

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Probiotics in the Treatment of Irritable Bowel Syndrome in General Practice: A Real-World Narrative Review

---

[Franco Ferrarini](#)<sup>\*</sup> and Giuseppe Milazzo

Posted Date: 6 March 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202403.0312.v1

Keywords: Irritable Bowel Syndrome; Probiotics; General Practice



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

# Probiotics in the Treatment of Irritable Bowel Syndrome in General Practice: A Real-World Narrative Review

Franco Ferrarini M.D. \* and Giuseppe Milazzo, M.D.°

°Independent Researcher; address: via Stefano Bilardello 131, 91025 Marsala, Italia; email  
dr.giuseppemilazzo@gmail.com

\* Correspondence: Author; Independent Researcher; address: via Tito Speri 30, 46100 Mantova, Italia; email:  
francoferrarini.ff@gmail.com.

**Abstract:** Background Although the management of the majority of patients with IBS is dealt with by General Practitioners, randomized clinical trials and treatment guidelines are developed mostly in academic settings. Probiotics are one of the most investigated treatment modality for this condition. Scarce information is available as regards GPs attitude in this regard. Methods A literature search was performed to identify papers specifically dealing with probiotic treatment of IBS in the general practice setting. Moreover, the preliminary results of a survey on this topic conducted by the authors among general practitioners in a province in northern Italy are reported. Results Five papers specifically addressing probiotic treatment of IBS in general practice were found. The response rate varied from 4 to 100%. The prescription rate of probiotics by GPs in IBS varied from <10 to 46%, regardless of the patients' bowel habits. Physicians' satisfaction with this treatment varied from 20 to 93%. The variability of these results probably depends on factors such as differences in the interpretation of the available data on probiotics use in IBS and traditional/ cultural differences. Moreover, compliance with patient satisfaction, may play a role. Conclusions The reported data on the treatment of IBS with probiotics in general practice is scarce and inhomogeneous, thus it does not allow firm conclusions. It is suggested that the involvement in research on the use of probiotics in IBS of physicians working in general practice would be useful in order to pursue more reliable and useful results, since the majority of the IBS population is followed in general practice and may differ from the one represented in academic clinical trials.

**Keywords:** irritable bowel syndrome; probiotics; general practice

---

## Introduction

Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is a common, chronic and disabling gastrointestinal disorder characterized by altered bowel movements and abdominal pain, often associated with abdominal bloating and/or distention [1], which belongs to the category of the Disorders of Gut-Brain Interaction (DGBI), formerly known as Functional Gastro-Intestinal Disorders (FGID).

The prevalence of IBS varies widely among countries, with an average prevalence of 4% according to a recent international survey of the Rome Foundation [2]. It significantly impacts both on the quality of life of the affected patients and on health services, even more than organic disorders, not to mention the costs due to absenteeism [3]. IBS is diagnosed positively by means of the objective criteria proposed by the Rome Foundation ([1] since there are no laboratory or imaging markers available.

Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to explain the pathophysiology of IBS, such as intestinal dysmotility and/or hypersensitivity, low-grade mucosal inflammation, increased permeability of the intestinal epithelium [4]. The etiology of this syndrome is still obscure: psychological, genetic and environmental, in particular dietary and infectious, factors have been proposed [4]. As regards the latter, since at least 10% of IBS patients report the beginning of their symptoms after an acute intestinal infection [5] it has been hypothesized that alterations in the

composition of the colonic microbiota may play a role in the etiology of this syndrome [6], and in fact there is evidence of an altered intestinal microbiota in IBS patients compared to healthy subjects [7].

As a consequence of these latter findings, a manipulation of the microbiota has been proposed decades ago as a useful tool in the treatment of IBS [8] and growing evidence supports the effect of probiotics, that is “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host” (well-known even before the pioneering studies of Elia Metchnikoff at the beginning of the 20th century: see for example Deuteronomy 32:14 where fermented milk is cited as a beneficial food given by God to humanity) in this regard [9–11]. Moreover, research on probiotics has been recently enclosed in the 10-item list of top research priorities in IBS [12] and in fact controlled clinical trials, meta-analyses and reviews regarding the efficacy of probiotics in IBS abound in the literature [13–17]. These are truly powerful research tools, but their results do not always reflect what happens in the real world both because they are derived from a minority of the IBS population since the majority is cared for in primary care [18,19] and because their effects may not influence the prescribing attitudes of general practitioners [20].

Aim of our narrative review was thus to evaluate the available data regarding the prescription of probiotics in IBS by general practitioners (GPs). Moreover we report the preliminary results of a survey on probiotic use in IBS conducted among the GPs of a province in northern Italy.

