

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Periodic Solutions of the 4-Body Electromagnetic Problem and Application to Li Atom

[Vasil Angelov](#) *

Posted Date: 2 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202507.0180.v1

Keywords: classical electrodynamics; 4-body problem; equations of motion; periodic solution; fixed point method; Lithium atom



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Periodic Solutions of the 4-Body Electromagnetic Problem and Application to *Li* Atom

Vasil G. Angelov

University of Mining and Geology “St. I. Rilski”, Department of Mathematics and Informatics, 1700 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

The 4-body equations of motion are derived in our previously published paper. Here we prove the existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution by applying the fixed point method for a suitable introduced operator. A natural example of such a problem is the Lithium atom, which has three electrons orbiting the nucleus. The inequalities that ensure the existence of a periodic solution allow us to estimate the minimal distances between the electrons on the first and second Bohr-Sommerfeld stationary states.

Keywords: classical electrodynamics; 4-body problem; equations of motion; periodic solution; fixed point method; Lithium atom

MSC: 78A35; 34K40; 47H10

1. Introduction

The main purpose of the present paper is to prove the existence-uniqueness of a periodic solution of the equations of motion for 4-body problem of classical electrodynamics derived in a recent paper [1], where a system of 16 equations of motion in Minkowski space is introduced:

$$m_k \frac{d\lambda_r^{(k)}}{ds_k} = \frac{e_k}{c^2} \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 F_{rl}^{(kn)} \lambda_l^{(k)} + F_{rl}^{(k)rad} \lambda_l^{(k)} \right) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, 4; \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4),$$

(1)

where there is a summation on repeating l , c is the vacuum speed of light, m_k ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are the masses, e_k ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) – the charges of the moving particles, $\lambda_l^{(k)}$ – the unit tangent vectors to the world lines; $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_4$ is the dot product in the Minkowski space, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ – the usual dot product in the 3-dimensional subspace. The elements of the electromagnetic tensors $F_{rl}^{(kn)} = \frac{\partial \Phi_l^{(n)}}{\partial x_r^{(k)}} - \frac{\partial \Phi_r^{(n)}}{\partial x_l^{(k)}}$ can be

calculated by the retarded Lienard-Wiechert potentials $\Phi_r^{(n)} = -\frac{e_n \lambda_r^{(n)}}{\langle \lambda^{(n)}, \xi^{(kn)} \rangle_4}$ (cf. [2] - [4]), while the

radiation terms $F_{rl}^{(k)rad}$ – as a half a difference of retarded and advanced potentials in accordance with the Dirac assumption [5]:

$$F_{mn}^{(k)rad} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial A_n^{(k)ret}}{\partial x_m^{(k)ret}} - \frac{\partial A_m^{(n)ret}}{\partial x_n^{(k)ret}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial A_n^{(k)adv}}{\partial x_m^{(k)adv}} - \frac{\partial A_m^{(n)adv}}{\partial x_n^{(k)adv}} \right) \right], \quad A_n^{(k)ret} = -\frac{e_k \lambda_n^{(k)ret}}{\langle \lambda^{(k)ret}, \xi^{(k)ret} \rangle_4},$$
$$A_n^{(k)adv} = -\frac{e_k \lambda_n^{(k)adv}}{\langle \lambda^{(k)adv}, \xi^{(k)adv} \rangle_4}.$$

In [1] we have proved that every fourth equation of (1) is a consequence of the first three ones. In this way we obtain 12 equations for unknown velocities.

The paper consists of six sections and four appendices. Section 1 is an Introduction. Section 2 contains the system of equations of motion simplified for non-relativistic cases. In Section 3 the operator formulation for periodic solution is given. We introduce a suitable space of periodic functions and recall some properties of the operator functions. Section 4 begins with the Main lemma – the system of equations of motion has a unique solution iff the operator defined has a unique fixed point. Then we formulate the Main theorem guaranteeing an existence-uniqueness of smooth periodic solution which implies an existence of orbits for the 4-body problem. The proof is based on the fixed-point theorem proved in a previous paper [14]. In Section 5 we apply the result obtained to *Li* atom and calculate the minimal distance between moving electrons. Section 6 is a Conclusion, where we confirm the results from the 2- and 3- body problem showing the existence of periodic orbits.

2. Equations of Motion

Compared to [1] here we extend the assumption
(C0): $\|\vec{u}^{(k)}\| = \sqrt{\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}(t), \vec{u}^{(k)}(t) \rangle} \leq \bar{c} < c$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) by the following one

$$(C): \sqrt{\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}(t), \vec{u}^{(k)}(t) \rangle} \leq c_k < c \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

Following Sommerfeld [6] we denote by $\beta_k = c_k / c < 1$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and rewrite the system of equations of motion from [1] in the form:

$$\dot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 G_\alpha^{(kn)} - \beta_k^2 \sum_{\gamma=1, \gamma \neq \alpha}^3 \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 G_\gamma^{(kn)} + G_\alpha^{(k)rad} - \beta_k^2 \sum_{\gamma=1, \gamma \neq \alpha}^3 G_\gamma^{(k)rad} \equiv U_\alpha^{(k)}(t) \quad (k=1, 2, 3, 4; \alpha=1, 2, 3), \quad (BS0)$$

where $u_\alpha^{(k)}(t) = u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t)$, $\dot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}(t) = \dot{u}_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t)$, $t \leq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4; \alpha = 1, 2, 3$), $u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t)$ are prescribed initial functions.

The above 4-body system of equations of motion consists of neutral differential equations with retarded arguments with second-order derivatives generated by the radiation terms. The delays depend on the unknown trajectories (cf. [7]). Such type of equations generates specific difficulties.

Remark 2.1. Our considerations include moving electrons in the first and second *N*. Bohr-A. Sommerfeld stationary states, therefore, following Sommerfeld's notation, we introduce the notation for the dependencies $\beta_1 = c_1 / c = 1/137$, $\beta_2 = \frac{\beta_1}{2} = \frac{1}{2 \times 137}$; $\beta_3 = \frac{\beta_1}{4} = \frac{1}{4 \times 137}$, ... (cf. [8]-[10]), where c_1 is the velocity of the electron in the first stationary state, c_2 - in the second stationary state and so on. Obviously one can disregard the values

$$\beta_1^2 = 1/(137^2) \approx 0; \beta_2^2 = 1/(2^2 \times 137^2) \approx 0; \beta_3^2 = 1/(4^2 \times 137^2) \approx 0. \quad (2)$$

In view of $U_0 e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \leq \bar{c}_k < c$, ($\bar{c}_k / c = \beta_k < 1$) the inequalities (cf. (7) in Appendix 1)

$$|G_\alpha^{(kn)}(t)| \leq \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n U_0 e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}}}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \right) \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k c^2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}} \right);$$

$$|G_\alpha^{(k)rad}| \leq \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 U_0 e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{m_k c^3} \leq \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 c_k}{m_k c^3} = \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2}$$

show that all terms $G_\alpha^{(kn)}$ are of the same order. Consequently, we can neglect the terms containing multipliers $\beta_1^2, \beta_2^2, \beta_3^2 \approx 0$. In this way we consider the following simplified system of equations of motion (BS):

$$\dot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 G_\alpha^{(kn)} + G_\alpha^{(k)rad} \equiv U_\alpha^{(k)}(t) \quad (k=1, 2, 3, 4; \alpha=1, 2, 3)$$

or in details

$$\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{e_k e_n (A_{kn} \xi_{\alpha}^{(kn)} - B_{kn} u_{\alpha}^{(n)} + C_{kn} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)})}{m_k c} - \frac{e_k^2 u_{\alpha}^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle + c^2 \ddot{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}}{m_k c^5} \equiv U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) \quad (k=1,2,3,4; \alpha=1,2,3),$$

(BS)

where A_{kn}, B_{kn}, C_{kn} are defined in Appendix 1.

Recalling (cf. [1]) that $\bar{u}^{(k)} = \bar{u}^{(k)}(t)$ and $u_{\alpha}^{(n)} = u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn})$, $\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)} = \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn})$ we conclude that (BS) is a neutral system with state dependent delays τ_{kn} which are defined as solutions of the functional equations $\tau_{kn}(t) = \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\langle \bar{\xi}^{(kn)}, \bar{\xi}^{(kn)} \rangle} \equiv \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3 [x_{\gamma}^{(k)}(t) - x_{\gamma}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}(t))]^2}$,

(3)

where $\bar{\xi}^{(kn)} = (\xi_1^{(kn)}, \xi_2^{(kn)}, \xi_3^{(kn)}) = (x_1^{(k)}(t) - x_1^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}), x_2^{(k)}(t) - x_2^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}), x_3^{(k)}(t) - x_3^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}))$.

3. Operator Formulation of the Periodic Problem in Suitable Function Spaces and Preliminary Results

We prove the existence-uniqueness of $T^{(k)}$ -periodic solution of the system (BS) jointly with the functional equations for the delays (3).

