Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Role and Impact of Hypervisor Technology in Modern IT Infrastructure

Amir Reza Delsouz Bahri , Bashar Shadman , Fahad Mukhtiyar , Lim Lai Soon , Xinyi Xinyi , Noor Ul Amin *

Posted Date: 19 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1404.v1

Keywords: Blockchain; Hypervisor; Software testing; Virtualization

Article

The Role and Impact of Hypervisor Technology in Modern IT Infrastructure

Amir Reza Delsouz Bahri, Bashar Shadman, Fahad Mukhtiyar, Lim Lai Soon, Xinyi and Noor Ul Amin

* Correspondence: nooraminnawab@gmail.com

Abstract: This research work explains the enabling function of hypervisor technology in current IT infrastructure, encompassing its architectural models, operational advantages, and application in heterogeneous organizational setups. By categorizing hypervisors into Type 1 (bare-metal) and Type 2 (hosted) configurations, the report takes a systematic approach in considering the respective strengths, trade-offs, and suitability of each in enterprise-scale versus small-to-medium-sized installations. With the use of real-life case studies—MyTax Advisory, SoftTech Inc., and NonOrthodox Apparel—the report illustrates how virtualization addresses challenges such as legacy software compatibility, secure software testing, development agility, disaster recovery, and centralized IT management. It also addresses performance and security considerations, cost considerations, as well as probable constraints such as vendor lock-in and interoperability issues. The research draws on existing research literature and best practices in the industry to provide a balanced perspective on the strategic implementation of virtualization. Lastly, the report emphasizes how hypervisor-based solutions improve not just IT efficiency and agility, but also security, scalability, and business continuity in a virtualized enterprise landscape that is becoming increasingly virtualized.

Keywords: blockchain; hypervisor; software testing; virtualization

---

1. Introduction

The evolving requirements of digital transformation have necessitated quicker, more efficient, and more secure IT infrastructure across all industries. Virtualization, with hypervisor technology at its helm, is at the forefront of this revolution. A hypervisor or virtual machine monitor (VMM) enables multiple operating systems to share a single hardware host by separating the hardware layer, thereby allowing standalone and secure virtual machines (VMs). This capability is associated with tremendous benefits like reduced hardware dependency, improved resource usage, improved system isolation, and improved disaster recovery features[1–3].

This paper attempts to discuss the technical architecture and operational suitability of hypervisors, with the distinction between Type 1 (bare-metal) and Type 2 (hosted) installations. While Type 1 hypervisors are more performance-oriented and most appropriately found in enterprise settings due to their direct hardware integration, Type 2 hypervisors are accommodating and convenient, hence being more suitable for smaller enterprises or individual use[4,5]. By targeted use cases and scenario-based analysis, the study depicts how hypervisors allow safe testing of new applications, compatibility with legacy systems, distant and collaborative support for development, and efficient administration of dispersed IT assets[6–9].

Moreover, the study identifies possible challenges with hypervisor-based virtualization implementation, such as performance overhead, security threats, vendor lock-in, and compatibility issues[10–14]. These are decisions that organizations need to make when considering balancing innovation with operational stability. Supported by academic and industry research, the report

doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1404.v1

provides strategic guidance on leveraging hypervisor technologies in accordance with organizational goals and resource constraints[15–18].

2. Methodology

This activity took a structured, evidence-based approach in exploring the use of hypervisor technology in mitigating several issues related to IT across various business settings[19–21]. It involved four basic steps: contextual scenario analysis, literature synthesis, technical evaluation, and applied suggestion.

Second, each question scenario—from legacy system support to business continuity and system integration—was analyzed through a problem-solution methodology[22–24]. Scenario situations from example organizations like MyTax Advisory, SoftTech Inc., and NonOrthodox Apparel were contrasted for real-world applicability and to virtualization use cases. The methodology grounded the analysis on real-world business constraints like budget, security, scalability, and legacy system dependencies.

Second, the scholarly and industry literature was thoroughly searched for hypervisor category comparison, i.e., Type-1 (bare-metal) vs. Type-2 (hosted) architecture. Research sources were peer-reviewed journals, IEEE and ACM proceedings, and white papers by market-leading IT providers. Of special interest were performance benchmarks[25,26], security aspects, and resource utilization for hypervisor platforms such as VMware ESXi, Microsoft Hyper-V, and Oracle VirtualBox.