## Methods

### Literature search

PubMed and Cochrane Library were searched by the authors in October 2023 using the following key words “IBS treatment general practice”, “Probiotic use general practice”, “Probiotics IBS general practice” to identify papers dealing with the prescribing attitudes of GPs as regards probiotics in patients with IBS. Moreover, a manual search of the bibliographies of the articles identified was performed by one of the authors (GM).

### Survey

A questionnaire regarding the attitudes of GPs as regards probiotics in IBS was emailed in December 2023 to the 235 GPs in activity in the province of Mantova (northern Italy, population 412.292 as of 2019). A reminder is scheduled to be sent in spring 2024.

Demographic information included sex, age and years in practice as a GP. Rome IV criteria were included to identify patients with IBS. The five questions included in the questionnaire addressed the prescribing patterns of probiotics in IBS:

- 1) How do you rate your knowledge of the intestinal Microbiota?
  - Adequate
  - Scarce
  - None
- 2) If you answered “scarce” or “none” to question n. 1, would you be interested in increasing your knowledge of the intestinal Microbiota?
  - Yes
  - No
- 3) In your experience are probiotics useful in the treatment of IBS?
  - yes
  - no
  - don’t know
- 4) In which percentage of patients with IBS do you prescribe probiotics?
  - never
  - rarely (1-25%)
  - occasionally (26-50%)
  - often (51-75%)
  - very often (76-100%).
- 5) In which of these subgroups of IBS patients do you prescribe probiotics?

- diarrhea
- constipation
- alternating diarrhea and constipation
- all of the aforementioned

Results

The literature search yielded 5 papers specifically addressing probiotic treatment of IBS in general practice; one more paper mentioned this treatment approach in general practice grouped together with other modalities such as phytotherapy, dietary advice and homeopathy [21].

Bellini et al.’s study [22] was based on 35 randomly selected GPs in the province of Pisa (central Italy). These physicians were previously contacted by phone, then they were sent a questionnaire on diagnostic criteria, management and treatment of IBS. The response rate was 80% (n. 28). Probiotics were prescribed in 29.8% of the respondents’ IBS patients with a non-significant preference for diarrheal vs constipated patients.

Shivaji and Ford [23] employed an 18-item online questionnaire on IBS sent to 275 GPs in the Leeds area (UK) with a response rate of 37.1% (n. 102). Only 20% of these physicians were convinced of the efficacy of probiotics in IBS and <10% prescribed them often or always in this setting.

Austhof et al. [24] assessed knowledge and treatment of GPs as regards post-infectious IBS. The study was based on an online 40-item questionnaire sent to 50 physicians spanning the territory of the USA. The response rate was 100%. Probiotics were the first treatment modality employed in this setting by 66% of the respondents.

von Madisch et al. [25] mailed a questionnaire on various aspects of IBS to 12.300 GPs spanning the territory of Germany, 487 of whom replied (response rate 4%). As regards the treatment of IBS, 37% of the respondents prescribed probiotics and 75% were satisfied with the effect of this treatment.

Jordan D et al. [26] mailed a questionnaire to 1500 health providers, i.e GPs, dieticians, practice and community nurses in the UK (response rate not reported). In 62.1% of the prescriptions of probiotics the reason was “symptoms of IBS”.

As regards the preliminary results of our survey, out of 235 online questionnaires we received 30 responses (rate 12.7%), mean age: 62.4 years (range 36-70), mean years in activity as GPs: 30.2 (range 1-43), n. 4 females and 26 males. The majority (53.3%) of the respondents rated their knowledge of the intestinal Microbiota as “scarce”, 40% as “adequate” and 6.6% as “none” (Table 1). Of the 18 respondents who rated their knowledge as “scarce” or “none” 88.8% were interested in increasing their knowledge about the intestinal Microbiota (Table 2). In the opinion of the majority (93.3%) of the respondents probiotics are useful in the treatment of IBS (Table 3); 53.3% of the respondents prescribe probiotics in 51 to 100% of their IBS patients (Table 4). Lastly, 86.6% of the respondents prescribe probiotics regardless of the bowel habits of their IBS patients (Table 5).

**Table 1.** “How do you rate your knowledge of the intestinal Microbiota?”

<b>Adequate</b>	<b>12 (40,0)</b>
Scarce	16 (53,3)
None	2 (6,6)

**Table 2.** “If you answered scarce or none to question n. 1, would you be interested in increasing your knowledge about the intestinal Microbiota?”.

