

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Weighted Prime Number Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions with Refinements

---

[Shin-ya Koyama](#) \* and [Koji Shimada](#)

Posted Date: 22 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints2025071841.v1

Keywords: prime numbers; Chebyshev's bias; zeta functions; Dirichlet  $L$ -functions



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Weighted Prime Number Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions with Refinements

Koji Shimada and Shin-ya Koyama \*

Toyo University  
\* Correspondence: koyama@toyo.jp

## Abstract

We extend Dirichlet’s prime number theorem on arithmetic progressions to the weighted counting function  $\pi_s(x; q, a)$  for  $(a, q) = 1$  with the weight  $p^{-w}$  for prime  $p$  with  $w \geq 0$ . We also prove that when  $0 \leq w < 1/2$ , the difference  $\pi_w(x; q, a) - \pi_w(x; q, b)$  changes its sign infinitely many times as  $x$  grows for any coprime  $a, b$  ( $a \neq b$ ) with  $p$  under the assumption that Dirichlet  $L$ -functions have no real nontrivial zeros.. This result gives a justification of the theory of Aoki-Koyama that Chebyshev’s bias is formulated by the asymptotic behavior of  $\pi_w(x; q, a) - \pi_w(x; q, b)$  at  $s = 1/2$ .

**Keywords:** prime numbers; Chebyshev’s bias; zeta functions; Dirichlet  $L$ -functions

## 1. Introduction

We define the weighted counting function of primes in arithmetic progressions as

$$\pi_w(x; q, a) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x: \text{prime} \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{p^w} \quad (w \geq 0)$$

for  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is a generalization of the classical counting function  $\pi(x; q, a) = \pi_0(x; q, a)$ . In 1837 Dirichlet proved that

$$\pi(x; q, a) \sim \pi(x; q, b) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

for any  $a, b$  which are coprime with  $q$ , where  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ) means that  $f(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, in 1853 Chebyshev found the phenomenon that there tend to be more primes  $p$  satisfying  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  than those with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . In fact, the inequality

$$\pi(x; 4, 3) \geq \pi(x; 4, 1) \tag{1.1}$$

holds for any  $x$  less than 26861, which is the first prime number violating the inequality (1.1). However, the both sides draw equal at the next prime 26863, and  $\pi(x; 4, 3)$  gets ahead again until 616841. It is computed that more than 97% of  $x < 10^{11}$  satisfy the inequality (1.1). This phenomenon is called Chebyshev’s bias, and is one of major unsolved mysteries in number theory.

Later, Littlewood [1] proved that the difference  $\pi(x; 4, 3) - \pi(x; 4, 1)$  changes its sign infinitely many times. In 2023, Aoki and Koyama [2] suggested that Littlewood’s phenomenon no longer holds if we put the weight  $p^{-1/2}$ . They actually obtained the asymptotic under the assumption of the Deep Riemann Hypothesis that

$$\pi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x; 4, 3) - \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x; 4, 1) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log \log x \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \tag{1.2}$$

The Deep Riemann Hypothesis (DRH) is a conjecture asserting the convergence of the Euler product of the corresponding  $L$ -function on the critical line. More generally they defined Chebyshev bias towards  $p \equiv b \pmod{q}$  (or against  $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$ ) as the asymptotic

$$\pi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x; q, b) - \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}(x; q, a) \sim C \log \log x \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

with a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $q$ . Under DRH, it is also proved for any  $w > 1/2$  that

$$\pi_w(x; q, b) - \pi_w(x; q, a) = O(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (1.3)$$

This was one of the reasons why Aoki-Koyama chose  $w = 1/2$  for formulating the bias. But the behavior of the left hand side for  $0 < w < 1/2$  was unknown.

In this paper, we will solve this problem by generalizing Littlewood's theorem to  $0 \leq w < 1/2$ . In what follows we use the standard notation

$$\text{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

and  $\varphi(q) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Our first main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Weighted prime number theorem). Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be coprime with  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $0 \leq w < 1$ , it holds that

$$\pi_w(x; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \text{Li}(x^{1-w}) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

We write  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ) if the following holds: There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $x_0 > 0$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2 > x_0$  such that  $f(x_1) > Cg(x_1)$  and  $f(x_2) < -Cg(x_2)$ .