Further on we assume that the following compatibility condition is satisfied:

$$(CC) \quad \dot{u}_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(0) = U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(0); \dot{u}_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(0) = \dot{U}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(0); \ddot{u}_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(0) = \ddot{U}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(0), \dots \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

By $C_{T^{(k)}}^{\infty}[0, \infty)$, $(k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ we denote the set of all infinite differentiable $T^{(k)}$ -periodic functions. Denoting by $T_p^{(k)} = pT^{(k)}$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) we introduce the sets of functions:

$$M_{\alpha}^k = \left\{ u_{\alpha}^{(k)} \in C_{T^{(k)}}^{\infty}[-T^{(k)}, \infty) : \left| \frac{d^m u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t)}{dt^m} \right| \leq U_0^{(k)} \omega_k^m e^{\mu_k(t - T_p^{(k)})}, t \in [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}]; \right. \\ \left. \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) dt = 0, \frac{d^m u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(0)}{dt^m} = 0; u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) = u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t), \frac{d^m u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(0)}{dt^m} = \frac{d^m u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(-T^{(k)})}{dt^m} = 0, t \in [-T^{(k)}, 0] \right\}$$

($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$; $p = 0, 1, \dots$; $m = 1, 2, \dots$, where $U_0^{(k)}, \omega_k, T^{(k)}, \mu_k > \omega_k$ are positive constants and $u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t)$ are prescribed initial functions satisfying (CC).

Introduce a family of pseudometrics

$$\rho_{(p,m)}(u^{(k)}, \bar{u}^{(k)}) = \text{ess sup} \left\{ e^{-\mu_k(t - T_p^{(k)})} \omega_k^{-m} \left| \frac{d^m u^{(k)}(t)}{dt^m} - \frac{d^m \bar{u}^{(k)}(t)}{dt^m} \right| : t \in [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}] \right\}, (p = 0, 1, 2, \dots; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

It is easy to see that the following inequalities

$$e^{-\mu_k(t - T_p^{(k)})} \omega_k^{-m} \left| \frac{d^m u^{(k)}(t)}{dt^m} - \frac{d^m \bar{u}^{(k)}(t)}{dt^m} \right| \leq e^{-\mu_k(t - T_p^{(k)})} \omega_k^{-m} 2 \omega_k^m e^{\mu_k(t - T_p^{(k)})} U_0^{(k)} = 2U_0^{(k)} < \infty$$

(4)

are satisfied for every (p, m) and $t \in [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}]$. Therefore $\sup \{ \rho_{(p,m)}(u, \bar{u}) : m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; p = 1, 2, \dots \} < \infty$.

Remark 3.1. Let $T^{(k)}$ be the period of the solution. We notice that all arguments of the unknown functions are $t - \tau_{km}$, that is, $\bar{u} = \bar{u}^{(m)}(t - \tau_{km})$. Therefore, we must look for a solution on the initial set, that is, for $t - \tau_{km}(t) \in [\tau_0; 0]$, where $\tau_0 = \min \{ t - \tau_{km}(t) : t \in [0, T^{(k)}] \}$. Since $1 - d\tau_{km}(t)/dt > 0$, then $t - \tau_{km}(t)$ is an

increasing function. We have proved that if the trajectories are $T^{(k)}$ -periodic, then $\tau_{km}(t)$ is $T^{(k)}$ -periodic, too. It follows that $-T^{(k)} - \tau_{km}(-T^{(k)}) \leq 0 - \tau_{km}(0) \Leftrightarrow \tau_{km}(0) \leq T^{(k)} - \tau_{km}(0)$, $-T^{(k)} - \tau_{km}(0) \leq -\tau_{km}(0)$ and then

$0 - \tau_{km}(0) \leq t - \tau_{km}(t) \leq -\tau_{km}(T^{(k)}) = T^{(k)} - \tau_{km}(0) = 0$. Consequently, $-T^{(k)} \leq t - \tau_{km}(t) \leq 0$. Therefore, $t - \tau_{km}(t) : [0, T^{(k)}] \rightarrow [-T^{(k)}, 0]$, that is, $\tau_0 = -T^{(k)}$ and $t - \tau_{km}(t) \in [-T^{(k)}, 0]$.

Recall that the condition $\int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} u(t)dt = 0$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$) implies $x(t) = \int_0^t u(s)ds = 0$ is $T^{(k)}$ -

periodic function. We have, however, already proved in [11] that every solution of the functional equation for τ_{kn} has a unique continuous solution for all continuous Lipschitz trajectories and $\tau_{km} \geq r_{km}(t)/2c$, and if $(x_1^{(k)}(t), x_2^{(k)}(t), x_3^{(k)}(t))$, ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are $T^{(k)}$ -periodic functions, then $\tau_{kn}(t)$ are $T^{(k)}$ -periodic functions, too.

Introduce operator B as a 12-tuple $B = (\bar{B}^{(1)}(u)(t), \bar{B}^{(2)}(u)(t), \bar{B}^{(3)}(u)(t), \bar{B}^{(4)}(u)(t))$,

$$B_{\alpha}^{(k)}(p, u)(t) := \begin{cases} \int_0^t U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)ds - \left(\frac{t}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^{T^{(k)}} U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)ds - \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} \int_0^{\theta} U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)dsd\theta, t \in [0, T^{(k)}]; \\ \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)ds - \left(\frac{t - T_p^{(k)}}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)ds - \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)dsdt, t \in [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}], p = 1, 2, \dots \\ u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t), t \in [-T^{(k)}, 0] \end{cases}$$

($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$), where $u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t)$ are prescribed infinite differentiable initial functions defined on $[-T^{(k)}, 0]$.

We use the assertions:

- 1) $u_{\alpha}^{(k)} \in M_{\alpha}^k \Rightarrow \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^s U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(\theta)d\theta ds = \int_{T_{p+1}^{(k)}}^{T_{p+2}^{(k)}} \int_{T_{p+1}^{(k)}}^s U_{\alpha}^{(k)}(\theta)d\theta ds$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) (cf. [12]),
- 2) $B_{\alpha}^{(k)}(.) \in M_{\alpha}^k$ (cf. [12]).

4. Existence-Uniqueness of a Periodic Solution of the Equations of Motion

Lemma 4.1 (Main lemma) The $(T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, T^{(3)}, T^{(4)})$ -periodic problem for (BS) has a unique solution from

$$M = M_1^1 \times M_2^1 \times M_3^1 \times M_1^2 \times M_2^2 \times M_3^2 \times M_1^3 \times M_2^3 \times M_3^3 \times M_1^4 \times M_2^4 \times M_3^4$$

iff the operator $(\bar{B}^{(1)}(u)(t), \bar{B}^{(2)}(u)(t), \bar{B}^{(3)}(u)(t), \bar{B}^{(4)}(u)(t))$ has a fixed point, belonging to M .

The proof is like the case of 2- and 3-body problems (cf. [12], [13]).

Theorem 4.1 Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

- 1) (IN) the initial trajectories $x_{\gamma 0}^{(k)}(t)$ and velocities $u_{\alpha 0}^{(k)}(t)$, $t \in [-T^{(k)}; 0]$ are infinitely differentiable functions such that $r_{kn}(t) = \sqrt{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3 (x_{\gamma}^{(k)}(t) - x_{\gamma}^{(n)}(t))^2} \geq \hat{r}_{kn} > 0$, $t \in [-T^{(k)}; 0]$ and satisfy (CC).
- 2) The following (infinitely in number) inequalities are satisfied for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

$$2 \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{(r_{kn}^{(0)})^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{cr_{kn}^{(0)}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k} \leq U_0^{(k)}$$

$$2 \left(1 + \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \right) \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{(r_{kn}^{(0)})^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c r_{kn}^{(0)}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] \leq \omega_k U_0^{(k)}$$

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left(\frac{32c(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{\hat{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\omega_n \beta_n}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{8\omega_n^2 \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \beta_k \omega_k^3}{m_k c^2} \leq \omega_k^2 U_0^{(k)}$$

and so on.

Then there is a unique $(T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, T^{(3)}, T^{(4)})$ -periodic solution $(u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, u_3^{(1)}, u_1^{(2)}, u_2^{(2)}, u_3^{(2)}, u_1^{(3)}, u_2^{(3)}, u_3^{(3)}, u_1^{(4)}, u_2^{(4)}, u_3^{(4)})$ of (BS) for $t \geq 0$.

Proof: In accordance with the Main lemma we must prove that the operator B possesses a unique fixed point, which means that the 4-body problem has a unique periodic solution.

First, we show that operator B maps the set M into itself.

The set M can be considered as a uniform space with saturated family of pseudo-metrics formed by $\{\rho_{(p,m)}(u_\alpha^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)}): p = 0, 1, \dots; m = 0, 1, \dots\}$ in the following way

$$\left\{ \rho_{(p,m)}((u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{12}), (\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_{12})) = \sum_{q=1}^{12} \rho_{(p,m)}(u_q, \bar{u}_q): p = 0, 1, \dots; m = 0, 1, \dots \right\},$$

where $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{12}) = (u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, u_3^{(1)}, u_1^{(2)}, u_2^{(2)}, u_3^{(2)}, u_1^{(3)}, u_2^{(3)}, u_3^{(3)}, u_1^{(4)}, u_2^{(4)}, u_3^{(4)})$.

The operator functions $B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(\cdot) \in M_\alpha^k$, $(k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$.

Indeed, recall that $T^{(k)} = T_{p+1}^{(k)} - T_p^{(k)}$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). One obtains:

$$B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(0) = \int_0^0 U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - \left(\frac{0}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^{T^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} \int_0^\theta U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} \int_0^\theta U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds d\theta$$

,

$$B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(T^{(k)}) = \int_0^{T^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - \left(\frac{T^{(k)}}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^{T^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} \int_0^\theta U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_0^{T^{(k)}} \int_0^\theta U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds d\theta$$

,

that is $B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(0) = B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(T^{(k)})$.

In view of the (CC) we have $\frac{dB_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(0)}{dt} = U_\alpha^{(k)}(0) = \dot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}(0)$, $\frac{d^2 B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(0)}{dt^2} = \dot{U}_\alpha^{(k)}(0) = \ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}(0)$

and so on. Since $\int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \left(\frac{t - T_p^{(k)}}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) dt = 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} B_\alpha^{(k)}(p)(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(t) dt = \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^\theta U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds d\theta - \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \left(\frac{t}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) dt \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds - T^{(k)} \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^\theta U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds d\theta = 0$$

,

that is, $B_\alpha^{(k)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)})(\cdot) \in M_\alpha^k$.