Yes	16 (88,8)
No	2 (11,1)

**Table 3.** “Are in your opinion probiotics useful in IBS treatment?”.

Yes	28 (93.3)
No	2 (6.6)
Don’t know	0

**Table 4.** “How often do you prescribe probiotics in your IBS patients (%)?”.

Never	0
1-25	6 (20)
26-50	8 (26,6)
51-75	12 (40)
76-100	4 (13,3)

**Table 5.** “In which IBS variant do you prescribe probiotics?”.

Diarrhea	4 (13.3)
Constipation	0
Alternate	0
All	26 (86.6)

**Discussion**

The results of the few studies that we found in the literature on the prescribing attitude of GPs about IBS treatment with probiotics are not homogeneous.

The response rate varied widely from 4% [25] to 100% [24]. The reason for this variability depends mainly on the way the questionnaire was proposed. Bellini et al.’s 80% response rate was probably due to the invitation by phone which preceded the questionnaire. As regards Austhof et al.’s study, the 100% rate was probably due to the financial incentive given to the participating physicians.

The frequency of GPs prescribing probiotics in IBS varied from <10% [23] to 37% [25]. Austhof et al.’s frequency of prescription (66%) cannot be compared with the other studies because of the specific subset of IBS (post-infectious) investigated; the existence of an infectious cause may in fact have influenced the prescribing physicians, as shown by the 28% frequency of prescription of antibiotics. Also Jordan D et al.’s results are difficult to interpret because of the presence in their survey of health providers other than GPs, in particular dietitians who might have been more prone to prescribe probiotics than GPs; moreover, since the survey was organized by a yoghurt manufacturer, a bias cannot be excluded. The percentage of GPs who prescribe probiotics often+very often in our survey might seem at first glance rather high (53.3%, Table 4) compared with the studies reporting the highest prescription rates [22,25]; this may be due to the way the question was formulated in our survey, that is as 4 increasing tiers of prescription rate. Moreover, as regards the satisfaction of the physicians with the efficacy of probiotics, the majority in Madisch et al.’s study (75%) and in our survey (93.3%, Table 3) were satisfied, whereas the same is not true in Shivaji and Ford’s study (20%).

A reason for these variable findings may depend both on a different interpretation of the data in the literature, which is far from homogeneous: the AGA recommends probiotics only in the context of clinical trials [27] whereas seven gastroenterological societies in Italy more recently recommend them, as a group, to alleviate symptoms in patients with IBS [28]. Moreover, it has been shown that the attitudes and practices of physicians as regards IBS vary according to the clinical setting, that is among GPs, internists and gastroenterologists [29]. Other reasons may depend on longstanding habits which may vary among countries according to cultural and traditional factors. For example, complementary and alternative treatments are frequently prescribed in Germany [21] whereas the same may not be true for other countries such as the USA [30,31].



Another reason for prescribing probiotics in IBS patients is to comply with their desire for a treatment devoid of adverse effects. In fact, data obtained from focus groups composed of patients with IBS and Inflammatory Bowel Diseases [32] shows that patients favour probiotics mainly because they are perceived as a more “natural” and low-risk treatment modality. Teasdale et al. [33] approached the same topic in a different manner, that is by evaluating two internet forums of IBS patients, with a total of over 800.000 posts. Probiotics were used very frequently and their effects often described enthusiastically:

*I have had success by supplementing with PROBIOTICS. I will never take anything less than 100 billion and less than 10 strains. The one I'm currently taking is over 150 billion and has over 40 strains. My symptoms have improved significantly. (Discussion 67, participant 1, IBS forum 1).*

It should be noted that, beyond the enthusiasm, the above-mentioned patient was well aware of the importance of the composition of probiotic products as regards both quantity and quality, reflecting her/his knowledge of the details of this treatment. The knowledge of the patients as regards the IBS is confirmed by many posts underlining that *probiotics are effective in IBS symptom resolution because IBS is caused by abnormal growth of bacteria (dysbiosis) within the gut.*

Our review has important limitations due to the scarcity of reports in the literature, to the low quality of some of them and to the low number of physicians participating both in the published surveys and in ours. These limitations preclude firm conclusions on the basis of our findings. However the involvement of GPs in clinical studies on IBS, as in Begtrup et al.'s study [34], could provide interesting data on the real-life management of this disorder, particularly since GPs and patients share similar perceptions on IBS [35] and the former are in a good position to judge the effects of probiotics and the clinical settings in which they should be prescribed [34–36]. This approach could be useful both to better define the role of this treatment modality in real-world patients and to increase the physicians' knowledge on this issue, an important unmet need in our survey as shown in Table 2, with positive effects on their prescribing patterns.

