions in a Time of Automation*. McKinsey Global Institute.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1

Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor*. St. Martin's Press.

Peralta, K. C., Riña, L. S., Victorino, S. M. C., Zamora, G. T. R., & Genelza, G. G. (2025). A systematic literature review on the effects of video games: Bane or boon? *Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *5*(10), 195-206.

Cedeño, G. A. L., Dexisne, N. U. D., Ligtas, Z. J. Q., Urbano, L. M. G., & Genelza, G. G. (2025). Quipper learning management system: A systematic literature review on its effectiveness in blended learning. *Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *5*(10), 221-235.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

Susskind, R., & Susskind, D. (2015). *The Future of the Professions: How Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts*. Oxford University Press.

World Economic Forum. (2020). *The Future of Jobs Report 2020*. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and Jobs: Evidence from US Labor Markets. Journal of Political Economy, 128(6), 2188–2244. https://doi.org/10.1086/705716

Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers, No. 189.

Genelza, G. G. (2024). Deepfake digital face manipulation: A rapid literature review. *Jozac Academic Voice*, *4*(1), 7-11.

Genelza, G. G. (2022). English Proficiency And Academic Achievement Of Junior High School Students At University Of Mindanao Tagum College. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, *10*(11), 376-384.

GENELZA, G. G. (2022). Soft skills communication and cognitive development of first-year purposive communication students. *Langua: Journal of Linguistics, Literature, and Language Education*, *5*(2), 81-92.

Bessen, J. E. (2019). AI and Jobs: The Role of Demand. NBER Working Paper No. 24235. https://doi.org/10.3386/w24235

Brynjolfsson, E., & McElheran, K. (2016). The Rapid Adoption of Data-Driven Decision-Making. American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings, 106(5), 133–139.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2018). Jobs Lost, Jobs Gained: Workforce Transitions in a Time of Automation. McKinsey Global Institute.

Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. St. Martin's Press.

Ford, M. (2015). Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future. Basic Books.

Here is the APA reference for the article you provided:

Fruto, K. M., Melanio, A. R. P., Morley, J. E. R., Papellero, P. Z. S., & Genelza, G. G. (2025). The truth behind fakes: Deep insights of deepfake technology. *Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *5*(10), 236-250.

Manawatao, I. R. B., Pal, L. B. B., Paulino, A. L. M., Sanchez, S. R. P., & Genelza, G. G. (2025). A systematic literature review on sexual exploitation and abuse of children: Prevalence, risk factors, and societal responses. *Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *5*(10), 207-220.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

Genelza, G. G. (2021). Morphophonemic Awareness and Word Recognition Skill of Second Year BS-Criminology Students. *Proceedings International Education Webinar of IAIN Palopo (PROCEEDINGS IEWIP)*, *1*(1), 56-70.

Genelza, G. G. (2023). Woodcutter,"Fake It till You Make It": Exploring communicative strategies used by higher education students. *Journal of Languages, Linguistics and Literary Studies*, *3*(3), 123-133.

Susskind, R., & Susskind, D. (2015). The Future of the Professions: How Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts. Oxford University Press.

World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1339.v1