The inequalities from Appendix 1 imply:

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_\alpha^{(k)}(p, u)(t)| &\leq \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t |U_\alpha^{(k)}(s)| ds + \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds \right| \leq 2 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(s)| ds \leq \\
&\leq 2 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \left| \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 G_\alpha^{(kn)} + G_\alpha^{(k)rad} \right| ds \leq 2 \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |G_\alpha^{(kn)}| ds + \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |G_\alpha^{(k)rad}| ds \right) \leq \\
&\leq 2 \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n U_0^k e^{\mu_k T(n)}}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \right) ds + \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 U_0^k e^{\mu_k T(k)}}{m_k c^3} ds \right] \leq \\
&\leq 2 \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \right) ds + \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} ds \right] \leq \\
&\leq 2 \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{(\hat{r}_{kn}^{(0)})^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}^{(0)}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] \frac{e^{\mu_k T(k)} - 1}{\mu_k} \leq U_0^{(k)} e^{\mu_k (t - T_p^{(k)})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first derivatives we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{dB_\alpha^{(k)}(t)}{dt} \right| &\leq \left| U_\alpha^{(k)}(t) \right| + \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} U_\alpha^{(k)}(s) ds \right| \leq 2 \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] + \\
&+ \frac{2(e^{\mu_k T(k)} - 1)}{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{e^{\mu_k T(k)} - 1}{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \right) \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] \leq \omega_k U_0^{(k)} e^{\mu_k (t - T_p^{(k)})}
\end{aligned}$$

For the second derivative we have

$$\left| \frac{d^2 B_\alpha^{(k)}(t)}{dt^2} \right| = \left| \frac{dU_\alpha^{(k)}(t)}{dt} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left(\frac{24c}{\hat{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{20\beta_n \omega_n}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{4\omega_n^2 \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k^3}{m_k c^2} \leq \omega_k^2 U_0^{(k)} e^{\mu_k (t - T_p^{(k)})}.$$

The last inequality is satisfied because on the right-hand side appears ω_k^2 and the same is true for higher-order derivatives.

Consequently, B maps $M = M_1^1 \times M_2^1 \times M_3^1 \times M_1^2 \times M_2^2 \times M_3^2 \times M_1^3 \times M_2^3 \times M_3^3 \times M_1^4 \times M_2^4 \times M_3^4$ into itself.

It remains to show that B is a contractive operator.

First, we define mappings of the index set into itself. They are generated by delay functions τ_{kn}

, that is, $j_{kn} = t - \tau_{kn}(t) : [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}] \rightarrow [T_{p-1}^{(k)}, T_p^{(k)}]$;
 $(kn) = (12), (13), (14), (21), (23), (24), (31), (32), (34), (41), (42), (43)$.

It is easy to see that every interval $[T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}]$ after finite number of iterations of j_{kn} coincides with $[-T^{(k)}, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_\alpha^{(k)}(p, u)(t) - B_\alpha^{(k)}(p, \bar{u})(t)| &\leq \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds + \left| \frac{t - T_p^{(k)}}{T^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds + \\
&+ \frac{1}{T^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{\theta} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds d\theta \leq \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds + \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds \leq 2 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds \leq \\
&\leq 2 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \sum_{n \neq k, n=1}^4 |G_\alpha^{(kn)}(u) - G_\alpha^{(kn)}(\bar{u})| ds + 2 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} |G_\alpha^{(k)rad}(u) - G_\alpha^{(k)rad}(\bar{u})| ds \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} e^{\mu_k(s-T_p^{(k)})} \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left[\left(\frac{8}{\hat{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8(1+\beta_n)\omega_n U_0^k e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{c^2 \hat{r}_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left(\frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\alpha^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)}) \right) + \right. \\
&+ \frac{2\omega_n U_0^k e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{c^3 \hat{r}_{kn}^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left(\frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\alpha^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)}) \right) + \left(\frac{8}{\hat{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\omega_n U_0^k e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{c^2 \hat{r}_{kn}^2} \right) e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left(\frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\alpha^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)}) \right) + \\
&+ 4 \frac{c^2 + c\tau_{kn}\omega_n U_0^k e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{c^3 \hat{r}_{kn}^2} e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left(\frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) \right) + \left. \frac{4(1+\beta_k + \beta_n) e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)})}{c^2 \hat{r}_{kn}^2} \right] ds + \\
&+ \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} e^{\mu_k(s-T_p^{(k)})} \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2}{m_k c^3} e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \left(\frac{2\beta_k U_0^k}{c} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left(\frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \right) \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \frac{\omega_k^{h+2}}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h+2)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) \right) ds \leq \\
&\leq K_{(p-1,h)} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1^{(1)}, \bar{u}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}_3^{(4)})) + K_{(p,h+2)} \rho_{(p,h+2)}(u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1^{(1)}, \bar{u}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}_3^{(4)})).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_{(p,0)}(B_1^{(1)}, B_2^{(1)}, \dots, B_3^{(4)}), (\bar{B}_1^{(1)}, \bar{B}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{B}_3^{(4)}) \leq \\
&\leq K_{(p-1,h)} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1^{(1)}, \bar{u}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}_3^{(4)})) + K_{(p,h+2)} \rho_{(p,h+2)}(u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1^{(1)}, \bar{u}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}_3^{(4)})),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_{(p-1,h)} + K_{(p,h+2)} < 1$ for sufficiently large $h \in N$ and $\mu_k > \omega_k$.

Define the map of the index set into itself $j_1(p,0) \rightarrow (p-1,h), j_2(p,0) \rightarrow (p,h+2)$. It is easy to see that the maps j_1, j_2 commute, and in view of inequality (4) the space

$$M_1^1 \times M_2^1 \times M_3^1 \times M_1^2 \times M_2^2 \times M_3^2 \times M_1^3 \times M_2^3 \times M_3^3 \times M_1^4 \times M_2^4 \times M_3^4$$

is (j_1, j_2) -bounded in the sense introduced in [14]. Consequently, the operator B is contracting and has a unique fixed point. It is a periodic solution to the 4-body problem in view of the Main lemma.

The Theorem 4.1 is thus proved.

Remark 4.1. From the above inequalities of the Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \left(1 + \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \right) \left[\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n| (1 + \beta_n)}{m_k} \left(\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2} \right] \leq \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \right) \frac{\mu_k}{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1} U_0^{(k)} = \left(1 + \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \right) \frac{\mu_k T^{(k)}}{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1} \frac{U_0^{(k)}}{T^{(k)}} = \left(\frac{\mu_k T^{(k)}}{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1} + 1 \right) \frac{U_0^{(k)}}{T^{(k)}} \leq \omega_k U_0^{(k)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\mu_k T^{(k)}}{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1} + 1 \leq \omega_k T^{(k)} = 2\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Indeed, } f(u) = \frac{u}{e^u - 1} + 1 \leq 2\pi \Rightarrow f'(u) = \frac{e^u - 1 - ue^u}{(e^u - 1)^2} < 0 \Leftrightarrow g(u) = 1 + ue^u - e^u \Rightarrow g'(u) = ue^u > 0,$$

$$g(u) > g(0) \Leftrightarrow 1 + ue^u - e^u > 0 \Rightarrow f'(u) < 0 \Rightarrow f(0) = 2 \Rightarrow f(u) = \frac{u}{e^u - 1} + 1 \leq 2\pi, u > 0.$$

This means that the inequalities for the operator functions imply the inequalities for their derivatives.

5. Conditions of the Main Theorem Applied to the Lithium Atom

Let us consider the inequalities from Theorem 4.1, implying the existence-uniqueness of periodic solution for Li atom. First, we put the first particle (the nuclei) at the origin:

$$(x_1^{(1)}(t) = 0, x_2^{(1)}(t) = 0, x_3^{(1)}(t) = 0) \Rightarrow (u_1^{(1)}(t) = 0, u_2^{(1)}(t) = 0, u_3^{(1)}(t) = 0) \Rightarrow T^{(1)} = 0, \omega_1 = 0.$$

This means that the equation of motion for the first particle becomes $0 = 0$. Then the second, third and fourth particles are electrons – the second and third one move along the first stationary state, while the fourth – moves along the second stationary state. In view of [8]-[9] $\beta_2, \beta_3 = \beta = 1/137; \beta_4 = \beta/2 = 1/274$; $c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/sec}$. For the charges we have $e_1 = 3e_k = 3 \times 1,6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} = 4.8 \times 10^{-19}$; $e_2 = e_3 = e_4 = -1,6 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ and then $|e_1 e_k| = 4.8 \times 10^{-19} \times 1,6 \times 10^{-19} = 7.68 \times 10^{-38}$.

The masses are $m_1 = m \times 3 \times 1836 = 9,11 \cdot 10^{-31} \times 5508 \text{ kg} \approx 5.02 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$,
 $m = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = 9,11 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}$.

