

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Information-Complete and Paradox-Free Finite Ring Calculus

[Yosef Akhtman](#) *

Posted Date: 30 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.2454.v1

Keywords: Finite Ring Calculus; Finite Ring Continuum; Information-complete arithmetic; Paradox-free foundations; Godel diagonal collapse; Decidable first-order theory; Ultrafinitist logi; Finite fields; Model theory; Self-reference elimination; Logical completeness



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Information-Complete and Paradox-Free Finite Ring Calculus

Yosef Akhtman

AGH University of Science and Technology, Switzerland; ya@gamma.earth

Abstract

Finite Ring Calculus (FRC) is a first-order formal system whose sole intended model is a fixed finite ring $\mathbb{Z}_q$. By eliminating unbounded induction and restricting all syntactic numerals to residues modulo q , FRC blocks standard diagonal constructions, thereby evading the classical Gödel-Turing-Russell paradox pattern. The resulting theory is shown to be recursive, consistent, complete and decidable, hence *information-complete* in the precise sense that every well-formed sentence admits an effective truth-value within $\mathbb{Z}_q$. We sketch how this finite arithmetic can act as a foundational layer for physics and computation without re-introducing classical paradoxes. We furthermore stress how these properties dovetail with the smooth-geometry and harmonic-analysis layers already constructed in the broader FRC framework.

Keywords: Finite Ring Continuum; information-complete arithmetic; paradox-free foundations; gödel incompleteness; decidable first-order theory; ultrafinitist logic; self-reference elimination; logical completeness

1. Introduction

The classical limits of formal logic, exemplified by the seminal arguments of Russell’s set paradox [1], Gödel’s incompleteness [2], and Turing’s halting problem [3], all rely on an unbounded supply of natural-number codes. If however arithmetic is embedded into a *finite* algebra, the usual diagonal fixed-point method fails to escape the code-space, and no undecidable sentence is produced. In other words, if the universe of discourse is collapsed to a *finite* algebraic structure, the fixed-point machinery that fuels those paradoxes can no longer produce objects “outside the list”.

This idea dates back to early ultrafinitist proposals [4–6], but is now developed into a coherent multi-layered framework: the *Finite Ring Continuum* (FRC) of [7–9]. The aim of this article is to make this intuition formal, yielding a fully decidable calculus that remains expressive enough for algebraic-numeric reasoning and, prospectively, for physics. The present paper is intended as a self-contained logical core for the FRC programme.

Remark 1. Throughout the extent of the FRC programme, we keep the “FRC” acronym intentionally polyvalent, referring to any of the notions of Finite Relativistic/Relational/Ring Continuum/Cosmology/Calculus interchangeably depending on the context. Our intent is to emphasise how these deeply interlocked notions are all just different aspects of the exact same conceptual framework.

Goal. Formulate a minimal first-order theory

$$\text{FRC}_q = \text{Ring}_q + \text{“there are exactly } q \text{ elements”}$$

whose sole model is a fixed finite ring $\mathbb{Z}_q$, of some composite cardinality $q = \prod_n p_n$, with p_n odd primes, and prove:

Consistency by explicit model;

Completeness because every sentence can be brute-forced on F_q ;

Decidability of the theory and its proof relation;

Collapse of diagonalisation: any Gödel sentence is already in the bounded code space, so no paradox arises.

2. Relation to the Finite Ring Continuum Framework

The FRC programme proceeds in three layers (Algebra $\rightarrow$ Geometry $\rightarrow$ Composition) as summarised in Fig. 1 of [8]. We briefly recall its main notation.

Definition 1 (FRC notation summary [9]). Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

- (a) $\mathbb{Z}_q$ - framed finite ring; $\mathbb{R}_q$ - ultrapower pseudo-reals; $\mathbb{C}_q = \mathbb{R}_q[i_q]$.
- (b) Canonical invariants: $1, \pi_q, e_q, i_q$.

Our logical calculus *lives entirely inside* the algebraic layer $\mathbb{Z}_q$ but is consistent with the smooth-geometry layer carried by $\mathcal{S}_q^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ [8,9]. Completeness of FRC_q therefore *does not* contradict the geometric richness exploited later in the continuum programme; it merely shows that paradox-free arithmetic is possible at the foundational stratum.