Third, technical and operational evaluations were boiled down to a set of qualitative comparisons on important dimensions like performance overhead, deployment complexity, isolation capabilities, scalability, and cost. These were then applied to each fictional company's requirements to provide scenario-specific recommendations.

Lastly, the solutions were recorded in the form of analytical writing, with every recommendation being backed by technical explanations and real-life applicability. The group made sure all submissions were in adherence to academic integrity policies, and every member of the group worked in collaboration on given sections, authenticated by a group review process for consistency and coherence of all the answers.

3. Types of Hypervisors: Architecture and Functionality

Hypervisors are base technologies of virtualization that enable multiple virtual machines (VMs) to run on a single physical host at the same time. Depending on the scenario of deployment, their architecture and functional characteristics are different, which leads to two broad categories: Type 1 (bare metal) and Type 2 (hosted) hypervisors.

Type 1 Hypervisors: Functionality and Structure

Type 1 hypervisors are booted directly on bare hardware without relying on a host operating system. Native-level integration offers direct, low-latency access to hardware resources such as CPU, memory, and I/O, reducing overhead and latency. They include VMware ESXi, Microsoft Hyper-V Server, and XenServer. These hypervisors usually form the core of enterprise data centers due to their scalability, resiliency, and support for centralized management platforms like vCenter or System Center Virtual Machine Manager (SCVMM).

Functionally, Type 1 hypervisors provide strict isolation between VMs, enforce resource limits, support dynamic resource allocation, and support high availability using features like VM live migration and automated failover. These are critical to mission-critical applications and workloads where performance and uptime are not options.

Type 2 Hypervisors: Architecture and Functionality

In contrast, Type 2 hypervisors run on top of a standard host operating system. They use the OS for device drivers and hardware abstraction and have the consequence of slightly increased latency

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1404.v1

and lower performance compared to Type 1. Oracle VirtualBox, VMware Workstation, and Parallels Desktop are some examples.

With performance limitations but with greater ease of use convenience, speedy install, and host OS support, Type 2 hypervisors are most appropriate for development labs, test lab environments, academic environments, and small business deployment. Provisioning VMs at high speeds is easily accomplished by the user for testing software in various OS environments or virtualization of legacy application environments without specialized hardware or system administration skills.

Use Case Analysis

MyTax Advisory

MyTax Advisory was able to effectively utilize both types of hypervisors in meeting its double purpose for legacy application maintenance and safe testing environments. Using Type 2 hypervisors such as Oracle VirtualBox enabled them to maintain critical older software within separate VMs without impacting the host computer. This provided data continuity without the risk of OS upgrades harming software functions.

At the same time, Type 1 hypervisors like VMware ESXi provided secure sandboxes for testing new tax software, using VM rollbacks and snapshots to encapsulate risk while it was in test. This two-pronged approach blended operational stability with testing and innovation.

SoftTech Inc.

In order to address the challenge of systems integration in a globally outsourced development model, SoftTech Inc. employed Type 1 hypervisors to create secure, centralized development environments. These virtualized environments enabled problem-free code development and testing by outsourced teams from India, Eastern Europe, and South America.

The hypervisor virtualization benefits—i.e., VM cloning, access control, and recovery through snapshot—streamlined the SDLC by reducing code conflicts, collaboration, and improving software quality. The setup also enhanced regulatory compliance and data security through fine-grained isolation and centralized monitoring.

NonOrthodox Apparel

NonOrthodox Apparel, with its aging infrastructure and limited budgets, implemented a hybrid virtualization solution. Type 1 hypervisors allowed for server consolidation and virtual desktop infrastructure (VDI), which reduced hardware footprints and administration costs significantly. VDI also enabled remote access to desktops, enabling business continuity during disruptions.

Concurrently, Type 2 hypervisors enabled low-cost testing of new software and supported legacy environments without hardware upgrades. Such a practical solution expanded the utilization of available IT infrastructure while enabling system management and deployment processes that were modernized.

Challenges and Issues

Along with the flexibility and strategic benefits of hypervisors, several challenges are worth noting:

Performance Overhead: Particularly with Type 2 hypervisors, reliance on a host OS can result in latency and hamper performance-critical applications.

Complexity: Type 1 hypervisors, while good, demand advanced IT expertise for installation, monitoring, and debugging. Centralized management software can counter this, but training and operational maturity are essential.