**Authors' Contributions:** F.F. Conception and design of the work, data analysis and interpretation, drafting and critical revision of the article, final approval. G.M. Data collection and analysis, critical revision of the article, final approval.

**Funding:** Institut AllergoSan (Graz, Austria) covered the publication costs with an unrestricted grant.

**Ethical Considerations:** Since the survey did not involve neither patients nor their sensitive data no ethical consideration needs to be addressed (see the WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects as amended during the 64th WMA General Assembly, Fortaleza, Brazil, October 2013 as specified in the General Principles section, sentence n. 7: “Medical research is subject to ethical standards that promote and ensure respect for all human subjects and protect their health and rights”).

**Data Deposit:** The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors on request.

**Acknowledgments:** The Authors wish to thank all the General Practitioners who took part in the survey and Mr Marco Barbato for translating ref. n. 5 in Italian.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Both Authors declare no conflicts of interest

#### **Suggested Reviewers**

Prof. Giovanni Barbara, Bologna, Italia

Prof. Giovanni Bellini, Pisa, Italia

Prof. Dan Lucian Dumitrascu, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

#### **References**

1. Lacy BE, Mearin F, Chang L et al. Bowel disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1393–407 e5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.031 .
2. Sperber AD, Bangdiwala SI, Drossman DA et al. Worldwide Prevalence and Burden of Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders, Results of Rome Foundation Global Study. *Gastroenterology* 2021;160:99–114 e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.014

3. Flacco M, Manzoli L, De Giorgio R et al. Costs of irritable bowel syndrome in European countries with universal healthcare coverage: a meta-analysis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2019;23:2986–3000. doi: 10.26355/EURREV\_201904\_17580.
4. Ford AC, Lacy BE, Talley NJ. Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:2566–78. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr1607547.
5. Klem F, Wadhwa A, Prokop LJ et al. Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Outcomes of Irritable Bowel Syndrome After Infectious Enteritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152:1042–1054.e1 Available at: <http://bit.ly/1q51BIW>.
6. Lupu VV, Ghiciuc CM, Stefanescu G. Emerging role of the gut microbiome in post-infectious irritable bowel syndrome: A literature review. *World J Gastroenterol* 2023 Jun 7;29(21):3241–3256. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i21.3241. PMID: 37377581; PMCID: PMC10292139
7. Mars RAT, Yang Yi, Ward T et al. Longitudinal Multi-omics Reveals Subset-Specific Mechanisms Underlying Irritable Bowel Syndrome *Cell* 2020; 182:1460–73.e1–e17. Correction in 183 (4):1137–40 183, P1137–1140, NOVEMBER 12, 2020 Nobaek S, Johansson ML, Molin G et al. Alteration of intestinal microflora is associated with reduction in abdominal bloating and pain in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000 May;95(5):1231–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2000.02015.x.
8. Moser AM, Spindelboeck W, Halwachs B et al. Effects of an oral synbiotic on the gastrointestinal immune system and microbiota in patients with diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *Eur J Nutr*. 2019 Oct;58(7):2767–2778. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1826-7. Epub 2018 Sep 24. PMID: 30251020; PMCID: PMC6768888. 11
9. de Vos WM, Tilg H, Van Hul M et al. Gut microbiome and health: mechanistic insights. *Gut* 2022;71:1020–1032
10. Marginean CM, Popescu M, Drocas, AI et al. Gut–Brain Axis, Microbiota and Probiotics—Current Knowledge on Their Role in Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Review. *Gastrointest Disord* 2023, 5, 517–535. <https://doi.org/10.3390/gidisord5040043--->
11. Black CJ, McKenzie YA, Scofield-Marlowe M et al. Top 10 research priorities for irritable bowel syndrome: results of a James Lind Alliance priority setting partnership. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2023 published Online March 27, 2023 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253\(23\)00072-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(23)00072-9)
12. Dale HF, Rasmussen SH, Asiller ÖÖ et al. Probiotics in Irritable Bowel Syndrome: An Up-to-Date Systematic Review. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 2048; doi:10.3390/nu11092048
13. Shang X, E FF, Guo KL et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Probiotics for Patients with Constipation-Predominant Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 10 Randomized Controlled Trials. *Nutrients* 2022, 14, 2482. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14122482>
14. Zhang T, Zhang C, Zhang J et al. Efficacy of Probiotics for Irritable Bowel
15. Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol* 2022 12:859967. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.859967
16. Sharma S, Kumar S, Sajjad S et al. Probiotics in Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Review Article. *Cureus* 2023; 15(3): e36565. DOI10.7759/cureus.36565
17. Goodoory VC, Khasawneh M, Black CJ et al. Efficacy of Probiotics in Irritable Bowel Syndrome: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2023;165:1206–1218
18. Thompson WG, Heaton KW, Smyth GT et al. Irritable bowel syndrome in general practice: prevalence, characteristics, and referral. *Gut* 2000;46(1):78–82. doi: 10.1136/gut.46.1.78. PMID: 10601059; PMCID: PMC1727778.
19. Yawn BP, Locke III GR, Lydick E et al. Diagnosis and Care of Irritable Bowel Syndrome in a Community-Based Population. *The American Journal Of Managed Care* 2001; 7, (6):585–92.
20. Bellini M, Tosetti C, Rettura F et al. Translational Gap between Guidelines and Clinical Medicine: The Viewpoint of Italian General Practitioners in the Management of IBS. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, 11, 3861. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11133861>
21. Franke A, Singer MV, Dumitraşcu DL. How General Practitioners Manage Patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Data from a German Urban Area. *Rom J Intern Med* 2009. 47(1):47–53
22. M. Bellini, C. Tosetti, F. Costa et al. The general practitioner's approach to irritable bowel syndrome: from intention to practice. *Digestive and Liver Disease* 2005; 37:934–939
23. Shivaji UN, Ford AC. Beliefs about management of irritable bowel syndrome in primary care: cross-sectional survey in one locality. *Prim Health Care Res Dev* 2015; 16: 263–269. doi:10.1017/S1463423614000383
24. Austhof E, Schaefer K, Faulkner J et al. Knowledge and practices of primary care physicians or general practitioners treating post-infectious Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *BMC Gastroenterology* 2020 20:159. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-020-01305-z>
25. Madisch A, Labenz C, Hollenz M et al. Therapiemanagement des Reizdarmsyndroms in der Hausarztpraxis; Probiotika und Phytotherapeutika kommen häufig erfolgreich zum Einsatz. *MMW-Fortschritte der Medizin* 2020; 162 (S5): 7–13