It is known by Stark ([3] Theorem 2) that for any coprime  $a, b$  ( $a \neq b$ ) with  $q$

$$\pi(x; q, a) - \pi(x; q, b) = \Omega\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \quad (1.4)$$

under the assumption that the Dirichlet  $L$ -function  $L(s, \chi)$  has no zeros in the interval  $0 < s < 1$  for any Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo  $q$ . Actually Stark obtained the estimate (1.4) for more general cases  $\varphi(q)\pi(x; q, a) - \varphi(q')\pi(x; q', b)$ , but for our purpose the case  $q = q'$  is sufficient.

Our second main theorem is a generalization of it.

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that  $L(s, \chi)$  has no zeros in the interval  $0 < s < 1$  for any Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo  $q$ . For  $0 \leq w < 1/2$  and any coprime  $a, b$  ( $a \neq b$ ) with  $q$ , it holds that

$$\pi_w(x; q, a) - \pi_w(x; q, b) = \Omega\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-w}}{\log x}\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

In particular,  $\pi_w(x; q, a) - \pi_w(x; q, b)$  ( $0 \leq w < 1/2$ ) changes its sign infinitely many times.

Theorem 1.2 together with the estimate (1.3) justifies the choice of  $w = 1/2$  for the formulation of Chebyshev's bias.

## 2. Weighted Prime Number Theorem

The following lemma was proved in the previous paper [4].

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are positive valued function on  $x \geq 0$ . If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) dt = \infty$  and  $g(t) = o(1)$ , it holds that

$$\int_0^x f(t)g(t) dt = o\left(\int_0^x f(t) dt\right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

For  $q, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $0 \leq w < 1$ , we denote

$$\psi_w(x; q, a) = \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p^m \leq x}} \frac{\log p}{p^{mw}},$$

where the sum is taken over pairs  $(p, m)$  with  $m$  a positive integer and  $p$  a prime satisfying  $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$  and  $p^m \leq x$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$\psi_w(x; q, a) \sim \frac{x^{1-w}}{\varphi(q)(1-w)} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

**Proof.** Putting  $\psi(x; q, a) = \psi_0(x; q, a)$ , we have by partial summation that

$$\psi_w(x; q, a) = \frac{\psi(x; q, a)}{x^w} + w \int_2^x \frac{\psi(t; q, a)}{t^{w+1}} dt.$$

From the classical prime number theorem  $\psi(x; q, a) = x/\varphi(q) + o(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), we compute

$$\psi_w(x; q, a) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \left( x^{1-w} + o(x^{1-w}) \right) + w \int_2^x \frac{1}{t^w} dt + \int_2^x o\left(\frac{1}{t^w}\right) dt.$$

Here the last integral is estimated by Lemma 2.1 as

$$\int_2^x o\left(\frac{1}{t^w}\right) dt = o\left(\int_2^x \frac{1}{t^w} dt\right).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_w(x; q, a) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \left( x^{1-w} + o(x^{1-w}) \right) + w \int_2^x \frac{1}{t^w} dt + o\left(\int_2^x \frac{1}{t^w} dt\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \frac{x^{1-w}}{1-w} + o(x^{1-w}). \end{aligned}$$

□

For  $0 \leq w < 1$  we put

$$\theta_w(x; q, a) = \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq x}} \frac{\log p}{p^w},$$

where the sum is taken over primes  $p$  satisfying  $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$  and  $p \leq x$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** It holds that

$$\psi_w(x; q, a) - \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{(1-2w)x^w} \leq \theta_w(x; q, a) \leq \psi_w(x; q, a).$$

**Proof.** From the definitions the right inequality is immediate. The left one is deduced as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_w(x; q, a) - \theta_w(x; q, a) &\leq \log x \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq \sqrt{x}}} \frac{1}{p^{2w}} \leq \log x \sum_{2 \leq n \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{n^{2w}} \\ &\leq \log x \int_1^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{t^{2w}} \leq \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-w} \log x}{1-2w}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we have

$$\theta_w(x; q, a) \sim \psi_w(x; q, a) \sim \frac{x^{1-w}}{\varphi(q)(1-w)} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2.1)$$