Security Threats: Although hypervisors provide VM isolation, weakness in shared drivers or poorly set access controls may expose systems to hyperjacking or lateral assaults.

Vendor Lock-in: Dominant vendor-specific proprietary features and software tools can limit flexibility and increase dependence on a single ecosystem.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1404.v1

Upfront Costs: Although long-term gains are substantial, upfront investment in hypervisor licenses, hardware upgrade, and skilled personnel can be high.

4. Discussion

The significance of this assignment underscores the cross-cutting advantages and limitations of hypervisor technology in modern IT infrastructures. In the context of MyTax Advisory, Type-2 hypervisors offered a secure and economical path for guaranteeing compatibility with legacy applications without enabling isolated testing of new tax software. This demonstrates how virtualization serves as a strategic intermediary between legacy systems and evolving business software without jeopardizing operational continuity.

On the other hand, SoftTech Inc.'s global development model required rigorous integration and testing procedures, to which Type-1 hypervisors were well-suited. They provided secure, high-performance virtual environments that allowed distributed teams to work reliably in standardized development environments. Snapshots and cloning enhanced flexibility in testing, and strong isolation features safeguarded precious intellectual property and customer data. These features combined reduced time-to-market and supported software quality assurance.

In the case of NonOrthodox Apparel, the emphasis was on centralized administration and infrastructure streamlining. The research demonstrated how hypervisors enable businesses to extend the life of aging servers, minimize physical hardware dependency, and transition to low-cost Virtual Desktop Infrastructure (VDI) architectures. Type-2 hypervisors were found to be especially suitable for the small IT staff and budget constraints of the company, providing a straightforward gateway to desktop and application virtualization without necessitating extensive infrastructure remodeling.

Despite all these benefits, the discussion also identified key challenges. Virtualization introduces layers of abstraction, which can impact performance—especially in network-intensive or latency-sensitive environments. Security risks, including hypervisor attacks and centralized control vulnerability, require diligent mitigation strategies. Vendor lock-in and compatibility issues also endanger long-term flexibility and integration, requiring open standards and careful vendor choice.

Overall, this project illustrates that hypervisor technology—when aligned with organizational context and IT maturity—can significantly enhance system performance, flexibility, and resilience. Successful adoption, however, requires attention to technical, financial, and human resource constraints, and must be followed by rigorous planning, training, and policy development.

5. Conclusion

Hypervisor technology is fundamental to modern IT infrastructure, from lightweight development environments to virtualized platforms appropriate for large enterprises. Possessing a sense of the architectural differences and functionality of Type 1 and Type 2 hypervisors has enabled companies like MyTax Advisory, SoftTech Inc., and NonOrthodox Apparel to address legacy software challenges, optimize global development, and extend the use of their hardware investment.

Successful deployment of virtualization depends on strategic alignment with performance goals, security requirements, and resource constraints, highlighting the necessity for thorough planning, skilled administration, and continuous optimization.

References

1. Thyagaturu, A. S., Shantharama, P., Nasrallah, A., & Reisslein, M. (2022). Operating systems and hypervisors for network functions: A survey of enabling technologies and research studies. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 79825-79873.
2. Amin, N. U., Shahzad, A. D., Abid, M. H., Muiz, M. Y., Suleimanov, T., & Razick, M. S. A. (2025). The Role and Application of Hypervisors in Modern Organizations.
3. Lozano, S., Lugo, T., & Carretero, J. (2023). A comprehensive survey on the use of hypervisors in safety-critical systems. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 36244-36263.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1404.v1