26. Jordan D, Johnson N, Thomas L. Probiotics in primary care: A survey of health professionals. *Pract Nurs* 2015, Vol 26, No 11:550-4.
27. Su GL, Ko CW, Bercik P et al. AGA Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Role of Probiotics in the Management of Gastrointestinal Disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2020;159:697–705 doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.059
28. Barbara G, Cremon C, Bellini M et al. Italian guidelines for the management of irritable bowel Syndrome Joint Consensus from the Italian Societies of: Gastroenterology and Endoscopy (SIGE), Neurogastroenterology and Motility (SINGEM), Hospital Gastroenterologists and Endoscopists (AIGO), Digestive Endoscopy (SIED), General Medicine (SIMG), Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Pediatric Nutrition (SIGENP) and Pediatrics (SIP). *Dig Liver Dis* 2023 Feb;55(2):187-207. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2022.11.015. Epub 2022 Dec 11. PMID: 36517261.
29. Nichols JAA, Grob PR, Roche N. A web questionnaire to determine the advice general practitioners give on probiotics. *J Nutr Environ Med* 2005; 15(4): 212–222
30. Whitehead WE, Levy RL, Von Korff M et al. The usual medical care for irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004; 20: 1305–15.
31. van Tilburg MAL, Palsos OS, Levy RL et al. Complementary and alternative medicine use and cost in functional bowel disorders: A six-month prospective study in a large HMO. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2008, 8:46 doi:10.1186/1472-6882-8-46
32. Mercer M, Brinich MA, Geller G et al. How Patients View Probiotics: Findings from a Multicenter Study of Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2012 February; 46(2): 138–144. doi:10.1097/MCG.0b013e318225f545.
33. Teasdale E, Clarke H, Chen N et al. Online forum users' views and experiences of managing irritable bowel syndrome: a qualitative analysis of discussion content. *BJGP Open* 2020; DOI: 10.3399/bjgpopen20X101084
34. Begtrup LM, de Muckadell OB, Kjeldsen J et al. Long-term treatment with probiotics in primary care patients with irritable bowel syndrome--a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2013 Oct;48(10):1127-35. doi: 10.3109/00365521.2013.825314. Epub 2013 Aug 19.
35. Bijkerk CJ, de Wit NJ, Stalman WA. Irritable bowel syndrome in primary care: The patients' and doctors' views on symptoms, etiology and management. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2003 Jun;17(6):363-8; quiz 405-6. doi: 10.1155/2003/532138. PMID: 12813601
36. Fijan S, Frauwallner A, Varga L et al. Health Professionals' Knowledge of Probiotics: An International Survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019 Aug 28;16(17):3128. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16173128. PMID: 31466273; PMCID: PMC6747149.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.