For the frequencies on the first Bohr-Sommerfeld orbit we take

$$\omega_2 = \omega = \omega = 4.1 \times 10^{16} \Rightarrow T = T^{(2)} = T^{(3)} = 2\pi / \omega \approx 1.53 \times 10^{-16}; \omega_4 = \omega / 8 \approx 5.03 \times 10^{15} .$$

$$\text{Then } T_4 = 2\pi / \omega_4 = (2\pi / \omega) \times 8 = 8T \approx 12.26 \times 10^{-16} \text{ and } \frac{m}{4|e_2 e_3|} = \frac{9.11 \times 10^{-31}}{4 \times 2,56 \times 10^{-38}} \approx 8.89 \times 10^6 ;$$

$$\frac{5508m}{4|e_1 e_2|} \approx 4.9 \times 10^{10} .$$

Let us choose $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu = 4.5 \times 10^{16}$. Then $\Rightarrow \mu T = 4.5 \times 10^{16} \times 1,53 \cdot 10^{-16} = 6.885 \Rightarrow e^{\mu T} \approx 977.5$ and

$$\frac{e^{\mu T} - 1}{\mu T} = \frac{977.5 - 1}{6.885} = \frac{976.5}{6.885} \approx 141.8 ; \frac{\mu}{e^{\mu T} - 1} = \frac{4.5 \times 10^{16}}{977.5 - 1} = 5 \times 10^{13} . \text{ For the second stationary state (cf. [8]-[9]) we take } \mu_4 \text{ such that } \mu_4 T_4 = \mu T . \text{ This implies } \mu_4 T_4 = 8\mu_4 T = \mu T \Rightarrow \mu_4 = \mu / 8 \text{ and then } \mu_4 T_4 = \mu T = 688.5 \Rightarrow e^{\mu_4 T_4} \approx 977.5 ; \frac{e^{\mu_4 T_4} - 1}{\mu_4} = 8 \frac{e^{\mu T} - 1}{\mu} = 8 \frac{977.5 - 1}{10^{15}} \approx 7.812 \times 10^{-12} .$$

We recall $r_{kn} = r_{nk}$. The constants $\mu, \mu_4 > 0$ and $U_0^{(k)} > 0$ ($k=1,2,3,4$) we choose as control parameters with restrictions $\mu, \mu_4 \in (0; \infty); \mu T = \text{const.}$ and

$$U_0^{(k)} e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} \leq c_k \Leftrightarrow U_0^{(2)}, U_0^{(3)} \leq \frac{ce^{-\mu T}}{137} \leq \frac{3 \times 10^8}{137} \approx 2.19 \times 10^6; U_0^{(4)} \leq \frac{ce^{-\mu_4 T^{(4)}}}{2 \times 137} \leq \frac{3 \times 10^8}{274} \approx 10^6 .$$

Assuming $1 + \beta_n \approx 1$ we obtain the first group of inequalities for the distances between the particles of the lithium atom:

$$\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{2\omega}{137c\hat{r}_{23}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{\omega\beta}{8c\hat{r}_{24}} \leq \frac{U_0^{(2)}}{2} \frac{m}{|e_2 e_3|} \frac{\mu}{e^{\mu T} - 1} - \frac{12}{\hat{r}_{12}^2} - \frac{\omega^2 \beta}{c^2};$$

$$\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{32}^2} + \frac{2\omega\beta}{c\hat{r}_{32}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} + \frac{\omega\beta}{8c\hat{r}_{34}} \leq \frac{U_0^{(3)}}{2} \frac{m}{|e_3 e_2|} \frac{\mu}{e^{\mu T} - 1} - \frac{12}{\hat{r}_{13}^2} - \frac{\omega^2 \beta}{c^2}; ;$$

$$\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{42}^2} + \frac{2\omega\beta}{c\hat{r}_{42}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{43}^2} + \frac{2\omega\beta}{c\hat{r}_{43}} \leq \frac{U_0^{(4)}}{2} \frac{m}{|e_4 e_2|} \frac{\mu_4}{e^{\mu T} - 1} - \frac{12}{\hat{r}_{14}^2} - \frac{\omega^2 \beta}{128c^2} .$$

Since $\frac{e_2^2}{m_2} = \frac{e_3^2}{m_3} = \frac{e_4^2}{m_4}$ we denote by

$$A_k = \frac{m_k}{2e_k^2} \frac{\mu}{e^{\mu T} - 1} (k=2,3,4) \Rightarrow A_2 = A_3 = A_4; A_k = \frac{m_k}{2e_k^2 T} \frac{\mu T}{e^{\mu T} - 1} \leq \frac{m_k (2\pi - 1)}{2e_k^2 T};$$

$$r_{12} = r_{31} = 5.29 \times 10^{-11}; r_{41} = 4r_{14} = 4 \times 5.29 \times 10^{-11} = 21.16 \times 10^{-11}; \frac{e_k^2}{m_k} = \frac{1,6^2 \cdot 10^{-38}}{9,11 \times 10^{-31}} = 2.8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{|e_1 e_k|}{m} = \frac{3|e_3 e_2|}{m} = 3 \times 2.8 \times 10^{-8} = 8.4 \times 10^{-8} (k=2,3,4) . \text{ Then we obtain:}$$

$$\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{23}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{1.25 \times 10^5}{\hat{r}_{24}} \leq (8.92 U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20} - 1.36 \times 10^{14};$$

$$\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{23}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} + \frac{1.25 \times 10^5}{\hat{r}_{34}} \leq (8.92 U_0^{(3)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20} - 1.36 \times 10^{14};$$

$$\frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{24}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{34}} \leq (2.28 U_0^{(4)} - 268) 10^{18} - 10^{12} .$$

Disregard the terms $1.36 \times 10^{14}, 10^{12}$ we obtain

$$(i) \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{23}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{1.25 \times 10^5}{\hat{r}_{24}} \leq (8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9)10^{20};$$

$$(ii) \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{23}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} + \frac{1.25 \times 10^5}{\hat{r}_{34}} \leq (8.92U_0^{(3)} - 42.9)10^{20};$$

$$(iii) 33 \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{24}} + \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{34}} \leq (2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268)10^{18}.$$

Let us assume $U_0^{(2)} > \frac{42.9}{8.92} \approx 4.81$; $U_0^{(3)} > 4.81$; $U_0^{(4)} > \frac{268}{2.28} \approx 117.55$. From inequality (i) we have:

$$0 < \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{1.25 \times 10^5}{\hat{r}_{24}} \leq (8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20} - \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} - \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{23}} \Rightarrow (8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20} \hat{r}_{23}^2 - 2 \times 10^6 \hat{r}_{23} - 4 > 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\hat{r}_{23} \geq \frac{10^6 + \sqrt{10^{12} + 4(8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20}}}{(8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20}} \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9}} \times 10^{-10}.$$

In a similar way we have

$$0 < \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{23}} \leq (8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20} - \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} - \frac{1.25 \times 10^5}{\hat{r}_{24}} \Rightarrow (8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20} \hat{r}_{24}^2 - 1.25 \times 10^5 \hat{r}_{24} - 4 > 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\hat{r}_{24} \geq \frac{1.25 \times 10^5 + \sqrt{1.25^2 \times 10^{10} + 16(8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20}}}{2 \times (8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9) \times 10^{20}} \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9}} \times 10^{-10}.$$

$$\text{From inequality (ii) we obtain } \hat{r}_{23} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(3)} - 42.9}} \times 10^{-10}, \hat{r}_{34} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(3)} - 42.9}} \times 10^{-10}.$$

From inequality (iii) we get:

$$0 < \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{34}} \leq (2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268)10^{18} - \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} - \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{24}} \Rightarrow (2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268)10^{18} \hat{r}_{24}^2 - 2 \times 10^6 \hat{r}_{24} - 4 > 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\hat{r}_{24} \geq \frac{10^6 + \sqrt{10^{12} + 4(2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268)10^{18}}}{(2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268)10^{18}} \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268}} \times 10^{-9}$$

and

$$0 < \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{24}^2} + \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{24}} \leq (2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268)10^{18} - \frac{4}{\hat{r}_{34}^2} - \frac{2 \times 10^6}{\hat{r}_{34}} \Rightarrow \hat{r}_{34} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268}} \times 10^{-9}.$$

Consequently,

$$\hat{r}_{23} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9}}, \frac{2 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(3)} - 42.9}} \right\};$$

$$\hat{r}_{24} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9}}, \frac{2 \times 10^{-9}}{\sqrt{2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268}} \right\}; \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{r}_{34} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{2 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(3)} - 42.9}}, \frac{2 \times 10^{-9}}{\sqrt{2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268}} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Finally, we check the inequalities from the Main theorem for the second derivatives:

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left(\frac{32c(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{\hat{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\omega_n \beta_n}{\hat{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{8\omega_n^2 \beta_n}{c \hat{r}_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2}{m_k} \frac{\beta_k \omega_k^3}{c^2} \leq \omega_k^2 U_0^{(k)} (k=2,3,4).$$

Indeed, the first one is satisfied

$$\frac{|e_2 e_3|}{137 m_2} \left(\frac{96c}{\omega^2 \hat{r}_{21}^3} + \frac{64c}{\omega^2 \hat{r}_{23}^3} + \frac{8}{\omega \hat{r}_{23}^2} + \frac{8}{c \hat{r}_{23}} + \frac{48c}{\omega^2 \hat{r}_{24}^3} + \frac{1}{2 \hat{r}_{24}^2 \omega} + \frac{1}{16 c \hat{r}_{24}} + \frac{\omega}{c^2} \right) \leq U_0^{(2)}$$

because

$$10^{-10} (1.16 \times 10^8 + 3.19 \times 10^6 + 0.85 \times 10^4 + 1.74 \times 10^2 + 1.4 \times 10^4 + 1.7 \times 10 + 0.24 + 0.456) \leq 5.$$

The second one can be checked in a similar way. The last one becomes

$$10^{-4} (1.12 + 0.0167 + 0.00034 + 0.00004 + 0.189 + 0.0017 + 0.000089 + 0.00000000056) \leq 1.246.$$

To assure the inequalities of higher order derivatives we notice that for the third derivative we have

$$\frac{1}{\omega^3 \hat{r}_{21}^4} \approx \frac{1}{4.1^3 \times 10^{48}} \frac{1}{5.29^4 \times 10^{-44}},$$

for the n -th derivative we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\omega^n \hat{r}_{21}^{n+1}} \approx \frac{1}{4.1^n \times 10^{16n}} \frac{1}{5.29^{n+1} \times 10^{-11(n+1)}} = \frac{1}{5.29 \times (21.68)^n \times 10^{5n-11}} \leq \frac{1}{5.29 \times (2)^n \times 10^{5n-10}} (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Remark 5.1. To illustrate the role of the parameters we check the distance relation $\hat{r}_{14} = \hat{r}_{12} + \hat{r}_{24} = 4\hat{r}_{12} \Rightarrow \hat{r}_{24} = 3\hat{r}_{12}$ known from quantum mechanics (cf. [9], [10]).