4. Lampka, K., & Lackorzynski, A. (2019, November). Using hypervisor technology for safe and secure deployment of high-performance multicore platforms in future vehicles. In *2019 26th IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Circuits and Systems (ICECS)* (pp. 783-786). IEEE.
5. Ismailov, O., & Batirov, J. (2023). Significance of virtualization in corporate server infrastructure. *Science and innovation*, *2*(A11), 226-229.
6. Saeed, S. (2016). Surveillance system concept due to the uses of face recognition application. Journal of Information Communication Technologies and Robotic Applications, 7(1), 17-22.
7. Saeed, S. (2019). A conceptual system on ubiquitous cardiovascular health-care system (UCHS). SSUET, 9(1), 15-19.
8. Saeed, S. (2018). Performance analysis of quality assurance due to the usage of two enterprise resource planning systems: Microsoft Dynamics AX and SAP. Mehran University of Engineering Journal (MUET), 37(2), 337-350.
9. Saeed, S., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Naqvi, S. M. R., & Khan, A. (2022). Cost optimization of software quality assurance. In Deep learning in data analytics: Recent techniques, practices and applications (pp. 241–255).
10. Saeed, S., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Naqvi, S. M. R., & Khan, A. (2022). Analytical approach for security of sensitive business cloud. In Deep learning in data analytics: Recent techniques, practices and applications (pp. 257–266).
11. Gopi, R., Sathiyamoorthi, V., Selvakumar, S., et al. (2022). Enhanced method of ANN based model for detection of DDoS attacks on multimedia Internet of Things. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, *81*(36), 26739-26757. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-021-10640-6
12. Chesti, I. A., Humayun, M., Sama, N. U., & Jhanjhi, N. Z. (2020, October). Evolution, mitigation, and prevention of ransomware. In *2020 2nd International Conference on Computer and Information Sciences (ICCIS)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
13. Alkinani, M. H., Almazroi, A. A., Jhanjhi, N. Z., & Khan, N. A. (2021). 5G and IoT based reporting and accident detection (RAD) system to deliver first aid box using unmanned aerial vehicle. *Sensors*, *21*(20), 6905.
14. Babbar, H., Rani, S., Masud, M., Verma, S., Anand, D., & Jhanjhi, N. (2021). Load balancing algorithm for migrating switches in software-defined vehicular networks. *Computational Materials and Continua*, *67*(1), 1301-1316.
15. Bhardwaj, A., & Krishna, C. R. (2021). Virtualization in cloud computing: Moving from hypervisor to containerization—a survey. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, *46*(9), 8585-8601.
16. Pacheco, M. (2021). Advances in Virtualization Technologies for Modern Advanced Computing Systems. *Journal of Advanced Computing Systems*, *1*(9), 1-8.
17. Polenov, M., Guzik, V., & Lukyanov, V. (2019). Hypervisors comparison and their performance testing. In Software Engineering and Algorithms in Intelligent Systems: Proceedings of 7th Computer Science On-line Conference 2018, Volume 1 7 (pp. 148-157). Springer International Publishing.
18. Djordjevic, B., Timcenko, V., Kraljevic, N., & Macek, N. (2021). File System Performance Comparison in Full Hardware Virtualization with ESXi, KVM, Hyper-V and Xen Hypervisors. *Advances in Electrical & Computer Engineering*, *21*(1).
19. Khan, N., Hamid, B., Humayun, M., Jhanjhi, N. Z., & Tahir, S. (2024). Information Retrieval from Healthcare Information System. In *Computational Intelligence in Healthcare Informatics* (pp. 107-125). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
20. Baligodugula, V. V., Amsaad, F., & Jhanjhi, N. (2024, January). Analyzing the Parallel Computing Performance of Unsupervised Machine Learning. In *2024 IEEE 1st Karachi Section Humanitarian Technology Conference (KHI-HTC)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
21. Jie, L., Goh, W., Jhanjhi, N., & Asirvatham, D. (2023). The Smart Medicine Delivery Using UAV for Elderly Center. *IJCSNS*, *23*(1), 78.
22. Saeed, S., Abdullah, A., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Naqvi, M., & Ahmad, M. (2022). Optimized hybrid prediction method for lung metastases. In *Approaches and Applications of Deep Learning in Virtual Medical Care* (pp. 202-221). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

doi:10.20944/preprints202505.1404.v1

23. Srinivasan, K., Garg, L., Chen, B. Y., Alaboudi, A. A., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Chang, C. T., ... & Deepa, N. (2021). Expert System for Stable Power Generation Prediction in Microbial Fuel Cell. *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing*, *30*(1).
24. Zaman, N., Rafique, K., & Ponnusamy, V. (Eds.). (2021). ICT Solutions for Improving Smart Communities in Asia. IGI Global.
25. Saeed, S., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Abdullah, A., & Naqvi, M. (2018). Current Trends and Issues Legacy Application of the Serverless Architecture. *International Journal of Computing Network Technology*, *6*(3).
26. Janjhji, N. Z., & Memon, N. A. (2007). Pakistan lags behind in technical textile.