3. Language and Axioms of FRC_q

3.1. Signature

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle 0, 1, \pi_q, e_q, i_q, +, -, \cdot, \{c_k\}_{k=0}^{q-1} \rangle$$

extends the usual ring language by a constant for each field element, matching the FRC convention that *all numerals are concrete tokens* ([7], §2.1).

3.2. Finite Axiom Set

- (A1) Ring axioms (associativity, distributivity, ...).
- (A2) Characteristic p (or q for non-prime moduli).
- (A3) Frobenius clause $x^q = x$ (cf. ([7], Eq. (2.3))).
- (A4) At least q distinct constants c_k .
- (A5) No more than q elements (completed by a finite scheme).

Exactly as in ([8], Thm. 2.3), these axioms single out the intended finite ring.

4. Gödel Coding and Its Modular Collapse

Let $g : \text{Sentences} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any primitive-recursive Gödel numbering.

$$\bar{g} : \mathbb{Z}_q \leftarrow \text{Sentences}, \quad \bar{g}(\varphi) = g(\varphi) \bmod q.$$

Because $\text{range}(\bar{g}) \subseteq \{0, \dots, q-1\}$, the fixed-point lemma yields a sentence G with $\bar{g}(G) = \bar{g}(\psi)$ for some earlier ψ ; hence G is *not* new. This implements the “wrap-around defeats diagonal” slogan of ([7], §4) inside the present proof system.

Lemma 1 (Incompleteness blocked). *For every recursive Gödel numbering g there is no sentence that is provably undecidable in FRC_q .*

Proof. Any candidate Gödel sentence duplicates a prior code and is therefore syntactically decidable in the finite proof search. $\square$

Compare with the geometric reading where the code space sits as a hyperfinite lattice in C_q ([8], §2.2); Lemma 1 is the algebraic counterpart of constant curvature $K = 1$ preventing “run-away” self-reference on $\mathcal{S}_q$.

5. Consistency, Completeness and Decidability

Lemma 2. FRC_q is consistent, complete and decidable.

Proof. *Consistency:* $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is a model. *Completeness:* brute-force truth-table on $\mathbb{Z}_q$. *Decidability:* enumerate proofs or evaluate truth; the two coincide. $\square$

This result supplies the logical keystone required for the higher-level geometry, harmonic analysis and Seifert topology developed in [8,9].

6. Discussion

The Finite Ring Calculus provides the “paradox-free arithmetic engine” hypothesised in ([7], §1). Its compatibility with the canonical constants $(1, i_p, \pi_p, e_p)$ ensures smooth interoperability with the analytic structures (Fourier kernel, discrete S^2 of constant curvature) that power subsequent layers of the FRC stack.

References

1. Russell, B. *The Principles of Mathematics*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1903. See Chapter 10 for the first published statement of Russell’s paradox.
2. Gödel, K. Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der *Principia Mathematica* und verwandter Systeme I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* **1931**, *38*, 173–198.
3. Turing, A.M. On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **1936**, *42*, 230–265. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-42.1.230>.
4. Yessenin-Volpin, A.S. The Ultra-Intuitionistic Criticism and the Antitraditional Program for Foundations of Mathematics. Samizdat manuscript, Moscow; English translation circulated privately in 1962, 1961. Reprinted in: A. Gruszczyński et al. (eds.), *Non-Classical Logic*, Ossolineum, 1982, pp. 121–146.
5. Parikh, R.J. Existence and Feasibility in Arithmetic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* **1971**, *36*, 494–508. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2272491>.
6. Nelson, E. *Predicative Arithmetic*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, 1986. Introduces a finitistic framework that strongly influenced later ultrafinitist research.
7. Akhtman, Y. Relativistic Algebra over Finite Ring Continuum. *Preprints* **2025**. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202505.2118.v5>.
8. Akhtman, Y. Geometry and Constants in Finite Ring Continuum. *Preprints* **2025**. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202505.2112.v4>.
9. Akhtman, Y. Prime Composition in Finite Ring Continuum. *Preprints* **2025**. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202506.0697.v1>.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.