Inequality (5) implies

$$\hat{r}_{24} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268}} \times 10^{-9} = 3 \times 5.29 \times 10^{-11} = 3\hat{r}_{12} \Leftrightarrow U_0^{(4)} = \frac{12.6^2 + 268}{2.28} \approx 187.18 > 117.55 \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{2 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(2)} - 42.9}} = 3 \times 5.29 \times 10^{-11} \Leftrightarrow U_0^{(2)} = 4.987 > 4.81.$$

$$\text{Inequality (6) yields } \hat{r}_{34} = \frac{2 \times 10^{-9}}{\sqrt{2.28U_0^{(4)} - 268}} = 3 \times 5.29 \times 10^{-11} = 3\hat{r}_{13} \Rightarrow U_0^{(4)} = 187.2 \text{ or}$$

$$\hat{r}_{34} = \frac{2 \times 10^{-10}}{\sqrt{8.92U_0^{(3)} - 42.9}} = 3 \times 5.29 \times 10^{-11} = 3\hat{r}_{13} \Leftrightarrow U_0^{(3)} = 4.987 > 4.809.$$

6. Conclusion

The interest in the topic is evident from the articles [15]-[28]. We have obtained the existence-uniqueness of general $(T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}, T^{(3)}, T^{(4)})$ -periodic solution of the 4-body problem extending the approach from the case of the 2- and 3-body problems. In fact, we apply the same form of radiation terms following the Dirac's physical assumption justified by nonstandard analysis (cf. [1]). Our advantage is that we obtain the estimates of distances between the moving charged particles which include as a particular case the values from quantum mechanics. Consequently, classical electrodynamics as a theory of the structure of elementary charged particle and its interaction with other elementary particles is more general than quantum mechanics.

Appendix 1. Estimates of the Right-Hand Sides of Equations of Motion and Their Derivatives

$$\sqrt{\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle} \leq c_k < c; \left| \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle \right| \leq \sqrt{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{\xi}^{(kn)} \rangle} \sqrt{\langle \vec{u}^{(n)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle} \leq c \tau_{kn} c_n; \tau_{kn} \geq \frac{r_{kn}(t)}{2c} \quad (k=1,2,3,4)$$

(cf. [11]),

where $r_{kn}(t)$ is the distance between the k -th and n -th particle at time t .

Differentiating $\tau_{kn}(t) = \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{\xi}^{(kn)} \rangle}$ and solving with respect to $\frac{d\tau_{kn}}{dt}$ we obtain

$$\frac{d\tau_{kn}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(k)}(t) \rangle - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{\xi}^{(kn)} \rangle} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) \rangle}. \quad \text{Using that } \tau_{kn}(t) = \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{\xi}^{(kn)} \rangle} \text{ has a}$$

unique solution $\tau_{kn}(t)$ we obtain

$$1 - \frac{d\tau_{kn}(t)}{dt} = 1 - \frac{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(k)}(t) \rangle - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) \rangle}{c^2 \tau_{kn}(t) - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle} = \frac{c^2 \tau_{kn}(t) - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle}{c^2 \tau_{kn}(t) - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle} \geq \frac{c^2 \tau_{kn}(t) - c \tau_{kn}(t) c_k}{c^2 \tau_{kn}(t) + c \tau_{kn}(t) c_n} = \frac{1 - \beta_k}{1 + \beta_n} > 0.$$

Consequently $1 - \dot{\tau}_{kn}(t) > 0$ and $\frac{1}{1 - \dot{\tau}_{kn}(t)} \leq \frac{1 + \beta_n}{1 - \beta_k}$ and $\frac{d\tau_{kn}(t)}{dt} \leq \frac{\beta_k + \beta_n}{1 + \beta_n}$.

Since the velocities on the first and second steady states are “small” we can simplify the relativistic terms:

$$\Delta_k = \sqrt{c^2 - \langle \vec{u}^{(k)}(t), \vec{u}^{(k)}(t) \rangle} = c \sqrt{1 - \left(\left\| \vec{u}^{(k)} \right\|^2 / c^2 \right)} \approx c \sqrt{1 - c_k^2 / c^2} = c \sqrt{1 - \beta_k^2} \approx c;$$

$$\tau_{kn} \approx (1 - \beta_n) \tau_{kn} \leq \frac{c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{c^2} \leq (1 + \beta_n) \tau_{kn} \approx \tau_{kn} \Leftrightarrow c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle \approx c^2 \tau_{kn}.$$

Then $D_{kn} = \frac{c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle} \approx 1$ and using denotations from [1] we obtain:

$$H_{kn} = \Delta_{kn}^2 + D_{kn} \left(\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle + \frac{\left(\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle - c^2 \tau_{kn} \right) \langle \vec{u}^{(n)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle}{\Delta_{kn}^2} \right) \approx c^2 + \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle - \tau_{kn} \langle \vec{u}^{(n)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle;$$

$$A_{kn} = \frac{H_{kn} (c^2 - \langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle)}{(c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle)^3} - D_{kn} \frac{\Delta_{kn}^2 \langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle + \left(\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle - c^2 \right) \langle \vec{u}^{(n)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle}{\Delta_{kn}^2 (c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle)^2} \approx \frac{c^2 + \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle}{c^4 \tau_{kn}^3} - \frac{\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle}{c^4 \tau_{kn}^2};$$

;

$$B_{kn} = \frac{H_{kn} (c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle)}{(c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle)^3} - \frac{D_{kn} \left(\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle - c^2 \tau_{kn} \right) \langle \vec{u}^{(n)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle}{\Delta_{kn}^2 \left(\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle - c^2 \tau_{kn} \right)^2} \approx \frac{c^2 + \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \rangle}{c^4 \tau_{kn}^2};$$

$$C_{kn} = \frac{D_{kn} \left(\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle - c^2 \tau_{kn} \right)}{\left(\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle - c^2 \tau_{kn} \right)^2} \approx \frac{\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(k)} \rangle - c^2 \tau_{kn}}{\left(c^2 \tau_{kn} - \langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \vec{u}^{(n)} \rangle \right)^2} \approx -\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}}.$$

Further on, if $r_{kn}(t) \geq \bar{r}_{kn} = \text{const.} > 0$ and $U_0^{(k)} e^{\mu T} \leq \bar{c}_k < c$ then:

$$|H_{kn}| \leq \frac{c^2 + \langle \bar{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle - \tau_{kn} \langle \bar{u}^{(n)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{c^2} \leq \frac{c^2 + (c + c_n) \tau_{kn} \|\dot{u}^{(n)}\|}{c^2} \leq \frac{c^2 + (c + c_n) \tau_{kn} \omega_n U_0 e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}}}{c^2} \leq \frac{c^2 + (c + c_n) \tau_{kn} \omega_n c_n}{c^2} = 1 + (\beta_n + \beta_n^2) \tau_{kn} \omega_n \approx 1 + \tau_{kn} \omega_n \beta_n;$$

$$|A_{kn}| = \frac{1}{c^4} \left| \frac{c^2 + \langle \bar{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{\tau_{kn}^3} - \frac{\langle \bar{u}^{(k)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{\tau_{kn}^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{(1 + \beta_k) \|\dot{u}^{(n)}\|}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \leq \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{(1 + \beta_k) \beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \approx \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2};$$

$$|B_{kn}| = \frac{1}{c^4} \left| \frac{c^2 + \langle \bar{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{\tau_{kn}^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\|\dot{u}^{(n)}\|}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \leq \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}}; \quad |C_{kn}| = \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}};$$

$$|G_\alpha^{(kn)}(t)| = \left| \frac{e_k e_n (A_{kn} \xi_\alpha^{(kn)} - B_{kn} u_\alpha^{(n)} + C_{kn} \dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)})}{m_k c} \right| \leq \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} (|A_{kn}| |\xi_\alpha^{(kn)}| + |B_{kn}| |u_\alpha^{(n)}| + |C_{kn}| |\dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)}|) \leq \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left[\left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) c \tau_{kn} + \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \right) c_n + \frac{\omega_n U_0 e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}}}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \right] \equiv \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c^2} \left(\frac{1 + \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{2 \omega_n \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}} \right);$$

(7)

$$|G_\alpha^{(k)rad}| \leq \left| -\frac{e_k^2 u_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \bar{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle + c^2 \ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}}{m_k c^5} \right| \leq \frac{e_k^2 c_k^2 \omega_k^2 U_0 e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} + c^2 \omega_k^2 U_0 e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{m_k c^5} \approx \frac{e_k^2 \omega_k^2 \beta_k}{m_k c^2}.$$