Now we will establish the relation between  $\theta_w(x; q, a)$  and  $\pi_w(x; q, a)$ . Clearly it holds that

$$\theta_w(x; q, a) \leq \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq \sqrt{x}}} \frac{\log p}{p^w} = (\log x) \pi_w(x; q, a).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\theta_w(x; q, a)}{\log x} \leq \pi_w(x; q, a).$$

On the other hand, for  $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$  we have  $1 < x^{1-\varepsilon} \leq x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_w(x; q, a) &\geq \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ x^{1-\varepsilon} \leq p \leq x}} \frac{\log p}{p^w} \geq \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ x^{1-\varepsilon} \leq p \leq x}} \frac{\log x^{1-\varepsilon}}{p^w} \\ &= \log x^{1-\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ x^{1-\varepsilon} \leq p \leq x}} \frac{1}{p^w} \\ &= (\log x^{1-\varepsilon})(\pi_w(x; q, a) - \pi_w(x^{1-\varepsilon}; q, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\pi_w(x; q, a) \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta_w(x; q, a)}{\log x} + \pi_w(x^{1-\varepsilon}; q, a). \quad (2.2)$$

Since the last term is estimated as

$$\pi_w(x^{1-\varepsilon}; q, a) = \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}}} \frac{1}{p^w} < \sum_{n \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{n^w} = O((x^{1-w})^{1-\varepsilon}),$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{\theta_w(x; q, a)}{\log x} \leq \pi_w(x; q, a) \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta_w(x; q, a)}{\log x} + O((x^{1-w})^{1-\varepsilon}). \quad (2.3)$$

Now combining with (2.1) we reach

$$\pi_w(x; q, a) \sim \frac{\theta_w(x; q, a)}{\log x} \sim \frac{x^{1-w}}{\varphi(q)(1-w) \log x} \sim \frac{\text{Li}(x^{1-w})}{\varphi(q)}.$$

□

### 3. Refinements

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. The following lemma plays the role for reducing the general weight  $w$  to the case of  $w = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**

$$\int_0^\infty \pi_w(e^u; q, a) e^{-us} du = \frac{s+w}{s} \int_0^\infty \pi(e^u; q, a) e^{-u(s+w)} du.$$

**Proof.** Denote by  $p_n$  the  $n$ -th smallest element in the set of primes  $p$  such that  $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$ . Putting  $x = e^u$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \pi_w(e^u; q, a) e^{-us} du &= \int_1^\infty \pi_w(x; q, a) x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq p_n}} p^{-w} x^{-s-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq p_n}} p^{-w} \frac{p_n^{-s} - p_{n+1}^{-s}}{s} \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq p_n}} p^{-w} p_n^{-s} - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{\substack{p \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ p \leq p_{n-1}}} p^{-w} p_n^{-s} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \left( p_1^{-s-w} + \sum_{n=2}^\infty p_n^{-s-w} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n^{-s-w}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $w = 0$  we have

$$\int_0^\infty \pi(e^u; q, a) e^{-us} du = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n^{-s}.$$

By substituting  $s + w$  in the variable  $s$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \pi(e^u; q, a) e^{-u(s+w)} du &= \frac{1}{s+w} \sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n^{-s-w} \\ &= \frac{s}{s+w} \int_0^\infty \pi_w(e^u; q, a) e^{-us} du. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will apply the theory of Tauberian theorems, which was developed by Ingham in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** ([5] Theorem 1) Let

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty A(u) e^{-su} du,$$

where  $A(u)$  is real valued and absolutely integrable on every interval  $0 \leq u \leq U$ , and the integral is absolutely convergent in some half plane  $\sigma > \sigma_1 \geq 0$ .

Let  $A^*(u)$  be a real trigonometrical polynomial

$$A^*(u) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \alpha_n e^{i\gamma_n u} \quad (\gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_n = \gamma_{-n}, \alpha_n = \overline{\alpha_{-n}}),$$

and let

$$F^*(s) = \int_0^\infty A^*(u) e^{-su} du = \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{s - i\gamma_n} \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

Suppose that  $F(s) - F^*(s)$  is regular in the region  $\sigma \geq 0, -T \leq t \leq T$  for some  $T > 0$ .

Then, it holds that

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} A(u) \leq \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} A_T^*(u) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} A(u),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_T^*(u) &= \sum_{|\gamma_n| \leq T} \left(1 - \frac{|\gamma_n|}{T}\right) \alpha_n e^{i\gamma_n u} \\ &= \alpha_0 + 2\operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{0 < \gamma_n < T} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_n}{T}\right) \alpha_n e^{i\gamma_n u} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This theorem was reformulated by Stark for the purpose of refining the estimate of  $\pi(x; q, a) - \pi(x; q, b)$  as follows:

**Theorem 3.3.** ([3] p.314) Put  $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let

$$\frac{F(s)}{s} = \int_0^\infty A(u) e^{-su} du,$$

where  $A(u)$  is real valued and absolutely integrable on every interval  $0 \leq u \leq U$ , and the integral is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1$ . For any real sequence  $\gamma_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $\gamma_{-n} = \gamma_n$  and coefficients  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\alpha_{-n} = \overline{\alpha_n}$ , set

$$F_1(s) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \alpha_n \log \left( s - \frac{1}{2} - i\gamma_n \right).$$

Suppose that for some  $T > 0$ ,  $F'(s) - F'_1(s)$  is continuous in the region  $\sigma \geq 1/2$ ,  $-T \leq t \leq T$  and analytic in the interior of this region. Then for any  $u_0$ ,

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{uA(u)}{e^{u/2}} \geq - \sum_{|\gamma_n| \leq T} \frac{\alpha_n}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n} \left(1 - \frac{|\gamma_n|}{T}\right) e^{i\gamma_n u_0}.$$

Theorem 3.3 follows from Theorem 3.2 applied to the derivative with respect to  $s$  of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(s)}{s} &= \int_1^\infty \left( A(u) + \frac{1}{u} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n} e^{(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n)u} \right) e^{-su} du. \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n} \log \left( s - \frac{1}{2} - i\gamma_n \right) + (\text{an entire function in } s) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The estimate (1.4) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3 and the fact that the function

$$\int_0^\infty (\pi(e^u; q, a) - \pi(e^u; q, b)) e^{-us} du$$

is analytic in  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2$  ([3] Lemmas 1 and 2).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** From Lemma 3.1 and the above discussion, the function

$$\int_0^\infty (\pi_w(e^u; q, a) - \pi_w(e^u; q, b)) e^{-us} du$$

is analytic in  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2} - w$ . For  $0 < w < \frac{1}{2}$ , we replace (3.1) with the following function:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_w(s)}{s+w} &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n} \log \left( s + w - \frac{1}{2} - i\gamma_n \right) + (\text{an entire function in } s) \\ &= \int_1^\infty \left( A_w(u) + \frac{1}{u} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n} e^{(\frac{1}{2} - w + i\gamma_n)u} \right) e^{-su} du, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_w(u) = \pi_w(e^u; q, a) - \pi_w(e^u; q, b)$ . Then for any  $u_0$ ,

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u A_w(u)}{e^{(\frac{1}{2}-w)u}} \geq - \sum_{|\gamma_n| \leq T} \frac{\alpha_n}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n} \left(1 - \frac{|\gamma_n|}{T}\right) e^{i\gamma_n u_0}.$$

Consequently it holds that

$$A_w(u) = \Omega\left(\frac{e^{(\frac{1}{2}-w)u}}{u}\right),$$

which is the desired result.  $\square$

## References

1. J. E. Littlewood: Distribution des Nombres Premiers. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **158** (1914) 1869-1872.
2. M. Aoki and S. Koyama, "Chebyshev's bias against splitting and principal primes in global fields," *J. Number Theory*, **245** (2023), 233–262.
3. H. Stark: A problem in comparative prime number theory. *Acta Arith.* XVIII (1971) 311-320.
4. K. Shimada: Weighted prime number theorem with refinement. (preprint) 2025.
5. A. E. Ingham: On two conjectures in the theory of numbers. *Amer. J.* **64** (1942) 313-319.
6. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, "Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory of the distribution of primes," *Acta Mathematica*, **41** (1918), 119–196.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.