Appendix 2. Estimates of the First Derivatives of the Right-Hand Sides

$$\dot{\xi}^{(kn)} = (u_1^{(k)}(t) - u_1^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) \times (1 - \dot{\tau}_{kn}), u_2^{(k)}(t) - u_2^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) \times (1 - \dot{\tau}_{kn}), u_3^{(k)}(t) - u_3^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) (1 - \dot{\tau}_{kn}));$$

$$\dot{\xi}_\alpha^{(kn)} = u_\alpha^{(k)}(t) - u_\alpha^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) \times (1 - \dot{\tau}_{kn}) \Rightarrow |\dot{\xi}_\alpha^{(kn)}| \leq |u_\alpha^{(k)}(t)| + |u_\alpha^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn})| \leq c_k + c_n;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{dA_{kn}}{dt} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^4} \left| \frac{\langle \dot{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle + \langle \bar{\xi}^{(kn)}, \ddot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{(-3)(c^2 + \langle \bar{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle) \dot{\tau}_{kn} - \langle \dot{u}^{(k)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle + \langle \bar{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{\tau_{kn}^4} - \frac{\langle \dot{u}^{(k)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle + \langle \bar{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(n)} \rangle}{\tau_{kn}^2} - \frac{(-2) \langle \bar{u}^{(k)}, \dot{u}^{(n)} \rangle \dot{\tau}_{kn}}{\tau_{kn}^3} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c^4} \left| \frac{\|\dot{\xi}^{(kn)}\| \|\dot{u}^{(n)}\| + \|\bar{\xi}^{(kn)}\| \|\ddot{u}^{(n)}\|}{\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{3(c^2 + \|\bar{\xi}^{(kn)}\| \|\dot{u}^{(n)}\|) \beta_k + \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}^4} + \frac{\|\dot{u}^{(k)}\| \|\dot{u}^{(n)}\| + \|\bar{u}^{(k)}\| \|\ddot{u}^{(n)}\|}{\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{2 \|\bar{u}^{(k)}\| \|\dot{u}^{(n)}\| \beta_k + \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}^3} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c^4} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}(c_k + c_n) \omega_n c_n + c \tau_{kn} \sqrt{3} \omega_n^2 c_n}{\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{3(c^2 + c \tau_{kn} \sqrt{3} \omega_n c_n) \beta_k + \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}^4} + \frac{3 \omega_k \omega_n c_k c_n + \sqrt{3} \omega_n^2 c_k c_n}{\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \omega_n c_k c_n \beta_k + \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}^3} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c^4} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}(c_k c_n + c_n^2) \omega_n}{\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\sqrt{3} \omega_n^2 c c_n}{\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{3c^2 \beta_k + \beta_n}{\tau_{kn}^4} \frac{1}{1 + \beta_n} + \frac{3 \sqrt{3} c c_n + 2 \sqrt{3} c_k c_n \omega_n}{\tau_{kn}^3} \frac{\beta_k + \beta_n}{1 + \beta_n} + \frac{3 \omega_k \omega_n c_k c_n + \sqrt{3} \omega_n^2 c_k c_n}{\tau_{kn}^2} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{3(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^4} + \frac{4 \sqrt{3} \beta_n \omega_n (\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{3 \omega_n^2 \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\dot{A}_{kn}\vec{\xi}^{(kn)}| &\leq \left(\frac{3}{c^2\tau_{kn}^4} \frac{\beta_k + \beta_n}{1 + \beta_n} + \frac{4\sqrt{3}\beta_n\omega_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}^3} \frac{\beta_k + \beta_n}{1 + \beta_n} + 3 \frac{\omega_n^2\beta_n + \omega_n^2\beta_k\beta_n + \omega_k\omega_n\beta_k\beta_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2} \right) c\tau_{kn} \leq \\
&\approx \frac{3(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{4\sqrt{3}\beta_n\omega_n(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{3\omega_n^2\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}}; \\
\left| \frac{dB_{kn}}{dt} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^4} \left| \frac{\left\langle \dot{\vec{\xi}}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \ddot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \right\rangle}{\tau_{kn}^2} + 2 \frac{c^2 + \left\langle \vec{\xi}^{(kn)}, \dot{\vec{u}}^{(n)} \right\rangle}{\tau_{kn}^3} \dot{\tau}_{kn} \right| \leq \frac{1}{c^4} \left| \frac{\sqrt{3}(c_k + c_n)\omega_n c_n + c\tau_{kn}\omega_n^2 c_n}{\tau_{kn}^2} + 2 \frac{c^2 + c\tau_{kn}\omega_n c_n}{\tau_{kn}^3} \frac{\beta_k + \beta_n}{1 + \beta_n} \right| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{2(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c^2\tau_{kn}^3(1 + \beta_n)} + \frac{2(\beta_k + \beta_n)(2 + \beta_n)\omega_n\beta_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2(1 + \beta_n)} + \frac{\omega_n^2\beta_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}} \approx \frac{2(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c^2\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{4\omega_n\beta_n(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n^2\beta_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}}; \\
\left| \frac{dC_{kn}}{dt} \right| &= \left| -\frac{1}{c^2} \left(-\frac{\dot{\tau}_{kn}}{\tau_{kn}^2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix 3. Estimates of the Second Derivatives of the Right-Hand Sides

$$\begin{aligned}
|\ddot{B}_\alpha^{(k)}(t)| &= |\ddot{U}_\alpha^{(k)}(t)| \leq \left| \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{e_k e_n}{m_k c} \left(\dot{A}_{kn} \dot{\alpha}^{(kn)} + A_{kn} \ddot{\alpha}^{(kn)} - \dot{B}_{kn} \dot{\alpha}_\alpha^{(n)} - B_{kn} \ddot{\alpha}_\alpha^{(n)} + \dot{C}_{kn} \dot{\alpha}_\alpha^{(n)} + C_{kn} \ddot{\alpha}_\alpha^{(n)} \right) + \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^5} \left| \dot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \left\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{\vec{u}}^{(k)} \right\rangle + u_\alpha^{(k)} \left(\left\langle \dot{\vec{u}}^{(k)}, \ddot{\vec{u}}^{(k)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \vec{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{\vec{u}}^{(k)} \right\rangle \right) + c^2 \ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \right| \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left(\frac{3(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{4\sqrt{3}\beta_n\omega_n(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{3\omega_n^2\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}} + \frac{\beta_n + \beta_k}{c\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{(\beta_n + \beta_k)\beta_n\omega_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2} \right) + \\
&+ \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left(\frac{2(\beta_k + \beta_n)\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{4\omega_n\beta_n^2(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n^2\beta_n^2}{c\tau_{kn}} + \frac{\omega_n\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n^2\beta_n^2}{c\tau_{kn}} \right) + \\
&+ \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left(\frac{\omega_n\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n^2\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2}{m_k} \frac{\beta_k\omega_k^3}{c^2} \approx \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left(\frac{4(\beta_k + \beta_n)}{c\tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\omega_n\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{4\omega_n^2\beta_n}{c\tau_{kn}} \right) + \frac{e_k^2}{m_k} \frac{\beta_k\omega_k^3}{c^2} \leq \omega_k^2 U_0^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix 4. Lipschitz Estimates of the Right-Hand Sides

We note that for $t \in [T_p, T_{p+1}] \Rightarrow t - \tau_{kn}(t) \in [T_{p-1}, T_p]$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t)| &\leq |u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(T_p^{(k)}) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(T_p^{(k)})| + \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t (\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s) - \dot{\bar{u}}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)) ds \right| \leq \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})} + \frac{\omega_k}{\mu_k} \rho_{(p,1)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})} \leq \dots \\
&\dots \leq \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})} + \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})} = 2 \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|x_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) - \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t)| &\leq |x_{\alpha}^{(k)}(-T_p^{(k)}) - \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(-T_p^{(k)})| e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})} + \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t (u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)) ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup \left\{ |x_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t) - \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(t)| : t \in [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}] \right\} + \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t (u_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) ds \right| + \left| \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^s (\dot{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(\theta) - \dot{\bar{u}}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(\theta)) d\theta ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup \left\{ \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^t |u_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}(s)| ds : t \in [T_p^{(k)}, T_{p+1}^{(k)}] \right\} + \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) \frac{e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})}}{\mu_k} + \rho_{(p,1)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) \frac{\omega_k e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})}}{\mu_k^2} \leq \\
&\leq \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) \frac{e^{\mu_k(T_{p+1}^{(k)}-T_p^{(k)})}}{\mu_k} + \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) \frac{e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})}}{\mu_k} + \rho_{(p,1)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) \frac{\omega_k e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})}}{\mu_k^2} \leq \\
&\leq \left(2 \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^{h+1}} e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} + \frac{\omega_k^{h-1}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \right) \rho_{(p,h)}(u_{\alpha}^{(k)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|x_{\alpha}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) - \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn})| &\leq |x_{\alpha 0}^{(n)} - \bar{x}_{\alpha 0}^{(n)}| + \left| \int_{T_p^{(n)}}^{t-\tau_{kn}} (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup \left\{ |x_{\alpha}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn}) - \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(t - \tau_{kn})| : t - \tau_{kn} \in [T_{p-1}^{(k)}, T_p^{(k)}] \right\} + \left| \int_{T_p^{(n)}}^t (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| + \left| \int_t^{t-\tau_{kn}} (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{T_{p-1}^{(n)}}^{t-\tau_{kn}} (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| : s \in [T_{p-1}^{(k)}, T_p^{(k)}] \right\} + \left| \int_{T_p^{(n)}}^t (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| + \left| \int_{t-\tau_{kn}}^t (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \rho_{(p-1,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})} e^{-\mu_n \tau_{kn}}}{\mu_n} + \left| \int_{T_p^{(n)}}^t e^{\mu_n(s-T_p^{(n)})} ds \right| \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) + \left| \int_{t-\tau_{kn}}^t (u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)) ds \right| \leq \\
&\leq \rho_{(p-1,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})} e^{-\mu_n \frac{2c}{\bar{\tau}_{kn}}}}{\mu_n} + \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_p^{(n)})}}{\mu_n} \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) + \int_{T_{p-1}^{(n)}}^t |u_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s) - \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}(s)| ds \leq \\
&\leq \rho_{(p-1,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})} e^{-\mu_n \frac{2c}{\bar{\tau}_{kn}}}}{\mu_n} + \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_p^{(n)})}}{\mu_n} \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) + \rho_{(p-1,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})}}{\mu_n} + \frac{e^{\mu_n(t-T_p^{(n)})}}{\mu_n} \rho_{(p,0)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \leq \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \frac{e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{\tau}_{kn}} + 1}{\mu_n} e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) + \frac{2}{\mu_n} \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \bar{u}_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \right) e^{\mu_n(t-T_p^{(n)})};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\dot{u}_\alpha^{(k)}(t)-\dot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(k)}(t)\right|\leq...\leq\frac{\omega_k^{h+1}}{\mu_k^h}\rho_{(p,h)}(u_\alpha^{(k)},\bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)})e^{\mu_k(t-T^{(k)})}\qquad\qquad\qquad ;$$
$$\begin{aligned} &\left|u_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|\leq\left|u_\gamma^{(n)}(-\tau_{kn}(0))-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(-\tau_{kn}(0))\right|+\rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)},\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)})e^{\mu_n(t-\tau_{kn}-T_{p-1}^{(n)})}\leq\\ &\leq\rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)},\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)})e^{-2c\mu_n/\eta_n^m}e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})}+\rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)},\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)})e^{-2c\mu_n/\eta_n^m}e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})}=2\rho_{(p-1,0)}(u_\gamma^{(n)},\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)})e^{-2c\mu_n/\eta_n^m}e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})}\leq...\\ &\leq2\frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h}\rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)},\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)})e^{-2c\mu_n/\eta_n^m}e^{\mu_n(t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})}; \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} |A_{kn}-\bar{A}_{kn}|&\leq\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\xi_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\bar{\xi}_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|+\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\bar{\xi}_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\xi_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^3}+\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|u_\gamma^{(k)}\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|+\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^2}\leq\\ &\leq\frac{\omega_nU_0e^{\mu_nT^{(n)}}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\xi_\gamma^{(kn)}-\bar{\xi}_\gamma^{(kn)}\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^3}+\frac{c\tau_{kn}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^3}+\frac{\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|u_\gamma^{(k)}-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}+\frac{\beta_k\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}\leq\\ &\leq\frac{\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}\right|+\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^3}+\frac{\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|u_\gamma^{(k)}-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}+\frac{(1+\beta_k)\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}\leq\\ &\leq\omega_n\beta_n\left(\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)\right|+\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^3}+\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|u_\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}\right)+\frac{(1+\beta_k)\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}; \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} |B_{kn}-\bar{B}_{kn}|&\leq\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\xi_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\bar{\xi}_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|-\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\bar{\xi}_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\xi_\gamma^{(kn)}\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^2}\leq\\ &\leq\frac{\omega_nU_0e^{\mu_nT^{(n)}}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^2}+\frac{\omega_nU_0e^{\mu_nT^{(n)}}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^2}+\frac{c\tau_{kn}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|}{c^4\tau_{kn}^2}\leq\\ &\leq\frac{\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)\right|+\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}+\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|}{c^3\tau_{kn}}; \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} |G_\alpha^{(kn)}-\bar{G}_\alpha^{(kn)}|&=\frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c}\left|A_{kn}\xi_\alpha^{(kn)}-\bar{A}_{kn}\bar{\xi}_\alpha^{(kn)}-B_{kn}u_\alpha^{(n)}+\bar{B}_{kn}\bar{u}_\alpha^{(n)}+C_{kn}\dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)}-\bar{C}_{kn}\dot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(n)}\right|\leq\\ &\leq\frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c}\left(\left|\xi_\alpha^{(kn)}\right|\left|A_{kn}-\bar{A}_{kn}\right|+\left|\bar{A}_{kn}\right|\left|\xi_\alpha^{(kn)}-\bar{\xi}_\alpha^{(kn)}\right|+\left|B_{kn}u_\alpha^{(n)}-\bar{B}_{kn}u_\alpha^{(n)}\right|+\left|\bar{B}_{kn}u_\alpha^{(n)}-\bar{B}_{kn}\bar{u}_\alpha^{(n)}\right|+\left|C_{kn}\dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)}-\bar{C}_{kn}\dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)}\right|+\left|\bar{C}_{kn}\dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)}-\bar{C}_{kn}\dot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(n)}\right|\right)\leq\\ &\leq\frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c}\left(c\tau_{kn}\left|A_{kn}-\bar{A}_{kn}\right|+\left|\bar{A}_{kn}\right|\left|\xi_\alpha^{(kn)}-\bar{\xi}_\alpha^{(kn)}\right|+c_n\left|B_{kn}-\bar{B}_{kn}\right|+\left|\bar{B}_{kn}\right|\left|u_\alpha^{(n)}-\bar{u}_\alpha^{(n)}\right|+\omega_n c_n\left|C_{kn}-\bar{C}_{kn}\right|+\left|\bar{C}_{kn}\right|\left|\dot{u}_\alpha^{(n)}-\dot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(n)}\right|\right)\leq\\ &\leq\frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c}\left[c\tau_{kn}\left(\frac{\omega_n\beta_n}{c^3\tau_{kn}^3}\left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}\right|+\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|\right)+\frac{1}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}\omega_n\beta_n\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|u_\gamma^{(k)}-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}\right|+\frac{1}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}(1+\beta_k)\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}\right|\right)+\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\left(\frac{1}{c^2\tau_{kn}^3}+\frac{\beta_n\omega_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2}\right)\left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)\right|+\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|\right)+\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\left(\frac{\omega_n\beta_n}{c^3\tau_{kn}^2}\left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)\right|+\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|x_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|\right)+\frac{1}{c^3\tau_{kn}}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|\right)+\right.\\ &\quad\left.+\left(\frac{1}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2}+\frac{\omega_n\beta_n}{c^2\tau_{kn}^2}\right)\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|u_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|+\frac{1}{c^2\tau_{kn}}\sum_{\gamma=1}^3\left|\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})-\dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}(t-\tau_{kn})\right|\right]\leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left[\frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \frac{(1+\beta_k)}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)} - \dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n^2}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n^2}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \frac{\beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)} - \dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)} - \dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}| \right] \leq \\
&\leq \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k c} \left[\left(\frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n^2}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \left(\frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n^2}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} + \frac{\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \left(\frac{1+\beta_k}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} + \frac{\beta_n}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} + \frac{1}{c^2 \tau_{kn}} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)} - \dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}| \right] \approx \\
&= \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left[\left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2+\beta_k+\beta_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)} - \dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}| \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the inequality $\sqrt{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}|^2} \leq \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}|$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|G_\alpha^{(k)rad} - \bar{G}_\alpha^{(k)rad}| &\leq \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^5} \left| u_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle - \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle + c^2 \ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} - c^2 \ddot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(k)} \right| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^5} \left| u_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle - \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle + \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle - \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle + \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle - \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle + c^2 (\ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(k)}) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^5} \left(|u_\alpha^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)}| c_k \omega_k^2 U_0 e^{\mu_k(t-T_p^{(k)})} + c_k \left| \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} \rangle \right| + \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)} \left| \langle \ddot{u}^{(k)}, \ddot{u}^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}^{(k)} \rangle \right| + c^2 |\ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(k)}| \right) = \\
&= \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^3} \left(|u_\alpha^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\alpha^{(k)}| \beta_k^2 \omega_k^2 + \|\ddot{u}^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}^{(k)}\| \omega_k^2 \beta_k^2 \sqrt{3} + |\ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(k)}| \right) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^3} \left(\beta_k^2 \omega_k^2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \sqrt{3} \omega_k^2 \beta_k^2 \sqrt{\sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}|^2} + |\ddot{u}_\alpha^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}_\alpha^{(k)}| \right) \leq \frac{e_k^2}{m_k c^3} \left((1+\sqrt{3}) \omega_k^2 \beta_k^2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\ddot{u}_\gamma^{(k)} - \ddot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(k)}| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_\alpha^{(k)}(u)(t) - B_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})(t)| &\leq \int_{T_p}^t |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds + \left| \frac{t-T_p}{T} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \int_{T_p}^{T_{p+1}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds + \frac{1}{T} \int_{T_p}^{T_{p+1}} \int_{T_p}^\theta |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds d\theta \leq \\
&\leq \int_{T_p}^t |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds + \int_{T_p}^{T_{p+1}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds \leq 2 \int_{T_p}^{T_{p+1}} |U_\alpha^{(k)}(u) - U_\alpha^{(k)}(\bar{u})| ds = \\
&= \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \int_{T_p}^{T_{p+1}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |x_\gamma^{(n)} - \bar{x}_\gamma^{(n)}| + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} + \frac{2(1+\sqrt{3}) \omega_k^2 \beta_k^2}{c^3} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |u_\gamma^{(k)} - \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}| + \frac{2+\beta_k+\beta_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 |\dot{u}_\gamma^{(n)} - \dot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(n)}| \right] ds + \frac{2}{c^3} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \int_{T_p}^{T_{p+1}} |\ddot{u}_\gamma^{(k)}(t) - \ddot{\bar{u}}_\gamma^{(k)}(t)| ds =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \left(2 \frac{\omega_k^h e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} + \frac{\omega_k^{h-1}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k (t-T_p^{(k)})} + \right. \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \frac{e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} + 1}{\mu_n} e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) e^{\mu_n (t-T_p^{(n)})} + \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^3} + \frac{2\beta_n \omega_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left(\frac{2}{\mu_n} \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) \right) e^{\mu_n (t-T_p^{(n)})} + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{c^3 \tau_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} + \frac{2(1+\sqrt{3})\omega_k^2 \beta_k^2}{c^3} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 2 \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k (t-T_p^{(k)})} + \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2+\beta_k+\beta_n}{c^3 \tau_{kn}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 2 \frac{\omega_k^{h+1}}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} e^{\mu_n (t-T_{p-1}^{(n)})} \right] ds + \frac{2}{c^3} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \frac{\omega_k^{h+2}}{\mu_k^h} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) e^{\mu_k (T_{p+1}^{(k)} - T_p^{(k)})} \simeq \\
&= \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \int_{T_p^{(k)}}^{T_{p+1}^{(k)}} e^{\mu_k (t-T_p^{(k)})} \left[\left(\frac{8}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) \left(2 \frac{\omega_k^h e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} + \frac{\omega_k^{h-1}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) + \right. \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{8}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \frac{e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} + 1}{\mu_n} e^{2\mu_n T^{(n)}} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) + \frac{2}{\mu_n} \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \left(\frac{8}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{\bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) + \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\frac{4}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{4\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \bar{r}_{kn}} + \frac{2(1+\sqrt{3})\omega_k^2 \beta_k^2}{c^3} \right) \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) + \frac{4(2+\beta_k+\beta_n)}{c^2 \bar{r}_{kn}} e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}} \frac{\omega_k^{h+1}}{\mu_k^h} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) \Big] ds + \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{c^3} \frac{\omega_k^{h+2} e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{\mu_k^h} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) \simeq \\
&= \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k} \left[16 \left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) \left(\frac{\omega_k^h e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} + \frac{\omega_k^{h-1}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_n} \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \left(\frac{8}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{\bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) + \right. \\
&\quad + 4 \left(\frac{2}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \bar{r}_{kn}} + \frac{(1+\sqrt{3})\omega_k^2 \beta_k^2}{c^3} \right) \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p,h)}(u_\gamma^{(k)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(k)}) + \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left[\left(\frac{8}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \frac{e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} + 1}{\mu_n} e^{2\mu_n T^{(n)}} + \frac{4(2+\beta_k+\beta_n)}{c^2 \bar{r}_{kn}} e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}} \frac{\omega_k^{h+1}}{\mu_k^h} \right] \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_\gamma^{(n)}, \bar{u}_\gamma^{(n)}) \leq \\
&\leq K_{(p,h)} \rho_{(p,h)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}_3^{(4)})) + K_{(p-1,h)} \rho_{(p-1,h)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_3^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}_3^{(4)})),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{(p,h)} &= \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \frac{e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}} - 1}{\mu_k} \left[16 \left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{\beta_n \omega_n}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) \left(\frac{\omega_k^h e^{\mu_k T^{(k)}}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} + \frac{\omega_k^{h-1}}{\mu_k^{h+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_n} \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \left(\frac{8}{\bar{r}_{kn}^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{\bar{r}_{kn}^2} \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4 \left(\frac{2}{c \bar{r}_{kn}^2} + \frac{2\omega_n \beta_n}{c^2 \bar{r}_{kn}} + \frac{(1+\sqrt{3})\omega_k^2 \beta_k^2}{c^3} \right) \frac{\omega_k^h}{\mu_k^h} \right], \\
K_{(p-1,h)} &= \sum_{n=1, n \neq k}^4 \frac{|e_k e_n|}{m_k} \left[\left(\frac{8}{(\bar{r}_{kn}^m)^3} + \frac{8\beta_n \omega_n}{c (\bar{r}_{kn}^m)^2} \right) \frac{\omega_n^h}{\mu_n^h} \frac{e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} + 1}{\mu_n} e^{2\mu_n T^{(n)}} + \frac{4(2+\beta_k+\beta_n)}{c^2 \bar{r}_{kn}^m} e^{-2c\mu_n/\bar{r}_{kn}} e^{\mu_n T^{(n)}} \frac{\omega_k^{h+1}}{\mu_k^h} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and $K_{(p,h)} + K_{(p-1,h)} < 1$.

References

1. Angelov, V.G. 4-Body problem of classical electrodynamics – derivation of equations of motion (I). *Letters in Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications*, 2025, 3, 4, 28-47.
2. Herglotz, G. *Göttingen Nachrichten, math.-naturw. KL*, (1904), p. 549.

3. Pauli, W. Relativitätstheorie. *Encyklopedie der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band. 5, Heft 4, Art. 19; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1921.
4. Synge, J. L. On the electromagnetic two-body problem. *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)*, 1940, A177, 118-139.
5. Angelov, V.G. On the original Dirac equations with radiation term. *Libertas Mathematica (Texas)*, 2011, **31**, 57-86.
6. Sommerfeld A., *Atombau und Spektrallinien*, Band 1,2, Friedrich Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1951.
8. Driver, R.D. A functional–differential systems of neutral type arising in a two-body problem of classical electrodynamics. *Int. Symposium on Non-linear Differential Equations and Nonlinear Mechanics*, Academic Press, (1963), 474-484.
9. Bohr N. Atomic Theory and Description of Nature. Cambridge University Press , Teddington, England, 1934.
10. Giancoli, D.C. General Physics, vol.1 and 2, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1984.
11. Pohl, R.W. Optik und Atomphysik, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
12. Angelov, V.G. Two-body problem of classical electrodynamics with radiation terms – periodic solutions (II). *International Journal of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2016, **6**, 1, 1-25.
13. Angelov, V.G. A Method for Analysis of Transmission Lines Terminated by Nonlinear Loads, Nova Science, New York, 2014.
14. Angelov, V.G. The electromagnetic three-body problem with radiation terms – existence-uniqueness of periodic orbit (II). *Results in Nonlinear Analysis*, 2020, **3**, 3, 137-158.
15. Angelov, V.G. Fixed Point Theorems for $\Phi(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m)$ -contractive Mappings in Uniform Spaces. *Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society*, 2023, **38**, 2, 149-169.
16. Barut, A. O. and Zanghi, N., Classical Model of the Dirac Electron, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1984, **52**, 2009-2012.
17. Bauer, G., D.-A. Deckert, D. Durr and G. Hinrichs, On irreversibility and radiation in classical electrodynamics of point particles, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 2014, **154**(1-2):610, 12 pages.
18. G. Bauer, D.-A. Deckert, and D. Durr, On the existence of dynamics in Wheeler-Feynman electromagnetism. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 2012, **64**(4), 1087-1124.
19. de Luca, J., Variational electrodynamics of atoms. *Progress in Electromagnetism Research*, 2013, **B**, July 1-11.
20. Simaciu I., Borsos, Z.; Hotinceanu, M. The problem of relation between energy and mass. *Buletinul Universității Petrol – Gaze din Ploiești* Vol. LXNo. 2/(2008), 115-121 *Seria Matematică - Informatică – Fizică*.
21. Simaciu, I.; Ciubotariu, C. Classical model of electron in stochastic electrodynamics. *Revista Mexicana de Fisica*, vol. 47 (4), 2001, 392-394.
22. Baylis, W. E.; Yao, Y. Relativistic dynamics of charges in electromagnetic fields: An eigenspinor approach. *Physical Review A*, 1999, v. 60, No. 2, 785-795.
23. Young-Sea Huang; Kang-Hao Lu, Exact Expression for Radiation of an Accelerated Charge in Classical Electrodynamics. *Found. Phys.* 2008 **38**, 151–159.
24. Yaremko, Y. Two-body problem with retarded interactions and radiation reaction in classical electrodynamics. *Indian J Phys.* 2008, **82** (9), 1139-1158 .
25. Herrera, J. C. Equation of motion in classical electrodynamics. I1. *Physical Review D*, 1980, v. 21, No. 2, 384-387.
26. Abdullahi, M.D. Motion of an electron in classical and relativistic electrodynamics and an alternative electrodynamics. *Electromagnetics of a Particle Accelerated in the Speed of Light with Constant Mass.*, 2013, 1-
27. Goedecke, G.H. Causal relativistic classical electrodynamics. *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*, 1984, **41**, No. 1, 11-20.
28. Hammond, R.T. Relativistic particle motion and radiation reaction in electrodynamics. *Electronic Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 2010, **7**, 23, 221–258.
29. Goldstein, A.; Eyal, O. Radiation fields created by accelerated relativistic charges: A simple approach based on inertial moving charges. *Results in Physics* 2019, **12**, 1104–1110.
30. Ünlü, H. Relativistic invariance of electromagnetic fields and Maxwell's equations in theory of electrodynamics. *International Journal of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2023, **13**(2): 36-56.
31. Abouelmagd, E.I.; Guirao, J.L.G.; Llibre, J. On the periodic orbits of the perturbed two- and three-body problems. *Galaxies* 2023, **11**, 58. doi: 10.3390/galaxies11020058.

32. Boyer T.H. A Charged Particle Must Be Treated Relativistically in Classical Theory, arXiv:2307.09966 ,
33. Boyer T.H. Relativity and Radiation Balance for the Classical Hydrogen Atom in Classical Electromagnetic Zero-Point Radiation - arXiv: 2103.09084.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.